



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

## Verbatim Comments

Verbatim comments presented here include all feedback, suggestions, comments, and messages that were collected online through the Engage Portal as described in the report. All input has been reviewed and provided to City Administration to be considered in decision making.

Any personal identifying information was removed from the verbatim comments presented here. Comments that contain profanity, or that are not in compliance with the [City's Respectful Workplace Policy](#) or [Online Tool Moderation Practice](#), have also been removed from participant submissions.

Wherever possible the remainder of the submissions remains. No other edits to the feedback have been made, and the verbatim comments are as received. As a result, some of the content in this verbatim record may still be considered offensive or distasteful to some readers.

All feedback is organized by recreational vehicle owners and non owners through the Engage Portal. To go directly to a section of verbatim, please click on a link below (organized by each of the open-ended questions).

- 3) In your opinion, are there vehicles that should, or should not, be considered in this definition? Why?

[Verbatim – RV Owners](#)

[Verbatim – Not RV Owners](#)

- 4) Would you support allowing seasonal front driveway parking? Please tell us why or why not?

[Verbatim – RV Owners](#)

[Verbatim – Not RV Owners](#)

- 5) Are there additional benefits and/or impacts that are important for The City to understand?

[Verbatim – RV Owners](#)

[Verbatim – Not RV Owners](#)

- 6) Additional comments received by email

[Verbatim - Email](#)

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

**QUESTION 3 - In your opinion, are there vehicles that should, or should not, be considered in this definition? Why?**

## RV OWNERS - Participants that responded YES they own a recreational vehicle

"Camper van" motorhomes should not be included in the definition as they are frequently used as regular transportation as well as well.

"Quads" could be added to this category - an alley neighbour has often ridden his up and down our alley at high speeds. We are thankful for alley garage storage thus far, but front driveway storage is unimaginable for motor revving and driving behaviours.

?

?

? converted buses or vans

[removed] you. I will park whatever the [removed] I want in my driveway. My property [removed] not yours

19 ft campervan. This are the same length and width as most F150s which are parked on the street. They have equivalent height or less than a truck camper.

21 foot trailers should not have such severe restrictions and can be stable when not attached to a truck

36 hour is very reasonable - I would like to see 24 hour turn around time personally. These are a huge inconvenience to neighbors if they are longer. Our streets are not mean for overnight camping so I would like to see fees / fines for violating

4 wheelers, snowmobiles on trailers

4X4 or other recreational off road vehicles not intended for daily use

5 wheel

5th wheels are a bit large for driveways

5th wheels/travel trailers that extend past the driveway.

6 vehicles in one house so for 3 months out of year rv's should be at home .

72 hours

A boat does not provide temporary accommodations neither does a trailer used for transportation.

A boat is not a vehicle you can sleep in generally so I'd remove it from the list

A boat is not an RV and should not be included. Neither should utility trailers.

A boat should not be considered an rv as its not use to live in.

A boat should not be considered an RV.

A BOAT should NOT be considered as it's the same size as a large SUV

A boat trailer shouldn't be counted. It does not provide accommodations. Otherwise all trailers should count.

A boat, is very different than an RV. A

A Bus should be considered an RV if it has been converted from the transportation of passengers to a recreational vehicle used for camping. For example, a school bus with the seat removed and a sleeping area / eating area / lounge has replaced the seats.

A camper not on a truck should not be considered in this definition as it is stationary, as long as it is in good condition.

A camper on a pickup truck should not be considered an rv

A camper on a truck should not be considered an RV considering many campers do not take up much more space than a dually truck.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A Class A motorhome that is 35 ft by 10 ft tall could be considered an excessive eyesore to a neighborhood.
A class B van being stored on a driveway or road within a maximum length would be fine with me. I am also okay for an RV being parked on the road in front of a home. For up to 7 days that way people can have company come and visit, 21 days per calendarYr
A covered drift boat on a trailer is lower profile than a truck. It should not be included in this category.
A flat trailer is not an RV,
A licenced motorized vehicle should have the same parking restrictions, a half ton truck, a 3/4 ton should have the same restrictions as a motorhome.
A limitation for our house is the length of trailer/ RW unit (25 ft). I don't want to see a large unit
A motor home and truck camper are both vehicles you can drive around on a daily basis if you choose so they are no different then a truck with a topper.
A motor home is no difference than a Van or big SUV, it should be allowed to be parked on the driveway.
A motorhome is a vehicle
A party bus should be considered an RV. My neighbor has one and parks it on the driveway all year. I can't see the street when I'm backing out, nor can pedestrians on the sidewalk approaching our driveway. An uncovered utility trailer should not be RV.
A person should be allowed to unhook their truck from the fifth wheel trailer on their front street for 36 hours to wash, load and unload their trailer. Not everyone has a second vehicle to use while their truck is hooked up to the fifth wheel trailer.
A recreational boat is not an RV and you should be free to park it in your driveway at anytime.
a recreational vehicle should include all recreational vehicles, not just ones that you sleep in - such as quads, snowmobiles, seadoo's and so on - allrecreational vehicless
A seasonal vehicle that is older than 25 years or older should not be considered because they usually need repairs and detract from the curb appeal of the neighborhood.
A size restriction perhaps? Such as anything over 40 feet or something like that
A tent trailer and trailer to transport a boat have such a low side profile that they shouldn't cause a visual obstruction to traffic.
A tent trailer is low profile rv and doesn't create visibility issues when compared to other traditional rvs
A tent trailer or pop up Aframe style trailer should NOT be considered part of this definition. It is ridiculous that I can't park my brand new fold down trailer in my driveway, while neighbor can park hauling trailers full of garbage year round.
A tent, trailer, small boat, utility trailer that are small than a truck allowed to park in the same place
A tools trailer. Ie a converted horse trailer that stores tools
A trailer that transports ATV, snowmobiles that is not an enclosed trailer
A travel trailer that is in good condition is not an eye sore and should be able to park in driveway .
A travel trailer under renovation or construction should not be considered in this definition, as there is no place where this work can be done. I see it similar with a home renovation. On the other hand disabled vehicles should be considered in this cat.
A truck and camper can still be used as a daily driver.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A truck and camper get exemptions for street parking. We had a truck and camper parked on street in front of the owners house for 2 years never moved while we got complaints about our trailer on the driveway. Truck and camper is a motor vehicle??
A van that has been outfitted for camping should be considered in this definition. It is used as an RV so should be in the same category.
A vehicle that safely fits in a home owners driveway should be allowed. A vehicle that does not fit on the driveway and therefore protrudes onto the sidewalk should not be considered.
Abandoned cars. There are a couple of places in my neighbour hood where people have parked old rusty cars with flat tires in their front driveways awaiting restoration I assume. They are more unsightly than an rv in my opinion
Absolutely not! This is a breach of rights. Our property our vehicles our choice.
Absolutely not. They are an eye sore. I store mine in a proper storage facility.
Absolutely, a small camper trailer is different from a huge fish wheel /motor coach
Add camper van to be inclusive
Add enclosed trailers used for transport such as snowmobiles or ATVs. Also consider non recreational trailers used for work purposes such as flatbeds for landscaping or large camper sized vans used for work also
Add in some provision that covers car/suv campers that are in the open position. The day of truck based campers only is long gone. If a car/suv camper is in the closed position, it's then a personal vehicle.
Add motorcycle or ATV type trailers. Same idea as a boat. It's a seasonally used vehicle.
Adequate list
Age restriction should be considered. Even some campgrounds impose age restrictions. Undrivable vehicles shouldn't be considered.
Agree with the current definition.
agree with the list
agree with the list above
All
All above included
All are listed
All are RVs to me.
All campers but not utility or construction trailers
all commercial vehicles and trucks over 2 ton
All defined examples should be included.
All fine
All forms of recreational vehicles should be included, including sail boats and motor boats
All good
All good
All in definition make sense
all is good
All is ok
All listed above are fine.
All listed above should be kept inclusive.
All listed should be considered in definition
All motorhomes trailers should be parked in the rear of the house are only for a specific time out front





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All noted above should be included
All of the above
All of the above
All of the above listed should be classified as an RV
All of the above should be considered within a certain size range. For example, gigantic motor homes that exceed the size of the driveway, should have a shorter time limit.
All of the above should be included under the caveat that they fit sully within a driveway and do not in any way impede pedestrian use of adjacent sidewalks.
All of the above vehicles should be considered in the definition.
All of the above, should include street parking and not just driveways.
All of them correct because all of them have recreational purpose
All of them I believe 36 hours is good. They are over powering for a neighborhood look very junkie.i believe the esthetics of the houses becomes esthetically undermined.
All of them should be excluded, it's my property on my driveway it's not on the street I should be able to park as long as I want
All of them. If a trailer of any kind or boat is on the driveway or property of the owner and is not unreasonably encroaching on or obstructing the use of public property such as sidewalks, then there obviously should be no problem. No time limit either.
ALL of these fit the definition of Recreational Vehicle.
All of these vehicles should be considered, as well as trailers used to transport off road vehicles
All r. V that fit in your driveway should be allowed we all pay our land taxes and the land is ours
All recreational travel trailers or RVs should be considered.
All recreational vehicles
All recreational vehicles listed should not be able to park in the front of the house.
All recreational vehicles should be considered as long as they don't block the sidewalk.
All recreational vehicles should be considered.
All Recreational Vehicles should be included such as ATVs, snowmobiles, dirt bikes and fishing boats.
All recreational vehicles should be parked outside residential areas unless out of site on your owned property
All recreational vehicles should be treated the same. However the rules should be consistent with other large vehicles that people have for work, etc.
All RV listed in 2b are considered in this definition.
All rv should be considered
All rv should be included.
All RV's as listed. An eyesore to the rest of the homes on a block. People who think to buy an RV need to consider storage if they do not have backyard storage. Most new driveways are not long enough to park an ordinary length RV
All RV's should be allowed to be parked infront of A house.
All rv's should not be allowed to park on city streets for more than 36 hours
All RVs
all RV's
All RVs and recreational vehicles
All rvs as long as they can be parked safely



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All RVs as long as they do not protrude onto the street
All RVs as long as they fit entirely on the property and are in good repair.
All rvs should be allowed in your property and in front of your house. It's not bugging anyone if you have room. If I had 20 vehicles I would park all around my place so the condo people that the developers don't have to make parking for don't park
All RVs should be allowed to be parked on your driveway if they are small enough. Saves money for more taxes,..
All RVs should be allowed to park in their driveway or street for more than 36 hours. It is very difficult when you are working to load and unload your Rv in 36 hours. People park on the street in front of my house always. Why can't I park my RV there.
All RVs should be considered
All rvs should be included. If you can afford a recreation vehicle you can afford proper off street parking and storage
All rvs show be included
All rvs.
All should and this should include vehicles that are not registered and insured
All should be allowed
All should be considered.
All should be in including van style rvs
All should be included
All should be included - as they all carry the same impacts and benefits.
All should be included and rated equally
All should be included in this definition.
All should be included. Add food trucks. Also add
All should be listed as as RV's as well as any vehicle or trailer that is used for work whether they are licensed commercial or not. commercial or not. Extended parking time should not be considered. the time should be reduced.
All that you have listed should be included.
All the above mentioned should be on the list and including work trailers of all sizes.
All the above mentioned should be permitted. Any issues or concerns should be dealt with on a case by case basis. Many people, such as myself, have a place to park year round that doesn't impede street access. We should be allowed to park on the stree
All the above should be considered
All the above should be included
All the large size ones. Some campers are small, some are behemoths
All these camper style vans should also be considered RVs and fall under the same rules.
All these vehicles should be considered plus non residential vehicles including commercial vehicles
All those meet the definition. Any wheeled vehicle should also be included, in order to prevent encampments within vans or commercial trailers. If a humans residing in it, it's an RV.
All trailers are fine. Please extend the time. For cleaning, renovating & repairing the trailer. Selling takes time too.
All trailers described should be considered
All trailers greater than 10 feet in length.
All trailers including utility and construction trailers should be included. For the same reasons as RVs.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All trailers including work trailers should be given the same consideration
All trailers should be considered as the same.
All trailers should be covered by the same bylaw - work trailers, utility trailers, etc...
All trailers should be included
All trailers should be included including enclosed and open deck cargo trailers because people use them for recreation or work as well.
All trailers work related or recreational. Anything that can be towed.
All trailers, boats, watercraft, construction, etc, should be included.
All trailers, Including flat trailers, tilt trailers and boat trailers
All trailers, including work trailers
all trailers, including work trailers, should have the 36 hour limit.
All trailers, utility, travel or fifth wheel should follow the same rules. I find it frustrating when utility trailers are more of an eye sore but are allowed to park wherever they like
All trailers; boats; equipment trailers etc should not be allowed- most will go onto sidewalk causing hazard for children and just looks awful - ruins curb appeal and I own a trailer - needs to be stored elsewhere!!!
all types of vehicles should be allowed
All vehicles
All vehicles are accounted for in my opinion
All vehicles considered is fine.
All vehicles listed above are appropriately considered a recreational vehicle in my opinion.
All vehicles listed fit the description
All Vehicles listed should be considered in this definition. These can all be placed on Storage lots, the owner pay monthly for their spot. None of the above should be parked anytime, unless there is adequate space on property owners backyard.
All vehicles noted should be included
All vehicles on the list should remain status quo.
all vehicles owned by property owners should be allowed if there is room to park them
All vehicles should be allowed on driveway and if your neighbours have no complaints it should be ok.
All vehicles should be considered as part of this if RV's are targeted.
All vehicles that are not used for an extended period, in many cases it obstructs views when coming out of alleys
Along with what is included, large enclosed trailers, such as quad or snowmobile trailers should be included.
An RV should be any trailer (excluding utility trailers) or vehicle with a bed.
An RV should be defined as something with a motor - definition of a vehicle is essentially something that transports people. You cannot transport people in a tent trailer or something not designed to move with people in it.
An rv that can be a daily driver should not be able to park indefinitely on the street without moving.
Animal trailers eg for horses should be included
Any camper van that is the same size as a regular van. Why should someone be allowed to park their van in their driveway all the time and not a camper van of the same size. Also some camper vans are used as the second vehicle.
Any form of accommodation in driveways



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Any form of trailer, extended or raised roof vans, unlicensed or uninsured, or non operable motor vehicles should be covered under bylaw. A front driveway removes street space for parking, the owner should be using driveway to park his personal vehicles.
Any full size van
Any home made RV's should be included because they may not meet the industry code and could cause danger in the community or those using the RV. Van style RV would be ok as they are usually the size of a regular automobile but would need to identify size
Any larger trailer or vehicle should be included
Any of the above listed that are derelict/broken down/abandoned should not be permitted.
Any of these should be allowed to reside on a residents driveway for any length of time as long as they do not impede the public sidewalk as long as they are in regular use ie camping/boating season
Any one trailer that fits on your property
Any recreation vehicle
Any recreational vehicle or piece of equipment that can be towed or used to sleep people should be included in this definition. Utility trailers should also be included!
Any recreational vehicles that can safely fit on a driveway during peak summer months May-Oct should be waived from this rule.
Any rv 1998 and older.
Any RV 42 feet or less Ckass A, B or C
Any RV allowed
Any RV parking should be allowed as long as it doesnt block line of sight onto a street
Any rv should be allow to be parked on a property owned driveway as long as it doesn't impede any one to walk or drive by.
Any RV should be fine as long as it fits in the driveway. Most driveways are short and would only fit a small RV anyway.
Any RV should be good to park on,property that you own
Any RV that cannot fit on Owners Driveway and extends out to Sidewalk or Street
Any RV that is completely on the driveway of the private hime should be permitted to stay in place indefinitely. No vehicle attached to the trailer or RV shall impede the public sidewalk.
Any rv that is not running, licensed, or falling apart.
Any RV vehicle that is privately owned.
Any RV with a length greater than the length of the driveway it is parked on that would impede traffic or restric visibility for motorists and pedestrians.
Any sort of UPKEPT (not broken down or abandoned state) camping units that are useable and registered, NOT trailers used to store garbage or junk destined for the DUMP.
Any that people own and have insurance on should be allowed to park. It's crazy that something we own we can't keep at our house.
any tow able unit longer than 12feet
any trailer
Any trailer is the same
Any trailer or RV that fits within the driveway of the house
Any trailer over 30 feet, motor home over 50 ft
Any trailer should be included especially contractor trailer
Any trailer that can be towed eg Utility, Cargo
Any trailer, or RV should be considered. More than 36 hours would be extremely helpful!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Any trailer.
Any trailer. Why is it I can't parking my "camper" in front of my house but my neighbour can park his 30' work trailer and his truck with no problems
Any trailer/ rv over 40 feet
Any trailers under 1.5m tall as there is no loss of sight lines
Any type of van should NOT be included because they many people use them as daily transportation.
Any unit that does not fit on the driveway should not be allowed
Any vehicle built on a one ton chassis eg Ford 350 or smaller should be held to the same standards as trucks
Any vehicle (Trailer, RV, Truck, Van, Car) that extends past the driveway and into sidewalk should not be included.
Any vehicle based on size. Like size of a bus or bigger than a non-commercial vehicle. Small rvs and campers mounted on trucks should not be included.
Any vehicle converted to have living space - e.g., vans that have been converted
Any vehicle is good to go as long as it fits on the driveway/park pad and is clear of the sidewalk or alley.
Any vehicle larger than a single standard parking space is 3m x 5.5m
Any vehicle longer and taller than a standard vehicle, including commercial and transport vans
any vehicle or trailer that exceeds the length of the driveway and encroaches on the sidewalk a/o street
Any vehicle that can fit on a driveway without impeding the sidewalk (if applicable) should be allowed, including work and storage trailers.
Any vehicle that exceeds the allotted space on a personal driveway. If it sticks out onto the sidewalk at all it should not be allowed.
Any vehicle that extends into the street without a vehicle attached. Any commercial trailer.
Any vehicle that fits in a driveway should be allowed in your own driveway. That's the point of owning a home. As long as it's parked safely there is no problem.
Any vehicle that fits in a ones driveway.
Any vehicle that is longer where it would block the sidewalk.
any vehicle that is not in driving condition (missing licence plate, flat tires, etc)
Any vehicle that is not road worthy, that is unkempt and is not registered should not be allowed to park on the driveway.
Any vehicle that never moves, car, truck, van etc.
Any vehicle that would encroach on the side walk or be unsightly like a big rig or muddy dump truck.
Any vehicle used for temporary living less than 23 feet length should not be considered for RV bylaw because it does not cause inconvenience to residents. It is similar to any long bed truck.
Any vehicle which extends past the end of the driveway and sits partway on the sidewalk which is quite common.
ANY VEHICLE WITH A GVW GREATER THAN 4,000KG
Any vehicle with valid registrations should be allowed on public roads/ and private property with no time limit.
Any vehicles should be allowed on your property that you own



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Any wheeled road worthy vehicle should apply and be allowed to park anywhere any other vehicle can
Anything 20 feet and under. There are private SUV , trucks an vans larger
Anything 22' bumper to bumper should not be included. That is about the same length as a pickup truck. The bylaw should only apply to long (>22 feet) RVs
Anything above a tent trailer size shouldn't be allowed on people's driveways or parking lane out front
Anything being used to live in
Anything beyond the length of the driveway parked on should not be permitted - but if it fits it should be permitted.
Anything bigger than a tent trailer
Anything comparable in size to a vehicle should be exempt because it does not obstruct visibility - tent trailer, sea doo, tiny campers.
Anything in poor or rotting condition, with no repairability.
Anything larger than 21 ft should not be permitted to park on the driveway.
anything on a truck shouldn't come into this category.
Anything over 25 ft
anything over a certain length/width/height
Anything over the 30 foot length
Anything that can be slept in comfortably or used for recreation eg. boats etc
Anything that can fit on a driveway.
Anything that fits on a driveway should be allowed.
Anything that is derelict
Anything that is immobile or cannot be moved immediately when required (no broke down trailers)
Anything that is in need of visible repairs.
Anything that isn't in working condition unless currently undergoing repairs.
Anything that will fit in your front driveway should be allowed
Anything that you'll need to use consistently throughout the seasons should be included.
Anything that's not a passenger car, truck, SUV
Anything used recreationally that you sleep in. Could also include SUV as many car camp.
Anything with an engine should be able to park normally
As already defined
As defined is fine
As is
As long as a vehicle fits on your driveway or property I believe extending the time is appropriate. Why are you allowed to park a notarized vehicle like a truck and not a boat? What is the difference?
as long as it doesnt extend out onto the sidewalk, its fine by me.
As long as it fits on your driveway or in front of your house it shouldnt matter.
As long as it is in my driveway and not on street , their should be no restriction on RV
As long as it's on your private property it should not matter how long you park it there
As long as it's not a hazard or a beat up old eyesore, I see no logical reason to disallow me to park my trailer on my own driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As long as the property is kept clean and tidy and the RV's are not directly causing an issue I think the city should mind city business. We purchased the above ground rights less the easement for running various commodities into our houses.
As long as the rec vehicle fits their driveway i think that is okay
As long as the RV is parked on the person's property then I don't think it's anyone's business.
As long as the RV wholly fits within your property, then it should be allowed.
As long as the sidewalk is free for use and the rv is in clean workable condition I have no issues
As long as they are kept clean and in good condition, your definition is fine. The only exception is the small class B motor homes. Many owners of these vehicles use them for regular traveling about in the summer and they should be allowed on driveways.
As long as they are moveable and not blocking the sidewalk they should be included.
As long as they are not leaking fluid and are relatively clean and wellLooked after, not like a dump site !
As long as they can fit on the driveway without hanging over onto the road we should be able to park them in our drive.
As long as they don't block anyone's driveway, this shouldn't be a problem.
As long as they fit onto the driveway and kept neat, they should be allowed. It must be operational.
As specified recreational accommodation
ATV
ATV ,Any trailer in visual need of repair, trailers parked in front of your neighbours property
ATV / off road vehicles; and large recreational vehicles.
ATV and snowmobiles etc should be included.
ATV should also be included
ATV Should be included as many are parked in front of the house or on front lawns or in front of a neighbor's house
ATV Trailers
ATV/dirt bikes on trailers parked in front driveways. Residents then wash them in front drive ways.
ATV/Side by Side/Snow Machine
ATV's
ATV's (on driveway and on trailer) and motorcycles on trailers should be in this
ATV's and dirt bikes, and snowmobiles should have the same parking restrictions as rv's and the other vehicles listed above.
Atv's on trailers. We have a huge Atv community that also camps
ATVs or off-road vehicles that aren't licensed for driving on a highway.
Atvs or snowmobiles should be included in the definition of recreational vehicle as they can be hauled via trailers or on top of the box of pickup trucks. Visibility/safety on roads are affected by these types of recreational vehicle.
ATVs, jet skis, boats (not sure what definition currently includes but all motorized boats on trailers. See them parked on front lawns for months! What about cars parked on lawn beside driveway?!
Based on the definition the vehicles all fit, however the title could be amended to say RV/Boat
Big construction vehicles
Big difference between a 9 ft fold down trailer and a 36 ft motor home





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Big huge rigs that don't fit I. Your driveway
Big rig trailers/cabs they are very loud and disputing and have a habit of running their engines for very long stretches
boat
Boat
Boat - Does not supply " temporary accommodation for recreational or travel " ... unless larger boat designed for longer voyages.
boat (without accommodations). boats without accommodations. no one sleeps in there so it does not meet the definition.
Boat and tent trailer should be exempt. If sightlines are a reason both mentioned are no taller than a normal pick up truck
Boat and tent trailers should be exempt and permitted to park due to low
Boat and trailer used to transport
Boat and trailers - flat deck or work trailers/smaller horse trailers, rental trailers for moving.
Boat should not be as they are not an eye sore and generally add to the appeal of a neighbourhood.
Boat should not be considered, because although some boats have sleeping quarters, they only represent a very small fraction of the vehicles being discussed in this survey.
Boat should not be included. Most boats cannot be lived in like a camper or motorhome.
Boat trailer - they do not obscure visibility
boat, and trailer used to transport any of the above
boat, bike,atv trailer
Boat, generalt wouldn't block sight lines.
Boat, trailer used for transport
Boat, travel trailer, camper, tent trailer should not be considered, as these are smaller units that typically for in a driveway
Boat. If not moored at a lake this is generally pulled to and from the lake on each day of use. Only the trailer has lights so can't be left as safely on the road as the other vehicles.
Boat...they are usually much smaller
Boat-only meets the definition in rare circumstances. Camper-truck to which attached can be used for other porposes while the camper is attached.
Boats
boats
Boats and off road vehicle trailers
Boats and RV's should be allowed on driveways as long as they are safely and properly secured.
Boats and tent trailer. They typically don't obscure sight lines as they are much smaller.
Boats and tent trailers should not be included
Boats and trailers to transport. An RV should have a component meant for sleeping or residing. A boat is a day use item like a motorcycle, side by side or quad.
Boats and trailers. They're not really rvs.
Boats and transport trailers
Boats and transport trailers because they don't contain sleeping areas
Boats and utility trailer should not be considered. They are not live in vehicles. Require extention cord ext.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Boats and utility trailers should not be included. These do not provide temporary accommodation spaces.
Boats are a little different as they are not such an eyesore and not so huge. I do believe though that a front yard is not the place for this. Construction equipment and commercial vehicles should too be included
Boats are described as Vessels not RVs under Federal Law and i feel the definition of a boat, even though conveyed by trailer does not meet the definition . Utility trailer should not be allowed as a lot are used for commercial purposes and are unsightly
Boats are not RVs
Boats because they are much much smaller than RVs and do not provide temporary accommodation, at least not boats in Calgary
Boats in general should not be considered an RV unless there are on board sleeping quarters. To state, boat, is wrong.t
Boats on trailers should boats not on trailers should not
Boats or seados/skidos should not be considered in this definition as they can be stored easily elsewhere.
Boats should be allowed on private drive ways. It is not a safety concern or any less asthetically pleasing than a car. I would argue that my boat is more appealing than the run down delapidated cars we see all over our street.
Boats should be in off property storage. There is fuel and items associated with a boat that should not be stored on a residential property
Boats should be left out as most boats in Alberta are small and take up little room as to the rv witch would take up more and be opened up
Boats should definitely be included as they are above.
Boats should not assuming they are under a certain length.
Boats should not be considered as they are not nearly as imposing as an RV of any type. The rules applicable to trailers where they can't be left on a street unhitched should still apply.
Boats should not be considered as they rarely negatively affect nor obstruct views. If anything, a size limit could be imposed. Boats would only be parked on driveways during summer months.
Boats should not be considered based on there size and profile being less than most vehicles.
Boats should not be included
Boats should not be included because they are not an RV. No restriction's on boats. Year round parking on front driveways should be allowed.
Boats should not be included in this.
Boats should not be included.
Boats that have no sleeping areas should not be considered a R/V and Boats and trailer that are under 5 feet high.
Boats typically do not block near as much space as an rv or as much height and should not be considered.
Boats, because they're also ticketable.
Boats, big massive ugly ones on peoples drives. We respectfully follow the rules and pay \$800 a year to store our tiny trailer. Why can't boats do the same?
Boats, it's such a broad category, from an inflatable dingy to a cabin cruiser. Just say boat on trailer.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Boats, RVs, utility trailers should all be included in this definition. I have personally driven on our street and the sight line would not be impacted any more than by a minivan or large pickup truck, both presently allowed.
Boats, trailer used to transport (ie boat trailer)
Boats. By your own definition of an RV, it states that an RV is any vehicle that provides temporary accommodation. That does not describe a boat.
Boats. Most boats do not provide accomodation. I don't believe that boats should be classified the same as RVs. There should be a separate designation for water craft as there are different considerations such as pests.
boats? unclear if the boat has to have a cabin in order to qualify. other trailers: why are RV's restricted if other trailers are not?
Bobcat etc
Bobcat trailers
broken down that will never be repaired. It would be ok if the repairs are in progress and kept tidy
Broken down vehicles, ATV's
Broken down/ damaged vehicles not road worthy - safety issue
Bulk trash trailers or commercial trash trailers shouldn't be considered because of aesthetics
Bus
Bus RV's (city, greyhound, sized) should not be included, especially converted buses which is happening more often. The engines are extremely loud and vibrations can be felt far away.
Bus, buses converted to RV's
Bus, semi truck
Buses or motor coaches
buses, boats, work vans
Buses. Takes up parking space on the street. Most are too long to park in front driveways.
Buses. They are ugly
Business vehicles
By your strict definition, I can park an enclosed snowmobile trailer that is 30 ft long and 10 ft high by 8 ft wide year round but I can't park my trailer with the same dimensions in the same spot. One you can live in and the other you can carry 4 snowmob
Camper on a truck, boat, tent trailer should not be considered, as a boat is not a massive trailer, a camper on a truck is not much different or more of an eyesore than work truck boxes, and tent trailers and teardrop trailers are small and unobtrusive
Camper on a truck. At the most this only takes up a few more inches of length than the truck without the camper. The truck will be parked with or without the camper.
Camper van
Camper van
Camper Van 20' and smaller. From May—October.
Camper van could potentially be added to the definition
camper van/school bus conversions should also be included
Camper vans
Camper vans as they are based on a van chassis, literally similar to a contractor van.
Camper vans should be considered. Because they are bigger than normal vans.
Camper vans should be excluded since they are no wider than a regular (delivery) van.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Camper vans should be exempt considering they are based of a passenger vehicle and dimensions are similar. Class B or conversion van
Camper Vans should be include.
Camper vans should be included in this definition. They are unsightly and are parked in driveways often limiting the sightlines of pedestrians.
Camper vans should be included, as they can be quite high and also extra wide in some cases.
Camper vans should be included.
Camper vans should not be considered in this definition as they fold down to look like a regular van when not in tent mode.
Camper vans should not be in this definition. They are the same size as a normal van or workers van, which is allowed normal vehicle rules.
Camper vans should not be included. It is not much different than regular trucks
Camper vans should not be included. They are often daily drivers.
Camper vans shouldn't be included
Camper vans shouldn't be considered
Camper Vans that are smaller than motorhomes should not be considered an RV as it can be used as a daily driver.
Camper vans within a specific max size should be exempt
Camper vans, but recreational versus business vans are difficult to distinguish.
Camper vans, due to length and height
Camper Vans, Utility trailers, Commercial work vehicles.
Camper vans. These are no bigger than a regular passenger van in most cases, and some people actually use them the drive around the city
Camper vans. Can be used as temporary accommodation as well
Camper, tent trailer and "small" boats. They take up minimal space and height. They're short and can fit on to driveways which is the homeowners personal space.
Camper, tent trailer and boat shall not be considered in the category as there are usually smaller than the regular RV. For the boats, there should be a dimensional restriction of course.
Camper, tent trailer, & trailer to haul shouldn't be in this .they are small enough to see around. If you can't see when driving use your common sense to slow down & look.
Camperized bus
Camperized vans and the like because these vehicles are habitable
Camperized vans should be added to the definition, as should utility trailers being used for commercial purposes. They carry the same risks of esthetics and obstructed views.
Camperized vans should not be included as they are the same visual space as regular non camperized vans
Camperized vans. It never moves and is a rusty mess
Campers & motorhomes should not be considered because they are vehicles just the same as cars and trucks
Campers and camper vans because they are just regular motor vehicles
Campers and small (class B) motorhomes which are daily drivers or secondary passenger vehicles should not be classed in this category. A truck with a camper is no different than a truck with a full sized cargo box.
Campers as they are on a vehicle



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Campers go on to pick ups, so it doesn't affect parking anymore than a pick up truck. Tent Trailer, is like a utility trailer, which is also not on this list.
Campers if on the back of a pickup should be excluded.
Campers in a pickup, tent trailers and boats under 24 feet which easily fit on a driveway.
Campers may be used as tool storage or as a work truck, not necessarily for sleeping.
Campers should be considered cargo as they do not have a plate. Toppers are not campers although they can be used to camp. Motorhomes are also vehicles and should be treated as such.
Campers Smaller, more difficult to load and unload. For all rv's 36 ours is too short of time with Manny and Calgary working remotely doing flying in and flying out and spouses doing loading for trips for kids, etc. the timeframe should be seven days
Campers they sit on top of a truck taking up no extra space and are small and only slightly higher than a truck by itself
Campers while mounted on a truck. The truck mounted camper is virtually the same foot print as the truck alone and is smaller than the 1 ton cube vans that are allowed.
Campers, as they are contained within the vehicle box.
Campervan
Campervan as big as a work van should not be classified as an RV. As week vans can be parked on a drive way
Campervan is a reasonably sized vehicle that can be used in town as source of transportation.
Campervan. They can easily for on a driveway and even parked on the street, do not cause any safety issues.any
Campervan? I'm guessing that is a camper? But under a certain number of feet it would also be considered a normal vehicle. Not sure why that isn't defined here.
Campervans should not be considered RVs
Can't think of any.
Can't think of any others
Cargo ,utility trailers
Cargo trailer
cargo trailer
Cargo trailer
Cargo trailer should be added
Cargo trailer should be included.
Cargo Trailer, used to transport recreational vehicles like ATV and Snow Machines
Cargo trailer. If it has been converted to a camper
Cargo Trailers
Cargo Trailers & Sprinter Vans These type of vehicles are no different than RV's yet if they are on a front Driveway even if unlicensed they are not subject to the same rules as rv's is the bylaw about aesthetics or safety? Sounds like NIMBY or onlyIMBY.
Cargo trailers and/or dump/construction trailers (enclosed and open) should be included in the definition
Cargo trailers should be added to the definition covered by this Bylaw as well as trucks with truck campers
Cargo trailers should be in the same category, they can be unsightly with things hanging off the and stuff piled on the roof.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Cargo trailers should be included
Cargo trailers should be included. Equally ugly and dangerous (public and drivers) visibility.
Cargo trailers used to haul building materials and converted truck box trailers should also be counted as a trailer. Some get parked for several months before being used. Get unsightly not maintained.
Cargo trailers. If fit on driveway or parking pad.
cargo trailers. Some people actually use these for camping. Others have the open trailers full of garbage. These are far more unsightly and potentially dangerous than an RV.
Cargo, utility trailers,
Cargo/Dump/equipment trailers should be considered to this list. People run companies out of their homes and park these trailers on the driveways or in alleys that devalue the neighborhood. We pay for monthly storage to abide by the bylaws.
Cars and light trucks used for routine personal transportation
City should allow RV park on the front driveway in peak season because we all need time to maintain the RV before and after used. We will also go camping couple trips during summer. It is unreasonable if no time for the maintenance and also dangerous.
Class A motor homes
Class B (van RVs) should not be included as a banned vehicle. I use my van as my second vehicle
Class B campervans are often used as secondary, daily use vehicles because of their small size. They also look like regular vans or mini vans.
Class B motor homes (i.e., camper vans) should be excluded from the definition as they are generally small enough to not cause the negative impacts previously identified.
Class B motorhomes (camper vans) that may also be daily drivers for the owners.
Class B motorhomes that are the size of a regular truck (ie Ford 350).
Class B RV (camper van) and Class C RV (smaller motorhome)
class b rv less than 22 feet. These are the same vehicles used by tradesmen and they are not subject to parking restrictions.
Class B RVs should not be classified as RVs and restricted to the 36 hour rule. Class B RVs are the same as cargo vans, and if limited to 20' in length, are not much more intrusive than a passenger van which is the same length. Allow up to 20' Class Bs.
Class B Travel vans should not be included as they are often used for daily transport.
Class B vans and Truck Campers should not be considered in this definition, because they occupy as much space as conventional cars do and also provide the same routine transportation.
Class C Motorhomes are built upon truck/van chassis like an E350so are really just slightly longer than these vehicles. They fit fine on my driveway and don't take up too much space if on street.
Class c sprinter vans
Commercial
Commercial and utility trailers should not be included. They are storage related and is not fit for temporary accommodations.
Commercial equipment lien trailers
Commercial or utility trailer should not be included. These are storage related and serve a different purpose than than recreational trailers
Commercial style trucks can be parked in residential areas, they have same sight line issues as RV's





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Commercial trail or vehicle and Trailers longer than driveway.
commercial trailer and cargo/junk trailers should not be considered because they can be a huge nuisance with very frequent usage, traffic, and hazard.
Commercial Trailers
commercial trailers and flat decks used as material storage , extremely poor condition travel trailers
Commercial trailers must be excluded from the RV categories
Commercial trailers should be included. I see them parked everywhere with what appears to be no enforcement. And boat trailers.
Commercial trailers, boats, trailers used for hauling items.
Commercial trucks
commercial vehicals that are on front street all year long should be considered too.
Commercial vehicles ie, 5 or 3 ton moving trucks, cube vans etc.
Commercial vehicles should not be allowed in residential areas
Commercial vehicles shoud be prohibited from parking in front of residential o
Common sense. rv is rv
Company vans, cube vans
Consider adding Bus
Construction / commercial trailers. Temp storage cubes should also have a timeline
Construction equipment
Construction machinery should not be as they are not safe to be out in the open for people to climb on or play with.
Construction trailers. These get parked on the street for weeks at a time.
Contractor trailer and utility trailers.Width and lengths are the same thus space taken and visibility issues are the same.
Conversion camper units (ie. ambulances, school bus, cube vans)
Converted buses, converted vans should be included
Converted buses, trailer to transport OHV
converted school bus
Converted vans or buses
converted vans, schoolbuses or anything else used for camping or living purposes
Converted vans.
Converted vans/trucks
Converter rides
Covers it all
Cube vans, if you are talking about obstruction views, looks , they should not be allow to park there ether
Current classification is good in my opinion.
Current definition is fine.
Current definition is good.
Current definition is good.
Current definition is pretty comprehensive as is.
Current definition plus large camper vans
Current definition seems fine, but examples of other vehicles that you are talking about would be helpful.
Current definition seems fine.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Current definitions are legit and suitable.
Current list is fine
Current list seems reasonable
Currently in our neighborhood there are a few large construction trailers in driveways that are there year round. Why are they exempt from the 36 hour limit?
Currently the definition is still appropriate
define a boat. do canoes and kayaks qualify as boats? are small utility trailers allowed?
Definitely yes.
definition above seems fine
definition appears adequate
Definition is accurate
Definition is adequate; may be worth considering food trailers as well
Definition is appropriate
definition is broad enough already
Definition is correct
Definition is fairly accurate. I would not add or remove anything.
Definition is fine
Definition is fine
Definition is fine
Definition is fine
Definition is fine
Definition is fine as is
Definition is fine it's the time limits that aren't
Definition is good
Definition is good
Definition is good
Definition is good as is. It covers most of the types in the RV segment.
Definition is good.
Definition is ok
Definition is ok as is.
Definition is ok. Utility trailers could be added
Definition looks good
Definition looks OK
Definition makes sense
Definition ok
Definition seems fine.
Definition seems fine. Small vans are becoming quite popular, but they are technically Class B motorhomes.
Definition should be based on engineering body who calculate the safety standards of all structures, vehicles, etc.
Definition should include RV's that will "fit with a homes driveway".
Definition should probably explicitly include van conversion style smaller units, often known as Class B.
Definitions are fine
Definitions are great.
Definitions are sufficient.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Deinition is fine as it is, no change required
Depending on how they are used, Van chassis based RVs might arguably be considered just another family vehicle parked in the driveway. Granted, there are different classes of van based RVs, some being more "RV-like", while others look like a work van.
Derelict non functioning road vehicles that are allowed to sit for months on end
derelict RV's and vehicles should not be considered in the definition
Derelict trailers/ Rv's. If it is clear that the unit can't be driven or towed it shouldn't be in the front driveway
Derelict trailers/converted for storage
Derelict vehicles should not.
Derelict/unlicensed vehicle should also be not allowed to park in front yard or front drive.
Detached trailers should be included. It would be nice to unhook temporarily to allow the ability to run errands while packing up for camping. It is also less disruptive to neighbours as we use less street space when unhooked.
dilapidated non maintained RVs are an eyesore and should not sit vsible on a person's property
Dilapidated vehicles should not be permitted on front driveway
do not allow trailers for commercial purposes, bobcat, tractor, roofing or construction trailers. Closed trailers are ok.
Do to not having access to a back or side yard, I would like RV's, travel, tent, utility & boat to park in front driveway. A neighborhor has parked two old vechichles for 17 years not moved once. Yet I have 36 hours to pack or unpack - time Frame to shor
Don't change the definition
Driveway parking rules make no sense. Since its only purpose is aesthetics, not safety/parking, driveway parking limitations should be based on height of vehicle. Preferably, driveway parking should be permitted at all times.
Enclosed cargo trailers should be included in this definition, example bike trailers
Enclosed cargo trailers, flat deck trailers should also be included. What difference does it make if is a recreational vehicle or one used for other purposes such as hauling other vehicles or materials.
Enclosed cargo trailers. These often get used as toy hauler style campers and should be added to the list.
Enclosed or flat deck trailers used to transport atvs and snow mobiles should be included
Enclosed or open snowmobile trailer should be included also. .
Enclosed trailers - such as uhaul type for hauling good should be included. Convenience.
Enclosed trailers (i.e. for cars, snowmobiles, work) should be included in the definition to ensure anything that is not considered a 'vehicle' is covered by the bylaws that govern recreational vehicles
Enclosed transport trailer
Enclosed utility trailers
Even though we have 3 of the listed RV's I prefer the bylaw as it is. The roads and communities are crowded already with all of the basement units. I do not want to see RV's parked on streets and driveways.
Every vehicle that I own will be allowed on my property whether you like it or not. It is my property you are not allowed on it as a city employee
Everyone should be able to park there Recreational vehicle in their driveway



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Everyone should be able to use their property for what they want.
Everything is defined.
Everything is good.
Everything should be considered to be allowed
Everything should be included
excessive vehicles that don't drive and are stored on property, i have neighbours like this with more than 4 vehicles that have not moved in many a year. also creates a breeding area for stray cats
Exclude Class A RVs due to length and weight
Excluded - Camper attached to truck / boat-trailer less then 22' / height profile less then 5' / does not extend to city property / Time should extend to 48 hours from current 36 for all other RV type vehicles
Existing definition works well.
Extend hours on the front of the driveway.
Extra cars and trucks
Extra long ones should not
fifth wheel and boats
Fifth wheel and large motor homes based on length shouldn't be allowed. Smaller trailer should be allowed based on length of the drive way
Fifth wheel. Too tall and big. Can hold a lot of people.
Fine
Fine as is
Fine.
Flat bed trailers should be included
Flat deck / open surface trailers should be considered because if they are large enough they may overhang sidewalks or take up street parking and should be included.
Flat deck trailer for recreational vehicles/car trailers or covered toy haulers should be included. They are just as big as the rest.
Flat deck trailers, Cargo trailers, Ice fishing shacks/trailers
Flat deck Vehicle haulers. Ugliest trailers ever.
flatbed trailer covered cargo trailer does not make for attractive curb appeal and unsafe for safety reasons
Food trucks
Food trucks and commercial rental rv's
full size Class A - those that look like a coach bus
Good as is
Good as is.
have a size restriction
Height and length should be a factor. Some RVs are too tall/long for driveways. They cause visual challenges when entering roadways. The short/small units should have different regulations due to less challenges associated.
Home made or constructed tiny houses
Home owners should have a right to park whatever vehicle they choose on privately owned property, provided it does not create a safety hazard at intersections and such
Home owners who own RV should be able to park in their own yards. I think the city should also allow for RVs to be able to park in the back lane to their homes.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Homeowners should be able to park whatever they want on their property
Horse trailers and work trailers, do they fall under this bylaw
Horse trailers should be considered.
Horse trailers, work trailers, dump trailers, flat beds should be exempt as they are often moved daily for work.
House owners should be allowed to park their RV, trailer or pop-up trailer on the front driveway as long as it is not blocking the street or it is obstructing the driveway.
How about a bus??? There are bus drivers and limo drivers that park their vehicles too. How about fleet vehicles? Work truck vehicles? Work truck vans? Vans with Ladders and big equipment in them? Trucks with lift kits. Oil & Gas equipment....
How are utility trailers defined?
How come same rule is not applied to other large vehicles such as trailers/ trucks etc ?
I agree with all of the above vehicles.
I agree with the current definition
I agree with the current definition as it stands today.
I agree with the current definition of recreational vehicles.
I agree with the current definition.
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition as currently stated.
I agree with the definitions above
I agree with the vehicles in the definition.
I am comfortable with the current definition of RVs by the City.
I am happy with the current definition.
I am in support of some one having a Trailer, boat ,rv as long as it's not an eyesore to the community.
I am less concerned about rv's lower than say 1 meter like some tent trailers or boats. It is the big and tall units that block your view.
I am not aware of the difference between camper/travel trailer.
i am not sure what you mean
I am ok with definition
I believe 36 hours
I believe all rv vehicles should be included as long as they are not being occupied on the front property.
I believe all that is considered here is accurate
I believe all the above provide a good description of RV
I believe all trailers listed above should be considered in the definition
I believe all vehicles if you own them and you are the registered owner should be allowed to be parked on your driveway
I believe anything that is drivable should not be subject to these current rules.
I believe as long as the vehicles are properly parked/secured and fully on the owner's driveway, there should be no limit on type of vehicle is on the driveway.
I believe even flat bed trailers used for towing things should be considered as they are aesthetically unpleasing and our neighbourhood are not storage facilities. We want to keep our neighbourhood looking nice



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe if it fits it sits. If my trailer in my driveway does not impede the boundaries of my driveway then it is considered on my property. Anything over that boundary then would be considered encroaching on city property. Which would be illegal.
I believe if the vehicle is not impeding the sidewalk for people to walk on I don't see the problem...some people have tread that go along their driveway that also impede sight what is the difference.
I believe if your driveway is big enough to house the tv properly then you should be allowed to park it in your driveway or in the backyard.
I believe that any type of recreational camping vehicle should fall into this definition.
I believe that if there is capacity to accommodate large RV or boats on the drive way then that should be allowed.
I believe that motorized small camper vans that are registered and insured in the province of Alberta and are under certain length of 22 feet should be exempt. Considerations for daily drivers or handicap units could fall under a not full time rv clause.
I believe that small class b camper vans should not be included. They are often used as regular vehicles for general transportation purposes and are no bigger than many commercial or personal use vans or large SUVs.
I believe that smaller sized vehicles such as mini vans, and small sized Mercedes Sprinter, and Ford Transit (low roof short wheelbase) vehicles should be able to be parked in the front of houses since they are similar size to any other standard vehicle.
I believe that the existing definition of an RV is acceptable.
I believe that the list is comprehensive
I believe that trailers that less than 15 feet in length and less than 8 feet in height should be excluded. These would be small trailers and would not have the same impacts on obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics.
I believe that utility trailers including those for transporting construction equipment and materials as well as animals should be included as they present the same concerns as RV's.
I believe the above definitions are accurate
I believe the bylaw should remain the same, but it should be enforced.
I believe the current definition is suitable
I believe the definition is sufficient.
I believe the length of the RV should be considered when rules are made. A 13 foot travel trailer shouldn't be treated the same as 30 foot one. It doesn't have the same impact on its surroundings. It can also be shorter than many vehicles.
I believe there should be a length included/excluded. Example would be a smaller class C motorhome in good repair. Some vehicles protrude visibility more than a trailer, especially a flat deck. Tell me the difference between a Van vs a work trailer?
I believe travel trailers, RV's, motorhomes, fifth wheels should be allowed to be parked on an owner's driveway. Other jurisdictions allow it with no reason.
I believe we should be able to park our vehicles on our property for as long as we like with no time limit.
I believe you should be able to park a RV on your driveway if the entire length of the RV doesn't enter the street or side walk.
I can only speak for my tent trailer, but I don't think that it constitutes an obstruction to sightlines, safety or esthetics any more than another car/truck.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I could see that with housing shortages and issues, people would abuse this. Housing or renting out their camper that's sitting in their driveway. People do already push the rules far enough. But I could see people seeing this as additional living space
I do NOT have a back lane nor option for a side driveway due to house and lot physical location. I do have a long and double wide driveway that could park my trailer AND not block any visibility on the public roadway.
I do not know
I do not support extens
I do not think a boat is comparable to an RV and should not be included
I do not think boats and closed tent trailers should be in this definition. Or there should be a height and length rule more than a type of trailer/Rv. Many tent trailers and boats are smaller than vehicles that can park on driveways.
i do not think so
I do not think Sprinter sized camper vans should be included as these vehicles often serve multiple purposes
I do not think there should be any time restriction to front yard parking. It is my property!
I don't feel like a collapsed/folded up tent trailer should fall under the same class as a huge motor home that you could sleep in at will.
I don't think boats should be counted as RV's (unless they are house boats) - usually they are small, like a vehicle, with no risk of someone living in it
I don't think parking trailers on driveways all year like our nieghbours do should be allowed. We can't see anything out our window, and it's not safe. RV/trailers are ok to be there for 48 hours to load and unload and clean, that's fine!
I don't think people should be able to live out of the RV on the property.
I don't understand this question
I don't feel that the city should allow any trailer in the front driveway , no neighborhood should have to look at it. It should be placed in the owner backyard . Our neighbors have a triple garage and one car in driveway not beening used.
I don't want a change in the current bylaw
I don't believe any recreational vehicle that fits on your driveway or property should have any parking restrictions on one's tax paid property.
I don't believe so. All trailers, or boats, should be considered equal. Possibly limit the length of a trailer?
I don't care if parked on their property. We have ours at a campsite. Just don't want people living in it unless building got damaged and they need to use trailer until the home is fixed. Can have exception permit until fixed.
I don't of a boat as an RV
I don't think a boat or a transport trailer should be included in the definition
I don't think so.
I don't think that a trailer used to transport items should be included. It is very handy for someone to have a small utility trailer that doesn't block sightlines instead of having to buy a truck to haul things..
I don't understand the question.
I feel that if your RV or trailer should be allowed to park all year round on their own drives as long as the RV doesn't extend over the sidewalk. RV's should be allowed to park on the street for at least 48 to 72 hours to empty and clean each trip out.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I feel all recreational vehicle types should be allowed to be parked on your driveway or side of your house.
I feel all trailers should fall under this bylaw. Regardless of type.
I feel as long as an RV can be parked on a driveway and does not extend onto the sidewalk, parking should be allowed for an indefinite time. Ethically people who do not look after their yards are a bigger issue.
I feel if it fits on your driveway it should be allowed to be parked there for however long you would like. I understand if it has to be on the street then there should be time limits I would say a week.
I feel if it's parked in your own driveway there should be no restrictions as it's on your property and things like a tent trailer, small trailer are as big as many trucks.
I feel it covers all that it should.
I feel it's an eye sore to the neighbourhood
I feel like the trailers or RVs should be registered, insured and operational . Im all for the seasonal parking program on front driveway such as other cities. Its the fair compromise in this scenario.
I feel rvs should only be parked in driveways or in front of a home for 24 hours. That way they can bring it home and load it the night before leaving. They shouldn't be blocking views for other drivers in the community.
I feel small boats such as fishing boats or small sailboat where the trailer is below. A certain height should be excluded from this. There is a large aesthetic and visibility safety difference between a 10 foot tall camper and a low boat or tent trailer.
I feel tent trailers should not, as they are so small and do not obstruct views for safety
I feel that all RV types should be included.
I feel that as long as this equipment is on someones property (on a hard surface etc) that should suffice. Also...Not sure a boat fits this definition.
I feel that included with travel trailers, motorhomes, tent trailers, etc, this should also include boats, trailers that haul ATVs and snowmobiles etc. Vehicles that are intended for recreation and not daily use for employment or transportation.
I feel that the current definition of recreational vehicles is sufficient.
I feel that trailers that transport snowmobiles or quads should be included in this definition due to their size.
I feel that utility trailers need to be considered too as some are very large.
I feel the current definition is appropriate.
I feel the current definition is sufficient.
I feel we need to include the "camper van" / "van life" people/group.
I fully believe bylaw officers will apply any definition any way that will result in income for the city.
I got ticketed for having my trailer un-hooked from my truck when it was parked in front of my driveway/house and I was clearly in the back of my truck loading firewood. I should be allowed to un-hook in front of my own house.
I have a slide in box camper that stays in my truck. Does this mean I can't keep items in the back of my truck for over 36 hours? Also, why are transit van conversions not covered in this? Or a pickup truck with a cap?
I have been a contractor in YYC for 20 years and it has become increasing more difficult and expensive to do my job. Constantly harassed by bylaw on job sites and storing my trailer at home vs some offsite storage 30 min out of my way. Fix this.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I have no issues with the RV definitions. I have an issue with the parking restrictions. I have no side or rear access. As a result I have to pay to park my RV in a storage yard. There should be an easement on the bylaws for those that are in my situation
I have no opinion on this matter
I have no opinion on this.
I have owned and parked in my 35' driveway - 1984 VW westfalia 15 feet (') long, 1987 VW westfalia 15', 1999 VW Eurovan 19' and 2013 MB Sprinter Van 24'. These could not be parked in a front driveway as I read your RV definition.
I have to pay an extra 75\$ per month to an RV spot, even though I have space in my yard and drive pad
I think all should be allowed
I like the current definition
I noted motor home but we own a truck camper that is the equivalent of a small motor home. Should list truck/truck camper option also as I'm seeing more of them in our neighbourhood.
I own a 21 foot motorhome. I have a private back alley driveway that I am able to park two vehicles there full-time one of them is not much smaller than my motorhome. Why can I not park my motorhome there? It makes no sense. It is a dead end alley!
I own a converted camper van, which doesn't seem to be reflected in the choices above. I think that for some, a camper van may also be their daily driver, and may be adversely affected by the bylaw.
I Own the property so it should be my decision
I paid for a bigger yard and an alley so I could park my trailer.
I see many trailers in my community leaving their trailers on their DRIVEWAY for more than 36 hours. If it fits the driveway, let them keep it there. Why pay money to park it elsewhere. You own the property and the driveway, you should be entitled to do s
I see no reason for any of the above vehicles to be not considered, this is a great idea and would make camping much easier in the summer
I see no reason people can't park rvs on their own property
I see nothing a boat and trailer on the driveway
I should be able to park my registered vehicles on my own property.
I should be allowed to park my boat on my drive, it is no longer than my truck, is lower than my truck and is a clean with a tarp.
I should be aloud to put what I want in my driveway as long as it's not run down or an eye soar
I strongly believe you should be able to park any and all of these vehicles on your own property
I support as defined
I think a boat should be excluded from the list. Boats are similar sized to most trucks and do not obstruct site lines. I would prefer to keep it in my driveway where I can monitor and ensure it is safe and secure.
I think a camper van should be included.
I think a vehicle under a certain size i.e. length should be excluded. Most people around here ignore the bylaw anyway.
I think all descriptions are reasonable
I think all of the above should be allowed on the street in front of your home or in the back alley (adjacent to your fence) to allow you to prep it before you go off for a weekend or vacation, and the same should apply when you return.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think all recreational vehicles and trailers should be considered, including: dump trailers, flat bed trailers, boats, etc. They are equally capable of obstructing views and sidewalks.
I think all rvs should be allowed to park on residential property if there is adequate space residential property if there is adequate space year round.
I think all the vehicles listed should be considered in the definition
I think all trailers whether it's a utility trailer or rv trailer should not be allowed to be parked on driveways
I think all vehicles should be considered as long as they fit on your driveway
I think anything too large that impedes the neighbor being able to get out of their driveway safely should not be allowed. shouldnt
I think any RV should be allowed for as long as it doesn't interfere with the sidewalk or pedestrian.
I think any towable vehicle should be included.
I think any vehicle should be allowed on your own driveway so all RV's should be included
I think anything parked near a private residence should be included in this bylaw.
I think as long as it fits on a front driveway and doesn't encroach on the sidewalk then I have no problem with it. If it's taking up extra street parking or impeding sidewalk space then that's an issue but I don't care if people park on their driveway
I think as long as the rv/vehicle/trailer is in proper functional shape... it's good to park. If it's rotting junk then there's a problem.
I think as long as the tv fits in the individuals driveway and is not impeaching in seeing around a corner it should be fine
I think as long as they are on a driveway and fit without obstruction to a road then they should be allowed
I think as long as they don't extend past the driveway, seasonal parking should be allowed. This is when they are most often used & it's inefficient to bring it back and forth to storage if you have trips close together.
I think as long as they don't overhang into the street, they should be allowed. My prior tent trailer was smaller than my truck or the neighbours van yet not allowed on my driveway.
I think boats don't fit this category and I don't see the problem with someone having a boat on their driveway. problem
I think camperized vans should be allowed to park on street and in front of houses. They have a smaller footprint than trailers and large truck campers.
I think converted vans should be ok to park anywhere at any time as they are also used for regular driving..
I think definition is ok.
I think every vehicle option should be included
I think height is more important than class. For example some tent trailers, camper vans, boats, utility trailers are smaller and shorter than some car vans or SUV's. As such they should be exempt from the definition of RV's.
I think I should be able to park my trailer in my driverway
I think I should be able to park whatever I want on my own property
I think if its on the street 36hours should be 48hrs ,but there should be no bylaw on parking on your own driveway we pay property tax for our land use and should be able to use are land/driveway for parking boat,rv,camper etc



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think if you are including trailers utility trailers should be included....people store all kinds of stuff in them. Also maybe the bins that are placed for repairs and Reno's...those things sometimes stay for months on a driveway or back lane
I think it depends on the size on the units
I think it is covered
I think it is fairly defined.
I think it is unclear if Camper Vans (or which types of camper vans) are considered Motorhomes. They can range from fairly normal looking vans to very obvious camping rigs. Also Truck Campers - are they just considered Campers?
I think it should be any trailers. I see guys who have bobcats and other landscaping equipment on flat deck trailers that should be included in your list.
I think it should be extended to 72 hours, so there is adequate time to prepare and clean up after a trip.
I think it would be good to have different rules for say a 15 foot trailer versus a 30 foot trailer. Smaller units are less obstructive.
I think large (tall) commercial vans used by plumbers, electricians should be included in this list.
I think length of unit should factor in. There is a big difference between a camper van and a 40 ft motorhome.
I think list is adequate
I think low level vehicles like tent trailers have a less significant impact on blocking sight lines such as for drivers.
I think most vehicles shouldn't be allowed to be parked in one area for more then 72 hours to keep unused,not registered or broken down vehicles
I think my tent trailer is not that large and is not causing any harm. It would be better for the environment if I can leave it on my driveway in summer rather than driving back and forth to where I keep it!
I think people should be able to use their driveway any way they wish
I think size limits could be a thing. a boar or tent trailer does not impede vision and safety as much as a larger RV or fifth wheel
I think small boats and tent trailers should have different rules than the other larger RV's in this by-law. They do not affect visibility in the same way larger units do. They are also much less imposing esthetically.
I think smaller units that fit on in driveways or in Parking stalls as long as they don't interfere with another's property or sidewalk, or being left to dete. I support the extended stay period from Spring to Fall!
i think that a certain size of trailer (tent trailers, utility trailers) should be allowed.
I think that anything smaller than a large vehicle should not be considered an RV. For example, a boat, tent trailer or utility trailer are all smaller than large trucks or vans, and may even be less obstructive to visibility.
I think that as long as the RV in question isn't blocking city sidewalks or paths, what is the harm?
I think that boats should be removed from this category and placed in the same category as quads and flat bed trailers. The motor home/ travel trailers should have a max limit of hours when parked in a spot that does not allow for garbage/snow plowing.
I think that covered recreations vehicles

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think that tent trailers should be allowed on a driveway, they are compact, they do not restrict views from others in the community. Tent trailers are clean as most people tidy it out and set it to a lowered position.
I think that the size of the RV/trailer should be taken into consideration. Certainly if the RV/trailer is an obstruction to the visibility and safety of the street.
I think that there should be no recreational vehicle restrictions
I think the current definition covers it all
I think the current definition is great
I think the current definitions are fine
I think the current definitions are sufficient.
I think the definitely adequately covers vehicles which should be in scope.
I think the definition as is. Works.
I think the definition covers it
I think the definition is appropriate
I think the definition is clear and inclusive as is
I think the definition is clearly defined.
I think the definition is fair and reasonable.
I think the definition is fine.
I think the definition is good. Given the range of lengths of all these things it might be worth considering length with your plan.
I think the definition is reasonable.
I think the definition is straight forward and simple. Not worth complicating.
I think the definition of RV is fine, it covers all types of recreational vehicles! It would be very nice if an Rv could sit in front of one's house for more than 36 hours, not all have a driveway to put them in!
I think the definition still stands today
I think the definition that has been provided is sufficient.
I think the definition(s) are fine and the 36hrs time frame is adequate.
I think the definitions are accurate and don't need to be changed.
I think the definitions are accurate.
I think the definitions capture the RV categories properly. I had not considered a boat before but agree it should be a part of this list.
I think the definitions in 2b are sufficient.
I think the length and height of the RV is the consideration for driveway parking without a time limit. For street parking I agree with a time limit during the May-September period of up to 48 hours. I do not support parking on the street and plugging in
I think the list covers them all.
I think the list is adequate.
I think the list is inclusive of all types.
I think the list is well defined, but trailers used for quads, snowmobiles, and other offroad vehicles should be included. Some of these trailers can be as large as a boat or travel trailer.
I think the list you have makes sense.
I think the RV needs to be parked in a back yard. Some streets are crowded enough with vehicles. There are already residents that park an unhitched trailer on the road and the back alleys are just dumping grounds.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think the time for the trailers should extend to 7 days. Sometimes it's rushed with the current time.
I think the vehicles listed are fine.
I think there is a big difference between a tent trailer or boat vs. a 45 foot RV.
I think there is a big difference between parking a tent trailer or utility trailer on your driveway and parking a motorhome or 5th wheel or travel trailer. It's the height! A tent trailer or utility trailer does not block your view of the street.
I think there needs to be clear guidelines and rules between a unit being used as a residence vs being stored for a short period of time.
I think there should be a difference between small campers and large unit. Smaller units do not block views and do not affect the safety of community members whereas larger units definitively do.
I think they all should be considered, as long as they are on your property.
I think they should all be considered
I think this bylaw should be removed if on your property
I think this definition is appropriate
I think this list is exhaustive
I think those camper vans that look like a regular van, and tent trailers, should be exempt. They are small.
I think trailers should fall into two categories, 17ft and less and 18ft and more. As those sizes affect how much space the trailer takes up when parked.
I think trailers under a certain length and possible height, say 21 feet long and 6 feet in height should be excluded from this list. A small fishing boat or folded tent trailer should be allowed. It's no bigger than a vehicle.
I think trucks with campers attached to the truck bed should be included in this bylaw.
I think utility trailers should be included in this definition, not just RV/Campers
I think vehicles should fall into this also .... if they are not being used also do they even have insurance.
I think you have a complete list
I think you have it covered for this question
I think your current definition is reasonable.
I think your definition is fine.
I think your list above covers it. I can't think of another type of vehicle to include.
I think your list is good.
I think you've got them covered off already above.
I understand this is a slippery slope. But as long as current guidelines are maintained reasonably (obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics), I do not see a problem with this extension.
I want to park my rv in my driveway.
I would add pretty much any large commercial vehicle such as a full size pickup truck with equipment clearly visible.
I would like to park my travel trailer in my driveway during camping season.
I would not consider a boat an RV
I would not include a boat in a definition of "RV," as the common definition of RV is a mobile vehicle for accommodations.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would place large work trucks that people park at home in the same category. Some are larger than even those listed above and are also safety concerns.
I would support 2 definitions, small to midsize RVs and another large /oversize category. Blocking sightlines with a tent trailer is a different conversation than a huge 5th wheel camper or motorhome.
I would like to see old buses that are made over into an RV. Or any other truck that is not an original RV.
I'm good with the list above.
I'm good with the listed units
I'm not particular. It should be the owners decision.
I'm not sure if boats should be included in the group of RV's. Boats and jet skis are water craft. What about snowmobiles, where do they fit in?
I'm ok with the definition
I'm sure that there is items not covered such as auxiliary vehicles with non permanent rooftop tents etc
I'd say that work vans/trucks, camper van style vehicles and many other thingsa would fall into the same area yet they can all park freely so these types of trailers should be no different
I'd say to simplify it to trailers etc in general. Why specifically RVs? Utility trailers for the purposes of hauling stuff might as well follow the same rules and limits (but longer). If you need it for home renos then it'll be longer than 36hrs.
If a boat is considered, so too should any OHV such as quads, skidoos, etc.
If a Boat is there why not ATV?
If a homeowners RV fits onto a driveway without impeding the City public sidewalk, it should be allowed, regardless of what type of RV it is.
If a job trailer can be parked in a driveway, why can't a travel trailer?
If a Person has only a front Driveway, why should they not be able to park what they want. Its their driveway. people need to take a step back and take control of their own lives and stay out of others.
If a rv is on your property, it should be allowed year round. RV parking is very expensive in private lots. Families enjoy camping and the City support family activities especially during the recent increase in camping fees and interest.
If a rv or any vehicle is neglected due to maintenance or damage, it should not be allowed to park on driveway long term unless repairs can be done immediately.
If a vehicle hasn't been moved in a very long time and is in a condition that is evident it wont be moved any time soon, shouldn't be allowed. Must be kept in a condition that doesn't prevent it from being moved at moments notice.
If a vehicle or RV etc is parked on a landowners property as long as it meets current standards, should be able to park year round.
If an owner of the home has an RV and ability to park in specific driveway with no impact to sidewalk etc, owner owns the property and should be permitted. As for street parking current is good. RV must be in good condition and moveable.
if an rv of any description is fully parked inside the ppty lines (front, side or rear), people including the CofC should mind their own business. What happens on my ppty is my business and no-one else's.
If any of these vehicles can safely fit in your driveway pad they should not be considered at all. Many larger trucks etc are the same size and are parked. Just let people save the storage fees and live life. Quit micro managing our lives





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If it fits in someone's driveway, let them park it there!
If it fits in your driveway it should be allowed all summer.
If it fits in the driveway without blocking sight lines for traffic or pedestrians, it should be allowed.
If it fits on the driveway. And doesn't block the sidewalk. I should be able to park it
If it fits on your drive way and does not go onto the road it should not be included on this list
If it fits on your driveway you should be able to park it there. My RV is smaller than my car.
If it fits on your driveway, doesn't encroach in the sidewalk or the road, you should be able to park it there 24x7x365.
If it is parked your yard (driveway) there should not be any restrictions. NONE. It would not be interfering with anyone else and it should be your decision, not the city,
If it is a seasonal unit. It should be allowed on the front driveway.
If it's a big Rv then it should not be considered but if it's small enough to fit on your driveway then I think it is ok to do that. At the beginning of the Rv season I would like a 3 day window to setup my trailer and test everything out before I go camp
If it's an RV in good condition and is licensed and insured, it absolutely should be allowed on a taxpayer's driveway.
If it's in your property why not, we all pay taxes
If it's not blocking the sidewalk you should be able to park your rv in your own driveway ! Get government out of my private property
If it's parked in my driveway it's nobody's business. If you don't want RVs in the front then don't allow driveways there. There should be no limit to how long you park. Campers are just cargo in a pickup so nobody's business. Vanity police be gone.
If it's tucked away and not an eye sore it's fine. Any dilapidated rvs should be put in storage. If it's functional and gets used it's fine.
If it's about views and safety they should not as they are smaller than a standard pickup truck
If it's parked on your property it shouldn't matter what vehicle it is.
If parked between my house and garage it's not affecting line of sight. So the law needs to be very clear.
If parked nicely on driveway or back yard in good condition go for it ground
If rvs are a problem why not "project cars" under tarps or obviously disabled a consideration in front driveways?
If they can properly fit on their property, then it's fine.
If the concern is making sure that RVs are not used as temporary residences, boats and stowed tent trailers should not be considered. If the concern is aesthetic, perhaps size should be the determining factor.
If the RV fits in the driveway on your own property it should be allowed to be stored there.
If the RV is not obstructing the sidewalk or roadway, owners should be allowed to park in their driveway. We pay property taxes and are not able to fully utilize our properties
If the RV is short enough to stay on a driveway I don't think it should be a problem to park it for longer periods. And for longer ones in front of the house if they don't block anyone's access to their property.
If the RV, under your description and definition, can be safely parked on a driveway there should be no limit to how long it is parked there. The driveway parking provides the least obstruction to other road/ community users. This situation would help
If the vehicle is smaller than a SUV or truck such as a teardrop or tent trailer there is no real reason it should not be allowed to park on your driveway as you own the spot.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the vehicle or trailer fits in the driveway, or onto a gravel pad prepared for it on the side or rear of the house, then it's the homeowners business.
if the vehicle would not pass inspection to be legally allowable for travel it should not be not be allowed in front or drivewa
If there is room in the driveway, they shouldn't be included.
If there meaning of the bylaw is for safety, pop up trailers and tent trailers do no prevent she more obstruction to the road than any be other vehicle as they are low and collapsible..t
If they are in disrepair
If they are in good working condition and are NOT falling apart I don't see why I can't. Because I do r have a back alley I can't park my rv in my front driveway. Yet the neighbour across the street can because they have an alley way and side parking.
If they comfortably fit, all's good.
If they don't fit on the parking pad or driveway
If vehicles are unsightly , derelicts they should not be seen-must be covered.
If you allow this ppl will never move their RV. Give an inch take a foot
If you allow trucks and vans on driveways then RVs parked completely on private property should be treated in the same manner. As long as the RV is capable of being moved (I.e, it's not derelict)
If you are including boats, then why not trailer with ATVs or Snowmobiles. And, if you do that, why not construction trailers?
If you have a driveway on the side of your house, you should be able to park your RV as long as it's not on city streets. I think it's ridiculous that I can't park in my own driveway because it's in the front
If you have the room and keep everything neat and tidy you should be allowed RV park ,especially for all the property taxes we pay
If you have the space for it, you should be able to park it at your own.
If you own the property you should be able to use it as long as you want.
If you want to include all RV's it would be buses and Van conversions.
If you're ever allowing street parking of RV's there should be a limit on length of unit so it doesn't impinge upon the ability of neighbours to park and shouldn't be allowed anywhere near high rise apartments where parking is at a premium.
If your motor vehicle or RV is entirely on your own property then why is it anyone else's concern.
If your RV fits on your driveway it should be allowed to be parked.
In addition to the current definition of RVs, some kind of limitation (not 36 hours obviously but in the spirit of not long-term) should apply and be enforced for storage containers and "temporary" garage-like structures in driveways.
In LONG DRIVEWAY circumstances where the vehicle is NO WHERE NEAR THE STREET (e.g. at least 15 feet away) there should be no prohibition on parking ANY vehicle (whether RV or utility).
In my opinion all listed above rvs are fine.
In my opinion the current definition is complete as is, I have nothing to add or take away.
in my opinion, city of Calgary must change rules, if any home owner has enough space for RV/Camper, and parking of RV is not bother to pedestrian or not blocking the walkway or roads then they can park on their driveway.
In my opinion, I think the size of the unit needs to be considered. By size I mean, length width, and height. I think smaller units that are not unsightly should be allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

In my opinion, the vehicles included in this definition should remain the same.
In this case - any type of trailer or vehicles much larger then a pickup truck
Include all above (2b)
include atv and snowmobiles , because they are often in disrepair and are an eyesore, leaking and obscuring sight lines to road ways.
Include big rigs, work vans, work truck and trailers, school buses, atv, skidoo, seadoo, quad trailers, horse trailers, trucks with work racks in box, vac trucks, any commercial vehicle larger than normal truck.
Include them ALL
It applys to all
It is covered
It is ok for the definition.
It is your property and your driveway that you pay obscene amounts of insurance and property taxes you should be able to use your driveway space for what you want to park
it makes more sense to me to have a size definition then a description. rather than having some bylaw officer cracking down on someone's little aluminum fishing boat or tent trailer.
It seems fine
it should be based on length of trailer.
It should be based on size.
It should be clarified if all boats are RVs or only those that provide "accommodation". For example, is a drift boat an RV?
It should be seasonal i.e. temporary storage in front driveway if no rear or sideyard is available for one week durations during the months of May -September
It should not, as it's a safety threat
It should specify that Semi-cabs (without trailer) are not included as RVs. Some do have a sleep space, but are used in work, not recreation. Home-built RVs should be addressed, and not listed as RVs. They can often be unsightly/subject to one's opinion.
It would be nice to be able to park our trailer in our driveway or on our street for a couple of days to do some work on it and fit a few things.
It's a good definition. But tent trailers and trailers to transport provide very little obstruction and could be removed from the list.
It's my property I should be able to park what I want.
It's ok as is.
Junk trailers, trailers or vehicles that are not registered or being used
Just immobile or "project" campers, or unsightly work cargo trailers.
Just need to update the definition to include converted vehicles that seems to be popular these days
Keep definition the same
Keep the same RV parking bylaw
Landscapers and tradesmen trailers are also comparable in size to some travel trailers and they have no restrictions. Guess this is because the city is supporting local independent businness owners
Large cargo trailers or open trailers. They are often left unattached on the curb.
Large class A motor homes should not be allowed. Smaller trailers are fine.
Large commercial unsightly looking trailers. Preserve the esthetics of the neighbor hood.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Large commercial/company trucks and trailers. They occupy a similar amount of space, are visually as obstructive/ugly, and the rules should apply to both RVs and such vehicles.
Large motor homes and trailer/fifth wheel trailers might be excluded, if they are unsafe, ie block driving sight lines.
Large motor homes, fifth wheels, large trailers should not be allowed. They are blocking driveways and it is dangerous for children/bikes.
large mower homes and 5 wheels
Large RVs that consume all the driveway, that are tall, long, and sore to the eye. Consuming all the driveway with an RV means more cars parked on the street.
Large RV's that currently are already covered under the bylaw for safety reasons and/or obstruction of city sidewalk
Large utility trailers should be included because they can be equally as obstructive
Large vans- eg. Amazon vans. They are the same size as a small motor home, or trailer
Larger commercial vehicles/trailers should be included the same as RVs/boats.
Larger rv's
Larger trailers used to haul construction materials/debris should not be allowed in driveways or on the street for longer than the current time limit. Unsightly & contents can litter the neighbourhood.
Larger trailers used to haul work or construction equipment
Larger work vehicles as they are the same size as a travel trailer
Leave bylaw as is. Owning a RV is a privilege not a right. If your trailer can't fit in your yard then you have to pay for storage. Rd
leave our owned properties alone with your useless bylaws,when is the election so i can vote others inn
Length of RV may need to be taken into consideration depending on location/parking/safety
Length of vehicle should be considered as it must fit on the front driveway without any part on a sidewalk.
Let me park it on my driveway
Let people have anything on their property or street. As long as it parjed legal.
Licensed and Insured pickup trucks with compact campers, whose camper does not extend beyond the rear bumper or mirror width of vehicle should not be included, especially if used as a primary or secondary family vehicle for the homeowner.
Like the definition
list is complete
List is fine as is
List is good as noted.
List looks reasonable
List of fine.
List seems accurate
Living Quarters horse trailer should be added to the definition because it can be used as temporary Living accommodation. Boat should be removed as it's not a Living accommodation.
Looks Fine
Looks good for definition
Looks like it's covered.
Looks like this question is missing the word "other"



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Low height with minimum setback from sidewalk should be OK
Low or small trailers should be excluded.
Low-profile trailers used for transportation of RV's. Their profile results in minimal visibility impairment. I don't consider them out-of-character. Esthetics is not a high priority for me.
Many construction, yard maintenance and other maintenance trailers are as big or bigger then some family pull trailers should be considered. With family suites there is little parking space left even in front of your own house
Many different types of vehicle (e.g., vans, large pickups, commercial trucks) can cause the same impacts as RVs (e.g., sightlines, safety, aesthetics) and are in some cases parked for entire seasons on driveways or streets.
Massive trailers with ATVS on them
maybe a boat or a camper - however it is on private property and a person should be able to use that property as they see fit provided it does not infringe upon other people's property. Seasonal parking should be okay but not all year parking.
Maybe restrictions on length so not to block neighbouring property
Maybe RV should be under 30-35 feet to fit in the driveway
Maybe the condition of RV should be a consideration. I've seen some really torn up RV in front from months and looks bad in the community.
Minivans or utility vans that have been converted to camper vans should not be included. They are the same size as standard passenger vehicles. If you want to limit on vehicle length, do that instead but keep rules consistent.
Modified buses should.
Modified vans and pick-ups with mounted campers should be exempted. These are often used as second vehicles for a family and needed for every day transportation use. On street parking of any RV should be allowed for at least 48 hrs for loading unloading.
Modified vans that serve as RVs but are just the body of a van should be exempt.
More detail is better for clarification
More do it yourselves or youtube mechanics are converting vans, school busses etc into Rvs. The definition should be broader
Motor home and fifth wheels. They're generally much larger than the other options. Motor home are often parked on city streets without recourse.
Motor home Travel trailer Fifth-wheel travel trailer Camper Tent Trailer Boat Trailer used to transport any of the above should be considered by this definition and ALLOWED to be parked on driveways.
Motor home...its equivalent to a bus or large truck in terms of visibility issues. Also not all motor homes are equal. Smaller motor homes or even converted vans may not pose actual safety concerns.
motor home/camper as it can be used as daily transportation
Motor homes & cargo trailers. Motor home is self propelled & cargo trailers are multifunction devices.
Motor homes and 5th wheels and trailers longer than 26 feet should not be allowed seasonal parking anywhere, other than backyard or side yard pads,pads.
Motor homes as they are required to e insured like any other vehicle yet I cannot park on my own driveway to use on short notice if required which makes no sense I could park a beat up old a panel van for months and do not agree that a MH is not allowed
Motor homes over a certain length and fifth wheel trailers are all that should be included

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Motor homes should be treaded as vehicles since they are self propelled vehicles and can easily be moved after 36 hours..
Motor homes should clarify that it does not include smaller van type rvs. Truck campers should not be included from this standpoint, they are no different from a pickup with a service body.
Motor homes should not be included in this class as they are a registered, licensed and insured "motor" vehicle not a towable trailer.
Motor homes that are not trailers, but can be driven ( ie those that do not need to be towed) as they are registered and treated like vehicles in regards to registration and insurance needs. The others are considered trailers and are registered as such
Motor homes- they are a vehicle on their own. No tow vehicle required.
Motor homes, they are a vehicle.
Motor homes. Just due to the size and required space but if the city agrees to seasonal parking I think exceptions can be made to accommodate as many as possible.
Motorcycle/quad four wheeler trailer
Motorhome is different in my opinion as it is also an actual vehicle.
Motorhome on a van chassis - under 26 feet in length. They can also be used as a daily driver.
Motorhome should not be included because they are a motor vehicle. I don't think it's any different than something like a cube van/work vehicle.
Motorhome, fifthwheel
Motorhome, it's a vehicle
Motorhomes
Motorhomes and campers (fully attached to trucks) are self-propelled vehicles and should not be included (except perhaps "bus" motorhomes).
Motorhomes are a vehicle that can be driven and not towed. This should only apply to towed rvs
Motorhomes or non-functional projects.
Motorhomes, boats, transport trailers, etc. are all very different. Each should be treated uniquely.
Multiple categories should be used to represent the various sizes of trailers. Those similar in size to road vehicles should have greater freedom than very large units.
Must be road worthy
My "RV-motorhome" (Winnebago ERA 170x) is a van that has the same bodywidth & frame with an AC box on roof like any Save-on-Food or UPS vans. This called Class B by RV industry should be treated like regular vans and excluded from RV parking restriction.
My camper on a dually truck is not on the driveway anymore than your garbage bins bins,or illegal basement dwellers , leaving more vehicles on your roads . It is part of the truck. Ya got nothing better to do. Stop the harassment go catch criminals.
My camper slides into my truck bed. As it's stored on my truck as cargo, I don't think it should be classified the same as motorhomes, bumper pulled trailers, etc. it doesn't cause issues with safety, doesn't impact neighbours, and isn't unaesthetic.
my camper trailor is 15 feet long and fits on my front cement pad . it is shorter the a pickup truck . it is not on the road or sidewalk .
My concern is the safety of my property fenced, i can keep an eye on it. Motor homes with Catalytic converter thefts. is a very big concern. parking them off site would be a concern.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

My motor home is a VW Westfalia. It is the same size as a normal VW Vanagon and is only slightly larger than a minivan. It fits in my garage but from time to time it spends a week or more on the driveway. The neighbours are ok with it.
My motorhome is same size as any fullsize passenger van so it should be allowed to park on driveway or city street without restrictions.
My neighbor's truck and camper, snowmobile hauler. We are on a cul de sac and our driveways embut. When he parks these long overheight units block our sight lines when backing out of our driveway and he leaves them there all season.
My personal opinion, if you own the camper/RV and you own the property it is on, it is nobody business where it is Parked on the property any more than if it were a car , truck, or any other highway vehicle. If it's on the public street i understand limit
My property, I pay the taxes on it. Don't like my neighbors shed but that's all fine to have in his yard.
My small trailer (under 20 ft) takes up less driveway space than most puck up trucks yet we have to unfairly incur \$1500 a year to store it elsewhere, discriminated against compared to other Calgarians because we have no side yard or back access.
My trailer is a Boler, and smaller than some trucks that currently park on street and in driveways. It should not be considered, yet we were forced to move it two years ago due to a complaint.
My Van is 19 ft long and should not be considered as a typical RV as it fits in a parking stall.
N
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
n/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/a
N/A
N/a
N/a
Na
Na
na
NA











# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
no
No
No
no
No
No
no
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
no
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
no
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
no
No
No
No



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no
no
no
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no













March 15, 2023

[illegible]





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
NO
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
no
no
No
No
No
No
No
No







# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No - but there should be a length requirement
No - can't think of any to add or omit.
No - definition works for us
No - good as-is
No - I think that the definition encompasses all vehicles because its based on purpose and not based on the actual vehicle
No - I think that you covered them all.
No - if someone owns it, should be fine.
No - not unless the RV in question is in a seriously dilapidated state.
No - streets aren't wide enough and I don't want to stare out my front window all summer at RV's
No - the definition is fine





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No - the existing vehicles are appropriate.
No - The list is very comprehensive and self explanatory - perfect as is.
No , these are good with these RV .
No additional
No additional vehicles outside this group should be considered recreational vehicles.
No- all are important to homeowners and seasonal in use
No all rvs
No all rvs during peak travel months should be aloud to be stored on paved driveways
No as long as the vehicles fit in the yard.
No as long as they are in good working condition.
No as long as they do not encroach on neighbors or city property, I would be okay with RVs as defined in this questionnaire.
No because they should all be allowed if the person owns them.
No bylaw is fine right now
No Change
No change
No change
no change needed
No changes
No changes to definition
No changes!
No Comment
No comment
no comment
No commercial rvs. Rvs that are used every day for work
No I am of belief that we should be aloud to park our own property on our property
No I believe this accurately defines them.
No I believe those listed in the definition are correct.
No I don't believe their are vehicles that should not be considered
No I don't believe they should. I believe if a person chooses to own an RV, boat, fifth wheel.. etc should be stored away off of city streets to avoid cutter and keep streets free as possible
No I think it is your property you should be allowed to park on it
No I think the definition is fine.
No I think what you have stated as Rv is good
No if it fits on your driveway what is the problem
No if you allow people to park there RV on the street watch how quickly the same communities will fill up with trailers on the street terrible idea
No- in my experience with my previous neighbours, if they park a boat or Rv on their driveway then th parking for their vehicles takes up all of the street parking and you can barely drive down the road.
No issues with the way it is currently defined and has worked well in our neighborhood. With the slides and narrow streets it makes it difficult to see oncoming vehicles and pass by. We also have a park across the street and numerous small children play
no it looks comprehensive
No it should be based on bylaws ... ie not on city property not creating safety concerns
No- it's ok



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no its all covered
No it's fine
No junkers.....have to be running and used
No leave as is!!
No looks good
No my property, I should park on it if it's registered in Alberta . As long it's a working unit
No opinion
No opinion
no opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion either way.
No opinion on the definition.
No opinion on this
No opinion on this.
No opinion.
No opinion.
No other vehicles at this time.
No other vehicles come to mind.
No parking on personal property, street... it is an eyesore. Look at Fort McMurray... beautiful homes surrounded by quads, RVs and trucks.
No people should be able to park whatever they want on their property
No real difference because they are all generally large in size.
No restrictions.
No restrictions. It's my property I should be able park where I want.
No RV definition is clear
No rv or any kind of trailers should not be allowed. It's unsafe for pedestrians as drivers can not see past them. I have almost been hit 4 times walking my dog because a driver couldn't see me coming past an rv parked on a driveway
No RV parking should be allowed to park in the front of any residential home or condo as they will become an eye sore and devalue the property's .
No RVS of ANY kind should be parked in residential neighborhoods!
no seems complete
No semi trucks or tractor trailers of any kind
No should be allowed to park on our own property [removed] liberal government
No suggestions for adding or removing from definition.
No that covers it
no the current definition is sufficient
No the definition is good as is
No the definition is sufficient.
No the list is very complete.
No the vehicles listed should be included
No there are not.
No there's already enough vehicles per household



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No they all meet the definition
No they are an eye sore and ppl's lots would become a dump, shouldn't even be considering allowing them on driveways
No they should all be considered
No they should be allowed to park on the street because they take up too much room for cars and bikes. Also it creates a issue when you cannot see past them for safety reasons.
No this bylaw is very well written and helps to keep Calgary looking orderly and clean.
No this is good
No this list is comprehensive
No those look accurate
No tiny homes Include camperized vans
No trailer that is used for commercial use in front yards.
No what's there now seems to be ok
No, I think that is a good assortment of vehicles.
No, a licensed vehicle on a personal driveway shouldn't be restricted
No, a trailer is a trailer, for recreation or for work.
No, a travel trailer is a travel trailer, regardless of the size
No, all of the above types should be allowed to be parked on a persons driveway or property without permits or ANY time restrictions. CHANGE THE BY-LAW!!
No, all of these unit types should be included.
No, all or none to me. A small tent trailer should not be given a pass, but because of the size of my RV, I'm designated as evil. designated as evil.
No, all recreational vehicles should be allowed from May to the end of October
No, all recreational vehicles should be included
No, all rv's should be allowes
No, all the vehicles in this list are RVs in my opinion
No, all trailers / motorhomes should off street parking
No, any large vehicle should not be permitted on the road or obstruct the view of neighbors or front driveways..
No, any recreational vehicle should be allowed to be parked on an individuals property.
No, as long as it fits on your driveway and doesn't impede sidewalk use
No, as long as it is not impedeing the right of way for others such as road or sidewalk or at major intersections where sightline is reduced.
No, as parking is tough enough for many on a normal day then if you add longer rv parking others will be unable to find a spot. As well as the streets are so narrow with the increase of rvs parking emergency vehicles may be unable to make it through
No, but size and visibility should be considered
No, bylaw that is currently in place should stay as is
No, can't think of any.
No, consider all rvs mentioned to be acceptable.
No, creates a hazard and reduces property value
No, current definitions are fine.
No, current definitions are inclusive enough.
No, definition is good as is
No, definition is ok
No, definition seems comprehensive



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, definitions are good
NO, everyone should be able to park their belongings on their property
No, I am satisfied with the current definition.
No, I believe a boat can be blocking a view just like a boat. If you have a front driveway you should be allowed to park it
No, I believe that motorhomes travel trailers, fifth wheel, travel trailers, campers, tent, trailers, boats and trailers used to transport any of the above match My definition of a recreational vehicle
No, I believe the definition is sound.
No, I don't like when they are stipulations based on year of item, not everyone has new, but that doesn't mean that it looks horrible
No, I feel the current definition covers everything.
No, I own this property and pay taxes on it, what I park on my driveway is my business
No, I think it covers them all
No, I think that the list is fairly accurate.
No, I think the current bylaw covers the right amount of vehicles
No, I think the definition is accurate.
No, I think this covers it.
no, I think you have covered all.
No, I think you've defined it appropriately
No, if it fits in your property what's the problem.
No, if it's on your property and does not over hang onto the side walk, you should be able to park whatever you'd like there.
No, if you have room to put should no problem storing it year round.
No, it appears to be a complete list
No, it is not the governments business what vehicles are parked on a private residence.
no, it is pretty conclusive
No, it is the taxpayers property. If it fits on their property it should be allowed.
No, it seems inclusive to the best of my knowledge.
No, it's pretty self-explanatory.
No, it's a vehicle that will be moving
No, it's good as is
No, just let people park RVs anywhere but on the street.
No, list is complete
No, many people on our street use on street parking during the summer to get ready for camping trips. Some are large, there is no issue with parking or traffic in our neighborhood.
No, maybe don't park during winter but spring-fall should be allowed for frequent and ease of use by owners
No, most people I know are just bringing their trailer in to load it or unload it.
No, no RV parking on the driveway or on the streets. I don't want to live in RV park.
No, not enough room on streets to accommodate extra vehicles when RVs are taking up driveway space. Unsafe for drivers to see kids darting out. Stupid idea! The new subdivisions are brutally narrow. Zero lot lines don't have room for RV parking!!!!
No, not for this particular bylaw.
No, people should not be allowed to live in an arc on a property



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, people who like to recreate with rv's have their own unique financial and other situations that force them to have the type of vehicle they have.
no, road vehicles are way different
No, RV's should not be an issue. They should be allowed to be parked anywhere on a private residence. It's really none of the City's business.
No, the above definition handles what I consider to be RVs.
No, the current definition is fine.
No, the current definition seems to cover it.
No, the definition is fine
No, the definition is sufficiently descriptive
No, the definition looks good.
No, the definition of RV is fine.
No, The definition seems adequate.
No, the list here is comprehensive.
No, the list is ok.
No, the list is sufficient
No, the list is well defined in my opinion.
No, the list seems accurate.
No, the list seems good
No, these are all valid vehicles to be considered
No, they all cause the same issues is regards to aesthetics, visibility and safety.
No, they all should be able to park on your property as long as not interfering with walkway or road.
No, they are all recreational so that's fine
No, they causes blind spots and are unnecessary
No, this is a pretty good list. I'm not sure what else could fall under the list.
No, though boat is stretching it!
No, you have covered it all.
No, your list looks good
No,all vehicles that are not viewed as cars should be included. Other than commercial vehicles.
No,it sounds correct.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No.
no.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
no.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No. Houses are for Cars and motorcycles Not Recreational Vehicles, There are lots for that .Helps keep things clean and quite
No. An RV is an RV.
No. Any vehicle that fits on your driveway should be considered. That said, opening your slide-outs should be restricted while parked on the street and only allowed on your driveway.
No. Have not heard of any other type that should be considered no.
No. I believe front parking of licensed vehicles should be allowed subject only to safety & road sight line considerations.
No. I think this list covers it nicely.
No. It's an eye sore for the community.
No. Just RVs. Junk haulers etc should not be included
No. List appears accurate.
No. On my driveway or back parking pad I should be able to park my units. Some times you work on them and it takes time.
No. Rv. Is an RV
NO. The limit needs to stop somewhere.
No. Trailer owners (RV or otherwise) should not have to pay the expense of parking it somewhere else if it fits on their driveway? Why is the City increases the use of fossil fuels on owners to shuffle around trailers during a declared climate emergency?
No. All are used by Calgarians to serve their recreational needs
No. All fit the description
No. All of the above should be considered RV's.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. All of these are less of an eye sore than a renovation dumpster that sits for excessive periods.
No. All RV's should be allowed on a persons property.
No. All rv's should be treated equal.
No. All RVs should be allowed to be parked in their driveway. Many other cities/towns allow for this. At minimum, RVs should be allowed to be parked on one's driveway for a week at a time to allow for weekend camping w/out taking it back to storage.
No. All should be considered
No. All trailers and camping vehicles should be treated equally
No. Anything that fits. People should be less vain.
No. As an Rv is an Rv.
No. As long as people are considerate and don't block access to the sidewalk or street, parking it on the street in front of your home should be allowed.
No. As long as the vehicles are not blocking driveway or are in considerable shape should be fine.
No. As long as they fit on the property and do not impede a sidewalk, they should be allowed.
No. Be flexible
No. Because the rules should not be subject to interpretation and all types create the same challenges.
no. current definition is comprehensive
No. Definition is inclusive
No. Definition is sufficient t.
No. Definitions are appropriate.
No. Eyesore and will increase problems with sight lines for pedestrians. Keep bylaw as is.
No. However what about construction vehicles or equipment that are left on the street? If a backhoe can sit on the street for more than 36 hours then why is there a restriction on a trailer? If I unhitch to get an oil change or to fill my tank and rehitch
No. I dont see a problem as long as it is registered and not abandoned
No. I feel everyone should have to the right to park there vehicle to clean load etc. 36 hours is just not long enough.
No. I own my property and pay out my ass in taxes. I should be allowed to park what ever o want to on my driveway. We got a fine for our trailer- that wasn't causing any issues.
No. I think anything should be able to be parked on a person's driveway as long as it doesn't infringe on a sidewalk. If a van or truck is parked in a driveway it likely has the same visibility impact as a trailer.
No. I think people should be allowed to park their RVs in their driveways year round
No. I think that any vehicle that can drive on a city roadway and fits on the hard surface parking area. It should be permitted
No. I think the definition is fair.
No. I think this covers the definition of an RV
No. I think you covered everything.
No. I think you've covered it.
No. I'm fine with your list of vehicles, except I would not want to see a semi trailer or moving van considered in this definition.
No. If it can fit comfortably on their driveway it should be considered.
No. If it fits between your surveyed property lines I. Should be able to put anything you want





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. If someone can park their trailers that they use for work on their driveway why can't a person that owns a travel trailer/rv have the same right.
No. If you own the property, you should be able to use it to store your RV.
No. It already covers it's intended use.
No. It is a proper definition.
No. It's fine as is.
No. Keep it to all of them.
No. Let people park what they want on their driveway. Don't like the look of it? Don't look out that window.
No. Looks awful. Also most people block the sidewalk with jt.
No. No trailers whether rv or work trailers should be parked on driveways for pedestrian safety
No. Not enough room for everyone. Busy enough streets.
No. The definition encompasses all vehicles I am concerned about.
No. The definition is accurate and well encompassing.
No. The definition seems reasonable.
No. The list is explicit and include all types of RV.
No. The list looks complete
No. The list seems complete.
No. They are all either trailered vehicles or temporary accommodation
No. This bylaw has been in existence for a long while. And yet, people leave their recreational vehicles at the front of their house for extended periods because the City will ONLY do something if there is a complaint. And they don't even like doing that!
No. Trailers on the street need to be hooked to a vehicle.
No. We all pay taxes. If there is a SAFE place to park if we have the room then we should be able to park there.
No. What is noted above covers all rv units.
No. You should probably add sled trailers.
No.recreational vehicles and commercial vehicles should not be allowed to park on driveways at all
No...the list of RVs is in line with what I would consider a recreational vehicle
No <sup>9</sup>
NoAs a owner bylaw is fine protects everyone
Non commercial self propelled vehicles. They should be held to the same standard as vehicle parking.
Non commercially registered cargo trailers or trailers used for moving motorcycles etc.
non operating vehicles, unsightly to the neighborhood
Non operational vehicles
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
NONE





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

None
None
None as long as it doesn't effect a roadway or pedestrian sidewalks.
None not already listed
None of m
None of the listed vehicles should be banned from parking in driveways, car ports, or parking spaces.
None of them should have restrictions on where to park if on your property line.
None of these should be considered period because they OWN their property!!
None other than those already included in the definitions
None should be included. People park work trailers in driveway, that is ok. Should be ok for all. Security reasons too. Friend had battery and propane tanks stolen at rv storage.
None that come to mind at the moment
None that I can think of
None that I can think of.
None you should be free to park as taxes are through the roof!
None, if I'm parking on my property, it's none of your business.
None. That should all be included.
Nopd
Nope
Nope
Nope
nope
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope if it isn't hurting nobody then what is the issue?
nope the list seems accurate
Nope.
Not
Not a specific vehicle but rather a specific size. We own a trailer that fits well in our front parking pad, not blocking a sidewalk, not blocking anyone. It will help us save a bit if we can park in our own driveway more than 36hrs limit
Not at all!!!
Not enclosed Trailers used to transport should not be included as they do not obstruct sight line.
Not fully understanding why I would not be able to park my trailer on my own property! Next you will be telling me I can't Any smoke in my yard! Where does it stop?!
Not necessarily - I believe that if you can fit any of your owned property within your property bounds, then you should be allowed to do so. Anything outside your property bounds would be restricted.
Not on drive way. Should be allowed - for 2 days same as a vehicle in front while attached to a truck. Not long periods on a drive way
Not others than the ones listed
not really



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not really.
not sure
Not sure
Not sure
Not sure
Not sure if construction trailers are also governed but they are terrible parked in neighborhoods
Not sure that there are any additional vehicles that would be considered RVs.
Not sure what this means.
Not sure.
Not sure.
Not that I am aware of.
Not that I can think of.
Not the big pushers. Maybe due it by feet of the trailer. Less than 30 feet yes store at home.
No-these are all recreational vehicles
Nothing bigger than a tent trailer should be parked on city streets or driveways. It blocks views when driving by causing dangerous blind spots.
Nothing else to consider
Nothing longer / wider or higher than your own property line.
Nothing over 30 feet
Nothing should be included. This will add unnecessary inconvenience and cost to people who don't deserve it.
Nothing should be parked on a property unless it can be entirely in a back or side yard. It should not be allowed to block any views.
Nothing that restricts sight line or use of any walkways
Nothing to add
Now
O
O
O
Of it fits on your driveway great! If it fits in your backyard great! If you have it pulled to front for charging and loading or unloading, neighbors know, and it's a courtesy to respect your neighbors and subdivision!
Off road recreational vehicles
Off road vehicles should be considered RVs too. Quads, side by sides, seadoos, snowmobiles, dirt bikes etc.
OHV on a trailer should be considered as well
Ok
Ok with existing definition
Old rusty vehicles sitting in driveways that create an image of a junk yard.
Old vehicles left for repair on the street for extended time periods.
Older RVs because they can be an eye sore
Older, run down RV's that are an eyesore
On drive way its ok it is youre property ,there should be no vielcle or rv parked on roadways heavy fines should aply



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

ON MY OWN PROPERTY SHOULD NOT CONCERN THE CITY FOLLOW ALL OHTER CITYS
On truck campers, certain length of trailers or motor homes.
One should be able to park in their OWN driveway any type of vehicle which is not junk.
Ones that people are living out of. If you have family visiting for a few days that's one thing but they are not rental options.
Only any vehicle longer than the length of the driveway should not be allowed to park on it. Otherwise all vehicles are acceptable.
Only if it blocks sidewalk
Only older type tv were people leave in it .(run down or non operating unit)
Only those listed above. Storage trailers should be considered completely differently.
Only vehicles that are operational/moveable should be allowed. Broken down and otherwise immobile RVs should not be allowed.
Only vehicles that r actual travel trailers sb considered. It opened the doors for people to man-make their own "travel trailers" and want to park long term on their properties for home recreational purposes otherwise
Open trailers or commercial trailers should not be included, as there is no control over what it may contain and frequency of use. Only manufactured vehicles, enclosed trailers or RV's should be covered under this bylaw.
Open trailers, utility trailers, garbage bins, etc.
Open utility trailers should NOT be considered.
Other trailer types are not being considered, such as utility trailers
Other types of trailers used to store and transport things like snowmobiles, dirt bikes, quads, off road vehicles, etc.
Other watercraft such as seadoo like equipment as they are generally transported and stored on trailers.
Our 19.5 foot camperized van is not considered an RV under the Traffic Bylaw 26m96 under the definitions 2. (1) (ai), it is no different than a tradesman's van. It is not a cumbersome vehicle that affects any sightlines or aesthetics.
Our camper van should not be considered in this definition because it is the same size as any other passenger or work van.
Our Camper-van is 19.5' long and 8' tall. That is same length as a full size pickup & 4' shorter & 1.5' lower than a full size Sprinter-style vans of which are parked on driveways & roads. Our camper is occasionally, but regularly used as a 2nd vehicle.
Our motor home is a Class B which is a camperized Ford van. Since it is just a van and 20 feet long it should be allowed. There are a couple of brands such as Pleasureway and Roadtrek which max out at 22 feet and should be allowed to park on driveways.
Our rv van is used for daily travel not just as a recreational vehicle. It is our second vehicle. It isn't large so as to block a persons view of the street. Size should definitely be taken into consideration.
Over sized RVs. Should have a maximum height and length limit set.
Oversize
Oversize vehicles that do not fit on a front driveway should not be considered.
Oversize,and uncared for
Owners should be allowed to park them on the driveway as long as they don't enter the sidewalk or roadway. What about cargo trailers for hauling ohv's why they are not on the list is surprising.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Park anywhere do not know why the city does not allow this. Pretty dumb when u live somewhere you can't park your trailer at home.
Park trailer on the side or backyard of a house.
Parking at driveway as long as no impact for the traffic and neighborhood, it should be fine.
parking in your front driveway off the street should be allowed all the time.
Parking on front driveway allows owners access to their RV and could reduce damage and theft.
Parts RVs should be banned
People personal property is none of the city's business
People should be able to park whatever they want on their own property. They own the property as well as the things they are putting on it.
People that are living in their RV's on their property should be a no but for storage purpose I see it beneficial and no fees for a lot of people.
Perhaps a boat, camper and tent trailer. They tend to be smaller in size and in many cases smaller than large 350 diesel trucks people have in their driveway.
Perhaps a size restriction on the unit.
Perhaps larger sized RVs should be consider but not ALL sizes. S. as well relative to driveway size, location and whether or not there is some obstructed view.
Perhaps vehicles over 40' as they potentially create visibility hazards for people entering the street or around corners.
Permanent tiny house on wheels or multi storey cottage on wheels
Personal cargo trailer to transport recreational vehicles (ie, quad/ side-by-side) should be included. No different than a boat.
personal use RV vehicles should be allowed not commercial vehicles.
Personal vehicles like pickups trucks and cars
Pickups, vans or cars with pop up (or roof mounted) tents should be exempt.
Please do not allow this. Besides being unsightly, it causes significant safety concerns. Sight lines are greatly diminished . There have been several issues in our neighbourhood with RVs blocking visibility. It also allows for people to live in the RVs
Poor condition vehicles
Possibly big diesel pushers as they're borderline commercial vehicles.
pretty inclusive as is
Private drive way so not your decision what I can park on the drive.
Providing an RV is being used on a regular bases I see no reason why an RV can not be parked on a driveway for more then 36 hours.
Quads - trailers pulling quads.
Quads + Ski/seadoos
Quads, jetski and their trailers
Quads, snowmobiles, dirt bikes, side by sides should be considered
Really has to do with size.
Really old unsightly looking trailers.
Recreational boats that do not have accomodation features (ie. fishing or watersports boats) should not be considered an RV
Recreational vans if they are custom built may not be considered RV bit if built say by pleasure way they have a "class" of RV. You may need to look at classification in Motor Act.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

recreational vehicle trailers (snow mobile, quad, motor cycle) trailers when said vehicles are present. A regular flat bed trailer empty should NOT be included
Recreational vehicles like quads/side by sides, skidoos, and trailers that haul rec vehicles should be considered.
Recreational Vehicles should be allowed to park anywhere on your property.
Recreational vehicles should be dealt with on a case by case basis. You should be allowed to park anything on your own property as long as it does not pose a danger to others and is not offensive to community standards (offensive language etc. )
Recreational vehicles that would extend onto the public sidewalk.
Regular Rv/boats allowed. Over summer. No yachts or mobile homes. Case by case.
Relatively small, van-based RVs are nearly identical to (allowed anywhere) vans and should be not included.
Remove all definitions.
Reno'd Busses, Trailers with rec vechiles like ATV, and snow machines, golf carts etc. Also Cube vans, and Utility work trailers.
RV camper Vans, especially if the types of Vans modified so they are taller to accommodate people walking inside should be added.
rv is an rv.. anything that can be slept in or used for storage
Rv parking should be allowed anywhere on private property.
Rv parking should not be permitted on a driveway in front of a house at it obstructs view, increases on street parking congestion, and is visually unpleasant.
RV pulled by a semi tractor
RV should be allowed on driveway and ally at least seasonaly at least if I can park a car there I should be allowed to park a vehicle there. The neibours have junk cars parked in driveway but I cannot currently park my Rv in driveway makes no sense.
RV should be catigorized by size not only type of vehicle. A small fishing boat or dirt bike trailer should not be compaired as equal to a 31 foot travel trailer or motor home.
RV trailers should not be considered, because we pay the taxes here.
Rv type vehicles that are in disrepair and unusable in their current condition unless being actively repaired or waiting on parts. This makes it more likely that it will be used for its intended purposes
RV vehicles under a certain length should not be considered in this definition.
RV's that are in poor condition as they are an eyesore to that community
RV's should not be parked in any front driveway or on the side of house driveway to wards the front of the house, it blocks many views and not very safety for kids playing around in the area, also degrades the neighborhood, Calgary is a City not a town.
RV's as defined above should be allowed. Repairing or building such a vehicle should not
Rvs need a place to load or wait for a storage spot parking for longer then 37 hours would help ease the strain of running around struggling to find a place for an rv in a decent price range
Rvs should be able to park on drive way as ling as they are not sticking out of the property
RVs should be allow in front of your personal house without vehicle attached to shorten length and not block Neighbor's.
RV's should be allowed in the driveway as long as they don't impede on sidewalk traffic
RV's should not be allowed to park on drive ways or on street over 36 hrs. because we have enough problems with too many cars as it is, plus it will make our city ugly, obstructed sightlines , safety concerns, and respect for neighbors.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVs that can safely fit on a private driveway should be allowed to remain on said driveway throughout the seasons in which the owners can be expected to make use of it.
RV's that do not fit on driveways from bumper to hitch should not be considered. Smaller RV's, campers, tent trailers that fit fully behind the sidewalk or back of curb.
RVs that exceed 21 ft. are too large and imposing to be stored in residential areas.
RV's that will fit in a driveway or side yard should be allowed as long as they are 3 feet back of a city sidewalk..
RVs/boats that are not bigger than a car should be exempt. It would be no different than parking a car/truck.
Same as the current definition
School bus
School buses
School buses /buses that have been refitted to use as an RV
See #5.
Seems all inclusive so no other suggestions.
Seems comprehensive to me
Seems like an appropriate definition.
Semi trucks
Semi trucks should not be allowed
Semi's and other big trucks as such should be considered too. They are just as big as an RV.
Semi's should not be able to park out front for extended periods.
Short trailers that don't impede sidewalk plus 2 m setback.
Shorter C class motor homes. Because they can be used as daily drivers.
should
should
Should - In some cases we need to be more than 36 hours as we camp from weekend and then the next weekend.
Should also include car trailers and utility trailers
Should also include cargo trailers
Should also include trailers to haul other equipment.
Should be
should be
Should be
should be able to park anything on my property PERIOD
Should be all trailers, work or personal. Or none.
Should be allowed
Should be allowed for 7 days if not causing any problems with traffic flow
Should be considered
Should be considered - Cargo trailers (ones for work) and flat bed trailers
Should be considered, but allowed to park on front drives
Should be included - Utility trailer, flatbed trailer
Should be including campervans
Should be. They are all recreational
Should consider general transport trailers or anything with a hitch hookup that is not a "vehicle"
should include construction trailers - open or closed





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Should include storage trailers or storage containers like pods
Should include travel trailers, tent trailers, motor homes and utility trailers provided their length does not block the sidewalk.
should include utility and business trailers
Should include, tour buses, school buses or large commercial vehicles
Should included - Buses or other vehicles converted into an RV
Should not be allowed are schoolBuses or semis
Should not consider cargo trailers under 20ft
Should not consider Commercial transport, Delivery vans, food trucks, school buses, boats, non-street worthy vehicles.
Should not. It's my property and as long it doesn't create safety concerns (blocking visibility for example) should be allowed to park on my driveway
Should not: boat, foldable trailer. features: if it has a cabin/toilet/kitchenette. Anything "liveable" should not be allowed. All other boats considered. Security of some personal, large, expensive investments should be at safety of home.
Should on a seasonal basis (choose 1only): tent trailers, small boats under 21 feet and utility trailers under 21 feet & 3 feet in height. Don't attract prowling and places to hide. If you can afford a motorhome you can afford a place to store it.
Should they should be able to park there 24/7 they own or rent the the property
Should: Schoolie meaning school bus turned into RV, Van turned into RV
Side parking should be permanently allowed even if it protrudes in front of house but behind sidewalk and far enough back not to obstruct views
Since front drive parking is simply an aesthetics issue, these should also be included; boats, ATV, skidoo, off-road vehicles, unused vehicles (flat tires/no tires), storage containers, car park shelters. Don't just discriminate vs RVs please.
Size and height should be considered.
Size is important. I purposely purchases a pop up, slide-in truck camper that fits in to truck box. Its size is comparable to a truck with canopy and ladders on top. I think it looks nicer than the work vehicle I just described.
Size of motor home
Size should be considered more than type.
Size should be considered more than type. Our camper is shorter than our truck.
Size should be considered. A 10 ft John boat or tent trailer is very different from a 30ft fifth wheel trailer.
Ski doo's, jet skis and atv's should be included
Sled trailer/Cargo trailer
Small boat sailing dinghy on trailer on my drive way is moat an rv. It is smaller than any of the three cars my neighbours have parked on their driveway all year
Small boats stored on the roof of a vehicle.
Small campers, tent trailers as they are the same size as a car.
Small class b and trucks with campers not considered as rvs as they may be used as second vehicles.
Small Class B motorhomes, less than 25 feet long with a van body and frame, should be excluded from rv parking restriction because these are the same as UPS/FedEx vans.
Small motorhomes..same size as trucks
Small RVs and smaller boats should not be included



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Small RVs should be allowed to be parked in driveway. Unsightly vehicles should be excluded from this allowance.
Small tent trailers that fold up and are as short as, or shorter than, other vehicles and fit into a driveway similar to other vehicles should be allowed on a driveway.
Small tent trailers/travel trailers should be allowed to be parked on residential property as long as it doesn't impede visibility.
Small trailers and small boats that fit on driveways and don't impede sidewalk should be allowed to park there. Bylaw needs to be removed in Calgary
Small trailers and tent trailers should be excluded as they do not block the view of the neighbours as they back out of their driveways.
Small trailers that do not impact sightlines should not be impacted, as they do not detract from the sense of community any more than an extra car.
small trailers that fit on driveway (one per household) that don't impede emergency vehicles or passage on front side walk pedestrians
Small travel trailers can be often smaller than a large truck and should be treated similarly
Small travel trailers shouldn't be in this category. If they don't impede the sidewalk or block anything, why can't they just be placed on your driveway.
Small utility and cargo trailers up to 12 feet long should be included
Small utility trailers
Small van (i.e., delivery-sized) conversions, or those that can still be used for more general purposes should not be included. They are far smaller and more flexible than traditional "campers" or RVs.
Small van-based RVs (e.g., Vanagon, Pleasure Way, Roadtrek) should be exempt. They are often used year-round for personal transportation in addition to recreational use. A maximum of 20' length and 10' height would be reasonable.
Small vans (I think they are class B motorhomes) should be exempt, they are no bigger than a 3/4 pick up truck. Maybe a length and height definition?
Small vehicles or RV that are the size of passenger vehicles. They can blend in and would be no different.
Smaller boats, smaller campers, tent trailer .. smaller RV should be excluded as they do not pose any impact on the community.
smaller campers, like camper vans etc.
Smaller Class B motor homes and small truck campers used for daily transportation
Smaller commercial trailers should be included. I am thinking of trailers used for lawn maintenance companies or similar types of businesses.
smaller trailers
Smaller travel trailers - less than 25 feet should not be included provided it doesn't interfere with access to the house
Smaller travel trailers or tent trailers should be allowed to park in the owner's own driveway as long as it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk or roadway
Smaller vehicles should have less restrictions. The impacts listed by other municipalities of having RVs on front drives would mostly be non-existent - teardrop, small fiberglass trailers, VW campers etc are not going to be obstructing views, unsafe, ugly
Snow sled trailer should be considered part of the seasonal parking. Winter of course!
Snowmobiles on/in trailers. Quads or side by sides on/in trailers
Snowmobiles, personal watercraft, ATVs
Snowmobiles, Quads should also be included (plus trailers)





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Some industrial vehicles used with employment (reasonable restrictions)
Some people don't have big back yard know days
Some people have utility trailers modified for hauling camping gear. Also there are cargo trailers that are converted into a basic camping shelters; both should be included in the definition.cluded.
Some trailers are for work. Uhaul type. Are these considered under this bylaw? Or are they covered under a different bylaw?
Some vehicles that are low profile or short in length relative to the parking stall do not pose a the same negative aspects as larger units and should be exempt from restrictions.
Something with four wheels that can be driven should probably be treated more like a vehicle as long as it isn't left parking on the street for an extended period of time.
Sprinter vans - very long and can block driveways
Storage trailers should be allowed as well.
Storage trailers used for work. Anything that can be pulled by vehicles.
Storage/construction trailers should also be added
Storage/utility trailers should be included as they are just as much an eyesore
Street parking from May to October. This is more convenient for the citizens of this city.
Streets should NOT be filled with trailers. Nor should alleys. It's annoying.
Sufficient as is
Summer vehicles stored under cover for winter in the front, flat deck trailers stored in front, commercial vehicles parked long term - stored in front
Super large RVs maybe but it's still incredibly hard to find parking for rec vehicles. Having the ability to park in front of the house is a safe option for me
Sure, work trailers, work vans.
Tear drop trailers or RV that are able to park far enough back from the roads right away
Teardrop campers. They are very small and don't really fit into any of the categories. Ours is 5' high and 15' long. Very compact (the size of a vehicle)
Technically no, it's pretty well covered
Tent teailer
Tent trailer
Tent trailer
Tent trailer
Tent trailer and boat, no bigger than a car and not something you can live in so whats the harm
Tent trailer and transport trailer don't impact sight lines, so not as concerning.
Tent trailer because they do not obstruct views
Tent trailer does not restrict visibility
Tent trailer folded down should not be considered. No different than a boat, or utility trailer. (Unable to live in)
Tent trailer is not that large. Does not impede vision of vehicles .
Tent trailer or transportation trailer - they are not big enough to block views
Tent trailer when folded down is not a visibility risk they are extremely light weight and can not be used or lived in when closed.
Tent trailer, as they are more compact as well as transport trailer as they have more multipurpose uses aside from recreational purposes
Tent trailer, because when closed it's lower than a most cars



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tent trailer, boat, and camper should be excluded due to their relatively small size and lower profile.
Tent trailer, boats, any trailer smaller on height than a SUV/pickup should be allowed. If you can live in it, it cant be on your driveway. If a tent trailer is open and someone lives in it, that would not be ok.
Tent trailer. Cause it can be stored discreetly
Tent trailers
Tent trailers - take up less space than the average SUV/Truck when collapsed. Does not obstruct sightlines etc
Tent trailers - they are small and fit on your driveway
Tent trailers and campers. Dependent if the camper is in the back of the truck or free standing. If it's free standing then I would say keep it in the definition. Tent trailers are compact and not a hindrence when they are packed and stored.
Tent trailers and pop ups should not be considered rvs. They are barely noticeable on a driveway.
Tent trailers and small boats don't obstruct sight lines and are not a safety concern in my opinion
Tent trailers and small trailers (bolers)
Tent trailers and small utility trailers can be excluded because of their size.
Tent trailers are no higher than a car or truck and not longer either. They don't pose the same visibility restrictions of a larger RV.
Tent trailers are small and should be allowed to park on driveway. The costs of travel increasingly to unaffordability level, people should be allowed to enjoy with their family in short trips with tent trailers. The parking at storage is not affordable.
Tent trailers are smaller and less likely to cause safety issues or concerns.
Tent trailers are typically smaller or same size as a pickup truck and should be allowed to stay on a driveway.
Tent trailers because they are low profile and similar in size to utility trailers
Tent trailers because they are small and fit fine in driveways.
Tent trailers could be exempted. They are so small that they don't obstruct sight lines or visibility any more than a large truck. A travel trailer on a drive way detracts less from character than old beat up cars do
Tent trailers don't obstruct visibility any more than a car. Trailers that are able to fit on a driveway without encroaching on the sidewalk should be allowed to park there for more than 36 hours (for the summer season?)
Tent Trailers might be exempted as they have less sight issues and tend to fit on a driveway. Also, people that have tent trailers are less likely to have the money to store it offsite.
Tent trailers or any trailer that is not as tall as an average truck should be allowed to park on a driveway pad.
Tent trailers or boats should not be considered. You can't have someone living in a tent trailer if it's closed or have something living in a boat. By this definition, a boat is allowed to be on your driveway as long as its not on a trailer, which is dumb
Tent trailers or trailers for transportating goods should not fall under the umbrella of RV's. They do not take up the same footprint, or block visibility in any way at all. A large truck takes up more space than a tent trailer...



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tent trailers should be 3xempt from the definition because they don't have any of the issues of visibility and safety. They are lower profile than any vehicle and are compact low profile design.
Tent trailers should be allowed as they are typically smaller than cars
Tent trailers should be excluded from the parking ban and be allowed to stay indefinitely on front driveways. The tent trailer must remain closed/packed up to be allowed to remain on the driveway. They are small and inexpensive & paying for parking is \$\$\$
Tent trailers should not be as they are very low profile
Tent trailers should not be considered because they pack down and are just as tall as a car or SUV parked on a driveway
Tent trailers should not be considered in this definition because they're compact, not open to access at all times and they tuck neatly away out of obstruction of view.
Tent trailers should not be considered part of this because they are no bigger than trucks/SUVs that can be parked on driveways without issue.
Tent trailers should not be considered they are smaller then most work utility trailers, very short and not an eye sore whatsoever. Many houses in my neighborhood have multiple derelict vehicle that never move but I'm not allowed to keep my tent trailer
Tent trailers should NOT be included
Tent trailers should not be included in the RV definition. They are small and when parked on a hard surface driveway, take less room than a large truck and are a lower height.
Tent trailers should not be included. A closed tent trailer is only approximately 4 feet tall when closed. This is not an eye sore.
Tent trailers should not be included. They take up significantly less space and don't impede visibility. If you're allowed to park utility trailers in your driveway it's insane that you can't park a tent trailer.
Tent trailers should not be part of this because they are light and do not block people from seeing down the road for safety as indicated above.
Tent trailers should not. Too compact. Stored under the height of a fence and smaller than vehicles.
Tent trailers should reasonably be eliminated from the definition list. They are compact and have no negative impacts to neighbours.
Tent trailers shouldn't be considered as they are quite compact and low in my opinion. SUVs and Minivans (not to mention trucks) are often taller and more bulkier.
Tent trailers that are collapsed should be excluded as they do not block site lines any more than a van or regular vehicle.
Tent trailers that are collapsed should not be included because they don't cause and visual barriers.
Tent trailers that are not set up on the driveway and do not extend past the front of the house or the neighbour's house. They are not an eyesore when parked like that.
Tent trailers utility trailers that do. It exceed the height of a typical vehicle.
Tent trailers when not set up, should not be included. Tent trailers are low to the ground compared to other trailers like 5th wheels. Tent trailers don't instruct views or take up space like other trailers.
Tent trailers, and any unit under 15 feet in length. These are fairly small and do not impede people's views any more than a large truck or SUV.
Tent trailers, small Campers and trailers under 16' should not be considered an RV.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tent trailers, small campers should be excluded. Small - same "footprint" or less than many vehicles. Home insurance can provide coverage in event of weather damage. High offsite storage fees don't make sense compared to low price of small campers.
Tent trailers. Because they have a small footprint and low height. Having them in a front driveway does not obstruct anyone's view.
Tent trailers/pop ups or boats should not be considered. If a popup trailer is set up, then it could be considered in 36 hour law. They take up minimal space when not in use.
The above are all considered RVs.
The above are good
The above definition encompasses all "recreational vehicles", in my opinion.
The above list seems reasonable.
The above mentioned definition of a RV is proper. The length of the RV should be limited to the length of a person's driveway only (the parked RV should not extend onto the sidewalk).
The above noted
The above should all be considered RV vehicles.
The bylaw should be based on size of the vehicle. Only large vehicles would cause visibility issues and aesthetics of vehicles and neighbourhoods should not be a concern of Council or bylaws. Anything over 18-21 ft. should be classed differently.
The city should allow all parking on private property as long as the RV is not creating a hazard! This bylaw should be amended.
The current all encompassing approach to size and level of intrusiveness or impact is not nuanced. Smaller boats, RVs are often smaller or less impactful than large trucks for example.
The current definition appears reasonable
The current definition covers the definition well.
The current definition is acceptable
The current definition is adequate
The current definition is adequate.
The current definition is fine. There is not a lot of available parking space on the street due to the community using street parking as their primary parking location. New communities have the same problem
The current definition is good
The current definition is good, it is very clear and includes what it needs to
The current definition is good.
The current definition of a recreational vehicle is still appropriate.
The current definition of RV is adequate in defining RV
The current definition seems adequate
The current definitions are good
The current list is still appropriate for today.
The definition and current bylaw is fine. Leave it. Covers rv well.
The definition appears accurate.
The definition appears to be pretty inclusive.
The definition appears to include vehicles for recreation but does not include quads, quad trailers, motorcycles, or motorcycle trailers. It is not a fair application. If intent is to ensure sightlines are not restricted then defn cannot speak only to RVs
The Definition is good



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the definition is accurate
the definition is appropriate
The definition is complete.
The definition is comprehensive and good. I support the current definition.
The definition is fine
The definition is fine
The definition is fine as is.
The definition is fine by me.
The definition is fine.
The definition is fine. No changes needed.
The definition is good
The definition is good
The definition is good as it is
The definition is good however I would propose splitting the definition into 2 categories. My tent trailer is far smaller than a full sized land yacht, and more lenient rules should apply.
The definition looks fine
The definition looks ok to me.
The definition makes sense now.
The definition of vehicles is accurate.
The definition provided should cover the definition of RV.
The definition seems clear enough.
The definition seems correct
The definition seems fine
The definition should be based on size of vehicle, the use of the vehicle feels irrelevant. A small travel trailer 12' shouldn't be classified the same as a 30' fifth wheel
The definition sounds good.
The definition suffices for the purpose.
The government of Alberta classifies our Mona's a passenger vehicle for the purpose of the Kananaskis Park pass we purchased. Unless they change that designation, we should be allowed to park anywhere other passenger vehicles are allowed.
The law should remain as is. If it's opened then there will be all sorts of things parked in the neighborhood making it look like a trailer lot.
The length/size of the RV needs to be considered
The list is accurate.
The list is complete for what I consider to be an RV
The list is complete.
The list is comprehensive and all inclusive for RV purposes. Trailers carrying ATV, boats, and side-by-sides may also be a consideration.
The list is good
The list is good
the list is inclusive
The list looks correct
The list looks good.
The list presented is good
The list seems sufficient.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The new fancy camper vans like Dodge Sprinters should not be included as an RV because people are using them as a normal vehicle as well as an RV. Sometimes its their only vehicle.
The only alteration to this rule I would consider supporting in allowing closed tent trailers on front driveways. They are less intrusive, but should still have a time limit if they are opened.
The only consideration regarding parking on one's own driveway, forward of the front wall of one's home, is aesthetics. If the city decides that this area needs to be controlled, because of aesthetics, then other vehicle types certainly need to be added.
The only thing that I will agree with and have zero tolerance on is when people live in the trailers while parked in their driveways. This is a true safety concern and should be addressed.
The present list seems comprehensive
The RV is not unsightly (i.e. poor condition) esthetics , obstructed sightlines. Not to be used as a tenant housing, parking/ storage only.
The RV store on the driveway should not be larger than the spot it is stored on.
The standard definition of an RV is fine.
The storage of personal use cargo trailers and flat bed trailers needs to also be reviewed.
The term RV is fairly well defined
The vehicle list provided is adequate.
The vehicles I feel need to be included are motor homes, travel trailers, and fifth wheels. They are much more likely to obstruct sight lines. The others, I don't believe create any issue.
The vehicles included are fine
The vehicles listed should be included in the definition as they are all larger than a car or truck and are not used on a daily basis.
The vehicles should be visibly able to move (attached functioning wheels) not on blocks - unless owner is servicing them for 72 hour period.
The vehicles you currently have listed are in agreement with me.
There are currently 5 ton trucks parked in lanes behind residences as well as utility trailers and RVs. This should not be allowed any time of year.. people just don't want to pay for storage. However, the people who do pay for storage follow the rules.
There are now much smaller RV's, but this issue is phrased around the physical obstructions and aesthetics of Rv parking. I think the bigger issue is regarding all kinds of vehicles beyond passenger vehicles parking in our residential zones.
There are smaller type motorhomes like the Sprinter, & Mercedes type that are small enough to be used as a daily commuter. Those vehicles could be exempt.
There are so many ugly broken down cars in driveways. It's silly a trailer can't be parked on it's own property. If you're going to limit it, broken cars should be included.
There are so many variations of RVs now. Should be a length description in the bylaw.
there are vans now that may look like regular vans but have the full RV facilities - they should also be considered under the RV regs
There is a "Class B" motorhome by the RV industry to specify motorhomes that have the same original body&frame like delivery vans such as Mercedes Sprinter, Ford Transit, Chevrolet Epress, etc. These small "rv" should be excluded from rv definition.
There is a case that campers (aka campervans) built on the platform of a standard vehicle (i.e. sprinter) should not fall under the definition of RV as they are the same size as other vehicles. We're not advocating for this, but it is a logical argument.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is a focus on RV's but what about commercial vehicles on residential streets that are the same size of the RV's above and yet there are no restrictions. A cube van with business logo that has been on the street for years. Same safety issues as RV.
There is no need for anytype of RV parking for more than 36 hours in front of your house.
There seems to be some on line discusion between vans classed as RVs, but the current definition of cooking area seems apt. .
There should be a distinction between these different vehicles based on their size
There should be a maximum length. I'd suggest 40 ft combined total Leno of its a towable RV
There should be a size limit
There should be a size limitation... a 12' tent trailer in storage mode is far less of an obstruction than a 30' hardsided trailer
There should be a size restriction - like a small campervan that is the same size as a family van shouldn't be in the same category as a large RV.
There should be a time limit of 3 days, sometimes we have our motorhome in front of our house to either charge batteries or load
There should be more consideration for people with trailers, it should be straight across the board from province to province it's a pain to move it all the time for the summer back to storage and back home, it's a cost of it can fit on driveway why not?
There should be NO rv's longer than 21' (pickup truck length) i any front area. Further, they need to be on a hard (concrete or asphalt) surface. Extended times for Stampede are a good idea and extending the time of stay to a week is acceptable.
There should not be vehicles that are very old and look trashy.
there shouldnt be a say to whats in someones front yard.
There's no difference between a travel trailer / trailer used to transport a boat and a construction company trailer
These are all good.
These are all vehicles I would consider to be RVs.
They all should be considered
They all should be considered.
they all should be considered. they don't look good in front yardsN
They all should be included.
They all should be provided the owner has the space.
They all should be under this definition.
They are adequate for our purposes
They are all in here properly.
They are all the same
They look fine
They need to be safe and road worthy.
They obstruct views hang over sidewalks and cause people on sidewalks to almost get hit because home owners can not see arounds them let alone cause more cars to be parked on the street
They should all be considered part of the definition, because they can all cause the negative impacts to the rest of the neighborhood.
They should all be included.
They should always be considered



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

They should be allowed in driveways for an unlimited amount of time, providing they are 100% in the driveway including the hitch as this is private property.
They should be allowed to be parked for up to 5 days. Sometimes you need to be able to do interior repairs or prepare for trips as long as they are interfering with traffic.
They should be allowed up to a 1 week duration, during May long to Sept Long weekend.
They should be allowed. Alberta is a beautiful place and people need to explore Alberta.
They should be considered in those definitions to be categorized from motor vehicles.
They should be registered trailers and movable. Not derelict.
They should but in our neighborhood we live in a cul-de-sac and trailers don't impede safety.
Think you should be allowed all those vehicles in your driveway
This area is already packed with vehicles. Some homes have 5-6 vehicles per household. Letting any type of recreational vehicle be parked on a driveway for an extended period of time just adds to the congestion. There are rental stalls available.
This bylaw should be extended to 14 days of street parking if I have to do work to my trailer I should be able to leave it in front of my house so I can do so
This covers all of RV vehicles
This definition covers vehicles sufficiently, although I think a size specification should be added for boats.
This definition is fine in my opinion
This definition is good
This definition looks good to me. Trailers used for work purposes or home business (hauling tools) should be exempt from these rules.
This definition seems fine.
This definition should specify that they are CSA approved and built and maintained.
This engagement fairly considers both recreational and utility vehicles. I do hope that there is a distinction drawn between those categories, however, in any resultant bylaw changes.
This is a good definition.
This is a good list
This is pretty comprehensive.
this parking should be seasonal and not obstruct line of sight. If the vehicle has to be moved to a storage lot the city should provide due compensation through a tax benefit program to the owner
This question is poorly written and unclear.
This should also apply to junk, unlicensed vehicles in a driveway. Next door to us have three junk vehicles and a pile of junk and complaints to the city does nothing.
This should also include: school buses, 9+ passenger mini-bus vans, limousines, commercial delivery trucks, food trucks, ambulatory type vehicles, tow trucks, anything longer than a long bed passenger truck, over height travel vans, construction equipment
This should include enclosed trailers and utility trailers
This should only apply to vehicles that do not easily fit in the driveway
this vehicle definition should not exist !
Those under 13 ft could be considered more flexible for time limits
Tiny homes that are designed for extended living should not be considered in the definition of RV.
Tiny homes, fishing huts, c-cans
Tiny house





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tiny House
To be excluded: Poorly maintained trailers and rvs... basically eye sore beaters/ junk vehicles
To simplify the rules all of the vehicles should be included.
tow behind trailers (covered and uncovered). Our neighbours park their empty trailers on the street for years.
Tractor Cab
Tractor trailer or commercial trailers
Tractor trailer unit should not be allowed
Tractor Trailers Because of their size and exhaust for start up
Tractor trailers ,dump trucks ,machinery .
Trailer - to transport goods or items attached by a hitch to a vehicle
Trailer to transport the above. That would just be too big to park on the driveway.
Trailer used to transport any of the above should be removed. This could be a utility trailer that is also used for other purposes.
Trailer used to transport any of the above. I think this will be more convenient for business rather than recreation for Calgary people.
trailered units like Cherry pickers, sky reach stlye scaffold units, industrial generators... left unattached to vehicles have some loophole, tried to file a complaint and parking authority gave me the run around nt according to parking
Trailers carrying, or for the purpose of carrying, skidoos, dirtbikes, seadoos, motorcycles, etc.. These are all RVs in the same vein as Boats.
Trailers equal to or less than the length of the homeowner's driveway should be exempt.
Trailers for commercial construction use (in excluding small utility trailers for family use). Large dual axel commercial trailers litter the neighborhoods creating visibility hazards for drivers due to size and blind spots where children can be at risk
Trailers for transporting off-highway vehicles should be added.
Trailers for work.
Trailers over 30' or which do not fit in a regular driveway.
trailers over 30ft are too big to be parked on roads for an extended period of time
Trailers SHOULD be allowed and a lot longer than 36 hours! Think about the Seniors! We're not as nimble as young people!
Trailers that are not blocking the sidewalk or blocking the street should be allowed as they fit into the driveway
trailers that are parked in the homeowners driveway that don;t impede the sidewalk should be allowed seasonally.... most cities allow this.
Trailers that are used to tow other vehicles or equipment such as excavators etc.
Trailers that are used to transport recreational vehicles such as quads or motorbikes or even commercial trailers should also fall under the same restrictions for the same reasons. Lack of visibility around the trailer for other motorists, pedestrians etc
Trailers that can fit in the driveway should be able to park in their driveway . Ours is only 9 feet long
Trailers that extend past a certain footage or height.
Trailers that fit in your driveway.
Trailers to transport vehicles or boats shouldn't be here because they are more industrial.
Trailers used for business purposes should never be allowed overnight parking.
Trailers used for commercial business purposes



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Trailers used for conducting business
Trailers used for work, ie landscapers lawn mower trailers
Trailers used to transport motorbikes, Atv, snowmobiles.
Trailers with ATV vehicles should not be included in the definition
Trailers with quads/dirt bikes. It's the same as a boat trailer.
Trailers/motor homes/utility trailers should be allowed to be parked in private driveways! My son got fined for having his trailer parked on our driveway while he was doing work on it! Not right!! It's Our driveway!
Trails used for commercial purposes should not be in this definition. Commercial trailers should not be allowed to brooks park overnight at residential properties
Transport trailer .. the only item that a trailer could be used to transport is a boat & a boat out of water would have to be on a trailer. Therefore it should be assumed any boat being stored would be on the trailer.
Transport trailer for contractors. Major house Reno's need stuff stored on site.
Transport trailers.
Transport trucks should not be considered.
Travel trailers
Travel trailers should be allowed on private driveways. It's our property and out of the way of public. There's plenty of room on the street for traffic to safely drive
Travel trailers under a certain size and tent trailers. The smaller trailers don't impede the driveways and sidewalks like the big ones do. If it fits in the driveway with no overhang, it should be allowed to stay in the driveway.
Travel trailers, RV's less than driveway length ought not fall under this rule. There is no safety risk why my 17' trailer cannot be parked on my driveway. It's entirely subjective based on asthetics.
Travel trailers, rvs, boats etc should be allowed but not work / storage trailers.
travel van , weekly drivers should not be considered
Travel vans
Travel vans should also be considered. As an example, a Mercedes travel van that looks like a large multipurpose van
travel vans should be included
Trees bushes should be included they block eye site. And are a hazard in areas and they get bigger every year not rv.s
Truck bed mounted campers
Truck camper if loaded and truck is used frequently
Truck camper or small motor home/camper van and trailers hooked up to vehicle. These vehicles can be used for every day transportation
Truck Camper, as they should be considered cargo. I use mine for work purposes and it is a business owned camper on a business owned truck. This should be exempt.
Truck campers should be included as they sit on streets all year round and are only for recreation
Truck campers should not be considered in this definition, because there a contain vessel on top of a vehicle that is already permissible in the parking space owned by the homeowner.
Truck campers that are on the bed of a truck. It stays on my personal vehicle.
Truck campers, camperized vans, rooftop tents
Truck mounted campers should be included



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Truck top campers might reasonably count as trucks rather than campers as may van sized campers as they both fit neatly in regular spaces without trouble.
Truck topper/cab should be included, especially hard-sided truck campers.
truck trailers should be separate from these bylaws, but only when mounted on a truck.
Truck with Camper Mounted... is it an RV or just a Truck?
Trucks with a camper should be excluded...there is not much difference in having a truck parked out front and with adding a small camper to the top. Many trucks have toppers which are not much smaller than a small camper.
Try none
U-haul vehicles and construction trailers SHOULD be included in this list
Un drivable vehicles (eg old, broken down)
Unable to park in driveway so need to leave on the street attached to vehicle. I have discussed with neighbours. Only there when loading or unloading.
Under 30 feet would be fine
under a certain length or height, rv should be allowed to park on own driveway as long as no neighbours object.
Undriveable, dilapidated, that don't move for years, or ones that are clearly being lived in as housing, or as a rental....
Unhooked trailers should at minimum be allowed to be parked on the street for 36 hours to allow for refuelling the truck without having to navigate a gas station while hauling the trailer, increasing safety at gas stations and convenience.
Uninsured and unlicensed vehicles and RV
Uninsured RV's or being rented out.
Units over 30 if not parked on your property.
Unknown
Unknown.
Unless it's a massive motor home that is blocking everyone's view or it's very very old and is an eye sore , all should be considered.
Unlicensed ATVs should be added too
Unlicensed or broken down RVs/vehicles of any kind should NOT EVER be allowed but licensed RVs/vehicles should be especially if you return from camping on a Sunday and intend to go camping again on Monday.
unregistered salvageable vehicles
Unightly damaged, dirty RV, no unsightly tarps to cover the RV or boat
Unsure
Unsure at this point.
Unusable, old junk
Utility / cargo trailers have the same general profile as travel trailers
Utility / Moving trailers should be included in the RV standard, (neighbors behind me have two and are always moving furniture plugging access to alley)
Utility and cargo trailers
utility and landscape trailers should also be included
Utility or any and all types of trailers should be included
utility trailer
Utility trailer
Utility trailer



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Utility Trailer
Utility trailer
Utility trailer & toy haulers should be included as they are used for travel purposes as well as transporting recreational vehicles.
Utility trailer a& toy haulers should be included as they are used for travel purposes as well
Utility trailer could be added.
Utility trailer seems to be very different from the rest of the units within this group
Utility trailer should be included
Utility trailer should be included
Utility Trailer, used to transport tenting gear
Utility Trailer. Why? Because some of these can be very large and unsightly. Maybe have a size (length) restriction???
Utility trailers
Utility trailers
Utility trailers
Utility trailers
Utility trailers
Utility trailers - they are the same size as smaller RV's and cause the same eyesight issues.
Utility trailers , ski doo trailers, car haulers
Utility Trailers / Flat Bed Trailers / Any Trailer using a hitch
Utility trailers and any other trailer that must be towed by a vehicle. Why - because it has the same impact to the property and surrounding parking.
Utility trailers and business trailers for the purpose of supporting income.
Utility Trailers for personal or Business purposes
Utility trailers should also be included.
Utility trailers should also be included.
Utility Trailers should be considered
Utility trailers should be included
Utility trailers should be included for the same reasons listed below for more traditional RVs
Utility Trailers should be included, as they are equally an eyesore, and visually impair lines of sight when stored at the front of homes.
Utility trailers should be included. There are areas where people are using utility trailers parked in their driveways for junk removal storage as well as contractors. It becomes unsightly.
Utility trailers should be included. They can be more unsightly than a trailer or motor home
Utility trailers should be managed the same as recreational trailers
Utility trailers should not be allowed
Utility trailers should not be allowed on residential streets for periods of time.
utility trailers should not be considered an RV
Utility trailers should not be considered.
utility trailers snowmobile trailers motorcycle and atv trailers
Utility trailers that are not able to be used as a RV should be excluded.
utility trailers that contractors use should also be considered as they are the same size as many travel trailers despite their purpose
Utility trailers with closed sides should be included, and also large open trailers over a certain number of feet, maybe 16?



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Utility trailers, landscaping trailers, cargo trailers seemed to be used by many residents for personal or business purposes, and present the same challenges as RV's within a community.
Utility trailers. They are the same size as travel trailers and unsightly
Utility trailers. When left parked on city streets, these are just as much of an obstruction as RVs.
Utility/Construction Trailers
Utv's (large)
Van conversion/stealth campers
Van conversions (VW vanagans, Sprinter vans, other vans) where the original sides of the van were not extended should not be considered RVs.
van motorhomes class B they can operate as a vehicle as well as truck campers on a truck
Van RVs and truck campers should be excluded. Theses are not any larger than vehicles that carry only passengers (eg. 8 or 10 passenger van).
Van, bus, rooftop tent, U-haul, horse trailer
Vans
Vans converted into RVs.
Vans converted to living spaces
Vans could either be work vans or campers. How do you reliably differentiate? Work vans should be excluded specifically
Vans fitted out as campers, as people can and do use them as a second vehicle, what is inside should not restrict their use as second family vehicle.
Vans should be allowed all year round. We use our van for camping as well as driving it regularly during the winter. We park it in driveway during winter and side yard as soon as snow clears.
Vans should be considered differently (and possibly pick up campers). Some people use a camper van for a business vehicle, or an extra commuter vehicle.
Vans should not be considered.
Vans should not be included as many people use them as daily drivers.
Vans such as Sprinter/Transit vans that are NOT registered as camper vans but still remain registered both on title and insurance as vans, should not be included in this bylaw change. This is due to that vans can also be used interchangeably.
Vans that are set up as campers should be exempt as they are the same footprint and design as normal cars and suvs
Vans that double as RV's should continue to be EXcluded from the definition as some people also use them as regular vehicles.
Vans, Travel vans, custom vans, class B vans, should not be in the same class as motorhomes in general and should have their own designation and not be restricted in any way.
Vehicle definition should stay as is, and not be redefined
Vehicle haulers (flat deck or enclosed) including snowmobile, ATV, Side by Side or equipment haulers should be included.
Vehicle must not be longer than driveway, no overhang, still allow safe access also for deliveries to front door of house
Vehicles above 24 feet in length should still fall under the 36 hour rule
Vehicles and trailers that are not road worthy



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Vehicles in an operable condition should be considered in this definition. This would ensure the ability to move it if required and can be utilized for its intended purposes.
Vehicles in disrepair and unsightly should not be considered by definition. RVs and trailers in good working order and licensed should exclusively be considered.
Vehicles in good shape and not abandoned or in disrepair should be able to sit for 6 months on a hard surface driveway.
Vehicles left on the driveway for long term restoration or repairs
Vehicles of significant size, similar to commercial trucks.
Vehicles presently described in the definition are fine as is.
Vehicles that are not able to pass roadside inspections should not be considered.
Vehicles that are not well maintained (ie under repair)
Vehicles that are parked on the street that absolutely do not move. I have a neighbor who has a truck sitting on the street, never moves, and if it does, it's just around the block and parked again. If this is allowed, then RV's should be.
Vehicles that are too large shouldn't be allowed to park in front. If it fits in a front driveway, that's a good size.
Vehicles that are unlicensed or in great disrepair.
Vehicles that are van sized or smaller should be exempt.
Vehicles that cannot move on their own power should be excluded
Vehicles that don't fit on the driveway or overhang the sidewalk
Vehicles that fit in your driveway should be allowed as not blocking street or side walk.
Vehicles which block sidewalks should not be allowed
Vehicles which can be used for temporary accommodation, but also as a passenger vehicle.
Vehicles without tires
Vehicles/RVs that are obviously not kept in good condition. Also why are RVs not allowed but you can park cars/trucks on your own front lawn.
Very large size RV's. Those that block neighbour's view or visibility when walking or driving. Rusting or dilapidated RV's.
VW van is technically an RV. Due to its small size it should not be considered an RV. Also it's small enough I use it to commute but sometimes I park it for more than 36 hours. Same issue exists for all vans in that are camparized. Exclude vans clearly
Vw Vanagons/westfalias
We are satisfied with the current definition
We feel semi trucks with sleeping quarters should not be considered. Too loud and used for business
We have a class B (conversion van) these are built using a standard cargo van. They are no more than 21 feet long. Because these are originally cargo vans they should not be considered in this definition
We have a medium flat trailer that we transport our sleds and motorbikes on and would love to be love to keep it on OUR PROPERTY. It fits perfect on our drive causing no problem at all.
We have a side driveway and we're told after 3 years of parking there, we couldn't anymore. Our property, our choice. Voting for an unlimited timeframe for parking on our own property. 36 hours or 3 years, doesn't matter.
We have a small hard top tent camper on our truck. It is permanent and the truck is our daily driver. This type of vehicle should not be included as an 'RV' as it is only a few inches larger than a standard truck/topper combo.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We recently moved here from another city, and we have a boat. It seems odd that a boat is included in the definition. We have a small boat, and it makes no sense to have it stored away from our home.
We should be able to park either an RV or boat on our property. Sometimes projects take longer to complete especially when working full time as well as weather dependency.
Well cared for RV. They are not a safety issues. concern
Well if a travel type trailer isn't allowed On a driveway for Safty reasons I feel a cargo trailer should have the same rules.
Well, maybe very large units or bus motorhomes, depending on the size of the roadways in each neighborhood
What does this question even mean?
What is next? Limit on personal cars? This is [removed] ridiculous for private property!
What people put in their driveway is there choice, they have worked hard to own it and now they have to pay more to park it somewhere else out of the city. You're costing rv owners another 600-800 dollars a year because of this bylaw
When tent trailers are closed they don't obstruct any more visibility than a vehicle. I think anything below normal vehicle dimensions could be excluded from the list.
Where a vehicle limits the view to an intersection, it should be requested to move.
Who cares
Why should a car not be considered. If I can't park my trailer on my driveway, why can a neighbor our park old rusty cars or unlicensed cars forever.
Work trucks from businesses run from home.
Work and business trailers. They have a worse appearance than camping units
Work cube vans for people that supply for their families with their work trucks
work or transportation trailers ( including fully enclosed or not - towable trailers) for all the same reasons: obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics
Work trailers
Work trailers
Work Trailers
Work trailers
work trailers (i.e. the flatbeds carrying front end loaders or other work equipment) should face same restriction. possibly limited exemption for someone with a building permit. bylaws should not also include work trailers that belong to residentidents
Work trailers and all rvs should be allowed with in our drive ways if they are not protruding on side walks
Work trailers etc should not be allowed to park in residential areas for more than 3 days
Work trailers should also be included.
Work trailers should be included in the definition.
Work trailers should be included.
Work trailers that are not for sleeping in should be considered as part of this.
Work trailers, enclosed trailers. Many are being converted to RVs but not classified as such.
Work trailers, Horse trailers, hauling trailers, delivery trailers.
Work trailers. Trailers used to store tools for construction.
Work trucks
Work trucks and trailers
Work vehicles and work trailers left permanently attached



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Would like to see commercial vehicles and trailers also included. Its not fair that a commercial trailer is not subject to same regulations.
Yeah get rid of this useless bylaw!
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes , folding tent rmtraikee, boat, utility without solid sides
Yes - Boat should not be considered an RV
Yes - snowmobiles, ATVs, UTVs, golf carts, trailered seadoos. All are potential eyesores.
Yes - there are some a RVs that should not be included as they cause minimal obstruction, and take up a lot less space (which is the point of them).
Yes , I should be able to park my trailer on my property. I pay taxes.
Yes / my property
Yes a limiting size on the trailer height and length. Smaller trailers and RV that could be moved quickly in an emergency (fire etc) should be allowed.
Yes absolutely, small water crafts, quads on trailers or side by sides and tent trailers should not be any of your damn business. To be honest where we park our RVs on our property should be no damn business of yours
Yes all of them should be allowed on your own private driveway.
Yes all trailers and vehicles that are not for everyday use in the city of Calgary shouldn't be allowed.
Yes all types of recreational vehicles
Yes all vehicles should be considered RVs
yes- as long as the trailer is not blocking sidewalk or is unsightly
Yes because of size. Popup trailers, boats should not be considered
Yes for example a Mercedes Sprinter camper van should be allowed to be parked in a driveway with no restrictions.
Yes I own my property I should be able to park my stuff on it
Yes- if a rv is in good working condition and not an eye sore there should be more flexibility in how long it can be left on a driveway. Maybe not the whole summer but at least a week.
Yes if it's on your driveway and not left on the road.
Yes if they are a certain height and effect the curve appeal
Yes if you can fit the RV in your driveway without blocking the view of the street it should be legal to park the RV there.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes no recreational vehicles should be allowed
Yes no tv no tent trailers or boats my neighbour hood is net a parking lot
Yes off-highway-vehicles (OHV) with McLean creek and other area so close these are very similar footprint trailer and vehicle(s) to a boat and trailer or even tent trailer. Should be on the list.
Yes period stop trying to push your green agenda on people
Yes tent trailers because they are smaller and won't block neighbours view etc.
Yes there are more that should be considered such as skidoo's, jet skies and all terrain vehicles.
Yes there should be added to your list is a utility trailer.work trailer.
Yes they should be, the only ones that shouldn't be are the ones that are with visible repairs or that are too long and go onto the sidewalk.
Yes this should be permitted if a right away or permit is granted by the City
Yes This would allow the RVs to be used as temporary accommodation and easier access to their vehicles for a planned or spontaneous get away.
Yes trucks or cars that are hooked up to the trailer or utility trailer that park on your street and never move from October to May! They need to be ticketed and towed!
Yes Units that are over a certain length
Yes utility trailers should be added
yes, a "seasonally "used vehicle that has a weather tarp over the body to protect it from the winter elements and sun.
Yes, a full sized truck with a trailer with a vehicle on it should not be permanently parked in a spot that blocks other drivers visibility. If I can't park my RV there, they shouldn't park there either.
Yes, agree with seasonal parking in other jurisdictions. As long as RV is on driveway and not obstructing sidewalk it should be able to be parked on owners property.
Yes, any "rv" 12' or less in length.
Yes, as long as they aren't obstructing the property and are in poor repair.
Yes, because I own my property and I should be able to park my vehicles on my driveway. It is especially hard during the summer when we go out camping every weekend.
Yes, because otherwise you'll get all sorts of ugly trailers and old buses etc
Yes, Boats should be considered.
Yes, boats should not be considered an rv for the purposes of this bylaw
Yes, but there should not be any rec vehicles parked in front of your house.Calgary should not go the way of small towns and allow it. Cluttered neighborhoods look terrible
Yes, Cargo trailers
Yes, convenience, Cost and Security
Yes, conversions are becoming more popular. ie school bus', commercial vehicles, etc. These conversions should be included and identified to help clarification.
Yes, definition should include school busses & food trucks.
Yes, four wheelers, motorcycles and all seasonal recreational vehicles
Yes, I don't feel that cargo trailers should be limited by this bylaw, as long as the length is below 20ft.
Yes, I think you should also be looking at old cars that sit on driveways for years, with flat tires, are unsightly and have no ability to drive.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I want to park my Semi Tractor in my back yard and idle for hrs, like they are allowed in the NE. I feel I should be allowed to park my trailer in front of my house, as I have a small drive way. That would save me 70 dollars a month.
Yes, if a trailer is clearly too long for a driveway and sticks out into the footpath or street then it should not be allowed.
Yes, if it fits in the driveway without blocking the sidewalk it should be allowed .
Yes, it should include flat bed trailers, cube hauling trailers, horse trailers etc.
Yes, many people choose to live mobile these days - a RV considered a primary home should be exempt. Any other vehicle properly licensed and insured is allowed to park in various locations - why are RV's considered different ?
Yes, motorhome.....is a registered insured licensed driveable vehicle
Yes, motorized vehicles like small motorhomes have multiple uses, including day to day use for transportation not just camping
Yes, personal property housed in personal property.
Yes, please consider extending it to more than 36 hours. Being a trailer owner I had no idea that the bylaw had changed.
Yes, project cars and 4wheelers
Yes, RV and travel trailers should be part of the bylaw definition.
Yes, RV designation still helps with abuse of neighbourly conduct. 5 days seems reasonable seasonally.
Yes, should extend the parking time for at least 5 days in front of driveways or more.
Yes, small tent trailers. They don't take up lots of space or are eye sores. If it fits in your driveway it should be allowed
Yes, small trailers under 19'0" in length should not be included in this definition as they do not create the same safety or aesthetic issues as larger RVs. Trailers under 19'0" are smaller than having a truck or van parked on your driveway
Yes, trailers that hold recreational vehicles.
Yes, trailers used to transport other recreational vehicles (jet skis, snowmobiles, motorcycles, etc. should also be considered.
Yes, Vans should not be in the same class as Motorhomes. There are many types of vans. Travel vans, passenger vans, custom vans, Camper vans. There needs to be some clarification as to what constitutes an RV, Motorhome, or van
Yes, vehicles required for work (small contractor cargo trailers) should be exempt, and the time period for RV's should not apply from May long weekend until Labour Day weekend. (Or thanksgiving) OR, offer a permit (even charge a small fee).
Yes, we have been fined for having parked our trailer on the driveway. This should be allowed. In the winter we park it in the backyard but in the summer we use it more often.
Yes, when a home driveway is large enough for four cars, a travel trailer should be fine to park on it as long as it does not protrude onto the road/sidewalk
Yes, with the aging population and snowbirds that live almost exclusively in their trailers they should be permitted to have access to longer term parking at family residents. This could be achieved and duration controlled by a small fee permit application
Yes, you should be able to park a trailer in your driveway for 72 hours to get ready for camping and when you get home to unload
Yes.
Yes.
Yes. Boats on trailers seem to be overlooked.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. If they are on the owners driveway and follow the bylaws except the time frame. Seasonal parking is conveneant as people go camping more than once a season.
Yes. .
Yes. A truck camper when it is on the truck. Small ATV trailers. Not sure if they are allowed or not but should be.
Yes. A utility trailer a believe should be able to be parked at your residence short term. All others should have a very limited time aloud to load and unload. 36 hours is already a large timeframe to inconvenience everyone around you.
Yes. Boat is not RV. Personal watercraft.
Yes. Campervans as they are a van.
Yes. Commercial ones. Too huge.
Yes. Flat deck trailers with ATVs should be allowed
Yes. I think it should be up to a home owner how they use their driveway and if they choose to park their RV it should be ther choice
Yes. If the vehicle is damaged, leaking fluids or has issues with its septic system (smells). If it blocks drivers view of important signs, side roads (alleys, pedestrian paths).
Yes. Many ppl have utility trailers that are just as much of an eye sore and left on the driveway. I realize someone can't use it as accommodation but it should be deemed under the same rules if rv parking is restrictive.
Yes. Safety reasons, esthetics of properties etc.
Yes. Should be based on size.
Yes. Small trailers for hauling materials and small camping/tent trailers are small and do not visually block most lines of sight
Yes. Tent trailers and boat trailers without a boat on it. Because of their low profile/height, I dont believe they would normally restrict the line of sight of a neighbour backing out of their driveway.,
Yes. There is new retrofits being done on cargo vans such as sprinters, that can be lived in, but from outside look like a normal van. The definition should accommodate for any vehicle or structure on a mobile base, that can be used for living or sleeping
yes. Unless covered by another bylaw, utility trailers should be included in this as they can be just as much, if not more, of a safety/aesthetic concern compared to RV.
Yes. Upgraded buses. People now live and travel in them.
Yes. Vans used for dual purpose. Ie. 20ft Roadtrek which is an RV built in a van chassis. Also used year round as regular vehicle..
Yes. Work trailers. They're often as large or larger than RVs - and seemingly no restrictions.
yes.....some trailers are smaller than most trucks or vans
You are allowing large busses to be parked in residential neighborhoods on roadways, which are the same size as a motorhome. When the kids are off school the busses are parked for days to weeks without moving.
You have enough rules as is. No.
You need to be clear if campers are an RV or work vehicle. If parked on the street, for months at a time they are considered a work vehicle according to the Parking Authority. A camper should be either or and not both.
You should also include any trailers, no matter the use, as well as motor vehicles that are not used for daily transportation.
You should be able to park any type of vehicle or RV on your own driveway or property
you should be able to park your vehicles as long as you want.



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

You should be allowed to keep your trailer in front of your house as long as it's hooked up to the vehicle. If it's an attached, it should not be allowed to be parked in front of a residential property.
You should not be allowed to park on a side yard unless you have a certain distance to the property line.
You shouldn't be allowed to sleep in a parked vehicle. The hedge next door blocked my view when I back out, same as an rv would
Your definition includes some vehicles that I would not consider RVs, such trailers for construction materials and waste. I do think there should be regulations covering these, but probably distinct from RV regulations.
your definition is good.
Your definition is good.
Your definition make sense to me.
Your definition of an RV including all of the above seems reasonable.
Your definition seems appropriate
Your definition seems appropriate
Your descriptions are good.
Your list is sufficient

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

**QUESTION 3 - In your opinion, are there vehicles that should, or should not, be considered in this definition? Why?**

## Participants that responded NO they don't own a recreational vehicle

- the definition is fine the way it is

. Have no issues on 36 hours no more. This question is very open ended in my view so a boat and trailer no. Just want to have the ability to see both ways from the driveway.

[removed] has had his camper [removed] parked at back alley since Aug2022. Have told them to move it back into their fenced property time and time again, still not done. Blocking blue, green and black bin collections!!!

1000% agree with current law

36 hours is long enough for any of these RV's to parked on the street.

5 ton trucks and up should park elsewhere.

5th Wheels and Class A motorhomes should not be allowed in driveways as they are often too long to not block the sidewalk

A boat and /or trailer is not an RV in my opinion. You can't typically live on a boat (depending on the size) and a trailer can be used for a multitude of purposes. Not just transporting an RV of some type.

A boat is a method of transportation not typically providing temporary accommodation so I'm surprised to see it as part of the definition

A boat or any trailer that isn't used for living in. Isn't that the major concern?

A boat should not be called a RV

A boat should NOT be considered in this definition but rather the boat trailer ONLY, which may be rolled under "trailer used to transport any of the above". A boat isn't a vehicle with wheels.

A boat should not be included.

A bus that has been converted with sleeping space should also be included

A camper is part of a vehicle not an attachment same as a motor home. Only the attachments should be restricted.

A camper on a truck is also an RV. This begs the definition of a camper. Is a truck box topper a camper? It can be. How about a rooftop tent when set up? I'm definitely not opposed to these vehs parked in front drive but people can't live in them.

A camper van like a sprinter van or similar product that has been converted should not be included as it is the same footprint as a non converted vehicle.

A closed cargo trailer would also be acceptable to park

a contractor work trailer should also be in this definition. It is a trailer but not for leisure purposes. this work trailer is temporarily connected to a vehicle. I often see these work trailers parked on the streets for weeks. and its is OK.

A motorhome or anything that is towed behind any vehicle including a utility trailer.

A sea-doo should not be included in this definition as it is smaller than a car.

A small tent trailer maybe should be allowed. So base it on size. Smaller than a vehicle should be ok.

A tent trailer that is folded up should not be considered an RV. No different than utility trailer when folded.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A trailer and tent trailer should not be included in this category, if parked on someone's driveway.
A trailer for hauling could be considered a work trailer rather than part of parking
A trailer is not an RV.
A trailer that holds a boat should not be included as they are way smaller and not obtrusive
A trailer used to transport anything that is attached to a hitch.
A trailer with a Quad or Atv on it
A truck with a trailer seems odd. If they fish or hunt but aren't currently doing so should they take it off and park it else where? That makes no sense
A truck with ATVs, motorcycles, snowmobiles, etc. secured on a flatbed should be included. This can be aesthetically very displeasing for neighbours in the immediate area.
A van that happens to have a bed or fridge in it doesn't look any different from a van without. The definition should focus on what the exterior of a vehicle looks like.
A vehicle people sleep in
Abandoned vehicles
Above definition captures all that should be considered
Absolutely do not extend the bylaw for all the reasons below. We work really hard to. Are our front yards look clean and well maintained. If anything we'd prefer the city take a better approach to. Beautifying our city. This would be an eye sore
Absolutely not. Parking is already crazy in the residential Areas. Not to mention safety !! It blocks too much and anyone or anything could be hiding around these. No no no
Absolutely. I believe that people should be able to park their RV's in their driveways as long as it isn't impeding the sidewalk.
Add buses. The current bylaw is fairly simple, Any change will require many sub-clauses and exceptions and much additional work and interpretation by bylaw officers.
Add business use trailers.
Add horse trailer & flat trailers carrying ATV, snowmobile, etc.
add in large vans and/or busses.
add retired school busses
Add Semi-Truck. Tractor trailer.
Add snowmobiles, jet skis, ATVs / Quads as they are not a normal motor vehicle and are also used for recreational purposes only
add trailers of all kinds that are not being used ( ie workshop trailers /carpenters or tradesmen) not in service
ADD: Cargo and Utility trailers which may be used to transport jet skis; snowmobiles
Added, work related trailers behind trucks.
Added: toy haulers, flatbed trailers, all ancillary towable entities that are not permanently affixed to a vehicle by the OEM.
Agree with all listed
Agree with definition. Should also include buses and big trucks.
Agree with definitions
Agree with list
Agree with the above list
Agree with the definition
Agreed with list





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All (depending on size) as they obstruct the view when pulling out of my driveway on my already busy (and more coming up with over 100 townhouses being built at the end of my street).
All above mentioned vehicles should be in the definition because of their purpose
All above should be considered plus utility trailers
all above should be included
All above should be included, as should ATVs and SeaDos.
All above should not be in residential neighborhood
All are fine in the definition
all are recreational vehicles
All as listed is fine
All as listed.
All big vehicles including work trailers
All boats, boat trailers, camping trailers, recreational vehicles and motorhomes should follow these rules. Boats are often left on driveways and grass out front of houses, as I believe many people do not consider these "RVs"
All boats, campers, utility trailers, RV's, commercial trailers. They are an eye sore to the community, bring theft and vandalism when left unhitched, and are a danger should they roll away down the driveways, make it hard to see around them. Ban them all
all boats?
All but motor homes should be removed from this clause. If it fits in your driveway without obstructing the sidewalk or road people should be allowed to park it on their property. Not on the lawn though.
All camping trailers, motor homes, tent trailers and vehicles should be included.
All camping vehicle and large trailers as well (ie work trailers)
All current definitions should be included,
All currently listed vehicles should continue to be listed in the current definition of RV.
All good
All good
All good
All good in definition.
All good.
All included keep Bylaw the same
All is fine
All large vehicles should be included. Such as bus, truck, tractor trailer and construction equipment.
All large vehicles such as RVs should be included. Oversized vehicles such as large trucks or vans that block sightlines should also be included.
All listed
All listed above should be considered as RVs.
All listed above should be considered in definition.
All listed above should be included
All listed above should be included
All listed above should continue to be considered under this definition
All listed above who should be included.
All listed should be considered





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All listed should be included
All listed should be part of it
All listed vehicles should be considered
All look appropriate.
All non commuter vehicles should fall in this category
All of above. Include Ski Doo Trailers, Flat Bed trailers. They encroach on sidewalks, obstruct views. Safety! Hit my head one evening (just above eye level), with an "Item" stored on it.
All of the above
All of the above
All of the above
All of the above
All of the above and include all utility trailers, open and closed. Residential areas are neither commercial or storage area. Obscures views. Dangerous. Limits parking because now they have to park their cars on street.
All of the above are considered in this definition.
All of the above are well within the definition
All of the above cover it.
All of the above in the listing should be included for limited street parking.
All of the above list is appropriate.
All of the above listed vehicles should be listed in the bylaw
All of the above should be able to park for as long as they want, if there is space for it. It is their property.
All of the above should be considered in this definition.
All of the above should be considered trailers.
All of the above should be considered.
All of the above should be in the definition
All of the above should be included
All of the above should be included
All of the above should be included in the definition because they are used only occasionally.
all of the above should remain included
All of the above vehicles should be included
All of the above vehicles should be included in this definition. They are recreational vehicles and should be parked at camp sites and beaches where they are meant to be used and not on driveways.
All of the above. I think a homeowner should be able to park any type of RV on their own driveway indefinitely.
All of the aforementioned vehicles should be considered in this definition.
All of the current vehicles should remain as defined. I do NOT want to see the time extended past 36 hours. This is more than sufficient to load and unload. The trailers, motor homes, boats etc makes the neighborhood look like a trailer park!!
All of the defined vehicles should be included.
All of the one listed seem reasonable to me.
All of the vehicles listed should be considered
All of them
All of them

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All of them should be considered.
All of them should. Streets are narrow enough as it is without making them narrower with these parked vehicles
all of these vehicles have the same or similar issues
All of those above should be considered in the definition.
All of those items should be in the definition
All of those should be considered due to size of the vehicles/trailer
All plus construction/utility trailers. Blind spots, eyesores, causes less parking on street because driveways are taken up so they have to park elsewhere.
all recreational vehicles
All recreational vehicles listed should not be allowed beyond 24 hrs . They are often hard to see around when pulling out of driveways particularly when children are walking/riding bikes etc on side walks/ road ways, and eyesore for other property owners.
All recreational vehicles should be considered as they are not necessary. If you own one you should have to park it in storage when. Or in use.
All recreational vehicles should be considered in this definition.
All recreational vehicles should be included in this definition including snowmobiles/ ATV's. Some are so large that they create visual obstructions and other recreational vehicles become an eyesore.
All recreational vehicles should be included. Trailers, tent trailers, RV's, boats, they all block the view for their neighbours and are quite frankly, eye sores in the front property!
All recreational vehicles should be included... campers, trailers, boats etc...
All recreational vehicles. 36 hrs is plenty of time for cleaning loading etc. Not all recreational vehicles are well kept and dome would be an eyesore for neighbours. The city and surrounding area has plenty of storage choices for rv's.
All rv and trailers should be considered
All RV and trailers.
All RV apply (including ATV)
All RV campers and boats should be under this
All rv that fits on the driveway should be fine and allwed to park at all times
All rv, camper tentctrailer etc
all rv's should be considered and then i could afford to buy one as parking them is expensive.
All RV's should be included. They are all part time use non essential luxury items that increase on street parking and clutter neighborhoods and destroy sight lines.
All RVs
All RV's and trailers should be considered
All RVs on driveways
All RVs should be considered
All RVs should be considered in this definition. Everything you provided is accurate.
All RVs should be included as listed above.
All RVs, trailers and construction vehicles and equipment.
All RVs, trucks that do not fit on the driveway, trailers.
All should be considered
All should be considered
All should be considered



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

all should be considered because they all affected the streetscape, safety and available parking.
All should be considered.
All should be considered.
All should be considered.
All should be considered. They are large, block traffic view and
All should be included
All should be included
All should be included
all should be included
All should be included but only boats larger than 20"
All should be included in this definition
All should stay the same. It covers all the bases.
All Tent Trailers, Campers, Winebagos etc.
All terrain vehicles (quads, utility vehicles, side-by-sides, snowmobiles)
All Terrain Vehicles and Snowmobiles
All that are defined as it now stands makes perfect sense. I don't think anything is missing.
All that is included is fine
All the above are appropriate.
All the above is good
All the above plus I would add extra large vehicles such as trucks and vans.
all the above should be included
All the above vehicles should be considered in the definition. Frankly if it is not a car or motorcycle, it should not be sitting on one's driveway for an extended period of time.
All the current ones including tent trailer, hard sided trailers and larger RVs. Also trucks with the older style fifth wheel on them also.
All the listed vehicles should be considered.
All the ones listed should be listed
All these should be considered.
All these should be included
All these vehicles belong in this category.
All these vehicles should not be parked on residential streets. Unsightly
All those recreational should be on the list
All those should be considered.
All those vehicles are eyesores and should not be in the driveway for an extended period of time.
All trailers
All trailers and liveable methods of transit
all trailers and rv's should not be parked on driveways for more than 36 hours
All trailers motor homes. Construction trailers left in alleys and on front street with debris in them are an eye sore.
All trailers whether they be enclosed or not, or for recreation or not should be included in the definition. These have the same potential to impact visibility/safety and aesthetics.
All trailers work and recreational



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

All trailers. There are very many "utility" trailers parked on the streets in my neighbourhood. On family leaves their trailer attached to a vehicle & stores garbage in the trailer. Yes, I have contacted my Alderperson's office many times.
All unhitched bedrooms on wheels should be included.
all vehicles above should be considered, as well as moving trailers
All vehicles above should be included
all vehicles identified above should be included
All vehicles listed above should be considered
All vehicles listed should be considered
All vehicles listed should be in this definition, no change is required
All vehicles noted above should be included.
All vehicles should be allowed, it's your property on your driveway.
All vehicles that can be used like a motorhome/caravan should be considered within this category.
All vehicles that do not have up to date registration should be considered.
All, people should be allowed to park what they like on their property.
also include ATVs
Also Sprinter Vans/large vans used for living and camping
Alterrain vehicles should be included in this such as: ATV UTV recreation vehicles.
Aluminum enclosed contractors trailer. Thos used to haul contractor tools etc
An RV or a wrecked immobile car or truck or trailer parked continually in a residential neighbourhood driveway is ugly and disrespectful
And vehicle with sleeping quarters
Any and all recreational vehicles should be included
Any and all vans that are large enough to hold a 1. Bed, and 2. Microkitchen
Any boat or RV or any unit that sleeps people.
Any camping vehicle especially RVs.
Any commercial trailers. People park old unused for years and they are an eyesore and block our view as our neighbor had a trailer parked in his driveway for some time. It gave criminals a place to hide while they removed the catalytic converter
any enclosed trailers (hauling, storage) over a defined length should be included.
Any ev with a dry weight rating if 2500lbs or less should be excluded from this bylaw but anything over 2500lb should be stored somewhere else or at the back of the property. Not in the alley though.
Any kind of a travel trailer, tent trailer, RV all sizes. They are all an eyesore and sometimes a hazard when they are parked on the street.
Any kind of trailer, including cargo trailers. One of our neighbours has had one parked in his driveway for over 6 years which is used to store their house's overflow
any large vehicle besides a car or truck you see on the road on a regular basis should be considered
Any large vehicle that crosses the sidewalk when residents park. Sidewalks should remain clear.
Any large vehicle that is not for personal routine use should not be parked on front driveway. Residential areas are for personal living and not vehicle storage. More vehicles on driveways means less street parking, which is already scarce.
Any large vehicle. Anything larger than a pickup truck.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Any long time parked vehicle (like sports cars ) or abandoned cars that are not drivable
Any motor homes, travel trailers, sport utility trailers, boats
Any of these vehicles should not be parked on the driveways or streets. They block sight lines and are especially dangerous for children playing on their own driveways and cars backing out of our own driveways. It also makes the street look like a parki
Any recreation vehicle
Any recreational toy on a trailer shouldn't be allowed. Extension cords/hoses ran across public sidewalks are a nuisance and shouldn't be allowed at all. Offsite storage should be required. Not even storage in back yards
Any recreational vehicle including boats of any type should not be stored for more than 48 hours.
Any recreational vehicle including camper vans, trailers, campers, toy trailers, cargo trailers, storage containers all considered.
Any recreational vehicle should be included.
Any recreational vehicle should call under the same class and rules currently in place
Any recreational vehicle should not be parking on front driveway/street because of Safety issues and esthetics for longer than the current bylaw states. If there are alleyways time could be extended longer than 36 hours but not for a season.
Any RV , keep them in your backyard
Any RV are ok
Any rv camper or tent trailer
any rv not bigger than a school bus
Any RV or camper should not be allowed. There are rv parking lots available. They take up so much parking space not leaving enough parking for cars.
Any RV or trailer that is towed - these vehicles cannot move under their own power
Any RV parked in the owners driveway should be all year it's their driveway
Any RV should be included as I I believe it's very difficult to see around an RV while driving.
Any RV should be included. They should not be stored in front of your house.
Any RV that extend beyond the driveway should not be allowed.
Any size vehicle that does not fit on a driveway should not be allowed no limit. Smaller units should be allowed 5 days at a time.
any sized trailer or vehicle larger than full size van. We are seeing people living for weeks/months in the neighbor hood in these vehicles. They pollute and generate a lot of noise. If someone can afford to own and operate it, they can afford to store it
Any small utility trailer
Any storage/work trailers and trailers for snowmobiles/motorcycles etc
Any thing that isn't an actual RV, plain and simple.
Any thing that obstructs the view and security should not be allowed
Any trailer
Any trailer
Any trailer especially commercial
Any trailer hauling ATVs, snowmobiles or other toys
Any trailer or boat or unhitched RV
Any trailer or vehicle with a bed.
Any trailer pulled by another motorized vehicle, whether it is for work or for hauling material.
Any trailer that is loaded or used to pull or carry motorized vehicles like snowmobiles or ATV's



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Any trailer. They can all be parked on the driveway.
Any trailers over 24' or any length that impedes sidewalk (photo submission of parking)
Any type of hauling/utility trailer should also be included.
Any type of trailer as they take up too much parking space and look bad.
Any type of vehicle that has a bed should be considered an RV
Any type of vehicle that it's possible to live in for extended periods of time (including tent trailers) should be considered in this definition.
Any unit that does not have it's own propulsion eg horse trailer that is designed to be towed behind another vehicle.
Any vehicle over a 6 ft fence level should not be allowed in the front of properties
Any vehicle meant for sleeping should be considered an RV.
Any vehicle not a car, SUV or pickup truck should be in this definition
Any vehicle that does not impede traffic, and is fully parked on homeowner's property. If the RV is only parked on property, and isn't continually occupied, should be allowed.
Any vehicle that has a bed
Any vehicle that has a bed built in should be considered an RV.
Any vehicle that has a higher roof than a standard garage. It blocks the view of on coming traffic.
Any vehicle that has accommodations as part of its features
Any vehicle that has kitchen and/or sleeping capabilities should be included
Any vehicle that hooks to the back of a vehicle.
any vehicle that is clearly a recreational vehicle with accommodating structures
Any vehicle that is functioning as temporary accomadation
Any vehicle that is not roadworthy or is not being actively worked on. If it is being restored or repaired it should be fine.
Any vehicle that is not used primarily for work purposes is a recreational vehicle
Any vehicle that is used for living in
Any vehicle that when parked creates a blind corner for the neighborhood should be considered in this definition. Pick up trucks with cargo should be included here along with tent trailers.
Any vehicle which is built for or has someone sleeping in it overnight.
Any vehicle you own that fits on the driveway is OK
Any vehicle, motor bike etc that is not drivable.
Any vehicles that are not registered (valid plates) should not be left in the driveway or ally... it should be kept indoors (garage)
Any water craft.
Anything bigger than full bodied pickup up or SUV should be considered
Anything bigger then a minivan
Anything from tent trailers to the biggest busses should be considered an RV. Because they all serve the same purpose
Anything larger or higher than a tent trailer should NOT be allowed
Anything larger than a regular transportation vehicle should be an rv
Anything larger than a typical car, truck, or SUV should be considered, but smaller should not because smaller trailers are no more unsightly/ view/light-blocking than an average vehicle.
anything larger/wider than an SUV





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Anything over a certain length with living quarter, for example, a converted school bus, should be on the list.
Anything people can live in should be included.
Anything should be allowed be parked in the homeowners drive way. They own it!
Anything smaller than a minivan (e.g. tent trailer, utility trailer etc)
Anything someone can live in should be included.
Anything that falls under "Vanlife" category.
Anything that has a bed in it
Anything that is designed to be parked for a long time, like, over a week or 10 days
Anything that is not a car or truck should be included in this list
Anything that potentially impedes the view at a residential corner, due to size.
Anything the size of a large pick up or smaller should not be included. What is the difference between a pick or a small RV being parked on a driveway?
Anything the size of a minivan or smaller should be allowed with no restrictions (tent trailer, utility trailer etc.) otherwise as long as it doesn't obstruct sidewalk 72-96 hours is reasonable within season. Fines in CSB should reflect same in Streets
Anything to big that hangs off your driveway, so people have to walk around onto the street to get by...
Anything used as a daily driver should not be included, particularly class B van conversions.
Anything with a wheels and a hitch, or has wheels and bed, should be be part of this definition.
Anything you can cook and live in, should be considered an RV.
Appears inclusive of many types, no changes needed.
As a Calgary Tax Payer / Home Owner and former RV Owner I have no concerns with this. In fact I encourage City Tax Payers / Home Owners storing their RV units on their Property.
As defined
As long as it actually fits on the driveway
As long as it completely fits on the property driveway, I have no issue. So no, no size restrictions
As long as it fits in your drive, there shouldnt be any restriction.
as long as it is not unsightly, this could be opened to small equipment trailers, a semi tractor.
as long as it's not on the street i don't see why it's anyones buisness.
As long as the trailer is clearly used for camping and not a work trailer!
As long as they are not junky let them park in their driveway
ATV
ATV & trailers to transport these vehicles should be included in the existing list.
ATV or motorcycles on trailer
ATV trailer
ATV trailers
ATV Trailers, utility trailers should be included.
atv trailers; motorcycke trailers - any trailer that is used to transport other vehicles.
ATV's and related transport trailers should also be included.
Atv's should also be included
ATV's, and quad like vehicles should be included as they are visually unappealing.
ATVs, side-by-sides, jet skis and snowmobiles should also be considered in this definition.
ATVs/bobcats. They're also recreational vehicles and are an eyesore if parked on driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

ATVs/offroad vehicles and the trailers used to haul them
Atvxs
Av trailer, horse trailer, U-Haul trailer should be included as well as anything persons are living in
Back of truck campers should not be listed. The vehicle itself is a passenger vehicle, registered as such. They need to be treated this way.
Bare trailers (car, boat) without occupant
Base it on vehicle height and length (how much it reduces visibility)
Because
Because of obstructed sight lines, maybe should include trailers higher than 8 Ft. that may hold cars, recreational equipment or materials used in a commercial business.
big trucks,
Bins for construction materials could have differnet timelines, but they should not interfere with visibility or safety. When a home was burned down, the father was not allowed to stay onsite; there should be special exemptions. Perhaps
Block line of sight for front driveways for parking. Not pleasing for look of neighborhood.
boat
Boat
Boat - Only RV's that offer a form of accommodation should be regulated. Boats with no cabin on a trailer should be exempt. Anyone that owns one would likely prefer it on the water as opposed to land, so any legitimate storage is likely short term.
Boat and boat trailer shouldn't be considered. A boat is not a road vehicle, and why should a boat trailer be considered but a car trailer is not?
Boat and tent trailer as they are low in height and don't obstruct anyones views.
Boat and trailer should not be considered RVs. They do not need to be parked on the street or near our house at any time.
Boat and trailer to transport boat should not be included
Boat on a trailer doesn't seem to fit
Boat should not be allowed
Boat should not be included. It doesn't meet the criteria, unless it's a large boat with lodging.
Boat shouldn't be considered unless it's a houseboat
boat trailer should not be included.
Boat trailers
Boat, as it's not used as a means of shelter. An RV can be used in a driveway as an alternative to allowing relatives or visitors stay in a hotel.
Boat, trailer used to transport. These are not used for accommodation.
Boats
Boats - smaller and not as much of an eye sore
Boats and large RV's should be excluded. Suggest smaller pop up trailers to be stored in the driveway.
Boats and resorts because you can't live on a boat.
Boats and tent trailer would be fine for longer periods as they are not as tall and do not restrict the visual of oncoming traffic I do not think people should be staying in these vehicles while parked on the street
Boats and tent trailers are small and no different than the thousands of commercial vehicles parked around the city.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Boats and tent trailers as they usually not that large
Boats and trailers that transport any of the above.
boats and watercraft aren't houses so shouldn't be considered.
Boats are boats not RVs and should be classified separately. But they should still be allowed to be parked where an RV is. Which is anywhere on private property.
boats or other recreational water based activities, Rv trailers but others too, storage containers, etc should be included. you own a property, you should be allowed to store what you want in a legal matter.
Boats or small trailers, they are small and you need quick access to them
Boats should not be considered as they do not provide temporary accommodation. What about vans that have been converted to a living space (i.e. camper vans)?
Boats should not be considered. Boats should be in the same category as ATVs or OHV vehicles.
Boats should not be included
Boats should not be included in the RV definition unless they have a substantial live aboard suite.
Boats should not be included in this classification. Only vehicles for camping/sleeping in.
Boats should not be included, or at the very least the definition should be clarified to specify a certain length of boat. Small craft on small trailers should not be restricted the same as a large motorhome.
Boats should not be included. Who lives on a boat in Calgary?
Boats shouldn't be included if other off-road vehicles aren't.
Boats shouldn't be classified as an RV. Mainly as this is too vague of a definition. Just saying boats would include any canoe, kayak, rowing boat, or paddle boat. It should be specific as motorboats.
Boats that include kayaks and canoes
Boats that provide accommodations are a subset of what are considered boats. Boats as a whole should have their own regulations.
Boats under 14 feet should be ok for parking. Low height tent trailers don't obstruct views. But when anything like this is allowed then the cars are on the roads which leads to more parking and safety issues with people coming out onto the road between.
Boats, because they are way too much fun, and if you have one, you should be able to park it on your property
Boats, recreational trailers and industrial trailers should be considered in this definition. Allowing these types of units to be parked on streets and driveways will add dangers to sight lines and add to the clutter and abuse of on-street parking.
Boats, tent trailers and small trailers should not be included. Anything you can tow with an SUV should not be considered under the RV rule
Boats, Tent Trailers, transport trailer - if the concern is people living out of an RV on their driveway then there's no need to restrict these.
Boats, trailer,
Boats. Boats should be treated more along the lines of cargo trailers, car trailers, snowmobile trailers etc. Easy to fit in a driveway, not big ugly jail cells on wheels like RVs. Don't mind boats.
Boats. Transport trailers. Anything that someone couldn't sleep in.
Broken down non operational and unregistered vehicles
Bus

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Bus conversions
Buses that are converted into RV's should be included in this conversation. They are big and used as a vacation home.
Buses that have (or are in the process) of being converted into an RV.
Buses that have been converted into a recreational vehicle
Buses that have been converted into campers
Buses that have been turned into campers
Buses, converted small buses, basically any vehicle equipped for sleeping.
Buses. Private tour buses parked in driveways impact the view along the street as well. Derelict vehicles should have a limit as well.
Business fifth wheel trailers, utility trailers should not be allowed to park either and should be under the same current rules as the above RV definition. I know on our street these types park on the street/front driveway all the time.
Business or personal vehicles with trailers. Trucks with large canopy's or similar toppers
Busses, cube vans and sometimes they are converted.
Camper
Camper - this is a bit confusing as it is a very broad term. If this is for track mounted camper then no, it does not belong in the list unless the camper is removed from the truck.
Camper and tent trailer should NOT be considered RVs. They are small, often smaller than ordinary vehicles.
Camper because it would normally reside on a truck. If including, does that mean the camper would be removed and stored as is? If so, I believe that creates a problem.
camper should not be considered as they have always been exempt before
camper should not be considered.
Camper trucks are still RV's
Camper van
Camper van
Camper van
Camper van
Camper van if that falls under camper category. We used to own one and used it as our main vehicle for general transportation for our family. It was no bigger than any other van.
Camper van is not necessarily an rv
Camper van should not. This is a daily driver that is also used for recreational purposes.
Camper van. Many vans have been converted into sleepers. Any vehicle with a designed sleeping area should fall in this category
Camper vans
Camper Vans
Camper vans (dodge sprinters)
Camper vans are included
camper vans are not RV's
Camper Vans below 21" should not be considered RVs. This is because of their small size, and because they are aesthetically the same as regular vans.
camper vans if they are smaller and the size of a vans others are able to park on their driveway
Camper vans maybe.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Camper vans might not always fit this. With cost of living increases, many people are basically using vans as their primary residence.
Camper vans need to be considered along with length. Leave nothing to interpretation. Clarity is needed here.
Camper Vans or Class B as they are known
Camper Vans should be added
Camper vans should be included
Camper vans should be included
Camper vans should be included
Camper vans should be included. They are unsightly, often Old, unkempt, and are being used as illegal dwellings in front driveways, rear alleys and streets.
Camper vans should not be included in this category - they tend to be the same size as passenger vans, pose no issues with visibility, easy to park in a residential area, and often a vehicle serves both as passenger van during the week and camper on wken
Camper vans should not be included. I use this to commute as well as camp occasionally.
Camper vans shouldn't count because they are shorter and don't take up too much space. RV parking should only be limited by the length of your driveway.
Camper vans that look like cube vans should be included.
Camper vans, both the regular and home made versions (especially the tall vans) should all be included.
Camper Vans, they are identical in footprint to regular vans used by most trades
Camper vans.
Camper vans. They are small and can fit nicely in a driveway. Does not block views if parked properly.
Camper vans. Such as the large Mercedes or Ford models which people park year round on our streets. They take up two parking spots.
Camper vans. Taller than normal and restrict visual view of neighbours
Camper vans. They are smaller and less intrusive
Camper which is mounted on the back of a pickup truck & driven at least every week
Camperised vehicles
Camperized vans or buses
Camperized vans should be added
Campers
Campers as long as they are attached to the truck should not be counted as recreational/travel vehicle. They are small and attached. They aren't a trailer.
Campers mounted on a truck , between May and Oct. difficult to unload, damage more possible to the truck, the entire unit takes up similar footprint / and obstructs visibility same as tradesmen trucks/vans
Campers or smaller trailers. If when it is open it is lower than the top of a garage and it fits on the driveway it should be ok.
Campers should not be considered in this definition because they are the same footprint as a truck.
Campers that need to be hitched to be moved are a different category from camper vans with the cab in the unit.
Campervan may need to be included as more citizens own it and park on the street.
Campervan, they are usually smaller though but issues



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Campervans because they could be also the main mode of transportation. Tent trailers and utility trailers of similar size. They are usually no larger than SUV's or pickups and if they are less sightly is a question of taste.
Campervan's should be considered - they are all the rage now
Camping Trailers and Marine Transport Devices
can't think of any
can't think of any right now
Can't think of anything else.
car haulers
Car haulers
Cargo and flat bed trailers are a gray area. Flatbed could be classified as trailer to transport an RV and cargo trailers are being converted into RVs
Cargo or utility trailers converted to provide temporary accommodation for recreational or travel purposes.
Cargo trailer as you could argue its a trailer used to tow a boat (zodiak)
Cargo trailer, cube van. Basically the same size just without markings or furnished interiors
Cargo trailer. Some people have converted them for recreational and travel use
Cargo trailers
Cargo trailers and converted cargo trailers into living areas. Converted commercial vans into living areas.
Cargo Trailers and Sea Cans. These take up as much or more room on streets and driveways. We have had constant issues with the inability to see around them when exiting our driveway. They are also an eyesore reducing property values.
Cargo trailers for work should not be included. People should be allowed to do maintenance on rv trailers, up to atleast a week.
Cargo trailers should be included but should be given 7 days but not unlimited.
Cargo trailers with hitch
Cargo trailers. My neighborhood once had a homeowner with a huge 350 Ford truck and a 25' foot extra wide cargo trailer. He had to park it down from his home on the road by the mailboxes. Turning for oncoming traffic was difficult
Cars and pickups used for routine personal travel should be allowed on front driveways
Cars, trucks, etc. that are parked for more than 30 days should also be included
Class "B" campervans, maximum length 20 feet, should be excluded. These may be used as a primary family vehicle and fit in a standard parking stall at a shopping mall.
Class B should not be considered as many use as daily driver
Class-B motorhomes ("Camper Vans") should not be considered. If they don't take up additional space compared to a commercial or personal vehicle, it should not matter to the city.
Closed tent trailers and flat decks that fit completely on the driveway are fine as they do not obstruct sight lines.
Commercial cargo trailers that hitch to a vehicle
Commercial cube vans
Commercial or work vehicles towing equipment such as landscaping equipment
Commercial trailer/horse trailer or van/sprinter converted to a stealth urban camper. They avoid the above but are still used in the same capacity.
Commercial Trailers



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Commercial trailers should be included they should not be allowed to park on the street for more than 12 hours and should have to leave at that time without returning for at least 12 hours.
Commercial trucks
Commercial trucks and trailers with a have of 4500 kgs
Commercial vehicles
Commercial vehicles over 1 ton should be included. My neighbour has not moved his 3 ton truck from the front of his house on the city owned street for 9 months. Not even for street cleaning. City will do nothing about it. It is an eyesore \$500 000 houses
Commercial vehicles should be added
Commercial vehicles should be included
Commercial vehicles such as cube and 3/4 ton trucks. Trucks larger than 1/2 ton trucks should be included
Commercial vehicles.
Commercial vehicles/trailers (non semi trucks): For example, a framer might have a work trailer with ladders on it etc, and those are often eyesores
Commercially used trailers or vehicles
Commercu or non certified by Transport Canada vehicles
Company trucks and/or those with landscaping trailers
Company vans such as HVAC, electrical, etc.
Construction / contractor utility trailers with two axels should be included. Please note vacation trailers with slide out extensions are a serious safety issue!!
Construction equipment
Construction trailers of all types cause the same concerns as RV or 5th wheel.
Construction trailers should be considered in this category as well
Construction trailers/flatbeds
Construction trailers
Construction Utility/Storage trailers
Construction vehicles
Construction, livestock, waste trailers and the like should not be included as allowable. They create negative impact on the community. I do not see any reference to restricting the maximum size of these types of trailers. Increase of garbage from these.
Consuder Trailer used for moving or storage pod. They are an also placed on streets and driveways.
Contractor style "box trailers" should be included in this definition as many use them to haul quads, motorbikes and other recreational equipment.
Contractor trailer
Contractor Trailers - see more of them each year and they leave them on the street for months at a time.
Contractor trailers, should be added, visibility issues, I get this is a separate issue
Converted ambulance, transit van. Both can be used for the same purpose.
Converted buses and vans and sprinters
Converted buses and vans should be added.
Converted buses should be added
Converted Buses, 'Camper' Vans
Converted camper van that is smaller.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Converted cargo vans (may already fall under motor homes)
Converted motor vehicles that have a bed stove and refrigerator.
Converted school and commuter buses. Your list does not identify them and that could be seen as a loophole.
Converted school bus and ATV trailer should be included
Converted vans
Converted vans
Converted vans and or buses should be included.
Converted vans should not be included in the definition, functionally they are no different than a van.
Converted vans, busses and tiny homes should be included
Converted vans. These vehicles are seldom moved. Since they don't fall under the definition of a Motor Home, they basically take front drive space, decrease parking on the street and are unesthetic.
Converted vehicles with propane and or sewage.
Cube truck, often converted for living in
Cube vans which are also used for travel purposes.
Cube vans, dually trucks, oversized work trucks, van dwellings.
Current definition and list is adequate.
current definition is acceptable
Current definition is acceptable
Current definition is appropriate
Current definition is fine
Current definition is fine
Current definition is fine if
Current definition is fine.
Current definition is good, however it would seem like there is room to expand the definition to include large trailers that are not specifically accomodation based - there is increasing # of BIG trailers parked on streets.
Current definition is ok
Current definition is ok.
Current definition seems adequate.
Current definition seems fine
Current definition seems to encompass all
Current definitions seem fine.
Current description is fine
Current included vehicles seem resonable to me
Current list covers the majority of RV types. I imagine there are other bylaws that cover personal and commercial vehicles.
Current list is fine
Current restrictions are good
Current RV definition seems adequate.
D block Yes, I live across from a park, many many times vans, campers. RVs have parked overnight and left garbage and other lovely (not) thing behind.You own a motor home or what ever find it a proper parking spot so the eyesore isn't there for me to see
Definitely large RVs.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Definition above is good
Definition appears adequate.
Definition 'as is' is ok with me
Definition correct
definition is acceptable
Definition is appropriate
Definition is complete
Definition is correct but busses should be added (e.g. school bus, smaller transport busses)
Definition is fine
Definition is fine
Definition is fine as is.
Definition is fine.
Definition is fine.
Definition is fine.
Definition is fine.
Definition is good
Definition is good
Definition is good
Definition is good
Definition is OK
Definition is okay
Definition is satisfactory
Definition is sufficient
Definition is suitable.
Definition looks fine.
Definition seems fair
Definition seems fine
Definition seems fine as is
Definition seems okay to me.
definition should stand
Definitions are clear and fair
Delivery vans thanks to stealth campers
Depending on the size, probably not a motor home as it's also a motor vehicle and many are smaller than large trucks.
Description fits
Description is complete
Detached holiday trailers that sit on front drives all winter
Diesel Pusher
Do not allow large trucks.
doesNot matter.
Doesn't matter, it's their property. Leave them alone with what they want to park there.
Don't know enough to say what should be added, the current list looks fine
Don't have a specific comment on the definition of an RV ...but support a definition that captures RVs in general (ie layman definition of what it is)
Dually trucks, cube vans.
Enclosed Aluminum Trailers, contractor trailers

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Enclosed and flat deck cargo trailers should also be included
Enclosed trailer, tow along trailer, motorhome under certain length should be removed
Enclosed trailers for transporting snowmobiles, dirt bikes etc should be included in the definition (toy haulers). They seem to fit the same definition of a vehicle used for recreation.
Enclosed trailers used for hauling
Esthetics for number 1, the safety is another huge issues. With elevated catalytic converter thefts on the rise, why wouldn't this invite a higher potential?
Eva Green
Everything has become expensive and some people use RV as a home. I know many people who use rvs all year round for work and hunting. As long as it's not blocking the sidewalk or on the road I say let them be on driveway.
Everything in the category fits and nothing seems to be missing.
Everything on the list is an RV
Exceptions should be made for a small rv (van style) that a resident uses as their "commute" vehicle (less than 22 ft in length).
exclusions should be Tent Trailers and Campers and they do not adversely limit visibility
Exclusions: Future RV's that have Lithium Ion Batteries to also act as backup power for homes. RV that is aesthetically appealing. E.g. Airstream
Existing definition is reasonable
Existing definitions are sufficient
Extra large RV's should not be parked
Family homes owning multiple vehicles that exceed the driveway, garage space and take up permanent street parking.
Fifth wheel travel trailers could be allowed since they are closer to the size of an ordinary vehicle.
Fifth wheels as they are very big. Also, anything above 30' should have a separate category/name and more stricter rules.
Fifth-wheel travel trailer, boat, Trailer used to transfer any of the above
Fine as it is.
Firstly, vehicles longer than 30' are too long to be parked on driveways
Flat bed / dump trailer, it takes up the same amount of space and in some cases they are just used a storage area without intent of moving the trailer
Flat deck m, enclosed, or construction trailers. Often used for recreational vehicles
Flat deck trailers - often used recreationally and are theoretically less 'intrusive' (if that is the issue).
Flat trailers - transport Bikes/ATVs
Flatbed trailers should not be included because they can be used for things beyond transporting an RV.
Flatbeds, Semi Trucks, School Buses
Folded down tent trailer need not be included or small water vessels May 24 to October 1.
Food trucks and any RV's converted to food vendor should not be allowed either!
Freehold land should allow owners to park RVs on their own property provided they fit within the driveway
From my experience with what is parked on our street and on the driveways of my neighbours, RV should include all types of motor homes, 5th wheel, etc. and boats.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Genuinely think the city should have no say in how long an RV sits in a driveway (so long as it is in sound condition)
Go to 535 deerpath Court S.E.
Good
Good as is
Good definition
Good idea to extend the time
Half ton truck (pickup) with a camper attached to (in/on) the bed should be considered an RV.
Hall trucks
Happy with definitions.
Heavy equipment such as semi's, dump trucks, etc.
Hitched vehicles generally.
Homeowners should be allowed to park any type of vehicle on their driveway or property as long as it does not block sidewalks. I see no difference between parking RVs in your backyard or on your driveway. It should be allowed with no restrictions.
Horse and recreational vehicle trailers (motor-x and skidoos)
Horse trailer
Horse trailer
Horse trailer - many are the size of a small motor home and are often used by tradesmen who work out of their homes.
Horse Trailer and Dropped Moving Storage Containers as well to be in the definition. They are here more than 36 hours.
Horse trailer or fully enclosed work trailer.
Horse trailer to be added. Cube Van to be added.
Horse trailers and utility trailers
Horse trailers should not be allowed to park in front as they smell and are unsightly.
Horse trailers. A bit too big.
How about you just leave people alone instead of trying to control every aspect of their lives - lower your taxes
However rv is defined in size/registration
Huge Cube Vans should be considered, there is one parked on our street that never moves.
Huge trailers and motorhomes
Huge trailers/5th wheels/motorhome shouldn't be allowed to park for full season on a front driveway. They must be back of the front of the house or possibly in the backyard as long as they are not impacting the neighbours' sightlines or access to light.
I agree that RV's block sights especially turnings in communities. Exterior look of house is compromised. Kids in Summer playing in communities cause safety concerns
I agree with above list but add large trucks eg. moving vans, etc.
I agree with all listed.
I agree with all of the vehicles that are defined in the community standard by law.
I agree with all the above
I agree with the above
I agree with the above examples of RV. Happy that boats are included in the definition
I agree with the above list
I agree with the above list.
I agree with the above list.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I agree with the bylaw as it stands now
I agree with the current definition
I agree with the current definition
I agree with the current definition of an RV.
I agree with the current definition of an RV. You should add cars being stored on the driveway with a cover on. Makes the neighborhood look terrible.
I agree with the current definition of RV.
I agree with the current definition.
I agree with the current definitions
I agree with the current definitions.
I agree with the current definitions.
I agree with the current list
I agree with the current standard
I agree with the current definition
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition
I agree with the definition above.
I agree with the definition as it stands
I agree with the definition used in the current bylaw
I agree with the definition.
I agree with the existing definition.
I agree with the present definition
I agree with the present list of vehicles listed in the Bylaw.
I agree with the RV list above and agree that they all should be included.
I agree with the vehicles currently listed in the bylaw
I agree with what is stated.
I am fine with the current definition of an RV
I am fine with the current definition, I think it covers most vehicles.
I am happy with the current definition.
I am satisfied with the existing list
I am satisfied with the provided list.
I am sure the above units would not stay long on people's property, may stay longer than 37 Hrs.
I believe all the vehicles listed above are correct in being defined as an RV.
I believe definitions provided are adequate.
I believe tent trailers and small RV 's should be able to park on their driveways during the Summer camping Season
I believe that all of these vehicles fall under the same category since they are large and will be used on a seasonal basis.
I believe that boats should most definitely not be considered in this definition due to the seasonal usage of boats.
I believe that horse/cattle/livestock trailers should also be included in the definition of RV as they are either used commercially or recreationally which, by definition is a recreational vehicle.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe that the current definition is fine.
I believe that the definition is adequate.
I believe that the existing list is adequate
I believe the city should have no say in what people park in their privately owned driveways. It's not their property, not their business.
I believe the current definition is accurate
I believe the current definition of RV is a proper definition and should remain the same.
I believe the definition fits well.
I Believe the definition is accurate.
I believe the definition is correct
I believe the definition of RVs is sufficient at this time. If boats are covered under this definition then snowmobiles should be as well.
I believe the definition should include all large vehicles, my neighbour parks his garbage truck on his driveway just outside my front window for days in a row and it can stink on hot days!
I believe the definition should include only those vehicles and trailers specifically designed for accommodation of humans and should get revised rules, all other trailers should stay in the category with the same rules
I believe the definition should include some full size vans also
I believe the existing definition is appropriate.
I believe the list is accurate.
I believe the list needs to be expanded to included buses and anything the can impair line of site.
I believe the list should include all those listed above.
I believe there should be height and length restrictions
I believe they all are appropriate for the definition
I believe they are all covered
I believe this list is accurate
I believe utility trailers should be included in this definition.
I believe you're definition covers it well.
I can't think of any others
I cannot think of another vehicle, I think you have pretty much covered them all.
I cannot think of any
I do agree. It makes our streets unsafe for pedestrians and children. Too many big vehicles and rvs not only looks trashy., most importantly it puts our children and pets at risk of being hit more from too much blockage
I do not believe a boat or a trailer to transport should be part of the definition. A boat unlike a camper or trailer is not a live in vehicle.
I do not believe that work trailers should be considered in this - people have to be able to safeguard the assets they need to earn a living - support local contractors
I do not believe there are any additional vehicles that should be listed under this definition
I do not see need for change
I do not think that a camper on the back of a pick up truck should be considered an RV.
I don't have a problem with RVs in Their mown driveway or out front of their home for a day or two but to have them consistently stay out front all summer should not be permitted. People live in them all year round.
I don't know

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't know
I don't think RV's should be parked on a front driveway permanently. It impedes visibility for on coming traffic and pedestrians for neighbours backing out of their driveway. Tv parking on back parking pads and side yards with back access should be ok
I don't think that anything larger than a tent trailer or landscaping trailer should be park on driveway as it would block visibility and be a danger to pedestrians.
I don't think this should include a tent trailer because they are more compact.
I don't believe so.
I don't have an opinion on the definition
I don't think boats and trailers should be included.
I don't think so. Their driveway, their business.
I don't think that trailers used to transport waste or livestock should be allowed. I also think that a motorhome is way to large to have parked up front for a season.
I don't think the city can tell you what type of recreational vehicle you can park or not park on your private property. Many places allow all year round RV parking. I think messy yards should be thought of way more as a safety/esthetics concern.
I don't think the definition needs to be changed I believe people should be able to keep them on their property as their property.
I don't think trailers or boats should be included.
I don't think utility trailers or boats should be included
I feel camperized vans (igloo) vans as there was one parked in front of my house all winter long and it was an eye sore.
I feel like all those listed apply. Perhaps a long flatbed trailer for the purposes of hauling ATVs as they can add to visual impacts.
I feel like the shorter vehicles ( height and length) could be excluded. I think main issue is super tall or long RVs. For example, if the RV is comparable to another vehicle dimensions it could be excluded..
I feel that a COLLAPSED tent trailer should have different rules due to their size, don't obstruct height based view, can't be lived in while collapsed.
I feel that the current definition adequately covers what an RV is or isn't.
I feel the definition is comprehensive and does not need altering.
I feel the recreational vehicle towing trailers should be included. An example would be a trailer that hauls dirtbikes.
I feel the vehicles listed capture what defines an RV.
I feel this definition is reasonable
I find the current list to be comprehensive and accurate.
I have a camper van but I don't want it on the list because I should be able to park it on the property that I own and pay for because it should be my property and not the property of the government.
I have a camper van, I don't know if that is included in the definition. It takes up a smaller footprint than most pickup trucks and I was unaware it had any parking limits on it at all. Thankfully no one has ever called bylaw on me.
I have a utility trailer that is sometimes parked in my driveway. I've never been sure if this is allowed under the current by-law.
I like the current definition, motor boats need some restrictions as well.
I like the status quo definition



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I personally think their property their business unless it's a horrible I sore. Some people like to have there trailers park on their property as this city is getting bad for stolen trailers and such
I think a collapsed tent trailer and a boat (up to a maximum height) could be excluded
I think all of the above should be considered in the definition.
I think all recreational vehicles should be parked in proper storage venues.
I think all should be included
I think all the currently defined RV's in the Bylaw are correct.
I think all types of RVs should be allowed to park on private property that is paved. This includes front driveways As long as it fits on the driveway.
I think all vehicles listed above, should continue to be considered RVs
I think all vehicles should be allowed to park at a resident's home provided they are registered and in working condition
I think any RV — motor home. Fifth wheel. Tent trailer should not be parked on the street. Too hard to see around and impedes access
I think any vehicle equipped with sleeping facilities should be considered in the definition. They present the same concerns as traditional travel trailers and motor homes.
I think any vehicle that is of such a size that it would obscure views/ sightlines etc or be a hazard to mobility. i.e. if someone has a vehicle on their driveway that extends onto the public sidewalk, that vehicle should never be allowed for any time
I think as long as it is attached to an engin in some way it should be allowed to pass
I think ATVs should be added to the definition. They are recreational vehicles that cannot be used within the city and should be subject to similar regulations as other recreational vehicles. They also cause the same aesthetic concerns.
I think commercial vehicles should not be allowed to park on residential streets
I think current bylaw is good
I think everything is listed already.
I think it is important to add Vans. With the increase in self-converted sleeping units like Sprinters and SUVs for van life, and since they serve the same purpose, they should be explicitly included.
I think it should be all trailers including construction trailers.
i think it should be based on size or something other than defined use of the vehicle. I can't have a tent trailer, but can I have a cargo trailer if im an electrician? What about a Chevy express van that ive modified to camp in? Where's the line drawn?
I think it's too hard to say.
I think it's okay
I think junk old cars not useable should be included. Front driveways should have to be kept tidied.
I think maybe size should be considered. My concern is visibility and a small tent trailer doesn't obstruct sightlines whereas a giant fifth wheel does.
I think most people understand what an Recreational Vehicle is.
I think no extra parking should be allowed for any other vehicles that the one's we drive. You let one type park and then the flood gates are open for snowmobiles, atv's etc. I would not want that in my neighborhood. It is final no to Rv's parking.
I think profile should be a consideration. For example, a tent trailer that is folded down is less visually intrusive than one that is left open.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think RV need to be parked else where. I find it very dangerous and can't see children or ppl walking.
I think RV parking should be allowed on the homeowner property ( driveway) for the camping season. I don't understand 36 hour rule that is currently in place. I don't want to see RV parked on the streets. Perhaps try as a pilot project. Thank you. Anna
I think rvs and motor homes should be able to be parked on private property front or back so long as it doesn't block sidewalks or access to public space
I think size should be included
I think smaller ones are ok (mini van, short sprinter), vans etc. but the bigger sprinter vans etc. are too big for a driveway!
I think tent trailers and campers on truck beds should be different
I think that the definition of recreational vehicles is accurate and comprehensive.
I think that the size of the RV's should factor into the consideration. Some are so large that it's actually ridiculous they are parked in driveways.
I think that there should be no extended privileges for parking any of these vehicles in front or by houses.
I think that vehicles that could be reasonable defined and used as a second mode of day to day transportation should not be defined as an RV.
I think that, potentially, converted vans could be included (van life vehicles) depending on their size and modifications. Normal looking can, okay. Van that looks like a camper, maybe not okay.
I think the above definition is correct.
I think the current bylaw is comprehensive
I think the current definition is accurate.
I think the current definition is adequate
I think the current definition is good
I think the current definition makes sense.
I think the current definitions are reasonable
I think the definition above is good!
I think the definition fits.
I think the definition is appropriate.
I think the definition is appropriate.
I think the definition is complete the way it is.
I think the definition is fine
I think the definition is inclusive enough
I think the definition is perfect for all of these. None are used to transport people and are more used for recreational or business use.
I think the definition is sufficient, I expect there is another section/definition for commercial vehicles?
I think the definition should include any off-road vehicle.
I think the definition suits the described vehicles well.
I think the existing definition is fine
I think the list encompasses what could be defended or used as an "RV"
I think the list is fine and clear enough as to what is an RV
I think the list seems complete
I think the listed vehicles should apply.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think the rules in place are fine. What should be addressed is the use of sea cans for storage left long term on driveways. There just as intuitive as RV's left in place to long.
I think the RV definition should include a maximum length to be able to park them instead of looking only at a type a vehicle.
I think the term "Boat" should be changed to motor boat. I have no problem with small row boats / drift boats on someones driveway (however do not park it on the street for longer than 36 hours). Motor Boats can be huge and Ghastly. differentiate them!
I think there needs to be a clear defined definition of motor home as there different types of unit including camperized vans. For example, if it has sleeping quarters, cooking facilities, bathroom, furnace as some examples would be a motorhome.
I think there should be a time limit for dead vehicles parked on driveways and no one should be parking on their lawn.
I think these are appropriately listed
I think they should all be considered, as they block vision of the roadway and are often dilapidated anti and unpleasant to look at. They distract from the street scape and often overhang the sidewalk, disrupting pedestrian traffic. They are Safety haza
I think they should all be included.
I think this bylaw should expand to any vehicle that is consistently parked on roadways that hinder the flow of traffic. My neighbour consistently parks his large truck/equipment on the road. It drives me crazy!
I think this covers it
I think this covers the recreational list.
I think this definition is fine
I think this is an adequate list
I think this is not the issue. The issue is the size of a vehicle on the drive.
I think unusable cars should be included. I think of a car in my community that was in blocks with no wheels for months and months and it looks horrible
I think Utility work trailers and school busses should be restricted from public residential streets. Keep it on your driveway as long as you want as long as it is operational (not falling apart).
I think what is defined is fine
I think what is listed is sufficient
I think you have a good definition.
I think you have the definition correct
I think you need different definition options to seperate out the monster RVs from the tiny Boler trailers and tent trailers
I think your definition is all inclusive.
I think your grey zone here is VW Vans and Sprinter Vans as spatially they are no different than an SUV or MiniVan
I think your list is complete.
I thinkAll stated should be considered
I was going to say if you could move it by hand proly not an "RV", so I don't see why it can't be in a drive way. Is a boat ok? if not then a tent/teardrop trailer isn't either... but if it is... not sure why they would be treated differently.
I would add truck camper. All others listed should remain.
I would also like to include horse trailers and any large business trailer



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would like construction vehicles or trailers to be included in the way of not blocking sidewalks. I have lived beside a house under renovation where the trailer was parked on the sidewalk such that people had to walk on the icy road. Nevermind garbage
I would not add any more vehicles
I would think that it is size dependent. Particularly the trailer used for transport= needs a better definition
I'm good with the definition. Open to a more inclusive definition, but Nothing jump out as missing
I'd like a size criteria. Some of the small campers and tent trailers are fine but the mammoth trailers and campers do not belong in front yards.
If a boat is an RV then quads, snowmobiles, etc. are too
If any of the above listed vehicles are parked on private property, not impeding the sidewalk, I don't believe they should be subject to a fine
If it is certified as an RV then it matches. Example. Certified class A,B,C motor home etc..
If it's registered as a utility trailer it shouldn't be.
If the vehicle is a licensed RV, it should fall under this definition.
If they do not have wheels, they should not be able to be parked. This isn't a spot for temporary homes, just vehicles.
If trailers are parked on driveways then the people will be parking on the street and there will be no other parking for company or anyone else. RV's are big and long and make the community look junky! Most people park longer than the 36 hour rule!
If you can sleep/live in it it's an RV.
In my area it seems more people are getting RV's and motorhomes and parking them on the street or on their driveways all summer long and longer if they can. it ties up the street parking just for convenience to go get them.
In my opinion a homeowner should have the right to keep any type of vehicle in their driveway or on their property
In my opinion all the vehicles are covered on the list provided
In my opinion the definition of RV vehicles does not need changing.
In my opinion, the dictionary definition of an RV should suffice.
In my opinion. Travel trailer or tent trailer that can be folded should be allowed to park in the driveway or front yard as long as the trailer is active and its not falling apart or not usable.
Include A modified Sprinter van or any other vehicle that has been modified to be slept in. Reason: if motorhome is included, why not converted vans.
Include all the above
Include all trailers that area used for hauling of off road vehicles
Include any trailer with or without, for snowmobile or skido , these take up parking spaces in street
Include any type of trailer
Include boats
Include camper van to eliminate people arguing over semantics
Include converted van campers
Include horse trailers - many ppl in Calgary have one.
Include school buses that have been converted into recreational vehicles.
Include snow machines and ATV's.
include them all - current definition is enough

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Include trailers of any sort, like those used to transport skidoos.
Including all trailers and truck campers off vehicles should be added
Inclusive. Do Not remove any of those
Industrial and commercial trailers should be included.
Is a "roof-top tent" an RV? Lot's of people have those, but I don't think that they fit in the current definitions. What about a Volkswagen Westfalia? Is that an RV?.
It doesn't matter how you define them. The focus should be to ensure they are contained on a private parcel.
It is accurate
It is fine the way it is stated.
It is private property. People should be allowed to park whatever they want on their property. The city should not be involved or interfering.
It is well defined already, covering a range of similar vehicle types.
It should be okay for the owners to park their rv on their property
It should not be limited to those vehicles only.
It's an encompassing definition
It's fine as it is
It's trendy now to convert panel vans into campers or old ambulances so these vehicles should also be included
It's a god list.
its a fine definition
Its absolutely silly that people can't park these things in thier property
Junk vehicles
Just leave people alone and let them do what they want with their own property
Keep the current list
Keep the definition as it currently is
Kids riding bikes and skateboards down their driveway into the road will NOT be visible with an RV on the driveway. PETS and small kids often run into the roadway as well. Cars backing up will not have proper sightlines. RV on front drives - not safe!!
Large box or cube vans should be included.
Large camper vans - they take up similar space and reduce visibility on streets, etc.
Large camper vans should be included
Large cargo vans
Large commercial vehicles like vacuum trucks that are a small business and are parked in front of the owner's residence. Regular sized trucks with a haul type of trailer attached.
Large cube trucks should also be included, home based businesses using these large trucks are often angled in the alleys and too long parked on the street
Large cube vans
Large enclosed utility box trailers - because they take up as much room as a tent trailer or boat on the road or a person's property. In addition: buses, mini-buses and old ambulance vehicles that have been retrofitted to be work or recreational vehicles.
Large industrial trucks i.e. semi cabs should have restrictions for parking in residential neighbourhoods.
Large motor homes and boats
Large motor homes or 5th wheel as they block visibility.
Large motorhomes

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Large recreational vehicles such as vans should fit into this category. A vehicle that is not used for practical driving purposes or as a commuter vehicle and has a tv, beds and a kitchen inside it should absolutely fit into this category.
Large run down busses
Large RVs Should be allowed no more than 24 hours
Large storage trailers and ATV trailers should also be included. They serve a similar purpose, are of a similar size and have a similar aesthetic.
Large trailer used to transport water crafts and snowmobiles
Large trailers such as fifth wheels should not be allowed, they are unsightly and block views. No trailer of any kind should not be able to be parked on a street as it may block parking for neighbours and is unsightly
Large trailers.
Large trailers/fifth wheels, motor homes, camper vans, and pitched tent trailers should be considered RV's since people can live in them. Boats and transport trailers should not since they can not serve a practical purpose sitting still in a driveway
Large utility trailers or horse trailers
large vans as they obstruct sight line the same as RV's
Large vans that have been modified for the purposes of living in should also be included in the definition.
Large vehicles like buses, semi trucks should be considered. They have a large profile similar to RVs
Large vehicles such as "Sprinter" vans that have been modified for the purpose of living in permanently or temporarily should also be considered recreational vehicles.
Large work trucks
Large work trucks, not pick ups but commercial vehicles. They shouldn't be parked at a person's home.
Large work trucks. They are no different than rv's
Large work type vehicles should be included - Sprinter-type vans, smaller crane trucks, buses. Vehicles over a certain size and height.
Large work vehicle or trailer eye sore visibility
Large work vehicles should be included. Trucks with trailers etc
Larger "transit van" type vehicles as they are often used for this purpose.
Leave all in definition plus camperized bus
Leave as it is
Leave definition the way it is
Leave the RV's parked in a secure lot. My small cup de sac does not have room for RV parking
Let people park their RV s on their property if they want they work hard and lots of people enjoy camping so let them keep it on they property for as long as they want
Let people park what they want on their property
List appears complete.
List is complete
List is complete as it now stands
List is fine
List is good
List is good.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

List is too long already.
List looks accurate.
List looks complete
List of vehicles above already under By-Law should be considered in this definition.
List of vehicles is sufficient
List of vehicles looks complete and accurate
List ok
List seems appropriate
List seems complete.
List seems fine.
Livestock trailers
Long term commercial vehicle parking on residential roads.
Looks like a pretty good list...
Looks sufficient
Lost includes all relative units.
Many people ( and I use to be one ) use a camperized van as their personal vehicle so these should definitely be exempt.
Many SUVs now carry roof top tents and gear year round, and these can obscure road line of site which is dangerous in districts with children.
Maximum 48 hours but am concerned about obstructed view, safety on the streets and an eye sore for homeowners
may be worth including a size element to allow smaller items like tent trailers to be parked on a driveway assuming they are closed.
Maybe a cab-over hauler truck, (often has a bed in it) should be included?
Maybe a maximum length and height could be considered?
Maybe a motorhome that's extremely long
Maybe a tent trailer as it can be small and it is low is ok. Anything else obstructs views and creates safety risk
Maybe include "toy haulers " as in vehicles/trailers that house, haul atv's, skidoos, etc
Maybe tent trailers are not as much of a hazard as a large motorhome or trailer. However, they are still not aesthetically pleasing. Then again, a neighbour who parks has multiple vehicles and parks them all over the street is not much different.
maybe u haul trailers and work equipment trailers or large vans should be added to this.
Maybe vans that have been converted to living spaces
Mo rhis definition is good.
Mobile "tiny houses"
Mot really no
Motohome. Motorhomes are becoming increasingly used for daily travel
Motor home
Motor home - too large to be parked in road for more than 36 hours.
Motor home should be considered as a normal vehicle
Motor home, travel trailer, 5th wheel, large boat. Obstructs sight line when backing out of adjacent driveways.
Motor homes
Motor homes and boars over 14ft in length should not be permitted.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Motor homes that are cloe to or longer than one's driveway. These RV should be allowed to be parked only on ones' driveway, not on the streets because it will take away parking spots for the people that live in the vicinity.
Motor homes the size of buses and fill the entire driveway out front because it is difficult to see around them when trying to back out of driveway
Motor homes, Trailers and Boats. Also to get include storage trailers
Motor Homes. Perhaps a definition should be related to the size of the vehicle.
Motorcycles, quads, and snowmobiles, should be considered.
Motorhome should not be on the list. Motor homes have engines and can easily be moved if needed. Unlike a trailer, where it has to be hooked up to be moved.
Moving trailers should be considered in this category.
Moving Truck should also be considered.
Multiple Jet skis and ATV's should be included in the definition, as they are usually on a trailer or back of a vehicle. There are usually parked on the road and the overhang the sidewalk making it hard to pass by as a pedestrian and a driver
Multiple RVs consecutive parking one by one should not be allowed, either from the same or different owners.
My opinion is that any size RV's/camper-vans should be allowed to stay in the driveway for unlimited time not only 36 hours. Only restriction would be when is causing obstruction, extending city street, etc.
My thoughts are that if ot is not city property then it is not really your concern. As much as I hate the trash heap in my neighbors property, it is their property.
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
n/a
N/A
N/A
n/a
N/a
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A keep current def'n
N/A, quit making it difficult for people to go about their lives.
N/A.
Na
Na
Na
Na
na
Na
Na
Na
NA
NA
NA
Need to include vans that have been converted to campers (sprint vans).
Needs to include trailers with ATVs or snowmobiles.
Neutral
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no





March 15, 2023

[illegible]



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
no
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No





March 15, 2023

[illegible]







March 15, 2023

No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
no
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
NO
No



March 15, 2023

[illegible]





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No











# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No - agree with all
No - already defined and well covered
No - as long as it road worthy and registered
No - definition is inclusive
No - definition seems good and all inclusive
No - I believe they all fit in the category. I do have concerns when a residence has more than one of these (example: motor home or fifth wheel and a boat and trailer)
no - that definition is fine
No - that is a good list.
No - that list is complete
No - the current definition is fine
No - they are all recreation
No ... anything that can be lived in should be classified as a RV
No additional vehicles fall under this category.
No additional vehicles should be added that I can think of.
No additional vehicles.
No additions
No additions/deletions
No -all are relevant
No All in favour
No all should count as tv
No all types of trailers and RV,s they look unsightly
no an rv is an rv and should not be parked on a front drive driveway for more than 36 hrs
No as some of these RV vehicles are very large and will cover the sidewalks. Some are really raty as well not nice to look at.t
No but a tent trailer is way less obtrusive than a motorhome
No change
no change



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No change to existing
No change.
No changes to current definition
No changes to definition needed
No city should be looking at real issues not groodect pet projects get a life
No comment
no comment
No comment
No comment
No comment
No comment.
No comment.
No current one seems fine
No do not extend. Makes street look dumpy and blocks visibility. We live in Sherwood park before and was big problme
No everything should be allowed
No Highway Tractors
No I agree with all of the above.
No I believe the definition is complete.
No I feel like the definition covers all recreational vehicles
No I think all recreation vehicles should be one category to keep it clear and simple for everyone.
No I think is a complete and accurate list.
No I think that covers it.
No i think the current definitions are good.
No it will make residential areas look awful. Not a good idea very unsightly and parking will be an issue down the line. Danger for site lines.
No it's fine.
no list is good
no looks good as is
No not at this time
No opinion
No opinion
no opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
No opinion
no opinion
no opinion either way
No opinion on this specific question
No opinion on this...
no other vehicles required



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No problem with definition.
No Recreational Vehicals should be parked on a front facing driveway or on the street.
No recreational vehicles PERIOD
No restrictions as long a rv on owner's property
No RV should be allowed to be parked on the front of driveway of a residence for more that 72 hrs.
No RV's should be left on the streets
No that covers it all
No that covers the vast majority
No that covers them all
No that seems to cover all of the vehicles
No that's comprehensive
No the above captures the vehicles that fit description.
no the definitions are accurate.
No the list is fine.
No The way things are a the current moment are fine
No they all apply
No they all qualify
No they all should be considered
No they all should be included all used in similar manner for similar purpose
No they all should be reviewed equally
No they should not be allowed to park more than 36 hours. They obstruct sight lines, often owners leave them plugged in with cords going across the sidewalk, some have had guests staying in the RV, they are n eyesore.
No they shouldn't be allowed. It's not esthetically appealing. Up the hours to even 72 to allow for set up and take down. But not permanent parking space. Ruins neighbourhood value and look. If you can afford an RV you can afford to store it
No they shouldn't be allowed. Theft, Fire hazard, eye sore!
No this defines it well
No this definition is well defined
No this is a good definition
No this is a good list
No this will impact perceived valuation of a house for sale. Is the city going to compensate people for their loss of valuation on home during tax time?
No trailers, or RV of any kind should be left longer than 36 hrs. On a driveway or on the street. Trailers on the street take over our street and there's no parking for anyone else. Driveways start to look run down, and also they have to park on the stree
No type of appartus such as, vacation trailer, utility trailer, motor boat, sail boat, tent trailer, flat bed trailer should not be allowed to be parked in city front driveways
No utility trailers or storage trailers.
No vehicle should be in this definition.
No vehicles
no vehicles other than cars & pickup trucks not exceeding 3500 (2&4 door), small commercial work trucks/ vans plus small sized trailer not exceeding 8ft lenght should be permitted to park in residentialal. All other vehicles need to be stored elsewhere
No vehicles should be considered. Don't want to look at a bunch of campers down the street.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No you can't see anyone walking or driving It's not safe on driveways and should only be in rv lots
No you've included it all
No! It makes the neighbourhood look junky and I would love to be able to look down my street and see the view not will all these tacky trailers most likely parked on parts of the lawns (as they are in my neighbourhood)
No! None should.
no,
No,
No, a person a a right to park their vehicles on their own property
No, a trailer or RV of any description. They block cameras and create a trailer park.
No, all of the above seem to be reasonably defined as RVs
No, all recreational vehicles should be included in this definition.
No, all RVs should be considered equivalent
No, all should be considered as an RV.
No, all the above mentioned vehicles are appropriate.
No, all the above mentioned vehicles should not be left on city streets. HOWEVER, low profile vehicles ie: tent trailers or trailers could be in parking stalls/drive ways.
No, all vehicles in the definition are accurate.
No, all vehicles listed should be included in the bylaw. When RVs or other units are parked on our street, they provide a large obstruction which poses safety risks. Some u it's are parked close to intersections, blocking the view of oncoming traffic.
No, any recreational vehicle should be included. The current definitions of RV are suitable in my opinion.
No, anything that has wheels and for recreational should be considered as RV
No, as the list looks complete.
No, because I think you should be able to park whatever you want on your property.
No, because they are a type of motor vehicle and people should have the right to park RV's in their driveway year round without being forced to pay ridiculous storage lot fees.
No, but I think work trucks should also be included.
No, covers it off well
No, covers it.
No, definition is correct
No, definition is good.
no, feel this is inclusive
No, for safety/obstruction reasons.
No, I agree
No, I believe this is correct
no, I cannot think of any other vehicles to be added.
No, I feel you have the definition appropriately covered
No, I think all are covered
No, I think current bylaw is capturing the definition accurately.
No, I think everything listed counts as an RV.
No, I think if it fits on the driveway it should be allowed. We all pay high taxes for our homes and the driveway should be considered an extension of your home you pay tax on.
No, I think that is an accurate description



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I think the above definition is fine.
No, I think the current classification is fine
No, I think the definition is accurate
No, I think the definition is comprehensive.
No, I think the list is appropriate.
No, I think the list is inclusive.
No, I think the vehicles as mentioned are inclusive enough.
No, I think they are all covered
No, I think you have covered all of them in your definition of RV.
No, I think you've covered it.
No, if they own the property they should be able to put whatever they want on there driveway
No, if you live somewhere you should be able to park your vehicles on your own driveway....
Long term street parking of these vehicles is what the bylaw should be for
No, it looks excellent as is.
no, it seems to cover it all
No, it should be left to the policy only if it is obstructing the view and walk way
No, it's perfect
No, it's a good list.
No, it's fine
No, keep the laws as they are
No, leave it as is
No, leave people alone. They need time to pack it up and prepare RV's especially to travel and work at their jobs etc It is unfair to give them only a few hours to do this. It is no skin off anyone to leave well enough alone!.
No, looks fine
No, looks good
no, nothing form the current list should be removed
No, obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
No, only RV's/campers/trailers
No, people have a right to park what they want on their own property ☺
No, people take advantage of the current time period. I can only see this increase the RV and camper/ trailer to be on the street weeks. It looks terribe in the neighbourhood with the street filled with RV and trailers.This is a city not a farmland.
No, RVs shouldn't be allowed on Driveway as it creates visibility issues for other homes when backing out. Will city take response for such uninsightful allowance?
No, safety/visibility applies to them all.
No, seems comprehensive
No, seems like a pretty straightforward group, as in they are not being used when they are at your house
No, seems to cover most in this category.
No, that covers all types of common recreational vehicles
No, that covers it for personal use, but I would add large commercial vehicles as well.
no, that list is reasonably encompassing
No, that seems to cover it
No, that's pretty clear.
No, The above all fit the description of an RV





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, the above definition is good.
no, the city's list is good.
No, the current bylaw is fine.
No, the current definition appears to capture all that should be considered.
No, the current definition is accurate to me
No, the current definition is adequate.
No, the current definition is fair
No, the current definition is sufficient.
No, the current definitions seem appropriate.
No, the current list is good
No, the definition covers everything I would consider
No, the definition is fine
no, the definition is proper
No, the definition is sufficient.
No, the definition is very self explanatory and suits the need of the City of Calgary
No, the definition seems appropriate.
No, the definition seems reasonable
no, the list is adequate
No, the list is comprehensive enough.
No, the list is extensive enough
No, the list is good
No, the list is good.
No, the list looks reasonable
No, these are all what I would consider to be RVs.
No, they all are fine
No, they all for the RV definition.
No, they are all covered
No, they are all recreational
No, they are all temporary use recreational vehicles
No, they're all covered here and I think these are reasonable
No, they're all recreational vehicles
No, this definition is fine and covers all types adequately.
No, this is an accurate description
No, this is covers most vehicles. Work trailers are a concern. They may have other bylaws I am not aware of
No, this list seems to cover it.
No, this sufficiently covers recreational vehicles and trailers.
No, those vehicles suit the definition
No,harder to enforce!
No,small utility trailers andsmall cargo trailers should be included
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Any vehicle or trailer type , other than typical commuter type are not of a vision one would what to see in a decent neighborhood in any case.
No. Anything oversized or a trailer
No. Definition is sufficient.
No. Ev or motor homes should be parked on designated parking. Neighborhood is snot a trailer park
No. Good as it is.
No. I feel the list is comprehensive
No. I live in a cul de sac and any of these vehicles create parking and visibility issues.
No. I saw these vehicles being rented out.
No. I think all recreational vehicles as defined above should be considered.
No. I think it is an appropriate definition.
No. I think that is a well constructed list
No. I think that is an adequate definition of RV
No. I think the above definition and list is good.
No. I think vans that are used for camping should be considered there too
No. If it's on your property do what you want.
No. Its fine as is.
No. Not all are seasonal. Lots are used year round.
No. Obstructs vision creating a safety hazard and aesthetically unpleasing
No. Quit adding things. Get out of people's lives.
No. RV's are fine on that person's property, it does not matter to me how long they have it there. As long as it is not impeding on my property line. It's a different story if it is being used, by visitors. Then the time allowance should be shorter.
No. RVs cause visibility issues. Additional in front of house vehicles will also add to existing parking congestion issues. Many RVs already block sidewalks when parked for the 36 hours and this is a safety and accessibility issue.
No. Seems like a reasonable definition
No. Seems to cover it.
No. The current definition is suitable.
No. The definition appears apt
No. The definition is broad enough to cover the types of recreational vehicles currently available.
No. The definition is clear.
No. The definition is clear.
No. The definition is fine
No. The definition is good.
No. The definition provided looks pretty complete.
No. The definition shouldn't matter. The city should have less oversight on peoples' personal property.
No. The list contains everything that should be included.
No. There are a lot of RV parking Lots close to Calgary that are fenced in and secured. If you can afford these expensive luxuries you can afford to park them in one of these many storage places.
No. These all fit the definition
No. They should all be included



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. This bylaw is just fine the way it is.
No. This is pretty straight forward I think
No. This list is correct.
No. Those definitions are good.
No. Why does it matter?
No. Your list is extensive
No...I think it is good the way it is.
no...its your driveway ...park whatever you like
No..you covered it all
no? pretty complete list
No... seems pretty thorough
No...the definition is correct
Non motorized boats, they are smaller and don't obstruct views.
non of these vehicles should be parked on driveway or in front of residence for more that 24 hours.
Non running and wrecked vehicles of any kind should not be allowed
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None - i believe RVs and large recreational vehicles/ trailers should be stored in designated areas year round
None at this time.
None come to mind
None of the RV vehicles should be considered
None of them should be allowed. Also enclosed car trailers/ moving/hauling vehicles should be included in the list to disallow. They all take up space for other residents cars; safety (blocks vision of pedestrians); aesthetics
None of these should be considered in the definition as long as they are parked on the peoples private driveways. On the road way it self thats a different story no longer then 3 days on the road way. Stick to your own business on your own City lands!
None of these should be considered. If a homeowner is parking their privately owned vehicle on private property, there should be zero concern from local government.
None should be allowed! When backing out of my driveway and an RV or large utility trailer is parked next door, I can't see oncoming traffic or pedestrians until it's too late if I don't inch my way out of my driveway while totally stressed out.
None that I can think of



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

None that I can think of
None to add
None, all those listed above should fit the definition of an RV
none, internal roads are already over crowded with cars parked.
Nono
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope, that list seems fine.
Nope. The above list is pretty inclusive.
No-seems sufficient
Not
Not
Not at this time.
Not camping vans as the are no different then a regular van they have just been converted
Not older than 20 years old and no longer than 30 ft. Must be fully operational and not stored on property between Nov and May.
not really
not sure
Not sure
not sure
Not sure
Not sure why just the trailer to transport these items would be an issue. But then I question why not quad and those type of trailers not on the list.
Not that I am aware of.
Not that I can think of
not that I can think of
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of.
Not.
Nothing else
Nothing else comes to mind.
Nothing to add
No-this list of definitions looks ok.
Obstruction of views, safety hazard, limit parking
Off road utility/recreational vehicles should be included. These are usually towed on a trailer.
Off Road Vehicle (ORV) Trailers - They serve a similar purpose by design. Camper Vans - although smaller, they tend to be parked for extended periods of time (primary use is recreation / camping rather than in city transportation).
Off road vehicles (quads, dirt bikes side by sides) and the likes.
Ok with current definition
OK with your list
Old buses being converted to recreational vehicles
Old School buses & vans. People live in them
Old school buses, vans that are being lived in
On rv's



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Only above listed
Only allow in front of their driveway. If they are parking in the street, please tow.
Only cars and trucks should be parked on a residential driveway
Other trailers pulled by a vehicle
Other vehicles that should be included are trailers hauling multiple units of quads, skidoo's & seadoos. They take up too much parking space & block visibility. Basic safety issue
our community is new and so neat..so some people park their Rvs front and on road.ple so something make our community more nice and neat
Out of town visitors should be exempt. The bylaw should be a reasonable 72 hours for local people.
Over 30 feet or do not fit on the driveway. Not sticking out on to the road.
Overlanding trailers
Oversize that encroach onto alley or sidewalk no matter how long should be ticketed and penalized.
Oversized vans that people use to live in should be included as an RV as well.
Oversized/extended can flatbed trucks. Work vans/trucks
Owners pay taxes and maintain their driveways and thus should be allowed to utilize them as private property
Parking a Rv ,Tent trailer, or trailer on your own driveway should be your right. Why should you have to go put it in a storage place. People just can't afford these places long as your not blocking anyone or access I don't see a problem.
Parking large vehicles like Motorhomes and RV on driveways is not good. Number one some driveways aren't big enough and I've seen them hanging if over the road. Number 2 it blocks your view when pulling in and out which is dangerous. I think 48 hours fair
pass
passenger van that is used for sleeping
Past a certain size most especially.
People should be allowed to park any of their vehicles on their own property at any time.
People who have more than one vehicle and use street parking as their personal permanent parking place and neighbors who have friends that have to park two blocks away to get to my home to visit. Not normal and city should be ticketing this vehicle.
People will park their cars on the road and less space for us all if RVs and boats are on drive ways
Perfect
Perhaps anything less than 20' should be exempt as it is similar in size to a truck/van/suv/etc
Perhaps collapsed tent trailers. They don't block visibility much more than a regular vehicle.
Perhaps including trailers with skidoos or quads/side by sides is warranted.
Perhaps modified buses or other vehicles used as RV's should be included in the definition.
Perhaps smaller RV style vans.
Perhaps some cargo trailers.
Perhaps trailers or RVs under a certain length or height can have a little more grace period?
Personally, I don't love seeing city bus sized trailers all over a residential street for very long.
Perhaps trailers/RVs under certain dimensions could be exempt as they would not obstruct the view of traffic any more than a regular passenger vehicle

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Perhaps vehicles with lower silhouettes than a either a motor home, fifth wheel or travel trailer should not be included in the Bylaw. From experience, RV's parked in driveways of homes with attached garages totally obstruct vision from neighboring homes
Personal property
pickup truck with a wide deck for two snowmobiles or atvs should also be included
Pickup trucks with Campers in the bed of the truck are an eyesore and should be included.
Please add in electric vehicles and food trucks and helicopters
Possibly a trailer that holds ATV's, UTV's, Dirt bikes, etc.
Possibly busses converted to RV's.
Possibly excluding work trailers: maybe a permit to allow contruction workers to park on their front property as long as the trailer doesn't block traffic or sight lines
Possibly utility trailers
Potentially conversion vans?
Primary vehicles such as Trucks, Vans, cars, suv, etc used to camp/ travel should not be included. Large vehicles that block views making the neighbourhood unsightly should be considered for inclusion.
Probably. Who needs big contraptions inconveniencing anyone on the same street.
Project vehicles that are not drivable and not complete. They make the area look like a trailer park
Public & private small busses & regular busses
Quad & utility trailers should also be included in the bylaw for the same reason RVs are included.
Quad vehicles or ski-doods
Quads
Quads and vehicles under tarps
Quads, ATV's, Dirt Bikes, Dune buggies, Go Karts...
Quads, snow mobile, motorcycle trailers loaded or unloaded.
Quads. Atv
railer used to transport and tent trailer
Raised half-ton trucks. They can obscure visibility just as much (if not more) than a tent trailer.
Recreation vehicles are all the same
Recreational bus, recreational trailer, to haul ski doos, jet skis, & utility trailers used for work
Recreational vehicles should not be included
Repurposed city buses or school buses or any buses should be also considered in this definition. I have has horse trailers ,
Rules should probably relate to the size and height of the vehicle rather than type of vehicle
RV 's should not be parked on a driveway for more than 36 hours, as it blocks visibility for getting out of my driveway ,they are unsightly. Any large vehicle should not be parked on a driveway.
RV are recreational vehicles.
RV definition fine as is
RV definition is correct. No addition please
RV include anything that isn't a car, SUV or small truck
RV mounted on truck bed should be classified as an RV
RV on driveways and only for 36 hours no street parking please!!





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RV should not be park at residential area. It will block neighbour view or create parking problems. We want peace and clean our neighbourhood so parking in residence areas should not be permitted.
RV to a certain size. Size should be considered.
RV units should not be parked in a residential area. Overnight is acceptable but otherwise should be parked in an appropriate storage facility
RV Vans
RV vehicles should not be allowed, if we allow all the driveways to park RV then their own cars will be parked in street. it creates parking problem. already streets are filled overflow parking with current owners.
RV, CAMPER TRAILER INCLUDE
Rv's and trailers or boats should not be parked on driveways if people can't park on the driveway they will park on street and there will be no other parking.
rv's or anything that blocks view
RV's should not be parked for more than 36 hours because there is not enough parking on the streets and they also block the traffic.
Rv's that fit into your driveway. Some folks have rv's that are so bit they look like busses.
Rv's, anything used for camping. We have many places they can be parked.
RVs and Boats
RV's are a menace, to pedestrians, dogs, cyclists, automobiles an garbage collection. No free on street or driveway parking.
RVs are not only for temporary accomodation as per your definition. It should include recreational vehicles usually on a trailer like skidoos, seadoos, ATVs, etc.
RVs longer than a particular length shouldn't be allowed. Just the big monster ones. Maybe 12 hours.
RVS of any size
RVs should also include ATV's, jetskis, and snowmobiles.
RVs should be allowed in driveways all year round if not obstructing sidewalks, roads or vision.
RVs should not be allowed to be left parked on driveways. They look junky, bringing down property values. Most importantly they block sightlines, it's hard enough to see kids - the safety factor alone should be enough to ban them altogether.
Rvs should not be allowed to park on any street with no back alley and very few driveways out front where parking is already difficult.
RVs shout NOT be allowed on front driveways. This is a Pandora's Box that can't be closed once open. If The City allowed it, it would quickly lead to violations that The City and current bylaw resources could simply not enforce. Best to keep the bylaw.
RVs that people live in full time, i.e. tiny homes, shouldn't be considered in this definition
RVs under a certain size should be excluded, if parked on private property, e.g is smaller than a full size pick up truck
RVs with expandable parts should not be considered
RVs, boats
RVs, trailers
Satisfied with all the vehicles listed above including trailer
School buses should be considered.
School buses should be included in this survey!!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

School Buses, 10 months a year, parked for over 6 + hours on residential streets, blocking views from homes, , restricting traffic visuals, sightlines and proper flow. Horrendous on garbage pickup days
school buses, why is because they are a necessity getting kids to and from school
School buses. Short ones are almost the size of an RV. Why are they not considered?
School busses should not be able to be parked in a community. It impacts visibility.
School busses, parked on street outside houses between shifts and at weekends really restricts where neighbors can park
Sea do's should be added, utility trailers, quads
seems complete
Seems complete to me
Seems comprehensive
Seems fine
Seems good to me
Seems like an easy designation
Seems to cover the right categories
Semi tractors, fifth wheels, RVs, boats, trailers, vehicles on blocks should be included in the definition of an RV and barred from storage on front driveways/property beyond 36 hours.
Short term living trailers. Any size. Hard shell or soft shell
should
Should / off road like quad
Should add trailers with snowmobiles, motorcycles or ATVs
should all be included
Should also apply to construction trailers
Should also include camper vans to avoid any confusion about van conversions
Should also include recreation vehicles that are not used for accommodation like all terrain vehicles.
Should also include working trailers that carry workers equipment ie.cabinet makers
Should apply to any Rv or abandoned inoperable car or truck, large work bins r storage containers people use to add storage space or when moving
Should be added- Trailers carrying toys such as quads, snowmobiles, dirt bikes, mules etc
Should be considered as RV' s in this definition as they are used for this purpose
Should be considered- large camper style vans
Should be defined - snowmobiles
Should be, as they are used for daily commuting and instead for recreation
Should capture trucks with camper beds and converted vans
Should include all recreation and trailers
Should include campers, whether they are stored on a truck or not
Should include commercial transport vehicles. My neighbour parks their business Ford Sprinter which takes 2 standard parking spaces on the street. It's ver unsightly.
Should include long term storage containers parked on driveways.
Should include off road vehicles
should include oversize van type vehicles that may be used as campers e.g. Sprinters
Should include trailers with snowmobiles/dirt bikes/motorcycles etc
Should include utility trailers and boats, snowmobiles etc



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Should include: Vehicle/truck with trailer (has equipment/supplies and/or machinery on trailer) attached on residential front streets.
should keep these bylaws as is. It is an eyesore and too many vehicles on streets in inner city neighborhoods already. I live in Westgate and should use your own property to store R.V,
should not
should not
Should not be included: small VW vans like Westfalia, Eurovan or the Sprinter vans
Should NOT be parked in driveways for more that 24-48 hrs. We have a neighbor who has a trailer in the driveway since Spring of 2022. It is an eye sore and brings down the value of the properties on our street. When we had an RV, we paid to store it.
Should not because houses these days are mostly skinny duplexes. With duplexes means the streets are already over crowded and duplexes only allow 1.5 vehicles in front, let alone a full RV.
Should not consider sprinter vans that don't have a bed
Should not: Camper, jet ski, tent trailer. Others, yes. Due to length max 22ft.
Should not: Tent trailer bec. it's small; motor home because it is a whole entity, camper because it is a whole entity.
Should size and height of these vehicles be a factor to consider?
Should, I look at it if there not doing any harm to anyone in a public street why not allow them to park there then.
Should. They are not primary transportation vehicle. They are over sized and can Impair sight lines on roads and corners
Shouldn't. Because people should enjoy their life whenever they need.
Side by sides, quads etc should also be included in this definition
Size matters
Size of vehicle should be considered as well. Eg. a small tent trailer has less impact on line of sight then a full size pick up
Size should be a consideration. There's a world of difference between a 20 foot tent trailer and a 50 foot motor home.
size should be considered. a trailer tent is much less obstructive than a fifth wheeler for example
Ski and sea doos on trailers should be included too. They are visually unappealing and can cause a visual blockage when neighbours try and back out of their driveway.
Ski dos and sea dos should be added
skidoos and quads on trailers
Skidoos loaded on trucks like F 150s
Sleeper cab trucks
Slide in truck campers and class B RVs under 20' in length should not be included in the definition. The impact of these is no different than standard construction/trades vehicles, which are currently not restricted.
Slide in truck campers should not be as they are cargo and do not take up more space than one truck would on the street. Camper vans should not be considered as a cargo van should be excluded and some camper vans are the size of regular mini vans.
Small boat or personal watercraft under 20 ft in length
small boat trailers or small tent trailers that do not restrict visisbility could be removed from this list if they do not restrict visibility for the neighbors.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Small boats (under 22ft) should not fit in this group. They are not fit for accommodation or meant for travel
Small bus, bus, transport vehicles able to sit more than 6 passengers, large vans
Small camper vans (minivan conversions) should be excluded from the RV definition
Small camper vans could be left off the list as they are less obtrusive
Small camper vans.
Small campers (5th wheel) and truck top shouldn't be included
Small Campers. Many of them are no bigger than a large van or truck.
Small campervans requiring repairs. They never get used. Also small vans left parked on street forever
Small cargo trailers, or enclosed cargo trailers should not be considered an RV. They are much smaller, and can be used for work or recreation purposes.
Small tent/travel trailer or camper on truck should be exempt. Small size, no visual/ safety problems.
Small trailer should be allowed.
Small trailers under 10 feet should be exempt
Small trailers, covered/uncovered should NOT be parked on driveways or beside driveways, for the same reasons as above.
Small utility trailers. Not RV or campers.
Smaller vans like Class B RoadTorks which are more like normal vans might be exceptions for parking out front.
Snow mobiles and jet skis
Snow mobiles, jet skis, quads and off road motor cycles and their trailers. Long term parking of these cause similar issues as currently defined rvs.
Snowmobile or ATV trailer should be included
Snowmobiles
Snowmobiles and ATV's
Snowmobiles and ATVs should also be included.
Snowmobiles and have should be considered too
Snowmobiles should be added to the current list
Snowmobiles, ATVs
Snowmobiles, golf carts, camper vans, ATVs.
Some consideration for size of the vehicle. Some RV's are the size of a bus, which should be store/parked in a storage lot or camp ground. Boats should definitely not be stored in the front yard. A garage RV parking the back yard would be better.
Some people drive RVs as their everyday vehicle (sprinter vans, camper vans etc.)
Some smaller boats, tent trailers and travel trailers could be exempt
Some trailer gadgets and home made towing things are unsightly and dangerous to be left unattended in a driveway.
Some types of "Campers" should not be considered in my opinion. What I would exclude is a pickup truck with a covered rear box.
Something that fits on the driveway without impacting the side walk
Sounds good to me
Specify a max size. Big different between 14 feet and 40 feet.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Sprinter Vans and similar commercial van conversions. They are similar in drivability to regular vehicles like pickups. In many cases they can be a smaller footprint than many pickup trucks and can also fit into regular parking spaces.
Sprinter Vans, Vans that have been converted to recreational vehicles.
Sprinter-type travel vans should be considered as included
Storage containers
Storage Containers IE moving containers that get dropped off then picked up once loaded.
Storage containers, a huge eyesore, filled with crap, attracting pests
Storage trailers (old uhaul type trailer and small horse trailers)
Storage trailers should be included as should work trailers
Street parking is already a problem.
Strictly from a standpoint of street parking, I don't think very small trailers, tent trailers or campers should fall under this category. Larger trailers, fifth-wheels and motor homes should be due to their large size
Tailors used for motocross & quad bike
Tall vacation vans and campers on trucks should be included.
Technically motor homes do fit, especially size wise, but it seems unfair to penalize people who live in motor homes, especially if they have low income.
Tent traile
Tent trailer
Tent trailer - size and sight lines are referenced as concerns, however tent trailers when closed are shorter than most vehicles and do not pose these issues.
tent trailer and boat might be outside this definition, might make more sense to do it by total height rather than specific names
Tent trailer and boat. They do not obstruct views and cannot be lived in, so I would be fine with them being stored in driveways under certain conditions.
Tent trailer and boats are small enough that they don't cause any issues. Large RVs encroach on neighborhoods and have a similar effect to building a large structure on a driveway.
Tent trailer and small trailer (smaller than a truck or SUV)
Tent trailer and trailer. Flat. Low to ground. Can easily be parked in back of home.
Tent trailer as they collapse
Tent trailer does not seem to fit the reasons why these vehicles cannot be parked in a driveway. It is not out of scope with aesthetics. What about people parking "working trailers" for hauling things in their driveway?.
Tent trailer, boat and trailer to transport.
Tent trailer, boat. Fairly small and not unsightly like hoarder yards
Tent trailer, boats. If you have to put something in place just cause have a militant factor of height/length. A boat that sits 6ft off the ground is not obstructing anything.
Tent trailer, trailer for hauling should not be considered
Tent trailer, trailer used to transport rv's or boats.
tent trailer. my tent trailer was not any longer than my SUV when folded down and it was 95% of the time down. there were no chemicals etc that could leak out. it did not block view of sidewalk when backing out. I parked it year round in Edmonton.
Tent trailer. When closed, they're not much different from a regular trailer.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tent trailers and small boats should be given different status as they are low profile and are less obstructive to view. Flat deck trailers would also be so. Perhaps a height and length specification should be used.
Tent trailers and small boats, trailers shouldn't be included as they aren't any more obstructive than a car or truck.
Tent trailers and trailers are not big enough to fit in the category.
Tent trailers and transport trailers should not be included in this definition.
Tent trailers and utility trailers should be exempt as they are not as tall and do not obscure views as hard sided trailer or motorhome would.
Tent trailers are much smaller and don't block visibility like a hard sided trailer. I would be ok with exempting them from the by- law.
Tent trailers are small and do not block view, I don't think they should have a rule for being parked on a driveway.
Tent trailers are smaller than many vehicles people drive. They will have limited affect on visibility and safety. Remove them from the RV category.
Tent trailers are usually smaller than contractor trailers, so I see no problem with them being on the front of a property.
Tent trailers should be considered RV as they are temporary dwellings.
Tent trailers should not be included in this definition. They are typically small and compact in comparison to the other vehicles.
Tent trailers should not. Relatively small footprint.
Tent trailers should probably be exempt, provided they are in their closed position. Perhaps a size limitation could be used as well. But I don't think a small closed box limits vision, provides a safety concern, or overtly decreases aesthetics
Tent trailers that are closed down and boats under a certain size that don't obstruct sightlines. I have trouble seeing around larger vans that park near corners. Larger vehicles like motorhomes and trailers parked near corners would make it even worse.
tent trailers that are less than 4 feet high are really no different than a utility trailer and could be excluded from the bylaw
Tent trailers that can be stored on a private parking pad fenced in in the rear of private property.
Tent trailers, boats - more size than type. If it fits in your driveway neatly it's ok.
Tent trailers, boats or other small trailers.
Tent trailers, travel trailers, motor homes, fifth wheels.
Tent trailers. Generally very small
tents should be included
That definition seems accurate
That's a ridiculous question. Of course there are vehicles that should and should not fit that definition
Thats everything
The "Trailer Used to transport" any of the other 6 bullet points should probably be removed based on the definition, because if it's just a trailer, then a trailer doesn't provide the temporary accommodation, that the other 6 bullet points could.
The above definition is good. Would add all terraine vehicles, canoes & kyaks all all terrain vehicles to it.
The above definition seems comprehensive. Wondering if converted vehicles would fall under the above - such as a converted school bus?





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The above description is fair.
The above list is good
The above listed should be considered. Possibly small boats and transport trailers could be excluded, people may work on boats to send and wax them and transport trailers don't have the same visibility issues as RVs and campers.
The above pretty much covers them in my opinion.
The above should be considered 1- they are eyesores 2- reduces parking for residents and visitors vehicles no one uses their garage for parking anymore- streets are crowded and hard to pass in some areas down to 1 lane on a double lane road
The above vehicles should all be listed in this definition
The boat & trailer should not they don't provide accommodation
The boat and trailer shouldn't be considered an RV because they don't provide accommodations.
The bylaw should include flat-deck trailers or truck decks used to haul ATVs and/or motocross bikes and snowmobiles.
The current Calgary Community Standards Bylaw is acceptable.
The current definition appears to be comprehensive
The current definition covers RVs quite well.
The current definition encompasses all that it needs to
The current definition I believe is fine
The current definition is appropriate
The current definition is comprehensive
The current definition is fine
The current definition is fine
The current definition is fine
The current definition is fine.
The current definition is good and no changes needed
The current definition of an RV is appropriate
The current definition of RV encompasses all vehicles I would consider in the definition.
The current definition seems acceptable.
The current definition seems adequate to cover recreational vehicles in common use. All of them are seen in my neighborhood.
The current definition seems correct
The current definition seems just fine.
The current definition seems sufficient.
the current definition used with in the bylaw is adequate
The current definition works and is clear. Unfortunately no one follows it
the current definitions seem fine.
The current list covers my understanding of what an RV is.
The current list is appropriate. They affect the visual aesthetic of the neighborhood.
The current list is good.
The current list is sufficient
The current list seems fine.
The current list seems sufficient.
The current list seems to be sufficient to me
The definition appears complete.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The definition as is, is fine.
The definition fits. Recreational vehicles covers it - a vehicle that is used for recreating, not day to day needs.
The definition is acceptable.
The definition is accurate
The definition is adequate
The definition is appropriate.
The definition is correct
The definition is fine
The definition is fine as is.
The definition is fine as is.
The definition is fine as it is.
The definition is fine, nothing to add or remove.
The definition is fine.
The definition is good
The definition is good
The definition is good
the definition is good.
The definition is ok as is
The definition looks clear to me
The definition seems accurate
The definition seems accurate.
The definition seems complete to me.
The definition seems correct to me.
The definition seems fine as written.
The definition seems fine.
The definition seems reasonable.
The definition sees fine.
The definition should also include open, four sided trailers used for hauling items.
The definition should include derelict car and trucks. They are already scattered around Sundance. Takes away from the look and safety of my community
The definition should include teardrop trailers.
The definition suffices as is.
The definitions above make sense
The definitions given are correct
The existing definition fits.
The existing definition looks fine to me.
The existing definition works for me
The existing definitions of RV vehicles seems appropriately defined and inclusive of all related vehiclea
The existing list is sufficient.
The existing list seems satisfactory.
The following are what I class as RV's 5th wheel coupling travel trailers, travel trailers, truck campers, pop up campers, hybrid trailers, teardrop trailer, motorhomes (motorized RV's)

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The following should NOT be considered: "Trailers that may be used or are intended to be used for the transport of anything, including but not limited to, construction materials, household goods, livestock, off road vehicles, and waste."
The issue is safety and other drivers ability to see around the RV's. As such I don't think a flat bed trailer should be included in this list.
The items in Question two are adequate
The larger vehicles but at the same time where do you draw the line to be specific.
The list above is complete
The list above is correct for RV definition.
The list above is fine
The list above seems complete
The list above seems comprehensive
The list above seems to be complete.
The list appears to be inclusive.
The list is accurate
The list is adequate.
The list is complete
The list is comprehensive.
the list is correct as I see it
The list is excellent and includes all appropriate vehicles.
The list is fairly comprehensive. There are Converted Van campers out there. But they are no larger than a mini-van or 8 person transporter. They are small enough to be considered a regular vehicle and shouldn't be included in RV restrictions.
The list is fine
The list is fine
The list is good
The list is good
The list is good
The list is good.
The list is good.
The list is ok
The list is sufficient
The list looks about right.
The list looks good.
The list looks pretty inclusive
The list provided is accurate
The list seems appropriate
The list seems complete
The list seems complete to me. No need to remove any or add any.
The list seems complete.
The list sounds fine
The list sounds good to me
The list you have seems complete. Van conversion (small RVs eg. Roadtrek Agile, etc. could be allowed in front driveway, since similar to a van). With increased housing/parking density, adding more parked RVs in neighbourhoods seems wrong path.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023


The main reason I wouldn't want to see an RV on the street or neighbors driveway would be an obstruction to see around. I think the low profile tent trailers should be permitted on private driveways.
The ones listed above are ok.
The ones listed are correct
The ones listed are suitable as they block line of sight
The ones listed seem right to us.
The only vehicles that should be parked on the roads are cars or trucks.
The present RV definition is sufficient
The problem isn't the 36 hours it's that trailers and fifth wheels are being parked permanently in front driveways. These units are affecting the ability for neighbours to enjoy their property. Some are blocking off others windows. Enforcement is needed
The smaller enclosed camper vans like mercedes benz that fit on a driveway and are no longer than a 4 door pickup truck should be exempt.
The trailer used to transport?
The vehicle list in the definition seems complete.
The vehicles covered under the bylaw are fine. No deletions should be made from the list.
The vehicles currently considered RVs are sufficient.
The vehicles currently listed is appropriate
The vehicles defined in the community public bylaw contains the appropriate vehicles
the vehicles listed above should be included in this bylaw. Plus commercial vehicles should be restricted.
The vehicles listed are what I would consider to be RVs.
The vehicles noted as RV vehicles should be included as these are seasonal vehicles and aren't daily driving
The vehicles should not be parked in front of the house. It will affect the the sight lines and will affect driving in winter.
The very nature of the definition is open-ended because of the phrase, "including, but not limited to." Why, then, is there a need to expand that list, if it is not meant to be entirely comprehensive?
There are a multitude of other trailer types that park on front drive driveways now. They impede driver vision when other vehicles are backing on to streets. These infractions are rarely penalized.
There are classes of RV but Boats have no classes. Seadoos should be specified. Similarly off road vehicles such as ATV on trailers should be included
There are many utility trailers parked in front of (on the treet) or behind (in the alley) residences in Silver Springs. Please address this in the bylaws.my neighbourhood (Silver Springs).
There are smaller trailers and tent that do not block invisibility along the street. They should not be forced to pay storage fees if they can fit neatly on the driveway.
There are vans (usually tall vans) that should fall under the definition as well. Some of them get quite large - definitely bigger than small travel trailers.
There big business trucks, dump trucks and vans should be considered as they are massive too and no normal in residential homes.
There should also be a limit on how long wrecked (in operable eye sore) vehicles should be allowed on front driveways.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There should be no restrictions other than safety. Esthetics is not a valid reason. The problem is that there will be people who complain on esthetics but will call it safety.
There should be size categories - something over 30ft long is much different than a teardrop trailer.
There should be size restrictions for when set up and when taken down. For instance tent trailers. And they shouldn't stick out onto the sidewalk area.
there should not be any RV's on front driveway, it makes community look like a junk yard
These all make sense to be on the list
These are all appropriate, however, a closed tent trailer doesn't carry tge same impact a 2020, 25' long 15' tall motor home does. Sizes should be limited along with time
These are all appropriate.
These are good
These seem reasonable
These should all be considered within this definition
These vehicles makes area visibility worse and encourages not only congestion but safety as well. Also encourages break-ins due to limited visibility. Also will congest the street parking even more as some households have many numerous cars already.
They all apply. Can't think of anything to add.
They all are recreational vehicles that are not necessary and are a luxury, not a necessity. Therefore, they should not negatively affect neighbors.
They all should be considered in this definition
They all should be considered RV
They all should be considered Rv's.
They all should be.
They all similarly affect the image of the front of a property, so for the purposes of tlthis survey, they are all fine to be categorized together.
They Are blocking view for others,safty
They are large, take up a lot of space and are sometimes huge rusty eyesores
They are listed clearly
They are very unsightly.
They can be a safety hazard for kids..... ie site lines. Many people already have their trailers/ boats on city roads and driveways. If they are putting trailers etc. on driveways, there are more vehicles parking on the street. Which becomes a problem !
They should add converted school buses and vans
they should all be considered in this definition.
They should all be considered in this definition. They make a gigantic impact to the esthetics of the community.
They should all be considered 
They should all be considered. I would also add camper conversion vans. Non functioning vehicles, and recreational off road vehicles.
They should all be included
They should all be included in the list
They should all be included.
They should all be included. Most are ugly, disrupt the nightlines, and create safety issues.
They should all considered RVs, If we allow all these RVs and accessories to be parked on driveways then it will overcrowd the streets with vehicles And we are overcrowded as it is.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

They should NOT be allowed, in my opinion most people who own RVs use them a few days out of the year and leave them parked on the street for the rest of the year. This is both a hazard for drivers who need to navigate around these huge vehicles + esthe
They should not. We had an RV and a boat for years and always out back in storage. We have enough parking problems here with too many vehicles parking near us from the condos as is. They are an unpleasant site. Our neighbor has one cover on his driveway.
They should they are recreational or busiess use
Things are fine the way they are.
Things like horse trailers that people are converting for vanlife should be included.
Things like sprinter vans seem to get overlooked.
This covers it
This definition about covers any sort of RV
This definition is appropriate but should include construction vehicles
This definition is good and also inclusive
this definition should includes large boats
This includes everything
This is a bad idea that can't be enforced
This is a good definition.
This is a good list. No additions.
This is a very inclusive list. No additions required
This is an adequate definition
This is an inclusive list
This list is fine.
This list is good in my opinion
This list looks complete
this list seems complete
This list should also include work vans and trailers used for construction and landscaping
This question makes no sense
This should apply to RVs , travel trailers, work trailers and toy haulers
This should include trailers, utility and all kinds
Those all seem valid as recreational vehicles
Those are appropriate
Those are reasonable definitions of RVs
Those are the ones that should be covered
Those listed above capture it very well
Those should all be considered RVs.
Those should all be included.
Tiny home? Not sure whether it's considered a motor home or not.
Tiny Homes
Tiny Homes might need to be considered they share some of these characteristics some being on a trailer not sure how they fit but they seem like they might be a subset.
Tiny homes need to be considered, as some are built to sneak into an RV category. Also Van and bus live in conversions. These should be under the same bylaws or restrictions as other RVs.
Tiny House on Wheels (THOW) & converted vans or cube trucks seem to be very popular on social media



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tiny houses
Tiny houses on wheels registered as RVs
To me this definition is fine.
Too large for city property size
tow truck, and commercial trucks should be added
Trailer (alone), camper, tent trailers
Trailer , boat all low lying
Trailer for transport if building materials or garbage.
Trailer loaded with Powersports vehicles. Snowmobiles, All-terrain vehicles, etc.
Trailer or tent trailer; height of unit matters
trailer should not be used in this definition. as trailer is not the same as motor home.
Trailer to transport off-road vehicles (ie. quads and dirt bikes)
Trailer to transport or store recreational vehicles such as dirt bikes or sleds (closed OR open trailers)
Trailer used for snowmobile or seadoos
Trailer used for transport
Trailer used to haul a boat would not block any views or any traffic
Trailer used to transport
Trailer used to transport any of the above
Trailer used to transport any of the above. Because you can't live in it . It is for hauling
Trailer used to transport ATVs or Quads
trailer used to transport might be a bit excessive the others make sense.
Trailer used to transport should not be, it isn't being used for accommodation, it is being used for transport.
Trailer used to transport wok equipment/animals etc. should also fall under this bylaw
Trailer used to transport, or anything smaller or shorter in dimensions that is similar in size to a larger passenger vehicle (like an SUV or Truck) that is allowed on the front driveway
Trailer, boat, tent trailer and camper should be removed from the list, but should not be allowed extended parking on the street. Only in driveways.
Trailer. Some are in rough shape and barely roadworthy
Trailers
Trailers and vehicles that can fit entirely on a driveway without impeding the road or sidewalk should be allowed.
Trailers carrying atv's are also recreational.
Trailers for motorcycles and snowmobiles. Vehicles used for business such as garbage removal.
Trailers for snow mobiles and other ATVs/RVs, campers (tent type trailers), camper vans, Sprinter vans being used as a camper.
Trailers for transporting ATVs/Motorcycles/vehicles.
Trailers loaded with quad/snowmobile
Trailers of any size. There is one that is left in a street on Tuscany Valley Lane NW. It never moves and is never connected to a vehicle.
Trailers of any sort should be included in the RV category.
Trailers should be included in definition
Trailers should not be considered an RV.
Trailers that are registered to home business address.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Trailers that are used for purposes such as landscaping, cement forms, hauling equipment for commercial or work related should not be stored on driveways. Oversized RV's that are very tall or long, or an eyesore, in dilapidated condition.
Trailers that are used to transport recreational equipment to recreational properties should be included, utility trailers.
Trailers that carry ATVs, snowmobiles, etc
Trailers that may be used or are intended to be used for the transport of anything, including but not limited to, construction materials, household goods, livestock, off road vehicles, and waste.... These don't appear to be 'recreational' items... not RV's
Trailers that require a motorized vehicle to tow them. They impact on-street parking and visibility. They also detract from the residential environment.
Trailers under a certain size used to transport
Trailers under the standard footprint of a car or truck should not be considered a part of this definition because they do not impede sight lines or are an eyesore like larger trailers.
Trailers used by motorcycles as I have seen more recently. Also roof top tents.
Trailers used for hauling materials instead of for camping, for example, utility trailers to haul roofing supplies.
Trailers used for work equipment. They block views take away the look of the street. Should be the same as a tent trailer etc
Trailers used to transport ATVs etc
Trailers used to transport ATVs, seafood, side by sides, snowmobiles should also be included
trailers used to transport could be excluded, I dont see the safety or aesthetics issues applying to them
Trailers used to transport snowmobile, ATV, etc.
Trailers used to transport" as that could include every type of trailer & will end up with work trailers on every driveway
Trailers used to transpose ATVS
Trailers with off road vehicles and horse type trailers used for work/businesses
trailers with snowmobiles, ATVs, etc.
Trailers with that holds ATVs.
Trailers, large trucks cube vans
Trailers, RV
Trailers, boats, tent trailers should not be considered ,why.. small units.
trailers/motorhomes/5th wheel/RVs over 20 feet long should be excluded as they will extend over the sidewalk
Transport Trailer
Transport trailers
Transport trailers and boats; don't align with other uses
Transport trailers should be under a different section, they cannot be lived in and I would not consider it an RV
Transport vehicles
Travel trailers are not RV's because they are not able to drive themselves. They are like a trailer and should be seen as a trailer
Travel trailers that are able to move at least once a year should be allowed to park in the front of their own property as long as it is not obstructing or being lived in and on a hard surface like concrete





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Travel trailers that are so long they block neighbours driveway when parked on the street which is not allowed to begin with
Travel van
Travel van, they are used in the same manner that RV's and other mobile holiday vehicles.
Travel Vans should not be included in this category.
Travel Vans, luxury cruisers, heavy duty work trucks and ATV's
Trent trailers, small boats, utility trailer
truck bed camper
Truck camper
Truck camper and cargo trailer should also be included
Truck campers (truck bed) should be exempt from RV consideration as they are attached to a regular sized motor vehicle.
Truck campers, converted school buses, converted vans.
Truck mounted camper
Truck trailer, truck tents
truck with trailer (commercial)
Truck-campers. Perhaps included in "camper".
trucks over 1ton, cube van, semi, school bus
Trucks with a camper attached to it. Currently there is one parked on Ypres Green SW
Trucks with campers on them should be added
Trucks with trailer on top. Converted buses.
Trucks with visible garbage in the flat bed that remain for days/weeks should not remain in the front or back as one it's an eye sore and two it welcomes people whom should not be there, burglars.
U-Haul and those pods. Certain driveways block intersections.
Uhaul style or other storage type trailers or containers for personal or work purposes.
U-Haul vehicles of any kind. Currently, there is a situation where the street parking is taken up by a single house with an additional three, four or more vehicles at any given time. Their garage is never used to house personal vehicles.
unable to comment
Unregistered vehicles and eye sore vehicles
Unsure
utility (construction )trailers should also be covered
Utility and small flat trailers
Utility sized vans that are used as campers (May fall under campers) should be included as well as the Sprinter type vans.
Utility trailer
Utility trailer (used to haul off road vehicles or garbage) should be included.
Utility trailer of similar sizes to the transport trailers
Utility trailer should also be included...can house motorized 'toys' and some have sleeping quarters in them.
Utility Trailer should be added to the list - used to store or move items. They present similar issues as an RV - eyesore and safety issues. As this time our neighbours park their utility trailer for extended periods - weeks or months at a time.
Utility trailer should be in the list. Eye sore. Becomes a storage lot.
Utility trailer, car hauler, dump trailer



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Utility trailer, truck camper, sea can. All can also be used for accommodation.
utility trailers
utility trailers
Utility trailers
Utility trailers (especially fully enclosed one that can be tall) and dump trailers, should potentially be included in this definition (if not covered in another bylaw).
Utility Trailers and Company Work Trucks should be included
Utility trailers and other commercial trailers should be added to this definition. I say this because I see many in my neighbourhood that are consistently parked on driveways (some literally for months). Some overlap the sidewalk.
Utility trailers and pop up tent trailers that are equal in size, or smaller than, a large passenger SUV.
Utility Trailers must also be included since this is the same as RV. Because at times these trailers are only used to transport recreational vehicles like ATV or UTV's
Utility trailers should also be included.
Utility trailers should be added. Any trailer of any size is an eyesore.
Utility trailers should be considered in this category
Utility trailers should be included
Utility trailers should be included in this definition as well
Utility trailers should be included in this list
Utility trailers should be included, work flat bed trailers
Utility trailers should be treated similarly
Utility trailers should be under the above description as well as trailers to carry skido/seado type rec vehicles
Utility trailers that hook onto vehicles (think Uhaul), that are used by contractors or for hunting. We used to have a neighbor that had one for hunting (took up half his driveway) and it was there year round. It was rusted and an eye sore.
Utility trailers transporting construction materials and waste must continue to be included!
utility trailers, business 5th wheel trailers
utility trailers, aesthetics
Utility trailers, car haulers and construction trailers should be added to the list of RVs.
Utility trailers, cargo trailers, dump trailers
Utility Trailers, covered or flat deck
Utility trailers, dump trailer
Utility trailers, eg construction
Utility trailers, horse trailers
Utility trailers, trailers used for transporting livestock, commercial equipment, Household goods, off road vehicles and waste. d goods
Utility trailers, work trailers should be considered.
Utility/ work trailers should also be aloud to be parked on your driveway
UTV - Quad, skidoo, side by side etc.
Vacation trailers, motor homes and large contractors trailers and similar vehicles should not be long term parked on front driveways. It becomes a safety issue with visibility for street drivers and pedestrians being impaired Obstructed sight lines too
Van conversions (to campers) should be included as they are campers
Van life vans. Essentially and rv

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Van used for accommodation
Van, Jeep, SUV conversions that have sleeping and/or cooking capabilities
Vans and "campers" on pickups should not be considered
Vans and busses seating more than 8 passengers
Vans and converted buses are large and used for same purpose.
Vans and truck campers should not be considered as they are indistinguishable from normal vehicles including work vans and trucks with bed caps.
Vans are fine and should be exempt. They are no bigger than most other vehicles.
Vans converted to be RV-style (camper vans).
Vans converted to campers
Vans should be included,
Vans that are campers
Vans that are used as living spaces, also known as "stealth" camping vans
Vans that have beds in them should be included.
Vans that have camperized
Vans that have room for sleeping, beds, bunks, etc. Any vehicle that has cooking facilities.
Vans under 22 ft should be excluded as they are similar in size to pickups trucks and other neighbourhood vehicles .
Vans used as campers
VDelivery-style vehicles like Ford Transit, Mercedes Benz Sprinter, Chrysler Ram Promaster that have been adapted for accommodation should be included.
Vehicle should NOT be an considered in this definition. They are NOT RV 's And people have extra vehicles sometimes that should be allowed to park any time on the owners property
Vehicles converted to RV, such as school bus, transit bus.
Vehicles included in list look reasonable
Vehicles intended to provide accommodation for any length of time. Temporary doesn't consider the recent interest in RV as a permanent residence.
Vehicles like converted vans and Sprinters should not be considered RVs. They are able to be parked in driveways if not "converted" so they should be allowed regardless.
Vehicles over a certain length should not be considered. If this were to go ahead (and I hope it doesn't) there are some huge RV's that should be excluded.
Vehicles that are defined as being primary place of occupation ie. Lived in
Vehicles that are not currently registered or street worthy should not be allowed on front drive ways to be parked. Vehicles that have current registration and do not exceed the parking area and do not impede on sidewalks or road ways should be allowed
Vehicles that do not fit into a garage that is on the property need to be considered
Vehicles that do not fit within the driveway.
vehicles that should be included are contractor work trailer's, project vehicles and snowmobiles and seado's
Vehicles used to move equipment where the equipment is stowed on the exterior of the vehicle (such as in a truck bed or strapped to exterior racks etc.).
Vehicles with tree line tents (tens on roofs)
VW Westphalia should not be considered in this definition because, although it is a camper van, it is small and is used as a regular vehicle for many people who own them.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We have a camper van. A first transit 148 wheel base. About the length of a Honda odyssey. It fits on our driveway. It is not insured as a camper van as technically it was a cargo van. We pay for our property. A tent trailer is shorter than a pass van
We have a neighbour who parks his camper on his driveway but the camper is longer than the driveway. He is a policeman and we would never report him but it isn't safe cause someone could hit it. I don't think I would want that all year long
We have noticed many disregard the current rules so this could create additional issues .
We pay exuberant high property taxes and should be allowed to do what we want in our own land! This council is totally useless.
We should add ATV's as well.
We should allow Motor home or any self propelled licensed vehicle. (camper on truck, utility van, campervan) as long as they don't exceed weights limits. Esthetics cannot be considered as part of the requirements unless you ban all rusty old vehicles.
We should allow RV parking on properties with a parking pad.
Well covered
Westfallia-type, very small recreation of vehicles should be allowed. Anything bigger than that, no way.
What about construction flat bed trailers
What about OHVs? Off-road 4x4 trucks/SUVs? Canoes? If you are going to be ridiculous go all the way gi
What about shipping containers? A neighbour has had one parked in their driveway since we moved in January 2020. It is equally huge and unsightly. We assumed it was for a renovation, however it has not moved in 3 years.
What about those vehicles within these descriptions that are used on a non-temporary basis? If there are not already bylaws in place for those people, I believe they should be included here.
What about vans that people could be living in?
What exactly is a "camper"? As an owner of a VW van that can be used for camping but also is used as my everyday vehicle. Would this be considered a RV?
What is currently listed I agree with
What is stated is sufficient.
Where does a horse trailer fit into these definitions? I do need to keep me horse trailer on my driveway during horse show season, as we travel frequently, and also need time to pack and unpack for away weeks.
Whole list should be considered as RV.
Why are utility trailers subject to the same restrictions?
Why can't I have an rv, but a 7x16 enclosed trailer is allowed? Seems heavily overlooked. To be clear I think rvs on driveways is fine so long as they don't intrude onto the sidewalk.
Widen the allowances for parking items on tax paying people's own property. City Hall and nosy neighbors should spend more time addressing theft & crime & less time on micromanaging tax payers and private property. Quit micromanaging good people.
Will create caos. No enough parking around
With cost of living these days it's nice to give the "little guys" a break. If they have friends or family willing to let them live in their driveway to help them out till they can afford their own place why can't they? Something to help us them get by.
With the laws we have, had problems with, visibility, blocking sidewalks.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

With the way things are going, any living accommodation vehicle should be allowed permanently as long as it doesn't impede other driveways
Work and company trailers and vehicles
Work trailer and horse trailer. Neither should be stored in residential areas.
Work trailers
Work trailers
Work trailers
Work trailers
Work trailers
Work trailers exempt. Holiday trailers not! My street would be lined with holiday trailers all summer!
Work trailers ie dumb trailer. I believe they're maybe rules already on this type of trailer but if not including it would be good too. Often I see this type of trailer staying in place for several days on front driveways.
Work trailers parked in driveways for weeks on end.
Work trailers should be included
Work trailers that are at a property being used by a contractor working on the property need to be excluded from this list as well. I've seen idiot people walking past that have are not impacted by these complain and get ticketed.
work trucks over 1 ton
Work trucks with independent but attached trailers (for living or for hauling materials).
Work vehicles and cube vans and utility trailers should not be aloud. Same reasons block sun block vision ugly.
Work/ shop trailer. There is a shop trailer that sits attached to a vehicle on the street. Which is allowed as per a bylaw. It blocks a home that has been for sale for almost a year. It's owned by the neighbour and is likely this is one of the factors.
Work/construction trailers.
Yea they should all be included in the definition
Yellow school buses, luxury buses
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes - boats
Yes - general trailers
Yes - it includes boats but what about retailers for ATV's, work machines like backhoes or work trailers.
Yes run down commercial vehicles i.e old pick up trucks towing trailers they are an eyesore that creates parking issues in commnnities because of the size. they for now are allowed to operate outside of normal hours creating noise and pollution issues
Yes - should.
yes - the current definition and Trailers that may be used or are intended to be used for the transport of anything, including but not limited to, construction materials, household goods, livestock, off road vehicles, and waste.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - van/bus conversions
Yes ! I agree this definition!
Yes all of the about should be considered - any large vehicle that allows for overnight sleeping .
Yes any vehicle classified as an Ev where a person can camp sleep cook have washroom facilities should be considered an Ev including van type vehicles.
Yes- because people should properly store and place recreation type vehicles at a storage site, back of property or side of property and not in front. It does affect safety and visibility when they are in front of the house. People push the boundaries.
Yes due to their size and aesthetics of the neighborhood
Yes enclosed trailers and quad or snowmobile trailers plague our streets.
Yes I believe people with large work trailers that take up 2 or 3 parking spots should NOT be allowed to park on residential streets and should be parked on a personal driveway or garage, not fair to other Neighbours and makes it hard to see around them.
Yes I find the definition very reasonable
Yes large company vans
Yes no motor homes trailers boats on front property it's a hazard huge eye sore for neighbours bylaw should not be changed why would you change something like that does city council need parking on there homes
Yes recreational activities are good for the mind and the more we encourage it the better
Yes Semi's Ad I have a neighbour that has opened his fence and he parks his truck in his yard! Then starts it at 3-4am in the morning and runs it for 15-20 minutes before leaving! Not only does diesel pollute the air if not kept tuned ! As well noise!
Yes should be considered
Yes- they are used recreationally or for sports
Yes they should be considered in this definition. They are used regularly by the people who own them
Yes they should be considered with property taxes and everything going up in price we should be allowed to do what we want on our property as long as it is safe.
Yes they should be included. They are a nuisance and an eye sore. They can create a hazard and can obstruct a drivers view.
Yes trucks that are risin should be Included as they are extremely hard to see around
Yes you should be able to park one of the above on your own property. Not on the street unless it's attached to car/truck and no longer than 3 days.
Yes, most areas there isn't enough parking for vehicles on the road. Alot of people park their vehicles in the back alleys which is dangerous.
Yes, a van with a camper conversion should not be includ - ie Pleasureway, RoadTrek, Sprinter Van; all these are less then 22 ft and truly a van with a conversion kit on them; tent trailers and any of these vehicles in poor repair - so would be an eyesore
Yes, all of the above.
Yes, all of the listed types should be included in the definition.
Yes, anything larger than a pick up truck or SUV should be considered
Yes, anything that can be used in the same way as an RV should be.
Yes, ATV, Skidoos, sea doos
Yes, because "RV's" are recreational purposes and are much larger than the average city vehicle. purposes such as camping and are manly





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, broken down cars. Flat tires, oil stains, no license plate. No derelict vehicle should be allowed to sit either. Move your junk. Nobody wants to see it.
Yes, cargo, utility and low bed trailers. They require a lot parking space for residents that live in communities. Parking is almost impossible in auburn bay.
Yes, C-Can Containers that are on neighbour driveways. We have a neighbour that has had a C-Can for a very long time. They are the ugliest piece of metal ever!! I think they should be part of the RV by-law. We would much rather look at a RV, trailer, etc
Yes, certain camping trailer and boat trailer (with boat) lengths should be able to park in driveway as long as the hitch doesn't go onto the sidewalk or road.
Yes, construction or utility trailers and camper bodies that mount on the back of a pickup truck. They make the neighborhood look run down and messy, I feel it brings down the value and image of the neighborhood.
Yes, I can agree and motor homes, but tent trailers, boats, or pick up mounted trailers should be exempt if they fit on your driveway. I see no problem as a citizen without one.
Yes, I think you should also included vehicles that don't run or that are broken down
Yes, if it doesn't fit in the driveway then it should be considered illegal and need proper storage
Yes, large vehicles that make driving/parking ect hazardous are very dangerous to deal with
yes, limit the length of rv.
Yes, no to any vehicle that is a trailer for carrying junk and stuff.
Yes, perhaps utility trailers.
Yes, professional work trailers
Yes, rv is significant larger.
Yes, RV, boats, big vehicles should not be parked in driveways
Yes, Rv's too big and unsightly to see parked on streets
Yes, some vehicles should be considered in this definition.
Yes, the definition should also include flat beds used hitched to pick-up trucks used to carry construction materials, etc.
Yes, there are vehicles that should be considered in this definition, anything too large to fit in a single car garage should require occupants to pay for offsite storage
Yes, there are vehicles that should be considered in this definition, because of their size.
Yes, there is a fellow in my neighborhood that has an extra wide trailer (parks 2 bob cats on it) and EVERYONE has to drive over the yellow line to get past him.
Yes, they should.
Yes, those ubiquitous cargo van RV conversions need to be included in the list. They are RVs.
Yes, unsightly, restrict visibility, safety hazards for children especially.
Yes.
Yes. Utility trailers should be included.
Yes. Cargo/Cube Trailer
Yes. Construction trailers and livestock trailers should also be included because many people are modifying this type of trailer for camping accommodations.
Yes. Converted buses should also be included in this definition
Yes. Larger vans used for camping and converted should be part of the parking restrictions as well.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes. many Rec vehicles are huge and very unsightly, adding great clutter to the look of a community.
Yes. There are some vans and buses that are clearly renovated to accommodate living. These should be included in the definition.
Yes. "provides temporary accommodation for recreational or travel purposes" this avoid the use of RV as potential accommodation (rental business) in a driveway.
Yes. 'Toy haulers' and trailers for snow mobiles, quads, and dirt bikes. These should be added.
Yes. All of those listed should be considered. They all detract from my enjoyment of my property/ neighborhood. They detract from my property value, are esthetically displeasing and are often a safety risk.
Yes. Any recreational vehicle parked near intersection which obstruct the view for cars to see oncoming traffic.
Yes. Any vehicle that you can legitimately sleep in, so has a bed, and can be used as a recreational vehicle should be considered. Any vehicle than us bugger than a SUV.
Yes. Converted school buses. My direct neighbour has a converted school bus that he moves off of his property to the front street for approximately 7 - 8 months per year. Blocks the street for emergency access. Should not be allowed, plus it's unsightly.
Yes. Converted vans that are livable should also be considered.
Yes. Fully equipped vans , travel vans should be included as they and obstruct views for other drivers , especially when parked on the street near alley entrances.
Yes. I think utility trailers should be included in this definition.
Yes. It encompasses all the types of vehicles/ trailers used for recreational purposes
Yes. Large construction type trailers or anything larger than a regular personal vehicle.
Yes. Many individuals are now converting oversized commercial vans into 'motor homes' and should be included.
Yes. Non-operational motorhomes, trailers that are falling apart should not be allowed in any capacity.
yes. Oversized vehicles (that would not fit in a standard garage) should be separated from this bylaw. They should not be permitted extended parking privilege as they block vision/and are an eye sore.
Yes. Some small campervans are used as daily transport so they should be removed from the list.
Yes. That is a good definition.
Yes. The following should all be defined as RV's..Trailers. Motor homes. Campers. Fishing boats. all belong in an appropriate storage area when not being used for recreational purposes .
Yes. These are recreational vehicles.
Yes. These vehicles are use on a limited time, not for commuting, it is to have fun
Yes. They're more clear the definition, the less people can argue with the bylaw.
Yes. Trailers are too big and obstruct views and are horrible eye sore. Why would even consider this. We have small streets to behind with
Yes. When RVs, as currently defined, are able park on front driveways and parking stalls, people already take advantage and leave them there for weeks at a time in the summer blocking sidewalks and other public routes in the community.
Yes...oversized vans used as RVs



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

You are missing the issue. Overnight parking by Vanlifers is the bigger issue. Anything that a person overnights in should be deemed an Rv and therefore not allowed to be parked and camped in.
You can add 5 ton trucks and converted busses to the list because they too take up lots of space and many do not move for days, weeks, months.
You covered them in my opinion.
You should add a trailer used to haul ATV's, snowmobiles, and motorbikes as well. They are a nuisance as in areas like Panorama Hills with narrow and condensed housing, they park them all over the place and are a safety hazard visibility wise
You should be able to park your RV wherever you please on your own property. We pay property taxes and should have the right to park our own vehicles having to spend more money to store them.
You should consider snow mobiles, quads and work trailers
You should consider/add dual pickup trucks. These trucks don't fit anywhere. Especially on the street. They are work trucks.
You should let people do what they want in their driveway. They pay an incredible amount of taxes. If it doesn't block the sidewalk then it's completely fine. I don't own an RV and it does not bother me at all if people have their RVs in their own drivewa
Your definition is fine.
Your definition seems to cover a wide breadth of RVs.
Your list looks complete.
Your list looks good to me.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

**QUESTION 4 – Would you support allowing seasonal front driveway parking? Please tell us why or why not?**

## RV OWNERS - Participants that responded YES they own a recreational vehicle

1 week only

1. Unsightly for the community. 2. May attract criminal activity.

100 percent . Why make it any harder for people trying to enjoy the outdoors . My trailer is shorter then my truck and has zero obstruction of the road while Parked. I don't even have a sidewalk in front . Why make a production of trying to stuff it in the back yard through a gate . When In 4 days I'll be using it again ?

100% support of seasonal parking. For all the above mentioned benefits as well as it being on the property that we own, as long as it is in good condition and not encroaching on city property.

100% support seasonal front driveway parking. This allows easier access to the trailer so you can use it more often and do needed repairs at your house over the warmer months. For instance I need to repaint areas and repair some mechanical parts of the trailer and this would not be possible if I had a strict time constraint. People have extremely busy lives and are under a lot of stress already. Have such a small window to park your RV is just isn't a realistic timeline.

100% support, for reasons of convenience, cost-savings, sense of security, family fun and mental health.

100%

Save the tax payers money. You could even get a \$20 permit for it. Create a revenue source as long as its cheaper than storage.

100%, year round. Most new properties have done away with rear alleys. If the property was developed for vehicle parking (ie concrete parking pad) and the vehicle is entirely on private property, while blocking no traffic sight lines, the city should not be concerned with how I store my vehicles on my property.

24/7 12months they're paying for it

36 hours is just too restrictive. We should be able to park on our own driveway for at least several weeks as we load up and get ready for travel.

36 hours is woefully inadequate; especially for RV's that are not obstructing sight lines down the street, nor encroaching over city sidewalks. Seasonal parking of a decent, well-maintained RV is not an unsightly detractor. MOST residents would be fine with an RV being parked for the season if it meets the conditions of safety, not being a view obstruction, and is not in disrepair.

36 hours on a driveway should be extended to at least 48 hours. I think a season of June to end of August might be worth looking into. Or at least during those 3 months making the allowable length of parking time longer, say 7 days at one time. Longer would also allow people to have their RV parked at home over a weekend.

36 HOURS SHOULD BE PLENTY OF TIME

48 hour maximum due to the listed safety factors

48 hours max. Eyesore. Means vehicles will need to park on street increasing street parking on already narrow neighborhood syreets

48hrs if coming into load or repair otherwise the 36hrs is appropriate

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

72 hour limited time. Firm on the allowance, with 7 days of no vehicle parking in between each parking term. In our cul de sac having boats/rv's/cars on the road creates a safety hazard for kids running across the street as they cannot be seen fast enough for drivers or people who u-turn in our cul de sac. Having 72 hour maximum time parked keeps the value of the community in tact.
72 hours max. It's an eye sore and haZard for blocking view
72hours
8 months of use
A longer period of 48 - 72 hours is tolerable
A trailer on a private property driveway should be permitted for an unlimited amount of time as long as the trailer fits entirely on the driveway including the hitch rest
A vehicle that is not being used supposed to go to storage. this can be your backyard or a public storage place. Temp. parking for max. 2-3 days for the purpose of cleaning etc is OK. some trailer are reaching into the sidewalk which can lead in injuries. As the name says "Driveway" Drive.. keep you vehicle moving. it is not a "Parkway"
Absolutely
Absolutely
Absolutely -- as long as one's RV fits on their driveway without overhanging the sidewalk or roadway. Also it should be made clear that no-one can "live" in the RV on the driveway - to ensure that people don't rent out their RVs in an AirBnB type situation.
Absolutely - as long as the units are off the road and on a driveway
Absolutely - it is absurd that this is not allowed. Paying exorbitant out of city fees for storage and wasting time into pickups and droffs is ridiculous. It's my property. F right off... if I want to park a trailer, tank, or boat on it, I should have a legal right to do so. Enough of the nanny state. Grow up. It's not 1935.
Absolutely - people should have the ability to park what they want on their own property.
Absolutely - Such parking would make it convenient for owners to clean, maintain, and prepare their RV for camping trips. Additionally, by having the ability to park our RV on our own driveway from spring to fall would save us a large sum of money as compared to paying for 12 months of off-site storage. I would strongly support the City to enforce infractions such as RVs encroaching into City property (such as sidewalk or street) as such is a safety issue for the general public.
Absolutely 100%
36 hrs is not sufficient time in many cases. 72 would be more reasonable if necessary st all.
Absolutely 100%. It provides a sense of security having it on one's property; prepping for trips can't always occur within the 36 hour window with other commitments so having the RV on the property allows opportunity to take time getting ready alongside life's many other commitments; the cost of storage for RVs has skyrocketed alongside the general cost of life so the option to store at home provides substantial savings. I consider it parking a vehicle no different than homes with multiple cars.
Absolutely as it would be easier for owners.
Absolutely because I find current rules are very restrictive and costly. We store our travel trailer near Okotoks which costs us over \$20 in gas to go to and from. If we are doing quick turn trips, seasonal startup/winterizing or repairs would enjoy the convenience of having my trailer at home for longer than 36 hours. 36 hours is also very tight and honestly a bit stressful

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

if there are adults in the home who shift work and you have to figure out how to get everything done around shifts.
Absolutely because I have no problem with it.
Absolutely because lots of people park overnight or for a couple days prior to departure.
Absolutely I would support it. It seems ridiculous that a person with a front attached driveway that has no back alley or access to one (neighbourhoods that don't have back alleys) cannot park a trailer on their own property. Even street parking if it is not infringing on neighbours driveways.
Absolutely I would support this, it is property owners right and is not unsightly. I haven't seen any unsightly RV's parked on front driveway to date and based on that can no see any issues with allowing this type of action.
Absolutely if it's on the property and not impeding on to the sidewalks.
Absolutely not to allowing any parking past 36 hrs. Not only do the majority of RV's not fit to length of driveways, but they risk, and do block city sidewalks, increasing safety risks, they obstruct vision which can impact neighbouring cars pulling out of driveways increasing risk to visuals for children, pets, vehicles, and obstruct views for neighbours in their homes/front yards and community.
Absolutely NOT! A lot of campers and RVs are too big and take up way too much street space that could allow for 3 or 4 vehicles. Also a lot of campers are ugly and I wouldn't want someone else's ugly eyesore of a camper parked outside my house. Also people will abuse the system and park their personal vehicles in front of their home and go park their camper by a neighbouring house and take up all their front of house parking!
Absolutely not! DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT BYLAWS FOR RV PARKING. Saying you can provide a list of municipalities that allow relaxed seasonal parking means you should also provide a list that do not allow it. This needs to be an unbiased survey. I purchased my home within the city and understood the guidelines at the time - I could have purchased an acreage. It is 100% not in my interest to change RV parking at all. By the definition, I have a trailer that fits within RV parking and I park it elsewhere until I need it and then limit my use to 36 hours. We have neighbors that have multiple cars and parking is VERY tight. Neighbors have been able to work within the existing rules.
Absolutely NOT! it would just create grievance amongst neighbors. Trailer in the driveway means you can't park in your garage so now they are on the street parking. Plus creating safety concerns blocking views of the street where you can't see suspicious activity happening. It would create more robberies in the neighborhood. If you're backing out of your driveway you can't see kids playing, bicycles or approaching traffic. As the law stands now it's working so why create problems.
Absolutely not! The front door of my home is recessed compared to my neighbors. If an RV were parked on their driveway it would be the only thing I could see from my front door. I would not be able to see the street or sidewalk down from me. Therefore I would be forced to look at someone else's RV all of the time.
Absolutely not! They are an eye sore and parking is already at a premium on our streets. streets are narrow and cars all over the place disrupts the flow of traffic and becomes a safety hazard as you can't see around them. We own many vehicles that are classified in your category and yet we have pride of ownership and want our community to not look like a parking lot. When people park in their driveway they are not using their garages and fill up the entire street.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>Absolutely NOT!! Being an owner, if I am not able to park it in my yard then it should go to storage. It is a major safety concern for children playing and obstructing the view while driving. Also, people will start to live in them while posing more safety concerns. If I want to see trailers, RV's them go camping as residential neighborhoods will destroy the esthetic feel. It's part of owning and everyone should consider a storage place to park or don't own one. This a major safety concern and I am hugely disappointed that this is being considered!</p>
<p>Absolutely not!! It causes blind spots for people parking their giant trailers on driveways of homes on corner lots. My parents live in Chestermere where you can park your trailer on your driveway and there is a house that has a 40 foot gigantic fifth wheel trailer angled and parked along the entire front of their house. It is extremely unsightly! There is another street a couple over that has 8 trailers parked within a half block. It looks trashy and brings down the aesthetics of the area.</p>
<p>Absolutely not!!! City Bylaw officers don't enforce the rules now, never mind if people are allowed to park seasonally. They would be there all year!!!</p>
<p>Absolutely not!!!! People don't follow the rules now, so they will not follow the rules for seasonal and they will be permanent fixtures. The city lots/streets are not conducive to this kind of parking. It makes the neighborhood even more congested (why bother with architectural controls???) and reduces visibility for vehicles backing out of driveways and the ability to see children/adults/pets, making it unsafe on residential streets. Before you know it, trailers will be across sidewalks and out on the street.</p>
<p>Absolutely not, it blocks all the garage and driveway parking for the homeowner forcing them to park in front of the neighbors</p>
<p>Absolutely not, Kelowna allows it and it looks horrible and causes more robberies and difficulties seeing on roads.</p>
<p>Absolutely not.</p>
<p>Absolutely not.</p>
<p>Absolutely not. I have been in cities outside Alberta that allow RV parking and as noted, it obstructs sightlines, creates safety issues, gives criminals something else they can break in to and steal from personal property and makes a community look junky. We had a tent trailer and now a Fifth Wheel - we pay for storage - it is one of the expenses of owning a camping unit. Take a drive around Mission, BC and you will find it hard to fully see when you need to make a turn because someone's RV is parked in the driveway, then you have all the junky units, that never get moved, covered in tarps and the communities look dumpy.</p>
<p>Absolutely NOT. It is so unsightly and horrible for neighborhood esthetics. Responsible trailer and boat owners know when buying their trailers/boats that storage is an added cost they will just need to pay each year. Keep our neighborhoods clean and tidy!</p>
<p>Absolutely not. RVs parked on driveways make it very difficult for neighbors to back out of their garages due to drastically reduced sightlines. In my opinion the city is partially legally liable for car accidents that can be attributed to reduced sight lines if the city allows RVs to be parked on driveways.</p>
<p>Absolutely not. Several reasons listed - esthetics #1, it looks awful to drive down the street and see these things taking up so much space. We've got 2 neighbors that have had them out all winter. It's unsightly and they have not moved all winter. - visibility - if they happen to be on the bend of a street or corner lot, it's extremely difficult to see around them. If front attached homes have to have a minimum setback, why bother if we are allowed such large fixtures to park in this space.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely not. As a city you have allowed development in new areas to narrow the roadways such that many are already down to a single lane with cars on each side. To then let an RV be in the driveway and taking another residential parking spot is not conducive to creating a better environment. The minor theft in communities such as ours for Cat convertors etc is likely to be foreshadowing for what will occur if everyone parka their rv permanently for the season on their driveway.
Absolutely not. Increases danger by blocking sidewalks and lines of sight for drivers. Unsightly, and will certainly be abused to leave units parked permanently.
Absolutely not. It clogs the streets that are already narrow.
Absolutely not. It does nothing but bring neighborhoods down in looks and values. If you have an tv you can afford to store it somewhere and not burden those who don't have one but live beside you
Absolutely not. It makes a residential area look cheap and unsightly. This bylaw is one of the reasons that makes Calgary a far better place to live than the other municipalities mentioned.
Absolutely not. It's an eyesore. The bylaw is perfectly sufficient as is. Don't fix what isn't broken.
Absolutely not. Not only does it obstruct sight lines and create a n eyesore can also cause damage. Our neighbour parked his trailer in front of his home for several days. The apparatus he used by the wheels to prevent it from rolling destroyed the curb and sidewalk
Absolutely not. People always break the rules and this will turn into a bylaw nightmare of too many RV type vehicles being left out year round and/or turning into dwellings.
Absolutely not. People's expensive toys should be properly stored away and not inconvenience all of us. If you want to increase the time for Rv's to be parked in front of houses, limit it to 48 hours. And enforce it. These are rich people who can afford to pay lots of expensive tickets and give the city money.
Absolutely not. RV ownership comes with several responsibilities including transport and storage. I would agree with extending the time limit from 36 to 48 hours for loading/unloading, but having a unit parked in front of a residence for an entire season is not fair for the neighbourhood, to have to look at your unit all summer. Long term storage or parking of units MUST be done at the rear of the residence, in a storage lot, or at a seasonal site. Other jurisdictions that allow front RV parking have less curb appeal and lower appraisals. The city needs to keep the bylaw as is, and residents need to take responsibility and consider their neighbours, or sell their units if they cannot comply
Absolutely NOT. Street parking is already a problem and a huge headache in newer communities where almost all homes have front driveways so the few spots available should not be taken up by an RV.
Absolutely not. Streets already congested.
Absolutely not. The increased use of driveways for residential vehicle parking would lead to greater congestion on residential roads as people look for parking. Calgary has a high number of residential areas with narrow streets, and also a high number of recreational vehicle owners. This is a recipe for disaster.
Absolutely not. These items can be unsightly and cause line of vision hazards. There is nothing that should need to be accomplished inside or outside of an RV outside of a 36 hour window.
Absolutely not. We already plan our city for cars, no one wants to look at these eyesores all summer. I don't think Edmonton, Leduc, Regina, Winnipeg, Grand Prairie, and Red Deer are the 'cream of the crop' cities - we want to emulate.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely support it as long as the rv is not blocking sidewalks or extending into the road
Absolutely support seasonal front driveway parking! I believe that as long as the rv is in decent shape and it is in a safe spot
Absolutely support seasonal parking on driveway but not roadway.
Absolutely support this. We all pay taxes, we all pay for our property we should be able to use it as we see fit.
Absolutely support, support year round as well. My RV impedes no lines of sight nor causes any safety issues due to the layout of my front drive, my RV sits 25' back from the city sidewalk. It is a nice looking newer camper. Sitting on my own block the city allows; abandoned vehicles used as storage containers loaded with belongings inside and on top, storage containers that sit year round, ATV, off-road vehicles, boats, skidoo, stacked lumber & bricks, and lots more but no characters left
Absolutely support. It's expensive to store RVs in a lot and there are times when we want to park it at our house for maintenance, access to water for cleaning, or for guests staying with us.
Absolutely support. Provided the RV does not obstruct sight lines (corner house for example) the RV is off the road and any electrical cords required to power the fridge or lights are also out of the way of pedestrians
Absolutely would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. All they do is litter the neighborhood, create safety issues and obstruct sight lines that can create traffic accidents. If an owner can afford an RV, they should afford a rental pad in an RV storage lot. Extending the time is only cost effective, convenience and secure for the owner. None of these are beneficial for a non-RV owner. Just because I don't own one, doesn't mean I should have to look at someone else's.
Absolutely would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. All they do is litter the neighborhood, create safety issues and obstruct sight lines that can create traffic accidents. If an owner can afford an RV they should afford a rental pad in an RV storage lot. Extending the time is only cost effective, convenience & secure for the RV owner. None of these are beneficial for a non-RV owner. As a responsible RV owner, I don't expect others to have to live with my life style choices.
Absolutely yes
Absolutely yes
Absolutely yes I would support this. 2 main reasons. 1. Camping is an accessible vacation for lower income families and rv storage increases the costs. 2. You should be allowed to park you own vehicles and trailers on property you own.
Absolutely yes! Their property they pay taxes for they should have the right to park on it!!! Front or back driveways
Absolutely yes!! I think it's less risky to park your rv once in between camping trips than to park m, unload, park at storage, then park again to load, only to just repeat this effort multiple times for many weeks. Also more convenient and less stressful to not have to take your camper back and forth to storage all the time.
Absolutely yes, the 36h limit is unduly restrictive and adds extra stress when planning a trip with the trailer. So long as the trailer is in good condition and is not encroaching on city property then it should be possible to park an RV in a driveway for more than 36h.
Absolutely yes. At some point our properties should be considered, well ours, to use in a reasonable and responsible way. RV's do not represent an unacceptable problem or nuisance. What they have caused is a median for which problematic neighbours (the bylaw



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

watchdogs) to create community angst. Also, the pure convenience for families to be able to prepare for vacations would be tremendous.
Absolutely yes. During camping season May-Oct. homeowners that can easily park their RV trailers for camping should be allowed to on their driveways
Absolutely yes. If it's parked on my own driveway and not blocking the road or sidewalk I should be allowed to keep it on my property indefinitely. It's nobody else's business and why should I have to pay to store it when I have a perfectly good driveway?!
Absolutely yes. People own their homes and should be allowed to use it as they see fit.
Absolutely yes. This should be extended to being able to park on city street in front of house. Being able to leave the RV plugged in to cool fridge, load trailer etc and unhook tow vehicle for those that don't have secondary vehicles would be a bonus.
Absolutely!
Absolutely!
Absolutely! Helps with storage fees, mice problems, security, renovations on trailers, you can keep your trailer loaded with your items for the next trip. if you just got back from a holiday and are heading out in a few short weeks it doesn't make sense to put the trailer back in storage to only grab it again and reload it.
Absolutely! Let people use their property the way they want! Get rid of any by-laws restricting parking of any type of vehicles!
Absolutely! This would make it much easier for people to get ready for camping trips.
Absolutely! As long as the vehicles aren't blocking the sidewalk then I think owners should have the right to park their vehicle in the driveway from spring until fall. We committed time, energy and finances to having a camper for our family and having nowhere to park actually limits us significantly. When a community feels supported by the City they are more likely to engage in positive ways to further nurture the community.
Absolutely! Firstly, it is personal/private property, and does not impact others in any way. It is no more unsafe than walking downtown.
Absolutely! For anyone that camps regularly, it would be extremely helpful to be able to have access to one's trailer to clean, pack, do any upkeep in between trips.
Absolutely! Having the ability to park your rv for longer or seasonal times would allow those of us without side or back access to renovate or update our rvs. Currently that is not possible. Also being able to park on the street for those of us without a front facing driveway would be beneficial.
Absolutely! If it safely parked and there is no hindrance on sidewalks, public or other property, I think it's perfectly fine to have your RV parked on your property. It would also be most helpful between weekend outings as you wouldn't have to unload, tow it to storage just to turn around and get it again a few days later!
Absolutely! If it's on there property and does not infringe on people walking or take up city space, people should be allowed to park on there own property. After all, we the people pay taxes on that property. Paying taxes should not be limited to just services.
Absolutely! If the RV is contained on the property owner's driveway without impeding the sidewalk or neighbors property they should be able to choose to park the RV there.
Absolutely! It is not uglier than a boat or minivan.
Absolutely! It's your property and you should be able to park your trailer seasonally.
Absolutely! Life is already too expensive and the storage fees for these vehicles are obscene
Absolutely! RV is brand new and it is parker at rv parking during winter time. The size of rv is 19 ft, and it does not encroach on the sidewalk. RV is a very economical way to travel for our

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

family of 4 across Canada. It is very hard to completely clean up the RV within 36 hours after it is brought back from winter parking. Also, there is a need for minor adjustment - battery installation, charge, check of the fresh water plumbing.
Absolutely! This can save so much money for residence with storage fees, gas back and forth and time to get the trailer everytime they want to go camping. For avid campers like myself this would be a huge benefit.
Absolutely! We have a little 17' Boler in immaculate condition and it fits perfectly on our driveway. It is similar size to our SUV so I don't see what the problem with that could be. In this financial climate we pay an arm and a leg for a monthly storage fee. We are a family of five and owning a trailer is our summer joy, we love exploring this beautiful country, but with increased costs of everything and paying storage on top of that makes it almost impossible for us to afford.
Absolutely!! To be able to use the driveway would allow many families opportunity to use their RV locally. Spend more time in Alberta more often than having to plan a large trip just to accommodate the only time you can load / unload before storage. This would also help the economy with more dollars spent close to home to 'vacation'
Absolutely!!! As a mom, I am the ONLY one that is responsible for my entire family to pack and prepare our trailer for family trips. If we r going away for 3 WEEKS, I must prep all food, clothing, toys, etc for all. 36 hours is NOT enough time!!! Especially when it comes to street parking and having to cart everything by hand and I am disabled!! It is more challenging than anyone realizes and I have thought of getting rid of our trailer for this reason only.
Absolutely, if it fits parallel to the driveway and not obstructing the sidewalk, then it should be treated as the same as a lifted f350.
Absolutely, although I don't currently require a place to park our RV I do think anyone owning an RV should be able to store their RV if they have the appropriate safe space to do so regardless the duration. I don't feel people should have to spend extra money to rent a parking space when others can park whatever derelict cars they want to on their property.
Absolutely, and also include street parking in front of our registered residence as per current bylaw. Driving back and forth to storage unnecessarily does not help with emissions. It is generally necessary to clean, reoutfit from home. We do not usually come and go in a 36 hour period.
Absolutely, as long as it didn't go over sidewalks, and we'll maintained. I don't want to see a bunch of 1980s RVs rusting on my neighbour's driveway.
Absolutely, as long as it does not impede vision etc. Street parking should be extended, for example for repair work that cannot be done in a day or two.
Absolutely, as long as it's not blocking the road or other people's driveway. Camping season is so short it is very important for you mental health to take advantage of it.
Absolutely, as long as the RV actually fits on the driveway. My neighbor parks his ugly truck and camper topper in his backyard all year round right next to my fence, so I don't see why I can't park my somewhat nicer 19' travel trailer on my driveway during camping season.
absolutely, as long as they are on private property. it allows for maintenance/ repairs
Absolutely, by the time you come back home on a Sunday unpack and clean it, it's time to pack it again for the next Thursday night departure. If it fits in the driveway without obstructing the road, it should be allowed.
Absolutely, given no safety issues.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely, If it's a private driveway and it's not effectively blocking the side walk or creating a blind spot on a corner it shouldn't matter, the only people it matters to is people that have nothing better to do than make a fuss for attention.
Absolutely, it is about safety there are many things that thief's can steal off of a trailer. And why should rv owners suffer when our city won't remove junk cars that are broken down on our streets? If it was really about looks then abandoned vehicles for lack of better words would be taken care of faster
Absolutely, it reduces costs for owners (storage lot costs) and should not be considered any different than a car.
Absolutely, provided there are no obstructions to neighbours and general access. Anyone who has owned an RV understand that time limits greatly restrict the required flexibility in preparing and planning for a trip.
Absolutely, with a permit and with size restrictions. RV storage lots is expensive and with frequent weekend trips, having to bring an RV from the storage lot to home, to camping is a pain. After camping is done, having to travel home, unload, clean, and then bring it back to a lot is also a pain and expensive. Being able to keep my RV at home during the camping season would be a huge relief.
Absolutely, you own your property and a travel trailer is not an eye sore, massive motor coach is a differnt conversation
Absolutely.
Absolutely. All the way. Our trailer did not block visibility or pedestrian traffic. It should be allowed to be parked there indefinitely.
Absolutely. We camp every weekend but must remove our trailer from our driveway It is barely gone for a day when we bring it back to prepare for our following weekend trip. We have a front drive and trailer doesn't impede views and doesn't cause any safety issues.
Absolutely. Any unit that fits on a front driveway should be provided a seasonal exemption. Calgary is not only the largest city in AB, but also one of the very few urban centers seasonal bylaw provision to allow season driveway parking. Current bylaw enforcement is only addressed when residents lodge a complaint(s). This method does not allow the bylaw to be applied equally to all community members. Instead, the enforcement practice only sows disharmony and promotes division amongst community members and neighbors. This leaves those that are ticketed under the bylaw feeling unfairly treated given circumstances beyond their control (i.e. my neighbor complains, but my friends do not).
Absolutely. As an RVer who goes camping every weekend it would very convenient to have our trailer allowed on our driveway for an extended period of time. I do think there should be a size limit to this law. Having a 35 ft motorhome parked sideways on your driveway is ridiculous. It should be able to fit your driveway from front to back. Have a size restriction of 25-30 ft and must fit driveway without being on the sidewalk or street.
Absolutely. I don't care if somebody wants to obstruct their own driveway.
Absolutely. I have no problem with RVs on the road; the known impacts listed seem to be relatively insignificant to me, especially if only for a few months a year.
Absolutely. If the vehicle can be parked on owners property it shouldn't be an issue for anyone else
Absolutely. Loading and unloading a recreational vehicle is a lot of work. I think it would be nice for people that actually use them frequently. I would however have some rule that people



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

cannot camp in the front drives. I think that could be an issue. Make the bylaw reasonable, useable and follow through.
Absolutely. Not on the road, but people's driveways are their own property. Also. it would cut down on wasted fuel to drive to a from the parking spot.
Absolutely. As long as a person is being respectful to their neighbors parking on your own street should be completely acceptable for longer then 36 hours
Absolutely. As long as size does not impede sidewalk or road.
Absolutely. Because the property is owned by the homeowner
Absolutely. Convenient, cost effective, time efficient, avoid hassles to drive from to storage. Campers only have such a limited time to enjoy during summer that having the unit more accessible makes it really easy and enjoyable.
Absolutely. I have a brand new trailer. It is not an eye sore, it fits perfectly in my driveway. It obstructs no one else and inconveniences no one.
Absolutely. I have hardly seen a compelling argument as to why front driveway parking is so strict. Is it merely a matter of aesthetics within neighborhoods? Promoting people to get outside and go camping and use our wonderful outdoors hardly seems like a bad idea. Barring the costs and burden of enforcement. As long as someone's unit is within the bounds of their allotted parking, not interfering with sidewalks or public shared spaces, they absolutely should be able to maximize their property.
Absolutely. I have the right to park up to two vehicles in front of my house. I should be able to substitute one or both of my vehicles to park my RV in front of my house. I can do so without interfering with my neighbour's parking.
Absolutely. I live in a cul de sac and don't see any reason why my travel trailer should be parked ON my property seasonally. Front or back. I feel home owners should be responsible for only parking personal rvs in their space. Limiting to one rv per property would be reasonable.
Absolutely. If I'm camping every weekend or every other weekend in the summer how is it fair that I can't park my trailer there? What about people who don't have ample garage space to store their trailer? I also don't understand why it's an issue if it's there throughout the winter. People can store old, broken down cars or massive lofted trucks throughout the winter but my tent trailer isn't allowed..... make it make sense.
Absolutely. If it can be done in Edmonton, why not here?
Absolutely. If it is on someone's driveway, it shouldn't affect anyone's sight on the road and it is on their property. It also saves money to not have to store it during the camping season between trips.
Absolutely. If it's parked in someone's driveway why should it matter. It's their property on their property.
Absolutely. If the RV fits entirely on the private driveway I see no reason why people cannot choose to park it there for the summer season. I see all sorts of broken down or undriveable vehicles permanently parked in driveways and somehow that is allowed?? Makes no sense.
Absolutely. In many neighborhoods this is the safest place to park and the easiest place to load/unload. For those who store larger 5th wheels in yards, getting home, unloading/loading, and heading back to park could take more than 30 hours if backed onto a workday. Also, visits of one to three days would allow friends with campers to join family in a cost effective way. In today's economy, that's of real value, too.
Absolutely. It does not bother me seeing fifth wheels. Boats. Cube vans or any other type of vehicle used to keep people outside, busy and their forms of means to provide for their family





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely. It is private property, community property, or, municipal property?
Absolutely. It's someone's home, the camper/motor home is an extension of that...I don't believe the city should have a say in what people park on their driveways.
Absolutely. It's someone's property and they should be allowed to use it safely how they choose.
Absolutely. Maintenance must be done on these units, repairs, cleaning, roof sealing, painting, etc. 36 hours is ridiculous. Driving to and from a storage facility because your neighbour is Karen is crazy. Put some common sense rules around it- the units must be off the street, they can't have people living in them, they can't encroach on neighbours or block traffic views. Other than that- the city needs to recognize that we pay plenty in tax- and short of a clear safety issue- we should have access to whatever it is we park on our driveways.
Absolutely. My neighborhood has multiple homes that currently store their RV in their driveway with no concern (some year-round). Calgary bylaw seems to not have any guidelines as to who they enforce this rule on, with some adjacent neighbors getting tickets while the neighbor never hears anything. The bylaw is not being enforced fairly.
Absolutely. People should be allowed to functionally use their property.
Absolutely. Rv parking is expensive, but it's also less environmentally friendly to have to drive to storage, bring the rv home to load. Then bring the rv home after camping, unload and then drive back to storage.
Absolutely. See above. perhaps a length limit but even that seems intrusive
Absolutely. Should be allowed on public roadways as well as long as it's hooked up to a truck at all times
Absolutely. The impacts listed are not significant, convenience is the biggest benefit and citizens will likely use their rv more and derive the enjoyment that camping brings.
Absolutely. There is no reason that a trailer or rv can't be on someone's personal driveway. It saves that family on storage fees which are atrociously high and allows for ease of stocking and cleaning before and after a trip.
Absolutely. This should have happened years ago. It is nearly impossible to load, unload, clean do any repairs in the time frame given. Even harder as an older couple.
Absolutely. Try packing an RV for two weeks for six people in 36 hours. Parking should be extended to a week.
Absolutely. We are in the suburbs. There is no reason an RV can't be parked on the street or driveway
Absolutely. We have no alley and no side access to our yard. Our RV is as well kept and out of the way as any other vehicle. To disallow us to park a certain type of vehicle on our own drive way is a gross abuse of city power. It benefits no one to have my tent trailer off my driveway and on to the street.
Absolutely. We pay taxes and spend our hard earned dollars to enjoy these items. I will not have some city bylaw dictate what can be stored on my driveway. If you want to live in a community which dictates what you can store on your own property then you should live in a condo association
Absolutely. You shouldn't be forced to spend \$50 a month to store something you own and would fit on your driveway simply because the city thinks it's ugly. What an asinine by-law.
Absolutely. There are many times where we come back from a trip and need 4 or 5 days to repack and go. Very inconvenient and expensive to take the trailer back to the storage spot.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutley, as long as it's not encroaching on the sidewalk. I would rather a trailer be parked in the front yard then have to look at it when I enjoy my backyard. But ultimately I am okay with both.
Access and cost to store off-site RVs is becoming challenging for many so I would support front-drive, seasonal parking. They should not be live-in and the season for such should be short.... no more than 3 months (June.-August, inclusive) as this would cover the peak use times and address the issue of prolonged poor esthetics and other negative implications (in other words, a compromise between the 2 positions).
After a lot of thought I would say no. I think in the long run it would interfere with your neighbours. Unless there was ample parking for all of you vehicles on your own driveway and not taking street parking, but but in most cases there would be not.
All the time. Not seasonal.
all year round as the city should no stick there noses on people owning property and parking there RV in front of there house
All year round front driveway parking should be allowed, private property, as long as it doesn't interfere with public walkways I don't see any reason why this should not be allowed
All year round. It's our land, we pay for it.
Allow parking of RVs all year round
Allow parking year round on driveways. Many people winter camp. Plus a yard is the most that people have--they should be able to use all of the yard how ever they want who is to judge aesthetics. I see nothing wrong with an rd on a front drive and the tent trailer we have is not on our front driveway
All-year front driveway parking should be allowed as long as it fits and does not cross the sidewalk and need to sit on the road.
Although I don't have issues with the esthetics if the units are nice, I have a big problem with the obstructed sightlines. We have a neighbor that has parked his RV on his front driveway which is a corner lot that obstructs all view of on coming traffic. This is a huge safety issue. It sits right out to the edge of the side walk. This was a terrible spot for my two kids to learn how to drive and get experienced. Pretty sure pros do not outweigh the safety cons. How much are the fines?
Although I have a RV I don't agree with the seasonal parking concept. However, I can foresee that many people will park in their driveway for the full seasonal period just to save on storage lot fees.
I feel that having a street with multiple RV's parked all summer could make some neighborhoods look like a campground. On my street we have a sidewalk in front of the driveways and RV's create blind spots for pedestrians, and vehicles backing out on to the roadway.
Although my private driveway is my property and the city should have no say whatsoever how long I leave my RV on it ... that is the city over stepping its bounds I personally will do whatever I like on my property and if you want to try me come on over.
And front of house on the street
Any time.
Anyone should park whatever the [removed] they want on their property [removed]. You don't [removed] own it I do so [removed]
Anything should be universal across the city of Calgary neighborhoods. New communities have no choice as their homes have no parking at front or back of their residences.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As a property owner, you should be able to use your property as you wish. If you have an RV and need to park it on your property there should be no limit. As long as it is done safely.
As an architect it's not the RVS that are unsightly it's the driveways and garages. Additionally, more vehicles parked along the road require cars to slow down.
As an owner of a trailer with three very young children it is very difficult to pack up/clean and get the trailer ready to go between trips with this limited time frame. 36 hours is a VERY short time range to return the vehicle to the storage facility to have it return again to prepare for the next trip usually back to back weekends bc our camping season is so short.
As an Owner of an RV, I am against the proposed changes because if you are one of the few who can afford one, you know going in that there are certain responsibilities as an Owner that include; storage, moving it to and from your storage location to your home for unloading and loading, etc. The Cons as identified by the other municipalities who have gone before, far out weigh the Pros for those who have an RV and are for this change. Where are the Pros for those who are against? This does not seem fair or a win/win for both sides or to the majority of Calgarians. This change would give all power to those in favor and leave those opposed helpless.
As an owner with the inconvenience of the limited hours for parking now allowed I'd only support up to a 4 day limit vs seasonal parking on driveways. I've given this much thought based upon the impacts and originally supported the seasonal parking option but the degree of the unsightliness and view obstructions outweighs the inconvenience factors. I would, as second choice, consider a 1week limit. Those active users going out weekly would be moving their units regularly.
As an Rv owner I can understand the frustration of neighbours complaining about having RVs and boats blocking views. I always see them on driveways all summer and don't like it myself. I agree with extending the time I can have my RV on my driveway as once my truck broke down and had to get it fixed before I could move my RV so 14 days sounds reasonable to do repairs and if also if we plan an going camping the following weekend. 36 hours is too short and seasonal is not necessary. It's just fair
As an Rv owner, I would appreciate a longer duration to have my Rv on my driveway. Possibly 72 hrs. But do feel they greatly obstruct vision lines and shouldn't be allowed for months at a time.
As far as I'm concerned residents should be able to park their "RV's" in their driveways all year long.
As long as it didn't impact the sidewalk or sight lines for intersections I think it's fine.
As long as it doesn't block the side wall, absolutely yes. 36 hours is not reasonable.
As long as it doesn't hurt Interfere with road traffic.
As long as it doesn't impact street parking (aka, moving a vehicle onto the street to free up their driveway for RV parking)
As long as it doesn't stick out onto sidewalks or street.
As long as it fits in the parking spot without hanging over sidewalks. I am ok with it.
As long as it fits on driveway and does not affect the sidewalk. Bigger RV S and trailers should not be allowed. Not allowed in winter.
As long as it follows all bylaw requirements it would be fine. There are times when you need extended times to do repairs or modifications to your RV and 36 hrs is not enough!
As long as it is front driveway and not on the street, we are ok with it. And as long as it doesn't become an eyesore, people aren't living out of it and is kept in good order. I also

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

worry that people will use this as an opportunity to make money by renting out their driveways and it turns into a garage sale.
As long as it is fully on the driveway and not blocking the sidewalk. Parking is difficult as it is, if they can use the driveway it keeps them off the street.
As long as it is not a safety issue, you should absolutely be able to park on a front driveway. Some people's trucks are bigger than some trailers, and that is okay, so I don't see a problem if its safe.
as long as it is wholly on your own property (where you pay property taxes) I would be in favour.
As long as it's not an obstruction to pedestrians and traffic it should be allowed all year long.
As long as people are not living in it and it's not obstructing view while driving or walking I don't have a problem with rvs being parked in a driveway. Would help make camping more affordable for a family so they aren't paying storage fees monthly
As long as people aren't living in them. I don't see the difference between having one on your driveway that is in front of your house vs beside your house. You can still see it. I would be more supportive of letting people have between 72 hours to a week of driveway parking at a time.
As long as the "RV" meets the regulations of the Traffic Acts then yes. If it is in a derelict state then no.
As long as the home owners driveway is large enough to store the rv. We have seen in many cases that the rv still goes over the city sidewalk.
As long as the RV is intermittently being used. If Rv in a driveway displaces other vehicles into the streets and causing congestion, how would that be controlled?
As long as the trailer is on the driveway and not obstructing sidewalk, It seems completely reasonable to let people park on their own driveway. I have always thought It unreasonable not to let people park a trailer on front drive. Private property should be universal. Assuming It does not impede other's access to sidewalks or other public spaces.
As long as the unit is being used. Some people will use as a storage space. Look at RV storage spots, and how many are never used. They just sit and deteriorate.
As long as the unit is contained on a driveway. Plenty of houses have worse looking vehicles parked on their driveway, I'd rather see a nicer trailer.
As long as the unit is in a drive way and the owner's property
As long as the unit is on your lot and not on the street I support seasonal parking. It also must not block the sidewalk at all.
As long as the vehicle isn't falling apart it makes no difference to me.
As long as there is a 3 day max
As long as there is no obstruction to access of emergency vehicles or neighbouring vehicles I am fine. We have at time box trucks parked in front for long durations which are not ideal. But seasonal I have no issue.
As long as they are parked on a driveway or parking pad and not obstructing the sidewalk, road, or driver/pedestrian vision.
As long as they aren't encroaching on City property absolutely.
As long as they can fit it on their driveway I would support this, if it encroaches into the road or blocks access it becomes an issue
As long as they fit on the driveway, yes

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As long as trailer does not come closer than a foot to the road or sidewalk and isn't a corner driveway that could block turning vision i agree parking in paved section of driveway as i did when i lived in moncton, sherbrooke and quebec city
As long as you don't block the city sidewalks
As someone who goes out camping a couple times a month. The convenience and savings would be huge. Not having to go to storage, bring it home, get ready etc and then the opposite afterwards.
As someone who was privileged to grow up with a small Class C motor home, I do support seasonal front driveway parking. During school summer breaks, it is common to go camping every weekend. Having to relocate the vehicle every 36 hours just made us move the problem of an RV parked in front of our house to either two houses over or at the end of the cul-de-sac. Ultimately, the problem was just rotated around the block.
As the properties are owned ir rented by the occupant, uf you have a property with a dedicated driveway, it us none if the city's business where you park your RV as long as it doesn't encroach on city or other people's properties if said unit is in good working order and safe to do so. Units that are unsightly or in a "junk" condition should not be allowed.
As we already pay enough for property taxes yes the afore mentioned should be able to be parked on driveways indefinitely. As long as line of sight to the roadway is not obstructed it should not be an issue. There are 4x4 vehicles street parking that cause more of a hazzard than the afore mentioned vehicles. RV storage lots are not an option for most people as they are not cheap and many are already stretched beyond their means. Surely there are far more pressing issues the city needs to tend to
As with all bylaw, warrant should come with a question of impact. Complaint alone (ie complainant not impacted) needs to be supported by the impact it causes; a complaint of 'unsightly' when not made by an immediate neighbor should hold almost no weight.
At the very least extended hours to park an RV in front driveway (72-96 hours) would be greatly beneficial for owners. In an active busy summer many RV owners travel every weekend. Having to tow back and forth between an offsite storage lot and ones property is a serious inconvenience that costs time and money. With current bylaw the preparation and packing process as well as unloading and clean out is a race to get everything done. Having more time in advance of having to move a trailer offsite would be much easier to plan and schedule around using an RV.
Because I would like to load my trailer and keep my fridge instead have to wait for it cool down.
Because it is reasonable and convenient and saves money.
Because there are so few RV's with respect to the number of homes in Calgary, there is no reason why an RV can't be permanently parked in a homeowners front driveway.
Being an owner and having to tow quite a distance to put back to storage I feel the 36hrs is to short to turn around (meaning load and and upload and do so maintenance) a recreation vehicle as in the summer months it needs to ready and available weekend to weekend.. We only have a short time span to utilize these.. After all we live at the foot of Nature..
Being an owner of a smaller rv and working full time . Being able to park it on my driveway allows me to have immediate access to prepare the rv for a weekend/ vacation. Being able to plug the fridge freezer into my electric so it's ready for use . I'm not allowed to park my trailer at the back of my house . I would be more than willing to make a concrete pad. My trailer does not infringe in anyones view of sight. No issue for pedestrians . As I dint have a side walk.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

City should allow seasonal parking. I should be allowed to keep my trailer on my property instead of being forced to pay for a storage facility that are notorious for thefts. Instead of using the "blanket approach" by simply banning parking RV's on front driveways the City should consider the 'common sense' approach and deal with cases individually and assess through a complaint process if someone's RV is causing obstructed sightlines, safety or esthetics issues and deal with that accordingly
Class A, Class C (over 24'), Travel Trailers over 24', and slide ins not on a truck no.
All Class B's and shorter rv's ok permanently in front.
Considering we pay the taxes and it's not a run down RV and is taken care of. I support it for sure.
Convenience and helps saving time during camping season to either load or offload the RV.
Convenience and security. Saves on a return trip to the storage area... reduces the exposure to busy city traffic.
Convenience especially if your driveway is on an incline or decline. Should have a week or two at the most as lots would just park their junky trailers on the street to avoid storage charges. Not good.
convenience of setup, more time for basic maintenance, less time spent picking up the trailer from the storage (more family time)
Convenience: Allowing seasonal parking for recreational vehicles in front of homes offers residents the convenience of having their recreational vehicles close by, making it easier for them to enjoy outdoor activities.
Cost of putting trailer on a lot over winter is getting so expensive. It would be wonderful if it could be on my drive way
Currently we have a neighbor who year long parks his RV in front driveway, thus, I reply NO to supporting seasonal front driveway parking. His RV obstructs our vision when backing out of our driveway. Also they then have to use the street to park their multiple vehicles; this would grossly limit parking in newer neighborhoods that already have limited street parking. The aesthetics of the neighborhood could also result from older and dilapidated RVs and encourage year long parking.
Cut down on vehicle emissions
Definitely extend the length of time an RV can be parked on the driveway. It takes much longer than 36 hours to load and unload the RV, especially the first and last trip of the year. Impossible to do this in 36 hrs.
Definitely NO. Perhaps extend the current time limit to 72 hours.
Definitely not people will abuse this with huge fifth wheels and motor homes
Definitely NOT! Main reason is it's very unsightly and safety concerns. seasonal parking from spring to fall would push all that persons vehicles onto the street, in our neighbors case that would be 4 additional vehicles on an already crowded cul de sac. There are many children on our street and this creates safety concerns.
Definitely not, that would limit already limited street parking spaces. Is lot of people have camping trailers on my street, have no interest in living in a trailer park. Thefts would increase, and it would be a very easy way to spot if people are home or not. So many houses don't park any vehicles in their garages, and they already take up all the street parking, this would make the issue so much worse. This is a horrible idea, hard pass.
Definitely not. It's an absolute eyesore and they take up parking spots, displacing other vehicles onto the street.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Definitely YES
Definitely YES. Please see above.
Definitely yes. Driveway is also the property of house owner which should be used as how they want. Also, RV is considered as temporary "accommodation" which mean temporary home where I can feel safe and rest my body but parking/putting in to someone's hand, my home to random parking lot to whom I do not know nor trust because of the city law is not making sense. You people would not hire random people to look after your home during vacation days. I hope to see changes with regarding law please.
Definitely, convenient and will save me money from paying to park in a storage facility, plus is your property and I pay my taxes therefore we should be able to park in it all year round if need to.
Definitely, it is their personal property parking on their personal property. It is also much safer to be able to do things in the RV on a driveway if possible.
Definitely, yes. Storage can be very expensive.
Definitely. Parking our tent trailer on our driveway is no different than having a van parked there. It does not obstruct neighbors sightlines nor access to the street. There are broken down cars that look worse than a trailer on the driveway.
Definitely. The benefits of having the motorhome in my driveway compared to constantly having to go get and return it would be amazing...so much time and effort moving it all the time!
Definitely. Paid storage rates on lots are prohibitive, and remove the ability to use a campervan as a mode of transportation. Having to "collect" it and re-park it offsite add significant time to what little free time there is to prepare for a trip.
Definitely. Allowing it there over the spring, summer and fall allows for families to maintain it, clean, repair it and use it more frequently. Costs less in storage and if it fits in the driveway and is temporary why not? Really the listed impacts are sooo slight. Obstructed sightlines?? So I could have a food truck there all the time with the same problem. Or a large van but not a trailer? I don't understand how there are safety issues. The only issue I agree with is aesthetics. This disallowing long term storage makes sense.
Definitely. I and many neighbours have RVs and I don't see it as an eyesore. Camping is a wonderful family activity but becomes inconvenient when one must spend an hour at the start and the end of every weekend returning it to a storage facility. Further, there is the added expense of paying for that storage.
Depending on the age and shape of the vehicle. Having said that, sometimes the size of the Rv may make it unsightly. I normally advise my neighbours when I bring it out. 36 hours is nice, sometimes I may need more due to repairs of the trailer. Sometimes if they park a trailer in the driveway that means a vehicle of the owner cannot park there.
Depending on the size of the RV as the view from your front window could be blocked. This would impact security of unwanted intruders using these blocked views to preform their crimes that would otherwise be seen by the residence.
Depending on the size of the trailer yes. There are already year round trailers parked in the front of our neighborhood. Some are small others are larger and present challenges for local traffic and congestion in cul de sacs,
Depends on the size, under 30 feet perhaps
Depends what the definition of seasonal is. Right now neighbours park their trailers in the driveway for several weeks and even months at a time. Is this going to lead to year round



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

parking? In thirty plus years I have never seen any bylaw enforcement of the current bylaw so that is a huge concern for me.
Does it fit on my driveway? Do I own my driveway? Then I should be able to park what I want on my driveway for any period of time. Yes we support allowing seasonal RV parking on residential front driveways and parking stalls. Although we have never parked our vehicles for an extended period of time, there are many Calgarians that would benefit from this. The current timeline is not sufficient to properly pack, clean or organize these types of vehicles for trips. There is no detriment to having them stored on driveways and in many cases, they are smaller than large trucks or vans that are allowed to stay all year long. Saving on storage and security worries is a benefit too.
Doesn't matter to me I don't have front driveways in my part of the city
DONT allow Seasonal Parking
SAFETY No as it can cause safety (blind spots) and also parking hazard . Also there would be less space for people to drive and also city to collect garbage. RV's can block view and emergency vehicles cant access the place
There is also additional damage as no one would be able to visit anyone's home (no parking): De
Driveway I could maybe support. But NOT on roads or alleys.
Driveway is fine as long as it doesn't infringe on the sidewalk
Driveway parking should always be allowed all year as long as it is clean and safe You should also be able to detach your trailer as long as it is secure . Residents leave them attached blocking there driveway . This causes the problem of any other vehicle at that residence not being able to use drive way ( lots are small in the city and getting smaller ) so now there are even more vehicles on the road parking causing congestion problems
Driveway parking should be allowed from April to October as long as it is not a safety issue or the unit or surrounding land is not being maintained. Security & costs are also a main concern.
Driveway parking should not be restricted at all. If your unit is parked within your yard it is no one else's business period!
Driveway parking, yes. If it is on the personal property and not crossing into the lane way traffic, or on the road, I support this. It would make it more convenient and make it so people don't block the road with their vehicles in order to make the current time limit work.
Due to high cost of storage, convenience and fuel savings and no impact on community or local traffic. Storage on driveway should be allowed. It is also taxable piece of property I should be able to use! Other municipalities do allow seasonal storage of trailers on the driveway, why not Calgary?!
Dumb idea - we have enough problems in the city already let alone turning Calgary into a trailer park.
During the summer months parking should be allowed for 5 days at a time. This would include back to back weekend trips without returning the RV to its storage site. Longer that 5 days will encourage RV owners to leaver parked all season which I am not in favor of.
Ease of access for owner and less fuel used due to having travel trailer in driveway for camping season. Less time in city roads as well

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Esthetics and the parking on streets will increase if driveway space were to be used for rv storage.
Even though I own a motorhome I would not want to see driveways with RV's on them in my neighborhood for 8 months, it looks bad. I would however support it during the camping/boating months (May to Oct) when people are using them consistently. Always washing, filling and maintaining during summer months so its very convenient having them there. From October to May, I store one in the backyard and the other at a paid lot.
Even though I own an RV I don't think we should allow "seasonal" parking of RV's in the front driveway. I do think that 36 hrs is a sufficient amount of time for loading and unloading but to think it is too short of a time for some people to do repairs/reno's. Most people have their RV's stored at storage lots that do not allow for repairs to be done on RV's when on their lot so we have to do it in our own driveways. I would suggest that a 1 week time frame would be better suited for this.
Eventhough I own a trailer, and it WOULD be very beneficial to be able to park it on my driveway for the summer, I feel that the safety and obstructed sightlines are more important. When we park our trailer on the driveway, I feel that I am putting my neighbours at risk when they reverse out of their driveway. It's hard for them to see pedestrians and oncoming cars.
Extend non driveway parking to 72 hours for those of us with lanes homes and no access to driveway.
Extend the front roadway or rear alley length of time as I do not have a front Driveway
Extending the allowed time would be great. However the whole summer season may be too long.
First of all, the Land Use Bylaw is actually 24 hrs, not 36. You really ought to know that before rolling out this survey. The City of Calgary is not a home owners association. Condition and appearance should not be a consideration unless there is going to be some beauty contest judging. Seasonal parking should be allowed, Calgary has the most restrictive RV rules in Alberta. Look at Edmonton. They are not rioting in the streets during the summer when RV's are allowed to park on driveways.
For a period of 72 maximum yes. Recreational vehicles are large and unsightly if left in front driveways for long periods of time.
For smaller units, I think it would be reasonable. It may be unmanageable for larger units.
For up to 3 days at a time yes, this allows for loading and unloading and cleaning. Otherwise the space should be available to park cars. If there is extra space at side or in rear yard of the house, this should be OK but should not be in a required car parking space.
fos a VERY maximum of 24 hours - I have personally viewed several car crashes, pedestrian mishaps, near misses as well as the effective deterioration of the beauty of a community with the continuous visual of any of these "RV's" as identified, stored on driveways, streets, side and rear yards. Owners should be permitted a MAXIMUM of 24 hours to "load up" their "RV" and then be gone, any longer period is unsightly.
Front Drive or Front Street Parking should be allowed for up to 96 hours. 36 hours is not enough time.
Front driveway parking for 48hrs
Front driveway parking should be allowed year round.
Front driveway yes. Not on the front yard or on the street in front of the dwelling. We have a guy parking his rv van on the front street. It never moves. It is an eyesore and limits street sweepers and trash collection.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Full support of parking RVs on driveways without 36 hour limit, provided the condition is not unsightly (such as unsafe to drive/use).
Full year.
Fully support it.
Generally yes, but with some restrictions. The whole unit must be off the street and also not over the street side sidewalk. Also, no parking if the unit restricts site-lines on the corners. There need to be restrictions on people occupying the unit. Restrict it to storage only. Also don't allow old derelict units.
Generally, no. Perhaps an exception could be made beyond the 36 hours during Stampede week only.
Heck ya. Your property. Your choice.
Hell yes! We are lucky enough to be able to park in our yard but we have friends who need to park in front of their house and sometimes they have to quickly unload in the rain or late at night due to the 36 hours bi-law. It is getting crazy by what people can and can't do in their own yards.
Hell yes!!
How about homeowners park whatever they want forever on their own property
How it's it much different to have a rv, motor home, boat, trailer etc on the side of your house or in front. I feel like as long as your rv etc fits on your driveways and is not on a main roadway it should be allowed. A lot of new houses don't have room to park on the side of your house, or no alleyway to park into back area. So the only option is a front driveway.
I 100% support this measure, you forgot to add the benefits, of repair (like putting in new curtains), that is not easily available when its 1 hour away... Think of the green house gases that we could save if we didn't have to haul it 1 hour away and back every time someone wants to go away for the weekend.
I absolutely do not support parking RVs in front of people's homes. It would be extremely frustrating for RVs to be on the streets or on driveways, blocking vision. It would be an eyesore for communities. I have an RV and part of the responsibility is to use your 36 hours wisely. We should not change the bylaw to support people who cannot be wise.
I absolutely support seasonal parking for RV's for several reasons. 1st to reduce the emissions of towing vehicles driving 30-40 km to pick up an RV to turn around and bring it home to load and the same 30-40km to drop it off 4 days later is crazy and bad for the enviro. 2nd During the flood, the city had no problems suspending the bylaw. 3rd Responsible RV owners should not be penalized for the small few who take advantage of it. 4th keep money in Alberta by helping people go camping!
I Absolutely support. The cost of storing is high and not always secure. It is an hassle to leave go get a trailer from storage, and return it afterwards. As a mom, if i had it in my driveway i could be ready to leave when husband got home. As a dad, i wouldn't have to take as much time out of the trip to go get it bring back to the house to load up and then return when vacation was done. And furthermore, I believe that's it's you property you should be allowed to park whatever on it.
I absolutely would support this. Paying to store an RV is a significant expense for trailer owners, and not everyone has a back or side parking area that currently complies with bylaws. There are no bylawys against a home owner parking their personal car or truck permanently on their driveways, and I think an RV should be treated similarly. If a RV fits on a homeowner's driveway, seasonal parking should be allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I absolutely would. If the RV is within the property boundary so as to not restrict access to sidewalks and/or reduce sight lines I see no real issue.
I absolutely would. It provides quicker and easier access to your trailer and peace of mind knowing you can keep a good eye on it.
i absolutley would support it.
I agree but I also rent a spot for my trailer and move it within 72 hours - this would be an acceptable amount of time. I have a neighbor that parks their RV/Van conversion in their driveway year round.
I agree for seasonal front driveway parking in summer as need park temporary for maintenance and loading/unloading.
I am a owner of an RV 3 days is fine to load or unload however inqnd not want a big 34' trailer in my neighbours front driveway all year long
I am an RV owner and I would support seasonal front driveway parking, even though I would not be able to take advantage of it myself due to my driveway's slope.
I am fine with seasonal parking
I am in support of it as long as vehicles are able to be moved and do not sit there forever. They must be well maintained and able to be moved as required.
I am totally for people parking there trailers seasonally in front of there house or along the side of there fence, just so long it doesn't take the neighbours parking space or stop traffic from flowing through alley.
I am very in favour of seasonal RV parking. We use our RV a lot during from spring to early fall and having it on the driveway is very convenient. Our RV does not block any sidewalks or city property and as long as it is confined to the driveway I do not see the negative issue to the community. I also think the RV should be put in a more suitable spot of the winter while it is not in constant use. The cost of a storage spot from May-Sept is significant when not in use.
I believe 36 hours too little time, but I wouldn't want someone living in the camper for a week or more in front of my house. I believe if the rv belongs to the home owner it should be allowed to be parked there for up to 3 days at any given time before it needs to be moved. This allows for packing and unpacking for trips.
I believe during the warmer months the bylaw should be driveway parking for 72 hours only. I think people should be able to clean and load their RV in 3 days before they leave on vacation. Let's use some common sense on this matter! Every time I look out my window facing the street I, I don't want to be staring at the neighbours RV all the time, that's ridiculous!
I believe if people can fit their unit on their driveway - which they pay taxes for - then they should be allowed to park it there. 36 hours is not enough time for working families to prepare for trips and unload afterwards, especially if there are repairs needed. Even a 7-14 day timeframe would be better.
I believe if the trailer fits in the driveway or side yard. I would consider this just a vehicle and the property owners have that right. Mine doesn't ? But other owners should have that choice
I believe seasonal RV parking should be allowed on the owners private property and allowed at all times provided it is located beside or behind the home and does not extend past the front of the residence.
I believe that if you can fit any of your owned property within your property bounds, then you should be allowed to do so. Anything outside your property bounds would be restricted.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe that RV's should be able to be parked on front drives for as long as the owner wishes. As long as it is fully on their property and not blocking the sidewalk or road
I believe that seasonal parking would only solve part of the ownership problem. The majority of RV storage facilities around the city bill you yearly, not monthly. This would cause you to pay additional amounts for an unused service. Seasonal would be an improvement over the current rule, however once you reach the point of having them in a driveway "seasonally", you are causing neighbours to experience the "cons" half the year, which are mostly related to aesthetics.
I believe we should increase the parking time frame to a week would be acceptable
I believe year-round front driveway parking should be allowed based on size of vehicle. So long as it isn't impeding vision of drivers or traffic, I see no problem with people parking their own RV on their own front driveway.
I can park there 24/7
I can't see pedestrians and cars properly backing out of my driveway from current camper van and I don't want it to get worse. Current van should not be there but trying to be a nice neighbor
I can't think of a good reason not to allow it
I could care less as long as it's on the owners property. There are so many areas where parking is limited due to the number of units in a building or the number of vehicles at one house. Keep it in your yard unless you are leaving or returning. The roads are full and barely enough room for all the residents without adding these huge RV's to it.
I definitely support it. If it's in a driveway and not obstructing the sidewalk then it should be allowed. Hauling or driving it back and forth to storage spot when you are using every weekend or even second weekend is costly and time consuming.
I definitely support seasonal front driveway parking. There's a extreme shortage of RV storage available and involve driving through Calgary's roads multiple times to store them outside the city limits.
I definitely support that, since we don't obstruct any neighbors view and quiet expensive to park in a storage place . Aren't we paying enough tax to have our RV parked on my super big driveway?
I do believe seasonal parking should be considered as many of us will use our campers every weekend during the summer season.
I do not feel I would support seasonal front driveway parking due to poor visibility.
I do not support allowing seasonal driveway parking. It's an eyesore. It's blocks the site line through neighbourhoods. It would allow people to run airbnb like rentals in their RV out of their driveways.
I do not support allowing seasonal front drive parking for RVs. In addition to the negative aesthetic factors, the parking space consumed by RVs displaces other vehicles which then consume other available parking, which impacts adjacent property owners. I am fortunate enough to be able to afford to own recreational vehicles, and believe my good fortune shouldn't come at a cost to my neighbours. Finding offsite storage is, to me, just part of responsible RV ownership and operation.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking as that still present the same concerns as offseason which are as listed above. I would however support increasing the allowance from 36 hours to 48 hours.
I do not support allowing this, often times I see trailers hanging onto the road, left unhooked from a tow vehicle parked on the road, or parked on the road for months at a time already.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I do not support extending the timeline for parking in a driveway as I believe it clutters up the neighbourhood and ruins peoples views / sitelines. Also most RV owners already abuse the 36 hour rule
I do not support seasonal parking of RVs on the front driveway of a residence. If allowed I believe this could (1) encourage people to use them as a temporary residence, (2) lead to crowded street parking for other vehicles, (3) look unsightly, (4) create blocked sidewalks and (5) increase costs of bylaw enforcement.
<p>I DO NOT support seasonal RV parking!</p> <p>-We are a residential community, not an RV Park</p> <p>-If you can afford a RV &amp; the gas to operate it, you likely can pay to park it too; price of RV ownership</p> <p>-benefits only apply to RV owners; there is NO benefit to others/neighbours</p> <p>-Obstructed view will increase risk to our children/residents at play/leisure, on way to school/work, while maintaining property, especially when backing car out of driveway</p> <p>-# of parked cars on street will explode, further obstructing views &amp; increasing risks</p> <p>-Will provide more opportunity for vandalism (more insurance claims/thus higher premiums)</p> <p>-Blocked views will contribute to more property break-ins/thefts, already on rise</p>
I do not support seasonal. I do think 72 hours is a more appropriate time limit though so someone can bring a trailer home for weekend to clean it and do work without having to rush it back to storage. Seasonal storage on front driveways is obstructive and unsightly. I have lived in cities which allow this and it reduces the community feel.
I do not support the extended time. Calgary is already forcing owners into smaller lots with limit street parking. Why add to these constraints by allowing larger vehicles on the street. It is already a pedestrian nightmare.
I do not support this idea. The trailers can be unsightly and obstruct views in the neighbourhood. They are also at risk of vandalism.
I do not think seasonal front driveway parking is a good plan due to the known impacts listed above. I do think there are occasions when parking for >36 hours may be beneficial, for example if a trip is curtailed due to illness and the RV cannot be unloaded and returned to storage in this time; if an out of area visitor travelling in an RV is staying for a few days, or for start and end of season (un)packing and cleaning. So rigidity of enforcement may come into play. I think there should be some permitting system to allow exceptional extensions in the event of natural disasters or similar where someone may use an RV for temporary housing, needing access to power and water.
I do not want any RV parked on a front driveway as it will make our neighborhoods look terrible and I wonder if people will start renting them out to subsidize your income.
I do not wish to change the current bylaw.
I do not wish to turn our front yards in a residential area into a trailer parking lot. Street parking is already at a premium.
I do support allowing seasonal front driveway parking so long as the tv fits safely in its spot. It cannot be to close to the sidewalk. I agree with the above known benefits to be why I would support this decision.
I do support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. As an owner, I can't afford the off site parking costs year round.
I do support allowing seasonal front parking as I do not have any other place to do small maintenance projects on my trailer. I do have a storage lot for my trailer, but they do not provide water or electricity there, so getting my trailer is a bigger job than 36 hours can

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

provide. Also it is very frustrating to see the parking person coming to my home when he has to pass approximately 10 other units that keep their trailers out front all year long.
I do support driveway parking and parking in the front of the owners home, provided it is done in a safe manner. The city of Calgary has allowed builders to build homes in very tight quarters, thus causing driveways to be very short. this does not allow for some RVs to be parked in driveways, therefore street parking must be allowed. I know in our area there are vehicles that are parked on the streets for months at a time and are much more unsightly than Rvs. We also pay insurance.
I do support extending the time a trailer can be left in a driveway or parked in front of a house. Perhaps up to one or two weeks. Our trailer is too long to fit in the driveway and needs to be parked on the street. It is very difficult to do maintenance and prepare/return from trips with such a short window and not being able to park on street unhooked.
Seasonal parking no because we have visited friends in sherwood park and it is unpleasant when everyone has a trailer in their driveway.
I do support it, provided that there are still time restrictions for on-street parking and the vehicle does not create an sightline obstruction for drivers, e.g., an RV parked on the driveway of a corner property that blocks a driver's view of pedestrians and approaching vehicles. Enforcement of these restrictions should be increased if seasonal front parking is allowed.
I do support seasonal front driveway parking. First thing it is their property and no one else should be able to govern anything on their property unless it is unsightly or unsafe. Secondly the cost of parking it in an RV storage area is costly.
I do support seasonal parking in front driveway, as this allow camper quicker access to campers for trips, security of item, etc. I do worry about people abusing this and camping in their driveways or performing large scale repairs/renovations in their driveways.
I do support seasonal parking or at least a longer parking duration as it helps users by removing a barrier to enjoying their equipment (picking up, storing every weekend).
I do support seasonal parking. I have a 2019 travel trailer and it's less than 20 feet. It's not an eyesore or large enough to obstruct view in my neighborhood. I work weekdays and only have time to go camping on the weekends. I find it very frustrating that I have to drive my trailer across town twice a week to store my trailer. I do not have access to parking on my property. I would love to but a home with RV parking but that's proving to be very difficult with limited homes for sale on the market. So I have not choice but to store my trailer across town every week. Would really be convenient to park my trailer at my place from Monday to Friday. Please consider this change.
I don't have a front or back driveway. So street parking my RV is a must. I typically need to park for up to a week in the spring for cleanup and maintenance. Sometimes during the season I may park on the street for longer than 36 hours between trips as it is more convenient than taking the RV to storage. Finally in the fall I'd need a longer period of time than 36 hours for preparing the unit for winter and then it goes into storage for the rest of the year. Using a driveway year round is ok.
I don't have a problem with anyone parking any of the vehicles you define as a RV on their own property in front or back as long as it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk, alley, or any part that is not their private property anytime of the year. So I would be ok with easing the rules for seasonal front driveway parking. I do have an issue with parking these vehicles on the street



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

and in the alley and people running electrical cords out to them. I know of several instances where people are living in RV's all year round. I take no issue with that as long as it is on private property and is in good condition & they aren't dumping waste water into the street or alleyway. This happens sometimes.
I don't love the idea but of seasonal parking as it can be a bit of an eye sore and could potentially lead to having people living in them over the camping seasons. There are a lot of people that own them and I feel the current restrictions in place are sufficient. I wouldn't mind having a 24 hour option on the street in front of your home where we can park the trailer without being hooked up to a vehicle for loading and unloading purposes
I don't support allowing front driveway seasonal parking because the line of site for the safety of pedestrians or vehicles backing out of driveways is already impaired. There is no enforcement when people park over the sidewalk or when people park their rvs for longer than the current 36hrs. We have enough crime and car prowlings due to people parked everywhere not being smart about hiding their valuables out of site when in their vehicles, parking rvs on driveways will only make this worse.
I don't support front or driveway parking for safety, esthetician and obstruction of view Recreational vehicles and boats are large and make moving around them safely difficult because of lack of visibility. These should be stored away from residential areas!
I don't support seasonal front driveway parking of RVs for the same reasons listed above. Aesthetics sight lines and safety. Not sure that an RV would be any more secure in front driveway than storage yard.
I don't support seasonal parking. We pay to store our tent trailer south of the city. The cost of having an RV is proper storage. Many people have parking for their trailer in their backyard. Allowing seasonal RV parking leads to cluttered and narrow streets and poor visibility in a neighborhood. More vehicles would be parked on our roads because the RV's would take the parking space of a car. I suspect many RV's would end up parked year round.
I don't think it should be a problem to park an RV or recreational equipment if it doesn't block views or roadways
I don't think that seasonal parking on one's own driveway is an issue as long as no part of the vehicle infringes on the sidewalk or properties of others.
I don't. As stated above, there hard to see beyond, and even the nicest trailer is still a eye sore if you have to look at it all year.
I don't believe an RV should be only 36 hours, but I'm not convinced it needs to be there for an entire summer. Give me a minimum of 96 hours to come home from a trip, clean and unpack my RV and then take it back to my secure lot. To be honest, given I lost a catalytic convertor last year when my rv was on my driveway, I'm trying to get my RV moved off my lot as soon as possible. If people have a fenced or side yard that does not have the RV sitting outside of their home, that's okay to me.
I don't believe that they should be allowed for an entire season. I often have my 5th wheel home for several days as it takes me that long to pack it when we preparing to head south for the winter. We live on a wide street where there is very little parking so there is little impact to those using the street. I think allowing for the stay of 3 to 5 days would be appropriate (allowing visitors for short period).
I don't like it but do not strongly oppose. I don't have a front driveway but wouldn't like my neighbour parking his RV on a driveway due to obstructed sightlines and esthetics.
I don't support seasonal parking on front driveways. I think it would ruin the esthetics of neighbourhoods and possibly ruin the sightlines to natural areas within the neighbourhoods.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't support seasonal parking. I think that large travel trailers are a safety hazard and are esthetically unpleasant if they are on a driveway for months at a time. I do support extending the days to allow for prep, loading, and unloading. I understand that this could effectively be seasonal parking if someone were to camp every weekend but I think most people don't do that.
I don't think I would. They can be difficult to look around for traffic/pedestrians, and when the units are larger they are parked off property for winter, anyway. Also, the few times we have had ours in the driveway it seems to attract vandalism - so I think they are safer someplace else.
I don't think RV's should be parked on city streets for more then 36hrs. As for our family we park our 5th wheel and fishing boat in the back yard fenced in keeping back ally clear and clean.
I feel seasonal exceptions should be granted as RV owners can't always move their vehicles back to storage as they may be taking their RV for maintenance and it's a long weekend.
I feel that 48 hours is a better time to allow vehicles to be parked in the driveway on the street next to your home. Sometimes it takes time to clean out trailer or pack trailer for a trip especially if you are working. But no longer than 48 hours should be permitted. It is an eye sore and should be parked in RV storage off site. We pay monthly parking for our RV and feel much better about not pissing off our neighbours.
I feel the amount of time an RV can be parked on the street in front of house should be increased, and a trailer should be able to be left unconnected to a tow vehicle as long as wheels are chocked and it's not blocking the neighbours. 36 hours is too short. 3-4 days would be more ideal. People need their tow vehicles to get to work, or to take kids to school, and often need at least a few days to get their RV loaded up. I'm fine with seasonal parking of RVs on driveways.
I feel the current bylaw of allowing a 36 hour period is fair. I agree there are benefits to seasonal front driveway parking, however, in a lot of neighbourhoods this can cause obstructed sight lines for many people especially with larger RV units and can also be problematic for people finding parking spots.
I fully support seasonal front driveway parking. It is beneficial for many reasons. When preparing for a camping trip it is important as it allows people to plug in their trailers to run their fridge, perform any required maintenance, pack the trailer with supplies. People with children and who work during the week just don't have enough time to get all this done in 24 hours.
I FULLY support seasonal parking on driveways and am pleased the City of Calgary is finally looking at this bylaw. It's not uncommon for campers to use their RVs for several consecutive weekends and it's unreasonable to force them to return their RV to off-site storage during the week. Storage can be very expensive so many owners store their RVs in rural locations, many kms from their home making it very difficult to return their unit to storage Mon-Fri only to need it again the next weekend
I fully support seasonal parking, for all the reasons above. A person should be allowed to park any unit on their driveway, provided it meets certain standards of size and upkeep.
I generally support this but an RV on approximately every 2nd lot might create some dangers for blocking the view of drivers and pedestrians. It is challenging to determine what is unsightly, as could restrict persons who may be less affluent. Some work vehicles on driveways may be more unsightly.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This may require regulations about how a trailer is secured. Would this permit people to put a few blocks down on the front lawn and put the RV on top those? That doesn't look great.
I have no issue with allowing seasonal parking on driveways provided the RV does not partially or fully block sidewalks or hang into roads. The dates when this is allowed needs to be strictly enforced.
I have no issue with seasonal front driveway parking during the summer, as long as it's not blocking sidewalks or on other peoples property. The RV also need to be functional, not a loophole to store garbage trailers. Our camping season is so short as it is, driving back and forth from a storage facility is a waste of gas and creates more traffic on the highways. After the Sept long weekend I think users RVs should go in storage until the next season (May long weekend) RVs should not be stored in front driveways over the winter months.
I have no issue with someone parking their RV on their own property.
I have no problem with people storing RVs on their property period.
I like to keep the front clear, parking in the back would be fine
I live on an alley with one neighbor to left, alley to my right and then neighbors back yard facing said alley. Across the street in front of my house is a church parking lot.
I may support this initiative from May Long Weekend thru September Long Weekend. The problem I see is in some areas street parking is already limited and this would move cars/trucks normally parked in driveways to the streets. Unsightly units with tarps and plastic covering them. Units parked over sidewalks. Units not removed until complaints filed and this will create bad relations with neighbors. As with any bylaw/rule/regulation if you give an inch a mile will be taken.
I need more time for the maintenance specially before the first trip of a year and then before stirring it for the winter.
I own a boat and it stored off my property, if you can can afford to own a rec vehicle, you can afford to store it. it's a eyesore. It's a danger with that being said, they park their RV in driveway, so now they park their car on the street, block traffic flow, clog roads, children run out from between them. Quit kissing lazy peoples ass. Fine them and make the city some money
I own a travel trailer and I would absolutely hate seeing them in our neighborhood seasonally. There are an eye sore in my opinion. They would be everywhere! Would make street parking more of a nightmare also in residential areas. If you can't pay for storage fee then don't buy a trailer.
I pay property taxes and should be able to use the driveway on my property however I see fit. My camper does nothing but bring joy to to my family, and I don't think I should be ticketed (punished) for allowing it to sit out front while we await our next weekend getaway. Perhaps the neighbours (or bylaw officials) concerned with how my camper van affects the esthetics of the neighbourhood would find their time better spent purchasing their own camper vans to make memories with their families.
I personally would not use front parking as I park my RV in my backyard.
I prefer the esthetics of no RV parking on driveways. We park ours elsewhere all season.
I say year round front parking as long as its in drive way, not on streets. For visibility- rv must be parked with end of unit 2 meters back from curb. Then folks who have long enough front drive ways that they own can be used for their rv parking year round. They own the driveway so should be able to park rvs as long as it does not obstruct neighbour from proper path of vision while leaving their drive way. Standard 36 hr street parking for folks who don't have drive ways is more than enough.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I should be able to park my registered vehicles on my own property.
I support 72 hour parking. 36 hour parking is a bit challenging when thinking about unloading, cleaning and getting back to the storage lot.
I support all year parking on private driveways as long as all requirements for visibility, safety and aesthetics are met. The current 36 hrs is not enough time to prepare or unload a RV and return it to a storage facility. The Bylaw is complaint driven and there are no where near the enforcement personal to properly address the issue. All one has to do is drive around the city and you will see those who consistantly store their RVs on there driveways all year while responsible owners pay for storage. By allowing seasonal storage the City will cut down on complaints, manpower to investigate and give responsible RV owners a break.
I support allowing calgarians to park their r.v. on THEIR property in the front or back WITHOUT INTERFERENCE BY YOU PEOPLE.
I support allowing homeowners to have their RV's in their driveways. Not only based on a cost perspective as storage is expensive, fuel to tow to and from house to storage is expensive but it would also reduce emissions of all those trips
I support allowing parking on front driveways, my own personal front driveway is 'hidden' partiality by my front garage. There is no visibility issues when my RV is parked in my driveway. I find it discriminatory that people can park 5-6 cars on the street without ever moving, parking cars on their lawn etc to be more unsightly than having an RV parked in a driveway. Not everyone has the ability or means to park on the side or backyard of their home, due to the lot, power poles etc.
I support allowing people to park their vehicle(s) on their own property.
On City streets, there is room for restrictions. No more than a week, perhaps, with permission of the homeowners at that address, and of course not blocking other people's driveways or otherwise interfering with their enjoyment (no power cords across sidewalks, for example)
I support allowing residence to park their property on their property. The city should have no say in what I choose to park on my property.
I support allowing RV parking on front drives but not for the whole summer. I feel a 2 week maximum is appropriate as some people use their RV multiple times through the summer but some only use them 1-2 times and they should be parked in a storage lot instead of the front drive. RV's are big and block site lines and do nothing for the esthetics of the neighbourhood. We own a trailer and seldom leave in on the front drive longer than a week at a time.
I support allowing RVs to be parked on front driveways during spring to fall. Many times when maintenance or repairs are required it would take more than 36 hours to source parts and supplies as well as sometimes diagnose the problem. Also given parking on your own front driveway mainly blocks your own visibility when leaving.
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking if it's not obstructing the sidewalk or roadway. I have a rear driveway as well but can't put my small trailer in it because of a transformer box across the alley from me so I am forced to park my van in the back and trailer in the front. For people that use their trailers frequently such as me (every weekend) it would bin inordinately inconvenient to not be able to park my trailer on my property. We should be allowed to park our trailers and RVs on our own property.
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking in certain circumstances. As an RV owner, the current 36 hours is too short of a time limit to allow maintenance or provide parking between weekend trips. We do not live on a main street and our unit, when parked in the driveway, is not obstructing any views or limit vehicle/pedestrian traffic. Having to move my

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

unit to and from the rental storage can also increase the risk of accidents in the neighbourhood and be more costly to the RV owner.
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I currently pick up trailer on the day of camping to load at home. This takes away from the camping experience as I feel rushed to get to campsite early. Saves gas and wear and tear on vehicle as well by less time transporting trailer
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. If you camp on weekends, this allows you to store your camper on the driveway during the week (5 days or more) without having to return it to a storage facility.
I support allowing seasonal parking. It saves people from traveling back and forth from there storage everything they want to go camping.
I support allowing seasonal parking. This would encourage their use and support tourism. I also support the allowance for off-season front property parking such as is the case in Langdon, provided the RV does not obstruct sidewalks, encroach on property lines or present safety concerns.
I support allowing the parking of driveways. It is not on the legal road way and other than the "Eye sore" it may provide some, It is a safe and economical way to store your trailer. Being so close to the mountains it is important not to punish those who may not be able to afford to store these RV's at facilities. Being a city that supports outdoor activities this seems to almost punish those who choose to own an RV
I support an increase to 3-5 days so that, at start/end of season trailer can be on driveway rather than in storage for cleaning/prep. Also, 36 hours isn't long/flexible enough for reasonable use when transitioning between camping trips.
I support and extended parking period such as 5 for example. This would help for short term storage or times between camping outings. I would not support or encourage full season long parking of RVs. I would also appreciate a review of allowing trailers to be unhitched period. As a tent trailer owner, not being able to unhitch I order to clean my trailer without risking a ticket is extremely inconvenient.
I support any homeowner being allowed to park anything they want on their own driveway at any point in time for any duration of time
I support as long as rv is not obstructing the sidewalk or parked in street
I support but only for small campers like sprinter or vw vans
I support changing the bylaw to allow seasonal front driveway parking because I believe it is useful to RV owners when subsequent camping is just a week away; parking the trailer in lot and taking it out adds to vehicle travel on road, and adds to carbon emission.
I support driveway parking as long as the trailer isn't hanging over onto the sidewalk.
I support driveway parking because I think people should be able to utilize their property as they see fit.
I support driveway parking but not stall (on street parking). The driveway does not impact sightlines or safety. Stall / on street parking does impact safety. Drivers have a chance to see kids amongst cars but not the larger and longer trailers. The inconvenience to me is not worth injuring a child. As well, stall / on street parking will always intrude on neighbours parking stalls.
I support extending the current by law to 48hrs. I do NOT support seasonal upfront parking as the having the unit occupying a off street parking space would increase on street parking. Some units can be unsightly or used as an unsafe, unsupervised play area by children

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I support extending the timeline for parking on the front driveway. I would like to see it extended to year round.
I support for small RVs only. E.g. under 20 feet in length (i.e. length of a large vehicle). This would allow for construction trailers and small campers, which should not be too obstructive while allowing more convenience. Large trailers are more obstructive and become a large element on the property impacting esthetics.
I support front drive parking on private property as a property right. Agreed Getting vehicles off the street creates better traffic sight lines. Not having RV owners move a vehicle 4 times (once to pick up the RV from storage, then return home to pack then do the same at the end of a trip) reduces emissions which supports the city's green initiatives. Why the difference between a Sprinter van with a Winnebago label vs no label define the vehicle? They are the exact same vehicle yet the cargo van with a plumbing logo is OK to park year round on a private Driveway? What is the difference between a 24 foot cargo trailer with 4 snow sleds in it and a 24 foot travel trailer? ZERO
I support front driveway parking 365 days a year. As long as the vehicle is not unsightly and parked legally on the owners property, there should be no limitation.
I support front driveway parking of all RVs as long as they fit on the driveway (i.e. do not extend onto the street). This allows owner ample time before trips to pack the trailer and cool down the refrigerator, and afterwards to unpack and clean. These things can't always be done within 36 hours of departure or arrival (as per current bylaws). As well, if it is a short turn around between trips, parking it on the driveway is significantly more convenient.
I support front driveway parking. However, a vehicle owner needs to ensure they are not storing an 'unsafe eye-sore' in the driveway out of consideration for neighbours. I feel for the sake of being a good neighbour, there are considerations which should respectively be considered. As stated above, general safety of the unit is the first and foremost consideration (*define what a safe unit means). Secondly, as many people are using DIY trailers there should be an esthetic consideration... no different than general property upkeep. The vehicle should also, not encroach on the property of a neighbour; this includes driving on grass when parking the vehicle.
I support full season parking. If you own your property, the government should stay out of your business.
I support full year or at least seasonal parking as this is a huge hassle for families and individuals to store their RV's in a parking facility where the fees are extremely high and there are high thefts as well. With inflation and increasing costs please consider this as a huge added burden on families and individuals and allow for full year or at least seasonal parking on the driveway.
I support having the ability to park in the front driveway because there are times when there's a need to have faster access to my camper. When I book back to back weekends I will no longer need to put it back in the back yard. Also when needing to clean or repair may take longer than 36 hours.
I support if driving sight lines are not obstructed.
I support if the driveway is big and doesn't impact traffic and safety.
I support it if it does not impede sidewalks
I support it if it's on your own driveway and not on the street
I support it with some guidelines: The hitch nor any other part of the unit be over the sidewalk.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The unit be relatively clean (not caked with mud). When it rains, this mud ends up on the sidewalk.
I support it, all year. It doesn't really affect others, unless you block a sidewalk or roadway with the trailer (which shouldn't be allowed). I think the same rules as vehicles should apply (needs registration, insurance and doesn't encroach city property).
I support it.
I support it. Families that work all week and want to camp find it hard to also pack the trailer the day before. It would make the summer so much less stressful. Also we often camp back to back weekends so restocking and going again would be so nice! Not having to return the trailer and then pick it up again.
I support longer than 36 hours - perhaps 72 hours, but do not support seasonal parking. The current bylaw reasons for not allowing this outlines the valid reasons for why it should be short term not seasonal.
I support parking any vehicle on your own property year round
I support parking but only for a short period of time. I don't think an RV needs to be parked all summer. Extending the time allowed from 36 hrs to may 72 is helpful for packing as needed. Allowing all summer parking will make the communities look trashy and decrease the aesthetics of yards/streets.
I don't want to drive in my community and not get to enjoy the site of grass in front yards.
I support parking in driveways.
I support parking of an RV on private property at any time of the year.
I support parking RVs in the front driveway at all times (year round) provided they do not extend onto the sidewalk, into the roadway, or obstruct views for drivers.
I support parking RV's on front drive way and parking stalls without a time limit, because it eliminates a drive back and forth between home and paid RV parking lot. It also adds sense of security, and convenience especially for the parents who juggle between parenthood and work life. The speed limit is already at 40KM/hour in neighborhoods. The parked RV's won't impact the vibility or sightlines at lower speeds. After all parked RV's brings seasonal vibes, so esthetics is not a question at all.
I support parking whatever people want on their driveways, as long as it doesn't interfere with use of city sidewalks or roads. I'd also be fine with RV vehicles parked on private property grass (front yard or otherwise) as long as they don't drive at any time within 2m of a city tree and again not interfere with a city sidewalk or road.
I support people exercising there rights to park there vehicles in the parking areas or spaces... because it's there's.
I support rv's being able to park in there driveway. The size of yards in Calgary make it impossible to park RV's anywhere other than driveways. Alot of people just go camping on the weekends and it is not practical having to move it after 36 hours.
I support season front drive way parking. If the vehicle is on a driveway off of public roads it should be able to park year round
I SUPPORT SEASONAL CHANGES TO ALLOW PARKING OF HOILDAY TRAILERS AND SOME MOTOR HOMES,ALTHOUGH SOME MOTOR HOMES are very large.
I support seasonal front driveway parking because I should have the right to place my possessions on my property without interference from the government.
I support seasonal front driveway parking for various reasons. Typically the camping unit is being used through the entire camping season, so having a limitation of 24 or 36 hours will cause a lot more exhaust and fossil fuels as owner is now required to unloaded, bring unit



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

back to storage, bring back from storage, reload and embark on next camping trip. Also, on our circle, we have a min. of 3 TT's through the camping season. If these are parked in drive there are no safety concerns.
I support seasonal front driveway parking. Tent trailers should be allowed to be parked for free year round on front driveways. The trailer must be down/packed up in order to be allowed to be parked on a front driveway. Tent trailers are not an eyesore or a safety concern. Also tent trailers are inexpensive so to have to pay to park off site is not feasible. It is not affordable to pay for parking.
I support seasonal front driveway parking. With the city expanding as it currently is, rv storage area are getting farther and farther way to make is accessible enough to be able to use your rv effectively over the summer camping period. Also, rv's are not unsightly as they are typically maintained so that they can be enjoyed, as compared to temporary storage containers or construction garbage bins on driveways during renovations.
I support seasonal front parking, as I currently own a travel trailer and it would provide me with convenience when travelling multiple weekends in row. Having to bring our trailer home to unload, then take it back to storage, only to have to bring it back 3 days later for travel the following weekend.
I support seasonal front parking, provided the vehicle is not obstructing sidewalks. Many trucks create just as much obstruction to sight lines as these vehicles. Not everyone has the luxury of having a back alley entrance to store their RV in their yards. People are busy and shouldn't have to rush to get their trailer mid week from their storage spaces to get it home and set it up for a camping trip for the weekend. Tent trailers need adequate time, weather allowing, to dry out before storage
I support seasonal parking as well as ALL year parking on your property and in the driveway
I support seasonal parking because secure offsite parking is not readily available for most owners requiring shuttling to and from storage facilities.
I support seasonal parking for "small" (less than 25ft) RV. For larger RV, I would support extend the parking time.
I support seasonal parking for all the reasons above.
i support seasonal parking for convenience.
I support seasonal parking of RVs on the front drive pad of the homeowners. It helps people with the ability of not having to access the backyard every weekend. It is the individual homeowners responsibility to ensure that their property (driveway pads) are safe and checked yearly for breaks and cracks due to the weight of their units. The city could charge a very small fee for the season of \$25.00 or \$50.00 to have a permit giving seasonal permission and free in the backyard.
I support seasonal parking. If it's on someone's property, it shouldn't be a problem for anyone else. Ensuring RVs are moved over the winter makes sense, so they don't rust away publically. But I see no issue with people parking their RVs on their own property
I support seasonal parking. So a person can clean rv inside and out. Security is better. Convenience and financial, storage costs for RV and burning gas to go retrieve it.
I support seasonal RV parking on front driveways because it affords convenience to their owners in preparing for and returning from trips. The seasonal nature of this parking is. It so obtrusive so as to inconvenience neighbours.
I support seasonally allowing front driveway parking. As it is difficult to clean, prep and unpack the RV in 36 hr allotted time and if you are heading out again the following weekend, then there is certainly not enough time.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I support small or reasonable size RV units parked in driveways. Obtaining access to RV lots are often to far away to daily maintain, load/unload, and ready to depart for camping.
I support this as long as it's a conversion van and is the standard length of a cargo van. I do not support A or C class motorhome rv's or travel trailers as the do lower the aesthetics of a community.
I support this as long as the entire unit ( including hitch) is on the driveway and not encroaching the sidewalk/street
I support this. I think this should be expanded to all year round if the RV meets all bylaw requirements.
I support using my own driveway for my own usage. it's one of the reasons I'm a homeowner.
I support using my property as I choose, storing what I want where I want
I support year round driveway parking as storage is very expensive and other costs ( home heating,food etc etc )
I support year round driveway parking for smaller vehicles that fit on the driveway without affecting sidewalk. It's my property and we pay taxes! There are far too many nanny-like city controls. Seasonal is ok but I still have to pay annual storage fee to keep my spot. We normally follow the 36 hour rule but it is so hard to prepare for longer trip, prep it at start of the season or winterize with that ultra short time. I was also fined once for having it on site for
I support year round front driveway and front parking pad parking. Not everyone who owns a trailer has rear access into an alleyway and have front attached garages with adequate driveways to be able to park a trailer. I find in Airdrie trailers are allowed to be parked on the driveway all year round and I don't see many/unsightly trailers. I believe as long as it isn't being occupied by a person from rv parking should be allowed all year round.
I support year round front driveway parking. Most people who regularly use their rv's in the summer like to leave some food and other supplies in the unit and the ability to leave your unit plugged in to keep the battery charged and the refrigerator left on to make it easier to load without having to continuously move food back and forth. If it's off the road on a private driveway there should be no reason for anyone to have a problem with it.
I support Year round parking on Privately Owned Properties and on Side/back parking. RV owners are respectful and park safely especially if it's on their Own property.
I support year round r.v/boat parking , for reasons being that people buy r.v's that require roof , appliance, plumbing repair , or general restorations , 36 hours is not enough time , and r.v storage doesn't allow this type of work , seasonal parking also effects this process due to some people working seasonal jobs , so the only time off they do have is in winter/spring . This bylaw pretty much creates a problem for many different people , and even the r.v lots , there is not enough storage to even accomodate the whole city's number of r.v's , etc... vehicles should be allowed to be stored on a person's private property , these bylaws are overstepping on people of Calgary
I support year round. My property, my choice with what I do with it.
I support yearly driveway parking.
I support. I'm a single mom. 36 hours is not long enough for me to come home from camping, deal with kids, unload and clean then remove my trailer.
I supports it for the same reasons as the benefits listed.
I think "seasonal" is far to long. But 2 weeks is reasonable for anyone needing to do work on their RV. All summer is much too long though. I also wouldn't like someone parking on the street all summer. Just on their driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think 36 hours is appropriate in high density neighborhoods. I think seasonal would not work or be fair on high density streets like my own (131 25th Avenue NE) where there is already significant competition for street parking. However, in lower density neighborhoods this would likely be fine.
I think 36 hours is enough time. If people are parking RV's or boats in driveways then they will likely be parking their vehicles on the streets. The houses are so close together now that there is no space already for vehicles to park. We don't have space for our kids vehicles let alone visitors already as it is without allowing RV's/boats
I think as a home owner you should be able to park your RV on your driveway all year. As long as your not blocked a sidewalk
I think as long as they should be there is 72 hours out front of a house I do not want to live in an RV park
i think driveway parking in the summer would be ok but not all winter.
I think extending to 72 hours should be acceptable. Packing up the unit can be done in short time but extended cleaning or repairs/maintenance take longer.
I think front driveway parking should be allowed year-round. Is it not private property? Make a bylaw about blocking sightlines, don't infringe on people's personal property rights.
I think if the rv is parked in your driveway on your property there should not be any parking restrictions.
I think it should be allowed as there is no good reason for it not to be.
I think it should be capped at 3-4 days
I think it would be ok and makes more fair for those neighborhoods without back alley
I think it would depend on the vehicle. Small trailers such as tent trailers are fine because they don't obstruct visibility, etc. However taller vehicles should not be allowed to seasonal part.
I think it's a great idea! I always worry if my 5th wheel has been broken into. People have to realize they need to slow down in these areas.
I think it's a matter of size of the vehicle and not a one-size-fits-all rule/solution. How does a 30' recreational vehicle that's over 9' tall fit into the same category as a small semi-converted delivery van?
I think rvs should be able to park on driveway year round
I think safety should be our first concern. If there is data to support safety concerns from obstructing sight lines to see pedestrians and cyclists during the busiest season then this shouldn't be considered.
I think seasonal parking is better than the 36 hour rule. However, in some cases it may be a problem for some neighbors as it can impair their view and it could lead to having more vehicles parked on the street. Last year we put our trailer back into its storage lot where it was then broken into. I wish that we would have just left it in our driveway.
I think seasonal (May long weekend thru labour day)front drive parking is reasonable as long as its not for long term accommodation while parked. For those camping on weekends, its a pain to have to go back and forth to storage facility. There should be a distance between sidewalk and end of RV to allow for comfortable walking and to allow for sight lines.
I think seasonal parking on the owner's property is completely fine as long as the RV is in good repair and not derelict. I think needing to move it seasonally solves that.
I don't think they should be allowed to be parked on city streets overnight or left for days at a

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

time for the impact the city has listed. The only difference is that one is on personal property while the other is city.
I think small ones like the tent trailer or small boats are okay, but I hate seeing giant rvs everywhere you can't see around them
I think so as long as the tongue/bumper are off the sidewalk, not obstructing the pathways it would be acceptable, however if longer term parking is allowed, I expect there will be a lot of people who block sidewalks with their units. This will become the bigger issue.
I think so, I'm not worried about esthetics but I do have safety concerns for visibility on corners. We had a neighbour set up a temp garage on his front lawn. His house is corner by a yield, there was no view of the street from that corner. Scary with all the kids around.
I think that if an RV is completely parked on a person's property it is fine. I would support parking on a front driveway year round.
I think that it should be allowed year round
I think that may be OK as long as someone isn't living in it. There are so many cars and trucks in the driveways now that a few RVs won't make much difference aesthetically.
I think that parking in the rear of the property is preferential but if the resident has a driveway in front of their home I believe they should be able to park an RV there for as long as they like as long as it doesn't block any of their neighbour's property. Parking on the street should be very short term and for the purposes of loading/unloading/preparation for a trip - perhaps 48 hours maximum.
I think that seasonal parking should be permissible providing the property has the ability to do so safely. I don't think that parking an RV that blocks crosswalks, fire hydrants should be permissible.
I think that trailers that fit on a front driveway and do not impede the sidewalk should be absolutely allowed to be left on driveways without any restrictions in the seasonal period. Having to store an RV outside of the city is a major inconvenience for RV owners and the arguments against allowing this seem very weak to me.
I think that's reasonable
I think the current restrictions are fine but I also don't even have a front driveway anyways so it doesn't effect me
I think you need to extend the 36 hours to 2 weeks. Sometimes you need to repair your RV and you can't keep it in your driveway.
I think you should be able to park anywhere on your property as it's your property - you pay the bills and taxes for that property so should have the freedom to park what you'd like to on your said property.
I think you should be allowed to park all year round in front of your own house
I understand the benefits; however, it would be an eyesore for the community.
I VOTE NO! Overriding concern is safety. An RV parked in my neighbour's front driveway will be situated 15 feet from where I back out of my driveway and will completely block the view down the street in one direction. It will be impossible to see a child approaching from a distance. By the time the child is within 15 feet, they will be below the line of sight out my vehicle window. The result could be catastrophic. Given the number of RVs you see in storage lots, sooner or later something bad is going to happen if these rigs move to driveways. A person who owns a rig and a tow vehicle can afford to rent a stall at an RV lot.
I want to park my small boat on trailer during winter as it is parked at Glenmore reservoir in summer. It costs me 60\$ a month to park in winter.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I wholly support extending the span of time a home owner may park their recreational rv on their on front property. Being able to have convenient on property access is also an environmental and financially responsible consideration. Less fuel is used and carbon emitted by not having to drive back and forth to rv storage yards. During tight financial times not paying storage rent for 6 months would also be of benefit. Easy on property access will also encourage more frequent travel adventures
I would absolutely be in favour of allowing rv's in front yard (on drive way). The inconvenience of leaving it elsewhere makes it very difficult to use it.
I would absolutely not support having front driveway parking, it simply looks trashy. If you want to have a trailer, you should have a place to store it. It impacts visibility if your driveway is surrounded by giant trailers. Also, the poor esthetic can reduce property values. People leave their junky vehicles out all year, and despite requiring them to not be trashy, how are you going to police that?
I would absolutely support a change to the bylaw. I don't see the difference in parking a trailer on a drive to parking a regular vehicle on a drive. If a work truck or van can be parked on someone's drive with no bylaw infringement then how is it different to having a trailer or motor home parked there?
I would absolutely support and have even before owning my own trailer. As long as the trailer is on the individual's property in its entirety, they should be allowed to utilize their property as they see fit. Cleaning, maintenance and prep are difficult to do when there is no side streets or back lanes reasonably close. I find trailers parked on side streets or back lanes cause much bigger problems with traffic/safety than when they are parked right on people's driveways.
I would absolutely support it. To allow a rusted out vehicle to sit on cinder blocks with no time restriction - but not allow a folded up tent trailer? This bylaw is clearly flawed. Again to allow RVs to be parked beside your house or behind your house but not on your own driveway? I really can't comprehend the reasoning here.
Please allow RVs to be parked indefinitely on individuals own driveways. Our own vehicles on our own driveway. We don't need any more RV storage lots!
I would absolutely support this
I would agree as long as newer trailers. I would maybe allow a longer time than 36 hours. The whole summer in front of a home seems insane.. This would be a hazard.
I would allow front driveway parking of an RV because we had parked our various RVs on our driveway since 1985 with no problem. I can walk down our street and see a few RV's parked in their driveways. Our neighbour decided one day to complain so we had to find a storage area to park our RV. So the deal is that it is only breaking the law if someone complains and that doesn't seem fair. We do not have a back lane.
I would allow seasonal front driveway parking. When in the driveway, it is safe, avoid congestion in the street and provides better vision for neighbors leaving their own driveway (instead of having the RV parked in the street).
I would allow year round parking because a trailer or other RV on a driveway is on the owner's property. Otherwise they get parked on the street, detached, and take up parking spaces from everyone. I'm tired of having to look for a parking space big enough to use a trailer but can't find one because everyone else has theirs on the street instead of their driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would allow, parking in the back leaves RV open to thieves. Parking in the front causes no issues unless it blocks a street corner
I would as currently I do not have anywhere to park my rv
I would be in favour of at least seasonal parking for RVs as long as it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk. RVs should be allowed for temporary street parking as well but not in back lanes at all.
I would be in support for keeping an rv/trailer on a drive from April to October
I would be open to a slightly longer period, but not an unlimited amount of time.
I would be open to this idea. But I still prefer to have no restrictions at all on Class and under 20'.
I would but we have been fined for having ours on our property in the front of our house but there are numerous houses in our area that have theirs in the front all year and have never moved so currently it doesn't get the proper attention to bylaw
I would completely support allowing seasonal front parking. Our trailer fits perfectly on our driveway. And we have often left it parked longer then the 36 hours between camping trips/repairs and are worried that someone may complain to the city. In the driveway does not obstruct space or view and provides convenience for frequent camping trips.
I would consider allowing RV parking for perhaps 48 or 72 hours. We have to park in front of our house on the street. We keep our trailer at a storage facility out near Springbank Airport. We only need to keep our trailer in front of our home for about 24 hours at a time to pack it and charge it up. However there are many people who keep RV's like trucks and campers on the road Year round and they seem to get away with it. I would never complain about a neighbours RV.
I would consider allowing seasonal parking for small Class B camper vans in your own driveway or in front of your own property. Class A and C motorhomes, trailers and boats are different and I think that a period longer than 36 hours is OK, but not an entire season, and it has to be in front of your own house.
I would fully support seasonal and even year round front driveway parking as long as it isn't use for temporary housing nor does it doesn't encroach on city property.
I would fully support this change. Main reason is cost. My RV would not have to sit far away in storage. I could take my time perfecting everything inside too. I would have everyday I am not out on the road, to do any minor repairs or tweaking of supplies.
I would if the people would be reasonable and learned how to actually drive (park) properly.
I would if the RV was not used as a shelter for more than a week or less ie. family visiting for a week.
I would like to be able to park my trailer on my driveway whenever I would like and for however long. It is on my property, not bothering anyone by being there.
I would like to see driveway parking as I find it more hazardous when myself or neighbors are doing RV shuffling during the summer, parking on the street, out front, driveway, etc. As long as it fits on the driveway it would be better to just have it on the driveway and not parked on the street blocking the view even more. The current bylaw causes people to park it on the streets which makes it more of a hazard because they tend to be wider than cars and block line of sight entirely.
I would like to see the limit raised a little bit (4-7 days?) so I can work on our trailer for a longer time, or not put it away if we are going for consecutive weekends.
The whole summer seems excessive. I would NOT support seasonal parking. We bought our

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

house because of the parking and I don't want to look at other people's toys all summer.
When we first bought our first trailer and rented a storage unit, I was quite annoyed at the extra cost and effort. Then we drove to Hinton to visit my parents. It's a beautiful part of the province, but you can't see the mountains because of all the huge toys parked on driveways up and down the street.
I would not be opposed to seasonal front driveway parking. We have a 31 foot motorhome. We prefer not to park it on our driveway. I feel it takes away from the appearance of our house. I would appreciate an extension of the 36 hour rule for parking on the street. I would suggest 48 hours. It allows for packing and unpacking and cleaning before and after a camping trip. If the weather is poor on a return, it would be nice to have more time to accommodate and wait out a storm.
I would not like front driveway parking because of esthetics/safety/ and obstructed sightlines.
I would not oppose this allowance but would prefer to see an amendment to allow a set amount of time such as no more than 5 consecutive days (120 hours) . This would allow those who camp each week more convenience.
I would not support entire seasonal parking, but I would support a shorter time period such as up to 14 days.
I would not support front driveway parking. It obstructs your Niebour's view . Brings down property value and looks unkept and brings down curb appeal. We understand the negative impact these large recreation recreational vehicles have when parked on residential land and we pay a fee to have them parked at a storage facility. Part of the cost I owning these rigs.
I would not support front seasonal parking as it would greatly limit parking options in neighbourhoods. I would support seasonal parking in driveways as long as no road or sidewalk is blocked.
I would not support just seasonal parking only however I fully support year round parking ov RV"s.
I would not support seasonal front driveay parking for the specific impacts already mentioned, obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics, and additionally the impact it would create on available parking spaces for cars and trucks of personal use.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking as mentioned in this survey. I think it would create more problems with esthetics, safety, obstructive sightlines and theft. Maybe you can raise the amount of time from thirty-six hours to seventy-two hours to assist people in preparing their RV'S etc for travel.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking of RVs. I think the relaxing of this bylaw would promote abuse of the restriction. I believe people would park RVs that are too large for the space they have. I think people would eventually begin using the space on thier street to park. The current restrictions aren't a problem. They help people who follow them report infractions. I think there would be a flood of rvs brought into neighborhoods from storage facilities in families efforts to save money. These would cause the issues the bylaws are meant to prevent.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Safety is the strongest concern as these units can obstruct the safe navigation of our sidewalks and possibly roadways. The length of many RV units far exceeds the length of a driveway. How would the City prepare for the many infractions?
I would not support seasonal parking because I believe that many RVs are too large to to remain in front of homes for extended periods. However, I do agree that parking should be





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

allowed for up to 3-5 days instead of 36 hours. It takes time to load/pack and is helpful to be able to plug in a trailer to get the fridge chilled before packing perishable food.
I would not support seasonal RV parking on a front driveway but I would support an longer period of time allowed. Currently 36 hours, move this to 5 or 7 days.
I would not support. Allowing the parking of recreational trailers on the front is a continued slow degradation of the clean proper neighborhood. Our neighborhoods have enough issues remaining clean, tidy and put together.
I would not want all season parking but agree the 36 hour limit should be extended
i would only allow this in areas that have back lanes ..Areas that have front drive only driveways are way to car populated right now and i feel that would only create parking issues and bylaw issues and expenses for tax payers .we already have three garbage bins that have to go on the driveways and if we allow a vehicle we are opening up the door for issues .
I would prefer that people use storage facilities or do like I do and park in the backyard behind a fence so no one can see it from the street. Having a multitude of different sizes and shapes of RVs and boats in front driveways will detract from the aesthetics of the neighborhoods.
I would prefer year round. Not just seasonal. If i own my property or someone is paying rent, i should be able to park whatever i want on my front parking pad that i PAY for.
I would say OK but only with a setback, not just obstructing.
I would suggest that people be permitted to park whatever they please on their property. Provided there are no obstructions to sidewalks.
I would support a length of about 72 hours in order to allow owners to maintain, repair, clean or pack their RV
I would support a period longer than 36 hours, potentially up to one week but a whole season is too long.
I would support a period longer than 36 hrs but not for a whole summer. One week max. I dont want to look at campers and trailers all over my street. I pay for storage for a boat and tent trailer but sometimes I would like to park them in town for a bit longer than 36 hrs.
I would support allowing a longer period of RV parking during the camping season from spring to fall, provided the RV is not unsightly. Probably one or two weeks would be acceptable. I don't think I would support indefinite parking parking in the community during the camping season.
I would support allowing ALL YEAR front driveway parking and parking within one's property. And no, it should not encroach on City property, period. No, it should not be a safety hazard for vehicle traffic; but if signage could be possible then as long as the RV unit is completely on the owner's property, it should be allowed and the City should place proper signage, yield sign, stop sign, blind spot, etc etc. to accommodate the owners' right to choose what they want on their property!
I would support allowing recreational vehicles to be parked in the driveway for up to a week, to allow for packing, unpacking, and winterizing, but not for the entire camping season. It is unsightly, an obstruction to visibility (kids or animals running onto the road), and affects property values. The cost of storage should be factored in when buying a recreational vehicle.
I WOULD support allowing seasonal front driveway parking as long as it is ON THE RESIDENTS PROPERTY. I WOULD NOT support allowing seasonal parking ON THE STREET in FRONT or BESIDE of the residence beyond 48 hours (expanded from 36h).
I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking of RV's. Many families enjoy weekend camping throughout the summer months and having to return an RV to a storage facility when not in use is expensive, onerous and inconvenient.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking, as long as big RV's and hitches remain out of walkways. I think it would provide Calgarians with an easy and secure way to manage their own RV's without having to deal with costs or monthly payments.
I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Security, convenience and cost. I pay taxes on this property and feel I should be allowed, following the rules of size allotted.
I would support an extension from 36 to 72 hours to accommodate homeowners keeping a camper on the driveway for a long weekend. I store my trailer outside the city even though it is tiny and it is a significant challenge to do work on it with the existing bylaw. Also, an extension to 72 hrs would help homeowners accommodate guests with campers. I do not support any bylaw based on camper age/aesthetics; this will result in increased appeals which will require more staff = higher taxes.
I would support an extension of parking from 36 to 5 days on city streets immediately adjacent to the owners property. Sometimes you are camping on consecutive weekends and it's very inconvenient to have to drive it to storage for the few days during the week. This would provide a lot of convenience. I wouldn't want vehicles parked all summer as it would be an eye sore in the community.
I would support any period longer than the existing. It's tough to come back from a long trip and have to unpack, service, and prepare for storage. I'd suggest minimum 2 weeks. This time is easily enforced if persons try to abuse
I would support anything longer than 36 hours.
I would support as long as it is safe, secure and not unsightly
I would support extended parking time on private property/driveway for up to two weeks at a time but not full seasonal parking. There needs to be limitation, otherwise people will bring RVs home to save on storage costs without making use of them for recreation. Street parking should remain limited, otherwise other community members will lose space to RVs. If street parking is permitted, RV owners should require a permit to park on the street.
I would support extending the time limit from 37!-72 hours in the summer
I would support front driveway parking all year. It's your driveway, you should be able to park what you want on it. Why am I punished for having a front drive instead of a back one.
I would support front driveway parking as long as it isn't blocking sidewalks, not unsightly and neighbours have ease of access to their home.
I would support front driveway parking on the property eg) in front of an attached garage, but not parking in front of a home on the roadway. As long as it is on the owners property and not blocking the sidewalk, I don't have a problem with it.
I would support increasing the limit to 48 hours. But otherwise the same concerns limit above apply on summer as winter.
I would support it as I find the current time limits too short. There are times in the summer where we go camping two weekends in a row and it is easier to park the trailer on the driveway for the week rather than having to take it to storage and bring it back five days later.
I would support it as it is on owned property and should be allowed. I won't use it as my trailer is too large to fit but support others being able to do so.
I would support it as long as vehicles are road worthy, which should help the esthetics and they properly fit within the confines the the driveway. Though the idea of only disallowing trailers due to esthetics is a bit short sighted and can often fail in comparison to say cracking / fading paint, siding falling off or an un kept outside appearance.
I would support it as our storage lot is far away, having it onsite would allow for more spontaneous & quicker trips. Also would result in a large gas saving.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support it because 36 hours is not long enough to pull a trailer out when you're getting it first ready for the season. Or if any maintenance needs to be done to it it can take a lot longer than the 36 hours. Considering other individuals are able to park larger vehicles on the streets and not have issues does not quite seem fair. As well as sometimes 36 hours is not long enough for the battery to charge up.
I would support it because it would be more convenient for the rv owners who don't have access to the rear of their property.
I would support it but only if there are physical standards the vehicle must abide by . After the seasonal parking ends there will still be people who will park trailers etc in their driveways or lanes . Currently there are 3 and 4 unlicensed vehicles in some driveways. I'm assuming they fix them up for resale. This can be unsightly for neighbours. Some people put down patio blocks on their front lawn and call it a driveway. I know of one house who has an old van parked sideways and 3 others
I would support it for small campers and tent trailers that are not erected. Anything bigger than a family van should still be restricted. And definitely parked only - no one should be sleeping/living in them. They restrict visibility, attract critters, displace the residents vehicles into the street, leaving less parking for visitors.
I would support it if they are on their own driveway, not on the street. The street I live on hardly has room for cars let alone RV's (no front garages/driveways and most don't have a garage in the back so we need the street parking).
I would support it providing the unit / vehicle does not exceed the size of the driveway / parking stall.
I would support it, so long as the RV fits on the front driveway of the residence and only during the summer months (May long weekend to Thanksgiving). I would not support parking on the street, as in some neighbourhoods, parking is at a premium.
I would support it.
I would support it.
I would support it. Allows people better access to their rvs for use.
I would support it. Because, who really cares if there's a RV in front of someone else's home
I would support it. Convenience to be able to load up and go any time. Shouldn't have to pay to park your own vehicles. As long as they are not on city streets but on private property they should be allowed and left alone.
I would support it. My boat gets regular usage and is a small row boat on a trailer. It does not impede sidewalks and is not an eyesore.
I would support it. The trailer parked on the front driveway is no more unattractive in certain vehicles. It allows people to save on storage and encourages a healthy form of travel
I would support more time for seasonal front driveway parking - it is difficult to do maintenance on the vehicle within the time Fromm.
I would support parking an RV in a homeowners driveway year round. It is our own property and as an RV owner it feels like an extra tax to have to pay for an inflated RV storage lot, or store my property over an hour away.
I would support parking anytime if it is your own property! Wake up calgary!
I would support parking anytime. It is not the city's business to say what kind of vehicle can be parked on private property in a proper driveway - as long as the vehicle or trailer fits on the park pad and does not obstruct the sidewalk or alley access.
I would support RV owners being able to park on their driveway at any time.. indefinitely.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support RV parking in a front driveway not only seasonally, but permanently as long as the total length is such where no parts of the unit, including the tongue of a trailer protrude over the sidewalk. If the vehicle fits in the driveway at the front or rear of a residence, or if it fits on the side of a building, it should be allowed to be parked.
I would support seasonal and year round parking of RV's on parking lots. The drive is part of the house that I purchased, and I should be able to park my RV on the land that I own.
I would support seasonal driveway as well as side street alley parking as not everyone has a front drive way
I would support seasonal driveway parking for a defined period such as June through August. Periods outside these months are limited to a 48 hours and then unit must be offsite and not just briefly moved. Units must not be used for accommodation while on driveway.
I would support seasonal front drive parking as well as allowing parking for situation such as mine. My alley is a dead-end private alley that only I can access and I'm currently and for the last 15 years park my vehicle's.
I would support seasonal front drive parking. I do not support on-street parking due to obstruction of sight-lines and limiting parking for other vehicles.
I would support seasonal front drive parking. This would reduce CO2 emissions by not forcing people to move the RV or boat more frequently, especially when it can be several 10s of Km back and forth to a storage location. This will also allow more time to do maintenance on RV as parts are taking longer than expected to get, longer than the current 36 hr period allowed. This would also reduce break ins, reduce the need for police enforcement and help keep insurance premiums down.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking as it is convenient and economical for most RV owners, and does not generally result in safety issues for neighbouring homes. I have seen many more houses littered with cars, creating unsafe and unsightly conditions than one RV parked on a person's driveway does, so do not understand why Calgary has this 36-hour bylaw.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking as long as the RV is not blocking sight lines and is meeting other bylaw requirements.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking in order to extend the convenience of loading, unloading, cleaning and maintaining an RV in order to gain the best utilization from the asset during the summer holiday season. It also saves time when departing on vacation.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking with the following conditions; - No overhang on to sidewalks - Hitches are covered to prevent accidents to pedestrians - sight lines for corners would not be impeded - no unsightly RVs
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. A family would be able to just go camping without the hassle of having to grab the camper and packing. So much less stressful to have easy access during the summer.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. But I would also be a bit concerned about the unsightly vehicles that may end up being parked and not dealt with which makes the neighborhood look trashy. Even if we don't end up with a seasonal time frame extending the 36 hour rule to maybe 72 hours would be great. It gives a person more time to pack and unpack and clean before taking it back to storage.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. Firstly, finding a rental place to store the RV can be expensive and it could be far away. Secondly, if you are doing minor repairs or using



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the RV often, it can be difficult and or very time consuming to bring the RV back and forth. I can speak from experience that planning/ packing for a trip goes much smoother when it's right out front prior to the trip.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. It's your property and you should be allowed to park seasonal vehicles. Sometimes extra time is need to repair or pack RV's for upcoming trips.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. When we had a reno we had a bin parked in front of our house for 2 months and it was definitely unsightly. My trailer is not.
I would support seasonal front parking only if the alternative is the current limited parking, I don't think it should be restricted in bylaws
I would support seasonal front parking. This saves time on travel for picking up, with little impact on neighbors. Summer season is relatively short.
I would support seasonal or all year round. The inconvenience to haul your trailer home and pack it in 36 hours is difficult with a family. Allowing seasonal would allow more people to actively explore alberta.
I would support seasonal parking as it is my trailer sitting on my property. I am disappointed that the city feels the need to tell me what is allowable on my property. I pay a lot of taxes so, respectfully, butt out.
I would support seasonal parking as well as unlimited parking.
I would support seasonal parking for smaller RV's in the driveway, provided that they are not in use. Large RV's are unsightly and block vision. They also displace owner vehicles into the street which is inconvenient for neighbors and visitors. If you can afford a large RV you can afford to store it. And having people staying in it in the driveway should be an absolute no. That is effectively changing the zoning and adds extra sewage, noise and traffic.
I would support seasonal parking for trailers of a certain dimension with a relatively low height limit. If a trailer is less than the height of a standing person, they are very different in my mind to a large RV. I also support extending the timeline for all types of trailers to one week allowing people to prepare for a trip the weekend before. I do not support seasonal storage of any trailer.
I have always found the 36 hour limit to be extremely difficult for all types of trailer owners.
I would support seasonal parking of RVs. I would go further and allow them on the front lawn if no driveway is present. Having to move it after 36 hours is frustrating if you are using it every other weekend. It's two more evenings wasted driving when it is not necessary.
I would support seasonal parking of RV's. This allows for ease of using the RV firming summer months. In a busy world with two working parents it cuts down on the time needed to transport the RV to and from the storage lot.
I would support seasonal parking on front driveways. We camp most weekends and it is time consuming, and expensive, to drive 30 minutes north of town each time we camp only to pick up our trailer again in less than 3 days. As long as the trailer is not an eye sore, is on your own property (ie driveway), and you are being respectful to your neighbors there should be no 36 hour limit during the summer months from May long weekend to mid September.
I would support seasonal parking with some restrictions. We need to consider safety and health of the community but also understand the situation on RV owners. Maybe we can define zones, like keep.existing bylaw with 36 hours limit in school and playground zones. And define other areas where we can park for 80 hours . Also a suggestion would be creating paid public RV parking areas with better access and low cost for the population.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support seasonal parking, as long as the vehicle in question fits on the driveway and does not inhibit the use of city sidewalks. The reason that I support this change, is because it's not always easy to get a trailer out of storage and ready to travel on schedule with the current 36 hr limit. This is even more true in single-parent homes, or households that do many activities outside the house. This change would also help greatly in cases where families may camp on consecutive weekends.
I would support seasonal parking.
I would support seasonal rv parking as it allows rv owners the convenience of having there units at there home for ease of use
I would support seasonal RV parking on driveways. Keeping in mind that the RV should only be on the driveway, not parked on the front yard or encroaching into sideyards. Also not encroaching onto sidewalks or onto the street.
I would support the seasonal parking. Many people can not afford to store all year round or pay for gas to move back and forth all the time. Many new homes and communities do not have alleys or side access preventing people from parking in the rear. There is no option for these people as not room to move it between home either. I would support all year parking as well.
I would support them being parked on the drive for over the summer provided the unit did not extend into the sidewalk and cause people to have to walk around it on the road. Also, i would not support if there were people living in them while parked in the front drive of a home.
I would support this along with changing the 36 hour rule to street parking directly in front of your own home. To give more time to load up. Some of us keep our units in storage and pay hefty yearly fees. We only camp 3-4 months out of the year you would think for the few months this involves some leniency could go to responsible RV owners and home owners.
I would support this as long as the season didn't start too early or extend too late. Once the snow comes it can be challenging to move units.
I would support this change. For me, it is more convenient during high use periods to have it handy rather than having to fetch and return from/to storage. It saves on fuel as well as there is less driving.
I would support this initiative for convenience
I would support this mainly on the basis of security, convenience and potential green house gas emission savings. Currently, I drive 26 round trip km to pick up or drop off my trailer at its storage spot. If the RV could remain on the driveway, I would save a cumulative ~500km per year of driving between camping trips which are typically 5-7 days apart in the summer. Additionally, there is the added convenience of having a trailer plugged in constantly and not having to unload between trips.
I would support this or even extending the length of time to even a week. I like to camp on weekends. I store my trailer 30 minutes away. With kid activities it is hard to have everything ready for the weekends. If I were able to have it out front for the week it would save in fuel (approximately \$100 a week) and time.
I would support this yes as long as it fits on your driveway with out blocking side walks, roads ect. Maybe this is another idea city council could tax!
I would support this!
I would support this. We often come home Sunday and then go out again Thursday. We do a ton of extra driving to and from storage.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support this. As someone who does not have access to trailer storage on the side or behind my house, being unable to store my trailer on my property would necessitate storage off site. This would mean additional driving every time I was collecting it, clogging roads and using gas unnecessarily.
I would support using front seasonal parking for units that do not detract from the neighbourhood aesthetics and physically impede any other people.
I would support year round driveway parking. In times like these the extra cost of having to haul your own rv back and forth from storage to your house multiple times per camping trip just to get ready for a family holiday is ridiculous.
I would support year round parking of "RVs" as long as they fit safely in the driveway.
If I can park a 20ft long pickup in a 21ft long driveway why not an 8ft trailer or 16ft boat.
I would support year round parking. Also, parking should be allowed in a shared sideyard with a neighbor.
I would support year round use. It's private property, let people use it
I would support. I gives the owner more of an opportunity to take advantage of spur of the moment decisions to use the RV during our short season.
I would up to a certain size. 10 trailers, no problem because the height so low. Our neighbours often park an RV, even when it's just for 36 hours, that is taller than their garage. It would block site lines backing out of our garage. Could there be a height limit? To allow for small trailers? Tent trailers?
I would, so long as the "RV" does not obstruct access to the home for emergency reasons, overhang the sidewalk, or is in disrepair it should be permitted. For those who use the camper each weekend, it would save time and money to have it on the driveway.
I would. It seems silly to go get a trailer on a Thursday evening to get away on Friday night, and then to be on the driveway until Monday night to be unloaded. It is then only gone for 3 days before it has to be retrieved again for another weekend trip. That is two needless trips through the city.
I would. 36h is too short to clean and load a travel trailer or motor home in preparation for a trip when the days before a trip are still usually work days. Some flexibility on the back end would be nice as well. Personally I don't know that the same flexibility is needed for boats, dirt bikes, etc..
I would. It is costly and inefficient to keep moving trailers back and forth to storage during the summer when they are being used regularly. As long as the RV is in working order, seasonal driveway parking should be permitted.
I would. It would allow them to be prepped for the season then maintained minimally for the season. You have to clear them out before moving to storage or your valuables could be camping without you. You can also keep an RV on any weather damage before it causes massive damage.
I would. The plethora of large vehicles - vans, crew cab lifted pickup trucks, commercial vehicles (plumbing trucks) etc. are already on driveways or parked on the street. Seasonal front driveway parking doesn't really impact the visual landscape of the neighbourhood. Year round parking of RV's wouldn't be a problem either.
I wouldn't like my neighbourhood to have trailers in front of every second house all summer long. If you can afford to buy the trailer then invest in proper storage, as we do. With the small size of front driveways in a largely front garage neighborhood it would really have a large impact letting people do this.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I wouldn't.
I wouldn't be in favor of seasonally as in Grande Prairie it becomes quite an eye sore. People park them diagonally to fit, taking up their whole drive way, causing congestion on the streets for parking. I would be in favor of longer time limits like increasing the time in the driveway to several days as I find it's hard to do everything you need to for a trip in 36 hrs.
I would like to see the street parking time limit increased as most campers don't fit in driveways.
I'd like it to extend to 72-96 hrs but no more than that. It will give us more time for some cleaning and preparing work in the RV before and after our camping trips. But it has to limit to the vehicles registered to the owner of the house. Our camp trailer is only 19 feet but some camper could be 35 feet which will totally block our neighbours' view for the whole lovely summer if we are allowed to park in the font of the house for the season. So only agree to more hours but not the whole season
I'd prefer to stick to the 36 hours. I find the 36 hours enough to load and unload after use. I'd suggest putting a size limit into place. Smaller vans and tent trailers are ok but the big units are kind of unsightly.
I'm not supporting the seasonal RV driveway parking as well. It's enough cars in our neighborhood. I'm in Chaparral Lake.
I'm not sure about seasonal parking. But it would be nice if they extended that 36 hours to at least 4 days so you can prep it to go back out camping the following weekend. Otherwise you have to find storage or take it back to storage for 1 day. As long as it's not impeding on the roadway or sidewalk, alley.
Seasonal to fall would be great!
I'm ok if rv on person's own driveway but not on the street
If able to keep on own property not a problem but only if it doesn't displace other vehicle parking.
if allowed, the rule would have to state that the trailer hitch must be at least 3 feet from the sidewalk. If there is no sidewalk, then it must be 6' from the curb to avoid blocking drivers vision.
If i could park my rv on the driveway it would save hundreds of dollars in storage and allow easier access for loading unloading repairs ect. I would support seasonal parking!! This would be great to park for winter on my property!
If it does not block view
If it fits in the driveway, then ok for 36 hrs. If on the road, not ok due to safety and esthetics. Also, I think small tent campers and small boats should be allowed in driveways with no time limit.
If it fits on the driveway on the property...why not
If it fits on their driveway, and not obstructing driving view for safety reasons, believe owners should be able to park on their own driveway for the camping season.
If it fits, why not. It is the person's property to put what they want on it without the city interfering.
If it is in someone's driveway then they should be able to do what they like. On the street I think 48-72 hours is fair.
If it was on the drive way pad but not in front of a house on the street. There already isn't enough parking on the street in front of houses in the community



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If it your own driveway and you can still park your vehicle too there is no impact to anyone else. If you are parking on the street you should have some rule regarding 48 hours
If it's an RV in good condition and is licensed and insured, it absolutely should be allowed on a taxpayer's driveway.
If it's not affecting neighbours in anyway, then there should be no problem.
If it's on your property I don't understand why they can't! You pay to live there. Let people use their property for their own stuff.
If it's on your property, it's your business. No time limit
If it's for a short period of time (5 months) then I do because I think it takes the pleasure out of the lifestyle when someone has to drive to their lot to pull out their RV for a weekend trip. Gas is high and the lot is not always in the direction the family may be going.
If it's in a person's driveway and doesn't obstruct traffic sightlines, I think it's fine
If it's your land and it fits in your garage pad YES
If longer than 5 days, a permit should be pulled with an end date. There is a time and place where someone may need to live in their trailer while fixing their home. This should be taken into consideration, and extended length permits can be issued as well.
If only seasonal then no issues
If space allows then RVs should be allowed during the camping season as long as they do not affect the ability for home owner to park tow vehicle or other vehicles to park on thier property. I would also support a longer allowance for temp street parking from time to time to allow owners to conduct routine maintenance activities.
If the driveway is owned by the homeowner they should be able to use their driveway how they see fit. A massive truck with an extended box and lift kit is fine and that's bigger than a small travel trailer or tent trailer.
if the recreational vehicle does not encroach on the sidewalk or obstruct the road why not allow unlimited parking? People park monster vehicles on the street (cube vans, 1 ton and 2 ton trucks semi trailer trucks) that are just as obstructive or more so. Where there is no sidewalk 2 foot setback area. I have seen people park 3-4 vehicles on their front driveway which is more obstructing. Put a limit of 1 vehicle per driveway.
If the RV can fit on the driveway with no encroachment onto the sidewalk or city street then it should be permitted year round.
If the RV is in the owners driveway and not on city property then yes it should be allowed.
If the time limit was increased to 72hours or 3 days, seasonal parking would not be needed.
If the trailer fits on the driveway longer term parking should be available to the homeowner or immediate family. If anyone is allowed to park, you'll get people renting out their driveway and creating too much traffic in residential areas. For example, <a href="http://www.boondockerswelcome.com">www.boondockerswelcome.com</a>
If the trailer or rv isn't too big and doesn't impede the sidewalk in front of the house and isn't too close to crosswalks, it should be permitted.
If the unit fits on the driveway without hanging into street or sidewalk, it should allowed from May long to Sept Long. Trailers should not be parked on streets.
If the unit fits on your driveway it should be allowed
If the unit is not blocking the side walk I see no reason an RV should not be able to be parked in a front driveway for any period any time of year.
If the vehicle fits in the driveway without impeding the sidewalk.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the vehicle is in working condition and not landfill material, then an owner should be allowed to park it on their property.
If there is enough space on the driveway and no prob with sidewalk for pedestrians then I think it should be allowed. It's allowed in other cities then why not here. Its more safer to park on your own driveway rather then somewhere else. No one will be responsible for other people's belongings. You never know what happens who hit and run too.
If you have company come in from out of town to visit and they have a rv. They should be able to park on your drive way. Also if you come home from camping and going back out again in 2 weeks time , yes you should be able to park on your driveway.
If you own the property you should be able to park your vehicle on it as long as you want. Who ever made up this 36 he rule has a smooth brain.
If you're not paying for my storage for my RV, and I'm paying taxes for my property then you should have zero say as to what I'm parking on my property. IF it's overhanging on a sidewalk then yeah by all means send me a ticket. However I pay my own taxes for MY property that the city is already gouging me for. So it shouldn't be seasonal. It should be a year round thing. What happens if someone can't afford storage or if they can't find storage as there is a shortage. Seems kind of like a cash grab.
If your unit fits on your driveway, and does not encroach on any public sidewalk or neighbors property, don't see a problem.
In a driveway it should be ok. That's private property and does not get in anyone's way.
In DO support seasonal parking in driveways. It makes it easier for Rv user to enjoy their summer time. Even should fit driveway and not impede in street or sidewalk. I think June to September would be a great range.
In front driveways. Yes. On street no.
In general no. This is a very slippery slope. Many trailer and campers are 4 season and can be used as such. In season is relative to what you have.
In our community there are very few front driveways. I can understand not wanting a recreational vehicle being parked for more than a few days. I would not want a recreational vehicle parked on their driveway for the summer months. This is when I am outside more frequently.
In some ways it bothers me if someone could park their trailer on their front driveway and we were limited to 36 hours because we park on the parking stall on the road in front of the house.
In support but still have a max time on it- like a week. No one wants giant trailers taking up parking or shadowing lawns for longer than that.
In the front driveway, yes. In the street, no. Front driveways are less intrusive to traffic flow and visibility.
Indeed I would support the move , I really see no issue with anyone with an RV bring able to park in there driveway front or back
It doesn't obstruct parking on the street. If it is in the owners driveway, it is nobody else's concern. Storage fees are very expensive
it is safer on a driveway than in a back alley or on the street. and for such an expensive investment should be allowed to park it on your own property. just because somebody has a travel trailer does not mean that they can afford storage fees somewhere else
It is such a short camping season in Alberta, and with the price gasoline and RV storage, why not allow seasonal parking, as long as it's not blocking visions at intersections.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It makes it easier to load and unload RV for use.
It my property and if items are on my property why not
It saves a significant amount of time and money. Less commute and fuel consumption travelling to/from storage. Less environmental impacts.
It saves on costs to the onwers and as long as there isn't a safety issue, obstruction issue, or a health issue (dilpatsted old trailers with mold etc)
It should be all year.
It should be allowed as it's your property
It should be year round. But I support it because of convenience, and because it's a homeowners right to have their belongings on their property. Aesthetics have nothing to do with it and are persons private business and prerogative.
It would be better than not allowing at all, but there are many vehicles that are not "aesthetic" that are not being considered to only be able to front park, so no totally clear on what the issue is.
It would be much more convenient if seasonal parking is allowed. We live in a culdesac, so it wouldn't obstruct pedestrians or vehicles. And it would allow us more time to load/unload our RV without having any issues.
It would make it easier to load, unload, repair, maintain the rv and it would decrease the traffic in the neighborhood from towing the trailer back and forth from storage for each trip. Also it would decrease the cost of storage which in this economic downturn would provide some monetary relief for seniors.
It would save me lots of time and money not having to run to the storage lot each weekend. Plus the annual costs of storage are getting expensive. I feel it is more secure when it is parked on my driveway
It would support this. I don't think most residents would mind. I think at the minimum allowing RV's to be parked on driveways for a week. If you have an RV in storage you want to get it the weekend before your trip to prepare it
It's too difficult and time consuming to pull an RV/boat in and out of storage during the summer months in order to use it on weekends.
its my property ill do as i please,i pay your overpriced taxes to do so
J would as long as it does not impede through traffic, drainage, mail boxes, blue/green or black bin pickup points, or fire hydrant. Also they must be far enough away from road access points and alleys to allow safe access and sightings. I think extending it from 36 to 72 hours is appropriate.
Juring the normal.camping season it should be aloud to park in your front driveway in the back driveway should be aloud all year.
Just allow for travel trailers on driveways and back yards - whats the real harm? Camping brings families together and with the cost of everything going so high including parking you are providing a real barrier for family connections. We are a proud Western city built on community - we are not Toronto - stop trying to be them!
Keep the current bylaw as is. Parking is tight on the streets as is. I don't want to look at RVs all summer. We park ours in a storage facility, others should do the same.
Large 5th wheels and motorhomes block the views of the homeowner and their neighbours. I think they are a hazard.
Leave people alone on their property. If it isn't blocking the sidewalk it should be allowed 365 days a year.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Leave us alone . We pay way too much taxes. Poor services .Without shoving more garbage at us ..
lengthen the time trailers, rv units can be parked due to access to alternate parking or repairs. finding parts can take more time and there could be safety issues moving a broken unit.
Let people park what they want on their own property.
Let them park where they want to park.
Like what was mentioned benefits, it will be a convenience and cost effective way to the RV owners that just trying to enjoy their summer.
Limited to only summer months.
Longer yes, perhaps a week at a time, but no to seasonal. Having people block 1/2 their driveways for the whole season- 6months, leaves more cars to find parking elsewhere. Thus congests already congested streets. Also, they are unsightly and provide security risks as large obstructions.
Many new communities have very small driveways and limited on street vehicle parking. A trailer on the driveway forces street parking and perhaps further from your own property. I think 3 or 4 days would be reasonable as most people have trailer storage. I also notice that owners if they have a lane will park their RV there for the whole season, along with vehicles they no longer use, and this area should have the same time restrictions. I would not support seasonal front driveway parking.
Many people in my neighbourhood do this it doesnt seem to be overly enforced unless you have a bad neighbour who is just looking for excuses to call 311.
Maybe between the months of June-august. We camp a lot and spend money on gas on going back and forth to storage and also time we don't have. I don't think year round driveway storage should allowed. We already have a neighbor that has 6 cars taking up all our neighborhood parking, if he was allowed his trailer there year round it would cause problems.
Maybe if there was a limit on height and length.
Maybe just between trips, with a time limit of maybe 7-14 days.
Maybe not seasonal but definitely longer than 36 hours.
Maybe not seasonal but perhaps 72-96 hours
Maybe not the whole season but several days would be much better
More discussion needed
Most definitely I would support it. My home backs onto green space so I cannot park in back and the trailer does not fit at the side . It is always very frustrating arriving home from camping trying to unload clean get kids wash ready for school work ect. It is a lot of pressure to get it moved within the 36 hours and we have got a ticket in the past. Being able to keep it on the drive and take it every weekend or other would make like so much easier.
Most definitely yes, I support a longer period of time. Frustrating to constantly have to move RV to storage and then have to take back out within a couple of days for preparing for next trip.
Most driveways are bit long enough
My drive way is my property' and as long as it not blocking the sidewalk I should be able to park anything I own on my drive way.
MY driveway is too steep and my Cul d sac too narrow. Would I be allowed to park on the roadway below my street?>If so then I would support the proposed change for reasons stated above.
My Motorhome won't fit on my driveway. It all depends on the type of RV. My neighbour has a very small unit, that has no impact

[illegible]

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no
no
No
No
No
No
No -
no not all all
No - the obstructed sight lines, safety, and esthetics are all of concern. Some recreation vehicles (campers / trailers) are junky looking and allowing them parked long term in front driveways or front views devalues property and community standards
No - blocks view of sidewalk backing out - - blocks view of house- potential living in trailer
No - concerns about pedestrian safety and unsightly views
No - eye sore
No - eye sore
No - I agree that 36 hours is not enough for families to prepare for and unpack from trips. I think up to one week would be ok. More than that leads to the negative impacts noted by other municipalities.
No - i dont want to live in a rv trailer park. This will quickly morph into trailer remaining on driveways all yesr round. Keep calgary beautiful - leave the rv's parked in lots.
No- I would not support it. astectics & home value... there's already a problem of broken down trailers & motor homes being parked on driveways. Some are too large and hangover sidewalks and those aren't being enforced. Theres also too many RV's blocking parking access.
No - in many cases these recreational vehicles (per list above) are large and end up protruding over either a sidewalk or past a curb. Furthermore they add more sight line challenges for drivers on streets (in addition to the current overuse and abuse of street parking) that only add additional safety risks. Finally they are terribly unsightly and ruin the aesthetics of our neighbourhoods. My comments further strengthen the list provided disadvantages above. As for advantages - 'cost' savings to RV owners with long term driveway parking comes at the 'cost' of surrounding neighbours. That is unfair and in my opinion (as an owner of an RV) shall not be allowed.
No - it could be extended but not for all summer. It is an eyesore.
No - it detracts from community aesthetics, and attracts crime. I do not live in a trailer park and don't want my community to look like one.
No - it is a pain for neighbors consume precious parking spots. they should be fined for longer turn around times /
No - it jams up the neighborhood- looks too messy.
No - it looks terrible, the decreased sight from street is a security risk and 36 hrs is as long as you need to charge up trailer, fill tanks and pack up. Leave bylaw as it is.
No - it makes neighborhoods junky and creates hiding spots for thieves. The streets are already narrow and these RV's create driving challenges and lack of parking for cars.
No - it will display vehicles parked on driveways onto the street. In the newer communities there is already a lack of on street parking.
No - it's an eyesore and can cause decreased sight lines. People overhand their trailers and slides onto the sidewalk. In particular, fifth wheel hitches are a danger if they hang onto the sidewalk.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO - Its an eye soar, its dangerous as it blocks views. Also clogs up street parking as residents dont have room, its \$1 a day to store it elsewhere and this is a MUST... 36 hours is plenty of time
No - It's very dangerous for pedestrians, IE: extension cords & pull outs, and vehicles seeing hazards.
No - Large RV's in driveways cause visibility issues, congest already busy roadways buy limiting parking. Also if allowed long term will create issues where people will live in them in driveways.
No makes the neighborhood look terrible .Also that is what rv parking facilities are for. I dont need to look at them in my neighborhood period.
No - Many driveways are sloped and not everyone will take the proper precautions to block the wheels etc. RVs in driveways will detract from the esthetics of the neighborhood.
No - parking already isn't enforced when reported to CPA and sight lines are already blocked due to this. Approval would make this much worse.
No - parking is a premium already in most neighborhoods. By allowing trailers to park in driveway, another vehicle is now parking on street. Often, they impede sidewalks and other driveways and pose a hinderance to neighbors and pedestrians
No - poor esthetics of rvs on a front driveway
No - reduces sightlines, esthetic issues in making front setback area a junkyard for old RVs.
No - sightlines, safety and esthetics
No - the RVs can be very disruptive in traffic and obscure vision, potentially causing accidents. People are able to load in the current time given and should not require more. I think it would look quite messy and congested I. Neighborhoods, especially where people already park on the street.
NO - the vehicles are big and often block parking spaces for day to day traffic. People will abuse this free parking and the streets will be lined with them. I don't even park my RV near my house in consideration of my neighbors.
No - there is not a safety issue so if an RV can be parked for an extended period of time during a certain time of year then it should be permitted year-round.
No When these are allowed to park on a driveway the owner has to park on the street which with limited parking on the street will causes an issue for all residents. Also some of the RV's will be are too long and encroach onto the sidewalk or street as is the case at present.
No Bad curb side appeal. Encourages more theft in neighborhoods
No Esthetics, poor sight line, property value, traffic /pedestrian safety.
No It's ugly Check out 31 Sage Berry Road. 1970 rv parked on driveway since last spring. Not sure why it has never been ticketed.
No It's unsightly Do extend the hours to 2 days from 36 hours
No When backing out of your garage it effects your view. And it looks awful. Since I pay



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

property taxes I feel I should have the same view as everyone else who doesn't have an rv stuck in front
no , its a safety issue, some trailers are an eyesore , old and run down . by using a trailer on your driveway this fills up on street parking with the owners trucks insted of them being in garage or on the drive way we have no room in most communities
No , same reasons above.
No , still unsightly , rather allow a 48 hr street parking
no , the impacts as above
no ,it takes away from the esthetics of the community
No ,safety issue
No . r v parked on side driveway with no obstruction to neighbours view from front windows would be fine . Be a good neighbour
No . See above . Not everyone' s description of a season is the same . Our neighbor extends the season from winter snowmobile hailer to truck and camper season . Esthetically as well as for safety with adjoining neighbors front driveway parking should not be allowed especially on a cul de sac. It is dangerous for adjoining neighbors to back out.
No .. RVs pose obstructed sight lines are a safety concern and aesthetically an eye sore. They would also pose a risk of people living in them and risk the crime rate to go up because of easy access to the parked RVs. There also would be a potential of RV sewage leaking into our storm drain systems either by accident or on purpose.
No 36 hours is plenty of time. The city does not enforce the current rules and is NOT doing their job. There are already plenty of trailers and boats on front property. This will increase the amount of offenders. The city is sliding down into a third world status.
No above reasoning
No absolutely not, more often than not, it obstructs the view of the street, and if parked at a corner, blocks the road view
No absolutely not. RVs are an eyesore and obstruction. It isn't like every RV is in nice condition either. Also, what would stop people from using their RVs in their lots for partying etc.
No again it is an eye sore and ppl would let their yards turn into a dump
No all trailers and motor homes should not be in the city longer than 36 hours if you let them stay longer then people will start living in them and that is bad for our communities
No already congested.
No As a former Calgary Deputy Fire Chief if an RV were to burn, that is a very large source of high-intensity heat and that's one of the mechanisms [behind] how fire spreads from one structure to another. Also it would hinder fire crews egress.
No as cannot see down the street and safety issue for walking on sidewalk if someone is backing out onto street if they are parked beside RV
No as I believe it causes a lot of unnecessary parking busy-ness and can be a safety hazard (I.e. More areas to look around). I would be interested in increasing the time you can park in front of your home to longer than 36 hours. I also think having a known travel vehicle in front of your home indicates when you're not home and away for an extended time. I think it could pose a security concern and increase break-ins
No as in our community, many do not have front driveways so RV would end up on the street in front of peoples homes or neighbors homes on the street.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Possible to have RV in back alley driveway as long as the RV does not extend into the alley which would interfere with garbage pick up. Definitely do not allow parking in the alley.
No as it can block lines of sight for neighbours and people can block sidewalks with their RV's.
No as it makes it difficult to see around the vehicle especially when backing out from an adjacent house. Most of them would overhang onto the sidewalk. Plus it looks terrible.
No as it would cause vehicles that are typically parked in a front garage or on the front driveway to end up on the street. There are already too many vehicles lining The streets.
No as it would impede safely getting off our driveway.
No as it wouldn't look great and visibility would be bad. Also most of the driveways in our community are too short for RV's unless they hung over the sidewalks
No as the parking would become if more problematic for other property owners. I could see extending it from 36 hours to 4 or 5 days as sometimes repairs need to be performed.
No as there is no where to park in front of house except on street
No because all the other vehicles will be on the street, some for more than 36 hours
No because front driveway streets are already congested and when people park their RV in their driveway they will park their other vehicles on the street.
No because I don't do that. I'm able to storage my RV at storage yard why can't other do the same
No because I don't want to look at my trailer, let alone my neighbours.
No because in my neighbourhood like many others they do obstruct traffic flow and views of oncoming traffic. If this causes an accident what is the cities position?
no because it gets abused
No because it makes the neighborhood look messy and you can't discriminate between old junkers and nice units. It also makes it dangerous for kids walking and in bikes as they are harder to see.
No because it would start to be a real eye sore.
No because it's a safety concern for people leaving there driveways in neighboring houses. Eye sores for community members. People living in such Motorhomes/Trailers do to economic downturn. Encroaching on sidewalks and accessibility issues for the general public is a high possibility.
No because residential areas are currently very congested. Allowing RV's to park longer then 36 hours will add to the congestion and make the city cluttered and ugly. With the 36 hour bylaw in place, I notice many owners take advantage and have their RV's much longer then 36hours. If this timeframe is extended, it will allow owners to keep RV's too long in the city. We are respectful RV owners.
No because road parking on our road is already silly and almost nonexistent so this would make things even worse.
No because there will probably be a large amount that won't comply and leave them year round making it up to the neighbours to police it causing hard feelings and a way for petty people to get back at someone they might not care for
No because they are an eyesore, unsightly is a relative term. My neighbour parked an RV in their driveway for a week and it obstructed my view of the front street out of my kitchen window.
No because visibility is reduced and it downgrades the neighbourhood. Also there are paid RV parking vendors out there so if you own an RV then you should consider the associated expenses and if you can't afford then don't buy one or sell it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No becomes an eye sore
No but it should be extended to two weeks.
No but would support longer than 36 hours (maybe 72?)
No cause there is plenty of storage areas everywhere to store for cheap rates
no cuts off all site lines in small lot areas like NE People would live in them if they were permitted to stay there year round or seasonal
no difference between year-round and seasonal
NO DO NOT WANT VEW OUT TO BE A GIANT TRAILER ALSO WHERE WILL STREET PARKING BE AFTER TRAILERS ARE TAKING UP THEIR PARKING?
No due to impacts to property value; lack of defining/restricting condition of RV (disrepair, dirty, etc); size of RV vs. driveway
No for safety it reduces visibility for pedestrians and cars backing out of driveways as you can not see past the rv. A citizens life is more important than someone parking there trailer. The safety of pedestrians is the utmost important
No honestly they are ugly to look at
No I am not in favour of it. It is unsightly, lots of these "trailers" are parked longer than allowed already. They impede safe line of site and typically protrude into city right of way. (I.e. Over the sidewalk or out into the road.
No I do not support any changes to the current RV by-Law. I gave up back yard space to accommodate my trailer. Seasonal parking will be abused with family vacationers living in them. Also some RVs will be rented out to travellers coming into Calgary for events such as the Stampede. Large RVs parked in driveways are a terrible eye sore and can degrade a neighbourhood's visual appearance.
No I do not support extending the current 36 hour limit. 36 hours allows sufficient time for the RV owner to load, unload and clean the vehicle. The visibility restrictions caused by many large RV's, being parked in the front yards, will cause potential problems for entering roadways etc.
No I do not support parking for long periods of time. 48 hours is sufficient any longer is not fair to anyone trying to come down narrow streets.
No I do not support parking in driveways or street parking. As second suites are coming more common this just adds more parking issues
No I do not support seasonal driveway parking. It is an eye sore. 48 hour maximum.
No I do not support seasonal driveway parking. It is open to abuse. I have seen some rv and trailers hooked up to the electricity and being used as additional and rented accommodation.
no i do not support seasonal front driveway parking. It is unsafe and unattractive curb appeal
No I do not support seasonal parking as secondary suites are coming more popular it would add parking issues to other residents that have occasional visitors
No I do not support this bylaw to change. They are an eye sore and I do not want in my street. People already take advantage of parking their RV in driveway longer than expected.
No I do not support this. As people will take advantage and possibly have people living in their trailers on front drive way. If you own a RV you should have to understand the cost associated with owning a RV (I.e. private storage)
No I do not. It was okay when there was COVID issues to allow an isolation area for example.
No I do not. Please do not change the bylaw. It is unsightly and obstructs sightlines in the community.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I don't. I don't like how it blocks views and congests street parking. I would however support a 48 or 72 hour allowance for parking.
No I don't like the idea of seasonal parking for the same reasons we have the current by-law. It is unsightly, out of character with the neighbourhood. It could obstruct vision. It allows for nighttime hiding places for people attempting larceny.
No I don't support it. People can't park properly already with regular vehicles, that sidewalks will be blocked among other safety concerns. They have bigger blind spots and will cause an increase in accidents. Definitely not worth it.
No I don't think it should be allowed its an eye sore and there are tons of people who will take advantage of it. Lets try decluttering the neighborhoods instead of making it look worse.
No i think people will live in them, it can hide the driveway, makes more cars on the street as the driveway/garage is occupied
No I think they should be put into storage
No I would not as this currently takes place in my cul-de-sac. One neighbour parks a long trailer in their driveway all summer. I protrudes into the street by 5 feet. The same neighbour then parks an extended cab pick up truck in front of the trailer. Protruding half way across the street. We have more than 25 small children on our cul-de-sac and numerous times safety issues have arisen due to the protruding RV and associated vehicles. 48 hours maximum is my recommendation.
No I would not blocks my neighbours views creates more street parking problems and gives crime a better place to be created home are hidden from public view .If you can afford to have a rv and your paying anyhow for the rest of the year why should you change the bylaw leave it alone
No I would not it congests the neighbours views and creates all kinds of on street parking issues.It also hides the homes public view which creates more crime.I've also heard comments from neighbours that people tend to live in them maybe not them directly but friends other family very difficult to control
No I would not support a seasonal option since we it will not solve the safety and esthetics issues. Especially being a large municipality with compact neighborhood's and streets, it creates unnecessary visibility, parking and neighbour disputes. I strongly believe that if one can own a recreational vehicle then that owner clearly has the means to afford a storage solution for their RV.
No I would not support extending the allotted hours. I have a 29 foot Class A. I pay for storage yearly and have no difficulty parking on my driveway for 12 hours while I prepare for a trip. 36 Hours is more than I require.
No I would not support front driveway parking due to our neighborhoods congestion and shortage of street parking. If trailers are left on front driveways the parking spot in the garage becomes unaccessable and forces that vehicle to now park on the street which creates more congestion. We already have shortages of on street parking. Plus it makes the area look like a massive parking lot.
No I would not support it, parking any size trailer on most slopped front drives is dangerous, and you know come fall most will just leave them there till spring instead of paying for storage. This coming from someone who has payed for Rv storage for 15 plus years now.
No I would not support it. As mentioned above Obstructed sight lines, safety and Esthetics. Feel bad for the neighbour who does not own a RV or boat etc who must look at it. Some units are quite large. Will one unit then grow to another and then another. Must have a time limitation and only "one unit" not multiple. 36 hrs is too short of time. Yes increase time to say



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

72 Hrs or a little more. I sometimes have my trailer on my driveway for 3-4 days for cleaning restocking or minor repairs, but usually not any longer. I have paid storage. Does utility trailers fall in this category also?
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. This would be a cart blanche for RVs to be used as alternative housing as well as temporary airBnB rentals. As well, it could become a constant battle for the city and neighbours to determine what is considered 'unsightly'. RVs also could attract vermin onto properties. We are RV owners and the current bylaws are very reasonable allowing us time to unload, clean and load withing 36 hours.
No I would not support seasonal rv parking. However a more reasonable time of 72hrs which gives more leeway for getting things repaired/ready before having to start your holiday!
No I would not support that. The streets in mahogany are already too narrow with cars parked on the street. It turns the roads into a single lane. Even if folks park their camper on their driveway, they end up parking their truck on the street instead which is unsightly and is a visual obstruction. I have a camper, store it outside the city, load it there and hook up there on the way to my campsite. Plenty of affordable storage solutions just outside city. You don't need to park it in town
No i would not support this. Obstructs people's views and strongly impacts the aesthetics of the neighborhoods.
No I would not support this. It is unsightly when these types of vehicles are parked in driveways
No I would not support. This will may the area look undesirable and bring down propetty values. It is also a safety hazard as it will create blind spots on corner lots
No I would not support. Ugly aesthetics and total blockage of sun on my front property as there is a driveway beside us on west side. There are businesses specifically created for the purpose of RV storage. These businesses would have to charge a higher monthly rate to remain viable if storage is only required for winter months so there would be no economic benefits for RV owners.
No I would not. It its unsightly and causes safety hazards. I spent many summers working in Red Deer, many RV's parked on drive ways are to large and end up blocking side walks with just the hitch. Also it just looks poor with so many RV on front drive ways. It would be better if you could park them on the street for more than 36 hrs. But not all summer.
No I would not. These units obstruct visual views which is not fair to other property owners. They are entitled to look at trees etc. not somebody's 30 plus foot trailer or business truck and trailer outside on the street. Some residential areas have only one side of the street with sidewalks. I do not agree with 36 hours or spring to fall at all. If people think they need to bring trailers home to load up supplies for supplies drive to RV lot and load there. Cabin owners do it.
No I would not. They are unsightly and lower property value. They take up parking spaces for cars so owners park on the street and consequently there is no parking for visitors to park.
No I would not. We have many large rvs in our community. It is unsightly. We would look like a storage lot. People would have to park on the street which we have very little street parking as it is. Emergency vehicles would then have no where to stop I front of an address they are called too
No I would not. An eye sore, safety, blocking view, larger units would be encroaching on roadways, etc, etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I would not. I feel the current bylaw is sufficient. Possibly extend to 48hrs. I do not want the Hamptons to become a storage compound. Although it's slowly becoming one anyway over the last 10 years.
No I would not. It all comes at cost along with all other things these days.
No I would not. It crowds the neighbors, blocks views causing serious safety issues. It breaks the community of neighborly opportunity to connect due to blocking visibility.
No I would not. It is an eye sore in the community. If you can afford a trailer then you can afford to store it securely at an off site facility. There should be a 24h max on trailers in driveways and they should not be allowed in yards(if visible).
No I would not. We all know people would park there any not move it. Over time it deteriorates and nothing is done. It becomes unsightly. There are sufficient storage lots in the area to park them there.
No I would not. We live on a street where 6 or more neighbours have rv units. If all rv units were being stored on the front driveways, our street would look like an rv park or rv sales lot.
No I wouldn't, I don't want the neighborhood looking like a RV storage lot. People already over extend the 36 hour bylaw so I don't want to change it.
No I wouldn't. Our streets are too narrow and there are too many vehicles parked on the street already.
No I wouldn't. Although it would make sense for smaller vehicles (tent trailers and/or boats), I think it would be too difficult to draw the line between acceptable and not so the current definition should stand and RVs not allowed for seasonal front driveway parking. I wouldn't like to look down a street with many houses with large RVs in the driveway - it would block the view and look ugly.
No I'm a owner no it makes residential properties look bad obstructs views just total indirect not to mention extra street parking problems now that neighbours filled driveways with their toys
No- in my experience with my previous neighbours, if they park a boat or Rv on their driveway then the parking for their vehicles takes up all of the street parking and you can barely drive down the road. I could not safely back out of my driveway because their vehicles were blocking the line of sight for cars driving by.
No issues if the unit does not impede the sidewalk. Honestly some modern trucks are as big as most trailers that would be able to fit on the driveway. Long as sidewalk is clear no harm and no foul. Units which overhang the sidewalk would be an issue due to pedestrian access restrictions.
No it brings down the value of or neighborhood It looks terrible.
No it could reduce visibility in the neighborhood. We live in front of a tennis/pickle ball court and the cars in the summer that park in the street cause issues. It would be worst with addition recreational vehicles
No it is an eye sore and many people do not block the wheels to prevent movement
No it just means more obstacles on the street and it's an eye sore. If I wanted that look I would move to Forest Lawn.
No- it looks awful and there isn't enough room for all the cars to park if they're are rvs in all the driveways
No it looks terrible plus most these trailers are too long for the driveway and they end up protruding onto the sidewalk. Dangers trying to see oncoming cars and sidewalk pedestrians.
No it makes the area look bad. 36 hours is plenty to load and unload. People should plan better when purchasing an rv where they can park it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No it makes the city look trashy. If you own an RV it's your responsibility to find parking storage for it.
No it makes the Neighbourhood busy and hard to see kids on the side walk on bikes or walking. I think it is a safety issue but to honest in our area there are people that have trailers and boat on their drive ways all year long and nobody gets tickets so really does this make a difference.
No it starts to look trashy Security could become a problem Aesthetics of the neighborhood
No it would ruin the esthetics of the neighborhood.
No it's not a good idea creates clutter on our streets if people fill driveways unsightly,restricted views of homes for security
No- it's unsightly (;not everyone has a beautiful RV ), obstructs views for pedestrians and drivers, causes blind spots around properties risking increased crime , increases traffic when hooking /unhooking frequently- not fair to non RV owners , why stop at 1???, potential for squatters
No its a danger and its a hazard and looks hideous. Thats why there is storage places not every rv is nice and new .
NO it's unsightly it brings the neighborhood down.where I live its bad enough when people have there front areas full of junk now. Those are the people that this would abuse this. I strongly disagree with this proposal.
No-- keep the bylaws consistent throughout the year. Residents can store their RVs in the same spot year-round.
No not at all 36 hours is plenty of time to unload clean and load your camper and take it to your storage. This extended time line will be abused and will cause a safety hazard to drivers and pedestrians. When you buy an RV you have to be responsible enough to consider the cost associated with storage and Transpotation.
No not at all. It is dangerous and makes the neighbourhood a look messy. People will take advantage of this and have them hanging over sidewalks or taking up valuable street parking spaces.
No not at all. We currently have a neighbour renting out a 28 foot travel trailer all summer. This causes a problem with street parking and congestion , reduced sightlines and overall safety for pedestrians and playing children. It makes for a very busy traffic situation with large trucks coming and backing up a large trailer , often with little experience or the proper mirrors to safely do so. General chaos and disruption.
No not seasonal parking just temporary for up to 48 hours for cleaning, preparing, making repairs.
No not supporting The reasons are, safety community appearance respecting sight lines of neighbours , property value due to old bush campers etc Campers should be stored at specified facilities, campers should be brought to the home for loading and unloading - then removed
No only support an increased time like 72 hrs
No people in our community already do not follow the rules and block roads and side walks
No people will bend rules and then common street parking will be full of trailers and people will be unable to park personal vehicles



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No- recreation area owners have lots of time to load and unload-part of owning a Rex vehicle is storing it elsewhere. If u extend time people take advantage and leave it longer- there is already no parking on my street except in front of my home- which the neighbours got permission on a curve and bus stop for a suite- they use it for tenants- there is no more room on our street for every and trailer sticking out of driveways and parked on streets!!!! Pay for your storage like I do!!!
No RVs don't belong in an urban area. Hooking up an RV from a driveway causes the road to be blocked and more congestion on already busy and small urban roads.
No seasonal front driveway parking would be a huge eye sore in the community. The current 36 hours is more than enough time to load, unload, maintenance, etc.
No thank you. Harder access on bin collection days. More cars parked on the streets causing congestion.
No they should not. They pose an unsightly driveway blockage to neighbour's. They impend views on corner lots to oncoming traffic, pedestrians and exiting vehicles from the same driveway. Also there is a very wide range of RV's with lots in bad condition and unsightly parked in the open for all to see. As well there is higher potential for Grey and black water being dumped in our city water process systems or incorrectly to our storm water drainage.
No they take up to much room Already not enough parking space on streets already keep same 36 hours that's lots of time to load unload
No this would lead to an increase of RV parking reducing visibility to watch my kids and aesthetically unpleasant. Also worries home owners will start to use this space as a VRBO or Air BnB
No to seasonal parking. I don't think that there is any reason why someone should have a need for their RV to be parked out front of their house for an entire summer. If they have somewhere to park it for the winter, it can stay there when they aren't using it for the summer. Also, the size of most camping trailers do not allow for safe parking in most city sized driveways safely long term.
No way... next it's broken down cars in the lawn. Bylaws reduce people being lazy and cheap
No we have limited parking as it is and if cars can't park in the driveway then they will park in the street. Safety for children playing.
No we pay a lot for our house we don't want eye sores in our community. It would support local businesses with paying storage fees etc
No we should be able to park on our property with no issues as long as it's in working condition and not sitting there broken
No Aesthetics, congestion
No I own an RV and pay to have it stored offsite Storing it at home is an eyesore It obstructs views The laws should be the same for everyone The 36 hours is more than enough time to pack/unpack On summer my neighbors left their trailer parked in-front of my house all summer so we could never bring our trailer home to pack/unpack
No I see this as a huge safety concern. We have neighbours the frequently park their campers in





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

front of their house and it makes seeing traffic very difficult. It's harder to see incoming vehicles and pedestrians
No It impacts views and safety sight lines and being able to see outside for disturbances or crimes
No It makes the neighborhood not look nice The tone alloyed currently is sufficient
No It' make the community look ugly if trailers were parked in driveways
No Makes it difficult for drivers in front garage and driveway to pull into traffic
No Many owners already flout the existing law due yo lack of enforcement.
NO There are economic impacts to local storage businesses if people are allowed to park RV for long term in driveways. Not to mention the unsightly appearances that will result if RV's parking on grass ect out front of houses. It also will take up a parking spot on the driveway and result in more cars being parked on the roadways contributing to congestion and safety issues when children dart out from increasing parked cars on streets.
No!
No! 36 hours is fine. Zero reason to need more. It's blocks visibility and makes it unsafe
No! Blocks visibility and access then neighbor goes thru my yard to move his garbage bins to the street.
NO! There is a concern that some people may choose to have friends or relatives live in the RV parked in front of their house. I also feel that RVs parked in front of a house for extended periods are unsightly for a residential area. Not all people own RVs that are in pristine condition which can make a community appear "shoddy".
NO! Wish the allowance of basement rentals parking is already at a premium. It would be unsightly, trashy and abused, unsafe for kids, pedestrians and entering in. And out of driveway due to visibility. 36 hrs is enough time to load and go, there is already people taking advantage of the current regulations this would just create more problems I personally don't want anyone's trailer along side my house as I'm on a corner and find it hard to find parking for myself
No! Convenience, cost effectiveness and "sense of security" should never out weigh " visibility and safety" if this were so we would then be asked to allow seasonal speed limits so we can drive as fast as we deem "convenient" and "cost effective" because time is money. Calgary is known for being a cleaner city than the others mentioned. And allowing seasonal RV parking would take the whole city down a notch.
No! Do not allow more RV's to be parked near residential streets. It's bad enough with ppl owning multiple cars that don't park their cars in garages let alone adding more cars on the street! We do not want to become campers village in a residential area. It's a safety hazard and parking issues. When you purchase a trailer it's your responsibility to store it somewhere not burden your neighbors! I say NO WAY to this!!! Stop being complacent
No! Driver of a car cannot see around the RV when backing out to the street. Person driving on the street cannot see the car backing out of the driveway. Unsafe situation. Dangerous for children that do at times play on the sidewalk and street.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO! I do not want to look at a bunch of trailers on my neighbours front drives. Also, what is stopping people from using these as cheap rental accommodation? The current rules are just fine.
No! It is an eyesore and degrades the neighbourhood. Then people end up parking their regular vehicles on the street and it makes roads less safe. If you want to own an RV, you need to pay to store it. I Do.
No! It's should be reduced to 24hrs. You do t more than 12hrs to charge and ready appliances and cleaning, get in packed and out. DO NOT EXTEND. I have an RV too, don't extend.
No! Looks terrible I don't support it at all. People always push the limits and before you know it there's all kinda of stuff parked on driveways.
NO! Not only is it an eye sore and takes away from the aesthetics of a community, there is reduced visibility for others (pedestrians and other types of vehicles - cars, bikes, scooters etc) and safety would be a big concern for me as well. Theft, vandalism, break-and-enters would increase as well. There are too many factors to list! and they all outweigh the benefit of convenience and cost to store on your driveway.
No! They are an eyesore and they impede visibility in certain situations.
No! They have seasonal parking lots for a reason. Parking in their driveway usually means taking up parking on the streets. Making the streets narrower and unsafe.
No! Unsightly.
No! Everyone seems to own an RV these days... and if the bylaws change, everyone will be storing them at the front of their properties like in Airdrie... they block sight lines down the street. And people will store them at their residences instead of at storage yards.
No!! Absolutely NOT! We own an RV and the sight of it permanently being parked on our street would decrease property values, be dangerous for drivers given how close the houses are built, and our entire street would be one giant RV parking lot! Please do not allow it!
No!! As stated above, obstructed sightlines, unsightly RVs (esthetics) safety, access/egress when moving RV. There are many storage facilities in and around the City where RVs can be stored. Just because it is more 'convenient' isn't a great justification for encroaching on other resident's expectations.
No!! I don't want my community to be littered with rvs, on street or driveways.I worry about the safety of the children. Having an Rv or other on road or driveway will cause impaired visibility, thus putting the children at more risk.
No!!! Because so many people have RV's that it would look like an RV park, people would live in them or rent them out, it would just ruin everything.
NO!!! Don't want to look at them and streets are too narrow. They will be everywhere.
No!!! There's not enough street parking as it is. Now it open up the option for people to park their vehicles on the street to accommodate their RVs!! Roads are too narrow now and the new areas are even worse, with zero lot lines and narrow streets. Unsafe for children, pets and the fire department if they need to access a home. Dumb idea to compare Calgary to any of the other cities that are less than half the size of Calgary's population. The downside impacts far outweigh the upside of this idea. Can't even believe the City of Calgary would consider this and disappointed in the fact that I pay taxes to have stupid ideas like this be put out to the public.
NO!!!! Parking of RV's on front lots longer than is neccessary to load/Unload for planned trips,



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

results in less parking available for the vehicles that the family owns. The result is at least one of a families vehicle ends up having to park on streets, and in most cases, on streets where parking is very, very limited, or, non existent. It also results in property owners pouring excessive concrete areas in the front of their houses instead of planting and maintaining vegetation.
No,
No, block sight lines. Can be turned it Airbnb
No, it increases those same home to park their other vehicles on the street taking away parking from those that do not have a driveway. It is also hard to see children around these larger vehicles.
No, neighborhood's would be unsightly and it would cause more vehicles to be parked on the road. Many areas do not have room for more vehicles.
No, They take up to much room and can extend into the sidewalk area.
no, 36 hours is enough
No, 36 hours is enough time for loading and unloading. It is nit worth it to obstruct sightlines, decreasing safety due to seasonal storage.
No, 36 hours is long enough to do everything you need before a camping trip.
No, 36 hours is more than enough time. Allowing RV's to stay parked longer lessens extra parking on the street as driveways can't be used. It will congest the streets as well as be a safety go concern.
No, absolutely no. Not only is an issue of road safety, in my opinion, it affects the look and feel of the streets and neighborhoods overall. It is also a problem in some neighborhoods where street parking is largely used by everyone on the street. Temporary parking is understable and ok for no longer 36/48 hrs. But longer periods seems unnecessary to have these vehicles parked in front of your house.
No, aesthetics and traffic safety.
No, am not in favor of front or side driveway RV parking for many reason and one most important is SAFETY.
No, Any Recreational Vehicles that I have seen parked in the front drives of house are most often encroaching on the sidewalks making passing by them dangerous to you shins. Most of always this parking is for the ease of the owner to access them without regard for others
No, as I agree with the reasons given. However, provided the RV is small enough that it can be parked in the residents driveway, does not impede the sidewalk and does not create these problems (sight lines/safety/unsightly), I do not have a problem with those small cute trailers being seasonal.
No, as most people also impede sidewalks when doing so
No, back or side lot only. It's already hard enough to navigate the amount of vehicles currently allowed.
No, because for the already very short summer season, I don't want out neighbourhood to look like a trailer park.
No, because I believe that if you can afford a recreational unit, you should be able to afford to park it in a place designated for recreational vehicles. I believe it takes away from curb appeal and value of one's residence.
No, because it can't be gautenteed that it won't become a undesignated secondary suite.....also the obstruction, as well as due to the length of my unit I can't park mine in my driveway...what's fair for one is fair for all. If you can't look at my unit then I shouldn't have to look at yours.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, because it doesn't help matters for the remainder of the year.
No, because it is unsightly and impairs vision for pedestrians walking by them. Makes the neighborhood look more trashy than it should, and trust me, I'd love to park my unit on my driveway for weeks at a time in the summer. Also people's units often encroach the sidewalks with their bumpers and hitches, simply too long for the spot they want to park them.
No, because it just ends up getting abused. However a week or two can be acceptable.
No, because many RVs are unsightly, and many are very big that it becomes a safety concern when they are hanging off the driveway. It would become harder to draw a line on what constitutes unsafe or unsightly. By having a bylaw of parking for no more than 36 hours, it makes the rule fair for everyone. So many households own RVs now that a residential street would be inundated with these for a season. It could lead to animosity between neighbours.
No, because of obstructed sight lines, safety, and esthetics.
No, because people already break the rules. It's hard to see past them and most don't fit on the driveway anyway. Street parking is maxed out already.
No, because people will take advantage of it.
No, because these vehicles are large and awkward to park and are an eyesore to the neighborhood. By allowing these vehicles to be parked in driveways, it automatically gives permission for people to live in these vehicles in driveways. People will start renting these out on Air BNB which will cause more issues in neighborhoods. How will you prevent people from living in these campers? How will you police it?
No, because we don't have enough room for our vehicles on the streets. I don't want to look out my window and see my neighbour's trailer block my sun or my view. My kids can't ride their bikes or cross the street safely with RV's, trailers and such blocking the driver's view of them. I think it's a safety issue.
No, big eye sore. Used to live in Grande Prairie. It was a big issue, they so have bigger driveways and distance between houses.
No, blocks light and views of neighbours.
No, blocks the view, especially on a Main Street. People will certainly take advantage of this and extend the "seasonal" parking.
No, but I believe 36 hours is too short of a time to allow for packing, cleaning and minor inside repairs such as getting a service person for the furnace or appliance repair. Also a "whole" summer of losing sunshine from a neighbour's RV would be unsightly and a loss of light and sunshine. I would like one week.
No, but I believe 36 hours is too short. I would support that be extended.
No, but I do support extending the time an RV can be in the driveway to 72 hours. RV's should also not extend past the driveway and obstruct any of the sidewalk. We park our trailer on the road in front of our property while we are loading and unloading because it's too long to have it in the driveway and not be obstructing the sidewalk. When it's on the road, we have to unhitch it from our truck so that we can use the truck during this time. It's illegal but we can't see an alternative.
No, but I wish we could leave our trailer on the driveway for a minimum of 60 hours. This would allow the home owners time to clean and prepare it for the next trip. 36 hours is too short of a time, especially when you come back from a long trip and would like to relax for a day before tackling the clean up.
No, but I would support extending the timeline to at least 48 hours.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, but longer than 36 hours would be helpful. A week might give people enough time to do some maintenance or not to have to return it to storage between trips.
No, but perhaps extend the time limit to 72 hours?
No, but would support a seasonal extension of up to 5 days until RV has to be moved (to allow for back to back weekend trips)
No, but would support increasing the 36 hours to one week. This allows for convenience of keeping it at home between weekend trips.
No, city streets are crowded enough. Who's to say people won't take advantage and start living in the RV on the driveway in the summer. 48 hours or 72 hours should be enough time at the most
No, city will get crowded with them, not all municipalities allow enough space. Not saving anything, you book your spot in storage for entire year, if you book for particular period only, it's mostly more expensive and hard to find. So there you go, tax payers will pay additional for fitting those who left it over for winter or those who can't realize is an RV in appropriate shape or no. It's a big city, extended it to 48 hours, and do not create additional problems.
No, current By-Law constraints are appropriate to the responsible RV owner and cause no duress.
No, detracts from the aesthetics of the community - if you can afford an RV you can afford to pay for storage
No, devalues the neighbourhood and makes it look like a trailer park. Trailers potentially may block sidewalks, boost power from other houses, run cables across sidewalks and into lane ways, may attract rodents. Other concerns include increased vehicle parking on roadways and impeding garbage/recycling pick up, potentially increased claims to the city. Vehicles parked across the foot of driveways and on lawns.
No, driveways in my community are not long enough to park RV's without blocking sidewalks. Some driveways are not long enough to park a full size truck (1/2 ton size) without blocking sidewalk
No, due to the fact many homeowners have 2 or more vehicles, and if you add a travel trailer or other RV on that same driveway, homeowners start parking on streets, which then become a safety concern and creates high congestion on the roadways.
No, due to the impacts listed above.
No, enough folks take advantage of such relaxations, and are not what I would consider "good neighbours". We have folks including myself that violate the existing bylaw, BUT my neighbours are informed of what I'm doing and the duration. In Sundance some folks park RV on their drive way and are basically not bothering anyone, but some folks do not make good neighbours, these are the folks the existing bylaw is designed for.
No, esthetics, in some cases obstructed sightlines. The owner can afford an RV, they can afford proper parking facilities, countless parking facilities all around the city. No excuse for an owner to park long term, over 48 hours. See #5
No, even more people out walking and cycling in the summer and these vehicles obstruct view
No, even though i am a trailer owner and there are many occasions when i do park my trailer on my driveway for a day or two, the impacts outweigh the benefits. I would not like for my neighbors to park an rv for an extended time on their property and i am very conscious and respectful to make my trailer parking for maintenance and loading/unloading only. An alternate solution I would very much strongly support, would be for the City to provide a





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

subsidy for trailer owner who have to pay storage fees for their RV. This would encourage more people to store their rvs in designated storage lots and greatly reduce the impacts stated above on neighborhoods and municipality.
No, everyone has a different idea of "poor condition" and if everyone leaves their RV in the front the area look like a junk yard. I would be open to 72 hours and no more.
No, except for emergency use for a home.
No, eye sore and invariably a trailer will park across a side walk
No, eyesore and who is going to enforce the rules
no, for all the reasons listed aboe
No, for esthetics, safety, obstructed sight lines. It is not needed. 36 hours is sufficient time to pack your trailer. There are other places it can be parked like in a backyard, back driveway, rv rental place.
No, for neighborhood ascetics reasons.
No, for safety of backing out of driveways and children playing.
no, for the reasons already cited.
No, for the reasons given above; obstructed sight lines, safety, esthetics, as well as lack of street parking which would then be taken up by additional cars not able to park in driveways.
No, for the reasons identified obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics. Some RV's are too long for driveways and parking stalls and extend onto sidewalks and alleyways which then causes additional hazards for pedestrians and vehicles.
No, for the reasons indicated above. If you own an RV why should your neighborhood become an eye sore? Several RVs in ward 4 parked right on the property line. Parking is a problem in the city why make it even worse.
No, for the reasons listed above. Safety is most paramount.
No, having trailers parked on front driveways for several months seems to be extreme- an increase on the amount of hours would be my suggestion
No, I am in favor of extending to 72 hours only. I dont want too look out on an RV day after day, especially if a big unit.
No, I be,l've it poses safety risks by obstructing sight lines. It also negatively impacts on the aesthetics of the community. I worry that front driveways will start to look like junkyards and that the rules will then be bent and RV's will start to be parked on residential roadways as many temple tend to try to bend the laws.
No, I believe it would be abused as it already has been in our neighborhood. Rv storage is a part of owning one. I have a designated spot in my back alley and would not have bought one if I didn't.
No, I believe it would impact the view and enjoyment of neighborhoods for all to enjoy. Would likely also encourage more overnight visitors and partying. If you can afford a recreational vehicle you should be prepared to pay to park off site if you don't have proper rear parking space on site.
No, I can see ppl who have large RVs that will park in their driveways and hang over the sidewalk. Or in new communities where driveways are super close to each other. The neighbor won't be able to see when pulling out.
No, I do not believe parking your recreational vehicle on your front driveway or the street for more than the current allotted time should be permitted. It is a safety issue and an esthetic issue. I can see there being people living out of/renting their recreational vehicles in their driveways! Potential for water sewer issues - people hooking up to services on their driveway

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I do not support allowing these vehicles seasonally. I think extending the time from 36 to 72 hours would be ideal. It would allow people time to load and unload and prepare for holidays. Allowing them your around will also allow people to then live in their driveways, with no way of monitoring the situation. it also obstructs sightlines and they are ugly.
No, I do not support front driveway parking. It would be unsightly to see a large RV parked on the driveway and will obstruct sightlines when a car backs out of that same driveway.
No, I do not support seasonal front drive parking. It is an eye sore, a safety concern for traffic and pedestrians'. It can cause sun glare into the neighbor across the street from the unit. Units should not be parked on a hill the risk of an accident is much greater. Parked units cause a problem for street parking for areas with limited parking.
No, I do NOT support seasonal parking. If you have a recreational vehicle you should also have a proper place to park/store it. Allowing "with conditions", leaves it open to interpretation of what is 'unsightly'; problems with bylaw infractions that must be reported, investigated & actioned (a waste of time/money for bylaw officers); possible misuse as 'temporary accommodations' in the neighbourhood; obstruction of sightlines for neighbours entering/exiting driveways and intersections (in case of corner lots).
No, I do not support seasonal RV parking, almost all holiday trailers are over 28 feet which then can become a safety concern as well as an eye sore in for our street' residential streets will soon take on the look of RV campgrounds which to me is not desirable.
No, I do not support seasonal RV parking. When a person parks an RV on their front driveway, they typically need to park a vehicle or 2 on the street. In my opinion street parking is for everyone to share and is intended for casual use such as when people have company or in situations where a teenager might have a car while they are still living at home. IMO, street parking is not for people who fill their garages and driveways with stuff and do not have room to park on their own property.
No, I do not support seasonal. I do feel like 36 hrs is not enough though. No more than 120 hrs.
No, I do not support the allowing of seasonal front driveway parking of any vehicle that is not used for daily transportation.
No, I do not support this. It blocks visibility and looks extremely ugly. I am concerned that small children riding bicycles on the sidewalk may be injured. Overall it makes a neighborhood look crowded and messy. It will contribute to less availability of street parking.
No, I don't want our street to be "littered" with a bunch of RV's parked in front of the homes. Calgary is not like the comparison cities.
No, I don't support it. It can obstruct views which can cause accidents. Also allowing them on driveways can open the possibility of people living in them.
No, I don't support longer park times. If you choose to own these vehicles, you should also have a property with proper back yard parking for the vehicle. It is unsightly and a safety issue to have these vehicles park in the front. I also believe it is a short progression before people will want to occupy these vehicles as a secondary residence. Then there is the matter of people renting out their front driveways for cash money.
No, I don't support the allowing of seasonal front driveway parking. It makes communities with limited parking even more limited. People that would normally park both cars on a driveway now have to park one on the street. Homes that have front driveways normally have terrible/limited space for street parking. Also trailers don't always fit on driveway & people let them hang out onto the sidewalk creating hazards for others.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I don't support this initiative. This vehicles / trailers are a safety issue for pedestrians and cyclists. They obstruct the visibility for the road and sidewalk issuers. Storage is part of the cost of owning an RV and should be considered before purchasing one. Should not impose these large eyesores on all our neighbours for the entire summer.
No, I feel we should be able to use our property safely to park our own RV anytime for as long as we need/want. All year round.
No, I find these vehicles ugly and they obstruct views. There are already too many vehicles parked on the street and driveways. If I could, I would not own a trailer except that my husband wants to have one.
No, I had to build a parking spot in my yard at my expense and it it works good for us. How are you going to define "unsightly" some people think there unit is great and other will call them an eyesore.
No, I have access to back yard parking but I do not use it. I don't want to look at an ugly giant trailer all summer whether it's mine or someone else's.
No, I have no interest in looking at other peoples unsightly RV's all year long. If I wanted to stare at trailers I'd move to a trailer park.
When the RV gets parked on the driveway the owners vehicles are now parking on the street. In newer communities with less street parking, this will create parking issues. In addition to that it makes pedestrians less visible especially children.
No, I own a travel trailer but would never consider parking it in my own driveway. I pay for offsite storage when it is not in use.
No, I own my own trailer and store it. It blocks views makes the neighborhood look like a storage yard. It makes the neighborhood so uninviting.
No, I think an extension to 7 days is sufficient. There are times when I need to fix something on the motorhome and due to work I only have evenings and weekends on it so 36 hours is limiting. We have already had our catalytic converter stolen once. Don't need more incentive for thieves to hang around our community.
No, I think having RV's or campers will be an eye sore nof to mention blocking my view of the road/sidewalk when leaving my driveway should my neighbor decide to park their RV in their driveway
No, I think it is un necessary- our neighbours are bad enough with the current by laws. We also already have problems with people going though vehicles at night. I can't imagine that would get better with RV's blocking the view of cameras and peoples windows
No, I think it opens the door to people living in, using as a housing option (we have seen it in a backyard RV). I also think there's some safety risk, between increasing prowlings/hiding spots near houses, to kids jumping out onto roadways from behind large RV's, etc... Additionally, it also creates further parking issues, when the homeowner can no longer access their garage, or park in their own driveway.
No, I think it would cause too many issues for the city to deal with. There are lots of unsightly rv's and I can see this being a problem as so many people lack common courtesy and sense. Plus rv's are so big these days and driveways getting so small that this wouldn't help majority of owners anyhow.
No, I think it would look very unsightly regardless of the condition of the unit and in communities with high number of front driveways it would be overcrowded and the potential for run down units is high. It would be a constant battle to enforce rules about "poor condition"
No, I think people would abuse it.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I think the present by-law works just fine, and there is no need to see RV's parked in driveways for extended periods of time.
No, I think this would increase the frequency of parking on the road way in front of homes as well, which is already a concern in my area.
No, I would like my unit parked at home all year long.
No, I would NOT be in favour of allowing seasonal front driveway parking. It is a slippery slope to these vehicles being used a illegal suites. I prefer to keep the current bylaw unchanged.
No, I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking because of the reasons stated (obstruction, safety and esthetics). There are numerous storage facilities available to park RV's in and around Calgary.
No, I would not support seasonal driveway parking for the reasons listed above. I would support increasing the time to 48 hours, as this gives neighbours an increased time to do what they need to do with their unit.
No, I would not support seasonal front Parking, nor increasing the current amount of time for parking greater than the current 36 hours. With the proliferation of "RV" vehicles it's becoming a blight on the street scape; the simple fact is they are an obstruction of visual focus etc and in certain locations extreme safety hazard. If folks have the resources to purchase they should arrange proper short and long term storage arrangements.
No, I would not. It's already too crowded on city streets and adding additional vehicles to sightlines is offensive. People running them for Airbnb will probably be an issue... the last thing I need is an increase in taxesfor the City to justify monitoring and ticketing all the offenses and rule breakers as well. If you drive through Penbrook people have everything from Rv's to broke down vehicles on their front lawns. I have no faith in the City to enforce bylaws regarding it.
No, I would think 5 days parking should be ample, my neighbour literally parks it on his driveway from April to October, I have one as well but don't abuse the bylaws but 3 days is too short to prepare for a long trip. I have an issue with people storing on the driveway for months, they are cheap and could pay for storage, instead they make the neighborhood look crummy
No, if I have neighbors on either side of me parking RV out front it obstructs my view and then I would tend not to use and enjoy my front yard. Knowing the bylaw before purchasing a trailer we made the decision to build a parking pad in our backyard. I would be in favor to increasing the time my neighbor could park in their driveway to 48 hours. This would help with the preparation of the RV.
No, if people park their RVs in the driveway for the season they lose access to their garage and driveway parking. There isn't enough street parking as it is and adding another 1 or 2 vehicles per house to the competition would only escalate frustrations. In older neighbourhoods with wider lots this may not be a problem but it would be for the rest.
No, if people want these types of things and don't have room in their garage or back yard they should pay a storage facility to house it. Streets are already full with some houses having five vehicles.
No, if we start allowing this we will end up with unsightly units impacting our communities
No, if you own a recreational vehicle, you should have parking at the rear or a property that does not have this restriction. I can see the bylaw being extended to 48 hours.
No, in other cities such as Airdrie etc you see so many people have their trailers sticking out on and past the walkway and a person has to go on the road past them...

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, it already happens. Bylaws are not enforced. Extension cords are all over sidewalks and people end up living in them. On the street, obstructing traffic and it looks trashy
No, it blocks sight lines for traffic making it very dangerous, especially on corner lots
No, it blocks vision while backing up from adjacent driveways and is physically unappealing. If you can afford an RV you can afford offsite parking for it.
No, it creates hazards. They tend to be too long and the front hitches end up over the sidewalk and people start parking on the street instead of their driveways making streets narrower.
No, it definitely causes impaired visibility, visual clutter, and people will definitely push the limits and block/obstruct sidewalks.
No, it eliminates the available space for regular vehicles and creates congestion on narrow streets. Parking space is already extremely limited.
No, it impedes vision for neighbors and is a safety concern as well as unsightly.
No, it is abused in airdrie and leads to unsightly RVs parked in communities
No, it is highly likely that we will have occupants staying in trailers. Also, trailers create a cluttered look in communities. Please leave the bylaw as is.
No, It is not necessary. I am able to load and unload my own trailer within the time limits as set out.
No, it is unsightly and would cause owners to use more street parking.
No, it is unsightly just like a yard with overgrown grass and weeds. When we purchased we took into account the cost of storing it when not in use. Would be nicer if the city provided storage within communities so we didn't have to drive so far out of town to storage site to get the RV.
No, It is unsightly, dangerous, & unneighbourly. If you can afford an RV, you can afford to pay for storage.
No, it is unsightly. And people will go over board.
No, it is very easy for a child to hurt themselves playing around a trailer. What is a block got moved and a trailer was no longer secure. Large trailers on driveways can impact site lines.
No, it looks bad.
No, it makes areas look horrible, a small number of people own them, why make others suffer.....increase danger to children with them being unhitched,,,,,could cause injury.....people have storage, they can take them there when unloaded
No, it obstructs sight lines making potentially creating safety issues especially in a season where children are outside biking and playing. Having a neighborhood full of rvs in driveways all summer long will contribute to a larger disconnect of people in the community.
No, it should be limited to 36-48 hours.
No, it will block sunlight for your lawn, cause a backlog of vehicles parked on the street and the neighbourhood will look awful.
No, it will make the neighborhood look trashy. People will actually live in them if you allow that. There are plenty of secure RV lot's available. This is something you should consider before purchasing an RV.
No, it will make the neighbourhoods look junky
No, it will take up valuable parking space, and create safety issues for drivers
no, It would be an eyesore. Blocking everyones view out their front yard. More theft and security issue. Being an RV owner the only thing I would support is possible longer parking times for loading and unloading. If you cant afford storage then you shouldn't



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

own an RV. We live on a cul de sac and if I parked my Trailer in my driveway my neighbors would have a view of a trailer and nothing else everyday out their front windows. Not nice.
no, it would be difficult to manage the unsightly, poor condition, and the encroaching. Lots have the hitch out on the sidewalk when parked in a driveway. 36 hours should be more than enough time to go get it load it up, unload and return it to storage.
No, it would be hard to manage street parking as if people are parking trailers in their driveway it may force more cars to park in the street. However because of driveways there is limited street parking. So I would say no unless there is a way to manage that no additional cars end up on the street because of it
No, it would block sight lines of people trying to get in and out of driveways.
no, It would change the look and feel of communities
No, it's a hinderance to visibility and road safety and it attracts thieves to scope around your RV and property (we've seen this due to our cameras and our neighbours had the same issue).
No, it's an eye sore, trailers should be in the alley not at the front of the house
No, it's an eyesore
No, it's an obstruction and a safety hazard if your neighbour is backing out of their driveway.
No, it's unsightly. The streets and driveways are crowded enough. There are many places close by the city to store them
No, it's an eye sore as well as dangerous as they block the view of drivers while pedestrians are crossing roads.
No, just from an aesthetic point of view. I've seen other cities, (look at Medicine Hat) where you drive through and it looks like an RV storage lot.
No, large TVs block sight lines for cars coming out of driveways. Also in many areas street parking is at a premium and the parking of RVs on driveways not only restricts garage access for parking but also eliminates a spot or two on the driveways. This especially bad in cul-de sacs.
No, lowers their visibility, sticks out on side streets, looks ugly
No, mainly because RV's on front driveways are a safety hazard by obstructing or eliminating sight lines for pedestrians and motorists. Not to mention they clutter neighborhoods, streets and driveways making it unsightly. If this goes ahead how does the City plan to manage the abusers? We already pay too much in taxes we don't need to pay more to pay for increased by law officers that we don't need in the first place.
No, makes the neighborhood look tacky.
No, makes the street cluttered . Less time is desirable .. 24 hours or less
No, most RV's don't fit on a regular sized driveway. Having RV's parked up and down the street isn't appealing plus there wouldn't be enough room for the garage/recycle trucks to get down the street and empty bins.
No, my area has next to no street parking and when my neighbours have their trailers on their driveways they abuse street parking, blocking driveways and fire hydrants, with some even parking on their lawns. For an expensive neighborhood it also looks very unappealing.
No, My street no residential driveways in the front; only roadway parking.
No, no one follows the 36 hour bylaw as it is and it should not be extended or allow seasonal parking.
No, No, No! Safety and preserving the esthetics of our neighborhoods is key. Allowing RV parking in front of homes creates a trashy look to the neighbourhoods, lowers property values and is not necessary. Like most responsible owners, if you can afford an RV then you can



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

afford to store it properly in your garage or off-site. There should be no changes to the current by-law.
no, no, no, not at all
No, not at all! The risk to pedestrian and motor safety is concerning. It's ugly - our communities don't need to step backwards in the 'clutter' and aesthetics. And street parking (plus all the headaches) will increase - which will add to clutter even more. I worry about how finished street parking will impact accessibility.
no, not enough parking on the street already and larger RV's make it more dangerous for pedestrians as they can block drivers view
No, not only would this remove parking spots from already cramped neighborhoods, it is an eyesore and danger when children need to cross streets or alleyways. Also people will have family members staying in them no doubt and used as temporary housing.
no, obstructed sightlines in residential areas, and causes safety issues due to sights
No, obstructs view and poor esthetics
No, our cities neighborhoods are already overcrowded with vehicles that barely move which prove that our current bylaws aren't being enforced, why add another issue? RV's are big, unsightly and don't have a place in residential areas.
No, our neighbour has theirs in the summer and charges it with cords running on the sidewalk. It also obstructs the neighbours view when backing out of their driveway. They are too big.
No, parking a trailer in front of a home is an eye-sore and takes away from the look of the neighborhood.
No, parking is already an issue on our street and this would only make it worse.
No, parking is limited as it is.
No, parking sucks enough as it is, never mind adding in people not able to use their driveways because they have trailers parked there. Plus they are big and not great to see all over the place.
No, people always seem to take advantage and bend the rules. Residential streets and driveways are not for storing/parking these items, if you are going to purchase these items you need to consider where you will store/park them.
No, people will abuse it
No, please no. Street parking problem in the making! Because if they park their RV in their front driveway, they will have less room left for their cars (or no room at all) so they will park in the street instead (i.e., often in front of their neighbour's house). The streets are already full of parked cars, so it would make the problem worse.
No, poor visibility, hard for youth to assess safety, blocks road sight, often sticks out onto path. Aesthetics are awful, our neighbour has an ugly old rusting motor home out.
No, poor visibility, unsightly trailers and it does not matter what limit you set it will always be encroached by somebody.
No, property values would go down even more with all of that clutter. Designate areas under utilized in the city for seasonal trailer options parking instead. Charge residents a \$20 fee to park in empty school parking lots between June 30 and Aug 20 and give funds to school boards.
No, recreational vehicles are a luxury and as such they should be treated that way and neighbours should not have to look at what can become a a parking lot. This also increases the amount of cars that will have to be parked in the street essentially making the streets





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

more crowded and unsafe. The city could also consider setting up a parking facility for recreational vehicles just for the summer months at favourable rates to offset the costs.
No, road parking is limited on our street. Adding RVs would make our limited parking worse.
No, ruins the curb appeal and visibility
No, rv and trailer should be parked in the back as not too cause house obstructions and cluttering of the neighborhood.
No, RV should be parked at RV storage facilities, no room for RV's and additional vehicles on neighborhood streets
No, safety and aesthetic. It is obstructive and people don't care about other people spaces.
No, safety safety safety. Sight lines for kids corners etc are terrible. It would degrade the community in a big way. Parking is already at a premium and this would effectively kill off two spots at a home (1 in garage and driveway). Even include parking pads in back lanes as this forces parking elsewhere .
No, safety sightline concerns, general community aesthetics
No, season front parking should not be allowed as it is unsightly and blocks vision.
No, seasonal seems too long for parking large obstructive vehicles in front of homes. I think 35 hr to 5 days is long enough.
No, should two neighbors each have trailers/motorhomes they'll completely block in a center house, obstructing their view closing them in.
No, sight lines, esthetics, property values, potential use of vehicle as an accommodation, hazards in wind storms, drives other vehicles onto the street parking instead of on driveways. There is ample RV storage in and around the city to accommodate RV's without doing this; and I'm an RV owner!
no, simply because there's never anyone enforcing these rules, you call a bylaw officer and they don't even look at it for weeks, I have a renter neighbour living in a trailer in the back yard across the alley that I can't get dealt with, why create more problems that you don't enforce?
No, some neighborhoods will look like rv storage lots.
no, some of these vehicles / trailers are not well maintained and will negatively impact the neighbourhood's visual appeal.
No, storage fees are ridiculous. Availability is scarce at times, if I own my land I should have every right to keep my trailer year round.
no, street parking is already problem. Some RV's are very large and would restrict any view of the street from the home.
No, summer is when I access my yard the most. If I have a motorhome parked in my neighbor's front yard, my view is obscured. Not fair I give up part of my back yard to park, so why can't they. Also, you can only do it if you have a pad. Should be fair to all. People should know they can't park there when they purchase the unit. I would be fine with seasonal parking being extended to 24 hours.
No, that's too long of a period to have a trailer obstructing sightlines and hurting curb appeal, but I'd support extending the time by another 24-48 hours.
No, the allowable time should not be extended. The recreational vehicles are unsightly, and large. The vehicles may also attract prowlers at night who want to break into said vehicles. Recreational vehicles on driveways means cars cannot be parked in the garage/driveway causing more cars to be parked on the street. Recreational vehicles should only be parked in the city when setting up or cleaning up after a trip.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, the community's are already crowded with multi family homes, basement rentals, and most houses have a 1 person to 1 car ration. Even with kids aged 16.
No, the current 36 hour limit is more than enough time to prepare and unpack an RV.
No, the current bylaw is abused quite prolifically from May to October. Certain circumstances prevent access to sidewalks by people and forces pedestrians onto the road to make their way passed the hitch of trailers, fifth wheels and bumpers of RV's
No, the current bylaws allow for loading and unloading. Longer times will lead to more congestion and additional parking issues in the neighborhood. RVs tend to be large and somewhat unsightly. The can block sight lines and create hazards for backing out of driveways or crossing sidewalks. RVs left for a long period of time could end up being used as addition living space and not have the proper sanitation or electrical accommodations. Also, RVs that are not stored properly, say on a sloped driveway, could be a safety issue if not properly blocked and levelled, something that can be issues if a rv sits for a long period of time.
No, the current regulations are adequate. If seasonal parking as allowed, it is highly unlikely that the City will do sufficient enforcement on sidewalk encroachments, etc. due to the cost involved.
No, the parking is already difficult in our neighborhood and people don't follow rules with a car. I live in a playground and kids have to go around cars blocking corners and crosswalks. This would make it even more dangerous
No, the sheer quantity of RV's currently in storage that could be on residential streets would reduce the number of off street parking spots. Then decreasing the available parking on the streets in residential neighborhoods.
No, the visual condition of an RV is too subjective to enforce. An eyesore to one person may be a gem in hiding to the owner. Many residences have insufficient street parking in front of their homes to park a unit that does not encroach on a neighbors property, many neighbors are too polite or concerned about a conflict to tell an rV owner that their unit is blocking a view, is unsightly, or poses additional risk for lines of sight for backing out of a garage and driveway.
No, there are already too many vehicles on our street. Adding more pleasure vehicles to driveways and/or streets will make it worse. It also makes the community look unappealing. We don't want every community in Calgary looking like Forest lawn or some hillbilly farm with junk trailers and boats on every driveway. The city allowing basement suites in the burbs has already had a negative impact on the appeal of our community so we don't need to add any more rift raft and junkie trailers on our streets.
No, there are too many basement suits in Bridlewood, parking is extremely difficult, due to illegal street parking. Adding more would just be insane.
No, there is enough safety hazards in the neighborhood and RV's should only be parked for loading and unloading period!!
No, there still needs to be a shorter limit. When people are parking their rvs for months at a time, that typically introduces more cars parked on the roadside. It makes our roads more crowded and unsafe for children and bicycles, particularly when they are out in the summer.
No, there will be alot of unsightly RV parked on driveways the devalue properties if you try and sell your home This will create alot of issues. "Give people an inch and they will take a Mile"
No, they are an eye sore and obstruct views of roadways posing a safety hazard.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, they are an eye sore. This turns into a work bench and other work/maintenance being completed over extended periods that is highly visible.
No, they are an eyesore to look at. Also is it a safety issue to get first responders near the home. I don't want our neighborhood to be a parking lot for RV's
No, they are unattractive
No, they block sight lines while driving, which is dangerous especially in a community with children. In addition, they can be used to house family members or others.
No, they block to much of the road and cause issues with line of sight. On curved roads with intersections it proves to be very hard to see cards coming and poses a risk for crashes. This would also include utility trailers and vans.
no, they can be an eye sore and definitely cause parking troubles in neighborhoods. It can also be very difficult to drive safely down a street that has 1 or more rv's parked on the street.
No, they do not belong on residential streets/driveways/backyards for more than 36 hours. They are an eyesore and block views
No, they look like [removed] and make the neighborhood look like a dump. If u can afford a trailer you can pay for a lot somewhere.
No, they obstruct views and make it hard to find parking on residential streets as people who live in the houses have 1 less place to park on their own property
No, this is because parking is very limited in our community. When a trailer is parked on their driveway it forces the occupants of the house to start parking on the street. My neighbours currently parks their trailer on their driveway. They have 4 vehicles which ends up parking on the street. We have so many kids who play outside , that I worry for their safety.
No, this will cause more street parking and residences are already limited to space for when parking on the street, or in their driveways. It also blocks vision for exiting driveways. None RV owners should not have to put up with this in their residential area.
No, this will clutter streets.
No, this would cause the street to be blocked all summer and cause blind spots for backing out. It's hard enough when it's the 3 days before a weekend and especially on neighborhoods thus aren't flat.
No, this would detract from the overall neighborhood appearance, and if the vehicle encroached on city sidewalks or property it makes more issues for law enforcement to deal with. Overall the bylaw is well understood, and currently very easy to enforce.
No, this would mean that parking for the rest of the year (rv lots), since these places would not be able to afford the lost income, and thus would substantially increase costs to rv owners overall. Sure the ones that pay for the whole year would get a deal, but it would still be higher than current rates
No, too large that takes away sight lines, especially in corners. Impactful to city owned trees on boulevards. Do not belong in neighborhoods for longer than 36 hours.
No, too unsightly, blocks sun, this would be abused
No, unfortunately there are too many who abuse the opportunity. There are people living in them during spring/summer, they are not insured for damage to neighbours property, also it does ruin the views for many neighbours.
No, unsightly in the front of the house.
No, unsightly, and safety
No, unsightly, blocks visibility plus adds to an already existing problem of lack of street parking for a lot of communities.
No, unsightly.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, we are against it, it looks terrible. There are enough storage lots in the surrounding area
No, we do not support changes to the existing bylaws regarding RV's. They create major issues with sightlines/safety that would be impossible to manage through enforcement. We knew the bylaw when we chose to buy an RV, including the cost implications of storage. There is also no credible evidence that driveway parking is more safe for the owner - that is just a perception.
No, we had a neighbour who had a oversize commercial van that sometime he used to park on the street or the driveway. It obstruct the view and make it really unsafe to get in and out of the driveway. Also when kids play on the driveways these extra large RV's obstruct the view and make it very unsafe for the kids.
No, we have enough cars on the roads and kids we don't need more things around. The yard and roads start to look junkie and dangerous.
No, we have so many RV's parked on our street it is a huge safety concern. People cannot see while backing out of their driveways. People are parking their trailers longer than 36 hours. My main concern is children walking/crossing streets. You cannot see them until they are past the RV and it is very dangerous. If you own an RV, as I do, you do not need more than 36 hours to clean up or pack up and return to your RV parking space.
No, what and whom than defines unsightly, poor condition. Owners also may begin to leave the rv parked for a longer period of time if not yearly
No, while a length of time longer than 36 hours on property or longer on the street would be great, I can't support seasonal storage in residential areas.
No, will be abused and not followed
No, with the large trees, and a curve in the road, a travel trailer parked on a neighbours driveway creates a sight restriction to turn onto the street. Additionally, how do you define "unsightly"? Your definition and mine might be significantly different. Six months a year not being able to see approaching traffic would be very frustrating.
No, worried some will cover sidewalks, some trailers are not taken care of and do not look nice, leak fluid on driveways of older motorhome, may cover driveways, blocking views, some people may live in the rv. if your a trailer owner you should be able to afford rv lot parking.
No, would result in increased parking on the street as driveway would be used for the trailer and that side of the garage could not be used. Would force a max of 2 additional vehicles on the street.
No, you should have a place to store your RV that is not on your front driveway.
No, Absolutely Not. Because of obstructed Sight lines, Safety concerns, and Esthetics. There is already too many cars parked on the street which is a safety concern for young children. It would also make Calgary look like a hick town.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No. Encourages thefts and prowler. Unsightly. Reduces visibility for pedestrians and cars
No. I support increasing to 48 hours but not over.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. If you have an rv, you should have it stored at a facility. Who is going to determine whats unsightly, what about streets that are crescents and all those have a trailers. It will be crowded and unsafe. What about trailers that hang out over the property onto the street. Or a 40 foot. toy hauler parked in empty areas on the street or front of your house Allow one you will be allowing all. They will push the limits and it will be a huge problem.
No. It looks terrible and is unsafe from a visibility to kids etc.
No. Our neighborhood already has not enough parking available as some homes own multiple vehicles. We already struggle with belligerent neighbours who park in front of our home.
No. Security. Obstructing the view
No. They're an eyesore. Will potentially block sightlines and impact safety. Also would make it far more likely to have people living in them - another community safety issue.
NO. We have had an RV for the past 30 years and have yearly paid for a site to store it when not in use. It takes very little time to pack or unpack and clean an RV and get it into a storage. We usually have it back in storage within 24 hours.
no. esthetics. intrusion onto the sidewalk with hitches (which we see with the temporary but at least you know it is not permanent)
No. "Unsightly" is subjective and in no way enforceable. As an RV owner, I am well aware and have to respect that offsite storage is just part of ownership.
No. #1 - eyesore. #2 - trailer will displace vehicles that are parked on driveways onto already congested streets for parking.
No. 24 hours is long enough. People are always fighting for parking in front of our homes and having RVs parked for a long time would make it more difficult.
No. Already cluttered enough with regular vehicle parking. Unsightly and dangerous.
No. Although many RV owners would keep their unit and area tidy many won't care and Bylaw officers are not going to be patrolling areas regularly. Drive ways are already being used to park cars rather than using garages thus there would be more car parking on the roads. We already have issues with multiple cars being parked around our home as it is on a corner that are not ours thus we have trouble finding a spot when we need it.
No. And please start ticketing for the bylaws that already exist. Our neighborhood is already full of people who won't follow the rules unless you fine them.
NO. ANY REQUIRE LOADING, CLEANING, MAINTENCE CAN BE PREFORMED WITHIN THE EXISTING TIME FRAME - OTHERWISE THE VEHICLE BECOMES A MAGNET FOR BOOZING/GAMBLING/SEX TRADE
No. Backyards only as otherwise front streets and yards seem to busy and congested, owner then parks car on street further contesting the street
No. Blocks sight lines for other vehicles backing up. Blocks sidewalks with hitches hanging over the sidewalk. Looks crappy as junk trailers sit on a driveway for months.
No. Blocks sight lines. Many are too big and block sidewalk. Many streets don't have driveways creating a have/ have not situation. 36 hours is more yhsn enough.
No. Campers and trailers (including work trailers) end up making the neighborhood looking like an industrial park.
36 hours is more than reasonable - it should actually be reduced to 24.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Community has limited parking already. An RV in the driveway essentially blocks two spaces (the garage and drive).
Additionally RV's in the driveway is not a great look for a community. RV storage is a cost of ownership.
No. Currently neighbor across the street rents out Rv for year round occupancy
No. Detrimental appearance.
No. Don't like the esthetics ramifications. Could impact ability to sell/show homes. RV's in front driveways are exposed and more prone to crime than those in back yards, so may attract a criminal element that may pursue other things while they are there.
No. Esthetics and obstruction sightlines.
No. Eththetics. Even for a season it would make the neighborhood look like a ghetto. Invite break-ins.
No. Extend the timeline to allow for visiting guest from 36 hours to one week. However long term parking will change the aesthetics of the neighborhood and potentially attract more crime.
No. Eye sore, safety issue, liability concerns, congestion as driveway can't be used for vehicles and street gets clogged with more vehicles. 36 hours is very reasonable.
No. Eyesore, blocks sunlight, May protrude on sidewalk.
NO. For the reasons sited above (SAFETY) as well as it provides NO security in this day and age with so many thefts and break ins and catalytic converter thefts.
No. For the reasons this is currently not allowed; mostly sight lines and esthetics
No. I believe the impacts the city has noted (obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics) are very valid and important. The current 36 hour timeframe is sufficient and should not be extended. There are many storage lots in and near the city that work perfectly well for RV storage.
No. I currently have a neighbour who pushes the limit of the existing rule and I have safety concerns. I have 2 young kids who play and ride bikes with neighbour friends, their safety is always my biggest concern when their are trailers parked on driveways. When you look down the street their is substantial visibility reduction. Drivers on the roadway have limited sight lines not to mention houses within a couple of lots backing out of driveways or see who's coming or pedestrians on the walk
No. I feel as 48 hours should be sufficient
No. I find most trailers sit on front driveways are either dilapidated or overhang on to the side walk. Even the trailers parked in back yards are unsightly. Also a habitat for skunks,mice
No. I think it forces people to therefore park on the street which becomes very crowded. I think 48 to 72 hrs would be more than sufficient for loading/unloading your RV.
no. I think the benefits for allowing this serve the owners only - while the impacts are about the general public. The cost to me of storing my boat should not impede the safety of my neighbours.
No. I think the current bylaws give ample time for loading / unloading. Having trailers parked on driveways will restrict visibility. It also is not attractive and could impact resale value of surrounding homes.
No. I would be afraid RV's would be used as accommodation rentals or larger families would use them to house additional family members. Just one aspect of this would be that street parking would be further restricted as the parking spot used to park the trailer will push a vehicle to the street. As well if the trailer is rented there would likely be an additional vehicle to park on the street.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. If you can afford an RV / Trailer, then you can afford storage for it. I would support an expansion of the 36 hours to 72 hours for additional flexibility.
No. If you were directly across or beside a driveway with a very large RV it could be annoying and detrimental to your property value if it is sitting there for months.
No. Impacts sightlines for entering and leaving driveways. This would also result in more vehicles parked on the street as they could not access a garage or driveway.
No. In our case when the neighbours have parked their RV on their front driveway it has blocked our view to pull off our driveway safely. It does not help that much of the traffic on our street is speeding. Many are in excess of the 50 Kmph posted limit. Plus an increase in volume with traffic short-cutting through our neighbourhood.
No. It blocks the view of pedestrians or other vehicles in driveways. It is unsightly to have trailers parked across the properties. People will try to make their units fit making it dangerous to walk along sidewalks.
No. It clutters the neighbourhood, makes it feel closed in, doesn't let as much sunlight in, provided places for people to hide when breaking into cars (which is prevalent in our community). I would feel less safe.
No. It detracts from look and feel of neighbourhood, decrease line of sight and feeling of openness and space. Residential areas are already tight with vehicles and congested so RVs on peoples driveways will only add to issue.
No. It is unsightly and blocks the view of the sidewalk making it hard to see. Pedestrians are having to walk around hitches as many think their RV fits, but it doesn't. Makes the space of our neighborhood look like a storage lot.
No. It makes communities look cluttered; very unesthetic. Also, this would encourage people to live in the RV while it is parked there; even though this is not legal, people will do it.
No. It takes away valuable parking spaces, eye sores to look at all season long, hazardous for blocking views of people crossing the street
No. It won't be "seasonal", it'll turn into year round.
No. It's a safety hazard that while kids play in the area and people are now using it to live in.
No. It's very unsightly.
No. Managing complaints would be complicated and awkward. Esthetics is a concern. There are many RV storage lots that are convenient and affordable. The impacts to those facilities may be dramatic.
No. Many homeowners would take advantage of this opportunity to rent out their driveway to non-residents, or to rent out their own RV as a nightly or short term rental. This could result in extra traffic, party noise, possible crime and some owners could possibly dump sewage into storm drains.
No. Most of the trailers and rvs that are owned in my neighborhood are longer than the driveway pad. Thus parking there would be impossible without blocking sidewalks. Sight lines would also be impacted making backing out dangerous. Third, neighbors don't have driveways. This becomes discriminatory based on your house. Will also impact the already very limited street parking.
No. Obstructed sight lines, likelihood that they will be used as accommodation on site. Increased appearance of clutter and crowding.
No. Once allowed people will stretch out or refuse to move their trailer/Motorhome etc. vision obstruction, especially with corner properties is also an issue
No. Parking an RV on a front driveway will create issues with street parking. An RV parked on the front driveway will limit the owners ability to park their vehicles in their garage and on



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

their driveway. This will result in more vehicles being parked on the public streets. Public streets are for everyone's use. I can only see this increasing the frequency of disagreements between neighbours. If people want to park an RV on their property, they should have purchased a property with alley/side yard access.
No. Parking is difficult already.
No. Parking on street is scarce. If approved, this will making parking worse and cause conflict with neighbors for these spots. Also, permanently parked RVs in front of homes tarnish the look of the neighborhood.
No. People will misuse this privilege
No. Please stick with the current regulations. Sightlines are extremely important when it comes to safety.
No. Pretty much any driveway parking will provide obstructed sightlines for a neighbor. Also causes a safety problem trying to see around them when walking down a sidewalk and someone could be backing out of the driveway. Looks like a low cost trailer park when looking down the block when this is allowed.
No. RV being unsightly or in poor condition is subjective and not realistically enforceable. The summer season is a time for homeowners to enjoy their neighborhoods to the fullest, not to be obstructed by large vehicles blocking the view and the sun. If an RV owner purchases a property without legal parking for his RV, he should not be allowed to infringe on his neighbors right to an uncluttered unobstructed neighborhood.
No. Rv parking should not be permitted on a driveway in front of a house at it obstructs view, increases on street parking congestion, and is visually unpleasant.
No. RV's and boats would clog driveways, present safety concerns and impact aesthetics especially in neighbourhoods that only have front driveways.
No. RV's are very hard to see around when driving. However, 36 hours is much too short of a time period. Perhaps 5-7 days would be more appropriate, at least 2 weeks apart, but not any longer.
No. Sight lines and therefore safety will be an issue. I would however, support extending the allowable time to 48 hours.
No. Some driveways would impair sight lines. Some rvs are unsightly
No. Street parking is already at a premium. Especially around multi family dwellings
No. That time length is too long. I feel like allowing it would be detrimental to the area.
No. The cost of storage is quite reasonable compared to the cost of owning an RV. Impact to the neighbours and community is significant for aesthetics, sight lines, and potentially shadows from larger units. RV ownership should not impose disadvantages to neighbours.
No. The current bylaw is clear and allows ample time for loading and cleaning. There is no room for interpretation. I am a long time RV owner and have never had issues with the current rules.
No. The impact on safety is an issue including sightlines, protruding trailer tongues into sidewalk areas (it already happens), as well as the negative impacts on esthetics all lead me to not support the initiative. I purchased my various vehicles knowing this, and have arranged for parking outside of our neighborhood. It was not a problem, and is just part of being a responsible owner.
No. The neighbourhood becomes cluttered and unsightly for convenience sake.
No. The owners have offsite options or should not own an RV.



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. The sight lines on corner lots and between houses affects secuity and safety. Hanging into sidewalk. Creates other parking space issues in front of neighbors and in cul de sacs
no. there are already people in this community that park their RVs on their driveways, and it's a problem. My neighbour has his parked on his driveway, and in the last wind storm a large plastic cover blew off his RV into my vehicle, causing scratches.
No. There is enough problem with people parking on the streets and owning more vehicles than they have parking for. Taking up a space on their driveway with a trailer just means more vehicles on the streets. If I wanted to see trailers I would live in a trailer park. Stop making communities with lots so small people are not able to get and park their vehicle in the back of their house.
I own a trailer, It does not take more than 36 hours to load a trailer and head out for a vacation.
No. There is not enough room on streets to begin with, as people don't seem to ever use their garages to park cars but rather use them for storage. Allowing yet another vehicle on the streets would take away even more parking from residents. Additionally, new neighborhoods tend to be higher density, and the streets are much narrower than previous. Many homes also don't have driveways anymore.
No. There is such a lack of parking in the suburbs already. many spots on the street are full plus driveways. Having RV's would clutter up things so much more, blocking line of sights - lots of kids in the suburbs to watch for too!
No. There is very little reason why anyone should need to leave their rv or in their driveways or on the street for more time than is already allowed. We have had a number of neighbors who have left their trailers on the street not attached to a vehicle for days on end and ultimately have to call them in as they are left with their sliders open and makes it almost impossible to pass safely. They also have been left in front of some elderly neighbor's homes and their relatives have no parking.
No. There isnt room and block sight lines in iur small streets.
No. These people will then use more road space to park. Decreasing availability as size of city increases.
No. They are a hazard on the residential streets for sight and you never can tell when someone is going to walk around one. And they restrict being able to use a full side of a residential street.
No. They are an eyesore and block sight lines and create a safety hazard. Kill the vegetation and trees on peoples property so they can park the old land yacht on the front lawn. All of a sudden everyone will have an RV parking pad on the front lawn and street parking will be difficult without blocking the RV in.
No. They often hang over the sidewalk, more congestion on the road parking, parking diaganoly and on grass to get the units to fit. When i visit cochran in summer and they are parked everywhere it looks horrible.
No. This will cause obstructed views of pedestrians and bikes. It's already hard enough to see around large trucks and vans. Plus if parked in front of a house on the Main Street it would take up parking spaces for residential vehicles. Residents should continue to park at designated RV parking facilities.
No. This will just push more cars to park on the road as the driveway will be taken up with the RV. Additionally, people will attempt to park larger RVs that will be on their front lawn, over

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the sidewalk, etc, and the city currently doesn't do a sufficient job dealing with these types of bylaw infractions.
No. Too long. I would support up to a duration of 14 or 21 days for convenience and maintenance / repair / renovation.
No. Under the current rules, people know it's not OK. If the rules change, nobody will know what's allowed or not - there's a house on my street, on the corner of a busy intersection, and he often parks his work utility trailer too close to the corner. It blocks the view of traffic, causing a major hazard. In a casual conversation, he claims to be within the law.
no. Unsightly. Could become housing.
No. Vehicle parking is already limited enough in the neighborhood. My neighbors already need to park in front of mine or neighbor's houses as it is even before losing their driveways to their RVs parked for a full season.
NO. We currently have people in the neighbourhood who dwell in their driveway rv's all season. One neighbour purchases older model rv's to store his junk in and constantly parks and reparks all over our street throughout the year. An eyesore no matter how legal. I do not store my own rv on my property even though I have the proper rv pad to do so at the rear/alley.
No. We've owned a trailer for years so I understand the inconvenience of storing it away from my home. However, I also respect my neighbours and do not believe they should have to see my trailer all spring, summer and fall having it blocking their views, making it more dangerous for to back out of driveways, blocking pedestrian views and impacting safety too. There are others on my street who have large units and I do not want their units impeding my views and safety either. Leave it as is.
No. Will increase parking issues with large toys in people's driveways. Events like stampede you will have people living in RV on driveways. Will be a mess.
No.  I did not buy in my community to have it look like a campground. My neighbourhood takes pride in their yards. Those that have RVs store them at storage lots or on their properties in the back yards. This is acceptable and appreciated by all who do not have RVs.
No. Parking trailers in residential areas results in increased parking on streets of vehicles displaced from the driveway. Sight lines when driving past the houses become blocked making it more difficult to see children if they are playing in front yards of homes. The children are placed at risk of being struck by a passing motorist. Streets becomes more congested and the likelihood of accidents increases.
No. 36 hours max. I have small children who ride bikes and regularly go between our house and friends on our street. It is dangerous since people wouldn't see them past the trailer or boat and potentially drive or back over them.
No. 36hr is good.
No. A slight increase in the length of time, say up to 48 hours may be acceptable, however, we would definitely not support any type of long term or seasonal parking. There are many negative impacts of any type of residential parking with the safety and obstructed sight lines leading the way. All RV's are unsightly when it comes to have them cluttering up residential areas. We have no problem cleaning and maintaining our RV at home, if needed, under the current time restriction.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Additional RVs would attract thieves and increase crime. So many people have them that parking would be plugged up on many streets, views on corners obstructed and additional risk of kids getting hit by cars as they play along residential streets. It would also impede bicycle travel as they stick out more on streets making driving more difficult. Derelict unit would be stored on streets to save money and people selling unit would have them scattered throughout the neighborhood.
No. Aesthetics, view and safety
No. Affects my property value negatively. I pay to store my rv.
No. Although I own a 5th wheel trailer, parking it for any period longer than 36 hours is not required and should not generally be allowed on a front driveway.
No. Any big vehicles that obstruct the view of the house should not be parked on driveways. It's not only aesthetically pleasing g but a safety hazard for first responders and your neighbors.
No. Any driveway with a slope it is very dangerous as they are not safely secured.
No. As an owner of these types of recreational vehicles, I find they impede the safety of pedestrians as well as seeing clearly to make safe turns.
No. As it is, many residential streets are tight with large vehicles parked on both sides and some are impossible to navigate. Adding an additional pressure of RV's taking additional space would be extremely troublesome in my opinion.
No. Asthetically displeasing in summer to have to look around a large vehicle. Pay for proper storage. It encourage thieves who have more cover to sneak, cuts sight lines for home security systems and lighting, increases fire and chemical hazards. Promites people living in them if allowed on property for longer. Not enough resources to enforce as it us. Sloped front drives can prove fatal if large vehicle breaks free.
No. At present, the bylaws ensure that recreational vehicles do not negatively impact community parking and prevent people from being impacted negatively from the consequences of parked recreational vehicles (unsightly, sunlight impeding, encroachment, visibility for child safety, etc.).
No. Because everyone owns a trailer in our area. The parking would be a mess.
No. Because people may then start renting out the trailer in front of their house for the summer. The current 36 hour limit prevents that.
No. Because people park their RVs on the street or in front of neighbors when they have vehicles in their driveway.
no. Blocked views and poor streetscape.
No. Blocks views. Recreational vehicles should have a 90 minute limit to load or unload.
No. But the current 36 hour limit needs to be changed to at the very least 72 hours. If you need to do repairs to your RV, it may take longer than 36 hours and the RV storage lots do not allow you to do these in their lot. When I needed to re-seal the roof of my trailer, I needed 3 days to prep and do 2 coats. Where was I supposed to do this? I could not do it in my storage yard and I could not do it on my driveway. I think that a 7 day limit is reasonable, so that you could get your RV ready for summer and pack up. OR, go camping one weekend, keep your camper at your house for the week, and then go camping the next weekend - rather than having to back and forth to your storage yard.
No. City doesn't look good
No. Could extend it though to 48 hours for all. Too many rigs are too huge to be allowed for months on end. They throw enough shade to kill vegetation.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Who determines "poor condition"?
Already no room for vehicles in our neighbourhood.
No. Creates a visually unpleasant streetscape.
No. Creates sight line issues for neighbors, drivers and pedestrians. Ok to be on driveways for a few days to pack/unpack or do maintenance. Long term storage should be in a storage lot, backyard or side yard.
No. Currently this bylaw, as is, is not enforced even when it should be. This leaves it in a constant nuisance state for many communities. Keep in mind people currently are hosting families in these units on their driveway... Don't make the problem worse. Citizens need to pay to store their property in a proper lot to prevent abuses. 36 hours is very reasonable.
No. Doesn't look good. People's driveways aren't long enough and their RV protrudes onto the sidewalk.
No. Don't like the way it looks. Limits vision from the street and sidewalk. Not everyone has a front driveway so they will have to pay for storage anyway. Rules should be same for all
No. Driveways are not big enough
No. Due to the large size of RVs (both in length and height), the visual impact will be a negative one. From an aesthetic point of view, houses in Calgary are built close together with no fencing etc between houses. It will be unsightly and not neighbourly. More importantly, from a safety point of view, an RV parked on a front driveway impedes the field of view, increasing the risk of hitting a walking pedestrian, car or most importantly, children at play.
No. Esthetics & safety.
No. Eye sore. Safety issue. Home depreciation for unsightly neighborhood
No. First off the aesthetic is not appealing. We also already have issues with street parking on our crowded streets so adding rvs or additional car because the rv is taking up the driveway will make finding a spot difficult. With car prowling an issue in our neighbourhood I think this will increase prowling and affect safety. And finally there is the issue of visibility around some of these units can make seeing around them a safety issue for driving and backing in and out of driveways.
No. For aesthetic reasons. I don't want to see my area filled with these vehicles. If people buy them, storing them is part of the purchase they need to consider.
Areas like Langdon, etc allow this and it looks horrible!!!!
No. For all of the reasons listed above
No. For the reasons listed above.
No. Have front drive garages and any vehicle much less an RV obstructed visibility when entering or exiting your garage, not only for yourself but for your neighbors .
No. Having lived in municipalities that allow parking of recreational vehicles on driveways, I've seen too many over sized, too many...40 ft motor home, power boat and tent trailer that spilled over the side walk and their 4 cars now parked in front of other peoples home. I know in my area, half the house would have their driveways unusable for private cars, plus makes a neighborhood look tacky.
No. I agree with current by law. If RV in driveway then adds vehicles that have to park on the road (a driveway partially not available). This increases risk to kids in neighborhoods as decreases sight lines for traffic.
Also unsightly.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I believe everyone knows the bylaws when they purchase their RV and should abide by the law. Most people have RV storage other than their driveway or street and that is where it should be kept. Our neighbours had an RV that they parked on the street to get ready before they used it and we had a very difficult time backing out. If it is parked on the driveway beside me I have no line of sight down the street to see pedestrians or cars approaching. It would be like living in an RV park.
No. I believe if its on the property it should be allowed no matter what. No time limit
No. I believe there is a safety issue with visibility and that there can end up being multiple vehicles on multiple driveways that would impact the appeal and look of the community.
No. I believe they can be dangerous in communities with children. They won't check past an rv and will dart out. Also in new areas there such limited parking already I don't want more gone to RVs and I own one!
No. I believe this is a safety concern for pedestrians and other drivers. I think the allowed time limit accommodates cleaning and packing. Off site storage should be factored into your RV costs.
No. I believe this will increase crime rates as it becomes obvious when people are home/away. It is unsightly. It is too hard to control and a silly use of resources to try to control it. It blocks sightlines.
No. I didn't buy a home to live in a trailer park.
No. I do not support extending the time for RV parking in front driveways. Primary concern is safety. They obstruct visibility to oncoming traffic, children playing, pedestrians, etc. It would potentially attract theft and vandalism, which is already an issue in many residential areas. And esthetically, it looks ugly to have big clunky units parked in driveways all over the place. There are countless facilities throughout Calgary area available for RV owners to store their units.
no. I don't think it's safe. more vehicles parked on the road way.
No. I don't think this should change. I feel people will just start camping in front of their homes on a more permanent bases.
No. I have a hard time walking on the sidewalk as it is
No. I invested a considerable amount of time and money to build a parking pad in my back yard that does not tarnish the look of my street. I believe allowing people to leave RV's in the front would be inviting abuse and neglect of the time and effort certain folks put in to make their street look nice.
No. I live in a neighbourhood where many residents have RV's, etc. If all those residents took advantage of seasonal extended RV parking, it would look like an RV dealership. It would encourage people to "live"/stay in the RV's with power cords hooked up to residences. This already happens occasionally & and we don't report it Lifting the parking restrictions would make it a camping free for all. Just ask Vancouver. They've finally started towing campers that people have been living in on city streets, in parking lots etc. Many people choose to live here because it is not crowded & dense like Vanc./Toronto. Expanded RV parking, like suites in single fam homes, will erase YYC S appeal.
No. I lived in fort McMurray and my neighbour parked her RV in the driveway. It was a front drive and I couldn't see to back out, I heard the generator regularly and it was an eyesore.
No. I own a 24 foot trailer and pay to store it. If everyone parked there rvs on the front driveway, the neighbourhood would be cluttered. I do support parking for up to 72 hours I order to load, make repairs And the like.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I own one and they are an eyesore. They take up driveway space, block garage access, and often require people to then park in the street which is already a problem. I park at a private storage lot and only bring out trailer in to load and unload it.
No. I see where this is going...I do not want people renting out trailers in their driveways or "driveway accommodation" with more cars and crap lining neighbourhood streets my kids walk down.
No. I should be able to park anything on my own driveway.
No. I think 36 hours is plenty of time to pack/unpack for a trip. Streets are already congested enough with parked vehicles and many drives are large enough for both an RV and personal vehicles therefore the latter will be parked on the road. We live in a Culdesac with no extra room for parking
No. I think it takes away from the aesthetic of the community. RV owners should factor storage into the cost.
No. I think parking on the streets is hard enough without a giant obstructing blocking views.
No. I think people would take advantage and park on the street as well in areas without front driveways. It would look awful.
No. I think RV parking in front of homes ruins the esthetics of a neighborhood. It also blocks the view of traffic at times. People already push the limits of the bylaw and will continue to do so if it is changed (e.g. inability to transport RV to storage if it snows early in the season). I would agree with extending the 36 hour limit to 48 or even 72 hours if that was an option.
No. I think that it is unsightly and impacts the amount of parking in the area because garages and driveways are no accessible.
No. I think that there are plenty of reasonably priced storage lots within short drives of the city. Store the vehicles in an RV lot or the back yard.
No. I think the bylaw is fine as it is.
No. I think the seasonal front parking would detract from the sightlines down the street and discourage a sense of community with this restricted sightlines.
No. I think the way things are now is working fine.
No. I think they are unsightly and do not give a sense of security as prowlers can see when a trailer is gone, therefore a house is potentially empty. This brings prowlers to my community and can put my property at risk. This allows for potential People living in RVs as well. Rent cost is ridiculous in this city.
No. I think they are unsightly and obstruct sight lines and they should not be stored out front for any longer than is currently allowed. But, I would be open to people being allowed to park it for up to 4 days max.
No. I will no longer be able to see what is happening on my street. Who decides what the definition is of unsightly RV's? This will lead to more cars parked on the street, which also makes street cluttered and blocks views for safety purposes and community.
No. I would be open to increase the time limit. But with the large number of RV's owned by city residents, it would clog up residential parking for everyone.
No. I would not support this. There is plenty of parking available for owners of RV's and it is a part of choosing to own an RV to park it accordingly to the bylaws. I do not support going to a seasonal parking allowance. If the city wants to make it easier for RV owners, I would support changing the 36hr bylaw to 7 days. Front drive ways should not be used for RV storage for months at a time.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I would support lengthening the 36 hours by a reasonable amount (up to a week) but I would not support seasonal driveway parking for the reasons outlined by your own research. It is unsightly and can have an impact on safety in our neighbourhoods.
No. If allowed every residential street will esthetically be not be pleasing. Safety is also a key thought here. Kids riding on sidewalks etc.
No. If an RV is parked on a garage pad, people will live in it. They already do this without extending the length of time they can park. If an RV is parked on the garage pad, it will take away a parking spot on the garage pad as well as in the garage. This means there will be more street parking which will make it more difficult for those of us cannot fit an RV on the garage pad and have to park in the street. What will happen to storage facilities if people do not use their services over the summer? Will they lose revenue? Will bylaw officers do a driveway check in the fall to make sure people have moved their RVs? If they can park their units all summer, then why move them at all.
No. If recreational vehicle in driveway then resident takes up street parking spaces for their regular vehicles. Street parking already scarce. Potential for people to rent as sleeping place etc. More vandalism and theft.
No. If the concern is visibility/safety then allowing them to park longer during warmer seasons when more people will be outside is counter-intuitive. Let them park during the winter or keep it at 36 hours for loading.
No. In many areas ALL houses have driveways and the proliferation of RVs will be unsightly. I also don't understand the "sense of security" benefits of allowing RVs to remain parked all summer. Finally, there is no particular shortage of commercial RV parking spaces conveniently located around the city.
No. It blocks your sight lines for on coming vehicles
No. It can be an eyesore and it impacts visibility and security and tidiness of the neighborhood.
No. It causes issues for accessing front doors of houses and is unsightly
No. It create an unsafe situation for children.
No. It creates safety risk from reduced visibility, most rvs are longer than the driveway and hang onto sidewalks, forces more cars to park on the streets, and it looks terrible.
No. It does not look nice and many times the Hitch is already on the sidewalk. There's a reason for storages. If people can park their RVs there in winter, they can do it in summer too. I have many neighbours that do leave their RVs on their driveway already from May till September. I have never seen bylaw in out community to enforcing 36 hours rule
No. It doesn't really solve any problems as the RV still has to be stored somewhere during the warmer months when not in use. I believe an RV should be stored in a backyard, out of site of the front of homes, year round OR stored at a paid RV storage lot. Extending the current 36 hour rule to 72 would be welcomed, as would an allowance to park an RV, unconnected to the tow vehicle, on the street in front of one's home for an equal amount of time.
No. It impacts available street parking when a driveway is occupied
No. It is a huge safety issue as the block site lines to road access.
NO. It is an eye sore and people park oversized, very old, recreational vehicles on their driveway that do not fit their driveway and block neighbour's lines of site and reduce neighbourhood aesthetics.
No. It is an eyesore and limits parking for others if large RV is on the street.
Back or side yard is fine.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It is not necessary for recreational use and causes issues for sightlines while driving in the neighborhood and as always people will push the limits. If they are currently allowed 36 hours they are pushing it longer, give people 72 and we will likely have people living in these RV's parked on the road full time
No. It is unsafe for pedestrians. Someone's life is more important than a trailer being parked on a driveway. It's hard for drivers to see past rvs parked on someone's property. I have been almost hit 4 times.
No. It is unsightly and does nothing for property values. If you can afford a RV you should be able to pay for proper parking.
No. It is very dangerous to obstruct traffic with giant RVs. Parking a giant RV in front of your house is an eyesore.
No. It looks like garbage. We are already crammed into new communities, with multi-family dwellings/complexes everywhere and parking issues. The last thing we need is everyone's RVs parked all summer. We also already have massive issues with people parking in cross walks or too close to intersections. We don't need to make visibility worse with a monstrosity of an RV. An RV is a pro ledge, not a right, and it's also a choice. You just accept the rules that go along with owning an RV.
No. It makes driving harder as it reduces visibility. It makes it harder for pedestrians walking as these trailers usually stick out farther then they should and honestly it looks terrible. If you have a trailer and don't have them means to store it then don't have one.
No. It makes it difficult for neighbours to see when backing out of their driveways. It should be allowed for more than just 36 hours however.
No. It makes the neighborhood look trashy and it limits the sightlines for pedestrians. Many people have trailers longer than their driveway that encroaches on the sidewalk and sometimes even the road. This is currently against bylaw and people do it anyways. Lifting the time limit bylaw will only make this matter worse. Walking animals and navigating strollers / wandering toddlers past RVs with no sightlines is dangerous and should be limited to the 36 hours.
No. It means then that a vehicle that may normally be parked in someone's driveway will now be parked on the street. As is our streets have cars parked on them all the time which obstructs views and make it harder to drive down the roads.
No. It obstructs sightlines, blocks sidewalk access and looks trashy. There are places people should be storing their trailers. There's no reason any trailer needs to be on driveways for more than 24 hours. I already have too many neighbours who abuse the 24 hour rule so extending it would be an eyesore and detrimental to property values.
No. It obstructs views & impacts safety. Who would judge if it's in "poor condition" or not, that's pretty subjective. If someone can afford an rv they can also afford storage
No. It should be banned completely.
No. It will be abused and impossible to police, enforce and not a priority. I agree with all the impacts stated, obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics, and people will be living / staying in the. I do not agree with any of the benefits. They be beneficial to the owner of the RV but not to neighbors or the community.
No. It will be unsightly. Blocking sight lines, trailers not hooked up to a vehicle can be unstable and not safe.
No. It will cause safety issues in some communities where driveways are steep. Also, having too many RVs in a community will lead to a more cluttered look in community streets. Some people don't take good care of their RVs and having these junkers parked on driveways will

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

lead to unsightly community esthetics. RVs on driveways will also lead to more opportunistic crime like theft and vandalism of property.
No. It will obstruct vision and create the potential for crime in neighbourhoods
No. It will turn neighbourhoods into very congested trailer storage yards and will look terrible.
No. It would change the visual landscape of your block and lessen the value and enjoyment of your neighbourhood.
No. It would look unsightly. How will one define decrepit? My boat has a winter wrap. I wouldn't expose my neighbours to that.
No. It wouldn't be bad if 1/10 houses had a trailer. But so many people in Calgary own trailers that this place would be overrun. Calgarians earn more money (on average) than the other cities mentioned in this questionnaire. Therefore, more people (on average) would own trailers. Also, what's to stop people from camping in their front yards... There are always the few that wreck it for the majority.
No. It's an eye sore. Many owners have units longer than their driveways and the units extend into the roadway. Owner park their vehicles in such a way to block the driveway extension.
No. It's an eyesore
No. It's an eyesore and safety hazard
No. It's extremely hard to see past when backing out of a driveway. Most do not fit on driveways and the hitch is over the sidewalk. Also liability reasons if the trailer rolled forward and struck a vehicle, house or person. I've seen it happen during a thunderstorm in the city before.
No. It's impossible to see children or other pedestrians walking on the sidewalks or pulling out of driveways with RVs. RVs takes up space for street parking. The size of trailers (ours 40 ft long) is long for driveway and covers sidewalk, or parked along your house with the truck. There is limited parking in housing areas. Or sidewalk on only one side of street. There is less visibility for children walking for safety with predators/ dogs. We visually can't see them for 40 feet plus a truck length if they are walking away from the house. We are trying to keep our housing areas safer. You just moved the speed limit to 40km. This seems as though we are taking a backwards leap from safety.
No. It's ugly and causes less sight lines when backing out of driveways.
No. It's an eyesore and brings down property values, people use them as augmented space, home owners will have visitors using the space and very often they hang over the sidewalk or obstruct paths. Terrible idea to extend this.
No. It's dumb and looks bad and is a hazard.
No. It's too long and there's not much street parking in our neighbourhood. I would support an extension maybe up to a week (two weekends in a row with home in between would be reasonable)
No. Its unsightly amd there is storage for rvs etc available all over the city.
No. It's unsightly and by allowing RV's to park in driveways, where do you think the vehicle's will park? There is far too little street parking in our ward to begin with.
No. It's unsightly, unsafe and potentially risk for higher crime
No. Keep them off the driveway. It makes neighborhoods look like crap.
No. Kids are always outside and it's very hard to see them running in front or behind the trailer. I never want a trailer to be an issue for something getting hurt. Makes the community looks trash as well. Houses are already on zero lot lines and this does not help.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Large RV or boat parking in the front of a home is unsightly and disturb the sight lines on residential streets. Also, there is the chance that additional people will reside in The RV or boat.
No. Leaves it wide open to deliberate misinterpretation and misunderstanding. If the rule is changed they will find ways to abuse it
No. Line of sight, safety & esthetics are huge concerns
No. Looks awful, would create more parking concerns on the street
No. Looks terrible, blocks sidewalks, takes away limited street parking.
No. Looks terrible. I am ok with 36 hrs but not seasonal.
No. Looks ugly and people take advantage of it. If you have the money to own these you can pay to store.
No. Makes the neighborhood look cluttered and cheap.
No. Many people do not keep their property in good condition, its all about one's perception and it simply causes too many problems and it takes too much time, engagement and effort when there is a problem. It takes too long to get rid of unsightly or problematic issues even with Bylaw involved.
This is not a good idea, council is inviting trouble in allowing such a thing.
No. More than 36 hours to prep to go camping isn't needed.
Guests may use the camper as a base.
I agree it's a safety concern with visibility on the street. Some RV's are incredibly large. Campers make it dangerous.
We have limited parking on our street due to proximity to a hospital, elementary and high school. With Rex vehicles it will be made much worse.
It's an eyesore.
If you can afford a camper, you can afford to store it. We don't leave our camper out at any time.
No. Most newer (
No. Most rv's are longer the most driveways. Safety reasons for people using sidewalks. People backing out of driveways with a vehicle cannot see people with rv's blocking line of site. Kids on bikes another good example. People who purchase rv's who do not have rear or side parking need to consider the extra cost of storage before purchasing. Maybe they are better off renting.
No. Most rvs etc are too long and impede backing out of ones driveway.
No. My concerns are anethetics and obstructing views.
No. My concerns are mostly safety in nature. Obstructed sight lines are my biggest concern.
No. My experience is people move to a neighborhood because of the house and street appeal. Then they start parking all there units all over the place reducing property values. If you buy a rv you should be able to pay for storage. Who wants a view of someone's 20 year old rv for 6 months a year.
No. My kids walk to school, ride bikes and scooters around our neighborhood. Our side of the street has no sidewalk. Large objects parked on the street block visibility and puts my kids at risk if drivers on the road can't see them leaving our house or playing in the neighborhood.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. My street does not have front driveways. There's no space for trailers to start blocking off our street parking.
No. Neighborhoods will become trailer parks. However 36 hours could be extended to 60 hours.
No. Neighbors parking in front of driveways that are not their own and saying they will only be there for a few minutes and ends up being weeks because by law cannot do anything when they say they will move but do not.
No. Neighbourhoods are already cluttered with vehicles. Many RVs are very large and block views, as well as site lines for kids. Also causes more vehicles parking on already cluttered streets.
No. Neighbourhoods should not become RV parking lots. The current bylaw is sufficient to allow the preparation packing and mobilization of an RV. If you can afford an RV than you should afford offsite storage. Not parking it in the neighbourhood at the expense of your neighbours.
No. No need to store an RV vehicle on a driveway for long periods of time. There are storage companies that allow for that.
No. No one will follow the rules and will end up parking on the street. Then it would take forever for a complaint to be investigated. Once you give them an inch they will take 300 miles. Don't open this barrel of monkeys.
No. Not all RV's/Trailers/Campers are in the best of shape. Our neighbour parks his trailers on his driveway as storage all summer, plus an old truck and camper on the street all summer and only takes it out twice a year. He's not the only one. Take a drive and see what these trashy units look like. Plus in a culdusac you destroy all nightlines. I pay for storage so my neighbourhood looks nice. Not sure why you are even asking for opinions as this will go through because Calgary are followers not leaders. Just because other communities do it, doesn't mean we have to. This new bylaw you will put through will make neighbourhoods a total disaster. People already park on their lawns! I say NO!
No. Not at all. If you can afford an RV you should afford storage. I don't want my neighborhood looking junky.
No. Not looking good, municipal streets are not rv parking lot.
No. Not on front driveways unless under the 72 hour rule. We don't need a whole street of front driveways look like a rv yard. If they can park their rv their year round m, why can't I park my rv I hit her on the road in front of my House year round with getting a ticket. My alley is too narrow to get my trailer in my back yard.
No. Not worth reduced visibility. We have a street where the corner becomes dangerous when large RV is parked in driveway.
No. Obstructed sight lines and most obstruct sidewalks
No. Obstructs sight lines and negatively affects community aesthetics. Most rvs will not fit on front driveways without interfering with street parking
No. Our neighborhood is not a parking lot
NO. Owning an RV is a privilege and dealing with the storage of an RV is the owner's responsibility. If they can't fit it in the back or beside their home, they need to find another place to store them. As an RV owner, and long-term RV'er, I am well-versed with how long it takes to prepare an RV for a trip and there's no need to have an RV in front of your home for more than 36 hours. They need better planning.
No. Parking in communities is horrible as is. This will only make it worse





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Parking is already a huge issue and if you allow people to take up one of their parking spots on their driveways it will make parking on streets even more difficult.
No. Parking is already an issue in most neighborhoods and this change would restrict street parking even further.
No. Parking is already at a premium in most neighborhoods so extending this period will only exacerbate the problems.
No. Parking is already limited in most communities. If you allow seasonal parking then you are taking up space for a vehicle that could be parked in there driveway, Not on the street.
No. Parking is limited on my street. If people start parking RVs on their driveways for the summer it will only increase congestion on the streets. I would be fine with extending the length of time from 36 hours to 72 hours.
No. Parking RVs on front driveways ruins the urban housing esthetics. Further there will be a temptation by some to use the RV as temporary shelter.
No. Parking should be limited to 48 hours max to load and unload. Would support extending from 36 hours to 48 hours.
No. People already don't care about bylaws. Give them any more rights to park for longer and their trailers will be lawn ornaments year round and to get them removed, it'll be more work for bylaw officers and they already can't keep up with simple things like snow removal etc.
No. People already exceed the current bylaw rules because enforcement can be too difficult. Extending ut further will just give abusers a further extension. Construction trailers are a much bigger abuser than RV' s in our neighborhood and around the city from what I have seen
No. People could park their ugly dilapidated pieces of junk trailers on their driveways making the community less appealing.
No. People often push the limits and have their recreational campers on the street which blocks parking. Or if using their driveway take up more street parking with their cars. It is also a concern that sight lines down the street will be obstructed.
No. People use travel trailers for work and some people work out of their homes.
No. People will be living in them. They can be an eyesore. How do we control the size? Some are massive! People will start purchasing even larger ones. Visibility could be obscured. It will be abused. Trailers will be everywhere.
No. People will clutter traffic and possibly live there
No. People will let their RVs stick out onto sidewalks, ruin aesthetics of neighbourhoods, and increase street parking. They are a luxury not a necessity.
No. People will park their RV longer than their driveway and it will obstruct the sidewalk. It's difficult to see around RVs and the safety of children may be at risk.
No. Property values, not required, lots of storage opens around Calgary.
No. Regardless of the rules surrounding it, allowing it still creates problems. Hard to find parking, blocking roads, making it unsafe for kids to play. Other cities that allow this have this problem. Difficult on busy roads, cul de sac etc. Not to mention it looks terrible.
No. Residential streets are too small for these types of vehicles. Limit visibility driving, unsafe. Create conflict between neighbors. Take away already limited street parking. If seasonal vehicle parked on driveway, owners then park vehicle on (limited) street parking. Residential communities are not designed for recreational vehicles to be parked on them. Communities should not be "free storage" for an individuals lifestyle.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no. RV parking for months at a time would be detrimental to the visual appeal of the neighborhood and negatively impact residential parking.
No. RV's are blocking the view. And sometimes you can't even look around the corner when driving on the streets in the community. And some RV's are in a very bad condition, so it gives the community a bad look. I think, all RV's should be stored at a storage lot, and not in the driveway or backyard or side of the house. If you can afford an RV, you should be able to afford the storage fees as well. 36 hours for loading/unloading/minor repairs is enough.
No. RVs are large and really unsightly. Although we own one, I would not want to see them parked on driveways and roadways, the houses are already very close together with limited space for extra vehicles. I feel that allowing RVs in driveways has the potential to overflow more vehicles into the street, not that there would be any room for them anyways. Ultimately I think it's ugly to look at and could potentially make street parking more of a nightmare than it is already.
No. RVs are normally larger than cars or trucks and create an unsightly impediment within the community and neighbourhood. I own a RV travel trailer and park it at a storage facility so that it doesn't impact my fellow neighbour. Owning an RV and the costs associated with storage is part of that ownership within urban areas.
No. Safety - obstruction of view when driving. People will take advantage, then how do you enforce it?
No. Safety and sight line concerns. Lots of areas already busy with on street parking. No need for rvs to be there all summer.
No. Safety concerns must take precedence
No. Safety hazard. Eye sore. People will park larger units that will block city sidewalks. No chance the city will have enough time to enforce this issue.
No. Safety issues. You can't see around them. Residential streets are too narrow to have them parked on them. They make the neighborhood look bad.
No. Safety of pedestrians and other vehicles
No. Safety of pedestrians would be jeopardized
No. Safety Perspective and sightlines. Large RVs can impact the amount of sun their neighbors receive. Depending on neighborhood design, it can be intrusive. I doubt the current bylaw is patrolled. As it stands, people park RVs on streets, run cords and don't move the RV for weeks. On their own property people will feel more entitled.
No. Seasonal is too long. But extending longer than 36 hours, to 48 or 72 hours would be helpful
No. Seasonal is too long. I would support increasing the current length of parking to 72 hours
No. Sight line, the aesthetics of it, blocked driveways, etc. more of an issue in newer neighborhoods. If you allow this seasonally, people will start listing them on home rental, vacation rental sites. Extend the time to 48 hours as a courtesy.
No. Sight lines and the possibility for people to be staying in the RV. Garbage, recycling and compost bins are already cluttering up front drives, back alleys. People seem to be very irresponsible with those. RVs would just add to the clutter.
No. Some RV's are huge and will impact sitelines. They can be as big as an addition to a house. The City has development limits on houses, so why should large RV's be allowed on driveways for months when houses have size and setback requirements.
No. Street parking is already an issue in our cul de sac.
No. Streets are congested. It's dangerous and hard to see kids playing with these large vehicles and many people take advantage of their neighbors by using their spots.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Streets, neighbourhoods would become another campground. This is not the intent of a community/neighbourhood. I would support a 72 hr MAXIMUM to allow for the prep and unloading for a trip. A specific permit could be purchased (income for city) to do repairs, permit to be displayed on trailer. MAX time allotment tbd (2 wks). RVs block line of sight, therefore provide cover for criminal activities, reducing the safety of neighbourhoods. There would be opportunity to release hazardous materials that could enter the sewer system negatively impacting the environment and increasing monitoring/clean up costs to taxpayers.
No. Summer is when we are out enjoying our yard more and too many RVs in front yards detract from general good appearance.
No. Take it to an rv storage yard/ facility.
No. Takes away from neighbourhood aesthetics. It will also be too good of a cover for persons encamping within an RV.
No. The additional vehicles create congestion on the roads, infringe on sidewalks and often obstruct views and are just ugly. It may be beneficial to the owner but not the neighbors around.
No. The durations should be lengthened to 2 weeks to allow for pickup and prep, cleaning and return.
No. The esthetics are a strong negative to me.
No. The fear is that even though the condition is not unsightly, what defines 'unsightly' and who determine what constitutes unsightly and lines will be blurred and pushed.
No. The increased sizes and occupancy causes parking limitations, traffic/human sightline impedance, and additional theft targets. RV parking on driveways also present increased impedance for wheelchair and mobility-challenged persons, either by impeding sightlines for crossings or along sidewalks.
No. The present bylaw gives plenty of time for me to pack, clean my trailer. It is an eyesore to have it parked out front and a safety issue as it is so big to see around.
No. The problem I have is not with the many, but with the few. There will be people who overstep the by-law and say "good enough". This will lead to blocking of sidewalks etc. I also am concerned about the visibility on corners. You talk about conditions, but the bylaw department only comes when called...there will be no enforcement.
No. The street sight lines are already compromised due to the large number of vehicles parked on the road, RV's make that problem worse by impacting sightlines when backing out of a neighbouring driveway.
No. The summer season is when you are out enjoying your yard and community. Seasonal parking would create a visual eyesore and limit residential parking when you out the most.
No. The trailers are an eyesore
No. The Westbrook communities local area plan was just approved and sets the urban realm. My property and community is controlled by this. Any relaxation ruins sight lines, is not to scale with the giant rv sizes in AB. There is plenty of rv storage lots available, we do not need to diminish the character of communities to satisfy the demands of a minority who do not want to pay for a storage lot. Why impose this on all other community members. Issues with power cords, sewage dumping, propane and fuel burning equipment, sight lines, pedestrian safety, van lifer camps and unapproved homeless dwellings will increase the burden on our already taxed social systems, bylaw, police, fire and EMS.
No. There is already so limited parking for everyday vehicles use that adding the displaced driveway parking for a residence will add to more vehicles parking on the already loaded streets.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. There are already enough issues with small / regular vehicles. Adding these would make parking even crazier not to mention additional traffic issues and possibly higher crime issues. People barely maintain their cars, adding a bigger project trailer or boat will make this a nightmare.
No. There are already parking issues and lack of parking spaces. There would be many RVs on my street and would be a sight sore. Kids also play and a large RV would block views and be a safety issue. I also don't want RVs to limit parking for those on my street with children in strollers and seniors or those with physical difficulties.
No. There are facilities available for this purpose
No. There are many RV storage facilities and that's where these items should be. Unsightly RVs parked in the front of homes would detract from the work many homeowners put in to landscaping to beautify our neighborhoods.
No. There are people on our street who park their RVs for longer than 36 hours now. It creates congestion on our street as they park their vehicles away from their house and take up what little extra parking available for guests visiting houses on our street. This happens because they have no room on their driveway due to their RV. It is impossible at times to find parking for our guests to come visit. If we all parked our RVs on our driveways there would be no room to drive or park.
No. There are plenty of storage yards with reasonable pricing. If you can afford an RV you can afford to store it. RVs parked in driveways look terrible and are unsafe most of the time.
No. There are plenty of RV storage businesses in and around the city. RVs in front of homes congest the roadways even more, and are unsightly. 36 hours is plenty to load and unload a trailer.
No. There are storage options. Cords are left across sidewalks etc.
No. There are too many vehicles for our immediate area as is and front driveway parking will lead to more congestion and obstructed views. Safety is also an issue with several kids in the area and there are already several driveways that have RVs, campers, tent trailers, trailers and boats unsafely parked in their driveways all year round.
No. There is already limited parking, if people have an RV on their driveway, they would also be looking for space on the street that is very limited. It also prevents clear sight lines at house on a corner or near an alley entrance.
No. There is insufficient street parking as it is!
No. There is no need for that! However I think an RV should be allowed to be there a maximum of 14 days.
No. There is too much problems with traffic and parking as it is
No. These items should be properly stored outside of residential areas in RV storage/designated storage areas.
No. They are an eyesore, block views at intersections, and most importantly increase theft in the community,
No. They are hideous parked long term, terrible for safety/sight lines and people will end up living in them and renting them out on the front lawns. If people wanted RV parking they can get it using their garage, rear parking or side parking OR renting space. People who can afford these vehicles can afford to plan accordingly.
No. They are often an eyesore. It would be difficult for bylaw officers to police if they are being lived in. It was an issue in my previous neighbourhood where across the street someone was living in the camper out front, and nothing ever was done about it. They are large and block views. My own camper would impact my neighbour's view of the street and impact my own

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

security camera's view. Both of these issues are a security risk and impact housing prices negatively.
No. They are unsightly and block view of road\traffic and pedestrians
No. They are unsightly, obstruct views ie safety. There are plenty of storage areas in the city. We have a house on the street with a corner lot which technically has a "side yard" with an RV parked and it looks awful. Another home owner made sure they moved their RV within 36 hours only to have it come back again. Again there are many storage areas in the city and yes it's an additional expense but one of the most expensive items we own is a home. Let's keep it looking nice.
No. They are unsightly. You wouldn't know it wasn't allowed in this neighbourhood. Lots of tent trailers, rv's and trailers parked in driveways in summer. Rule should apply to everyone.
No. They block sight lines for motorists and pedestrians. I would support an increase in time allowed to 48 hours
No. They block the view of traffic and it's a safety hazard
No. They cause visibility issues and attract thieves to the neighbourhood
No. They could become a problem as illegal suites. When one buys a rec vehicle, the cost of storing it away from my home is a part of the consideration to purchase.
No. They obstruct views and if not balanced properly could be dangerous
No. They obstruct views for driving and seeing pedestrians and take up too much valuable parking.
No. They obstruct views, limit sight that could be a safety issue.
No. They take up so much space and are an eyesore. People will take advantage of this change
No. They're eyesores and they often stick out in the road and block garbage pail up.
No. This area is very busy as it is close to the river. Lots of people walking with their kids and dogs. The visibility would be greatly impacted in an already small cul de sac. There is already one neighbor who parks a trailer on his driveway long term (literally hasn't moved once since we moved in 10 years ago) and another trailer on the street that only moves twice a year and is never ticketed. I see others doing the same year round with their campers, boats, etc because there are no penalties
No. This clutters viewscapes and will lead to even less parking being available on the street, where owner's second vehicles will have to park in lieu of the occupied driveway space. Allowing an extension to 48 hours is more acceptable.
No. This is overreach.
No. This will add continued parking pressure to already congested streets
No. This will cause too many problems for neighbours as this will quickly be abused by many people.
NO. This will displace vehicles onto the street to accommodate the trailer. This will lead to street congestion, safety will be compromised and property values will decline. If you buy an RV, make a plan to store it or don't buy it. If the City does this, please give me the Mayor's home address so that I can park my 40 foot fifth wheel in front of her house. Increased security is a red herring; this will not deter a criminal and CPS is impotent to stop this crime. This is [removed], don't do it.
No. This would be a major eye sore. Whenever my neighbours park theirs I can see past it from my driveway
No. Too dangerous as can't see around them when backing out of driveways.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Too many people already park on the street in my neighborhood and this would add to that. Also, it's hard to back out of your driveway when there is a trailer in the driveway beside you. I don't want to hit a pedestrian on the sidewalk.
I think the city would be constantly having to enforce rules too because people would try to get away with RVs that are too big or too long. Or they'd park giant RVs on the street in front of their house (which again blocks my site.)
No. Too much risk of it being abused. The street we live on has three homes with full size RV buses that take up huge street room when parked, so we're sensitive to big rigs.
No. Too obstructed. I think it should be moved to 48 hours or 7 days.
No. Totally blocks sight lines, extra places for criminals to hide behind when breaking into cars, blocks driveway camera.
No. Trailers are bigger and wider then vehicles. The streets are narrow enough as it is. This will create high chance of accidents involving everyone and everything
No. Ugly look. Owning a trailer or rv has extra expenses like storage.
No. Ugly.
No. Unsafe
No. Unsightly
No. Unsightly and block sidewalks. Block view and there is a safety concern
No. Unsightly and increases street parking use
No. Unsightly, obstructed sight lines, blocking sidewalks (which will happen) affects neighborhood character.
No. Unsightly. A responsible owner should have an appropriate parking place for an RV that is not on the front driveway or street.
No. Unsightly. Barrier to emergency vehicles. Causes more street parking.
No. Unsightly. Likely to abuse time limitation. Obstructs sight line 100 feet from a Stop Sign
No. Unsightly. Sidewalks get blocked
No. Visibility for safety and just plain eyesores. How many will become B&Bs?
No. We can only park our R.V. On city property for 36 hours. Every one else should have the same rules even though it's on their front driveway.
No. We don't feel RV's or Construction Trailers should be allowed to sit for an entire season. Safety (sight lines) and AESTHETICS (btw - it was spelled incorrectly on this poll). Also ... we currently have an issue with RV and construction trailers parked in our area AND the current bylaws are not being enforced. Why add more semantics to a very clear bylaw as it stands.
No. We have either chosen a home with a rv pad in the back or now live in a condo and pay dearly for storage. I do not want to see a bunch of rvs in the fronts of properties for the summer. I agree that there needs to be adequate time for loading etc. And this should include being able to park your unit (attached to the tow vehicle) on the street close to your residence for a short period. There are already too many rvs that would be currently considered illegally parked.
No. While some trailers can be small and unobtrusive, others are quite large and unsightly. They cast shadows, block views, and change the appearance of a neighborhood. For the convenience of one family, a trailer shouldn't off put multiple other families around them.
No. Would make too many other cars to street park instead of driveway.
No. 1. An RV parked in the driveway means the tow vehicle is parked on the street - no access to garage.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>2. We currently have an abundance of vehicles parked in driveways and on the street. This would just make the problem worse. People don't park in their garage any more, just use it for junk.</p> <p>3. Large RVs are totally unsightly. I don't even want to look at mine out the front window.</p> <p>4. People who park on their driveway seasonally will then only need to rent in an RV lot for the winter. For those of us who continue to rent an RV lot 12 months of the year, we will have to pay more to offset the reduced revenue stream for the RV lot operator.</p>
<p>No. All of the sidewalks in my neighborhood (that cross driveways) do not have boulevards. The sidewalks are an extension of the curb. When large RV's are parked on these driveways, or driveways with no sidewalk, they block the view of oncoming traffic near intersections. They block the view of both vehicles entering the roadway so see oncoming cars. And they block the view of cars on the roadway from seeing cars enter the roadway from driveways.</p>
<p>No. Besides sight lines for short driveways, if driveways are full with rv's, more cars will be parked on the street causing more crowding for street parking and causing more sight line problems.</p>
<p>No. Dangerous and will impede parking as there is limited on street parking</p>
<p>No. Hazard to people walking because they stick out into sidewalks in many cases</p>
<p>No. Safety. Esthetics.</p>
<p>No. Takes away the view, blocks the sunlight to my yard. also if you get to many it looks like a rv storage road. I have a trailer and have it in a storage lot. bring it home to clean and load or unload and take back to storage lot.</p>
<p>No. -will create parking nightmares on street due to loss of pkg space on driveway. (zero lot lines make it worse) -will make neighbourhoods look like trailer storage lots, not what we signed up for. -some RV's will be used, on the driveway, by owners (rental, parties, sleepovers, etc) - some homeowners will "rent" a spot on their driveway to another RV owner,= more trailer park look. - 36 hours is plenty of time to prep/clean RVs currently. - Included below but the visibility backing out of a driveway on a corner will be a gamble everytime with an RV blocking one side of visibility. VERY important. - Our RV is in storage, as are neighbours'. Respect our aesthetics of our properties.</p>
<p>No. You give people a finger and they'll take an arm. Where does it stop?</p>
<p>No., not seasonal. But up to 3 days parking. Temporary only</p>
<p>No.. eyesore for neighbours</p>
<p>No... i have a neighbor who parks his RV in his driveway for 6 to 7 months straight and i find it is an eyesore and tarnishes the road in live on.</p>
<p>No... If you can afford an RV then you should be able to afford to lease storage for it.</p>
<p>no... this will be too crowded and will cause more problems for the residence</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No.5 or 6 years ago I had a boat parked on my driveway. A vindictive neighbour complained. I was sent a letter by the City to move it. In the middle of winter, with snow up to the wheel wells, I had to move the boat to another site. The left wheel broke from the axle, and TO THIS DAY, I have been unable to use the boat. Stop changing the rules, and start enforcing them equally! I see lots of RVs parked in front of a lot of people's houses.
No.no.no. Safety.can't see due to obstructed view for pedestrians and oncoming vehicles. And unsightly. Impacts home value as the units tend to become old and unsightly. When you purchase an RV you know it's not allowed to park on your driveway and should be prepared to pay for RV parking in a RV Storage lot. We have had a neighbor park their RV next door to us. I'm speaking from experience and knowledge. It becomes a parking problem as well as now they have to park personal vehicles elsewhere
No.They would take up all available vehicle parking
No; in addition to being unsightly, it encourages living in it. Simply extend the time limit to 72 hours.
No....I think the current time line is fair. We appreciate being able to plug in to cool the fridge/freezer and load and unload our trailer. However it creates a problem on the street for visibility and also parking for others. It can also be a real eyesore!
No.....esthetics and safety
No...it's unsightly at all times of the year
No...looks terrible and people start using them for guests
Nooooo! Makes the the city look very low class and can be very dangerous when reversing from an adjacent driveway! I don't believe the law should be changed for a minority of citizens!
Nope.
Nope. Get proper storage. It's unsightly in any condition, it lowers the property values, the ones on our street are also usually blocking the sidewalk and it's a safety concern as they black the view for other vehicles.
Not
Not all people have access to their yard
Not at all!!!!
Not at all, due to visibility and makes property look cheap
Not everyone has access to a backyard. Front yards should be usable living space. People can park old rusty cars on driveways that can be unsightly. Front yards can be overgrown with weeds and or have other junk all over. Having an rv parked on a drive way is no different than an old car, motorbike, etc.
Not for a large or long unit. Needs some restrictions. In our area there is very limited street parking. Taking away driveway parking would make things that much more difficult.
Not for big units (like anything bigger than about 10ft). They impede sight lines and safety drastically.
Not for having parking in the driveway
Not for large trailers and RVs the city will become an eyesore. There are lots of them parked all over the city already and the city does no enforcement just respond to complaints
Not for larger vehicles, or vehicles with anyone living or sleeping in them.
Not for the entire season but I think a 72 hour limit would be acceptable
Not for the entire season, no. I could see increasing the allotted time up to 7 days to allow for maintenance to occur I wouldn't support the entire season.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not for the season (maybe more hours), it's unsightly and not safe for children (for visibility) it's difficult to regulate the condition of the rv; it can completely change the perception of the neighbourhood. Inevitably, with the housing crisis, people will be renting them out or living in them as well.
Not front of house ,only back yards ,would make it hard for fire department to fight house fires don't need more stuff in their way to cause injury to them safely first.
Not if it makes it so it cuts of the view from another persons yard. As this would be difficult to police we should just not allow it. I do think it would be okaty to be more than 36 hours, say 48.
Not if the vehicle never moves all summer. The intent is to allow for owners to park their OWN vehicles, not to allow others to park on someone else's driveway (i.e. rent out your driveway if you don't own a vehicle). Also there shouldn't be more than one vehicle. If the vehicle doesn't move, too much chance that people will use the vehicles as living quarters. It also depends on how large the RV is: some will block out visibility for neighbours. Some are old and ugly. How will bylaw enforce that a vehicle is moved at the end of the summer? Bylaw doesn't adequately deal with existing illegal suites, no confidence that they will deal with illegal living in a trailer.
Not just seasonal, full time. It's my property, as long as it's not cluttered with trash, what's the problem?
Not long term as same concerns as above. But extending time to 72 hours allows for batteries to charge that 36 hours does not acvomodate
Not on the front of any property's, esthetics
Not over the winter months but yes from April 15-Nov 15th in the front driveway or on street for 8 day max consecutive 28 days per Calendar year.
Not seasonal but longer than 36 hr is good
Not seasonal but more than 36h to allow proper loading/ unloading and cleaning.
Not seasonal, all year round parking should be allowed on their own or rent property. Not everyone has extra space or can not afford renting other spaces for their RVs, trailers, etc. I don't agree with parking trailers on public street however.
Not seasonal. 2 or 3 days or up to a week should be sufficient.
Not supportive of having trailers on the street or driveways for longer than the existing rules. The time period is often abused as is so extending it will likely result In trailers on the street or driveway for weeks, months or constantly. Trailers can be dangerous as they are tough to see around and many driveways are in significant slopes which is dangerous especially if not stored properly. If the hassle or cost of storing a trailer at a lot is too much then buyers should reconsider purchase
Not unless it is on drive way. Nothing on street for over 48 hours.
Not where there are front yards with gardens. The larger vehicles change the climate in the adjacent areas.
Obviously. Not only seasonal either, but year round. This notion of aesthetics is absurd and elitist. If any sort of rv/camper fits on a private driveway, it doesn't impact anyone in any real sense.
Of course I support it, as long as it's on the person's private property
Of course I would support it. I think owning your own home should have perks like parking these vehicles in your own driveway without bylaw threatening a fine.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Of course! It's far to hard for some people to grab their trailer from storage 1 day before they leave on a trip. Most storage yards are 30minutes to 1 hour away and I personally work 12 hours a day which makes it hard to find the time during the week to get prepped. Picking up the previous weekend is the only way it's possible for me.
On top of all of that, it is my property so I should be able to park a trailer on it if I would like to.few people would want it taking up driveway full time
Of course, having to get it ready and to be able to park it without havjng to dish out unnecessary money for it to be stored else where. What if it were to get broken into and not being able to see it everyday to check on it. If you have unjsed space on your own drivewah should 100% be allowed to utilize that space
Of course. As city is growing and space between houses is getting narrower,parking on the driveways is a best destination
OK with seasonal front driveway parking as long as it's on the property driveway and not on street/in front of homes.
On a private front driveway, completely off the street, there is no reason a homeowner shouldn't be able to park their RV as long as they want. It's their property, as long as no one is living in it I see no issue. 36 hours parked on the street is completely reasonable.
On driveways, yes. Not on the road.
On driveways, yes. On the street, no. They make it hard to see kids playing on streets etc. but on properties... absolutely
On persons own drive way no problem as long as it doesnt impd the street or sidewalk. Currently people who park at home do it tastefully and have enough room etc. However if it creates an issue like 4 cars parked on the street since they can not utilize the driveway and there is limited on street parking, like a cul d sac then discretion needs to be utilized. It can create problems.
On your own private driveway I would, as long as it doesn't take up street parking I think this would be great. Street parking is already at a premium I don't think it should be allowed on public streets for longer then is currently allowed.
Only parking on street for couple days. Otherwise boat goes into back yard.
Only as long as this does not apply to curbside parking on the street.
only for repairs, as there is no other place to work on your RV. but just leaving it there casues an eye sore, blind spots and and overall cramped feeling and look in most places.
Only for smaller units that don't block site lines. Large RV and 5th wheels make the street dangerous for drivers, children and pets
Only if "seasonal" is defined as a maximum of one or two summer months.
Only if it can fit in the driveway with no obstructions or taking up curb parking spaces
Only if it fits the driveway. Can't overhang on sidewalk , or be too tall. Must be presentable in age and condition.
Only if on a private parking pad
Only if sidewalks are not blocked and the unit is neat and tidy
Only if the unit fits totally in the driveway and not protruding into the sidewalks. I believe that folks should be able to park up to 72 hours on the street (36 hours is too short))
Only if there are standards in place that prevent dilapidated or 20plus year old campers that are not modernized
Only July and august Just parking no living people



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Only on streets with ample parking or personal driveways
Only small trailers and boats (UNDER 21 FEET). If you want the toys and lifestyle, purchase a home which provides side access ( driveway) or access to your backyard.
Only summer if you are planning on leaving with your trailer in the next couple of days.
Only where there is sufficient space that it doesn't block the sidewalk, boulevard and sight lines for drivers and pedestrians
Other vehicles park in front of my house everyday. So why can't I park my RV in front of my house for more than 36 hours. When you are working you need time to load and unload your RV. You need to fill it with water. Plug your fridge in so it's ready to put food in. Just preparing your RV takes more than 36 hours. Returning from trips takes just as long to unload and clean out your RV. If you park in your driveway you are not bothering anyone.
Our RV is stored outside The City of Calgary but it is brought home to load and unload. We are in our mid to late seventies and our kids have moved on. It would be really appreciated if the City could increase the time for a RV to be on a front driveway to 72 hours or more to give us more time. Otherwise, we are forced to return it to storage and then bring it back to our home again which raises the issue of vehicle emissions. We have canvassed our neighbours and none have voiced any concerns with our RV on the front driveway.
Parking at the rear of a property (or offsite) is usually an onerous task and once a year is enough.
Parking for the season should be allowed, since these vehicles do not differ for other type of vehicle that are permitted significantly.
Parking it there for the whole season is excessive, unless the unit is being used for it's intended purpose quite frequently. For our busy family, 36 hours was often not enough time to clean up and restock after a trip, or if repairs needed to be made. Not having it parked for more than 14 consecutive days might be a good middle ground.
Parking on the street is limited. If parking on a driveway forces more vehicles on to street. I would say no.
Parking RV on driveway will push the owners vehicles into street.....so parking for visitors on street will be limited
Neighbours will become storage yards
Definition of unsightly is very vague
Partially. Boats and utility trailers excluded as they do not provide temporary living spaces should be allowed for seasonal parking. Travel trailers tend to be large and consume valuable parking spaces with owners parking on the street. They should not be allowed for longer than 72 hours. Additional opportunities for crime with more vehicles not within home parking spaces.
People do it anyways and as long as it doesn't block a sidewalk or roads way, I think it's Ok
People OWN their property!! As long as it doesn't look like a junk yard or an eye sore it is no one's business what they put on their property!! Why do people own houses if they're told what they can and can't have on their property??
People park a lot worse things on their driveway than trailers, and lots of people seem to park their trailer year round already.
We personally don't park in our driveway but would benefit from allowing street parking for a longer time period and periodically being able to unhitch.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People should be able to park their property on their property.
People should be allowed to store their own RV on their own property however they see fit so long as it is not a safety issue for others.
Perhaps - provided the vehicle(s) are not visible to the neighbours - i.e., are under a carport or are in a fenced yard, and do not block the safe view of traffic for pedestrians, cyclists, and cars or cause a sunny adjacent yard to be shaded by the vehicle. This would mean parking would be on an annual case-by-case basis and require advanced approval from the adjacent neighbours.
Personally I have a boat but I do not agree with RV parking. It blocks views and looks bad. At times hitches extend onto the sidewalk. I feel it is disrespectful to your neighbours.
Personally I wouldn't use seasonal parking. I think a happy medium would be to extend from 36 hours to maybe around 72? That should give people enough time to prepare for trips, make repairs, clean units or winterize.
please don't choose for people. let each home owner do as they please
Poor condition and unsightliness will need to be defined. An \$300,000 bus can be just as obstructive as a \$3000 van. This runs the risk of not being inclusive to lower income calgarians. My travel trailer is from the 70s, its adorable, I don't want it to be excluded because of its age or monetary value. And yes.
Possibly so long as it doesn't block the street or add extra cars to the street. Driveway parking is something that we often see happening anyway even though it's not allowed.
Possibly with defined dates, not just 'spring to fall' - be specific like May 1st to Sept 15th?
I do have concern with the statement 'with the condition that the RV is not unsightly' as that leaves this up for interpretation by everyone?
Privacy inside the vehicle or in the neighborhood. Childrens getaway, office space, or room & board types of situations spring to mind. Other people can mind their own business as long as it's not a drug n sex slave den and no criminal activity is assumed then if it's hooked up to utilities properly I'd consider it an extension of the house anytime of the year.
Probably but I am concerned that seasonal would become permanent
Probably not. The main reason is the unsightly condition of the RV is very subjective. Many won't fit in a driveway so now we are talking about street parking and taking up multiple parking spots for the whole season.
Property value, over hanging public sidewalk, blocking visual for drivers and walkers, secondary suite use.
Provided it's not being lived in, I'd like to see the summer month period allowed to keep it on the driveway. Deal with issues when they are raised, but let adults have some space.
Proving it does not overlap an adjacent property. I.e. you can't park and leave it in front of someone else's property whether its the trailer or the tow vehicle.
Recreation for many are year round activities. Not limited to July and August. I am ok with people parking on a thursday for teh weekend getaway. I am not okay to allowing recreational vehicles being parked on the street. Our streets just don't have the capacity for more vehicles without endangering the safety of residents.
Rv camping is a positive outdoor family pass time and encourages the use of Alberta parks. By extending this parking time bylaw you would make this more easily accessible and create less stress for families trying to enjoy this activity for little to no cost or harm to anyone.
RV parking in front yard should be extended to one week outside of winter time
RV parking on personal property should be a yearly allowance not seasonal





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RV's parked on front drives are an eye sore. It also allows for residents of the home to start staying in the RV while it is parked on the front drive. It is bad enough that they do this when RV's are not supposed to be parked on the front drive. Some of the RV's do not quite fit on the front drive pad, so you always have to walk around them, I would hate to have to do this from spring to fall.
RV's should be allowed on driveways as long as they are on your property. Since I have no rear or side parking the current bylaw prohibits me from owning an RV without paying for a storage lot. This is currently an unrealistic regulation which discriminates based on property type.
RV's should be allowed to park ON THE STREET in front of or near the owner's residence, for periods of time up to 48-72 h as it can be difficult to get the RV actually up onto the driveway. To ensure adequate room for emergency vehicles there could be a size limit on which RV's are allowed to do this. Parking on the owner's property should not be allowed continuously from spring to fall due to aesthetics, obstructed views and increased parking congestion on both sides of the road. use 72 h rule
RVs, trailers, boats etc should be allowed to be parked on front driveways year round.
Seasonal - yes, with a window for that around April 15-October 15. Many people with RVs will use them for many excursions during that time, but perhaps with weeks or months in between each outing. It is easier to have a vehicle in storage for just the winter, but to move it in and out and then to unpack and repack it for that purpose and be arduous. To be able to keep it on your front driveway is reasonable, and even on the street if the entirety of the trailer fits between your property lines.
seasonal driveway parking from May 1-Oct 30, park my 5th wheel in driveway not in the way of garbage pick-up, not in the way of neighbours,...
Seasonal feels a little too broad. I think there still need to be boundaries. 36 hours can be too restrictive. But a whole season is too open. Extending time during a season or year round could be better. Even if it's allowing front parking for a week or two. The fear is that people will leave their RV parked long term which would feel like the value of the streets would be damaged as it would be ugly and very crowded. So if it's so help a family in between vacations keep their RV nearby, or use as extra space for guests that works. But we need to stay away from allowing it for a place to store the RV.
Seasonal front driveway parking meaning ON the driveway of the house could be an option. Totally against seasonal roadway parking of a RV of any kind, it's an obstruction to motorists etc.
Seasonal front drive parking is a good litmus test of what a long term change might eventually become. Plus it's a good compromise for folks who are against the proposal.
Seasonal is too long, but one week is better, that gives us enough time to maintain and clean it
seasonal parking for a limited amount of time intervals, like say 7 days maximum
Seasonal parking in a front drive would be fantastic!!
They're no more view obstructive than a large truck.
Seasonal parking makes sense for out of town visitors with RV's. An extension to 48 hours makes sense as well. Although I agree that "unsightly" RV's would be an eyesore, it might be difficult to enforce what is accepted and what is unsightly.
Seasonal parking of small RVs that are not unsightly should be permitted.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Seasonal parking would be great, the camping season in Calgary is so short it would be very convenient to be able to use the driveway to keep our trailer for the months where we use it.
Seasonal parking would make it more convenient during camping season to leave the trailer on the driveway for a week or two. I would never leave my trailer on the driveway for the entire summer as it's just too big and it would block access to half my garage.
Also it would be nice to leave the trailer on the driveway if I have some cleaning or work that needs to be done on it.
Seasonal rv parking would cut down on traffic and exhaust from doing multiple trips
seasonal use seems like a reasonable compromise as long as the parking does not impact safety and create obstructed sightlines which directly impact safety. For example a trailer parked in a front driveway of a house that is situated on a corner lot creates a safety risk due to the obstructed sightlines. where as the same trailer parked 1 house down from the corner would not create such a safety risk. esthetics is not suitable reason to disallow parking
Seasonal would be better than the stupidity we put up with now. If it's safe for half the year it's fine all year. No restriction at all.
Seasonal yes, absolutely. Driveway property is property to park whatever vehicle you'd like there. Some people have dumpsters there when completing renovations, others things that should probably be thrown away such as furniture. Remember in Alberta, the camping season is from April until September and this should be seasonal only.
Seasonal, Yes. As a camper who only is able to camp on weekends having the unit at home for 3-4 days during the week rather than dragging it out to RV parking would be more efficient for RV owners. If the unit is not an obstruction visually and is in good condition and fits a few feet back from the sidewalk or driveway skirting , in your personal driveway I believe should be able to park all seasons
Seasonal? I support all season.
should be allow for small recreational vehicles because don't obstruct view
Should be allowed year round parking as long as it is not obstructing sidewalks and or city easements, or obstructing safety devices such as signs, lights, road crossings etc.
should depend on size, ok or smaller vehicles?
Should not be allowed. Makes the property and community look junky.
Since I don't have a front driveway I'm not impacted directly by the bylaw relating to this. However I can understand how it may benefit those who do by having an increased permitted time to have an RV on the driveway. As long as the RV is not causing the issues listed in the question I see no issue. However enforcement of bylaws seems to be hit and miss
Since our property taxes have gone up so much within the year, the rental properties that store our units have gone up. I would support trailers being parked on the front owners property during peek seasons
Since we go for camping most weekends which positively impacts our children's mental health, it will be convenient to park RV in front it our house or on street parking in our neighborhood. It saves time and fuel running between our home and off street parking lots. I don't see any negative impact since it is only seasonal.
Size of recreational vehicle should be considered. If does not overhand sidewalk would be ok. If no longer than 1ton truck then no reason to disallow.
Small or medium size RVs are not an eyesore and do not cause view obstruction any more than large pickups or full size Sprinter-style vans, and should be allowed for long term parking



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

on private driveways. Some RVs. like camper-vans are often used as 2nd vehicles as needed and should be kept on private driveways or on road in front of ones residence. Large RVs often need more time than the current 36 hour limit. To load up or unload, clean or do minor adjustments or repairs. Large any style RVs (anything over 30 feet) Cold cause obstructed sight-lines, safety issues and general aesthetics and should not be parked on driveways or residential roadways for months at a time.
Small to medium size rv trailers should be allowed, fifth wheel trailers should not.
smaller trailers
Smaller travel trailers or tent trailers should be allowed to park in the owner's driveway as long as it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk or roadway. It does not obstruct the view of drivers or pedestrians, so there is no impact to neighbors.
Smaller units should be allowed all year around. After all, we are just trying to live here, and enjoy our life.
So long as its in a private driveway, not in the street and not covering sidewalks I would support it
So long as sightlines around corners are not obstructed. I'm ok with it.
Somewhat. 36h is pretty short to do seasonal maintenance, pack/unpack for trip, etc. Too short that nobody follows the rule. However, I also don't want everyone parking their trailers on the driveway for the whole season. I think 3 days to a week would be a good balance.
Strong no. For the same reasons it is currently not allowed - which are good reasons. I have an RV and front parking would be convenient but this would be abused and I strongly disagree with changing it, 36 hours is enough to pack/unpack and store. If you change it anyways, at least consider drastically limiting the size of RV that can be stored in the front.
Strong support! Save gas and time to take trailer to and back from storage, reduce gas pollution
Suggest extended parking up to 96 hrs to allow for cleaning and setup
Suggest seasonal parking by permit. I live in an area where street parking is limited and the lane is narrow. Allowing for RV parking would take away needed parking for residents.
Support as long as no safety concerns with placement. Great opportunity for people to use and have better access to their toys. No harm, no foul. Enforce issues with throughway or visibility.
Support if it remains clean.  Must hold owners accountable. Bylaw must stipulate the city must immediately tow the rv if it is blocking access, preventing street cleaning. No warnings, even if owner is away or out of town.  I'm tired of warnings and education since facts show that approach doesn't work or create a positive culture.
Support RV parking for limitless amount of time on your property
Support seasonal or year round parking. Residents work hard to pay for their property and RV and should be allowed to use it as they see fit, obviously within reason. I don't want my property tax dollars spent on pacifying a busybody who has nothing better to do than complain about their neighbour quietly and lawfully living their life. Solve the real problems first, and tell those worried it makes the place untidy to close the curtains and mind their own

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

business. I will happily tell my neighbours to do so if they voice a complaint, luckily those in my neighbourhood aren't snobby and just ask if we had a good time.
Support seasonal, winter should be properly stored and not in driveway. For example seasonal May 1 to Thanksgiving Monday. As this is also the season for many parks.
Support this because of storage fees and ability to access units quicker
Support. Costs are already high enough without adding more
Support. The cost of tv parks are ridiculous
Support. In this day and age the cost of storage, cost if living, hotel/motel rates, campground fees, provincial park fees, etc., we need to give the RV owners a break.
Support. Paying \$100/month and pain in the butt to store a unit isn't ideal. If I want to go out for a weekend I have to spend all Thursday night just going to get the trailer
Sure
Sure it your property
Sure, it's on their own property. Yard and property maintenance is a bigger issue in our community.
Sure. It is easy for people to get in their vehicle and go. But only for 7 days on the street.
Sure. We live in a townhouse. It doesn't apply to our travel trailer. But I don't care if others have their trailers on their property.
Takes less space than many cube vans and all trucks pulling work trailer.
telling people that they cant park their rv on their property is wrong, you are opening the topic of peoples right on their property.
Tent trailers are often shorter than vehicles and block less sightlines than some vehicles so I believe tent trailers should be aloud to be parked in the driveway in the front.
That might be a consideration like as of October they all must be removed
Thats a tough one I think spring to fall is too long Im not sure Id want to look at a trailer blocking my view etc not really good security either as cant see if someone is breaking into neighbours home
The costs of year round storage can be very expensive. Kananaskis permits, National Park permits, Crown land permits, carbon tax etc... is making RV type activities very expensive on families. In most cases these activities bring family together, are good for mental health and allow people to use the natural lands and waters for recreation. Seasonal parking can save upwards of \$400-\$500 dollars. This does not include the fuel costs running back and forth from storage yards.
The driveway is not a concern for us.
The house across the street from me has 2 cars, that are not driven. Plus an old trailer all on the driveway. It is really junky. They also have a truck and suv, they drive.
The length of time that an RV can be on the driveway should be extended to 2+ weeks, at any time of the year. That is long enough to host visitors, or a family member isolating due to COVID infection. I don't have an opinion on allowing an RV on the driveway for a full summer - I can see why that would be beneficial to some people. However, some people will live in them, and if the city wants to oppose that it is critical that legitimate use by residents is not caught in the same net. A limit of 2+ weeks for that seems reasonable, plus a requirement that the RV must be owned either by the individuals staying in it or a resident (not merely owner) of the property, and can't be rented out.
There is added risk every time we are required to move the RV.
We would support this move as it would reduce the cost of owning an RV and would increase

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

our ability to access the vehicle. It would increase the level of security and would allow for more time when repairs are required.
there is limited parking on driveway parking and in this case you would be able to set up an RV in front of your house as an airbnb! Not supportive of this. And if everyone was allowed we'd have streets lined with RV's. There are RV rental sites for a reason.
There should be no laws that stop citizen to do what they want on and around their property.
There should be no limit on private driveway parking.
There should be no restrictions, on private property, it's my house ,i pay taxes ,What gives you the right to dictate what i put on my property.Vehicles can be parked on lawns, why couldn't RV's be parked there
There shouldn't be allowed to park on the street as take up to much room for other cars. Some areas are very limited to parking. Must have parking pad.
There would be people that tleould take advantage of this. Not every home has a driveway so if this were allowed they would park on the street and that would take away from the available parking..
They don't harm anyone being in the driveway, very convenient to have at home for repairs and such
They would be used for additional living spaces. Friends staying over and for others making money on Air B&B or renting.
This is a terrible idea and will detract from a neighbourhoods character and esthetics. Many will abuse it and park year round in their driveways.
This is a tough one, defining unsightly is difficult. I don't think season parking is appropriate though. This needs to also include school busses, commercial vehicles, taxis, construction equipment, and vehicles which are not suitable for road use
To make it easier to do repairs and load and unload your trailer and fifth wheels
Totally support it. Long term gain is tourist dollars. Legitimate complaints should be discretionary to bylaw enforcement. Some people have relatives that travel and this, while maybe a small irritation for me, would be a great help to someone else. We must be mindful of others, and in this way, we serve both others and out community.
Totally support the decision to allow parking.People are parking their vehicles on the driveways anyway. Parking RVs and trailers will reduce the amount of traffic on the roads (picking up from the lot and transporting from one site to another)
two years ago my RV was parked on my driveway and following a disagreement with the sanitation collectors about improperly replaced bins I received a 48 hour notice to remove my Rv off my driveway from by-law or face a huge fine. I expended in excess of \$5000 and many hours removing two trees and a shed in my backyard just to park my RV. Many still have RV's on their driveway on my block and the 36 hour window means absolutely nothing to anyone. It is complaint driven and nothing else.
Undecided but leaning to No. Give people an inch and they will take a mile. It is known that if you purchase a RV you need to find storage and there is a cost whether it is modifying your own property or a storage lot. There are thousands of trailers stored around Calgary and now people want to store them on driveways. I understand the inconvenience of the current system. What about the people are not lucky enough to have a driveway and they push the envelope and park on the street, just like the people who push the envelope and park their RV's on their driveway all season anyway. What about the people who's driveway is too short, they will push the length an inch or two. opinion of unsig
Under certain conditions including the size and condition of the RV.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Unless a danger is present. It's nobody's business what's done at someone's property.
Season parking is still discriminatory to personal property and the use and enjoyment their of.
Very much so as long as the RV does not infringe on the roadway, sidewalk, or access to the front door. Currently the by-law seems to be enforced on a street by street basis with out street receiving tickets and the set over having RV's parked on driveways year round.
Visually ugly even when new. It blocks sight lines in the neighborhood leaving looking more cluttered
We do not park in our own neighborhood as the lot sizing/zoning and mid-density housing do not permit for it. The convenience of having a recreational vehicle nearby is immeasurable - less road travel for prep, higher security
We don't have a driveway
We don't have a driveway but yes seasonal front driveway parking is reasonable.
We have a trailer, 3or 4 days would be good on the driveway or front of house. It doesn't bother me if someone leaves it longer. But when camping season is over the RV should not be park year round. We have had warning when we first got the trailer. We were just leaving or returning from camping.
We need time to load unload and clean our units
We own lake property where we place our RV's. But many of our neighbours are not so lucky. We have no problem if they are maintained. The Bylaws have gone too far in interfering in our business.
We should be allowed to part what ever we want in our own driveways. Get off our backs
We should parking on driveway yearly to protect our environment. If you have a driveway to park you RV, why we need second parking space to make more carbon dioxide? Second park lot means more grassland and more fuel.
We support front driveway seasonal parking - we use our unit many times each month - backyard is sloped - not easily accessible- cannot leave fridge operating there - given the slope we would have to unload and reload the fridge each time we went out - neighbours don't mind unit in front - gives them some privacy - our unit if fairly new - it is only parked in front driveway from May to September - units that are unsightly or obstruct safe vision should not be in the front.
We would support extended front driveway and street parking during the RV season
We would support such a thing. We would save money by not having to pay for storage for that period of time.
What about a respectful street option for the rest of us?
What about people who do not have a driveway? Some people bring a camper out of storage 2 or 3 days before leaving so they can pack and fix what needs to be. I would like to see the 36 hrs extended to 48 or 72hrs.
What does "seasonal" mean? What if people use their RVs outside of a "season"?  Generally, I would appreciate being able to have my RV on my property for more than 36 hours. 36 hours is really tight. I think 1 week would be great, but I also don't want it to become difficult to manage/keep track of.
What is the issue with Calgary not allowing trailers? You think if you don't see them they don't exist? Every home eventually needs a trailer, if its to replace your roof, your siding, your gutters or a renovation. You need to make some sort of allowance or permit for contractors to work. Small businesses such as food vendors, gardeners, landscaping or snow removal





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

cannot afford the inconvenience of offsite storage on a daily basis. Commercial property for small business is not affordable.
What people do with their property is not the cities business.
Whats the difference In parking a utility trailer on the driveway or an RV. I dont see an issue as long as it's registered and not abandoned
When we are prepping for a trip we need to pick the trailer up one weekend and depart the next: 36 hours isn't long enough to get it ready and do small repairs.
While I would support increasing the time limit to as much as 72 hours, I don't support seasonal parking. I don't feel there's any need for this (other than avoidance of paying for storage costs), and I don't care for the aesthetics of seeing RVs in driveways for 3/4 of the year.
While the 36 hour limit is too short, expansion to seasonal is too long. It will encourage people to move out of storage locations for the season and use the front drive for primarily storage purposes. The new time frame should be one week at any one time. For example during the camping season you could store for one week, take a trip, and again store for another week. Enforcement could be taken when neighbours have concerns about a vehicle that remains parked for any time longer than one week. This would reduce the possibility of negative esthetics and parking impacts. Home owners would by and large park vehicles on street when the RV is parked on the drive pad..
While we don't live in a place where RVs would impact our view, I'm concerned that some higher density areas (cul-de-sacs, etc) would be very unsightly with RV's in driveways. (How would that effect someone attempting to sell their home, do potential buyers appreciate RV's in their neighbor's driveways, for example?) Defining what is appealing or isn't is also hard to do once the parking is adjusted/allowed. Additionally, I'm concerned there would be an increase in crime (stolen batteries, propane tanks, TV's, break in's - etc.)
Security wise - it's an easy indication if someone is home, given the RV is there all week, every week - and away every weekend.
Why not the whole year? tent trailer is similar in size to a car. Why isn't it treated like a car?
Why not, it's the season for camping saves people time on having to go pick up their rv everytime they want to go out camping.
With the prices of every thing increasing it could save people 80-100 dollars a month
With the restrictions mentioned above, yes.
With the trend towards high density construction and neighborhoods people need to be able to store and set up there RVs and boats for use. It's an added expense and hassle to have to use storage lots.
would allow year round on the driveway or anywhere on your own property as long as not on the street or sidewalk
Would be good to have 72 hour. To be able to set up trailer and do fixes
Would NOT support, like almost everything, if you open up RV parking it's just a matter of time before people take advantage of the new parking conditions. Like my current neighbour who brings his huge trailer home and leaves it there for weeks at a time along with all his other toys force him and his other family members and there cars to park illegally around our street making it impossible to accommodate visitors for any of our neighbours. He's been given warnings and even parking tickets, visits from Peace Officers but they don't care, it's Families like this who will totally take advantage of any loosening of your parking bylaws.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Would not support. Often gets in the way of snow clearing in the winter months, hitch in the way of sidewalks. During summer months, sunlight blocking for trees, plants.
would prefer only temporary parking of 36 hours in the front of a home or in the alley to allow access and reasonable use as it is now. If permanent seasonal parking allowed it would block visibility, I think increasing safety hazards. Besides being unsightly in communities and reducing available street parking for residents and visitors. I think the current by laws are adequate. Permanent Side yard and Back yard parking of RVs is fine.
Would support because most new houses have small lots which won't accept even a small rv.
Would support with limited time frame such as a week. Don't want street to become a campground.
Would support year round front drive parking, nit fair to make people pay for additional parking if they have space, many "rv" are no more intrusive than other personal vehicles.
Wouldn't want people storing RV on driveway 365 days
Ya
Ye, I would support seasonal parking in the driveway. It's your property and you should be able to utilize it.
Yea
Yea
Yea should be all year
Yea for sure for a longer period of time. For instance repairs or getting ready for a long trip. Or between two camping weekend in a row.
Yea for the cost people are paying for property taxes/rent and with inflating costs of everything people should be able to park RVs for seasonal purposes on front driveways, it is their property and as long as it is not impeding any others, esthetics or condition should only be a concern to the owner of the RV, just because something is old or "ugly" doesn't mean it should be fined or contained about anyone that doesn't own it
Yea I and in support of this. With the cost of living continuing to increase, allowing for driveway parking to help a family keep a means of holiday without the added expense of third party storage
Yea I would support seasonal parking or at least extend the limit to one week so you can go camping one weekend and leave it in front of your house then go camping again the next without putting it in storage.
Yea it's my property I own it
Yea, because it's your own vehicle and you should be able to park it at your own residence if there isn't any other options for parking (alley, side, etc)
Yea, rvs should be permitted to park on the owners driveway.
Yeah I would but only for 3-4 days just for setup for the season to see if everything works properly before going camping. The same for the end of the season to winterized my trailer and remove items from it before it goes to storage
Yeah. It's there property if they wanna park it there I don't see why they can't. Who are they hurting if it's in there own property. Along as it's not blocking sidewalks or leaking fluid on the ground that could possibly wash down the city drain then yah.
Year round front driveway parking. Because it's mine. And I shouldn't have to pay a landowner to store it.
year round parking is needed/wanted. a home is all many people have and this includes their driveway. also, people might have a very old well used rv and this may be unsightly to some but not others. who is to judge?







# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes









# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes provided: safety, sight-lines are met - (back 2 to 3 meters from sidewalk/grass boundary) unit not unsightly.
yes I live in ward 7 close to lrt which seems to have zero enforcement for time Eliminating parking of rv on front drives will only plug up parking more when people retire from a parking area and park for loading. they will take up 3 or 4 spaces making it even harder to park in front of my own house
Yes 100%
Yes - 36 hours is very restrictive when considering the time to get it, prep it or do light maintenance on it. When people are trying to get ready to leave for holidays they are often working extra hours so the ability to have it on your property would be beneficial and the effort could be spread out or easier fit into a persons schedule (quality of life). When you return from holidays, the chance of getting it ready to return within 36 hours can be impossible. It uses more gas to pick it up/return it each time (pollution and increased risk of accidents that is not necessary). Our summer season is so short it seems reasonable to open it up for the season.
Yes - 36 hrs is a short time period to get ready for camping. It is not long enough
Yes - allows flexibility in scheduling. As an example families on shift schedules may be unable to pick up trailer only 36 hours before departing - child care, Vehicle access etc.
Yes - and at any time - it's a person's property and if parked on their property then they should be allowed to park their own vehicles on their own property at any time. One can do that with any other type of vehicle. Why is an RV considered different then ? For example - a camper van versus a truck and camper or towed trailer ?
Yes - as long as it does not infringe on others property or block sidewalks. Trucks with a camper on the back should be able to park anywhere for any length of time - it takes up no more space than the truck itself.
yes - as long as it is fully on the owner's property and not being occupied
Yes - as long as it is parked legally on my driveway, I should be able to keep it there for as long as I want. Not really any different than parking my 1 ton pickup on the driveway.
Yes - as long as there are no people living in it during that time. It is not usual for people to need longer time to prepare the trailer for a weekend or vacation. And often enough people go camping every other weekend and so to save time and money on gas it is more convenient to leave it on the driveway
Yes as we go away quite often in the summer it makes it more convenient leaving it on my driveway
Yes - at the end of the day, it is my property being parked on my property and therefore should be subject to anyone's "opinion". To put in context, I can place a rusted car or a big trash bin on my driveway - neither are subject to a 36 hour parking restriction, so why is a trailer. If the City wants to stop people sleeping in a parked trailer or them being rented out as Air-BNB, then pass a bylaw to stop that.
Yes - because it allows for easier access to use the rv. If having to pick up from a storage lot for a 2 night weekend camping trip. It can be a deterrent.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - because RV offsite parking is expensive and most people can't afford the added expense
Yes - but maybe not the whole season, intermittently might be a better approach. If it's an old broken down rv then no. What's annoying is having to unload it and get it off your driveway only to get it 3 days later to reload.
Yes - but within limits (eg smaller rv's only). No one wants a massive trailer parked in front of their house all summer.
Yes - convenience and security.
Yes - convenience during season-
yes - convenience for regular / frequent use - loading, unloading, cleaning, maintenance - even just for loading or overnight, there is zero street space to park a car and let alone an RV and especially one that has to be attached to tow vehicle within several blocks away.
Yes - convenient for those who camp often. Some people just camp on the weekend and need their RV to sit for 4-5 days or up to 10. There is no point in putting it on a lot for storage during this time.
Yes - convenient, cost effective
Yes - cost savings and convenience for families. Sometimes easier access for parking than alleyway or backyard entrance.
Yes - don't see a problem with it.
yes - ease of access and packing convenience etc
yes - especially in non posh neighborhoods. The necessity to park your RV out of city (many do) is incredibly horrible for the environment and burns far more fuel and emits far more hydrocarbons than any amount of idling a car might normally do. We all make sacrifices to help the planet, people can deal with something they think unsightly if it helps decrease our carbon footprint
Yes - for summer months only so it allows freedom to keep, unload/load, clean your trailer without always having to haul back and fourth to storage. It's your property and it would make things easier and more enjoyable. So long as it's not in the way of street parking, buses, sidewalks.
Yes - for summertime
Yes - for the reasons stated in the pros. I also don't feel the cities should be allowed to tell owners what they can/can't have on their own property. If you are worried about poor sight lines, why stop at RVs? Better not allow lifted trucks, cube vans, tall trees, hedges..etc. Basically anything above 3 ft isn't allowed. Sounds ridiculous right?
Yes - however, the owner should have written permission from the owners of the 2 adjoining properties. Specifically, there could be a concern that the RV is blocking the sight line for the adjoining owner when exiting their driveway.
Yes - I believe this this bylaw is an overreach to personal freedom
Yes - I support allowing the an RV to park on a driveway. Communities no longer have back alleys so it leaves no other option for parking beyond paying for RV parking which is inconvenient and expensive. I motorhome should be operated monthly for maintenance which is challenging when the RV is not close to home.
Yes - I support seasonal front driveway parking for RVs in the summer months (May/June/July/Aug/Sept), but not in the winter months (Oct to April)
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. If you are going away multiple weekends it is much easier to load and unload if the trailer is on the driveway. It would also allow for repair or remodeling. It could also supply extra sleeping accommodations for visitors.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - if the recreational vehicle is parked completely on a homeowners property and not obstructing the sidewalk in any way. It is more convenient during the summer months to have the trailer at the residence for ease of preparation to go away and also for security.
Yes - If the RV fits completely in the driveway or parking pad, or if the RV is parked in front of the property you own and meet the stated guidelines, I am very supportive. We pay the same property taxes as everyone else... I would encourage elongation of the time allowed to a minimum of 72 hours to accommodate packing and unpacking.
Yes - if the RV is completely housed on the driveway, and not extending over the sidewalk or street, this seems completely reasonable.
Yes - in a front driveway because it is NOT ON THE STREET. I do not want on street parking.
Yes - in fact I would support year round driveway parking. So long as the RV isn't dilapidated and can be moved if need be - there should be no reason for it to be unable to be parked in a privately owned driveway. Especially in today world - they can allow for additional/temporary living spaces, work from home areas or storage. Among other things.
I agree they can hinder sightlines, but so long as they arent encroaching on public areas like sidewalks they should be allowed to park indefinitely.
Yes - it does not hurt anything
Yes - it is a lot more convenient to keep a trailer on front driveway for seasonal (or longer), as we camp a lot during the spring/summer/fall - it just makes it easier to pack up the trailer and go from our driveway instead of hauling it from the storage, taking up road room, packing up the trailer, returning, emptying out the trailer and return the trailer to storage, only to grab it within a few days from storage again.
Yes - it is expensive for Rv storage every month.
yes - it is hard to bring it in only for 36 hours then to bring it back each time we use it. I would like to see the extension only for the season i.e. May - October
Yes - it is impractical to have to store offsite.
Yes - it is less of a problem than for aesthetics and safety than allowing 4 & 6 plexes in place of single homes.
yes - it is reasonable and practical on someone's property.
Yes - it is the homeowners property and they should be allowed to use it to suit their needs, if it does not hinder the safety of others in the community.
Yes - it would reduce the amount of time that has to be spent driving RVs from storage, and improve ability of RV owners to plan for camping trips. I also support longer allowances for RVs to be parked on the street, especially motorhomes, as they can be very easily moved if asked to do so.
Yes - it's parking your personal property on your own personal property. How can the city allow these unsightly two story carriage houses to be built in the neighborhoods but deny trailer parking on front driveways?
Yes - it's your property
Yes - it's your property! - needs to be in good shape and not block drivers visibility
yes - its my property
Yes - most campers/ recreational users go out back to back weekend. It's more efficient to keep it at your property for loading and hitching and leaving quickly when it's at your house.
Yes - most Rv owners use quite frequently. Allows more room on roads, instead of parking the RV on the road.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - my vehicles don't fit on my driveway but I believe it would be something that is reasonable
Yes - neighbours are already doing it.
Yes - Not everyone has the ability to "park" their trailers on their own property. Trailers are a lot of work to maintain through the season, pack/unpack for weekends away and to winterize at the end of the season. I don't think people should be living in or sleeping for multiple nights while parked on the street. They can be versatile extensions of some homes and having the ability to set up on a driveway (short term) could be very useful for visitors/in-laws and fun nights with the kids.
Yes - people own an RV to allow them to spend time with their families and friends and to get out and enjoy the great outdoors. Being able to have your RV on your driveway will allow people pack and unpack without the stress of having to put their RV away in their yard or RV storage every time. As well people are able to have a temporary space for visiting family and guests.
Yes - people should be able to use their land as they best see fit. If the RV fits entirely on the driveway, does not encroach on property lines, and the unit is still moveable.
yes - primarily for ease of access and decreased storage costs (in light of rising camping fees and fuel costs)
Yes - right now my rv is parked in my garage. It is always a troublesome shuffle of vehicles to get the trailer on to the driveway. I have always been jealous of those in Cochrane who are permitted to keep their RV on their driveway during the spring/summer. This would be so much more convenient. Not to mention the cost savings for those who are renting a storage lot. There is a possibility that they could reduce the cost of their storage by keeping their RV on their own property.
Yes - saves a lot of fuel and time going back and forth
Yes - sightlines are generally fine and it is there property.
Yes - specifically if not taking up other residences
Yes - storage is expensive so it would offset that cost. and it would allow for rv owners to keep perishable contents inside of trailer as power can be hooked up. It makes it easier for those camping trips that are frequent Through the summer.
Yes - support owners rights to store RV on property
Yes - taxes are high enough. It is not like these things are meth labs from 'Breaking Bad'
Yes - the 36 hour limit does not allow for any maintenance and other work to be done at home. Many people abuse the bylaw already without penalty anyway.
Yes - the current bylaw is far too short to allow a family to both pack and unpack and do any maintenance during the week, especially with two working parents.
Yes - there is no reason that rv or travel trailer parking shouldn't be allowed on driveways. In addition - I suggest increasing the duration from 36hours to 72hours or longer for trailer parking on streets in higher density neighborhoods that do not have driveways (inner city).
Yes - this is private property and so long as the vehicle is not parked permanently on the street then homeowners/renters should be allowed to have their recreational vehicle parked on their property. We have friends who park their RV year round on their property.
Yes - this is the owners personal property and they should have the freedom to use it in the way they want as long as there are no legitimate safety concerns. Esthetics should not be a criteria as that is subjective.
Yes - we cannot access back alley parking and our RV does not extend beyond our driveway boundaries - we should be able to park our RV in our driveway



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - we currently keep our tent trailer in our garage but it would be easier to leave it on the driveway in the summer time.
Yes - would need a concrete definition of "unsightly". We have an older 1986 scamper that is definitely not in good of condition as a brand new trailer off the lot. Someone could easily argue it's age and aesthetic is "unsightly". However, a trailer with a broken window, major damage, tires etc... that should not be permitted to sit and not be used.
Yes - you pay taxes for the use of your property and provided there is no risk to safety - what's the problem?
Yes - you should be able to park whatever you want on your own driveway. Many people have old clunkers, cars/trucks that sit not only on their driveway but on the street and no-one bothers with them.
Yes All the reasons put forth
Yes Camping should be encouraged, healthy lifestyle Campers need time to load and unload
Yes I'm not sure what business it is of mine what someone does in front of their own house. As long as they aren't blocking the sidewalk or road.
Yes no impact on anyone else Convenient for loading No obstruction on street
Yes Save storage money ! Easier and saves time
Yes Your front driveway is a secure and safe location to store as long as it is not impeding a sidewalk
Yes !
YES ! It's MY property.
YES !!! Absolutely !!! And, better for all seasons parking. Environment friendly for not only traffic and gas saving, but also occupy less agricultural/industrial area.
Yes , expensive to park in storage all year. Fall to spring storage
Yes , with the cost of living the way it is it would save a lot of money in storage costs
Yes , and I would let them have it all year round
Yes , because these are seasonal RV's short term , these RV's are not decreasing property values .
Yes , convenient
Yes , family's are stressed enough, to be able to afford to take the kids camping is huge, families do not need an extra expense to pay for storage..
Yes , from May long weekend-Sept long weekend as long as trailer does not impede the sidewalk
Yes , I support driveway parking. Parking at rv storage facilities are inconvenient and far out of city limits. Many of us have had catalytic converters stolen at these facilities. Keeping rv's close to home would lessen thefts. Also, there are many vehicles that are unsightly that are allowed to be kept on city streets !



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes , if the unit does not extend beyond the private resident property . No, if it encroaches the public sidewalk
Yes , not many parking spots available and storage facilities are too \$
Yes , the amount of accessible street parking is declining
Yes . As this would life a lot better to have more time.
Yes . Because it is such an incovience to pick up trailer, try find parking in front of house (directly) to load, unload etc. This would make it so much easier
Yes . Convenient for packing , repairing , preparing our rv for trips.
Yes . If it fits on my property then it's not illegal. I would think that I can store what I want and need on my property as a right since I pay the property taxes on said property. As long as it is not an eye soar or an encroachment
Yes . Its convenient and does not interfere with site lines or safety .
Yes .. for travel travel or Motorhomes. Seasonal parking for only summer time
Yes / it's really inconvenient for people who use their RVs to go back and forth to storage in the summer months and it pricey. As for esthetics, it really only reduces visibility of my house, which would be the same if I had an overly treed property.
Yes ... ALL 4 seasons
Yes .....not all communities have rear access to their properties
Yes 100 % it is more convenient and would save money on storage . And no to cars parked on the street with flat tires for months or cars that don't run.
Yes 100%
Yes 100%, should be owners discretion on their on property provided it doesn't block neighbour's windows etc.
Yes 100%. We pay enough for our homes and taxes. We should be able to park our trailers in our own yard/driveways which we pay for.
Yes 36 hours is not long enough. It would be safer not to be coming and going with the rv in order to move it around. To bring it home park it secure it. load it check the tires etc to then hook it up pull it out in 36 hours is too rushed. Also to return to park it unhook it. Unload it clean it inside hook it back up drive it back to storage. It's a lot of work for a weekend trip. It would be safer if it could be parked for longer.
Yes 36 hours is too short, visitors may be travelling in an RV, season is short in Calgary so it would be nice to have flexibility during that time.
Yes a period of 5 days is long enough
Yes absolutely
Yes absolutely
Yes absolutely - it is expensive to park your vehicle elsewhere and doesn't negatively impact anyone.
Yes absolutely allow parking on a driveway. It's our property and if the trailer fits on the driveway I don't see the issue
Yes absolutely as long as they are clean and well maintained.
Yes absolutely for small trailers and small boats because so many other large cities in Canada allow it. Calgary city council is out to lunch on this and needs to revise this bylaw immediately.
Yes absolutely I would agree with allowing seasonal parking. We are big campers so we find it a safety concern to leave it fully packed with gear each weekend at our long term parking area. There are thousands of dollars of items inside so for safety reasons keeping the trailer



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

on our driveway would be incredible. Also for ease of getting out of town each weekend. It would just be load and go.
Yes absolutely much more convenient
Yes absolutely this seems like a reasonable compromise.
Yes absolutely! It has never made sense to me why people wouldn't be allowed to park trailers on their property
Yes absolutely!!
-yes absolutely, especially if you need to repair your trailer, like sealing etc. this will take more than 36 hours. the convenience and not having to travel back and forth from the storage for weekend trips. Saving money on storage fees during the camping season.
Yes absolutely, it is more convenient and reduces the needless summer storage fees which are incurred. We often camp back to back weekends and having to unload and park the trailer in storage for 3-4 days between Sunday and Thursday is a nuisance.
Yes absolutely, the current rules are too restrictive.
Yes absolutely.
Yes absolutely. A lot of time we use our rv every weekend. It's nice to be able to keep your fridge full and plugged in. Also nice to be able to service rv during the week so it's ready for use on weekends.
Yes absolutely. As long as the RV fits and does not encroach onto sidewalks, is well kept, etc. Regarding VANS the bylaw officer stated if you take the back seats out of a travel van and throw a mattress & porta potti in the back, its a camper under the new bylaws. Wish we had know that before we purchased a \$125K van, that we now have stored in a storage lot that is very difficult to access in the winter time, so no ski trips. We also have to deal with the RV parking time restrictions which make it difficult in the summer months also for any RV'ers. And why do we have to be gone for 48 hrs before returning to home? Why not 24 hr 1 night stays between the 36 hrs parking to stay legal?
Yes absolutely. As long as the RV fits and does not encroach onto sidewalks, is well kept, etc. Regarding VANS the bylaw officer stated if you take the back seats out of a travel van and throw a mattress & porta potti in the back, its a camper under the new bylaws. Wish we had know that before we purchased a \$125K van, that we now have stored in a storage lot that is very difficult to access in the winter time, so no ski trips. We also have to deal with the RV parking time restrictions which make it difficult in the summer months also for any RV'ers. And why do we have to be gone for 48 hrs before returning to home? Why not 24 hr 1 night stays between the 36 hrs parking to stay legal?
Yes absolutely. Being able to prep for trips and leave at anytime would be very convenient. There is little difference in sight lines between a trailer or a truck/large SUV parked in a driveway.
Yes absolutely. I have a luxury wake boat that fits on my driveway and is used every weekend. It looks a lot better parked on my driveway than my neighbors rusty leaky 1998 Honda civic. I don't see the difference.
Yes absolutely. Makes it much easier for access and getting out of town on weekends. Less stress to families to use their RV or boat during a short season.
Yes absolutely. Our motorhome is a weekly getaway and benefits us through the season on sorts of levels. Having the convenience of parking it all season in front of our house would be most beneficial.
Yes absolutely. Parking is a problem. Especially when you don't have a back lane.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes absolutely. We own these trailers because it's our family tradition to go camp and have fun. Sometimes we need our trailer home a few days before we leave because of work and time it takes to pack and get ready to go.
Yes absolutely. Especially if the trailer is not causing any obstruction to the public.
Yes absolutely. Especially in the summer months.
Yes absolutely. I considered moving away from Calgary because of these restrictions. These laws are used by disgruntled people in the neighborhood by complaining when it has no real impact.
Yes absolutely. I would also support allowing off season parking on one's own property so long as the RV is not unsightly nor causes a safety concern.
Yes absolutely. It's my driveway and my property and I should be able to park it on my property.
Yes absolutely. Mine is too long for my driveway so I have to store it all year but if you can get it in your driveway you should be allowed. You should be able to have it off hitch also on the street in front of your home as many people have to get it loaded up for their trip. For 24 hours.
Yes absolutely. Residents should be allowed to use their driveways for whatever recreational vehicle parking they need.
Yes absolutely. Sometimes owners need an extra couple of days before or after a trip for packing/unpacking, repairs, cleaning and maintainance while also working, taking care of the family. 36 hours seems arbitrary and strict just to be strict.
Yes absolutely. The cost associated with storage is quite high in most cases. You should be able to park it all year long on your driveway. Street time should be limited to 72 hrs.
Yes absolutely. The cost savings and stress related benefits would be huge. As a camper with children, the 36 hours is impossible to abide by. Also the costs savings ca7n allow more family's an opportunity to experience the closeness camping can provide. I know someone w that had to sell there tent trailer because couldn't afford storage
Yes absolutely. They are not ugly to look at when you compare them to unsightly cars, lawns, and houses that are currently seen in Calgary. If laws are followed with proper parking of these RVs and are kept on the owners property, then why not? Even before owning a RV (first time owner in 2022), I could never understand that bylaw that you couldn't park your own RV on your own property. It doesn't make sense.
Yes access and not having to rent a rv lot at a storage yard
Yes all camping season. Thus would be similar to surrounding municipalities. The rules are enforced by complaints which has resulted in very inconsistent enforcement. One street over no complain with trailer in drive way all summer, far end of my street no complaints rv in drive way for month. Three blocks over complaints even within the allowed time frame. Need more time to repair, clean trailer as I get older. Save me a lot of time and let me camp more.
Yes all year to park whatever you got
Yes allow ,some time ago a friend in a culdesac with no acces to his back yard and a new trailer totally in his driveway was forced to store it. no sights lines obstructed.
Yes allow people to park vehicles that are in good working condition.
Yes and 48hrs on the street
Yes and also extending the allowable time for side streets



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes and I also so support annual driveway parking. This is because having to pay for storing a recreational vehicle can be expensive and a potential barrier for people to enjoy recreational activities.
Yes and no! I have a 30' travel trailer and it is too big to be on my driveway and I would not want others to be restricted by it. However, some people have tent trailers that are not very large and can be kept on a driveway/in a garage without any restrictions. The same goes for some travel vans. I feel that small ones that are not too high or too long should not be an issue. There should be restrictions for height/length. There should also be restrictions for how close a vehicle is to the sidewalk as well.
Yes and No. I think you should allow more than 36 hours as I often find that this is insufficient in terms of safety checks (tires, bearings, slide outs, water line flushes, loading, etc.). At the start of RV season I need more than 36 hours..... 72 hours would be more reasonable.
Yes and who cares about esthetics. Who is going to be on the judging panel? I have a trailer from 1960 that looks better than any modern RV. At least that is my opinion. Some of my neighbour's share it and some do not. I'd even pay a monthly fee for a permit to not park it offsite. It would save on fossil fuels and Council says we are in a Climate Emergency so do your part.
Yes and year-round also. Save for any obstructed views causing demonstrated safety issues or access issues any vehicle or related item should be permitted. Esthetics should be left to HOAs. For what it's worth, my fifth wheel would not fit on my long driveway, and if it did, I wouldn't park it there anyway. There is no harm in allowing parking in driveways.
Yes and yes
Yes as a home owner I should have the ability to park my RV on my driveway
Yes as an owner 36 hrs are not sufficient for weekend users like us we have to offload and back to parking before the week starts which ruins sundays atleast with this we can park during weeks and not need to rush
Yes as far it fits in your front driveway not obstructing walkways
Yes as finding winter storage or parking can be difficult, inconvenient and costly.
Yes as I do not see any harm
Yes as I have the room in my driveway with no overhang on the street. Would save me a ton on storage fees and make camping so much easier.
Yes as it a pain to tow it out of the city every time when it can be in front of your house
Yes as it aligns with bylaws in most other comparable jurisdictions.
Yes as it allows people to access their recreational vehicle with ease and reduces extra carbon emissions and environmental impact from them having to take it in and out of storage to their drive away and back every time they go to use it.
Yes as it can be a pain to load and unload things for our trip on a time limit. Especially when you have small children it can take longer to prep for our summer travels
Yes as it does not cause me any concerns
Yes as it eases the additional travel on busy roads in the RV season.
Yes as it is on one's property. Also allows for more street parking.
Yes as it is so time consuming to have to unload your trailer and drive it back up within 3 days. Sometimes it's not possible. If it's not obstructing a driveway or disturbing neighbours. It should be fine!
Yes as it is very inconvenient to only have 36 hours when packing or unpacking a trailer, especially for short weekend trips when time is already tight.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes as it makes sense to allow people to park in front of their house when usage of such units are at a high.
Yes as it promotes ease and frequency of outdoor recreation.
Yes as it provides convenient access to families using their rv for weekend use
Yes as it will allow more flexibility to families to go camping more often due to increased ease of use.
Yes as it would help Calgarians save money during the travel season
Yes as it would make it easier for people who own rvs to do maintenance, to load and unload their rv and save on storage fees.
Yes as it's hard to drive up to the storage area to load up everytime
Yes as it's more convenient for weekend get always and not having to go back and forth to storage. I agree with the esthetics of the unit having to meet standards but that may be difficult to enforce as everyone has different ideas of what looks nice.
Yes as its good for mental health
Yes as it's more convenient to have your RV on your parking area to load your camping needs rather than drive somewhere where expensive storage is available. With owners driving back and forth from storage to pick up their RVs and then taking them back out for a short holiday etc. more fuel will also be consumed thus creating more carbon.
Yes as long as intersections are not visually blocked. We have many T intersections in Silver Springs with treed corner lots being very dangerous. So getting rid of large overgrown trees on corners would be much more important. Maybe add something like the Delta bylaw for corner lot fences height restriction, same for trees and RVs.
Yes as long as it didn't hang into sidewalks or roadways.
Yes as long as it didn't block sidewalk access and was maintained.
Yes as long as it does not block sidewalks, roadways or obscure a neighbors view
Yes as long as it does not block the neighbors site line or cause safety hazards.
yes as long as it does not extend past sidewalk or curb.
Yes as long as it does not negatively impact on the Neighborhood; and the rv is kept in good condition.
Yes as long as it does not obstruct line of vision for drivers .On the street the occasional 2-3 night in a rv is fine with me . As long as it does not obstruct traffic and vision.
Yes as long as it does not obstruct sidewalks or drivers' view of the street and that it meets the municipalities bylaws and regulations.
Yes as long as it does not obstruct the sidewalk and neighbors are able to see when pulling out of their driveway. Perfect for tent-trailers
Yes as long as it does not obstruct visual. My next door neighbour parks his RV in his Driveway and it does not effect me or anyone else negatively. However, if he were to be obstructing the sidewalk or any of my view then it would be an issue.
Yes as long as it doesn't block sidewalks.
Yes as long as it doesn't block street parking
Yes as long as it doesn't block walkway's
Yes as long as it doesn't obstruct sidewalk view when neighbours backing out from driveway
Yes as long as it doesn't obstruct views around corners or intersections
Yes as long as it doesn't touch the sidewalk or obstruct a view when making a turn onto a street.
yes as long as it doesn't affect parking for other neighbors



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes as long as it doesn't block public sidewalks or cause any safety hazards due to the parking location and set up
Yes as long as it doesn't impede the sidewalk
Yes as long as it fits and doesn't block sidewalks.
Yes as long as it fits completely in the driveway, not blocking sidewalk
Yes as long as it fits in the driveway, that's why people pay property tax, so they can use their property. I use a storage facility, but that doesn't mean it should be mandatory.
Yes as long as it fits on the driveway, because we pay for our lot and there is not reason why they shouldn't be allowed. This is the first place I have lived where you are not allowed to park on the driveway during the season.
Yes as long as it fits on your own driveway.
Yes as long as it is not a safety concern
Yes as long as it is not inhabited during that time. Ie not used regularly for camping.
Yes as long as it is not obstructing an intersection view, and not in the path of a sidewalk
Yes as long as it is not obstructing public areas. If the trailer fits on the driveway/parking space of my property I should be able to have it there. This allows convenience and financial savings as well as lowering carbon emissions from not having to go pick it up/drop off at storage.
Yes as long as it is on their driveway they should be able to park whatever they want there
Yes as long as it is parked on a private driveway and not on the street it is acceptable.
Yes as long as it isn't rented out as a dwelling place.
Yes as long as it isn't for weeks on end
Yes as long as it met certain requirements such as not being in poor condition and not blocking sight when others are backing out of their driveway.
Yes as long as it was 5 days or less.
Yes as long as it was on their driveway and did not block side walls or obstruct sight views for traffic
Yes as long as it's ok with neighbours (assuming the neighbours are reasonable) & the unit is in good road worthy condition & can be moved easily if required.
Yes as long as it's within the driveway and not effecting others.
Yes as long as it's completely in the driveway and not sticking out onto the the street or sidewalk.
Yes as long as it's in a spot that is unobtrusive, and not unsightly
Yes as long as it's not obstructing crosswalks, signage, sidewalks, or other driveways.
Yes as long as it's not unsightly. This is because in summer, people come and go with their RV and it's ridiculous to have to unload and load in 36 hrs and then have to drag it to some storage place. Also, it's sometimes used for temporary guests in the summer.
Yes as long as it's on the driveway without blocking sidewalks. It's literally like a car sitting on the drive way its mo different and it's easier for owners to get access to it.
Yes as long as it's still on the driveway.
Yes as long as not over a certain size (ie. 40+ft motor homes).
Yes as long as on private property.
Yes as long as people are not living in the unit.
Yes as long as rv does not block sidewalk or vision entering road way. When you take the trailer out every weekend and have to park it off site then you are forced additional trips resulting in more green house emissions, wasted time and extra gas cost



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes as long as rvs are kept in good shape and not in disrepair.
Yes as long as the end of the RV is 3-4' back from a straight sidewalk and even more on a corner. This allows easy vision up and down the sidewalk.
Yes as long as the entire unit fits on the driveway and does not overhang onto the road or impinge on neighboring properties in any way. Also unit needs to be secured and blocked properly to avoid rolling or moving.
yes as long as the RV does not extend past the driveway or block sightlines.
Yes as long as the RV fully fits on the driveway.
Yes as long as the RV is no unsightly and not in disrepair. Many use their RV most weekends and if not on the property then it is very inconvenient and costly if having to use an RV storage facility.
Yes as long as the RV is not unsightly or lived in. It should be allowed all year round.
Yes as long as the RV/trailer does not infringe on a sidewalk or overhang the curb so as to obstruct the roadway.
Yes as long as the sidewalk is not obstructed I don't see an issue with keeping it on your front driveway from Spring to Fall.
Yes as long as the trailer fits on the parking pad.
Yes as long as the trailer is in usable condition and moves from the property at least once per year.
Yes as long as the unit being parked does not obstruct a sidewalk
Yes as long as the unit can fit on the driveway and not obstruct sight lines when entering traffic.
Yes as long as the unit does not block sidewalks.
yes as long as the unit is fully on the pad and does not impact roadways or sidewalks. In addition the unit cannot be used as accommodation.
Yes as long as the vehicle does not block the sidewalk or view to an alley or roadway
Yes as long as the vehicle is not unsightly and fits entirely on the driveway or parking stall.
Yes as long as the walkway is open I people will get more use and not have to worry about thieves as much if
Yes as long as there are no environmental issues such as sewage running on the road
Yes as long as there are strict rules and guidelines and there is enforcement
Yes as long as there is room and it is not obstructing the city sidewalk
Yes as long as they are not obstructing access to anyone else's property.
Yes as long as they are not on the street or in an alley. They are considered a vehicle and should be allowed to park on driveways just as other vehicles.
Yes as long as they aren't blocking traffic or pedestrians
Yes as long as they do not obstruct the sidewalk
Yes as long as they do not restrict vision for other drivers.
Yes as long as they don't encroach on city property ie: overhang on sidewalk and not unsightly and parked on a parking pad so grass not ground under it.
Yes as long as they don't overhang onto sidewalks or the road
Yes as long as they don't block the sidewalk.
Yes as long as they fit in the driveway and don't protrude on the sidewalk. It is a lot of work and money to store the trailer and bring it back and forth all season.
Yes as long as they were in good condition
Yes as long as vehicle is maintained and moved/used regularly. If parked to rot no



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes as long as you enforce the bylaws, currently there are two houses on my street with RV's in the driveway and another has an unhooked trailer on the road.
Yes as long the trailer remains on the driveway
Yes as long=g as they are with in the property
Yes as many of the new neighbourhoods in Calgary do not have back alley access 36 hours is not enough time. In addition some properties are situated such that a smaller RV does not inhibit anyone's view and should not be restricted to 36 hours
Yes as owners did to be able access RVs to prepare for trips. A maximum of 5 days should allowed.
Yes as some people need a place to bring it home to.
Yes as some properties are far enough back with there driveway that it doesn't effect visibility
Yes as sometimes you get home mid week and only to leave again more than 36 hours. It's a pain to pick up and drop off within a couple days from one another.
Yes as space is tight due to developers and city admin trying to jam as many houses in an area as possible to maximize the money a developer makes and therefore no room to let people enjoy RVs etc with convenience
Yes as storage space is expensive and are either full or long distance
Yes as there is often no other parking options when trying to prepare for travel trips
yes as this clears the roadway for other vehicle access
Yes as used on and off threw spring summer and fall camping. Easy to load ,unload and clean
Yes as well as more time on street in front of home. Sometimes you have to wait for rig service and or driver is l'll for a few days.
Yes as well as street parking for up go 48 hours.
Yes based on listed conditions: RV is not unsightly, does NOT encroach on City property or neighboring properties. Possibly restriction on size of RV allowed for seasonal parking, mainly meant on the very large RV's or Motorhomes (i.e. nothing allowed over 30 feet. nothing over certain height) to protect sightlines, sun / shade, etc.
Yes beachside it makes it easier for rv users to load before a trip. As long as it's in good repair
Yes because 36 hours is inconvenient to move to storage for summer campers, heavy to move for seniors and increased pollution and traffic to move back and forth from storage
Yes because 36 hours is too restrictive
Yes because as an RV owner we are respectful and don't block any of our neighbours. Camping season I very short and we need time to do our cleaning loading and repair work as needed
Yes because Canadians only have 2 months of summer to camp and with the increased cost of living why make it harder for people to camp a few months.
Yes because driveways are your property and you should be allowed to park whatever vehicle on your property
yes because homeowners should be allowed to store their RV on their property for however long they need to. as long as its not on the street and doesnt impede neighbours/pedestrians
YES because I am an RV owner and find it very convenient to have my RV Trailer parked on the front driveway during the camping season. We do not have a lane, backyard access or any other parking pad(s).
I like the thought of seasonal parking is a way of some large municipalities supporting RV



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

owners and residents of the community. Of course, property owners who do not have RVs will be objecting big time.
Yes because I don't drive my van over the winter months
Yes because I have little kids and it's very difficult to load and unload within 36 hours while my wife and I are both working full time.
Yes because I have no access to side or rear parking. The requirement to move trailers back and forth to storage increases their carbon footprint. Storage costs are excessive. There are increased and unnecessary safety risks associated with wasted trips to and from storage.
Yes because I think people should be able to park their trailers on their own property. It is very helpful when trying to prepare for trips or returning from trips to have close access to the trailer. Also, regular maintenance of the trailer is easier to do when the trailer is readily accessible. It is also a convenient place to keep overnight guests.
Yes because if we own it , we should have easy access to it when we want to use it
Yes because if you are an avid camper and camp more than 3 weekends a year, going back and forth to storage is costly.
Yes because is your property on your property. Murderous pit bulls, junk mobiles, yard art and drug addicts are fine but my trailer is offending people?
Yes because it allows people to leave their rv/trailer on their property so their family can get ready for trips, to make repairs, etc.
Yes because it does not negatively impact any neighbors.
Yes because it is a huge perk to be able to do this. It allows families to travel alberta
Yes because it is on personal property and does not impede the road way.
Yes because it is the homeowners property and they should be able to do what they want with it.
Yes because it makes it easier to get in and out of the city each weekend I want to go camping
Yes because it there on their property.
Yes because it there private property
Yes because it will make our life easier.
Yes because it would be very convenient
Yes because it would make things so much easier for people. 36 hours is way too short.
Yes because it would reduce homeowners/RV owners time on the road in the city. An RV parked in driveway is safer than multiple trips on road
Yes because it's easier to load and unload the RV. Make it 3 days for parking.
Yes because it's more convenient when it's camping season
Yes because it's my driveway!
Yes because it's my yard and I have a well taken care of rv that isn't unpleasant to look at.
Yes because it's on your own property off the road. There is a reason why home owners pay property tax and buy homes
Yes because it's our own property!!!!
Yes because it's ridiculous you own property and have a driveway to be able to keep your travel trailer on. With cost of living going up people are gonna do it anyway
Yes because its easier to pack and unpack the trailer. Its easier and convenient to use it every weekend during the summer months.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes because its expensive to store a recreational vehicle and because it's my own property that I pay for and I should be able to store an airplane on my driveway without bylaw or neighbors getting upset
Yes because it's their property .
Yes because it's their property, they can do what they want. As long as it doesn't block road view.
Yes because it's too hard to move a RV around when setting up and taking down during the summer. Usually we need around a 4-5 days to set up everything the first time and around 4-5 days to put everything back.
Yes because of the cost effectiveness and security having it on your own property
Yes because often over the course of the summer I go away on weekends, but come back during the week. It is a painful process to make the trip to the storage lot four times a week at 30 minutes each way.
Yes because our RV storage is far from our house and if we're going camping consecutive weekends for example, it's nice to not have to return it for only a 3-4 days then retrieve it. Also handy when family visits. Also good for sick family members quarantine.
Yes because RV's are generally not unsightly.
Yes because some people camp every weekend and taking it back to storage can be time consuming and a lot of work
Yes because sometimes things need to be renovated or fixed and it is better if you have the right tools on hand to do repairs and we like to load the fridge a day ahead of time in orde for the food to stay frozen
Yes because the majority of people who park them in front yards because they have no access to the backyard.
Yes because there is no where else to park besides storage facilities which are expensive and inconvenient. Having access and parking for RVs allows Calgarians more opportunity to get out and camp & spend time with their families. Only the elite can afford RV storage year round.
Yes because this allows people who work, more freedom to pack and prepare their campers without having to drive it back and forth to the storage. It also frees up more time for those who camp regularly or on weekends.
Yes because this problem is blown out of proportion and enforcement needs to be done on just the bad actors, not everyone. Lots of neighbours throughout Varsity where I live park RVs in contravention of the bylaw but don't seem to attract a fuss.
Yes because to prepare for camping it's nice to make sure the batteries are fully charged along with the fridge being cold & so you dont have to worry about food spoiling.
Yes because who cares what people put on their driveway. And it will likely mean people aren't parking it on the street? If it's a high density area I support not having a RV there but in ward 4 - we have lots of space so it would be nice to be able to leave our boat close
Yes because whoever owns the house pays a lot of taxes
Yes better for the environment
Yes but for 96 hours. The current bylaw should allow front parking for 48 hours.
Yes but for no more than 7 days.
Yes but I feel the time restriction in general is the issue. Many areas do not allow for front or side parking. The street parking restriction of 1.5 days is NOT sufficeint & should be extended.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes but I have seen many large ones blocking sidewalks partially with their hitch. Also you should have to register your RV if you want to park it for the season. Otherwise it will be abused. Plus have very firm dates for the parking.
Yes but it should also be extended to include street parking directly in front of the owners home.
Yes but it should be all seasons
Yes but maintaining the unsightly rule. I wouldn't want my RV on a front driveway for very long either, but with a family and two busy jobs it's hard to go get it from storage and pack it all just a single night. That'd be way too much stress. 36hrs is what? Pickup 8pm + 1 packing day, leave before 8am? Kind of harsh. Nobody would follow that anyway and as long as you're a good neighbor, others likely won't report unless they themselves are bad neighbors. Some with parking boats. Plenty of people take boats out on random weeknights or afternoons, it's not always about being weekend warriors. And if you take your RV every couple weekends? What then?
Yes but my support is just limited to 120 consecutive hours of parking to have enough time to load/unload and clean our RV.
Yes but need size restriction
Yes but not blocking of the sidewalk and only on your driveway. Can't let parking on the street for the summer season because then it becomes a safety issue.
Yes but not for longer than 1 week. Time used to load/unload. There should be a brake in between.
Yes but only if it doesn't reach the sidewalk or roadway. Should not impede visibility at corners and intersections.
Yes but only if they are size/length restricted RVs. Vans or tent trailers or smaller. Safety is the concern. You cannot see a child riding a bike or chasing a ball onto the street over a 5th wheel. The length of the RV is a big factor as currently when trailers are parked in front of a house they tend to over extend into a neighbours driveway partially causing a block. This is barely tolerable for 36 hours.
Yes but only seasonal and dependent on size. If parking an RV in a front driveway reduces street parking for those that need it for their residences, I do not support this whatsoever.
Yes but request this be extended to allow additional time to park on the street in front of a residence. 36 hours is not much time to load a trailer and those of us without front driveways have no other options
Yes but should be year round
Yes but sometimes right in front of the driveway is dangerous for the neighbors getting in and out of their driveway. It would be nice to park the rv in a safe.location close to the home like a long a side fence or across the road.
Yes but there would need to be a size restriction
Yes but to a certain extent. I think 7-10 days would be great. Sometimes we like to go away weekends back to back and that would make it easier. However I don't like the idea of rvs parked outside someone's house all summer
Yes camping is a big part of my family's life and we have many friends that spend a lot of extra time and money having to drive longer to store trailers just to turn around and get them a couple days later for the next weekend
yes cause why the heck ot
Yes- convenience and ease during camping season.
Yes convenient



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes- cost and convenience of having to store it somewhere else when needing to access it frequently
Yes costs for storage are high.
Yes definitely as long as it does not block or come over the sidewalk
Yes definitely because lots of people on them and I don't see what the problem is all about especially parking on your own driveway. We don't all have back lanes
Yes definitely! If its seasonal, it's not permanent. This is a great benefit for people as costs for space rentals are soring and if your unit fits on the driveway and doesn't impact anyone else there shouldn't be a problem.
Yes definitely, 36 hours is barely enough time to load or unload and clean or charge before a trip. I often need to plug in to turn on fridge make beds load clothes and food. That can take a few days if you work as well. Also when getting home from a long trip 36 hours is a bit rushed to clean everything out before storing. If we had neighbours that held us to those rules it would be awful.
Yes definitely.
Yes definitely. It saves us time and expense of putting our trailer in garage in between camping trips,
Yes definitely. The current bylaws does not give RV owners enough time to prepare the RV for camping.
Yes depending on the size of RV and provided fully contained on driveway (not encroaching on roadway or sidewalk)
Yes driveway parking once it does nit obstruct side walks or sight lines for safe circulation of vehicles and pedestrians. Time is valuable and having to use remote storage is an unnecessary waste of time.
Yes during camping season or April 1 to October 31 still complying with street sweeping and other activities the city needs to accomplish. For street parking, it should not be allowed if it creates only a single lane of travel on the street.
Yes during camping season trailers should be allowed on peoples property's including driveways.
Yes during May to October During those months we often come for 3-7 days to restock and 36 hours just isn't long enough
Yes during May to September
Yes during peak RV season to allow working calgarians to easily access their recreational vehicles for vacation
Yes during summer months
Yes- during summer months when people are camping most weekends it's ridiculous to have to pull a camper in and out of storage every week when a camper can easily fit in a driveway without obstructing anything. Camping promotes healthy recreation and supports our provincial parks, which should be supported not discouraged by making it harder to get out of the city.
Yes during the April through to Oct season for registered/licensed
Yes during the summer months let people park there tv in the driveway
Yes- especially for security reasons. Occasionally we have moved our RV to street parking to ensure we comply with the 36 hr bylaw restriction between trips- on one occasion we had the catalytic converter stolen off of it and due to its location it was not in the sight lines of our property's security camera or security lights.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes especially for smaller units that do not protrude into City Property
Yes especially with inflation. It costs between \$500 -\$800 to leave a trailer at a storage lot. This money could be kept in people's pockets.
Yes even though I cannot do it I feel for those that can they would save on increasing parking costs
Yes flexibility and reducing unnecessary transport costs and pollution
Yes for a time limit of 3 consecutive weeks.....some make take advantage of seasonal parking and park their RV for the entire season without ever moving or using it to save on storage costs. The entire RV must fit on the driveway and not hang over or encroach on city sidewalk or roadway and not be parked on front yard.
Yes for about a week. Maybe 2 weeks.
Yes for all of the benefits noted, convenience, savings from security yards and sense of security.
Yes for convenience and it is on the Customers property. It would need to be monitored to ensure that it's not abused or excessively used. For example if there's a lot of people doing this it will become an eyesore and other problems will occur
Yes for convenience for frequent weekend trips. The season is so short and it would be helpful to be able to park during the week to do the necessary cleaning and stocking up without taking up precious weekend time.
Yes for convenience of being able to load, unload repair etc. More convenient to be ready to head out camping and the unit doesn't have to be unloaded everytime. It can be plugged in and batteries charged, refrigerator left on etc. many storage lots don't have adequate security and items of any value must be removed before storage.
Yes for convenience, cost effectiveness and sense of security.
Yes for convenience. People already block sight ways with storage containers, and other large vehicles
Yes for convenience. We camp a lot less because of the inconvenience of having to drive and get our trailer out of storage, then bring it back home to pack it with supplies.
Yes for conveniences and cost
Yes For convenience as we dont always pack or unpack in one day. I still pay for seasonal parking but have to waste fuel and time moving it back and forth. With our motorhome this takes 2 vehicals to do this.
Yes for ease of people to go away and get prepared
Yes for owner use only not for rental business like rveasy etc
Yes for permanent parking with conditions; if all tax payers/home owners are treated equally such that properties that don't have front yard driveways be allowed (by changing Transportation Laws) for all home owners to have the option to park their RVs on a new front driveway. In addition, if all had equally opportunity to front yard part RVs then a setback rule should also apply to control visibility and eye sore encroachment ie. Same as current setbacks for new developments.
For temporary, all to have front driveways,no setback conditions but permit all for 4-5 days.
For temporary on street or side street, an extension for 4-5 days should be sufficient for one to clean and restock RVs.
Yes for practicality purposes

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes for seasonal. Savings in cost and environmental impact with driving trailer back and forth to storage (20 minutes away). Also an impact on time. Maybe extend the timeline to 10 days? If we are going out the following weekend we could keep it on the driveway that week.
Yes for security reasons. If the rv vehicle is in good condition and secured properly there should not be a restriction in how long it sits on my driveway or anywhere in my property line
Yes for SMALL trailers and tent trailers.
Yes for smaller RVs
Yes for smaller trailers and motor homes
Yes for summer only and if moved after 48 hrs
Yes for sure as long as it's not a safety hazard.
Yes for sure we should allow seasonal front driveway parking, My family camps almost every weekend in the summer. The biggest hassle is when we have to take the trailer to the storage on Sunday and pick it up again on Wednesday to leave on Friday. It is safer to leave the trailer on the drive than taking back to storage. Trailers look really nice and they don't obstruct sightlines on the front driveway.
Yes for sure. A travel trailer doesn't obstruct sight lines any more then massive trees. I'm also not sure what safety concern there is either.
yes for the benefits noted above.
Yes for the convenience as long as the RV is in good condition
Yes for the reasons noted above. Plus, homeowners own their driveway so should be able to park their vehicles on it.
Yes for the summer maybe max of a week at a time to allow for loading etc current time to short - should be ok on street for 5 days
Yes from June to end of September
Yes front driveway but not front street
Yes full support! If the unit does not impede the use of the sidewalk or roadway and does not create a visual driving hazard, they should be able to be stored in the front driveway of residential homes.
yes give us more opportunity to have friends & family visit during that season
Yes gotta
Yes happens now already. But would want reinforcement that it is on a driveway or pad. People that park on front lawns I would want to be excluded. Around my home these look ugly and with rain cause muddy sidewalks
Yes- helpful for people to be able to load, clean and pack between camping trips. Very difficult to bring back and forth to storage locations.
Yes- helps families out financially and allows people a longer time to prepare their rv for a trip with out getting a fine
Yes however I would add that we do not have driveway parking. We have lane parking and front street parking... I would consider extending the length of time they can be there from 36 hours to 72 hours for preparation of RV for trips.
Yes however if the rv is parked in the driveway completely on the driveway you should be able to park it like your vehicle all year long.
Yes I absolutely would. It's my property, it doesn't cause an obstruction to anyone and isn't a safety risk. I should be allowed to park my [removed] on my property without fear of getting a letter because some idiot reported me
Yes I am in favour. It is very difficult during the summer to unload and reload a trailer when you are using it each weekend. I'm also confused as to why there would be a limit on this as

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

it is on our own property. Assuming the RV is not an eye sore or rodent magnet and is only parked there during the camping season it shouldn't be a concern to any neighbors. We have to pay \$75 per month to store it for less than half of the month which seems silly when we own a house with a driveway.
Yes I believe any RV that fits entirely on ones front driveway and is not unsightly or broken down and does not obstruct your neighbours sightlines should be able to park their RV on their front driveway 24 / 7. I say this because to me it doesn't make a difference whether it is a RV or a large truck or work van.
Yes I believe as home owners, people should be able to park whatever they want on their own property. I think it should be more then just seasonal as many people use their RVs in the winter/fall/spring to winter camp, hunt etc... Having my RV at home is a sense of security for breakins but also safety for my health in being able to maintain it and look after the RV season long.
Yes I believe if it's on your own driveway it should be allowed ! For spring and summer only
Yes I believe if you pay for your property you should be allowed to park your RV on your parking stall.
Yes I believe RV's should be allowed to park on private property seasonally
Yes I believe you should be allowed if this is on your own property you pay the taxes on. As long as it is not a safety concern, blocking site, corners, sticking over the sidewalk etc.
Yes I can load and go without time to but some guidelines is key on condition, no overhang etc
Yes I can see that it would make the RV more easily accessible to the owners.
Yes I definitely would support seasonal RV parking in driveway or on street directly in front owners residence. The convenience of being able to load and prepare leisurely for an up coming trip or vacation without worrying about getting a ticket for a legally registered vehicle in front of your residence. Many RV's are much more esthetically pleasing than many trucks or cars partially chopped or restored sitting in driveways or finished enough to be licensed to be allowed to park on the street.
Yes I do support it ! It's super convenient to clean, restock food, recharge and get ready for the next trip. Getting in and out of storage would consume a lot of time.
Yes I do support it. If the RV fits on a persons driveway and does not affect pedestrian walkway or the visibility for passing traffic it will give the owner a lot of benefits such as more time and access to their RV. It will also elevate additional travel to collect a trailer in time and return to storage. It should also give them some financial relieve if the RV can be stored on the drive way as they would not be required to pay for storage for this period.
Yes I do support it. We pay our taxes and if we have room on our driveway to keep the RV there during camping season it should be allowed. Why pay more money to store it . Also it beneficial to load and unload before and after returning home.
Yes I do support seasonal parking. As a single mom, working full time, I don't always have the luxury of time to unload, clean and return my trailer in 36hrs. I have also had camping trips booked with only 2 or 3 days in between and it's a challenge to have to clean/return the trailer only to turn around and have to pick it up again. I'm just looking for a little bit of flexibility. The camping season is short so I don't think it is unreasonable to extend parking time limits.
Yes I do support this as some people could be renovating, repairing, or loading up their RVs





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I do support this. As long as the RV is in the driveway and not on the street I think this would be beneficial for RV owners and have very little impact on others
Yes I do. It should really be none of the city's business what I park on my driveway and for how long anyways. The city can't even provide proper services to maintain the weeds on our boulevards. Maybe direct more resources to keep up the city's appearance and less resources to overseeing the citizens like you are the all mighty overlords.
Yes I do. As someone who lived in a area where seasonal parking was permitted it's very convenient as an owner. It allows me to have access to my trailer to clean/load/unload/etc when I'm available due to my busy schedule. Not everyone works Mon-Fri 9-5 so having it available during the week is significantly more convenient. The place I lived would have 10-15 trailers in the neighborhood and nobody ever cared or thought it was unsightly. It was just accepted as part of summer
Yes I do. It is convenient during camping season for owners to not have to drive back and forth to storage facilities. Often it is very difficult to load or unload in 36 hours.
Yes I do. Why discriminate between a truck and a boat. As long as it fits on your property and is not an incremental safety risk it should be allowed
Yes i don't think it creates a problem for others.
Yes I fully support front driveway parking not only seasonal but it should be year round.
Yes I fully support seasonal front driveway parking as this allows home owners to have access to their trailers during the season. As along as it does not cause issues for their neighbors.
Yes I fully support this. In fact I support allowing year round parking because it is my property and my land and camping is expensive enough that people shouldn't be expected to place an RV in storage.
Yes I hate the way this city tries to waste my money, time and effort
Yes I have no issues with campers being stored on driveways
Yes I live in a cul de sac that backs on to a city park so have no access to the backyard
yes i see no problem with the home owner parking their RV on their front driveway as long as it used periodically
Yes I see no problem with this ,
Yes I see no reason why an RV can not be parked on a drive way on a seasonal bases, provided it is being used on a regular bases. Just to park an RV on a drive way with out being used regularly is not expectable.
Yes I support
Yes I support
Yes I support allowing front driveway parking of trailers. I think trailers are a great way to travel with a family and I think it's hard to get all maintenance done in 36 hours on a trailer.
Yes I support allowing longer time on the street because: 1) everyweekend campers should not have to take it to the storage yard between camping trips. 2) a minimum two weeks should be allowed for effiecincy of camping and preparing instead of taking it away.
Yes I support allowing rv parking in front driveways, because it is your property, convenient, affordable. I have the only driveway on our block and this would not obstruct anyones sightline.
Yes I support allowing rvs to be parked in front driveway, I pay my taxes that are about 2 grand too expense. And so does anyone else who owns rvs. And the fact that this is a FREE country.
Yes I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking because these known benifites.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Allows for minor repairs and maintenance to be done more easily as well as less time and fuel spent bringing it back and forth from storage lot
Yes I support allowing seasonal parking on front driveways. 1) My community doesn't have back alleys. As such, there is no way to access my backyard to store my RV. 2) The current law discriminates against anyone who is not retired, because we are forced to take an extra vacation day off both before and after our trips to load and unload the RV and comply with the law. This basically means that we are excluded from being able to use our RV's on the weekend.
Yes I support allowing seasonal parking. Especially if it's just on or in front of your own driveway
Yes I support allowing this if they are not taking up parking spots or unsightly. They would help us get out more as paying for storage and then camping is very costly.
Yes I support as long as it doesn't block sidewalks.
Yes I support driveway seasonal parking. Seasonal is a subjective term & needs to be fully defined. e.g. May through September. It is a very real benefit to have your RV present to prepare for camping outings.
Yes I support for a couple of reasons. Cost of storage facilities. With everything going up allowing rv owners to save on the cost of storage during rv season could allow more people to enjoy the activity. It more convenient to use your rv reducing stress and hassle of going out camping or travelling.
Yes I support front driveway parking as well as street parking if people have no driveway to park them
Yes I support how does it hurt anyone if it fits on the driveway
Yes I support it
Yes I support it
Yes I support it 100 percent. It's there private property they should be able to park it anywhere on there property aslong as it doesn't block a side walk
Yes I support it as long as it is not obstructing the walkway
Yes I support it so you can keep an eye on your investments
Yes I support it, it is convenient and also the sense of security. Not so sure about the cost effectiveness as we will still have to pay for our monthly spot. It would be nice if we had back to back trips, that we would not have to return our trailer for a couple of days. If not spring to fall then extend the time.
Yes i support it, people should be allowed to park any vehicle they want on their property.
Yes I support it. We have a corner lot so this does not personally affect our parking. However RV Lifestyle is beneficial to everyone. It gets people out and interacting with one another, promotes fitness activities and healthy people cost the government less money. Anything the government can do to encourage people to get out and active as much as possible is a win for everyone. A vehicle at home that can be easily accessed with help.
Yes I support it. Benefit of time and convenience makes total sense.
Yes I support it. Homeowners should have some autonomy over the use of their property.
Yes I support it. I feel that people should be able to use their property as they are fit. That's why it's THEIR property. As long as it's not obstructing anything, I don't see a problem.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

However, sidewalks, garbage collection, etc. must be respected and unobscured for the benefit of the community
Yes I support it. If you own a house that has room for your rv to be parked, it should be allowed. It makes no sense that the city can tell you what you can and can't park on your own property.
Yes I support it. It has its benefits as indicated above. Most importantly, is cost effective for homeowners with RVs that can't afford the high cost of RV storage. I don't believe it's a safety issue, obstructing sight lines or esthetics that is the problem. If there is room on your driveway or property to store an RV that should be allowed.
Yes I support it. People are too poor these days to pay for storage and why should they if they can store their own on their own property.
Yes I support people being able to use their driveways to park any vehicle/ trailer at any time of the year. If it is obstructing a view drivers need to be more cautious. The same as if there were a tree obstructing a view. Parking on your personal driveway is also much more preferable then parking on the street as this takes up parking for neighbours as well as blocks views more then if it were in a driveway.
Yes I support RV parking for the season. If I want to take my boat or camper out on a last minute trip, it would be far too inconvenient to first get to a storage facility out of town, prepare the vehicle to go and then return to only put it in storage again. I have a large driveway specifically for the summer storage of my truck camper and boat. They sit at the top of the driveway near my house and do not impact neighborhood aesthetics or sight lines.
Yes I support RVs being allowed to park on private property. It is not only a cost savings to the owners, but saves fuel to and from off site storage multiple times a year and is more secure. I do believe adequate area should be provided where the unit doesn't impede sidewalks or block visibility for neighbors, perhaps a short set back rule.
Yes I support seasonal driveway parking as well as increased parking time on residential streets from 36 hours to 96 hours.
Yes I support seasonal driveway parking so long as the trailer fits appropriately on the drive way and does not encroach on side walks or road way.
Yes I support seasonal driveway parking, for the reasons listed above.
Yes I support seasonal front drive parking for rvs.
Yes I support seasonal front drive way parking. It is on private property and does not interfere with road views. Trailers are no more a obstruction of view that a bush, tree, car or SUV parked on the road or drive way. We would have used our trailer for COVID safety isolation if it were not for the bylaw.
Yes I support seasonal front drive way parking. Apart from the additional savings in parking fees and cost for gasoline while driving trailers to and from storage, there is a impact on the environment when the trailer is being driven back and from loading, cleaning, camping etc. Having the trailer on out property ensures that we are able to pack and clean and be readily avail be for our use thus saving time and costs.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking as well as on street in front of townhouses/condos. The way houses are being built with little no no space in alleys or yards to have the option to park on property and the off site locations are too far out of the city to manage cleaning and packing.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking, it saves Calgarians money putting the RVs away in storage, the RVs are the property of the owner and should be allowed to be placed on a driveway out of the way unless specific reasons such as unsightly or obstructing sight



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

lines. Ease of access to personal RVs on driveways should be given, rather than wasting unnecessary emissions on retrieving the RV from storage everytime the owner wants to use it.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. Allows for owners quick access their unit 6 months of the year and saves owners the cost of storage.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. I feel that I pay taxes on my property and I should be able to park the unit where I want. I don't see if there is an age limit it should be something to consider. I can't see where safety is an issue but can understand how some would complain about esthetics. Obstructed sightline though is a consideration. I have a neighbour that parks a 5th wheel on the street for 48 hours plus and it is tough to see around coming out of my drive way.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. If it doesn't obstruct anything, leave the people alone
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. In fact, I don't see a problem with year-round front parking either. From an esthetics standpoint, I don't think a trailer on someone's driveway is an eye-sore, most trailers nowadays are very nice looking. From an esthetics standpoint I have more of a problem with a rusted out hunk of junk car on someone's driveway. And not sure how a trailer obstructs sightlines.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. It is much safer than parking on the road, which obscures traffic more than on a driveway. As long as the trailer doesn't impact the sidewalk I have no issues with it. It would make camping more accessible to Calgarians and make camping trips less stressful.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. It's temporary, it's convenient and if it's on a homeowner's driveway, it's not hurting anyone
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. Pros: cost reduction, practical, and safe.
Yes I support seasonal front rv parking.
Yes i support seasonal Front RV, Trailer parking with conditions that it will not be rented out as that brings more non sense activities to the neighborhood, The reason i support it, is so that the owners can keep an eye on it and save some \$\$ from a storage facility and if it needs repairs or it's being worked on to remodel it, It is easier to work on it at home then some where else.
Yes I support seasonal parking for convenience and affordability for the owners as long as the RV is parked in a safe place.
Yes I support seasonal parking for motorhome on streets and driveway and Other RV type on driveway.
Yes I support seasonal parking for RV's The current bi-law is to restrictive and discriminates against RV owners. Being able to park your RV in front of your house either on the driveway or on the street allows for owners to do maintenance and pack with out the fear of getting a ticket. RV's are expensive items and having the ability to park it in front of your house allows for better security, and access to the RV for the owner.
Yes I support seasonal parking for RV's!! We live in a big city with A LOT of construction equipment trailers (Bobcats/mini excavators) that some times sit for a week in front of residential homes. And they don't seem to be bother people/residents
Yes I support seasonal parking in front yards. When you are camping regularly it is more convenient. Often the fridge has food that you need in the house between trips and when parked in the back yard it is difficult to get at things you may need between trips. Our

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

motorhome looks good. For those who park away from home it is definitely more convenient to have their motor home nearby. It also costs money for gas to travel to a storage location.
Yes I support seasonal parking on street front of property for smaller RV and for large rv on driveway only
Yes I support seasonal parking, Cost, convenience. Moving the RV in and out of the community so often poses other risks.
Yes I support seasonal parking. I would also say I support leaving it parked at a home indefinitely as well. Tired of all the rules and bylaws controlling citizens and what we can do on our own properties.
Yes I support Seasonal parking. It seems like a reasonable compromise between maintaining/operating an RV versus those who would just leave it in the driveway to deteriorate.
Yes I support seasonal. Towing an RV back and forth to a storage facility is time consuming
Yes I support so there is more time to clean, organize and prep the trailer safely for travelling. I think allowing 5 days to be parked on the driveway would be reasonable.
Yes i support that the owner of his or her property to do whatever they like. Its their property.
Yes I support the parking on the front driveway from spring to fall. It makes no sense to not allow a recreational vehicular parking on your front driveway especially if you are using it every weekend.
Yes I support the right for an individual homeowner to park their RV in their driveway as long as it does not impede on the neighbours property or interfere with street/sidewalk use. Other cities that border Calgary (Airdrie, Cochrane) allow their citizens to seasonally park and I think it is a reasonable to request that Calgarians enjoy the same benefit of easy access to their RV as they do.
YES I support this as I believe this will lessen ally interruption. As I am a homeowner I would not like my neighbour's tying up my alley hooking/ unhooking all the time nor would I want to impose myself blocking off my alley from my neighbours.
Yes I support this as it allows residents to prepare for weekend trips to other parts of Alberta
Yes I support this as it makes more sense to let people that have front driveways to park them for the season. It does not detour a neighborhood with trailers on personal property. Currently in the city there are neighborhoods that i see RV's parked year round and no one complains and we know people who get bylaw on their doorsteps almost as soon as they get home from a long weekend. This is also not fair.
Yes I support this for sure. RV seasonal front driveway parking is a convenient and cost-effective way for RV owners to store their vehicles and have peace of mind that their investment is secure. This will promote healthy life.
Yes I support this! Storage unit always convenient and is getting too costly. As long as does not interfere with side walk or obstruct view I see no issues
Yes I support this. RV storage parking can add a lot of extra driving time. For those that go often, it's much easier to park at home for the week, gone every weekend
Yes I support this. It could reduce RV traffic on roads for owners by having to transport RVs to and from storage areas and therefore reduce GHGs. It's more convenient for owners. And they have visibility as to who is around their RV possibly reducing theft and vandalism.
Yes I support this. Limited storage space in summer, cost of storage and distance from home are all factors.
Yes I support this. You should actually be able to park your RV on your own driveway year round. If its not on city property it shouldn't be an issue.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I support tv front driveway and street parking
Yes i support It harms no one
Yes I support. It makes using the RV a lot more convenient and cost effective. The savings from not having to pay for parking I'm sure would allow more people to do more camping. Also when having to do any maintenance or be repairs having your unit at your residence makes this a lot more convenient as well.
Yes i support. When it comes to a small famkly like mine the extra cost of storage fee's, gas to and from storage, the waste of time in transport. It doesnt make sense why you wouldnt be allowed to store your own vehicle on your own property (driveway). Since currwntly i have to store my trailer, every few weekends that we would like to go out, it impossible with the extra time to grab and load the trailer, when it should be easily accessable outside my residence. The extra hour or two to pick up and drop off makes it quite difficult to organize a weekend away with the young children i have
Yes I support.  I think the sightline consideration is not very valid considering the same obstruction occurs from large pickup trucks, SUV's, etc.  Similarly, the aesthetic consideration his highly subjective, given people appear to be allowed to store non-plated, non-driveable vehicles year-round in the same setbacks because they are not RVs.
Yes I supporting seasonal parking on front driveways. If parked respectfully and discreetly I see no issue. As long as it's not being used as an extension of the residence
Yes I think as long as it is on the property and in working condition, it should be allowed to be there without question.
Yes I think families should be able to park their trailers in their parking lots. As it stands, it's really hard to plan back to back weekend trips because tm we don't have a back alley or side street parking
Yes I think it is a matter of convenience.
Yes I think it should be allowed to a degree. 36 hours seems a bit short. I would support a longer duration like 84 hours. My thinking that it would allow for a family to visit over a long weekend for example.
Yes I think it's a good idea
Yes I think this should be allowed. Most people use their rvs on weekend so they are typically gone on weekends and itbis easier to park on your driveway during the week. This also gives people time to take acre of their rvs and prep them for weekend trips.
Yes I would
Yes I would
Yes I would
yes I would - in our neighborhood you do see a lot of backyard and sideyard RVs. Getting them in and out is not always easy and i think results in seeing them on the street a bit more often in season. Its much more convient if your property has it to use the front/offstreet parking if it fits your trailer.
Yes I would . I believe the property owner who is parking their property on their property should be able to do that. As long as the RV does not extend off the driveway and onto the street or past property lines it should be allowed.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would 1000% support this initiative.
Yes I would absolutely support this. Not only is it an added convenience for RVers but it also supports the city's current climate change goals. Forcing RV owners to regularly move their RVs off their driveway to remote facilities creates more vehicle pollution. I do not feel that site lines are an issue as larger vehicles already block those and the reduced speed limits in residential areas also is already helping to mitigate such concern.
Yes I would agree this is a good idea. Convenience and safety for rv owners in Calgary.
Yes I would agree. It makes more sense to be able to leave it for a couple days.
Yes I would allow all year round. You should be able to do what you want on your property.
Yes I would allow it seasonally. Some storage facilities are far and sometimes simply winterizing or cleaning after a trip takes longer. May to October should allow homeowners to park on their driveways as long as it does not impede traffic.
Yes I would allow seasonal front driveway parking. Some times it is hard to work around work and life schedules to pick up the RV within one day of departing. So it would be nice to be able to have a couple of extra days to park or unpack the RV. Plus with three kids sometimes it takes more then a day to get all of the laundry done that needs to go back into the RV.
Yes I would allow seasonal parking. If you are using your trailer regularly, the additional cost of moving it into and out of the storage unit can be substantial.
Yes I would allow this. Your property is your sanctuary. As long as it is on your property and not an eye sore, rust bucket, it should be allowed.
Yes I would allow, as long as people didnt take advantage and park on the street.
Yes I would as gets the vehicle off the road for loading and unloading that takes more than 36 hours
Yes I would as long as the rv is in good condition, isn't over sized and doesn't block any roadways or sidewalks.
Yes I would as long as there licensed and not a eye sore le falling apart
Yes I would be in favour of allowing small RV's on driveways. They are not a eyesore and do not effect neighbours.
Yes I would be supportive of allowing them to be parked on driveway during the summer.
Yes I would because taking and even back and forth to storage all time takes a lot of time and people like my husband who already find it hard enough to take time off for camping it's just an added stress.
Yes I would because the impacts described here do not appear to me to be significant and the necessity to return an RV to a storage lot after each use during the summer season seems to me to be quite onerous for regular users. Not every property has an alley or adequate rear parking space.
Yes I would but why just seasonal, there are campers that go year round. You are assuming that your narrow thinking fits everyone. Year round parking on our own driveways. Since we all pay property tax. We should be able to put what we want on our property. IE like the semi's in the NE that dont get ticketed I.
Yes I would definitely support front driveway parking. I understand the reasoning but having to store the trailer during camping season adds half a day off work so we are unable to do weekend trips. I understand winter storage as they are not used as often ( although we winter camp) and it can get in the way of drive way snow cleaning. Our storage is also a far



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

distance from our house which adds 1/4 tank of gas to every trip. This is a environmental and financial issue
Yes I would for seasonal. Allows easier access between weekends
Yes I would fully support allowing seasonal front driveway RV parking at no cost to the owner because the benefits far outweigh the downsides. Additionally, it is often difficult for RV owners to find a spot to park their RV, especially a spot near their house. I have seen very unsightly/poor condition vans/trucks parked on driveways, so if people are allowed to park large vans on their driveway they should also be allowed RVs as well.
Yes I would fully support being able to park the trailer in the front drive from June-September. Why? Because as a home owner I should be able to park my trailer in my driveway during camping season. We go camping on the weekends and having to put it in the driveway to unpack and then having to re hook up (on a nice mind you where it is very busy is very stressful) and take it to a storage area and have to pay for that as well is beyond a hassle when you have to repack it on Friday for the wknd
Yes I would fully support front driveway parking not only for seasonal, but all year. With everything going up in price, this would be a sustainable way of saving money from not paying storage/lot fees as well as being able to safely keep your belongings on your property under your own security.
Yes I would fully support this! As a tent trailer owner and mother of 4 young children not having quick access to get to my trailer makes it hard to be able to use it. Especially when it's small enough to be on my driveway.
Yes I would given that the size of trailer is of reasonable size.
Yes I would I have lived in towns that allow seasonal parking and it has never been an issue.
Yes i would If it is on your property .
Yes I would it doesn't affect anyone it's your own driveway
Yes I would like it and it would make maintenance and repair work easier.
Yes I would like that more time should be allowed for RV in front driveway perhaps for the summer season at least.
Yes I would provided your RV does not block a road or sidewalk.
Yes I would so long as the vehicle is not derelict, fits on the driveway and is not a safety hazard. Our rv fits on the driveway and is not an eye sore. We camp most of the season from May to October so the amount of time our rv is in the driveway is very short but sometimes over 36 hours. We end up paying for a spot on a lot that we really only need off season. Given the economic situation, it would be welcome to save some cash because camping itself is not as affordable as it once was.
Yes I would support allowing driveway parking as long as the rv doesn't not extend past the driveway onto sidewalks or roads
Yes I would support allowing driveway parking with certain limitations. Why? Convenience of use, mostly. Sometimes there's maintenance or modifications or upgrades that is best done where your tools are and family is. Also frequency of RV use. If you typically use it every weekend there is much time saved by having it at home. Would I want to have to look at a neighbours ALL SUMMER if they weren't using it often? No not at all. This should be a privilege and not be abused.
Yes I would support allowing driveway parking. It is the property owner's right to use their property (Driveway/yard) as they see fit if it does not pose a safety concern to neighbors or pedestrians. Unsightly RVs or vehicles under this designation mentioned above could be

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

considered a subjective definition, but if the RV is in roadworthy condition the owner of the property should be permitted to park it on their driveway.
Yes I would support allowing RV's to park on driveways on properties between may long weekend and Labour Day each year allowing for owners of rV's to store, clean unload and load for camping and vacation trips. The current 36 hours is prohibitive. Allowing parking in the driveway also reduces some emissions issues as the RV is not being wasteful driving to and from the storage unit every week. There should be a limit on side of RV in the drive way.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front drive parking, as long as the RV is in good condition, and not hindering sightlines. RV storage can be expensive, inconvenient, and sometimes hard to obtain.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking, in fact I would support allowing year round parking because its private property and I do not support the government telling people what they can and cannot put or park on their private property. The Municipal Government of Calgary needs to learn to stay in its lane, and work more efficiently because property taxes are also out of control and need to be decreased.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. As an RV owner I believe the benefits far outweigh the cons. For instance, I typically use my RV, every weekend or every other weekend during the summer months. It is very time consuming, and a waste of energy resources, such as gasoline, to drive my RV back and forth to a parking facility, for only a few days, just so I have drive back and pick it after 3 days.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Many properties, in Ward 4, are very large and can easily accommodate an RV with zero impact. People buy homes in Ward 4 because they can legally park an RV in the back or side, but each property is unique. Some people have an alley or access to the rear of their property, while others do not. It would be fair for everyone, especially those with a large frontage (front yard = driveway) to also have the benefit of parking an RV on their property/driveway.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Our Calgary summers are short and by allowing seasonal parking one can maximum the enjoyment of the season.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Typically I find that families coming home from a camping trip on a Sunday evening may or may not have an opportunity to unpack/unload their trailer and get everything ready to go back to their storage space within the allotted time. Especially if anything unexpected comes up or if they have young children that attend school and go to bed an an early hour and the adults also work. It is a very tight time frame
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front parking for loading and unloading purposes and as long as the trailer does not impede vision or the sidewalks.
Yes I would support as I am paying ever increasing property tax and should be able to use my property to the fullest
Yes I would support as many of us bring the units home and are able to easily leave on the weekends to go out to camp
Yes i would support as there is need to use for loading and unloading
Yes I would support driveway parking because that is personally property. If the RV is not affecting anyone else it should be allowed.
Yes I would support extending the parking for seasonal usage. This allows people to make use of their space. Preparations and repairs on trailers can sometime take some time.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Longer than the 36 hours. Parking at storage yards are at a premium and sometimes are not even available.
Yes I would support front driveway parking as long as it meets above requirements.
Yes I would support front driveway parking. As long as your trailer can fit on your driveway and not impede the sidewalk.
Yes I would support having an RV on driveway during the spring to fall time line.
Yes i would support it as long as it doesnt stick out on to the sidewalk if you have one in front of your property.
Yes I would support it as long as it was not impacting pedestrian traffic.
Yes I would support it as long as people weren't living in it
Yes I would support it because it doesn't hurt anyone as long as it isn't blocking a sidewalk or street sign.
Yes I would support it for seasonal, but how will this be enforced? Right now there are several RV's parked in driveways that Bylaws does nothing about. As a responsible citizen, I have had to pay to keep my RV off the street and driveway in the past. This doesn't seem consistent with every situation.
Yes I would support it! I think it's a great way to save calgarians money. Considering a lot of homes nowadays do not have back alleys or any access to their back yards it would be wonderful to allow certain RVs park out front as long as they are clean and not leaking any fluids etc I think it would be fine.
Yes I would support it, 36 hours is sometimes not enough to load or unload, clean and conduct maintenance on an RV.
Yes I would support it.
Yes- I would support it.
Yes I would support it. During the camping season people camp often sometimes every weekend. Having to move an rv to storage because it exceeds 36 hrs parking would save on unnecessary trips back and forth to storage, reduce unnecessary traffic due and provide a greater convenience to the rv owners.
Yes I would support it. Because "safety" and "esthetics" are poor reasons not too. Safety is too vague. Esthetics is completely void by the fact that the city hires awful companies that do a terrible job cutting grass/weeds. In our community (Copperfield) there is a group of residents that clean up and do a better job after the city has sent a sub par group to do the work. So if you're worried about esthetics as a city, focus on your lane before encroaching on personal property.
Yes I would support it. Ease of use, flexibility, and cost savings. It currently feels like I can't use the property that I own (driveway) in a way the supports us
Yes I would support it. Having to take your RV from and to the various RV storage lots is an unnecessary increase in our carbon footprint.
Yes I would support it. I don't see any actual problems with allowing people to to park RV's in front of their houses.
Yes I would support it. I live on a cul de sac with no street parking at all so in order to bring my RV home prepare it to leave and leave I need more time... we as Albertans live in a great province and I work a stressful job so enjoy getting out every weekend but how is it supposed to work? I get home clean it close it down, drive to storage, pick it back up again and do it again wasting gas and time so my neighbors don't have to look at it.
Yes I would support it. I think it is reasonable to allow a person to park their RV on their private property as long as it fits.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would support it. If someone is paying to reside at a residence they should be able to keep their investment (an RV) where they can see it and save cost from using a storage lot as well as it making the RV more accessible to them to use.
Yes I would support it. It is a great additional space for guests and convenient access to your trailer.
Yes I would support it. It is allowed in towns such as Okotoks so why would Calgary be different? You own your driveway so you should be allowed to park whatever you want on it provided sightlines are not compromised. It would make camping much easier to not have your unit stored 1/2 an hour or more away. If you don't have to keep your vehicle attached to it, this would sure make it easier to pick up groceries, etc for your camping trip rather than hauling your trailer to Safeway.
Yes I would support it. It's temporary and often due to convenience.
Yes I would support it. People that own travel trailers are putting money back into the community
Yes I would support it. Would allow families to camp multiple weekends in a row without taking their RV back to storage in between. Would also allow for modifications, renovations, maintenance, or repairs that may take more that 36 hours to complete.
yes I would support parking in front as many houses are limited in room at the back due to elevation changes etc.
yes I would support parking of the RV and Boats, trailers on the drive ways as it would help the owner to save a few dollars on the storage fees,
Yes I would support parking on front drive, if there is room. If it is on your own yard, should be allowed.
Yes I would support parking on front driveway. As Ling as there is no hindrance to sidewalk or other vehicles.
Yes I would support seasinal front driveway parking as it's difficult to unpack and clean/prep for vacations within the 36 hour time limit.
Yes I would support season RV front driveway parking. Because it's private property. Because it makes it easier to capitalize on the RV season, without additional driving back and forth from other storage areas. It encourages more usage, increasing tourism in the mountain parks. It increases the desire for living in Calgary, so close to the mountains, and being able to use RVs for the season easily, without the complication of moving the RV in and out of storage for each use.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. We owned a large fifth wheel in the past and having our rig at home during the summer months is so convenient. I would not want to see street parking allowed but in stalls or driveways I think it's fine.
Yes I would support seasonal and year round parking. Transportation of these items increases without legitimate cause costs and environmental expenses. As long as these items are not unsightly nor blocking sidewalks, streets, and or alleyways.
Yes I would support seasonal and year round.
Yes i would support seasonal as we are in and out quite a bit and 36 hours is Usually short as we leave Thursday or Friday back sun or mon.
Yes I would support seasonal driveway parking. It is very costly for owners to store their RVs, it is time consuming to go back and forth to the storage area and it would be much easier to maintain and have oversight over the RV.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes i would support seasonal driveway parking. It reduces additional traffic risk when needing to pick up and drop off to and from storage lots. Saves owners monthly costs on RV storage. As long as vehicles are parked on private property I don't see any issue aside from obstructed sightlines for neighbours when they are backing out of their driveway. However it is a minor issue that will eventually be irrelevant due to back up cameras with sensors being standard on vehicles nowadays.
yes I would support seasonal front drive parking . for the convenience and to preserve fuel consumption, and help reduce carbon exhaust.
Yes I would support seasonal front drive parking. . It would be a cost savings and more convenient to have it on the driveway for the camping season
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking as it would make utilizing our unit much easier. I would agree that certain criteria must be met to ensure the unit doesn't cause a decrease in visibility nor is it in poor condition or unsightly.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking from spring to fall because a large number Calgary tax payers have RV's and would benefit from this allowance. The added convenience of having your RV in your driveway is very beneficial for RV owners. As a home owner I don't see any negatives by allowing people to park RV's in driveways in the spring to fall.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking of RVs
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking of RVs.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking, I support this to the fact that it would ease engagement of users wanting an rv. Right now fuel is expensive and driving to and from city limit rv storage spaces with a trailer every weekend increases the amount needed for summer camping trips. With having the rv on the front drive it can be packed, unpacked and maintained easier creating more time spent with family
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. 36 hours of allowed parking is not enough time to clean and do repairs on recreation vehicles. Seasonal parking would be much more convenient than driving back and forth from storage facilities throughout the camping season. I do have access to backyard for parking but length of my property is not long enough to have the security or convince of having my RV parked on my property. This would allow for a stress free and pleasant summer season.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. As a owner of a RV I feel I should have the opportunity to fully enjoy my significant investment. In particular my driveway would not impede any sigthlines, esthetics or other inconvenience. The RV bylaw is a significant detractor to living in Calgary. I know people who move to Okotoks just because of the bylaw.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. I would also support on street unhitched trailers. This would allow people to prepare for their trip but also be able to use their vehicle unhitched to go to the grocery store last minute or go to work prior to their trip.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. I would not support front street parking.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. Because it don't see the difference between a large vehicle and a recreational vehicle, if it can fit on the driveway, then I think it should be allowed to park there.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. I do have storage options outside of the camping season so I do not park my trailer past mid-October until early May. Tt takes longer than 36 hours to properly load/unload the trailer before and after trips, especially longer



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

duration trips. Sometimes I also need to make small repairs on my unit and I need more time for the work or if I need to get parts. Even then, sometimes a mechanic needs to come to our house to the the repairs when taking the unit to the dealership takes too long/is too expensive/inconvenient for small repairs.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. It is difficult for us to get into our rear parking slot. It is more convenient for cleaning and restocking during holiday times. Due to some fussy neighbours some neighbourhoods report people breaking bylaws and easy going neighbours let RV owners park in front nearly all year round.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. Summers are short in Alberta and we camp many weekends. Being able to park in front of our home would be a great convenience.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. Many units currently are or have been redesignated as multiple family units in an overall land area meant for a single family dwelling. (One up, One down for instance) The RV should not obstruct road view, encroach on city property or impede accessibility by emergency crews for things such as fire hydrants. Anything beyond that, the city should have NO say in what a homeowner parks in their property.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking.....this should be a non-issue. The driveway us on MY property and I pay taxes on it. The City of Calgary should keep its nose out of issues like this.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway RV parking. Most people work through out the week. It's difficult most times to go get your RV from storage after work with all the things that a regular family have going on during the week. Sometimes you pick it up on a weekend before a planned long weekend or holiday vacation so you can start getting things packed and ready for it. It's not a few hour ordeal, by time a person checks the tow vehicle, trailer and pack up all the gear it's a 10/12 hour day. Sometimes longer. If you do this during week days it's 3-4 evenings if your lucky. .As long as it fits within their property. I don't have a front drive way to park my RV on my property.
yes I would support seasonal parking as it is a matter of convenience and potential security over a storage yard.
Yes I would support seasonal parking but with clear definition of boundaries for obstructing sidewalks etc...  I also think there should be clarification that this is an unhooked allowance from the tow vehicle on the private homeowners driveway. I also feel that the duration of street parking adjacent to a homeowner/RV property could be extended. Greater than 36 hours could be extended to allow for more convenience of back to back weekend trips provided the RV stayed attached to the tow vehicle.
Yes I would support seasonal parking if people have space on there driveway.
Yes i would support seasonal parking in a driveway. Not on the street
Yes I would support seasonal parking on driveways as we would find it much easier to use our RV and less stress about the 36 hours.
Yes I would support seasonal parking on driveways. If camping consecutive weekends I currently have to return my RV to its storage lot in between trips. It would also allow adequate time to prepare the trailer for travel having extra time to load, charge batteries etc.
Yes I would support seasonal parking on front driveways providing there are clear sight lines for pedestrians and vehicles who travel in front of the driveway. Total length must not encroach on the street. We have a truck camper that would meet these requirements.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would support seasonal parking on the driveway or parking pad. This is much easier for families to prepare and unload when they return. Sometimes weather can make it difficult to complete these tasks in 36 hrs ie too hot to be in the unit for emptying and cleaning. Also unit may require repairs that can take longer than 36hrs to complete.
Yes i would support seasonal parking. i would also support year round parking as long as it does not obstruct any sidewalks.
Yes I would support seasonal parking. Calgary is growing, honestly I do not look in peoples driveways when I am driving. Speed limits have changed on most residential streets, allowing for more driver reaction time with some sight concerns.
Yes I would support seasonal parking. Having only 36 hours to get organized for a trip is very hard. Often there is cleaning and maintenance to do on the trailer as well. Also if trips are planned back to back it can be very time consuming to move the trailer back to the storage yard and back. When both adults in the home work and they have small children it is very hard to get things done within 36 hours. Maybe 48 or 72 hours would be more reasonable.
Yes I would support seasonal parking. In my area I have side street parking which makes it very Convenient to park my trailer for periods of time to allow me to get it ready. Sometimes 36 hours is not enough so to have the ability to park it on my driveway for extended periods of time would be extremely helpful.
Yes I would support seasonal parking. Most people who own these types of motor vehicles use them several times during this period. Going to pick up ones trailer and later having it dropped off at a lot is highly inconvenient. As long as people aren't sleeping in their vehicles, it should be allowed.
Yes I would support seasonal RV parking with the conditions outlined above. A 36 hour time limit is too restrictive.
Yes I would support that but need to be 20feet from front edge of sidewalk
Yes I would support that! I own an RV and keep it at the secure RV storage that I pay for - it already got broken into, had criminal person staying in it and got my catalyst converter stolen. I would rather keep it safe on my property where I can watch it.
Yes I would support that. If you can park it in the backyard then you should be able to park it in the driveway where there are vehicles parked already.
Yes I would support the Seasonal Front driveway parking. We own a small travel trailer which fits comfortably on our drive way . It would make it more convenient if Seasonal Front driveway parking was allowed in order to facilitate our camping schedule and Cost effectiveness.
Yes I would support the seasonal parking as it makes it easier for those who do not have back lanes or side yards to load and at times maintain their RV. When I park my 22 foot motorhome on the front street in front of my house (for 36 hrs max) it is actually more of a hazard and to traffic is definitely more visible than on my driveway.
Yes I would support the seasonal storage of trailers on driveway as long as there was still parking available for vehicles in the driveway or garage.
Yes I would support this
Yes i would support this change.
Yes I would support this endeavour as it is very prejudicial to discriminate against RV owners from using their property that they pay taxes on to the fullest value. I believe there is no reason why an RV owner cannot use their own heavily taxed driveway to park year round as many people would use their RV year round if it was convenient, but as it stands it is not feasible to pull an RV out of storage to use for a weekend during the winter



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would support this idea. I have a licensed registered motorhome I don't see why I can't park on the street like any other Registered Vehicle.
Yes I would support this, can be used for extra space or storage or whatever the reason it's your driveway that homeowners pay for
Yes I would support this, for convenience. Certainly NOT off season.
yes I would support this, if fully in someone's driveway it should not be an issue, it does not esthetically ruin the look of a neighborhood any more than personal vehicles do
Yes I would support this, if it can fit on your driveway from front to end then it should be allowed, no different than having a car on your driveway, from May-Sept should be allowed with no penalties and no one calling the city on you 10xs, if it looks good and not an eye soar it's good, it cost money and time to bring it back and forth to storage, neighbors park their cars on driveways for years and don't get in trouble with city or called on why should you if you have a trailer out front
Yes I would support this, primarily for the benefits listed above. We live in a world that has very high costs for everything. So cutting a cost for storage for something that does not, in my opinion, always cause the impacts as stated above. If there are rules in place for unsightly, or if there are obstructions caused, then this is not an issue in my opinion. My community has the space on roadways that a trailer could be parked without issue. That should also be considered in Calgary.
Yes I would support this.
Yes I would support this. As a property owner you should be allowed to park your property on your property. Forcing people to pay to store it in a field at somewhere that limits your access to you property for a fee is unreasonable.
Yes I would support this. It would be very useful to have additional time to prepare and unpack the trailer. I feel that it is the homeowner's prerogative to have an RV in their drive, so long as it does not interfere with traffic.
Yes I would support this. Many reasons, convenience and safety are top reasons.
Yes I would support this. Our RV is stored out of the city limits and we have to travel to pick it up each time we want to go comping. If front drive parking is allowed, would save a lot of time. As long as it's not blocking, encroaching, falling apart who is it hurting?
Yes I would support this. People who actually use their RV often have a shot time frame (July / Aug) to maximize utilization. Often time only on weekends. Having the ability to leave the RV on the street or a driveway for an extended period of time is a huge time saver and benefit for the owner who is actively using the RV.
Yes I would support this. The logistics of parking and retrieving an rv to be able to be going out camping every weekend is very difficult. Our summer season is very short (typically camping season is 4 months) that I feel driveway parking is acceptable for that short portion of the year.
Yes i would support this. This should not be an issue, if someone is parking their rv on their property it should be no one's concern. It's the people that want to control how others live, using bylaw enforcement to do it. Busy bodies.
Yes I would support YEAR LONG. Not just seasonal. Great way to protect your vehicle from hailstorm . Easy access to your own vehicle.
Yes I would support year round front driveway parking for RVs
Yes I would support, mind you I find lots of people already in our neighbourhood that do this all summer long anyways and has not been a concern. We don't have a front driveway and



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

our street has very limited parking due zero lot lines and the houses that have front driveways can't even park in front of their home since there is not enough space between the homes.
Yes I would support, this would free up regular parking spots
Yes I would support. Though we do have a back lane with ample width for maneuvering the trailer into the back it's still far more convenient to have it accessible in the front driveway. During off season winter months I do not support continued use of the front drive for storage of an RV.
Yes I would support. Calgary advertises that's it's got great access to the mountains and every Travel Alberta ad has camping in it. Not allowing RVs in the front is very limiting especially for laneless parcels. Very hypocritical. as long as campers don't overhang into the sidewalk.
Yes I would support. Getting an rv ready to go camping or unloading after sometimes requires more than 36 hrs. There are rv's in Cranston in driveways all year? I have been warned a few times because my RV was there for 2 days. Seems to depend on the neighbours tolerance wether they call 311 and complain or not.
Yes I would support. I feel as though parking on your own front driveway is not an issue. It allows for easier access to be able to enjoy your rv more. Having to go to and from a storage unit is a pain. As long as the rv is not blocking/crossing the sidewalk it should be fine
Yes I would support. I would sooner see an rv parked in a driveway rather than a beat up old vehicle that never runs and just sits on the driveway. How is that fair?
Yes I would support. The front driveway is the only place I can park mine (no back alley, no side access). I refuse to use an RV storage lot due to costs, security concerns and inconvenience in accessing.
Yes I would support. With the low inventory of new RV's due to COVID impact, more people are purchasing used RV's. These often require repairs and maintenance and having more seasonal access to allow for this would be beneficial.
Yes I would under certain conditions: that the RV only be allowed on a driveway, that no part of the RV extend beyond the trailer, and that the RV be in clean, working order, ie., no dilapidated or otherwise unsafe RV's be allowed to stay beyond 36 hours.
Yes I would, I believe many do this already without consequence and this is a bylaw only used by those who have a different grievance against their neighbours. As long as it is not blocking a publicly accessible sidewalk it is fine.
Yes I would, I have no problem with it.
Yes i would, i would be in support of full season parking in front driveway, i see no need to exclude people from being able to park their RV on their own property.
Yes I would, my driveway isn't long enough to park my travel trailer so I had to get it off the street to load/pack for the weekend and I had to park it diagonally and the back end of my trailer was on my grass. Clearly not ideal at all, I can simply park in front of my house and not block anyone. Things need to change for us that do have to store our trailer at a storage facility as I don't have back/side access at my house. I should be allowed to have my trailer un-hooked so I can go to work and back during the day before we leave on vacation.
Yes I would, some people do it anyways with no issues and others get tickets.
Yes I would, The frontage of my place is aesthetically pleasing, to those who drive by. I don't entertain out front. My back yard between my fence lines is where I care about what I see and where I entertain, within MY backyard.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would, the storage pricing for an RV is getting very expensive. Unless like me you store your RV an hour at least away from Calgary, which is so inconvenient as we camp almost every weekend in the summer season so having to go back and forth to pick up / drop off camper Friday and Mondays is a real chore. I also feel that local RV storage facilities are gouging customers because we have no other choice.
Yes I would, we already allow people to have multiple cars etc parked so there is no difference
Yes I would.
Yes I would. I don't feel it takes away from any esthetics to the front look of the house and community and it also saves people some money in these times of inflation
Yes I would. As a shift working parent with young children, if we arrive home from camping on a Sunday evening, and I have to get kids to school the next morning, and head off to a 12hr shift, and my husband also has to leave early for work, then we race home to get kids off to activities, it's very stressful knowing we are quite possibly going to get a ticket. Even picking up the trailer prior to a trip, my husband often isn't available to grab it the night before we leave.
Yes I would. Camping is a way that I enjoy my summer every year. 36 hours is not long enough at all. Why if I come home on a Monday and am leaving again Thursday for example do I need to go park my trailer somewhere else. If you aren't going to allow it for the whole summer at least extend the timeline to five days of parking most people go away for the weekend.
Yes I would. It is a pain (and expensive) to have to use/move your RV every time you want to use it in the summer.
Yes i would. Large trucks are often left on the street and block/hinder view just as much as an RV
Yes I would. 36 hours is not a lot of time and at times (like repairs or winterizing) more is needed. It's a hassle to bring your trailer to and from storage to finish a job. I do think seasonal parking is a long time though and depending on the neighbourhood or street the RV is parked at for 6 months - it may not serve the neighbours in the best way.
Yes I would. A persons driveway is their own property and they should be allowed to park what they like in that space to a reasonable extent. An rv or holiday trailer does not pose any negative aesthetic to the neighbourhood.
Yes I would. Although it does not directly affect my house as we have back parking not everyone has that option available to them. I believe allowing people to park their RV/BOAT on their front drive will allow them to use their RV/Boat more often and therefore will improve their quality of life and enjoyment.
Yes I would. As long as it doesn't cover your front sidewalk, you should be able to park your unit on your property up to the sidewalk. (Including city property portion to the sidewalk) We should be allowed to use our property for what we want.
Yes I would. As long as it's not obstructing the road or causing blind spots, I don't see an issue it being on someone's driveway during summer months.
Yes I would. As you mentioned other jurisdictions have stated, as long as the Rv is stored safely and is not unsightly or encroaching on others property, it should t matter where or how long it's parked on one's own property.
Yes i would. cost savings to the owner and way more user friendly for the owner. Going and getting a unit from and returning it to a storage facility makes usage a very planned event, not to mention the extra fuel going back and forth.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would. Easy access. Cost effective.
Yes I would. Even though we have an RV pad in the back, access is a challenge when loading, unloading, cleaning, performing maintenance, etc. I would like to say in regard to esthetics that the RV's I see in my neighbourhood are more esthetically pleasing than some of the older vehicles that no longer run that sit in driveways year round.
Yes I would. Firstly, RV storage is costly and many people would financially benefit from leaving their RV on their driveway for a summer. It would allow people to perhaps camp more as they don't have to deal with retrieving their RV from a storage lot on a Thursday night to pack and get ready for Friday, therefore a lot less stress.
Yes I would. For us, as a busy family of 5 with active kids sometimes we find the 36 hr rule to be difficult to plan around the kids activities and the prepping and cleaning up for trips. Another reason we would be in favour of seasonal front driveway parking is in instances when we have back to back trips with only 3-5 days between. Those are difficult to get the trailer to and from our storage spot in such a short period of time. Thank you for considering this!
Yes I would. I can monitor it better as I have had thefts from my RV in the storage lots. If other city's allow longer parking why doesn't Calgary? In my own driveway it doesn't affect anyone else.
Yes I would. I don't see the harm in letting RVs be in a driveway during camping season.
Yes I would. I should be able to park my RV at all times on my driveway.
Yes I would. I think Calgarians should be free to use there property as they wish as long as they are not harming anyone. A neighbour who doesn't like the look of an RV is not being harmed.
Yes I would. I would like property owners to use their property freely, for the purposes they like, within the law. A driveway is certainly an appropriate place for a vehicle or RV.
Yes I would. If the driveway has the appropriate length to hold the trailer and safety measures such as blocks between/behind wheels is used, then it should be allowed. As for esthetics, that is subjective. What I find an eyesore may not bother you. Why build policy around that?
Yes I would. In our community there is absolutely nowhere to park my Rv ... there is no ally or street parking due to the configuration. If my neighbours are ok with it (I have talked to them many times) then why can't I keep it there for a week or so? This is also the only time I can effectively do repairs and maintenance. As long as it's not unsightly I don't see an issue.
Yes I would. It currently inconvenient when the RV is planned for usage multiple weekends in a row but it can only be stored for such a short time at the house. If the times were extended, it would allow for easier cleaning and organization schedules while maximizing the time that I have to spend with my family outside of work.
Yes I would. It is difficult to find parking otherwise and makes owners park far away, often times where it is not safe. Owners should be allowed to have their vehicle close by
Yes I would. It is happening all over the city. If you have good neighbors who do not call the city or discuss with their neighbors, RVs are parked all year long.
Yes I would. It is my property and it is not bothering anyone or blocking anyone's view.it saves people time and money for storage.
Yes I would. It provides convenience to me and my family and the way our house is situated it does not obstruct views and my neighbours don't mind.
Yes I would. It would allow full time working rv owners prepare their rvs for the trip instead of rushing after work. For those with lower income and no room to park their rv on their property it is a big cost savings initiative . For seniors owning rv it means ease of access and less cost



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

(parking fees at storage facility plus gas cost driving back and forth to and from the storage facility)
Yes I would. It would help make it easier for more Calgaryans to own an RV and access nature more. And the impact to neighbours would be very minimal.
Yes I would. Less claims spent for rv storage facilities being broken in to. Less fuel used to transport them to off sights and thus less environmental impact.
Yes I would. Mainly because I'd like the freedom to not have to transport mine back and forth to storage but also to assist others by keeping them off the street which is a much bigger safety issue than a driveway. My only concerns are being away from city property and use as accommodation (though a night or two for family visits I would find acceptable).
Yes I would. Many times myself and my friends come back on Sunday and leave again on Friday. We can't leave our trailer on our driveway and have to store it for those extra 2 days. So expensive to pay for storage and a huge waste of time. If people can park their RV's in their backyard then why can't they use their property on their front driveway which already has vehicles parked on there. Not everyone has access to a backlane to park their RV but they may have a driveway. They are the same.
Yes I would. Not sure what people, who don't have such a vehicle, are so concerned about. Quite frankly, it's my property and I can do what I want with it. I have no issue with someone have a trailer on their own property during usage season. I don't much care if it's stored on their property the rest of the year. It shouldn't be on the street as my neighbour does during the summer.
Yes I would. Offsite parking can be costly and inconvenient. I don't see any reason why an RV parked in someone's driveway would ever be an issue, except if it was being neglected (appearing run down/leaking fluids etc). Esthetics is simply not reason enough for someone to be upset about an RV in a driveway. Camping costs can be expensive, reservations are \$45-\$100 per night, plus we need a provincial park pass in Alberta now, or a national park pass. The least Calgary can do is make it a little easier and affordable to camp. It's a healthy and wonderful hobby!
Yes I would. People need a break in costs. This change could help families take a camping trip or two by saving on storage fees
Yes I would. People should be allowed to do what they want with their property within reason. Lots of RV trailers are stolen, vandalized, or become infested by mice at storage facilities. Having at your home would mitigate a lot of this.
Yes I would. RV needs to be on the driveway, and well kept. Storage on the driveway provides convenience for the owner to perform maintenance/cleaning and reduce travel times to offsite storage between uses.
Yes I would. Storage is expensive and thefts in storage is insane. If someone owns something. They should be able to put it on their property
Yes I would. The city should not be able to dictate what I park on my property if it fits within the confines of my driveway and isn't a hindrance to others.
Yes I would. There is allowance for people to block sight lines and driveways with storage cubes and oversized waste bins year round. What is honestly the harm in allowing people who own an RV to keep it on their own property between camping trips during the summer season.
Yes I would. We have a front driveway, and we are not allowed to park in it currently which means we are paying extra for year round storage and to constantly bring it back and forth so we can use it over the summer.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would. We have alley parking in our neighborhood and 36 hours is plenty of time to leave on street parking. If rvs are parked on driveways then they should be able to stay as long as they want your property your rules
Yes I would. We have had occasion to do minor repairs and maintenance and it is not possible for it to be done in the 36 hours currently allowed. We have our RV stored about 50 kms from our home and it is not convenient at all. For working families who camp frequently it would be so helpful to be able to access it easily, and not have to travel to pick the trailer up on Thursday and return it Monday evening
Yes I would. We pay for the property. There should be no regulation on what or where stuff is parked. If I want to park my trailer in the middle on my lawn between trips no one should be able to say or so anything untill they are paying a portion of both morgange and property tax. Its YOUR property. If it's fully in your surveyed property no one else but you should have any say
Yes I would. Your driveway belongs to you and you should be able to park any recreational vehicle on it as long as you are regularly using it
Yes if it does not hang out into the sidewalk area and that is enforced.
Yes if it fits on driveway. It's should be owners choice
Yes if it is fully on owners property
Yes if it is on driveway and wholly within the property. But not street parking.
Yes if it is on your own property because many families don't have other options and need access to their camper. But this is less appropriate for very large units as these really do block views and safety for children crossing etc.
Yes if it's on the owner's property and not on the street
Yes if it's their property or they have permission from their landlord let them
Yes if owners follow the rules.
Yes if people are not living in the unit. The unit should be capable of moving.
Yes if the driveway can accommodate the trailer comfortably. Perhaps minimum 1m from back of walk. RV's that fit onto a concrete or asphalt driveway are in no way more 'bothersome' than homeowners having a full of junk garage, 2 vehicles on their driveway and 2 or 3 more vehicles in the street in front of their house.
Yes if the RV fits entirely on the driveway or property and does not block street access
Yes if the RV fits in the driveway it should be allowed but equally it should be made clear to those doing so if they do the. House break ins are an increased risk when the RV is absent.
Yes if the RV is not blocking a sidewalk and is not in poor condition I have no problem with people parking in a driveway or in front of their house. I don't have driveway and we live on a hill so our back yard is not flat enough to put an RV in the back yard.
Yes if the RV was being used regularly and not just rotting away on the property.
Yes if the trailer or boat is smaller than a truck
Yes if the unit is well maintained, not encroaching on sidewalks.
yes if the vehicle takes up about the length of a truck Actually if the vehicle doesn't take up much more space than a truck, why shouldn't it be allowed to park at any time?
Yes if there is still room for cars to park on driveway or get to garage so there isn't more people parking on street. It's expensive and inconvenient to store offsite when you have the room
Yes if they aren't hindering pedestrians on the sidewalk what's the difference for having a big van on the front driveway? There is none. Super convenient for people who camp to be able

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

to pack and unload not in a rush before and after camping. If people complain about it being an eye sore they can look the other direction.
Yes if you're out every weekend being able to park put front makes sense
Yes I'm retired and use RV alot during summer for 2-3 days get always.
Yes in Airdrie rvs are allowed to park all year in the front area.
Yes in the summer months when they are most used. As most storage is outside of the city as well as expensive, it would make much more sense.
Yes is much less restrictive and more reasonable.
Yes it already done in many streets I see without issue. Alley parking leads to vandalism and or theft. But long term storage should be allowed
Yes It becomes more convenient
Yes It can be expensive to store RV's and inconvenient when you are planning to use your RV. In our culdesac 7 people own RV's and 4 people don't and it doesn't seem to be a problem.
Yes it does not interfere with neighbours
Yes it doesn't impact anybody but the owner of said vehicle.
Yes it enables people to save money on storage
Yes it gets them off the road and it's hard to pack and unpack in the 36 hr time limit
Yes it helps elevate the stress coming back from holidays and gives owners time to unpack and get things arranged
Yes it is a lot of work getting ready to go camping and the benefits of camping with my children outdoors in nature are important and we could do it more often if we didn't have the hassle of worrying about tickets and complaints etc.
yes it is easier for people to pack up and go away for weekends with family
Yes- it is expensive to store an eve sonyt would save money. It is also much more convenient
Yes it is expensive to store them. 36 hours
Never allows for enough time if you are fixing things.
Yes it is our property. Also, enforcement is inconsistent=I get a ticket(because a neighbour complains), but a few streets over no tickets
Yes it is ridiculous to have to pay to keep my tent trailer some other place when I have the room on my own property and it is not a safety problem for the community around me !!!
Yes it is unacceptable that people are not allowed to leave their RV's on their own land which they paid large amounts of taxes for every year. especially if it's not obstructing any roadway views or anything. I am in a cul-de-sac I have a huge driveway in my RV affects nobody other than me well it is on my driveway. technically I think I should be allowed to leave it there year round. but allowing it seasonally would definitely be better than the current 36 hours which is unacceptable
Yes it is unacceptable that people are not allowed to leave their RV's on their own land which they paid large amounts of taxes for every year. especially if it's not obstructing any roadway views or anything. I am in a cul-de-sac I have a huge driveway in my RV affects nobody other than me well it is on my driveway. technically I think I should be allowed to leave it there year round. but allowing it seasonally would definitely be better than the current 36 hours which is unacceptable
Yes it is very costly for storage and most folks who RV are gone half the weeks anyway so they time spent loading cleaning and reloading you are gone again,the gas to get to a storage facility is a bit much



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes it is way more convenient and a lot of cost savings. I agree that it should not be unsightly though
Yes it keeps the rv out of alleys ,where they get vandalized,
Yes it makes more sense, people pay for their land they should be able to use it.
Yes it offer convenience for people. Some of my neighbors have received tickets for boats on their driveway which I disagree with.
Yes it seems poor use of space to have storage lots when we could utilize existing land.
Yes it should be allowed but a one per limit per driveway but like I said my husband of 60 years of age has a 11 by 13 work utility trailer that should be allowed on your list
Yes it should be allowed many people do it anyways and I don't think your negative affects have Merit. Trees cause the same issues. Anyone can have a ugly car or truck.
Yes it should be allowed on driveways from April to October As it is our property anyway and we should have right to using it as we like We pay a lot of taxes
As long as it's not hanging into the road or a danger in any way it should be allowed
Yes it should be allowed. As long as its safley secured and locked up it should be permitted. I find it too restricting for a home owner to not be able to use his driveway the way he sees fit.
Yes it supports campers financially, less stress setting up and cleaning.
Yes it supports health and wellness, saves costs for Albertans and environment/ safety with less travel on roads.
yes it would be helpful when you are getting ready to leave on a trip to have it in front of your house to get it ready (load)
Yes it would be very useful for frequent campers who go weekly, saves long trips back and forth from home to storage yards
Yes it's helps when a person needs to get it ready for camping etc.
Yes it's makes life way easier
Yes it's my property
Yes it's our own property
Yes it's their property
Yes it's your own property and there are many on driveways now
Yes it's your property so you should be able to park what you need to there.
Yes it's. Convenient to be able to tske yer rig get it packed up snd leave for a weekend
Yes it's as long as it fully on their property or they have permission to park there they should be allowed to utilize that space.
Yes its more convenient to have it parked at home to plan for weekend trips and if you have parking available at home why can't you use it.
YES ITS MY OWN HOME AND DRIVEWAY
Yes it's the owners property
Yes it's their property, but some size restrictions might apply so that someone's view isn't completely blocked.
Yes limited to the requirements you have laid out though that it cannot be unsightly or blocking/impeding pedestrian, cycling or vehicle traffic. (Like blocking sidewalk should still not be allowed)
yes loading and unloading
Yes long as it doesn't impede line of sight for vehicles or pedestrians.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes most definitely. I support parking your RV on your driveway as long as there is no overhang to the sidewalk or the street. I believe that having RV parking from May 1 to Sept 30 is acceptable and for those people that have a smaller trailer or tent trailer, it would save them money and time to have access to it during the summer months.
Yes most people have their rv in storage and need the opportunity to bring it home to get it ready for vacation. It's a lot easier to get it packed up at home.
Yes much more convenient for Rv owners vs having to drive to storage lots
Yes- no harm to the community at large and it makes it very convenient for the rv owners
Yes of course people should be allowed to park the RV in the front driveway as long as they want to any time of year. More than just seasonal parking.
YES OF COURSE. There are far too many rules here. Perhaps council should focus on something that is actually important; like our roads.
Yes of course. I don't see why it's an issue at all. I could agree with obstructed sightlines for driving safety but esthetics should not be an issue at all. Are we living in a stuck up HOA style city? Safety would be my only concern.
Yes of course. I own my property but am told by politicians I'm not permitted to have my property parked in my own driveway it's disgusting especially when I'm paying property taxes and then told I have to pay more to park my holiday trail on somebody else's property. If it was 5 old cars parked in my driveway that's no problem but a nice new little holiday trailer forget it. I'm shaking me head at city council for intruding in my use of my private property.
Yes on driveways
Yes on driveways that do not cover sidewalks.
Yes on front driveway parking but not on street parking.
Yes on front driveways but not on the road in front of houses for more than 36 hours because the roads are too narrow and congested. RVs on private driveways and stalls seems reasonable as long as the rv fits on the driveway or stall entirely.
Yes only of not extending on the road or sidewalk
Yes or at the very least 1 week.
Yes- our rv would not impact our neighbours and it would be very beneficial to be able to keep it in the driveway when we have limited time between trips.
Yes owning one you need to clean, charge batteries, pack and use it if need be for company.
Yes park them year round if it's on your property
Yes parking all the time If I pay taxes I should be allowed to park my Rv in my drive way . Is the city willing to pay for my rv parking ?
Yes parking not living in if the vehicle isn't an obstruction to the street or neighbourhood and fits in the driveway. I personally don't need this options but find it ridiculous others with smaller rvs not able to park them in their driveway.
Yes people own this property summer is short more opportunity and time to enjoy camping trips
Yes people should be able to park their rec vehicles or trailer on THEIR property
Yes people should be able to use their property as they see fit.
Yes please
Yes please and on road in front of homes! We have such a shout Ly summer season when most used happens!
Yes please! As our family likes to camp regularly in the summer time, we would love to keep our trailer on the drive way for convenience of loading/unloading, cleaning and being able to come & go more freely. The nearest RV storage location is a bit of a drive and of course that



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

comes with a monthly cost. For my home & driveway, I don't believe our trailer obstructs the view of the road or the view of any of our neighbors.
Yes please! We would love to be able to park our travel trailer on our driveway for more than 36 hours.
Yes please!! The need to load unload and take the trailer outside of the city is a waster or emissions to satisfy esthetics concerns. Parked on a front driveway in a safe manner should be sufficient.
Yes please. It is frustrating to load, unload, clean and return trailer to storage then come back three days later to load the trailer again. I also think this opens opportunities for people to find affordable storage farther away city limits as they only need to travel back and for the and the beginning and end of the season. If you buy a smaller travel trailer and easily fits in your driveway (20 feet and under) I believe it would be great to let it stay during the camping season.
Yes provided it is not obstructing sidewalks or roads.
Yes provided sidewalk is not blocked
Yes provided the above holds true. I do not feel esthetics or wight loves will be significantly impacted and I believe that citizens should be left to make their own decisions about where to park their recreational vehicles.
Yes provided the trailer including all accessories does not encroach on the road or sidewalk.
Yes providing the vehicle is not obstructing sidewalk or other access to the property for emergency vehicles.
Yes save money. City of Calgary increases taxes each year let us save a bit and park at home.
Yes Seasonal May be a good option as would eliminate risk of something sitting for years not moved.
Yes seasonal parking would be extremely helpful and cost saving for trailer owners. however there should be some limits on the size of the vehicles and they should not be allowed to obstruct the sidewalk.
Yes seasonal parking. People can own as many vehicles as they want and park on street or driveways, why are trailers limited. The idea of aesthetics's is poor as old rusty vehicles parked on the lawn or anywhere are ok but a RV is not aesthetic.
Yes seasonal R.V parking would make for better convenience to attending the RV, loading, unloading, preparing for weekend trips and overall usability
Yes seasonal should be ok. Long term storage on front driveways isn't great idea for our neighborhoods.
Yes should be able to leave your RV in your drive way for months of April to November
Yes should be allowed to park in own driveway not have to pay somewhere to store
yes so long as it does not exceed the length of the driveway - from May thru September would be very convenient for RV owners - might be some issues of people attempt to use or 'rent' out driveway vehicles - this should probably not be allowed - enforceable if neighbours complain I guess
Yes so this of us that store it far away can have time at home between trips.
Yes sometimes you need to have the tv close for repairs cleaning or other maintenance
Yes- such a pain to rush to get our trailer from storage to our house to go camping. Totally selfish but if I could cut 2 hours out of my trip every weekend I'd be thrilled





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes temporarily over the camping season (April - Sept/oct). Enables access to the trailer for loading/unloading. Camping in Alberta is very seasonal. encourages more frequent use of trailer if accessible.
Yes that is a start. People camp mostly in the summer and it would be nice to have your trailer on your driveway to go all summer.
Yes that will be such a great help specially when you have to pack or unpack for long trips and you can't take more time off just to do this activity.
Yes- that would allow people to efficiently organize their weekend travel preparation time and unnecessary trips between storage and their address of residence.
Yes that would be fantastic
Yes- the current 36 hours makes it difficult to pack/unpack before and after weekend trips
Yes then I can use my driveway load unload don't block the front street public parking or my other neighbours driveways. Safer and more convenient for the person using the rv
Yes there are times we need to bring the trailer home for loading before a trip- cannot always do it in a small time window
Yes there rv are on private property. As long as the city get there taxes no one else business on less they are paying your taxes the city council does enough to drive people out of town
Yes they should be allowed to be parked on driveways. This makes it easier for families to prep for camping and unloading it. We own our own driveways and have to take care and have to financial maintain them ourselves, we should be allowed to store RVs on them as long as they do not encroach the sidewalk or road way.
Yes this is a great idea
Yes this needs to be allowed. Not everyone can afford to pay a storage facility. Does this mean that they should not be allowed to enjoy the outdoors in an rv?
Yes this should be allowed. As there should be no governing bylaws to what is on my property. The city has no problem raising taxes every year and then telling us we have to pay to park an RV some where else other than our own property. Seem backwards as this is adding more wear and tear on city roads.
Yes tired of hailing it back anf forth to storage.I own the property dont understand why i cant park it there
Yes to a maximum of 4 days to allow for repairs to the unit or a thorough cleaning and loading and unloading. Not everyone has a lane or part of the property that goes past the garage. It is also a pain that we can park for such a short period of time and then need to take it away to bring it back for the next weekend.
Yes to save on storage fees
Yes Unlimited.
Yes- very convenient, enabling my enjoyment and ability to get out camping, not impacting my neighbours (not year round) fairness- Many people do it and are not penalized because no one calls bylaw. Others can't and get tickets. This seems very unfair
Yes we own driveways for a reason. Storing out on propperty on our own property shouldnt be an issue. Assuming ita not derelict
Yes we should be able to park in our drives year round
Yes we should have the right to use our own property and parking as we see fit as long as we are not creating an eyesore or nuisance. The existing bylaw over reaches 10 fold!!
Yes we support seasonal front driveway parking. It is more convenient and secure to have our trailer sit in our driveway for the spring and summer. It also would assist with reducing the storage fee of the trailer.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes we support seasonal parking.
Yes we support this initiative. if parked on their own property & does not interfere with walkways or roadways, it should not be an issue. Unless there is an over abundance of RVs on one property which could pose as an eyesore. Set a limit to one unit.
Yes we would like to see the 36 hours extended to the summer season as other locations have,
Yes we would support seasonal parking in the driveway. First reason is the storage savings of approximately \$522 per year and the convenience of having our unit in our driveway for easy access to pack and leave. Our unit is always kept clean therefore the esthetics would not be a concern.
Yes we would support this.
Yes we've had neighbors call bylaw bc we had put our trailer on our driveway for a weekend bc we hosted a birthday party and required extra accommodation. When your back from holiday it takes more than 36 hours to unpack etc it also can take more than 36 hours to pack . I think if anything that it should be 7 days at least to pack or unpack the trailer.I on a driveway provide accommodations etc
Yes when it is being used it makes sense to allow it to be parked in front.
Yes when u own your house and pay property taxes and have a space in your driveway or otherwise on your property you should be able to use it . It's ridiculous that we have to pay to store it someone making it harder for easy access
Yes Why as follows: Because the cost to store is too high Inconvenience and cost to get RV from storage Less RV's on streets RV is more secure at the Home as neighbourhood watches for criminals and door cameras are a deturant.
Yes with conditions to mitigate stated concerns. Should be allowed in areas where site lines are not obstructed. How do you define unsightly RV's? Age, style or concerns. Need to protect against living in RV's. People are doing it already where enforcement seems to occur only due to complaint. Why have a rule that you cannot enforce.
Yes- with conditions. Allowing people to do this would have a bit more freedom, which would probably be able to enjoy, however - about half of people's RVs are unsightly and could potentially block sightlines while driving.
Yes with conditions. Coupler must be at least .6 meters back from sidewalk for safety reasons. Must register RV (easy to create an website for this). This way it is easy for bylaw to ensure RV's are not left there year round. Otherwise it will be abused. Also must properly chock wheels to prevent any movement. Cannot store things underneath - will get ugly quickly.
Yes with conditions. It should have to be registered with the city if the parking is to exceed 36 hours. This way they cannot just leave it and forget it. Also there should be a minimum distance they need to be back from the sidewalk. Some are placed with the hitch or bumper right up to the sidewalk.
yes with defined dates
Yes with restrictions and guidelines for visual considerations. Space constraints as well
Yes with restrictions around visibility at intersections
Yes with strict guidelines.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes within certain sizes - it would be hard to navigate neighbourhoods with oversized rv's
Yes within reason. There is no need to be parked on a driveway or adjacent street for more than 96 hours. 36 is very short when trying to pack up for a 2 week trip in you RV. This allows for enough time to prep and pack as well as unpack and ready for storage at a more reasonable location.
Yes within reason. For small trailers especially text trailers that are no bigger than trucks/SUVs I think this makes a lot of sense. However, large 5th wheels and travel trailers end up hanging over sidewalks and roads creating a hazard. I think a length limit should be considered to help control that.
Yes would make things much easier for loading purposes and not be so rushed
Yes would provide better access and cost saving for storage.
Yes would support seasonal front driveway parking for convenience, and cost.
Yes would support seasonal parking for smaller units: 8 meters length and 2.6 meters and under. Larger units will block traffic movement and severely obstruction sightlines and thus should not be allowed. I do not support boats for seasonal parking.
Yes yes yes please!! It needs to happen now. Seasonal parking would be ideal. It's too hard to not park our trailer in our driveway and we are ok with others doing so as well. I do agree that the trailer should not stick out to the sidewalk or onto the street when parked in driveway. It's a happy medium for all.
Yes yes yes!! Why pay so much for property and taxes and cant do anything with it
Yes yo to a certain size. Or if the time period could be longer because I'd you are trying to do maintenance it may require 48 hours.
Yes The cost of storage impacts the ability to afford family get aways
Yes  As long as it does not impact safe walkways, it doesn't affect neighbours. Many are already doing this anyway.
Yes 1)Facilitate camping on weekends back to back 2)Camping season is relatively short 3)Limited reasonably priced residential properties with side and/or backyard parking available in Calgary, particularly in inner city where many developments are on half a lot (ie. infills)
Yes 36 hours is not long enough time
Yes If the trailer stays vacant and not used as living space
Yes It's expensive and inconvenient to pay for RV storage
Yes People need to access their rv's to pack/unpack & do maintenance
Yes The more serious argument concerning visibility is very rarely applicable when it comes to peoples driveways and does not stand up to critique. Is there a bylaw to prevent residents growing tall hedges alongside their driveways? Or the ubiquitous 50-foot blue spruce in many front yards? No. It is not a problem which exists. As for aesthetics, picture a neighbourhood



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

with extensive "community covenant" style bylaws. I see it being intolerant, bland, bitter, unwelcoming. No thanks.
yes
what is the difference of a vehicle vs a recreation vehicle or other
Yes!
Yes!
YES!
Yes!
Yes! Decreased cost and increased convenience for Calgarians. If it fits in a homeowners/neighbours driveway it is not impacting my enjoyment of the neighbourhood in anyway, nor is it impact city services (street cleaning/waste collection).
Yes! Convenience
Yes! For those without a yard/garage, finding an appropriate place to conveniently keep their rvs* (outside of long-term winter storage) is challenging.
However, RVs that take up more than 22 feet take up more than one parking spot, and may cause problems with parking in neighborhoods.
YES! I absolutely believe that this should be allowed seasonally. It is so helpful to have the RV on the driveway to load/unload without having the time crunch of going back and forth to the storage lot. It also saves owners a little bit of money as well not having it stored for those extra months. Please, please consider this! Thank you so much
Yes! I have year round storage but there are times prior to or returning from a trip that it may not be easy to adhere to the 36 hour draconian regulations. The false statement in the media that education comes before ticketing is false. We returned from a trip and found the trailer had damage to the emergency braking system making it unsafe to tow further. This was a Sunday and on Monday I was able to source repair parts. By Monday evening I had a ticket. Was told the ticket guy did an inspection and there was no problem! Qualified RV techs on your ticket staff? Had to go to court and luckily the prosecutor was also an RV owner and understood my dilemma. Case tossed out.
Yes! If the vehicle doesn't overhang into the common sidewalk, then I should be able to park it in my own driveway
Yes! It is much more convenient for folks that use their rv's frequently.
yes! It is necessary to perform ongoing maintenance on trailers. It takes more than 36 hours to load and unload. If the trailer is not impeding views or road access, we should be allowed to park on our driveways. A tent trailer has a lower profile and often more aesthetically pleasing than many other vehicles.
Yes! It is terribly inconvenient, time consuming and costly to have to quickly remove our trailer. It fully backs onto our drive way and is not encroaching on any neighbour or city property. It is unreasonable to not be able to have RV on your property during the camping season. I'm not opposed to linger street parking either as many rvs are too long for a drive way. I also find it a very friendly thing to chat with neighbours as they are preparing RV at their home. It is summer in Canada!
Yes! It is time consuming to go and retrieve a vehicle from a storage lot. Also, it's not always easy to get at your vehicle because they can be quite tightly parked in there. If they were on the driveway, there would be more use of them.
Yes! It saves time and money being able to have your RV close. As long as it is not in the way of others



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes! Please. Having to haul the trailer back and forth to storage us a pain.
Yes! So much can be done in the summer months; loading, unloading, charging, interior cleaning and minor repair. Driving back and forth to a storage facility is not only inconvenient, but expensive and a waste of time.
Yes! this is my property and I should be able to park my vehicle on it and all of the above benefits.
Yes! 36 hours is not enough. Storage facilities close early evening on weekdays. If we come home late Sunday night and have to work we can't even get it to the storage throughout the week.
Yes! 36 hrs is not reasonable. Many reasons to have it on your own driveway! Once we had damage on our trip home and we could not take ours back to storage as it was unsecured and could get mice. We needed to keep it at home for several days until the repair shop could fit us in. We were given a ticket by bylaw.....
Yes! Absolutely! This will help many people, and the access to the trailer as well. People who own their own property, shouldn't matter what they have on their driveways. It's their property. The city should absolutely allow seasonal front driveway parking.
Yes! Absolutely. As long as it does not cover the road or sidewalk
Yes! absolutely. I own the driveway (after the cities yardage) why can I or my neighbors not park our property on the land we pay for! I also spend a large amount of time in both Leduc and Edmonton and have NEVER thought a yard looked esthetically unpleasing because they had a trailer on their yard.
Yes! Allows individuals to save money on the cost of storage. Plus storage lots are a gross reason to pave parking lots over land rather than allowing people to use their land as they want too.
Yes! As along as it's on a driveway and not impeding sidewalks, and roads, it should be fine to be left alone. It's hard to fully pack before or clean after camping in that little bit of time. Most campers have young families, time is a huge issue especially when you have to remove the trailer in that little bit of time or do everything at your trailer storage. Most of which, cannot be done
Yes! As an RV owner, we'll go multiple weekends in a row. The ability to park will prevent unnecessary driving to/from storage.
Yes! As long as it is safely parked.
Yes! As long as it's not restricting vision of oncoming vehicles on corners and as long as it doesn't restrict side walks
Yes! As long as people aren't living in them, I see no issue
Yes! As long as the length doesn't enter the street or sidewalk.
Yes! As long as they aren't blocking sidewalks, I don't understand why they can't be parked on owner driveways.
Yes! Between MAr & September
Yes! Cost effective and convenient.
Yes! Ease of access to the trailer during trailer season. Frequency in which we use it makes it convenient to have parked on our driveway. It's on our property and not disruptive to anyone else. Front driveway parking for our house us is the only option as we have no lane or side street.
Yes! Especially during camping season, I understand the need for sight lines and agree it's important.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

YES! Especially if in driveway. I have relatives from other provinces that come to visit and like to stay for a week at least. I have tiny house and not enough room for family in house but they can stay on my driveway for visit duration.
Yes! extending the time should be considered. If it's on the owners property then there should be no time limits to govern this.
Yes! Five days while working + 2 or 3 days camping. 3 or 4 weeks holidays so most of camping season is over . Living with vehicles parked in front of my house is worst.
Yes! For the obvious reasons already stated, as well it may help free up street parking as people may not need to leave their vehicles and trailers on the street.
Yes! Having to pickup RV and bring back to pack and unpack takes a lot of time that could be spent getting to your destination instead.
Yes! Helps lower costs for Calgarians as they won't have to pay for parking at storage lots
Yes! I hate that I have a driveway to park it on yet am not permitted to and have to pay to store it where it's been targeted by theft etc.
Yes! I support allowing RV's to be parked in and or in front of your own personal driveway for an extended period of time, because it's convenient to load and unload especially with children. As long as it doesn't cause issues with safety I don't see an issue with it.
Yes! I support seasonal front drive parking to support Calgarian who use RVs for convenience. This is an outdoorsy city and Council should support that.
Yes! I think that camping should be encouraged now that air travel vacations have been priced out of a lot of Calgarians reach. I think that during the summer, it should be understood that people will be getting ready to go camping and should be able to keep their camper on their property during the summer as long as they aren't being intrusive (blocking sidewalks, pop outs going into other yards, etc).
Yes! I would definitely support seasonal front driveway parking. Spring to fall is when most RV'ers utilize their rigs so it would be super helpful to have these available on our property for trip preparation and maintenance. RVs require a lot of upkeep and it is very inconvenient to bring all your tools required to maintain offsite.
Yes! I would love that. My travel trailer fits on my driveway and is not bothering anyone. Also don't have to drive to storage and back all the time.
Yes! I'm a single parent, when I have the opportunity to camp it's often on short notice and a struggle to arrange for someone to help get the RV and then pack/clean it for the trip in 36 hours. To have it already available, I could grocery shop and pack it without the stress or burden of asking others to help me bring it to my house. Then upon returning, I have 36 hours to unpack, clean, and ask a friend to help return it - while maintaining a full time job as a single parent. Very stressful
Yes! If a trailer fits on the driveway, it should be allowed to park there.
Yes! If you own it, and your house itnis your property. It isn't the neighbors property and it isn't their business. So long as it doesn't drive property value down just let us keep our stuff on our property. I pay taxes and I should be able to keep what I want on it.
Yes! It allows the owner to load or unload safely, to plug the trailer in to charge the batteries without running cords on the sidewalk. Sometimes you cannot find parking in front of your house so you are hauling things half a block away. Many RV parking lots have thefts of batteries and other supplies.
Yes! It helps with being able to park a week or two until the next camping trip. Keep the fridge going, transport groceries, clothing to pack for the trip





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes! It is convenient to have our RV parked on our front driveway for the purposes of loading, unloading as we tend to use our RVost weekends. The time saved by not having to bring it back to the storage place is a huge factor. Also, if it is parked on the front driveway, we can plug it in and not have to empty it out each time.
Yes! It is so expensive not to mention inconvenient to have to store your RV elsewhere
Yes! It is time consuming and at times difficult to move the trailer and boat to their storage, especially when we use it through out the summer. We always have permanent storage year round. I feel 1week would be fair. Besides it is on our property, not encircling on other people or city property.
Yes! It makes it easier to get out with trailers, it's more affordable and I don't think it causes any harm. Especially when it's just seasonal.
Yes! It makes things convenient for those who are wanting to go out exploring and adventures.
Yes! It will reduce stress and time for pick up and drop off of RV especially when you are required to work out of town during the week and plan to take your family camping on the weekends. It encourages more frequent use of the outdoors.
Yes! It would allow myself and my family easier access to our trailer and would allow for us to get out camping more regularly.
Yes! It's absurd not to allow them. I like working on my trailer all winter.
Yes! It's called property rights!! I would like to do some cleaning and work on the inside of MY RV on MY PROPERTY
Yes! It's much easier and cheaper to store on a front drive or be able to street park between trips.
Yes! It's so costly and time consuming to park it in a big field outside the city. I find the bylaw is very, very commonly broken anyway and people park their RV's seasonally anyway. May as well ease some costs on citizens when we aren't hurting anyone.
Yes! It's very expensive to store them offsite and some people use them frequently in the summer.
Yes! Less stress
Yes! Major cost savings for families. Better access to their RV for repairs or packing. More time spent with family due to not having to move the trailer back and forth from storage as often. Save on gas with less moving of the trailer to storage.
Yes! Most RV owners care about their investment and depend on the ability to easily access their units for regular maintenance and upkeep. Storing their RVs offsite reduces the ability to ensure security and protection, and proactively mitigate damage from inclement weather or acts of God.
Yes! My trailer is small enough for my driveway and would love to save time and money having there for the season.
Yes! Often more time is needed for loading, unloading and basic maintenance.
Yes! Often tv storage places are located outside city limits. Being able to store your rv on your own driveway would eliminate driving to and from these storage lots multiple times from May through September.
Yes! Other municipalities can do it, so we should be able to as well!
Yes! People should be allowed to park the RV that they own on the driveway of the house that they own.
Yes! Per the impacts you've stated; fences in our community pose a much greater safety risk and obstruct more driving views than trailers parked around; we don't live in an area with a



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

home owners association and some of the campers in our area are incredibly old and rusting. The last thought I've ever had is how esthetically unpleasing they look.
Yes! Previously lived in Grande Prairie and driveway parking was allowed from April to October which I think is reasonable. If it's not obstructing views or unsightly, there is really no disadvantage.
Yes! Rv storage is not affordable for most families. We pay \$120 a month
Yes! Seasonal parking is becoming very expensive and thieves are having a hayday in these lots (stealing propane tanks, batteries, etc.) Many areas of the city don't have back alleys, so there is no access to park a trailer or other RV in the side or back yard. The convenience of having direct access to your RV at all times would be very beneficial. Unfortunately, it would be of no benefit to me as my trailer won't fit in my driveway.
Yes! Seasonal parking would be more convenient for many RV owners and encourage use, which is important for physical and mental well-being of people who live in cities.
Yes! So great to park your trailer on the driveway for the summer and use it without driving out of town to go pick it up out of storage.
Yes! So many spring to fall RV users would have added security and convenience to freely recreate without additional burden or cost, as long as it doesn't cause burden to the community
Yes! Summer months only and no street camping. I'd like to just have access to my trailer in the summer. Right now I have to park in Crossfield.
Yes! The same rules as owning a regular vehicle should apply here. If both the house and the trailer are your property, there shouldn't be restrictions.
YES! The timeframe in the current bylaw is too short. It is a lot of work to load/unload a trailer/RV with food/bedding/clothes/etc before and after a trip. It takes a lot of time to hook up and unhook a trailer from a vehicle. In summer, Calgarians use their RV multiple weeks in a row. I am in favour of a full summer driveway option. It is also safer to have an RV on the driveway for longer than the road (per current bylaw) - less chance of someone hitting it - especially on narrower streets.
Yes! There is limited parking away from home, it makes it difficult to pack and plan when you can't have it home, costs associated with moving each time.
Yes! This saves on costs as well as makes packing / unpacking so much easier.
Yes! Two parked cars take up the same space as my trailer! No different. But extending the time would help and making it possible to park not attached to vehicle while parked. Current bylaw too short. Extending by a few days would help.
Yes! We currently pay lots of money for storage in Balzac. There's limited spots there and we have to trailer 30 minutes just to pick it up
Yes! We don't currently have a driveway but would love to support the ease of our fellow campers!
Yes! We live in a ward where street parking is not an issue. The only people this bothers is busybodies who have nothing else to do but complain.
Yes! We pay enough taxes for our properties!
Yes! Why not we pay taxes and allows better security having it close to home
Yes! Would love to park our camper year-round on our driveway to allow for easier general upkeep, safe-guarding, ease of access when we would like to use it, and affordability!
Yes! I also support year round parking on front driveways! I do not have a front driveway, my tent trailer is parked off site.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes!!
Yes!! And even all the time! It cost do much money and it take us so much time to go back and forth to the RV parking... I think that if anyone could park it in his drive way go for it!
YES!! Because its so hard to pack and unpack from the rv parking and some places dont have water. I feel it much safer to park at home and load up and unload plus you have access to things you need to prep your trailer.
Yes!! For convince and cost, for sure. It saves a lot of gas, not having to drive it back and forth to storage, which is always on the outskirts of town if not way, way out of town.
Yes!! Many people in the Calgary area use their "RV" to go camping almost every weekend during the warmer months but we're not allowed to store it at our house during the week?? It doesn't make sense. If it's not impeding the sidewalk then why does it matter?
Yes!! Most people I know park their RVS for more than 36 hours. Most go camping multiple week-ends so it's not realistic to take their trailer to storage when they are going to go get it 4 days later. We do maintenance on our trailer in the spring and it takes a few days. I have asked our close neighbors and no-one minds. It's also not realistic to keep it hooked up to our truck all the time. We need our truck to drive around and we aren't going to haul our trailer in the city.
Yes!! My driveway I should be able to park what I want. I pay 6600 in city taxes, and I have to pay for off site parking. Ridiculous. Off site parking is inconvenient, expensive, time consuming, worrisome.
Yes!! Not every front driveway obstructs sightlines of drivers on the street (think of an outside corner lot). Seasonal access on front driveways (adhering to other bylaws) would save owners hundreds of dollars each year in storage fees). The closest RV storage lot to my home is over 25km one-way, requiring 50km of unnecessary driving and vehicle emissions just to pick it up, and 50 more km of emissions to return it and get back home.
Yes!! So long as people are not obstructing sidewalks they should be allowed to use their property to their benefit. There are so many other legal things that people place on their driveways that look worse, such as old cars etc. As for safety, how is an RV any more obstructing than large hedges or bushes. There are areas of the city where bushes encroach so much on the sideway its almost impassable.
YES!! So much cheaper/more convenient for in/out of city trips in the summer.
Yes!! This is when the trailer is most often used. We use our regularly and have enough stuff to do as a young family other than bringing the trailer back and forth over the multiple summer trips.
Yes!!! It is expensive to keep a storage spot for your trailer all year round. If your trailer fits on your driveway I feel it should be allowed there especially during spring to fall so you can use it on the weekends. I don't understand why this is an issue if it is on your own property.
Yes!!! Because we should be aloud to park our trailers on our driveways Period
Yes!!! It is so challenging to turn the trailer around in a 36 hour timeframe, especially if there are repairs and/or more intensive cleaning required. Especially as we usually come home late on a Sunday then need to be at work on Monday. We are always very respectful of our neighbours and let them know if we need to keep it on our driveway for a little longer and do try very hard to have it turned around and back into storage as quickly as possible.
YES!!! It would save us from having to make multiple trips to our storage place between weekends!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes!!! See above!!! We should be encouraging recreational RV use and being allowed to keep one's trailer on one's driveway helps with this. Taking the RV 50 Km back to a storage facility after camping only to turn around after 5 days to get it after work again is a waste of time and gas.
YES!!! So much easier to keep an eye on your RV. Far less of a hassle than having to return it to storage right away when returning from a trip. It doesn't bother me seeing trailers parked in front of houses. I would prefer to park my trailer on my property, but due the set up of my back yard, a utility pole right where our RV gate is and a narrower back alley, that is impossible for us.
YES!!! We need more time as 36 Hours..especially before and after the season.. We want to do some renovation , we cant always finish it in 36 hours.. If we park on our driveway,why cant we have it for a longer period of time???
Yes!!!! Seasonal parking should be allowed. As long as the trailer pits on the parking pad.
YES!!!!!! Convenience of having my trailer available for access.
Yes!!!!!!!!! Please allow this. It's super convenient to have this access in the summer months to be able to pack up in advance or leave with the RV on short notice. As a homeowner we are allowed to park our own vehicles on our driveway, so why not our recreational vehicle as well? It doesn't go on the road or obstruct the street. We really hope this becomes allowable seasonally
Yes,
Yes,
Yes,
Yes,
Yes,
Yes, Airdrie allows it. As long as the hitch doesn't protrude to the sidewalk it should be allowed
Yes, an extension to 72 hours would allow for sufficient time to load and unload the vehicle.
Yes, as long as it's off the street it doesn't hurt anyone. The cost and inconvenience of having to return your rv to storage is huge when you factor in storage fees, gas and time as most storage lots are outside of the city.
Yes, depending on the length you of the RV. Also RVs are great to use for when relatives come to town. Hotels can be expensive... especially for an extended stay
Yes, for convenience and cost as long as it is fully in the owners driveway and not encroaching on the sidewalk or road
Yes, I think it is your own property and paying your own taxes you should definitely be able to have what you wish on your driveway as long as it is in reasonable shape. As well as people who live in Langdon are allowed to have RVs on there driveway year round.
Yes, If the property has a driveway that can support an RV, why would it not be allowed to park there?
Yes, in most circumstances, visibility is not affected by an rv parked in a front driveway
yes, it is safer for my trailer,then a storage lot
Yes, it will save time and money
Yes, it's only for season...no winter storage. As long as it's not on sidewalk/blocking access etc. Needs to be small enough to fit on driveway of residence.



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, let folks enjoy their summer. It is expensive and harder to find parking for RVs. I would also like to have the roadside time for parking increased. We both work and need to pick up rv earlier to start loading.
Yes, there are several reasons why having your trailer on your driveway for extended periods would be helpful. Turn around time for trips, setup and clean out of trailer, maintenance work to name a few.
Yes, 100% in support of this. It's much safer having RVs parked on a driveway than parked on the road.
Yes, 100%. There are many communities without lane access, where people need to park. There is no reason, why if the RV fits onto my property (driveway) that the City should have the rules that I cannot park it there.
Yes, 100%. When preparing and coming back from camping, 36 hours is not enough for working individuals to pack, or unpack and clean for storage.
Yes, 100%. As long as my trailer is not blocking emergency access why should I not be allowed to park on my driveway. I had a neighbor complain about my trailer while countless others remained on my street, simply because his wife didn't like the look of it. Tell your wife to suck an egg and look out the other window. How is it my responsibility to keep my neighbors wife's eyes happy? [removed].
Yes, 100%. Many people choose to renovate that campers. This is extremely difficult to do when being forced to store off site.
Yes, 36 hours is not enough. Depending on family activities or health, the turn around almost impossible.
Yes, 36 hours is limiting and adds to the complications of utilizing your recreational vehicle. These complications can impact the ability to use the vehicles as frequently as if reduces access.
Yes, 36 hours is not enough time to get repairs done.
Yes, 36 hours is too short a time to prepare for many trips. It's quite difficult to do so unless you can take extra time off work.
Yes, 36 hours is too short for DIY maintenance. Maintenance with easy access to tools at home is a huge convenience
Yes, 36 hours is very challenging
Yes, 4 season parking. If space is available and intersections lines of sight is unobstructed then it should allowed
Yes, a driveway is owners own property. They should be able to park what they want on their personal property
Yes, A lot of people have TT/RVs especially after COVD and it is inconvenient to go to storage to pick up/Hook up RV every time they go camping. It also cuts down on gas and reduces pollution.
Yes, aa this allows people the flexibility to use these "Summer" vehicles more often and not pay for things like storage
Yes, above the reasons listed above, it is also less rv transport which saves fuel and reduces road traffic.
Yes, absolutely and considering the above conditions. Although our travel trailer won't fit on our paved front driveway, we agree that others should have that quality of life option. Not all neighborhoods have an alley to provide backyard RV parking options and not all homes have side yard access to park within the yard. Even if someone was living in that RV while on a paved driveway during warm months, citizens deserve to have that option.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, absolutely for the reasons mentioned. Better for the environment too, don't have to transport your RV back and forth from a storage facility. Less traffic on our roads for needless transport. Homeowners should be able to use their property how they see fit.
Yes, absolutely, recreation vehicle owners should be allowed to park on their front drive way as long as the RV is not habitated, unsightly (disrepair) or obstructing sight lines for traffic at intersections.
Yes, absolutely, majority of the driveways have space to accommodate this
Yes, absolutely. It is private property and a privately owned vehicle, if it is not impeding traffic it is not a city issue, and the city should stay out of citizens business. If it is a problem for the neighbourhood then it should be referred to the HOA or responsible body. If none exists then maybe a polite discussion of the problem should take place.
Yes, absolutely. As long as the vehicle doesn't block the sidewalk they should be allowed on driveways. It's no different than having vehicles parked on driveways.
Yes, absolutely. For those of us that camp almost every weekend during the Summer it allows our trailers to be accessible as we clean throughout the week and restock. We head out camping on a Thurs or Fri and come home on Sunday. We would then have 3 days for the turnover. Less money and pullution from gas going to and from a storage facility. It's also an excellent place for family and friends to stay as they visit throughout the Summer.
Yes, absolutely. Getting in an out of storage would consume a lot of time and also parking closer to house will enable us to re-charge for the next trip. we often see breakins during summer in storage facilities. We invest good amount of money for our RV's and it will not imact the esthetics of the neighborhood for sure.
Yes, absolutely. I believe if an RV fits completely on a driveway with no sidewalk or street obstruction it should be allowed to be parked seasonally. There are many vehicles that are larger or more unsightly then some RVs so there isn't a difference. Allowing people to park on the street for the same amount of time as a driveway doesn't make much sense. A driveway isn't impacting other people's parking ability etc.
Yes, absolutely. I think if the property is owned by the homeowner, then the RV should be able to be parked in the driveway. There are SO many properties that have on-going car repair projects in situ, that are far more of an eyesore than an RV.
Yes, absolutely. If my vehicle is not unsightly and is completely on my property why should there be a limit to how long it can stay there.
Yes, absolutely. It is their property.
Yes, absolutely. Keeping the trailer on our property provides security and easy access. Plus, it may limit trailers parked on the streets if you can keep it on your driveway before you hook up and leave.
Yes, absolutely. Many people go out every weekend to enjoy family time. Having to drive to the storage (most often out of town or across city) to get your rv every Friday to and repeat within 36 hours after getting back is a waste of time, fuel and extra pollution.
Yes, absolutely. So many Albertans enjoy what Alberta has to offer in our own provincial backyard. RV use is extremely popular. By allowing easier access to our RV for maintenance, upkeep, and a quicker more efficient "getaway" front drive parking would be a positive step for RV owners and outdoor enthusiasts alike. Frequent weekend family adventures is definitely hindered by having to travel in advance to retrieve an RV from storage and not exceed 36 hrs. Current bylaw hinders many citizens.
Yes, absolutely. There are no issues with esthetics or safety, and obstructed sightlines definitely are overblowned, as there are no RVs taller than a regular 2 storey house.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, absolutely. There is no difference between parking a travel trailer in your driveway or a big truck. It is your property being stored on your property. If parking a larger unit impedes a neighbors view, then that case should be looked at on it's own.
Yes, absolutely. Trailers in driveways are not harming anyone. As long as they are safely parked and not encroaching on neighbors or sidewalks I don't see the problem.
Yes, absolutely. Why not? Does not bother or should not bother anyone what others do on their property. It's nice to see families enjoying the outdoors
Yes, access to secondary parking for RVs is a significant hassle for people living near the core. Most RV parking is north of the city which disadvantage other quantants of the city. RV parking has also increased at a rate above inflation since the start of Covid. Allowing for parking at a private residence would cost the city nothing while reducing costs associate with traffic in a time of rising taxes and inflation.
Yes, after all it is their property that they own and should be allowed to park on their front driveway. The RV should not impede onto roadway.
Yes, again does not impose an impact on the neighbourhood. If it is claimed to do so then I direct you to look at the lines of street parking by cars which obstruct nightlines, safety, esthetics. There is no difference. Should not be penalized by owning an RV that is smaller and not bothering anyone. While it is sitting on property of the owner and the owner is paying taxes.
Yes, all season.
Yes, all seasons. We pay (increased!!!) property tax and the gov should not be able to tell anyone they can park a vehicle on their property. Camping has become a low cost alternative vacation solution, so the gov should support that.
yes, allow to park on front driveway year round as long as sideways are not interfered with.
Yes, allowed throughout the year regardless of season. The driveway belongs to the property owner and provided its not impeding the sidewalk, roadway, etc. it should be used at the owners discretion.
Yes, allowing people to save money on gas and storage fees.
Yes, although I would not need to park ours on our driveway as we have a seasonal lot, it would not bother me to see other trailers do so. It does not affect me in any way, and it's none of my business.
Yes, although this would no apply to me, if your RV fits on your driveway, and you are willing to give up that space, then there should be no reason it cannot be parked there. That just makes sense. If you have chosen to own an RV that does not fit on the drive, then it should be stored elsewhere.
Yes, and beyond. It's my property. I pay taxes. I own my rv, that I paid taxes on. That I have to pay someone else to house MY property OFF of my property is preposterous.
Yes, and on roads in front of owners property. With the caveats you have in place (sightly, not crossing sidewalk, bylaw) the convenience is important. 36 hours is not long, time between weekends would be wonderful. I moved to park my RV in my backyard, now I'm trapped because people in the alley don't follow the bylaws, I can't get out with them parked behind my gate. Being able to take my RV out ahead of time and not have to call bylaw to get vehicles towed would be better for everyone.
Yes, and street parking as well
yes, anybody who has enough space for parking on their driveway they can park, my neighbour is not paying my taxes, I am paying, if my RV is not blocking sideways/walkway or





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

road, then city is allowing us to park RV specially in summer session without any time limit, its my request.
Yes, anything that promotes positive living I support
Yes, anything to help cut costs for citizens struggling to keep up these days. We currently pay \$80 per month for RV storage
Yes, as 36 hours nowhere near long enough to manage loading and unloading and or managing Maintenance on these vehicles due to increasing waitlist at service centers.
Yes, As a camping enthusiast it would be nicer to have the RV on premise to allow loading, unloading, and maintenance between outings. So think that between May to End of September should be allowed to have RV parked on Driveway.
Yes, As a home owner I should be able to utilize my entire property.
Yes, as a night shift worker it not convenient for me to run out to the storage lot during the summer, in most cases I have to pre cool the fridge and can't do that unless it's at home, off season it's parked out at storage so not a issue
Yes, as a resident of the city and taxpayer i would support rv parking on driveway outside of winter, for ease of access, loading and unpacking the rv and mitigating increased storage costs. Albeit without blocking or encroachment of public property, sidewalks, etc.
Yes, as an avid camper I like the freedom to access my RV easily throughout the season.
Yes, as an rv owner 36hrs is not enough to perform some projects to the rv, also stopping at home for more than a day is convenient if in between trips.
Yes, as an Rv owner it is very convenient as I do not have to go to the storage yard every time I want to take the trailer out. Also, doing small repairs is easy when the tools you need are on hand and ready.
Yes, as camping are full all the time.
Yes, as driveway parking is on the property of the RV user or to a guest(s) of the property owner/renter.
Yes, as I intend to use my camper frequently in the summer, it would be much more convenient not having to store it off site and having access to it for packing, cleaning, servicing, and use throughout the RV season as needed.
Yes, as it allows for easier access to prepare for use in season.
Yes, as it helps with loading and unloading if planning multiple trips in our shorter summers. Especially with small children
Yes, as it makes it easier to load, unload, and clean the unit before and after use. It can also encourage family from outside of Calgary to visit, without the worry of where to park a unit or the added cost of a hotel.
Yes, as it provides convenience for rv users that use their rv's regularly during the short camping season. Saves on additional fuel costs and vehicle wear of taking rv to storage and back multiple times during the season. Allows owners to complete any cleaning or maintenance without worry of breaking by-laws.
Yes, as its more convenient and easy for families
Yes, as long ad parking on a front driveway does not impede vision for drivers
Yes, as long as insured, not being lived in for extended periods and no sight line barriers such as a corner lot might impede street views of oncoming traffic.
Yes, as long as it does not block the street or a sidewalk of course they should be able to park on their own driveway.
Yes, as long as it does not have people living in it or encroaching on Neighbour property. It should be the property owner right to store it year round on the front property. Is there by



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

laws on unregistered cars on front drive ways? As they also obstruct sight lines,Saftey and esthetics .
Yes, as long as it does not impede on anothers driveway, take up available parking spaces in limited parking areas (cul-e-sacs) and no one is living in it while it is parked. When using a travel trailer it is much easier to be able to fill/load the trailer while home vs multiple trips to storage facilities. It is also an owned vehicle with insurance like a car/truck/van, all of which are allowed to be on a driveway with 0 time limits. The city should encourage those who engage in camping such as this vs making it harder. Many camper vans, trucks with camping decks on truck bed are currently allowed to be parked with 0 issues.
Yes, as long as it does not impede sidewalk access. i do believe we should be able to park on property we own. Also, the unit should either be recently/currently registered, and there should be "parking/storage" insurance.
Yes, as long as it does not impede traffic
Yes, as long as it does not impede visibility of traffic or another person's enjoyment of their own property
Yes, as long as it doesn't cover the sidewalk. It is on the homeowner's property.f
Yes, as long as it doesn't obstruct anything and isn't falling apart and is registered I don't see why not
Yes, as long as it doesn't t impede sidewalk use
yes, as long as it doesnt block sidewalks, i see absolutely no reason why not to. I personally think it would be fine to have year round parking on a front property if you had room for it.
Yes, as long as it doesnt encroach on the sidewalk. Rv's should be allowed to park year round in the driveway..
Yes, as long as it doesn't interfere with road sightings for drivers. Convenient for owners to have easy access to their trailer.
Yes, as long as it fits in the driveway and not hanging over onto the sidewalk. Trailer hitches pose a safety risk or tripping hazard for pedestrians
Yes, as long as it fits let it in the drive way.
Yes, as long as it fits on a driveway and is not too large or in poor condition. We use our trailer a lot and it would cause great inconvenience to have to haul it to and from the storage lot every few days between use.
Yes, as long as it fits the driveway. Let's help and accommodate, not shut down.
yes, as long as it is a private driveway
Yes, as long as it is completely on the owner's property.
yes, as long as it is does not interfere with neighbors property or extend beyond the length of the driveway
Yes, as long as it is functional and well kept. Convenience, and cost saving are two reasons why.
Yes, as long as it is in a respectable condition.
Yes, as long as it is not being used for living in.
Yes, as long as it is not blocking the roadway or sidewalk it should be allowed on your property
Yes, as long as it is not blocking the sidewalk and fully within the property lines. It is an incredible hassle, time consuming, expensive, and carbon intensive to have to keep shuttling around an RV or trailer during the week when it is going to be used on consecutive weekends anyways.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, as long as it is not blocking the sidewalk, it is allowing people to utilize their property to the full extent. The cost of having to store an RV offsite and travel with it outweighs any benefit of the RV not fitting-in with 'aesthetics'. Having to travel with the trailer frequently (vs. just being in your driveway) has fuel/emission costs as well as safety risks.
Yes, as long as it is not impeding the sidewalk
Yes, as long as it is not in poor condition. What would be considered "poor" condition?
Yes, as long as it is not taking up street parking and is not an eye sore. Also ensure a residence isn't using for someone to live in.
Yes, as long as it is on the driveway, and not on the street, I have no issue with this. I do think the height and length allowed should be limited so that massive trailers are not allowed. There should also be rules to ensure sidewalks and roads are not obstructed in any way.
Yes, As long as it is properly parked and in good conditions, and does not impede on public property (sidewalks...). An RV is not much different than a truck or other private vehicle.
Yes, as long as it is seasonal. I think firm dates need to be expressed to the public and fines in place outside those dates.
Yes, as long as it isn't blocking the sidewalk or blocking sight lines for traffic.
Yes, as long as it isn't obstructing city sidewalks, it is very beneficial to have our trailer on our front driveway in the summer months for ease of packing or cleaning up. We have small children and can't be as quick to move our trailer as we used to.
Yes, as long as it's not obstructing anyone's movement. Our province/city should promote local tourism and healthier lifestyle where families and friends gather to enjoy our beautiful province
Yes, as long as it's hooked up to a vehicle it should be considered to be part of the vehicle
Yes, as long as it's not blocking driveways or an inconvenience to a driveway creating a blind spot for backing up. Not street parking, but drives ok!
Yes, as long as it's not blocking sidewalk
Yes, as long as it's not being used.
Yes, as long as it's not blocking traffic or creating blind spots for other drivers. I am in full support.
Yes, as long as it's not junk or unsafe it should be allowed
Yes, as long as it's not obstructing other driver's view from the roadway.
Yes, as long as it's not obstructing the sidewalk or access to the front door. People already do it and don't get fined, so why not allow the people who actually follow the rules some more leeway.
Yes, as long as it's not on the street it's not a problem
Yes, as long as not on a corner lot on a main road for visibility.
Yes, as long as people adhered to the seasonal guidelines and the RV is not unsightly and parked in a location that is a safety concern (impacts line of sight for drivers and pedestrians) Additionally the unit does not encroach on sidewalks or neighbours property should also be part of the guidelines.
Yes, as long as people are not allowed to be living in the RV and/or creating excess noise by using it (e.g. running a generator for it). I agree with the issues re: aesthetics and obstructed sightlines; however, it is really a pain to have to pick it up from the storage place, bring it home to pack it up, unpack it post-trip and then drop it back at the storage place ... all for a weekend camping trip. There have been times we decided it wasn't worth the effort and ended up staying home.
yes, as long as sidewalks and roads are clear

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, as long as sidewalks are not blocked by it.
Yes, as long as sightlines are maintained. There should be a minimum distance of at least 3 meters from sidewalks or curbs.
Yes, as long as sightlines aren't obstructed for vehicles on the road.
Yes, as long as slides do not impede use of the sidewalk or street
Yes, as long as the end of the RV is set back from the street a minimum 5ft to allow for visibility when driving.
Yes, as long as the owners are maintaining and using their RVs I would support allowing them to be in the driveway spring till fall.
Yes, as long as the RV can be parked on the driveway and not the road. Parking a trailer on a driveway on my street is not that much different than parking a vehicle there and it can be parked such that my neighbours have ample visibility when leaving their houses so that safety isn't a concern.
Yes, as long as the RV does not extend onto the sidewalk.
Yes, as long as the rv does not impede safe line of sight and remains on owners property lines and placed on a permanent concrete parking area such as a drive way ( ie no makeshift gravel parking pads on portions of lawn)
Yes, as long as the RV does not impede the sidewalk (which I think is a fundamental point here), I don't see any reason not to, as I'm not sure why or how this is any different than parking that same vehicle on the side or rear of a house, as esthetically there is no difference. I have neighbors with large lifted trucks that don't fit fully onto their driveways thereby also obstructing sightlines, so again, I don't see the difference. People are camping more and more, and the convenience is significant to leave on the driveway, especially with the cost of storage / options for safe storage are not as available.
Yes, as long as the rv does not look like junk. It is much more complicated if you go out frequently
Yes, AS long as the RV fits entirely on the owner's property, on their designated parking pad / driveway, does not encroach on sidewalks around the property, or obstruct sight lines for traffic on the street / cause a safety issue
Yes, as long as the RV is fully on driveway and not blocking sidewalk/street.
Yes, as long as the RV is not a safety hazard or becomes too unsightly that it affects property values in our community
yes, as long as the RV is not being inhabited.
Yes, as long as the RV is not being used as a dwelling
Yes, as long as the RV is not in terrible condition and does not impede safety for traffic etc by being able to see around it for pedestrians and car traffic.
Yes, as long as the RV is not parked in the street.
Yes, as long as the RV is under 25feet
Yes, as long as the RV was not an eyesore. If the RV was a trailer with a box on it, I would not want to see it full of garbage and sitting on the driveway all summer. Currently we keep our boat in the garage which makes it very difficult to take in and out for a weekend boat trip. Being able to leave it on the driveway would be very helpful.
Yes, as long as the trailer does not encroach on the sidewalk or roadway, utilizes all required safety requirements such as wheel blocks, is secured with a hitch lock, there should be no reason to not allow extended seasonal parking.
Yes, as long as the trailer doesn't impact or cross over onto sidewalks making it a hazard for pedestrians walking along sidewalk.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, as long as the trailer fits in the driveway and doesn't affect sidewalks I don't see why it shouldn't be allowed for extended periods of time
Yes, as long as the trailer is road worthy, and does not block city sidewalks
Yes, as long as the trailer unit is not blocking or impeding the sidewalk this should be allowed.
Yes, as long as the unit does not over hang the sidewalk or curb
yes, as long as the unit doesn't block someone's view
Yes, as long as the unit is fully on the property and not encroaching on city sidewalks or pathways, I believe seasonal parking allows citizens to take full advantage of their units during the summer season.
Yes, as long as the unit is not blocking the sidewalk or street.
Yes, as long as the unit is not obstructing access like sidewalks, roads etc.
Yes, as long as the unit isn't run down or blocking anything important
Yes, as long as the vehicle fits completely on private property and does not impinge on sidewalks or other's property.
Yes, as long as there is adequate space and parking for displaced vehicles if driveway is no longer available.
Yes, as long as they are in your personal driveway and not blocking street or sidewalk.
Yes, as long as they are not on the street please do it
Yes, as long as they are on your property then I think that seasonal parking should be available for those with RVs, trailers, etc as long as it is not in poor condition or housing people that are causing noise violations.
Yes, as long as they do not block the sidewalk and/or street.
Yes, as long as they don't obstruct sight lines for traffic
Yes, as long as they don't cover sidewalk
Yes, as long as they don't encroach onto sidewalks. Perhaps a height restriction could be considered if obstructed sightlines are a concern. In today's society more people use their backyard for activities rather than the front yard. So, having a smaller RV in the front drive opens up more space for use in the backyard. As far as esthetics go that is so subjective it should be a non issue.
Yes, as long as they have a front driveway, I have no reason why an RV cannot park there as long as not impeding the sidewalk, etc.
Yes, as long as they meet the guidelines.
Yes, as long it doesn't affect site lines. I park beside my house but in front of my garage so I am not sure if I am compliant or not (unique situation). Seasonal parking would remove any confusion.
Yes, as long it doesn't impede the sidewalk. Its that persons land so they should be able to park on it.
Yes, as long it is being used to go enjoy the outdoors and not being used as accommodations.
Yes, as long it is being used to go enjoy the outdoors and not being used as accommodations.
Yes, as long it is in your driveway and does not impact others
yes, as long it is on private driveway. It is his damn property and the DRIVEway is made to park things that can be drove on the road. So feel free to park there your wheelie things.
Yes, as long the RV is not interfering with sightlines. If it is esthetically unpleasing I wouldn't want to look at that in my neighbourhood either. Guidelines on what determines poor esthetics would need to be established. But generally, I support seasonal front driveway





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

parking for the convenience of the owner for loading, unloading, cleaning, and saving money on storage.
Yes, as long there are specifications on derelict vehicles.
Yes, as many family's go camping every weekend. I think it should be seasonal
Yes, as storage lots are expensive and for some who use the RV often, it saves money and constantly going back and forth to the storage lots. Also as long as the RV is properly secured (wheels chocked). In the states there are allowances for what is called moochdocking in someone's driveway, but has a time limit. Allowing out of town guests to visit with you for a few days.
Yes, as there are times when I bring my trailer home to load it and it may stay there for a day or 2 until we can get it fully packed. I don't agree with leaving my unit there for weeks on end.
Yes, as there is no significant impact on neighbours.
yes, as this allows for family to visit at times, especially during weddings and funerals.
Yes, as we have friends that visit and stay in their trailer during their visit with us at our house.
Yes, because as an RV owner, I feel that I should have the ability to park my own RV within the confines of my own property.
Yes, because give longer parking time for camping readiness
Yes, because I have one and it would cut down on cost and time when going on trips. Also, would decrease unnecessary travel to pick up RV from storage unit.
Yes, because I live on a hillside and have no option for back or side parking for my small trailer (under 20').
Yes, because if it's not on the actual road, what is the problem? I also think an RV should be allowed to park on the street for 36 hours, as long as it's not a safety issue.
Yes, because is very expensive to park the boat anywhere else and it takes a long time and a lot of work every time you need to bring it from and to the storage place.
Yes, because it allows users the benefit of having their recreational vehicle available for use during the peak recreation use season. Because many residents own rvs and cannot park them within thier own property, costly storage is required, which leads to additional time spent bringing the vehicle to the property for use when needed.
Yes, because it encourages Calgarians to use there RVs for more recreational use by making access easier for weekend trips. RV storage can be expensive and storage for limited time between trips during the season is inefficient. Generally I think that the e benefits outweigh the downsides. Particularly I do not think that esoterica should be a significant decision factor as this is a tremendously subjective criteria (you will never get agreement amongst a large group on what esthetic means and how to achieve it).
Yes, because it is on our property and the cost of storage is crazy and very inconvenient for repairs/seasonal work
Yes, because it is our property that we pay taxes for. I would rather it not just be seasonal but all year round as a seasonal parking will soon be shorter and shorter until its a complete ban.
Yes, because it makes sense. It would allow for owners to keep their fridge, plugged in and stocked; ready to go at a moments notice. Having a trailer that is easily accessible would be ideal for those impromptu adventures. Clothes and supplies would not need to be packed and unpacked every time you go.
Yes, because it would allow us to take more frequent trips. We could leave after work, rather than spending a whole day fetching and loading the trailer. We would have more time to do regular repairs on our aging unit. I don't think the seasonal extension would impact the aesthetics significantly because there are already many community members who park





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

seasonally (despite the current bylaw). It's important to maintain sightlines for safety, so that needs to be included in updated parking rules.
Yes, because it's my property. As long as the RV fits on the driveway and does not impede intersection sight lines
Yes, because it's not reasonable to expect people to move their RV every 36 hours. Some people have nowhere else to park it, but also work regular jobs and can't just up and leave every 36 hours. Once an RV has been de-winterized, it should be accessible for the entire season. Better to have it on a driveway than blocking street space ever 36 hours. Just silly.
Yes, because it's seasonal. It would be one less thing families have to worry about when getting ready or planning a camping trip. Plus, easy access to your trailer means you are more likely to take your family on a much-needed camp and get away
Yes, because it's their driveway. Plan and simple
Yes, because it's my property.
yes, because it's practical.
Yes, because many families use their campers every weekend. It is extremely inconvenient to have to move it back and forth to the long-term storage yard every week during the season.
YES, because most RV owners have winter storage place to do that. For the summer it is convenient to have it parked at our residence There is always maintenance to be done on all RVs, so having it at the residence is convenient.
Yes, because not affect others at all
Yes, because not allowing it causes additional unnecessary expense for the owner as well as inconvenience and time (to get RV from storage and back). Saves greenhouse gas emissions to not have to drive to storage.
Yes, because of the benefits listed above.
Yes, because of the cost of having to store your RV.
Yes, because of the known benefits.
Yes, because people pay their taxes and mortgage to actually use their property
Yes, because RV owners pay their taxes too, and should have the right to park on their property for at the very least the camping season!
Yes, because sometimes people need more time
Yes, because storage is costly and there is a lot of crime happening in the storage yards.
Yes, because the frequency in traveling back and forth from the RV storage lot to my home is cumbersome, especially since we use our trailer nearly every weekend in the summer.
Yes, because the percentage of owners that would use this option, would be small and therefore have a minimal impact on 'unsightliness', that I assume is of non RV owner's concern.
Yes, because there are times when you need to maintain or prepare your RV. Sometimes you are only able to do so in the evening, and you need more than 36 hours.
Yes, because trees and bushes also obstruct views. I don't understand why unsightly vehicles of any type are allowed out front of homes.
Yes, because what does it matter what someone else does on their property if it isn't dangerous or harming others.
Yes, because who cares. If you really want to make a difference in our neighbourhoods, try clearing the municipal sidewalks.
Yes, because you should be able to park your property in your own driveway or yard whenever and however you want.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, but 1 per house
Yes, but enforcement of other bylaw requirements need to be more strictly enforced. RVs should not be unsightly, encroach on sidewalks, and can not be left on the street.
Yes, but ensure they don't block sidewalks or required sightlines. Use safety bylaws to enforce rather than restrictive trailer bylaws.
Yes, but I think that it should be allowed year round. some use their trailers in the winter months. Given the current state of some yards and vehicles RVs, esthetics seems like a poor reason..
Yes, but maybe have it be capped at a certain length of RV. Or maybe it must not obstruct the sidewalks. Mine is 37 feet long and I would never leave it in the way. I only bring it home to pack it and clean it up after a camp out. Never more than 12 hours because it's too convenient for everyone else.
Yes, but not as a permanent storage parking spot year round. Perhaps limited to certain months of the year
Yes, but only for a limited amount of time 48 hours should be good .
Yes, but only for a limited time, perhaps increase to five days
Yes, but only for RVs 22 feet or less in length. Long RVs don't belong on a residential property.
Yes, but only in driveways, not on the street. This allows owners to pack and unpack for weekend camping trips. This would allow for people to use their trailers as additional sleeping space for weekend visitors during the summer months. It would also allow more flexibility in the case where only one partner is comfortable pulling the travel trailer.
Yes, but restricted to a period from April 1 to October 31; when many RV'ers could use the extra time to clean, pack/unpack and perform myriad minor maintenance tasks. I would extend the allowable parking to street front but only for motorized RV's that can be moved quickly without attachment to a tow vehicle. The ability of a motorized RV to be moved quickly should alleviate safety concerns from police, fire, EMS etc.
yes, but should be year round, it's my property and I should be able to park on it how I like.
Yes, but size is a factor
Yes, but still with a time limit. Allowing a week or two between trips out is fine on a driveway, but the RV should have a permanent storage space away from the property.
Yes, but still with limitations (1 week). I'd be more interested in extending duration year round instead of allowing indefinite stays seasonally.
Yes, but still with time limitations. It would allow for more time with regards to getting organized to get out or returning. I wouldn't want to see a unit as a permanent feature in the neighbourhood, that would be unsightly.
Yes, but they should also be allowed year round.
Yes, but trailers must be attached to vehicles. There should be rules however how close they can be parked to intersections or alley intersections
Yes, but with limitations. Rationale is that it is more convenient and it is on private property. It should be time limited and not continuous for the entire season because of significant impacts on street parking.
Yes, but would rather see the time extended by several days versus seasonal. Perhaps 7 days at a time with a vacancy of 4-5 days between.
Yes, Calgary resident pay property taxes should allowed be allowed to park the property (fifthwheel trailer, boat trailer, cargo trailer, etc. (as listed above) anytime on their property as

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

long as it does not block the sidewalk and that it is usable (not severely damaged or destroyed).
Yes, cause as I am a camper and it is so much easier for people to get ready for a trip and to unload from a trip.
Yes, cause it cuts down on cost for storage
Yes, Cochrane, Alberta does it with no issue. They allow the attached trailer to stay on the street as well for the summer months. It is my wish that street parking be allowed as with driveway.
Yes, considering inflation and the costs of everything increasing (including property taxes) this would provide some relief. Also, I would camp more with easier access to my RV.
Yes, convenience
Yes, convenience and cost savings from storage
Yes, convenience and cost savings.
Yes, convenience and cost. For my tent trailer that is 20 feet long I pay 45\$ a month. Having it at my residence for 6 months would save me time, money and gas.
Yes, convenience and money saving instead of paying storage fees.
Yes, convenience and security
Yes, convenience for families to load up RV, get home and unload. Some may view having RV in driveway as more secure. Active campers go out consecutive weekends and bringing it to and from storage is unnecessary during busy months.
Yes, convenience for families.
Yes, convenience for owner.
Yes, convenience, 36 hours is not sufficient in a busy lifestyle; Extending the time is also much more convenient than taking an RV back and forth to storage when there is only a week or 10 days between camping trips. I like the trailer parked on our driveway for a week or so at a time between trips and our neighbours are all supportive
Yes, convenience, cost savings, security of recreational vehicle.
Yes, convenience, cost, security
Yes, convenience, cost-effectiveness, security.
Yes, convenience, save fuel, less emissions. 36hrs is sometimes not enough time to maintenance repairs.
Yes, convenience. The current rule is silly. Allow driveway parking for the summer season.
Yes, convenient
Yes, convenient for owners,
Yes, convenient, but critical sight lines need to be managed (eg clear view of a stop sign)
Yes, convenient/Cost
Yes, cost are expensive enough. Having your RV at home, having time to clean and pack it between trips and not being rushed to go store it.
Yes, cost effective for fuel and calgarians
Yes, cost of storage expensive.
Yes, cost of storage is outrageous and the extra mileage to and fro to storage is increasing carbon emissions. RVs will be used more if they are more accessible which contributes to the broader economy (buying gas, booking campsites, buying park passes etc) and also supports well/being of people (e.g getting out into nature). Great affordable option to give kids a vacation.
Yes, current rules are to restrictive



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, currently my boat is stored but from time to time I'll leave it in my driveway for a couple of weeks, so seasonally that should be fine and allowed
Yes, Dave's people money in having to pay to find a spot
Yes, definitely for tent trailers, smaller travel trailers under 24 feet, campers, and boats No for motorhomes and larger travel trailers, including fifth wheels
Yes, depending on the length, including the tongue.
Yes, depending on the placement of the trailer that's parked. For example, my trailer is 15ft and my house is inside the community. It doesn't obstruct traffic. I have a neighbor with a 25ft trailer who parks in front of their house on the corner. Turning out of the community is very difficult because the trailer is so big! I cannot see oncoming traffic when I turn out. Aside from that one issue, I think trailers should be allowed to park out front of some ones property, it is their private residence after all!
Yes, driveway parking doesn't affect neighbours and traffic, as long as RV is parked within the owner's property. And our neighbors are all fine with it.
Yes, driveways in my neighbourhood do not obstruct sightlines for drivers on the street. It would also allow owners of RVs to perform seasonal maintenance on the RVs over several days instead of attempting to rush the work.
Yes, due to multiple camping trips
Yes, due to the costs of having to store them at RV lots and the environmental cost of having to drive back and forth to the RV lots to use them.
Yes, during camping season if people want to have their RV on their driveway, to make it more convenient to quickly pack and go on a trip, I think that is fine. Vehicles on driveways do not block the road, take parking spots or block the view too much. As long as there is no snow/ice, this should not be a problem. It might cause some extra cars to be parked on the street (since the driveway would be occupied).
Yes, during camping season only
Yes, during peak camping season (from mid May to mid September - 4 months maximum)
Yes, each individual pays their own property tax. Why should they not be allowed to park their RV's on their own drive way.
Yes, ease of access. As long as its on resident's property.
Yes, ease of use, convenient, security, easier to pack.
Yes, easier for back to back weekend camping trips. Not always worth bringing back and forth to the storage lot every couple days.
Yes, easier for users to have access to RV's during our short summer camping season. I don't support year round parking as it may add to issues with snow accumulation and clearing.
Yes, easy and convenient to access even when wanting to go on trips and if homeowners require additional sleeping for families visiting them
Yes, easy of use, allows people more time to prepare for going out camping. So long as it fits on their driveway and not on the road I have no problem
Yes, Especially because I have a front driveway where I park my truck. We only keep the camper on the truck seasonally. Mid-May til Mid Oct. When I was working the truck was my commuting vehicle (because the camper is small, fits in the truck box and less than a foot above the cab). Now I'm retired it does not get driven every weekday but it is still our second vehicle. I have a parking place in my back yard for the camper during the off season. Sadly, for me it is only big enough for the camper not the truck too. If you get hard line I'll have to

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

renovate my back yard parking, find another place to keep it (but loose access to my second vehicle) OR sell everything and quit camping
Yes, especially if maintenance or work needs to be done before or after a trip. Where else can you do what's needed?
Yes, especially if the property is not responsible for a sidewalk. What harm is a travel trailer causing. People are being short sighted if a complaint is made for aesthetic reasons.
Yes, especially under certain sizes as they wouldn't obscure visibility
Yes, even if the length of time was extended (e.g. to up to one week). This would be very convenient for families who camp often if it was possible to leave a trailer in the driveway for a few days in between trips.
Yes, even year round.
Yes, everyone should be allowed seasonal driveway parking so that they can leave on family trips weather permitting
Yes, everyone should be free to park their own driveway.
Yes, except for the known impacts.
Yes, family visiting, going to use rv again soon, its my property, and driveway
Yes, far more convenient
Yes, finding storage within a reasonable distance can be expensive
Yes, fine by me. I would consider a driveway private property so people can park whatever they like on it! As long as it's not in horrible condition or parked there permanently.
Yes, for all the above
Yes, for campers and camper vans under 20ft. they are with the legal height and length or regular vehicles, if they are parked in front of an individual's home.
Yes, for camping season because it is private property and it becomes hussle to being every 2 weeks the trailer to parking come back load and again after couple of weeks
YES, For convenience ( we go out every weekend), cost-effectiveness (reduce our yearly storage fees) and a sense of security (reduce storage area vandalism).
Yes, for convenience and cost saving.
Yes, for convenience and cost. We use it most of the summer. Driving it to storage and home each week is a pour use of time and fuel cost.
Yes, for convenience and security.
yes, for convenience of the owners
Yes, for convenience sake of quick access to load and get out of town, for cost of storage plus camping fees.
Yes, for convenience when loading and unloading.
Yes, for convenience, and cost effective. Doesn't really effect others.
Yes, for convenience, cost-effectiveness, and sense of security knowing that my travel trailer is safe.
Yes, for convenience, provided they do not present a hazard.
Yes, for convenience. To avoid theft or damage at storage facilities and to help many people out by reducing costs during challenging economic time
yes, for convivence it is ideal to allow longer or seasonal parking of RV's. provided that no part of the RV encroaches on the street/ side walk etc. and is not unsightly.
Yes, for cost and convenience/ and there are no adverse impacts
yes, for ease of access for the owner - seasonal parking would be appropriate.
Yes, for ease of loading and unloading. Summer company visits





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, for longer than 36 hour but not indefinitely
Yes, for Many home owners, the front driveway is the only place, other than on street, usually in front of driveways, that the owner has to park a recreational vehicle.
Yes, for most vehicles. My concerns would primarily be about oversized vehicles encroaching on walkways, obstructing line of sight and creating safety issues for drivers / pedestrians, or those considered an 'eyesore'.
Yes, for reasons that include the inconvenience of going back and forth (mostly out of the city) to a storage lot. Also a lot of people go lost every weekend, and that trip to storage is again a hassle
Yes, for security during the camping season, ease of loading and unloading, multiple events during the season, if the RV is fully on personal property including driveways. I would support the requirements above.
Yes, for smaller RVs, but not the gigantic ones. Smaller ones won't obstruct sightlines as much. BUT there must be enough drive way for people to park their cars so street parking does not become an issue. I also think it should be limited to 14 days or something like that, not the full season (ie, if you aren't going to use it imminently, go store it)
Yes, for the convenience.
Yes, for the convenience.
Yes, for the known benefits stated above.
Yes, for the reasons you list - convenience for folks who camp frequently, ease of access to rv for packing and unpacking, to save on storage fees during camping season, and so folks can be more confident their rvs are safe and secure.
Yes, for the stated benefits of convenience and cost effectiveness. The negative impacts can be addressed by placing reasonable limits on the size and condition of units permitted. The City should consider requiring applications and permitting.
Yes, for those benefits listed above. I have 2 young kids, and packing the trailer is not a quick task anymore, having more time to pack while they are asleep st night would be hugely beneficial and save time.
Yes, for trailers that fit within the confines of the driveway or property. It's safer to have them parked then to have them hitched up and parked in the street. It will spread out the trailer traffic through neighborhoods before and after weekends. The visual impact of a parked trailer is no worse than a large truck or van.
Yes, for turn around time between weekends it sometimes hard to get the trailer back to the storage lot
Yes, for up to one week at a time.
Yes, from April 1 to Sept 30. As long as the RV isn't any closer to the core than the house is, or perhaps someinimum number of meters away from curb.
Yes, from May long to Thanksgiving. Only RVs in good repair. Nothing like cousin Eddie's RV.
Yes, from mid-April through mid-October
Yes, front driveway parking makes sense.
Yes, fully support this. It's about time. As long as they do not cross a sidewalk. If it is fully in a driveway it should be allowed
Yes, gas to go get trailer from storage that is over charging you monthly. When you can have a car in your driveway.
Yes, given an owner OWNS THEIR DRIVEWAY, does not sit across public sidewalk, then OK!





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, given the limited access to campgrounds in the province and the increased uptick in owners of RVs, it is not uncommon to travel large distances for weekend camping. It is unreasonable for an RV owner to get home late Sunday night and have the RV cleaned out and back in its storage spot within 36 hours.
Yes, given the RV does not encroach over sidewalks or public travel ways, is not derelict or unsightly and cannot be be tarped. There should be date range (eg May 1- Aug 31) where the seasonal parking is allowed, not all year.
Yes, having to store a recreational vehicle is costly and can be quite inconvenient. It is also limiting to people who may not have the additional funds to pay for storage, as depending on your location, it can be quite costly. It is not economical (financially or time wise) to have to store your vehicle, drive to pick it up, and drive it back. As long as it does not significantly impact sight lines and cause a danger, I think it is completely reasonable to park it in your driveway.
Yes, helps relive the tension due to over crowded online reservations for camping, with seasonal your not getting off having to go and pick up your trailer, pack get it ready, tow it out to use it for 1.5 days then pack up cause you have to get back at a decent hour to unpack, clean and send it back to the storage
Yes, home access will allow people to use their property more often.
Yes, homeowners should be able to store their recreational vehicles where they wish in their property as long as it isn't an obstruction to the Neighbours on either side do to size or height.
Yes, however even extending the 36 hours to 72 hours would allow people to pick up their RV one evening, work the next day, pack their RV the next night (fridge is then cold) and leave after work the following day.
Yes, however I would appreciate a size limitation and regulation on location (it impedes sunlight to grass and gardens in the neighbours yard if too large and too close).
Yes, however with no time restrictions because the city cannot police this practice. I receive a notice for having a trailer on my driveway for a week, because the house next door is for sale. Meanwhile 2 blocks away there are residence with trailers and boats on there drive all year long.
Yes, hugely convenient for all campers!
Yes, I agree we the guidelines above regarding sight lines, encroachment and not being used as housing.
Yes, I agree with allowing seasonal driveway parking. This will save a lot of time, hassle and burning of fuel taking RV's to/from storage between trips. Of course, the city will come up with restrictions such as the ones listed above.
Yes, I believe I should be able to park anything I want on my driveway as long it does not pose a safety risk or is leaking fluids etc. etc. It should be licensed and usable, i.e. not something that has been scrapped.
Yes, I believe if you have the room for an even in your front driveway, you should be allowed to seasonal park it there.
Yes, I believe it is better for safety and security as well as leaves a smaller carbon footprint based on relocating the travel trailer two and from storage sites. It is a good way to help encourage people to get out to the outdoors and removes an impediment to traveling within the province
Yes, I believe it should be allowed on front driveways just as it is on rear and side yards. Many people do not have access to other options.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I believe restricting the timing can be difficult for people who have unconventional work schedules. If they need mobile accommodation for work, or have a limited amount of time off to use their rv this could make storage an issue. Side and back yard parking can be hard to find, so seasonal front yard parking might make things easier for everyone.
Yes, I believe that many people complain about RV's for the purpose of aesthetics rather than safety. For certain RV's, there is limited safety concern, such as tent trailers and smaller trailers as they 1) have limited overhead visibility restriction, and 2) are small enough to fit in a drive-way without impeding public or restricting vision.
Yes, I believe the seasonal restriction should be lessened. This does not necessarily mean permanent storage should always be permitted but 1-2 week parking to make between camping trip parking acceptable.
Yes, I believe you should be able to to store your property on one's own property and park on the street subject to the same regulations as any other legally registered and insured vehicle. (mostly applies to motor homes as I agree trailer should be attached to a vehicle)
Yes, I completely support allowing RVs to be parked on a front driveway for an extended period of time over the summer months, and/or the camping season. As long as it fits on the driveway and does not extend past the homeowner's driveway, like going on the road or sidewalk.
Yes, I consider it beneficial to owners, if you camp a lot you are sometimes in between trips you could potentially leave at home for 3 days then off again to another trip with the bylaw there is no way to do that, during summer months I see no reason to not allow this, please consider changing this
Yes, I definitely support driveway parking!! If you own the house it is your property and no one should be able to dictate how or what you can park on your driveway.
Yes, I do not think there should be a time limit for how long something is parked on your driveway as long as it fits and does not block the sidewalk
Yes, I do support this for Spring, Summer and into Fall
Yes, I do t care what people do with their own properties
Yes, I do. I agree with the above reasoning. I don't think safety and obstructed sight lines are an issue if the RV is parked properly. We could have a larger standoff to a corner so cars driving down the street can see cross traffic
Yes, I don't find an RV that is parked on a driveway to be restrictive to my sight lines while driving.
Yes, I don't see any harm in having an RV in a homeowners driveway.
Yes, I don't think it harms anyone. I know there are safety concerns, but if it is properly secured it shouldn't be an issue. I'd be more in support of having bylaws around how to keep it stable. Also, people already do it and it's challenging to deal with. If you call on someone for it, it almost creates a feud between you and your neighbours. For something that doesn't really impact anyone else.
Yes, I don't believe there is any issue having recreational vehicles parked in front of a house.
Yes, I don't find RV's parked in a driveway to be unsightly. As an RV user, it can be difficult to complete winterization/dewinterization, maintenance, cleaning, loading, etc. within 36 hours.
Yes, I don't have a problem with it
Yes, i don't see any problem with that.
Yes, I don't see the issue as long as it's on the owners property
Yes, I don't see why not. Most people do it anyway, only those who get complaints need to be moved right now.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I don't think an RV or recreational vehicle is any more unsightly than a vehicle in a driveway. It would make it much easier for families to store and deal with their vehicles and saves on the gas to constantly drive to and from a storage facility. This would save families with young children a lot of time that is already limited.
Yes, I don't think it matters what people park on their driveway. It's their driveway.
yes, I feel as homeowners we should have the right to have our recreational vehicle parked in front of our house for a longer period of time. We should also be able to have our RV not attached to our truck for maintenance and such.
Yes, I feel as long as the view is not obstructed then there should not be any reason not to allow it.
Yes, I feel it is just another type of vehicle that should be allowed to be parked longer for ease and cost-effectiveness. There can be a limit to how long the RV can be. i.e. 26-28ft seems reasonable as compared to if its some very large RV that can create difficulties in parking other vehicles.
Yes, I feel that if I am not obstructing traffic and it is on MY property, that I am taxed on, that I should be able to park my rv on MY property...anytime for any length of time.
Yes, I feel that people can use more than 36 hours to prepare for week long vacations.
Yes, I find it difficult to get projects and maintenance completed within the 36hr guidelines.
Yes, I fully support allowing people to park their own vehicles on their property. The issues I see with the bylaw today is twofold: 1. Enforcement. It seems like nobody is policing this unless somebody complains. This seems like the wrong way to do it. 2. Many houses have front doors that don't face the main drag. In those instances, you see RVs parked on driveways that are legal, but appear not to be. The direction your house faces should not have a bearing on whether you can park there.
Yes, I fully support it. So many people have RVs now and we should be allowed to park in front of our homes as long as we are safe, without fear of being towed or ticketed
Yes, I have a trailer and we often go camping a week or two apart. I spend more time unloading at home, driving the trailer to storage and back home again to reload a week or two later. I do not want unsightly or old/rusty RV's on peoples driveways or them encroaching on public property such as sidewalks. There is already a safety hazard when I am parked on the street and have a cord going across the sidewalk or opening the trailer door to someone racing their bike down the sidewalk.
Yes, I have discussed parking my travel trailer in the driveway in a responsible and respectful manner with neighbours. It is not unsightly or obstructing views, or blocking sidewalk or other property and has never been an issue.
Yes, I have no access to back of back alley and I can keep eye at front than back. My friend parked in the back at his house and someone break into it and stole TV and other good. Please allow us to park at front.
Yes, I have no where to park on street to pack, unload or plug in fridge before travelling
Yes, I own a trailer and would appreciate not having the added trip to pick it up from the storage I park it in where I store it over winter. I am 100% for this change in the bylaw. Thank you
Yes, I own my property so I believe that if the vehicle is not an eyesore, RVs should be allowed on private property. I am wondering why this is becoming an issue. Can the concerns be addressed rather than banning rv vehicles on private property?



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I pay \$78.00 to store my RV a month. Being a low income senior, it's a lot of money, give me an opportunity to buy a permit to park it in my driveway
Yes, I put my truck camper in storage all yr bc I cannot leave on street or driveway during active use.
Yes, i regularly park my RV in my driveway to clean it out and prep for camping trips but the 36hour rule is very inconvenient
Yes, I should have the right as a property owner to park my belongings on my property. I pay for it, with interest and taxes, so I should not be restricted from storing it. Front yards are often wasted space and private storage is costly
Yes, I support allowing front driveway parking, with reasonable conditions. Should be in working condition, unless under repair/modification, driveway next to intersection, but any other large vehicle should be prohibited as well in those areas. Would be safer, more convenient, accessible to keep at your residence during a season.
Yes, I support allowing people to do whatever they like with their property as long as the safety of others is not compromised.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I even support permanent front driveway parking since I have a large front driveway and my travel trailer can park completely within my driveway without encroaching on side walks or city property and still leave behind a plenty of empty space. There is no blockage of the views to my neighborhood.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. It's cost saving and the convenience to allow families to use it more. When the RV is right in front of you, you tend to want to get out to the wilderness to enjoy it.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking.
It is the owner's property. If the owner is able to park it safely, it's not obstructing view of an intersection and not on the sidewalk, the owner should be able to keep it on the driveway as long as needed.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. convenience cost-effectiveness sense of security
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I feel that parking RVs on private driveways should be allowed for more than 36 hours. 36 hours is rarely sufficient for preparation for trips such as loading and unloading and nearly never sufficient for effecting repairs and maintenance to those vehicles.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal parking on the city street that does not affect traffic.
It is so much easier to bring unit home on Sunday night after the trip, clean it, keep it on drive way (or along the street) and on Friday night load again and get going. The present cumbersome way - as you come on Sunday night, we have Monday to get unit on storage just to bring it back on Thursday. At any time if there is a DYI repair, it becomes a whole gambling process and of tools moving.
Yes, I support an increase. Maybe 1 or 2 weeks during the spring summer
Yes, I support front driveway parking. The main reasons are the benefits you have described. Security, cost effectiveness and convenience. I also work on our trailer during the year.
Yes, I support it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I support it. If the neighbours are not impacted ( unsightly, noise, shade? )... why not? I think we pay enough taxes to allow it.
Yes, I support it. I'm off the opinion that a person's property is theirs to do what they like within reason and parking a motorhome/trailer on your property (even out front) is well within the bounds of reasonableness.
Yes, I support parking in the front because I can understand the convenience of it. Storage areas can be far away and expensive. I don't really understand the safety concern. I understand size being a concern of neighbours, creating shade and blocking views. How is a boat different from a work truck in the driveway? Maybe there should be a size limit.
Yes, I support parking on a front driveway. As long as it doesn't encroach on City property or street walkways and roads.
Yes, I support seasonal front drive parking. Storage is expensive, hard to find and an inconvenience
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking for an RV that fits properly on front driveway or parking stall. I think for larger RV's, that the time allowed should be extended from 36 hours to 48 hours.
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking for RV's. For the convenience of working on the RV, small repairs, when needed. Tools are radially available from the garage. Cost-effectiveness, security. Prepping the trailer for camping. Plugging in the electrical to charge batteries before camping.
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking. There is no impact to me if someone parks their rv on their own driveway. The benefits of cost savings, convenience and even that sense of security of not having to park at a pay spot which is always at some city limit storage lot is huge. I would love to see this go forward even though I would not be able to participate in it as my trailer is too long and would impede on the sidewalk which shouldn't be allowed.
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking. I like to be able to keep my trailer in the driveway for convenience of being able to keep it ready to go when we want without having to unload everything
Yes, i support seasonal front driveway parking. I live on a busy drive (bus route) and loading/unloading can be dangerous, particularly on the driver side (on the road). Seasonal parking will give RV'ers more time to pack, clean-up, and perform minor maintenance for trips. However, if seasonal parking is approved, it should be limited to 1 RV to avoid homes looking like a used RV lot.
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking. In many instances that is already the case in my neighborhood and it has little to no impact on anyone.
YES, I support seasonal front driveway parking. Reasons: we pay high property taxes so should be able to utilize the property we own to park our RV's, the weather changes quickly in Calgary and having the convenience of our boat on our property allows us to use it with short notice if the weather is good for a day trip, we would feel more secure being able to park our RV's at our own house where we have security cameras at all times, it would save us storage costs.
Yes, I support seasonal front parking. To me, there isnt an aesthetic issue.  The time limit is too short. I would be in favor of extending this amount of time at the very least.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Safety is a concern for everything in our daily lives. I can concede that this would produce a new element to be aware of. But I feel it is negligible and won't have too great of an impact.
Yes, I support seasonal parking. I don't have access to storage in the summer and the ability to keep my trailer at home would increase my ability to use and enjoy my trailer. Storage of trailers on front drives in my area does not impact visibility, or effect my neighbours in any manner
Yes, i support seasonal rv parking on your property. As long as the rv does not extend past the length of your driveway it's fine, it's your personal property. Also, as a homeowner who pays taxes I should have the right to choose how I want it stored.
Yes, I support this change as it has been a problem for us in the past. This change would allow time saving measures for family members who have travel trailers more time to load, unload, clean to get a unit back into storage with kids especially if traveling weekends back to back and going longer distances. Most families this is their only means of a get away and a safer way to keep an eye on their investment. Also not having to fully unpack each weekend would be a time saver.
Yes, I support this decision. Seasonal only. Excellent idea.
Yes, I support this from May-October. This makes rv ownership more cost effective and convenient.
Yes, I support this idea, AND it should be all year long because: 1) It would save money on storage, which is always hard to find in Calgary (if you give up storage seasonally you may not get it back), 2) Our trailer would not extend over the sidewalk, 3) Storing it at our home would be more secure, 4) Storing at home is much more convenient, 5) Home storage is more GHG friendly as it saves the fuel from a round-trip out to the storage lot - which in our case is 15 km away; eliminating that drive is also safer
Yes, I support this. I do not see any issue with this. This should be the residence choice and at times requires parking for longer than 36th a in the front when side or rear parking is not possible. Residence property is their own responsibility and should have the right to do as they please on their property as long as it is safe and not impeding on city or anyone else's property line.
Yes, I support this. I have a large driveway that can support parking my RV that doesn't obscure or intervene access for my neighbors and, in my opinion, doesn't aesthetically impact the neighborhood. It will potentially keep RVs off the streets, provides better security, and reduces the headache of finding another location to store it.
Yes, I support. Camping season is from May to October., people should be able to leave their units if not blocking driveways without any restrictions. It eliminates 6 months of storage fees. Frankly it's private property and the city has taken many rights away from homeowners.
Yes, I support. As an owner of a travel trailer it allows for convenience and efficiency when utilizing my trailer during camping season.
Yes, I support. It is safer, more efficient to have it by the house, less pollution to drive to and back from storage, don't require 2 sets of chairs, utensils, etc. that could be shared, and which eventually end in the landfill. I would be against any of the above vehicles that would overhang in the street, as this poses a dangerous situation.
Yes, I think as long as it fits in the driveway, it should be fine. RV storage is a pain in the butt and expensive. In the summer, when you're frequently using your RV, or doing maintenance, packing, using it every weekend, it makes sense to have it in your driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I think as long as the RV fits on the driveway and isn't hanging over blocking the street or sidewalk.
Yes, I think Calgarians would benefit from the option of parking their trailer on their front driveway during the camping season.
Yes, I think given how short our summer season is it would be nice for people to have the convenience of having their RV at their home! For those of us that don't have a back alley to access our backyard or a front driveway that would hold our RV, it would be nice to have seasonal street parking that is more than 36 hours!
Yes, I think if you could have an assessment and prove you are not contradicting obstructed sightlines safety and esthetics then you should be able to get a special permit to park your camping trailer on your driveway year round.
Yes, I think it would be reasonable to extend the period to 7 days for the parking of an RV so that it could be returned to its storage location the following weekend. Typically if you were to return on a Sunday or Monday, it would be reasonable to allow someone until the following weekend to return it to storage. Also if you are using your RV every weekend, this would be reasonable.
Yes, I think it's silly you can't park something on your own property
Yes, I think people should be allowed to park their camper or trailers on their property at any time. As long as it isn't blocking a sidewalk or any other public property it shouldn't matter
Yes, I think regardless of time of year people should be allowed to park their RV's in their driveway at all times. As long as they don't encroach on the sidewalks and are probably secured and blocked then the city should not dictate a time limit. People own their properties and should be allowed to do so.
Yes, I think that being able to park your RV on your driveway should be a decision that is up to the homeowner. If you own a home that has a private driveway, you should be able to park your rv on it as long as you would like. I think there should be no restrictions as it relates to private property.
Yes, I think that's reasonable on a seasonal basis.
Yes, I think the current restrictions are balanced too far. In our case, we camp most weekends. We were able to get an RV pad built a couple years back but before that moving our van all the time was troublesome, and our driveway is not obstructing others.
Yes, I think the home owner should be allowed to park any Rv or trailer on their own property for as long as they desire. As long as the item does not interfere with the public sidewalk obstruct intersections or traffic signs. Changing the bylaw would allow the individuals easier access to there units during the season, rather than having to retrieve the unit from a storage yard. It will also save the individuals the fee's incurred for storage.
Yes, I think you should be able to park just about anything in your own driveway within certain restraints. This would be a huge perk for people who own trailers etc. What about street parking in front of residences that do not have a driveway? Probably not going to happen. A guy can dream I guess...
Yes, I think you should be able to park your RV on your driveway from April to October. With the restrictions on camping sites sometimes you can't get a spot for weeks. Having our RV at our home allows us to keep food in the RV and have it ready to go when we can get a campsite. Also sometimes we need to make repairs to the RV which take much longer than 36 hours.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I think you should be allowed year round. You own the land and the house the city shouldn't make you pay more money to store your RV.
Yes, I thought it was already allowed. It is their property. What is this, a freaking HOA? BTW, I have a rented parking space and do not park in front of my home, so that does not influence my opinion.
Yes, I understand the challenges that owners face and have no issue with this.
Yes, I use my trailer year round so is economical for me to have access to it and not have to pay RV storage
Yes, I whole heartedly agree but would take it a step further and allow it year round. I'm an RV salesman, an avid RV'er and lifetime resident of Calgary.
Between storage fees, fuel costs/time to transport, preventative maintenance that gets left undone and the clear security disadvantages, I believe that it does nothing but make life harder on Calgarians.
I don't buy the safety and "curb appeal" arguments. Go look at any municipality that allows them and you will see it's really a non issue.
Yes, I will only for tent trailers or other types with size limits (19 feet or less lengths and 3 feet or less heights).
Yes, I would absolutely support seasonal front driveway parking. It is much more time and cost effective to be able to park your RV on your own driveway in between camping trips. It is also on your own property, and as such I believe people should have the right to park an RV on their own driveway, as long as it doesn't impede the road and/or the sidewalk.
Yes, I would allow front driveway parking
Yes, I would allow front driveway parking as long as it didn't obstruct the view of people driving by, children playing on the streets and it looks in good repair.
Yes, I would also like to see parking longer than 36 hours in front of your house during the Spring and Summer months. Maybe 48-72 hours
Yes, I would also support being able to part an RV on the street for longer than 36 hours.
Yes, I would appreciate the convenience of being able to park our camper on our front driveway for longer than 36 hours
Yes, I would be in favour of that. I would also extend it to all year long. Depending on the size of the unit and whether or not, it abstracts the line of sight for traffic and children's safety playing in the neighbourhood.
Yes, I would be ok with these to be parked on a driveway of the summer months if the owner chose to do so. Most people have storage and I don't feel like this would be abused as people will put them in there storage sites if they aren't using them for a while but if they are gone for one weekend and heading out the following it would make more sense to not need to haul it back and forth from a storage site one a day or two.
Yes, I would because as a RV owner, 36 hours is not enough to clean and organize an RV, especially when people have kids. I don't think that people should be allowed to park their RVs on the street or driveways for weeks, but 72h is a good amount of time, especially between trips.
Yes, I would definitely support seasonal front driveway parking as it is form most of the people convenient. However, there should be also some dimensional restriction of the RVs parked on the front driveway. For example 30 ft or so.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I would like to be able to park my RV on my driveway during spring to fall for RV maintenance and ease of use. Also, owners should have the right to park an RV on their own property. It is their right as a home owner to use their driveway for parking.
Yes, I would support allowing for seasonal front driveway parking. Our family has to drive 20 minutes to and from our storage lot multiple times per summer before and after every trip. This is a waste of time and fuel. In addition, storing our trailer at a storage lot makes it difficult to complete minor maintenance tasks throughout the year.
Yes, I would support allowing front driveway parking. The cost to park an RV in a storage site is expensive and the cost continues to rise because storage sites know the city will not allow parking in front driveways. Calgary's ENTITLED city councilors and managers have no idea of what cost control is and consequently they continue to raise taxes instead of controlling costs. With the economy the way it is right now seniors have to make a choice on how to spend their money.
Yes, I would support allowing RVs on driveways. It is much more convenient for people.
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal (May Long - Labor Day) front driveway parking. On the condition that the full size of the RV fits within the driveway pad. Absolutely no intrusion onto city sidewalks for any period of time. And no extension of the current 36hr rule for street parking. RV street parking creates hazards for pedestrians and vehicle traffic due to reduced visibility. Also takes up more than ones "fair share" of available street parking. I would not be pleased to have my, or anyone else's, RV parked in front of my house for weeks/months at a time. 36 hours seems sufficient to facilitate planned RV usage without becoming parked storage .
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking as we do not have an ally or space for side parking of a recreational vehicle.
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. We have a Minnie Winnie which we take camping several times during the camping season. Since it takes time to pack up & unpack for each trip, it makes more sense to have seasonal parking so we can just come & go and not have to take the trailer to the storage unit each time.
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. If it is not obstructing other vehicles and is parked in a safe way, there should not be a problem. Sometimes it is very convenient for the RV owner to do maintenance or pack their RV at their home.
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal parking. Mostly because we travel often and it is extremely frustrating to drop off the trailer at a storage lot for a day or two before traveling again. Secondly we would love to be able to use our trailer when family comes to visit as we don't have space in our house.
Yes, I would support allowing seasonal parking. For instance, a lot of owners will still keep their storage space they have that is off of their property but would like the option to keep the unit at their house during our terribly short camping season in the summer because it allows you to get it loaded and unloaded for your weekend. Trips and people's schedules are busy enough. Lots of calgaryen's. Own RVs and I think it's an accepted practice in the city even if it currently isn't the law.
Yes, I would support all-year front driveway parking
Yes, I would support as long as it's parking for storage purposes and not camping on our streets. And the neighbour is respectful of time and placement. And as long as the city will actually check in on a concern about the rv parking when called.
Yes, I would support it

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I would support it, particularly in the case of LONG DRIVEWAYS where the vehicle can be parked at least 15 feet from the street. The taxes Calgary residents pay are high and escalating at a rate far in excess of inflation. We deserve to be able to make tidy use of our property.
Yes, I would support it. I support it because the City should have no say in what people park on their personal property. It's a gross over reach of power to tell people what they can and cannot do on their own land, especially something as inconsequential as parking their RV in their driveway as long as its not blocking a sidewalk or a road. I would actually support the city going further and abolishing the by-law altogether.
Yes, I would support it. As I go camping every weekend during the summer months, it would more convenient to park my travel trailer on my drive way from Monday to Thursday
Yes, I would support RV parking on private property in any capacity.
Yes, I would support seasonal driveway parking. Perhaps a minimal per month fee could be imposed to keep participants accountable in meeting the above mentioned impacts?
Yes, I would support seasonal front drive parking. I find on street parking of vehicles to be more of a problem for safety then driveway parking of tailors. On street parking of vehicles obstructs visibility and, when both sides have a vehicle, makes the road very narrow. Far worse then driveway parking of RV's.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking. Not everyone has enough space in or access to their back or side yards to store their RVs. RVs should not be parked on the road seasonally for safety and obstructed sightlines, but I don't understand how much parking on a driveway impacts these two things. Langdon also allows people to park their RVs on their driveways and it does not look unsightly.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking. RVs are a significant investment for owners and should be treated as any other lawfully insured and registered vehicle in Calgary.
Yes, I would support seasonal front RV driveway parking. It is very inconvenient to have to retrieve and return the trailer from a storage lot every time it is used. Having the trailer at the my property for maintenance purposes is very convenient. It saves \$600 to \$1000 a year in storage costs. That's why I bought a corner lot, so I can keep the trailer at the house.
Yes, I would support seasonal parking as it will be cost -effective and secure.
Yes, I would support seasonal parking on Driveways.
Yes, I would support seasonal parking. The current 36 hrs is not very convenient. The largest benefit of longer parking would be related to maintenance issues on my RV that arise. Currently all maintenance required has to be performed by myself in the storage yard I contract to store my RV. Yard has no power or water.
Yes, I would support seasonal storage on MY property for convenience and security. It is in a cul-de-sac and there is no obstruction. I do store my trailer for winter as I have no access to side or rear. There are times I need to have access to the trailer to do maintenance that can take more than 36 hours to complete. It is convenient to have the ability to do this on my property given that the storage facilities sometimes do not want you doing it there.
Yes, I would support summer parking for the convenience of preparing for weekend camping trips.
Yes, I would support that as long as the bylaw was enforced



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I would support the seasonal front driveway parking. It takes a lot of time to go out to a storage site to pick it up. Bring it home load up and then when you're done camping, it takes time to unload and take it back to a storage facility. It saves a lot of time just to have your recreational vehicle at your residence from May until September. If it's parked in the driveway, it should pose no problem in my opinion.
Yes, I would support the seasonal parking of rv in front driveway pending any safety line of site obstructions
YES, I would support the seasonal parking. This would minimize extra fuel being burnt (CO2) hailing the trailer back and forth to the storage. This is also an economics issue. There would be less traffic if seasonal parking was permitted.
The sightlines and esthetics issues are minimal, particularly if it is just a few months. Many people are away from their homes for much of the summer.
Yes, I would support the spring to fall extended hours. As long as my rv is on my driveway, it shouldn't negatively impact my neighbours. Some people keep ugly broken down cars on their driveways and that is more unsightly.
Yes, I would support this as there are times we can't get our trailer off our drive way in the time frame. Also if you go out every weekend it is a huge inconvenience to have to go and get your trailer all the time.
Yes, I would support this because it's would save the added expenses and inconvenience of storing my RV somewhere else. It's a pain to go camping when you.habe to pick it up, hopefully find a space close enough to be able to charge the battery and load up, etc.
Yes, I would support this because this would reduce carbon footprint. Some people have work vans on their driveways which are just as large as a trailer.
Yes, I would support this change provided owners can park the RV on their own driveway with no obstructions to roadway or street parking
Yes, I would support this seasonal front parking. It's very helpful when loading/unloading, doing maintenance, cleaning, and preparing for the next camping adventure.
Yes, I would support this, providing it meets the new criteria. I would continue to store my trailer off site, but won't feel guilty when I have it in my long drive way for 2-3 days while we get ready. 36 hours is way too short.
Yes, I would support this. And I hope this includes front streets for inner city that don't have a front driveway. It would be nice to extend the time, so it allows more flexibility for the use of my RV. If say I come home and have another trip planned 2 days later. It would be less hassle to keep it parked on the street than having to take it back to storage for such a short period.
Yes, I would support this. As an RV owner, it would be great to have sufficient time to park my RV in order to load/unload and clean. I always park my RV in a safe place as to not obstruct traffic but would appreciate more time to do so and not have to worry about city enforcement.
Thank you for the opportunity to partake in this discussion.
Yes, I would support this. I believe local tourism would improve if we were able to park our RV's in our driveways as people would likely use them more often. Also, with the current economic climate, I would appreciate the cost savings of not paying for storage, which is also a significant distance from our home.
Yes, I would support this. It is expensive and time consuming to store a RV in a lot outside of the city.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I would support this. It is the right thing to do.
Yes, I would support this. We are fortunate to have a rear parking stall for our travel trailer, but not all families have this option. It would also lower the cost of RV ownership, making it more affordable for more families.
Yes, I would. In my experience, an RV parked in a front driveway presents less obstructed sightlines and is therefore safer than one parked on the street. There are no designated crosswalks on the side streets; trying to cross one which has a parked RV with my 6 and 3-year old grandsons, either on foot or on bikes, is terrifying. I feel the benefits to the owner of parking an RV in his/her driveway far outweigh the safety and esthetic concerns of neighbours.
Yes, I would. People own their drive way and should be able to park what they like in it if it's neat and tidy. My neighbours have done it for years and now we do because it makes sense for easy access to your trailer so that you can load it and head out for the weekend of camping or when ever you head out camping. We have our trailer parked for 4 days out of the week then gone for 3. If we can't do this we would not bought a trailer because it would cost to much to store it during the summer and more importantly to hard/ to much work to go to where ever it's stored and bring it in every time during the season that we want to use it.
Yes, I would. For the convenience and cost savings of not having to rent expensive trailer storage. I also believe that easier access could allow people to go on more spur of the moment camping trips. And I'm a huge believer in the power of Vitamin N (Nature) A win/win!
Yes, I would. It is very time consuming to have to go pick up a trailer from storage, take it home, open it up to do maintenance, and then bring it back. Especially during the season of frequent use (spring/ summer). Although, I ALSO do believe that the type and size of the RV - It is not reasonable to class all RV's under the same rules - as well as location on the driveway (back 5-10 feet from the edge) ought to be a determining factor in permanent storage on a driveway. Please see below.
Yes, I would. It's convenient, it promotes family time and exploring our outdoors.
Yes, I would. Ourselves and many of our neighbours in the various neighborhoods we've lived in since moving to Calgary in 2005 have had recreational vehicles on their driveways over the years. The current rules are restrictive and make things very difficult for us to be able to get our vehicle home from storage and packed prior to trips (in addition to our busy daily lives and children's extracurricular activities). Storage costs are also ridiculous but our backyard is inaccessible.
Yes, I would. Seasonal makes a lot of sense IMO. For myself would increase safety as getting our RV in and out of Back is very tight.
Yes, I would. There are many things that can obstruct view in our community that provide next to no benefits. Camping is good for physical, mental and emotional well being. The closest storage lot with security is far away, and it hinders our planning for getting out.
Yes, I've enjoyed this in the past in the town of innisfail. We were allowed to park our trailer on our driveway between April and October. I believe this is a reasonable compromise.
Yes, if a family is going camping every weekend then it makes sense to leabe your rv in the driveway. If it's not being used very much then I think the rv should be stored somewhere else.
Yes, if a person is able to properly fit their travel trailer on their driveway there should be zero reasons to not allow them doing so. Promote travel Alberta and Calgarians owning these recreational vehicles to explore their province.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, if I own a property and pay taxes, I can park a legally registered vehicle for however long I see fit. If people don't like the aesthetic, should be start to impose bylaws of certain house colours or landscaping designs?
Yes, if I own the drive way I should be able to park whatever I want on it as long as it does not hurt anyone. And hurting someone's feelings doesn't count. Unsightly shouldn't be a condition. What yoh think is "unsightly" is what someone else thinks is an amazing way to get there kids camping on a budget. Basing the decision of of it being "ugly" is very elitist and down plays those who can't afford brand new trailers and rvs.
yes, if it does not impede visibility, and is not an eyesore. you should be allowed to park rv in your driveway year round
Yes, if it doesn't block sidewalk OT road
Yes, if it doesnt block sidewalks it should be allowed all year
Yes, if it fits in the driveway and is not in poor condition, the property owner should be able to park in their own driveway. Some automobiles are unsightly and parked in driveways.
Yes, if it fits on the driveway and isn't bothering anybody why not allow it
Yes, if it fits on the driveway then it should be allowed. There are ugly cars and no one cares so why treat rvs differently
Yes, if it fits then it should be allowed to be parked year round.
Yes, if it is a privately owned driveway, why would it matter what type of vehicle is on it? The current bylaw does not make sense that an RV can be on the street longer than a private driveway.
Yes, if it is for recreational use, should be allowed in my property
Yes, if it is in the driveway, not on the road. If it's on the person's property, it's okay by me.
Yes, if it is on my own property, it should not be a concern
Yes, if it is on their property they should be able to park it there, as long as it's not obstructing the side walk or steet.
Yes, if it is personal property or public roadway it should be allowed as it does not impact others property or walking paths then it should not matter. No different then any house addition or activities
yes, if it not a party RV. and they would have to not used as living accommodations. and its on gravel or paved driveways
Yes, if it will fit in their own driveway and does not obstruct the neighbors driveway. Fifth wheelers and motor homes that looks like a bus occupies a lot of space and takes more than the owners driveway, then theres a problem
Yes, if it's a small trailer not impeding sidewalk plus 2 m setback, especially on residential streets. It's consistent with zero lot line development, is done often and doesn't actually hurt anyone. Trailers can be guest quarters and can activate the street adding eyes on the street. Front attached garages already destroyed eyes on the street. If anything RV's add eyes.
Yes, if it's back from the street and the unit is clean and presentable. How you define those parameters and enforce them is something else.
Yes, if it's in your drive way there should not be an issue it's your drive way
Yes, if it's not impeding traffic than I see no harm in front driveway parking.
Yes, if it's their driveway who am I to tell them what they can or cannot park there.
Yes, if it's in someones driveway, it's perfectly fine with me. I don't see the big deal.
Yes, if it's not hindering walks or obstructing views when driving.
yes, if its off the street
Yes, if it's on the property I don't think there is an issue



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, if not seasonal then at least extend the time limit to 7 days to allow for preparation time or periods between trips away. 36 hours is not enough time to accommodate spring startup maintenance, repair, or winterization activities, plus it takes a long time from power plugin for a fridge/freezer to achieve the temperature setpoint. There could also be a vehicle size limit to prevent an overly large motorhome or bus from parking above 36 hours.
Yes, if not unsightly
Yes, If parked in front of own residence. Should be able to partake in recreational activities. Payment for a property should give those rights. Stop micromanaging personal residence
Yes, if people can have unused cars sitting in their driveway I don't see why they can't have an RV on the driveway.
Yes, if someone wants to park in their own driveway then they should be permitted to do so.
Yes, if the driveway in front of your house is large enough to park the RV or trailer, you should be allowed to park it there.
Yes, if the motorhome fits in our driveway without obstructing the sidewalk, we should be able to park it there.
Yes, if the owner's driveway is large enough then it should be allowed.
Yes, if the RV fits entirely on the property and does not cause an unreasonable safety hazard, it should be allowed all year around.
Community esthetics is likely the only concern, but there are MANY more unpleasant esthetics than RVs.
Yes, if the RV is not a hazard or impacting the safety of the people around it. Seasonally allowing RV storage on premises is a fantastic idea.
Yes, if the rv is on their property they should have the right to choose
Yes, if the unit is parked on a personal driveway then there is no issue
yes, if the vehicle is not an 'eye sore' and is safely/properly parked and supported
YES, if the vehicle/ trailer is on personal property it should be allowed permanently unless its a major hinderance to road way visibility
Yes, If the window was between May 15 and Sept 10th.
Yes, If there is no access to the backyard. The benefits of getting back to nature and spending time with family and friends are beneficial for physical and mental health.
Yes, if there is room on a driveway or property to park a trailer, it should be allowed. This saves hundreds of dollars in storage costs.
Yes, if there is room on the property.
Yes, if there is space off the road.
Yes, if these seasonal trailers are in well kept condition, parked on private property the home owner should be able to park on their driveway. With the cost of everything going up trying to find affordable holidays shouldn't only be for the rich. Saving the cost of paying to park would be beneficial
Yes, if time limited to support loading, unloading, cleaning, and selling of RV
Yes, If unit fits on the driveway not obstructing the sidewalk or sticking out onto the road.
Yes, if vehicles are smaller and can fit on driveways.
Yes, if you define seasonal as Jan 1 to Dec 31. There are many that camp year round.
Yes, if you have a big enough driveway and the vehicle is not extending to public area. I don't see a difference from parking a regular car on your own driveway
Yes, if you own the property and pay taxes it is yours to use. I prefer that to back yard parking

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, if you own your driveway you should be allowed to park your belongings. I do not support rv living on Private property, but parking your personal property on your property should be a question.
Yes, if your property (trailer, boat etc) can be contained in your yard or driveway without posing any risk (properly blocked wheels etc) to people entering your property. It also must not be an eyesore.
Yes, in camping season , we need more time to Laos food from home and clean up. It is better to be able to park on the driveway during summer
Yes, in most areas there's really no impact on neighbours or passing motorists. How is a motorhome any different than a large panel van or other large vehicles? Although we would not leave our vehicle in our drive way all summer, there are times when we need to do some maintenance on them and may be waiting for parts, etc. so increasing the allowed time from 36 hours would allow for that. One time we had a broken jack and we could not move it until a new one arrived but we received a warning.
Yes, in most of the new communities. There is no access to side and backyard parking. And how do you expect these owner park their rv?
Yes, it allows families to get more use and save time retrieving and storing a vehicle creating unneeded trips to storage lots.
Yes, it allows families to take full advantage of their weekends camping, without the hassle of moving their campers and worried about obstructed side lanes/access to their backyard.
Yes, it allows for families to preplanned there camping and to take full advantage of the weekend.
Yes, it allows for people to save money on storage for a few weeks of a short season when things are expensive enough.
Yes, it allows for quicker get up and go. Alot of rv parks are outside of the city, mine included and it's a good 1.5 -2hr round trip to collect it. If it could be Parked seasonally at my house this would help tremendously
Yes, it allows individuals more time for maintenance and cleaning as well as easier access for summer use.
Yes, it allows people to do maintenance and repairs on their units. Most people now work more than a typical 40 hour work week so having a work/family life balance is important. Being allowed to keep the trailer on their driveway longer than the 36hrs would allow them a better balance with out constantly running to their storage lot or bringing the trailer home every week. Not to mention less carbon footprint. As long as the trailer doesn't block public sidewalks or roadways.
Yes, it allows people to have more access to the outdoors and to camp closer to town keeping the revenue in the area.
Yes, it allows people to more freely use their recreational vehicles without having to go out to a storage facility. We know that since the pandemic there are a lot more RV's and why not make it easier?
Yes, it allows the owner to enjoy their summers more as they won't have to plan ahead of time for bringing their RV from storage and can take better care of their RV.
Yes, it allows those who utilize these vehicles more flexibility and not having to bring to storage and burning more gas and time.
I believe street parking should also be considered as long as there is no hindrances to road



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

access. Depending on the size of unit, you might not be able to use your driveway and if you do, then you're blocking the sidewalk making things unsafe for pedestrians. If you're able to find street parking close to your address that should be fine.
Yes, it can be a hassle if you use it often (every other weekend or so) and need to take it to and from storage every time. Also if you need time work work on it (repairs or just cleaning after a trip) 36 hours is not enough at all. There may also be other circumstances where you are unable to move it quickly (for example, someone hit our only vehicle after we brought ours home and it wasn't repaired for 2 months, we had to find someone with an adequate vehicle to tow it to storage for us)
Yes, it can be very difficult getting your trailer ready and a longer time would be useful.
Yes, it can enhance residents outdoor experience by making it a little easier to get going. If you can store tyour RV near your home you can more easily pack and get going. Creating smoother and less panicked Fridays and sundays in our homes and roads.
Yes, it costs to go camping as well as storing our RV all year long.
Yes, it does not bother me to have trailers parked in the neighborhood as long as it's not blocking or obstructing views.
Yes, it doesn't make sense for families who camp every weekend to have to bring it in and out of storage
Yes, it doesn't bother anyone, I would support all year parking
Yes, it encourages the tourism economy since more people will be inclined to own an RV and therefore use, it provides more automony to people on what they can do with their property, it is more convenient for people who own RVs and saves people money on paid RV parking.
Yes, it encourages the use of trailers for pleasure. It helps with overall mental health of people and encourage spending within the country and generally local economy
Yes, it financially is better for owners, makes it easier for people to go camping because don't have to take stuff out if fridge etc., if there is room in your front yard and does not incrooch on sidewalk then I think should be allowed, pay taxes for your property and should be able to use it, also lots of residences don't have back alleys or access to backyards.
Yes, it helps owners of RVs and trailers and doesn't negatively impact those that don't own them.
Yes, it helps people who like to go camping. Also saves them storage fees.
Yes, it if in a drive way, it is your driveway.
Yes, it improves quality if life. Everyone already spends ebough timec trying to get around the city if you could have you're trailer ready to go with a cold fridge and everything else loaded getting away for holidays, long weekends, ir weekends would be so much easier.
Yes, it improves the quality of life for families making it more feasible to camp frequently and for weekend trips.
Yes, it increases quality of life
Yes, it is a convenience to Calgary residents.
Yes, it is a necessary way an RV owner can save money in a time when we are getting gouged by taxes from our beloved politicians. You could even charge a small fee for front drive way parking as another way to get your hands in your citizens pockets. Piece of mind in having your RV or boat close by is nice as theft is running rampant in our city.
Yes, it is cheaper, and safer. If it is in good repair it is not unsightly
Yes, it is convenient and this is some people's only means of travel recreation.
Yes, it is easier and convenient

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it is easier to pack and get items ready for camping season. Often times trailers need to be fixed, cleaned, or worked on and 36 hours sometimes isn't enough time
Yes, it is good to provide the convenience to owners. If not seasonal an addition to the 36 hr rule for driveway and street parking would be helpful for the process of loading and unloading during the week while also working etc.
Yes, it is hard to load and unpack a trailer when it is parked in the back rv parking at our place as it is not as easily accessible. I would even be open to being able to park longer during May and September as it takes longer to get a trailer ready for the summer and then clean it out and winterize it at the end of the year. People that pay to put thier trailers in storage would have an even hard time with the 36 hours. There have been times we got home late from a trip, work the next day.
Yes, it is minimal time and is a part of that persons property.
Yes, it is more convenient and allows rv owners to save money in storage fees for and rv
Yes, it is more convenient and requires less driving back and forth for storage facilities. This would allow owners to have access to their RV during the season for easy access. However, if it is an old dilapidated RV that is only used for storage and is not used for camping it should not be allowed to be parked.
yes, it is more convenient and safer for RV owners. If it is not obstructing the road, it should be ok.
Yes, it is more convenient as we are retired and personal mobility is becoming an issue. Also I could sell off my personal vehicle as it would no longer be required during RV season and is unused in the winter.
Yes, it is more convenient for someone who is camping every weekend during the summer to park in your driveway on your own property
Yes, it is more convenient for those who enjoy camping. It won't help me due to the size of my trailer but small trailers that fit on the driveway should be allowed to be parked there, especially during the summer months when trailers are frequently used.
Yes, it is more convenient in the summer for quick access
Yes, it is my driveway. Why should I have to pay to rent a place to park something that I own(vehicle and driveway).
Yes, it is not affecting others and it allows people to have more time freedoms to get out and enjoy using their RV's.
Yes, it is not feasible to park behind my house
Yes, it is not my business if someone wants to park a trailer on their own property. The front should be no different from the rear or side of the house.
Yes, it is of minimal to no inconvenience to the surrounding neighbours and community. All homeowners and residents would be able to fully utilize the property they live in to its potential.
Yes, it is on "private" property, not on the street, so there is minimal impact.
Yes, it is only seasonal and we should be making it easier for people to enjoy the great outdoors!
Not always feasible to complete all work on trailer in 36 hours
Yes, it is our property and we should be able to park our cars and motorbikes for however long we want on the property to load, unload or store.
Yes, it is peoples personal property on their driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it is people's personal property that is paid for both in capital cost and annual property taxes. The city needs to focus on getting their own business and budgets in order before sticking their nanny state noses into people's yards.
Yes, it is personal property. Restricting parking registered, insured vehicles in your own driveway is absurd. The parking of RV's on personal property has little to no effect on the public or city. The city is over reaching in the restriction of using a driveway, for a driveway.
Yes, it is private property and people should be able to park there if they want to.
Yes, it is private property. The city should be less restrictive on how people use their own property so long as those people are not encroaching on public property.
Yes, it is problematic to have to bring my RV to my home to load it and unload before and after a trip within the confines of my work schedule.
Yes, it is really annoying and time consuming to go to rv lot, back and forth, pay more. I own my property, why cant i use it.
Yes, it is seasonal and camping is a net benefit for families. It is more convenient to allow at least a day to load and unload.
Yes, it is so convenient for the rv owner and a such secure way as well. as long as there is no significant safety concerns.
Yes, it is so expensive and inconvenient to pay for my trailer storage especially when I need to use and access it more in the spring, summer and early fall. The risk of damage is concerning as well. As long as it's not blocking a sidewalk, it shouldn't matter.
Yes, it is the only price conscious parking option for some people and convenience matters.
Yes, it is the owners property.
Yes, it is time consuming to have your RV stored outside of the city limits and it cause problems with the current 36 hours limit.
yes, it is very costly to store an rv all year in a storage facility. with all the other expenses and taxes we have to pay we should be allowed to keep our rv safe with us.
Yes, it is your property, and I think the city should not have any say in what is parked on your driveway
Yes, it just makes sense if it meets other bylaw requirements.
Yes, it make it easier for people -owner to get in get on road with out hasle.
Yes, it makes being able to enjoy summer with recreational vehicles challenging to not have them parked on driveways in the summer.
Yes, it makes good sense
Yes, it makes it easier to access and use our rv frequently
Yes, it makes it more convenient during camping season and saves some money. Also if only seasonal, it encourages operational units rather than unsightly units.
Yes, it makes it more convenient to use our trailer during the summer months
Yes, it makes sense to allow people flexibility to have these vehicles at home for the summer. Or May-Oct.
Yes, it makes weekend camping almost impossible when you have the extra drive at each end. Right now all RV storage lots near Calgary are full
Yes, it my driveway and none of your business what I park on my property , stay in your lane ! I can't park my RV because it's too big and have no problem if other people do
Yes, it reduces ownership cost, storage costs and additional traffic congestion caused by additional trips to remove and then replace the trailer into a storage lot which is outside of the city for most people.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it saves fuel and the environment by not driving an extra 75 kilometres to the storage lot when the trailer will be used in back to back weekends.
Yes, it seems ridiculous that I can't park my RV on my own property for more than 36 hours. In my opinion, It has zero impact on interference with neighbors, other than a visual presence.
Yes, It seems the same as parking in your own back or side yard. Private property
Yes, it should be alliwed
Yes, it takes a bit of time to prep your trailer for the VERY short camping season and unload, etc when you're back. Or if you have back to back camping vacations on multiple weekends, again, because of the VERY short season, it's a lot of rigamarole to constantly take it away and bring it back. Particularly when your actual RV is small and does not block or cause issues for sidewalks.
Yes, it will be convenient for owners, but aesthetic needs to be properly govern and enforced
Yes, it will be convenient to get ready faster
Yes, it will help home owners that owns a RV
Yes, it would allow be to be much more active camper if I can remove 4 hours of the work it takes to hook up and take my trailer to storage outside Calgary. When I go weekend after weekend this a huge inconvenience. It doesn't seem very equitable a neighbour could have 5 cars in one house and not use their driveway and use public roads to store them. However I'm not allowed to keep my own property (my trailer) on my own property.
Yes, It would allow ease of use of the RV. It would allow the Owner of the Rv to complete proper maintenance and Repairs on the, Lots of Rv storage facilities do not allow you to work/ repair the RV on the premises.
Yes, It would allow more time to pack, unpack, clean and maintain RV between trips.
Yes, it would be a cost saving and would be more convenient to load, charge, and Utilize your rv.
Yes, it would be beneficial for owners to be able to have their trailers parked for longer to help with the preparation as well would help with not being as rushed when coming back home from a trip and needing to drop the trailer off right away. ESP when the trailer needs work on it and you can't fix it all in one go.
Yes, it would be convenient and cost effective for my family and friends I know with a trailer as well.
Yes, it would be convenient and would allow additional people to stay at my house over summer months
Yes, it would be more convenient for doing repairs and for security. Also more convenient for loading, unloading and cleaning
Yes, it would be more convenient for everyone. Its a homeowners property they should be allowed.
Yes, it would be more convenient for people to go camping if this was the case, and sometimes you might go two weekends in a row and being able to leave it on your driveway for the week, would be really helpful.
Yes, It would be much more convenient and save time and gas when wanting to utilize the RV on weekends and not have to return it to storage during the week. Most people seem to do this anyways, it seems to just be a matter if neighbours are bothered by it and call in a complaint.
Yes, it would be nice to have some extra time to prepare for trips or multiple trips.
yes, it would be very convenient and less costly
Yes, it would be very convenient to leave the trailer on the driveway for a few days



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it would enhance the enjoyment of RV's in calgary
Yes, it would facilitate access.
Yes, it would help get them from parking off the street. As long as it fits on the driveway.
Yes, it would make it a lot easier for us
Yes, it would make it convenient, as well as saving on storage costs for part of the year.
Yes, it would make it easier for RV owners to look after their trailers.
Yes, it would make it so much more convenient for people to be able to use their seasonal vehicles.
Yes, it would promote more camping and save families lots of money on storage. It would also save families time in retrieving from remote storage facilities.
Yes, it would provide cost saving and easier access to use.
yes, it would provide for more flexibility when loading /unloading and waiting for an appointment with the mechanic.
Yes, it would reduce storage costs for families and allow them to utilize their rvs for camping more often
Yes, it would save me a lot on gas every weekend.
Yes, it would save me monthly parking fees and be more convenient.
Yes, it's a short period of time and would save people storage money and allow more convenience. If it fits on their driveway, it should be allowed. Maybe add a height restriction.
Yes, it's a silly bylaw to begin with.
Yes, it's considerate to the season and allows families to be more effective and functional
Yes, it's convenient to get ready for camping and safer
Yes, it's going to take stress off public street parking and I think it clears road ways.
Yes, it's hard to load and unload all your stuff
Yes, it's is extremely difficult and time consuming to retrieve my trailer from storage almost every weekend as I go camping most weekends. It cost additional money in gas and storage.
Yes, it's more convenient
Yes, it's more convenient and parking on your own driveway has no negative effects on the neighborhood or the City of Calgary. It only benefits families as it makes it more convenient and cost effective. In fact municipalities like Airdrie and Cochrane are already ahead of Calgary in recognizing this. An RV should be allowed to be parked on a homeowner's property (legal driveway) during the summer vacation months.
Yes, it's more convenient as we have a small back yard and big front yard and it can fit in the driveway.
Yes, it's more east and fast to load and unload. As long do not interfere with sidewalks shouldn't be a problem to park a RV detached from the towing vehicle.
Yes, it's my property and should be able to use it as I wish.
Yes, it's not causing any harm
Yes, it's not hurting anyone and it's on my property.
Yes, it's not practical to have to keep moving a RV each time you go out in the season.
Yes, it's personal property. Storing an rv should not be restricted on your own land.
Yes, it's private property
Yes, it's ridiculous that the city is involved in what I park on my property. As long as it poses no safety issue, isn't leaking anything and is otherwise well maintained I should be allowed to park it on the front or back driveway. Storage is expensive and not as secure.
Yes, it's seasonal and it's on their property



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it's such a short summer here and it allows more family's the flexibility to go away with a short time. Storage facilities are to far away
Yes, it's the persons property so storing of one's RV shouldn't be an issues allows the owner to be in control and see the unit instead of it being broken into in storage lots also it's costly to store, annoying to have to get it from storage to bring it home and stock it or clean it
Yes, it's unreasonable and super inconvenient to have people moving RVs/boats in and out of town just to be able to use them on the weekends (prep takes time)
Yes, it's very costly to pay for storage. Family's need an affordable way to spend time together and camping is one great way to be together. But it is also getting very expensive. If it's your property you should be able to use it for your camper.
Yes, it's what you like doing and frankly it's your property it should not be an issue.
Yes, it's your driveway
Yes, it's a residence, not a movie set.
Yes, It's about time Calgary gets on board with having trailers. Not everyone has a side or back lot for their storage and it would make a lot of sense.
Yes, it's better and is less fuel than going back and fourth to the storage place!
Yes, it's convenient for those who have. If it's on your property and not obstructing the sidewalk should not be an issue. As long as it's maintained and being used. Not parked indefinitely for more if a storage purpose.
Yes, it's convenient to have it parked ongoing in order to keep storage costs down, be able to pack it long before going away and to be able to do repairs and other needed maintenance
Yes, it's incredibly convenient for RV owners and it doesn't negativity impact non rv owners
Yes, it's more cost effective for the owners and it's nice to have it easily accessible. I would use my RV more if it was able to be stored at home. Many people already park theirs in the driveway but only some of us get tickets. All people should be allowed to park their own property on their property. Seasonal is a good start to that.
Yes, it's my driveway
Yes, it's my home and if parking on my property is not affecting driving in a negative way, the city should allow it.
Yes, it's my house I should be allowed to store what I want in the driveway.
Yes, it's my own property I should be allowed to put whatever I want on it. Plus storage facilities are getting to expensive. If I have space on my property I should be able to utilize it.
yes, its my property as long as its safe and not an eyesore why is the City forcing me to spend money on storage. The cost of living for a family is ridiculous and the joy of going camping all we can afford as a family is being taken from us as I have to feed my family and pay gas to tow it, so probably getting rid of it, can't even go to the Kananaskis now without an annual pass. Everything I do is taxed I feel as a Calgarian I have no money and no enjoyment in life it all goes to bills.
Yes, it's not the neighbors paying my property taxes and as long the rv doesn't interfere with the sidewalk
Yes, it's personal property.
Yes, it's silly that someone can park a bus in their driveway, but not a motorhome. The city should consider that due to the massive redevelopments focused on "density", many properties do not have driveways, because they have been removed to put two (or more) residences, where once a single family dwelling existed. Hence, leniency should be considered for RV owners who can't afford big fancy properties in this expensive city.
Yes, it's such a hassle having to go get the trailer from storage every weekend.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it's super frustrating, I only have 2 stalls in the back for cars and having to jog vehicles around to park the trailer during summer months is very frustrating.
Yes, its the owners property. Its very difficult to do work on a rv if it is parked 30 mins away. It takes time to load and clean the RV after use and most people like to have them ready to go before camping. Also the rule is not inforced currentlty unles you live on a main street. Covered secure parking is limited and expensive. My small rv fits in my driveway and we can't park it in our backyard because and electical box is blocking us from adding a gate. If it way parked in a lot we would have to unload it and wouldnt be able to plug it in or maintaine it. We also used it during covid to isolate in.
yes, its their driveway so they can do what they want.
Yes, its their property so they should be able to park whatever they want on it.
Yes, it's their property, it's their right to park their vehicles there.
Yes, it's very helpful when planning trips, packing and unpacking, and maintenance. You should be able to park your things at your house.
Yes, it's would make maintenance easier and reduce complaints
Yes, it's your property and your trailer. No restrictions should be required as long as you're not on the street.
Yes, it's your property you should be able to park your vehicles in your property
Yes, ive got numerous friends who unlike myself do not have the ability to park their rv in their back yard. Seasonal parking would not only be more secure, but would also positively impact the environment as there would be less driving to and from a storage facility as well as less arable land used for year round trailer parking.
Yes, just for the spring summer and fall.
yes, less expensive (seasonal cost avoidance for storage). Allows for some small homeowner maintenance.
Yes, less government is always better
Yes, less travelling to pick up and drop off rv. Will use trailer more often, access my current driveway so no inconvenience to neighbors.
Yes, let's help make it easier for people/families to access their camping vehicle.
Yes, limited to a single rv/camping, boat, watercraft, ATV type trailer, in good condition. No to livestock, construction, lawn care type of trailers. Why, because Calgary is city of people who love to be outdoors and we should foster that. This will, in most cases, have limited impact to the visibility and the aesthetics of a neighbourhood.
Yes, lots of ppl camp in AB and it would be nice to have more time to pack and unpack the trailer during camping season. Seasonal changes make sense, but there is value in not letting ppl store their rv on their driveway all year as it's unsightly
Yes, mainly for financial reason. Parking our rv in a privately owned rv parking lots is expensive and far to visit to check if its ok. There are rv thefts happening in those locations and our rv security is at risk.
Yes, make life easier for everyone who has them. Saves money and time for everyone who can Rv. Not spending 4 hours preping both to leave and when you return home.
Yes, makes camping more accessable by being more convenient and affordable.
Yes, makes it easier for families to prepare for more outings encouraging more physical activity and family time.
Yes, makes it easier for people to load unload and clean and saves driving and time
Yes, makes it easier to unload and reload for camping. I would be ok with a 72 hour driving parking allowance.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, makes it easy. Less cost to residents.
Yes, makes sense in the summer camping period
Yes, makes sense. To let people use their driveways to park trailers. Silly to restrict that.
Yes, many cities already allow it and see no issues
Yes, many homes do not have yard or backlane capacity. Urban planners have done a poor job of accommodating the needs of a suburban family. Lot sizes are smaller, developers have not included family needs or dynamics in their profit oriented designs.
Yes, many offsite storage areas are difficult to access in poor weather and many RVers use their units nearly every weekend
Yes, many other communities allow this and I believe Calgary should be one of them.
Yes, many people do not have access to a "backalley or driveway" having to pull a trailer from a paying parking spot outside of the area, or our side of YYC for that matter, 36 hours may not be enough time, loading, cleaning, schedules of work or leaving times.
Yes, many people have them and they should be allowed to use their personal driveway for their personal property such as RVs.
Yes, many residents already do this anyways. I don't see a difference with safety between 36 hours and seasonal parking.
Yes, may not have access to backyard and may use it as supporting others
Yes, more convenient for everyone
Yes, more convenient for families
Yes, more convenient for rv owners to pack or unpack their unit.
Yes, more convenient for the owner. Does not impact me to see trailers on driveways.
Yes, more environmentally friendly as no driving back and forth to storage lot between trips. 36 hours is not a lot of time to de-winterize and reload unit in spring as the same is true for the fall for winterizing and emptying. Avoids duplicates of contents between house and RV.
Yes, most definitely, as long as the driveway is at least 10 feet away from the neighbour's driveway. Most driveways in Calgary are short - 20-25 feet long - you could not park a huge RV on most driveways. Small RVs probably would not obstruct the view of neighbours. I have seen much poorer condition cars/trucks parked on the street, than most RVs. I believe most neighbours are quite understanding of their neighbours wanting to park on their driveway seasonally, and don't have an issue with it.
Yes, most newer districts have no back yard access due to no alley as long as there is no encroachment on the sidewalk
Yes, most of the back alley areas you cannot even park a car due to bylaws. Limited parking and allowing to disconnect for a short period (24 to 28 hours) would allow for residents to load and unload RVs.
Yes, most of the time the RV is only there for a few days because it's usually just enough time to get it ready is all that is needed.
Yes, most people go camping as much as possible during summer so to be able to Access your trailer to add stuff and clean it out is great, also as mine is in the yard I work on it and pack it up early, I have an older model which has been repainted and cleaned up by me but it looks good still even though it's old.
yes, most rv owners take great pride in keeping their motorhomes clean and in good repair. As I drive around the city I see a larger number of poorly kept cars and trucks that are parked on the front streets for all lengths of time.
Yes, most RVs are nicer than peoples houses. It doesn't matter to me what they park in their driveway. It's the reason they bought a house with a driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, most vehicles parked in a driveway or in a backyard would not obstruct view or safety.
Yes, much safer and no issue regarding aesthetics. More convenient for packing and leaving quickly, more dollars available for the family, increased overall wellness and mental health, increased usage of residential property, Also, less travelling back and forth for storage, better for the environment
Yes, my driveway!!I own it, I can park it there, on my property
Yes, my property, my business. City doesn't need to control every facet of our lives. People use their trailer in the summer and should have easy access to it. I park two trailers in my property because I have space and wouldn't be happy to be told I couldn't.
Yes, no more than 5 days at a time, with 3 days between.
Yes, no permanent damage to household or neighbours
Yes, no problem with reason and proper precautions.
Yes, not everyone has back or side access for parking their rv, and as long as it is on their property, they should be allowed to keep it on their property, even on their front driveway. It is not anyone else's business. It really is no different than having a large truck parked on the driveway.
Yes, not owning a place with side or backyard parking available makes it harder to plan trips and get ready for it. With the inflation rate going up it would be great to have a break from monthly RV storage fees
Yes, of course I would! I think being outdoors is apart of Canada's culture and it helps maintain the overall physical and mental health of Canadians that in turn affects the cost of health care on the government. RV owners are happier and fit than the average person who doesn't get outside as much. Plus, storage lots are far, expensive, and not safe for storage due to theft. With how expensive it is these days, we need to be able to keep our RV on our driveway during the summer in order to be able to afford to continue to use our trailer and teach our children how important it is to be outdoors a much as possible.
Yes, of course. It is your driveway. People who don't have back or side access should not be forced to haul it back and forth to the storage facility 4 or 5 times a summer. With a lot of people not having back alleys or side lots, we need to be fair to them.
Yes, of course. It shouldn't even be a consideration. Again, where we lived before there was no such bylaw, or at least it was not enforced. Every second home had an rv in their driveway for the season.
Yes, of course. the property is owned by me. I keep it clean and tidy and have had no complaints, ever. It's parked for 4 days and gone for 3 days during the camping season.
Yes, offsite storage is very expensive and usually far away causing more usage of fuel.
Yes, often 36 hours is not long enough to clean and repair items or prepare and pack the RV for vacation.
Although permanant parking is NOT a good idea, unless thier is a seperate parking pad/space on driveway for all vehicles used by home owner.
Yes, often more people don't have accessible back yards ,i don't.
Yes, often when repairs are needed the RV will be on the property for longer if they are being done by the owner. It's much easier to access and maintain during the season if it can be on our driveways.
yes, on hard surface driveways only as long as the rv does not encroach on the sidewalk
Yes, on the condition that sightlines are maintained and city rights-of-way (including front setbacks) are not infringed upon. Current enforcement on a "complaints" basis is inequitable. Seasonal allowance is more equitable and more enforceable.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, on the front driveway only. Street parking should still be limited although the time should be extended to more than 36 hours. This would allow time for maintenance etc.
Yes, on your own property for certain times of year.
Yes, only if it fits in the driveway without impacting sidewalks, roads, neighbours driveway etc. Must be in good condition (actively used and not just parked there forever).
Yes, or even an increase from 36 hours to 7 days. When we camp 2 weekends in a row it would be nice to have the trailer on the driveway for the week.
Yes, or longer than 36 hours as it depends on where your storage is. Also to do maintenance sometime on my own property.
Yes, our trailer fits perfectly on our driveway and does not impede traffic in any way.
Yes, ours is stored in a proper facility. If there not a dumpy looking RV seasonal is fine. It's abused all the time, Store them in the winter NOT on your front driveway. If you can afford the RV you can afford to store it.
Yes, outdoor season is huge for my family. I think it's an awesome initiative! Get it done!
YES, owners should be able to park their recreational vehicle on their properties and driveways. We should be allowed to park our RV's all-year-round on our properties. The theft is high, my neighbor had their trailer stolen and it hasn't been returned. Convivence & cost-effectiveness.
Yes, owners should have a right to park on their property, we paid for our property as well as annual taxes and is unfair. parking on side and back does not make sense anymore as there are few house that have that access and is unfair. As well the cost of storage is very expensive and unnecessary, as well as time, gas cost and carbon pollution to put into storage all the time is wasteful
Yes, parked on my driveway it is on my property. Safe, sècure and convenient access for use and any potential repairs.
yes, parking is limited in inglewood, getting trailers in and out of the neighborhoods is already a challenge. Most people are just trying to get backed and ready for their trip. and have to pull them in from other lots out of the city & manage within their busy schedules
Yes, parking is parking, the neighbors have multiple vehicles they park along the street, alley, and sound walls. What is the difference??
Yes, pay taxes on the whole property, should be able to use it ALL year.
Yes, people can prep the unit more before a trip or clean up before storage and have it close. You guys are going to expand Country Hills BLVD by Deerfoot to save 1% green house gas. Think of the gas savings by limiting the movement of an RV?
Yes, people do it anyway
Yes, people own the property, pay taxes on their property, and should have the right to use as they see fit.
Yes, people should be able to keep their property at their homes if it does not go o the sidewalk or roadway
Yes, people should be able to park their own RVs on their driveways without anyone having a say in it. It's not as though these RVs are parked on the public streets and taking up space that could otherwise be used for other people.
Yes, people should be able to park their RV in front of their house during camping season to help with maintenance, loading/unloading and to keep an eye on your RV.
Yes, people should be able to park whatever they want on their front property



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, people should be able to store their rvs in their driveway if it fits. It provides easier access for camping trips and creates less driving back and forth to storage facilities.
Yes, people should be allowed to park their vehicles on their property. As long as it's not obstructing the sidewalk
Yes, people should be allowed to use their property for parking, without time restrictions. People are struggling financially and the City wants to keep taking more
Yes, people should be allowed to use their property how they want
Yes, people should be allowed to use their property so long as they do not infringe on others.
Yes, people should be allowed to utilize their property they way they see fit also if you have ever gone camping you need to load and unload and it is more difficult to do this if your parked somewhere else also adds unneeded time on your vacation to pick it up. Everyone needs a break these days let the people do so
Yes, people's R&R time is short and this would help lengthen it during camping season
Yes, personal property
Yes, please adjust the RV parking bylaw without being attached to a truck. Our catalytic converter was stolen when we parked the RV attached to our truck in front of our house. The next trip, we brought our trailer out of storage the night before we were leaving in order to pack and get ready, we detached and parked the truck in the garage to avoid theft of the catalytic converter and then we received a bylaw ticket. Either do something about the spike in theft and vandalism or adjust the bylaw.
Yes, please allow RV's to be parked on the driveway under following conditions: 1. RV should not encroach on the sidewalk, should maintain minimum 2 ft clear from the edge of sidewalk to the closest point of the RV. 2. Properly secured to avoid tip over or run down the driveway. 3. In good condition to quickly move it in case of emergency. 4. Should be in good aesthetic condition and should not compromise the look and feel of yhe community.
Yes, please extend the 36 hrs max. I would support seasonal allowance on driveway. If I am planning a trip in the trailer or returning from a trip or doing maintenance on it, it allows me to have easier access to get things done.
Yes, plus parking on the street as my unit is to long for my driveway.
Yes, prided they aren't longer than the driveway.
Yes, property lots are too small and costly. Not enough road parking.
Yes, property owners should be able to use their property as they wish as long as it is not causing immediate safety or environmental risks for surrounding neighborhood or community.
Yes, provide the vehicles do not encroach on the sidewalk or street. My trailer is small enough to fit on my driveway and does encroach upon the side walk or obstruct sightlines. it is no more of an ethic issue than neighbors who park commercial work vehicles or work trailers on their driveway, ( which act as billboards).
Yes, provided it does not cross into sidewalks or inhibit street side parking. Residents should be free to use their property how they see fit.
Yes, provided it is clean, well maintained, no garbage...
Yes, provided people can't work on them for more than a weekend (48 hours) (Wheels off, walls off, etc)
yes, provided that the position of the unit is in compliance with safety and sightline regulations. i do not think condition of the unit should be taken into consideration as long as it is in towable condition, as some people need to have their unit parked at home to carry out



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

repairs, maintenance etc. at the very least, the time limit to park an RV on a property needs to be extended from 36 hours to at least 60 hours, & street parking time needs to be extended as well.
Yes, provided that the RV doesn't take up any room on the sidewalk.
Yes, provided the location of the driveway and size of the RV does not create a visibility hazard for pedestrians, cyclists, or motorists.
Yes, provided the RVs are off the road. RVs parked on the road not greater than 36 hours whether connected to a vehicle or not.
Yes, providing it is not unsightly and does not interfere with emergency access
Yes, providing that the RV met the conditions noted above. In my opinion most rv owners are responsible and respectful of their neighbors and any motorists in the area the rv is being parked. I suspect it is a small minority of rv owners that create the majority of the issues and push the "boundaries " on what would be considered acceptable and reasonable when it comes to parking their rv. A dilapidated unit or one being used for rental purposes would be unacceptable with the owners being fined.
Yes, providing the above conditions in the paragraph above are met.
Yes, providing the RV is parked on a parking pad and the RV is not in disrepair.
Yes, providing the trailer or vehicle does not project in any area past the residence property including easements or impede necessary sight lines for safe roads, intersections and pathways.
Yes, providing there are no obvious sightline obstructions. It would be a nice cost savings for families not to have to use a storage facility through the summer and sometimes driving quite a distance to go get the RV back and forth from a storage facility frequently throughout the season.
Yes, quick access to the trailer allows people to use them more. Having to move from out of town storage for a weekend to load is not practical. May free up storage property land in city limits for other purposes as well
Yes, reason for not everyone can afford \$800-\$1200 extra a year for storage. Convenience is also a huge factor.
Yes, reduced emissions when not having to drive to RV lot every Thursday and Sunday. Cost savings for not having to pay for RV lot stall.
Yes, residents own their property and should be able to park on it as they see fit. Within reason, not blocking signs etc.
Yes, roam restrictions
Yes, RV owners should not be forced to rent a separate storage location during the summer months when they are likely using the RV frequently.
Yes, Rv ownership is best with when there is convenience of access.
Yes, RV parking and storage can be costly and time consuming. If a homeowner has the appropriate space then they should be allowed to keep property there
Yes, rv parking is expensive and has a environmental impact moving the rv every time you go camping. It should be allowed to stay out from longer or for the spring / summer months.
Yes, safer for the owner easier to leave. The owner own it and pay city taxes so it should be no different than any other ride
Yes, save citizens money, allow citizens to leave city earlier for camping trips, it's a seasonal rule so it's a great way to pilot it
yes, saves money resources and is appropriate



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, saves people from paying storage fees. We already pay to many taxes. My RV is on a permanent lot in BC
Yes, saves so much time, fuel and effort to keep trailer nearby during spring summer and fall.
Yes, saves taxpayers time and money, as long as the RV is not obstructing traffic and able to be mobile, season parking would be a massive benefit to families.
Yes, saves time for RV owners who use them frequently to set up for trips (plug in and load RV) prior to leaving for their trip.
Yes, saves time to go back an forth to storage + saves fuel and protects environment as well
Yes, seasonal drive way parking should be permitted on the front driveway. It is not fair for people with side or back access to only have the allowance to park. It is common bylaw in other cities to allow parking in driveway from April to October. It doesn't make sense to allow side parking and not allow driveway, and not allow driveway parking. Current bylaw time duration is insufficient and unfair.
Yes, seasonal parking for RV'S is a reasonable adjustment and allows for owners to more readily use their investment during the all too short summer. Many Calgary properties do not have equal alley access to back yard parking or land at the side of a house and should not be disadvantaged for not anticipating the future purchase of an RV when buying the property they can afford. Properties without alley access should be permitted to park RV's behind the city setback year round not the house.
Yes, seasonal parking is desirable and provides for appropriate convenience and additional security for when the trailer is loaded up and ready for regular use (weekly or bi-weekly) where it would be less secure if located away from personal residence. Yes, consideration for neighbours should be taken into account regarding esthetics for any vehicle (including trailers) which are on personal property; they should be parked properly, kept tidy and be in usable condition - not like some of the existing automobiles which are eye sores with no plates, flat tires and are used for storage.
Yes, seasonal parking would be ok, depends on the local parking on the streets. Some newer communities have no parking even for visiting cars. Running to a parked off site location is very time consuming and I have spent more time doing this. Trying to get the unit ready for a 2 day outing. Family visiting from out of town can only park for 36hrs is to short. Summer is very short. Visiting for 1 or 2 weeks from far away with only a B or C class motorhome would be ok with me. We need more places to camp close to Calgary. Everything is booked over the summer months.
Yes, seasonal would be preferred although I do not store our vehicles on the driveway. A lot of young families are already struggling both with time and financially so allowing them to save valuable time and money during the summer months seems like the right thing to do for a caring community. Insuring that they are in working condition might be an option to deal with derelict vehicles but they are no different than derelict motor vehicles that are already permitted to be on private property.
Yes, security, hassle to park in storage- no options in the city. Not convenient. Other large vehicles the same footprint can park so what does it matter?
Yes, short term 3 to 5 days and moved on garbage day. Unless it can be fully off the street.
Yes, should also extend for front street parking for houses without driveways.
Yes, since it makes sense to access this vehicle easily during camping season and not have to find other space, driving to and from this space for pickup, etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, Since my small fold-down trailer is parked on the parking pad that my parents kept their travel trailer on before they sold it.
Yes, since we are in a construction zone things are a mess and we have a couple tent trailers that just sit there on our street, they have very little impact and Infact have benefits.
Yes, since you guys don't care if people shovel there driveway why should you care where people park their rv. If i was a courier and peoples driveway were not shovelled i would not deliver there parcel
Yes, smaller travel trailers and boats do not create the same issues with aesthetics, sight lines or safety and should be excluded from this regulation
yes, so as long as the vehicle does not obstruct public sidewalk or walkways.
Yes, so long as it meets listed conditions. Even with access to back lane parking, still not as convenient as being able to park on driveway during busy camping months in summer.
Yes, so long as Street front parking is included as well as driveway parking.
Yes, so long as the RV remains within the property line (including when slides are out) and does not block sight lines when neighboring vehicles are pulling out into the street.
Yes, so many people do it anyway and no one seems to mind much.
Yes, some individuals need time to allow for a mechanic to come or to take to a service place. Also at end of season and beginning of season it may take more than a day and a half to organize and clean things
Yes, some times in the year it would help with guests and other times to help load out.
Yes, sometime we get home Sunday and leave again on Thursday or Friday. Driving to and from the storage yard is an inconvenience when I'd rather be camping!
Yes, sometimes cleaning or maintenance of the RV is required which cannot happen in storage.
yes, sometimes i use my motorhome as a daily vehicle or want to perform simple maintenance on it and i would like to be able to do that in my driveway for more than 36 hours. i think there should be no time limit as long as nobody is living in it in a front driveway.
Yes, sometimes it is necessary to have the unit parked closer to the house for cleaning, quick repair, etc.
Yes, sometimes it's just easier if you're leaving again a few days later. Maybe just extending it to a week.
Yes, sometimes you need to do maintenance, spend time loading and unloading as your time and work permits.
Yes, spring and summer season is berry short and rv enthusiasts try to use as many of these warm weekends as possible, moving any rv from and to a storage which is in most cases about 20-30 minute drive each way at least twice a week is not only not convenient but may be considered environmentally unfriendly.
Yes, spring to fall parking on driveways makes sense. When our daughter went to school in Lethbridge they had parking spring to fall and the neighbours all complied and provided convenience and security for the rv owners.
Yes, storage can be expensive
Yes, storage costs are expensive and it is more convenient for people who use their units every weekend throughout the summer.
Yes, storage facilities are costly and inconvenient. Direct access allows campers who have jobs to be able to leave the city faster on a week day. Specifically, when we have back to back camping weekends, it would be nice to leave it parked out front so we don't have to fuss





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

with fitting it into our back yard. Under the current 36 hour window, we must put it in our backyard on a Sunday night, even if we are leaving again the following Thursday evening.
Yes, storage fees are expensive as long as it doesn't interfere with safety in any way.
Yes, storage is expensive and hard to find, also lo g distances away adding to the already burdensome carbon footprint.
As long as the units do not interfere with traffic or pedestrians on sidewalks what is the Harm.
Yes, storage lots are expensive and wastes a lot of time and money to move the trailers back and forth. Maintenance for those vehicles would be way easier if it was to be kept on front drive ways. NOT EVERYONE HAS BACK DRIVES OR YARDS TO STORE VEHICLES.
Yes, storage yard fees are very high.
Yes, storage yards are exspensive and generally far away, when you have a full time job you can't always get packed up in time for a camping trip
Yes, street parking is often a hassle and I feel bad taking up parking spots from my neighbors and their guests, if I can even find it all. If I own the driveway (to which I pay property taxes for) and can ease a burden on our already busy street, why shouldn't I be allowed to. It would also save me storage fees for the summer and gas/millage to pick up/return it.
Yes, summer is short and any time you can save by parking your RV for say 3/4 days shouldn't affect the livability of the neighborhood.
Yes, support it, but maybe the time length should be more like a month. That allows for maintenance etc. I could also see people needing to purchase a time limited parking permit that has to remain on a visible window location. Seasonal (spring to fall) is too much.
Yes, support seasonal front driveway parking. Easier and convenient access to plan for trips, do not need to interrupt work schedule to pick up travel trailer.
Yes, taking a trailer to storage when used every weekend or often during the summer is time consuming. This would also make storage costs cheaper if it can be kept at home during this time
Yes, tell me the stats!!! real numbers on the odds of a rv blocking a major intersection visually. Is it 1% or lower? If a tesla self driving can handle it then it should be allowed.
Yes, that may be the only option and it is safer and less costly for the owner plus it can take longer to prepare for or unpack certain trips. I feel if it fits in your driveway and not obstructing & not being used as a home. there should be no issue. I also support longer street parking as some driveways may be steep and that then as the only option would be unsafe. I think if it is parked in front of the home it is registered to and not obstructing it should be allowed for longer timelines.
Yes, that would be a good solution during camping months.
Yes, that's better than we have now. But think there should be no time limit on our parking. It's our property should be allowed to park on it, with no restrictions
Yes, the 36 hr limit is ridiculous. I'd like the safety of my RV outside my home so it didn't get broken into & robbed again.
Yes, The amount of travel and time to pick up and drop off every time you want to get away would be reduced. Along with emissions from trucks and SUV's would be reduced.
Yes, the camper is used regularly over the summer months,
Yes, the camping season is short and this community support supports a green initiative of using less gas to travel back and forth to retrieve and putaway RV and allows a better experience for the RV'er.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, the convenience and cost factor
Yes, the convenience of being able to load and go whenever we have free time as well as the reduced cost of storage. We don't have side or backyard access.
Yes, the convenience of having your trailer on your driveway is invaluable in particular of you have young children. Saving time and saving money is also a benefit.
Yes, the convenience with changing schedules of today's world and the gas savings realized would be benefits. Owners wouldn't have to transport the RV back and forth between storage when plans change.
Yes, the cost and inconvenience to store an RV at paid rural storage is huge. I don't believe that it is just to prevent people from using their own property to store their equipment mainly because the neighbors might not enjoy seeing it.
Yes, the cost of parking an rv storage year round is expensive.
Yes, the cost of travel and vacationing has become unobtainable for most. Having access to a trailer so we can enjoy time away is invaluable as a family. Keeping it on property helps when the cost of storage is so expensive and the time it takes to retrieve the trailer from storage (usually 1 to 2 hours out of town) may be difficult when I can only get 3 days off at a time from my job as a nurse as it cuts into my time vacationing to retrieve, load and clean each time.
Yes, the cost to park in a storage lot is high. And the fuel burned to retrieve the trailer each time to load it up is bad for the environment. Asking as it isn't an eyesore and it doesn't exceed the length of the driveway then why not.
Yes, the costs of offsite parking are ridiculous. I feel that as long as what I own fits on my private driveway, is safe and not offensive I should be able to keep it n my property year round. Bylaws are already invasive and unreasonably restrictive.
Yes, the current bylaws are too restrictive. As long as people are not living in the RVs It's ok. As for the belief that they are unsightly, I would argue people parking 3 cars side by side and partially on their lawn is much worse. I have seen more safety issues with people backing out of their garages and not aware of pedestrians than the blocking of a view with an RV.
yes, the driveway is the homeowners property
Yes, the driveway is their property and they should be able to use it as they see fit. RVs or anything should be allowed to be parked in driveways for any duration of time. Considering garbage dumpsters are legal to park and leave on the street for any length of time, this seems odd a RV on someone's property is even an issue.
Yes, the people own the driveway and the trailer. We should be able to. With such limited time in the summer this would be very helpful and cost effective (as long as it doesn't stick out onto road or sidewalk).
Yes, the RV should be allowed on the front driveway as long as it is safety for everyone
Yes, the RV's should be allowed to be stored anywhere in you property during camping season given it's so short in Alberta. 9 months a year RV's can be in storage out of view. This further supports the camping season around Calgary so people don't have to rush to prepare for a weekend.
Yes, the season is short and for the convenience of loading/unloading and availability to keep it plugged in for the fridge is a benefit.
Yes, the time period is short as our RV season is limited, front drive homes do not have any other choice for short term storage, other than out of town RV storage lots
Yes, then people could load/unload at their leisure as many people use their RVs numerous times during camping season.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, there are a lot of areas in the city that do not have access to back or side yards. It takes longer than the time allowed to clean or pack the RV.
Yes, there are a lot worse things people are parking on their driveways. There is a cost savings for folks who wouldn't need to pay for storage during camping season. Saving people money is a good look for the City.
Yes, there is currently not enough time to park an RV and prepare for big trips or do seasonal repairs or maintenance such as winterizing. Furthermore it is great way to have family visit when you live in a small home or have limited space.
Yes, there is no evidence backed reason not to park an RV completely on private property
Yes, there is no other access on my street, we should be allowed to park our licences and insured vehicles on out property and on the street
Yes, there is no place for many to work on the upkeep unless you do the work while camping.
Yes, there is no reason I should be disallowed to park my vehicle on my driveway because it is "recreational". It's my driveway, it's a personal vehicle, the city has bo business preventing me from using my land to park my vehicles.
Yes, there may not be any other alternative for parking the rv.
yes, there needs to be parking allowed near people's place of residence
Yes, there should also be a size limit as in no longer than 30feet long
Yes, there should be no restrictions on what a property owner can store on their property. Within the bylaws
Yes, they should be able to park on their own property. Having to take it out i a storage lot when your taking it out every other weekend or more is a huge inconvenience.
Yes, thid doesn't impede on street parking.
Yes, this allows for better convenience, less stress and more ability to pack and use the RV during the summer season. We would not be giving up our storage spot but would then be able to bring our RV home on a Wednesday evening and leave to go camping on Friday evening. 36 hours is just not enough time.
Yes, this allows for people to enjoy their RVs more frequently during those seasons. It allows for more freedom in where to park during those months and would mean ease of access to Calgary based campgrounds which in turn would being more revenue to the city. Also, it appears currently to be very biased when ticketing- for example, it seems to be a sticking spot currently with parking but then there are regular vehicles parked on no parking snow lanes all the time and there seems to be no repercussions to this/less tickets given out for those offences.
Yes, this allows owners to do their repairs and interior/exterior upgrades during the warmer season, without back and forth travel to storage. Even if the RV is "unsightly" or "poor condition" who are we to judge the appearance of an RV or any vehicle. It's unsightly to watch someone light up meth and hot box the public light rail in front of children. Let's drop the bylaw and allow ALL RV parking in driveways. Thanks :)
Yes, this doesn't bother me at all.
Yes, this encourages people to get outside and use their every more
Yes, this is my property and I should be able to park my property on it no matter the season
Yes, this is such a healthy hobby for families and communities. The cost is very limiting if you have to store your RV's elsewhere.
Yes, this is very reasonable, economical and eco friendly. Many municipalities allow seasonal parking, even annual. Some families choose to live in those towns as one of these reasons. It is Economical for families as they save on parking fees in camping months. They also save



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

on gas and even help the environment by not having to make extra driving trips to the parking storage yard that their trailer resides in.
Yes, this law is difficult to enforce and serves no purpose other than imposing an arbitrary "standard" on property owners. City bylaw people have enough to do besides dealing with this.
Yes, this law is silly for families who enjoy the outdoors. Your driveway is yours and as long as the RV is not blocking a public pathway hen it should be allowed.
Yes, this provides access on a year round basis for cleaning, organization of the interior and higher security of RV.
Yes, this provides Calgarians with the peace of mind that their unit is safer on the driveway vs the road
Yes, this should be allowed as the owner of these RV's have put alot of money into these units, same as someone with a new shiny car or a run down POS parked on the driveway. There is a difference between a nice RV or a older run down RV that doesn't look good on the driveway, however this is public deception.
Yes, this should be allowed for the season from May-Oct. Self-servicing is done by a lot of the owners of these RV's and at times it is not possible to move it in 36 hours as a the ordering of parts is required in order for this to happen. Security, cost effectiveness and convenience are huge factors for allowing this to happen during the season. The convenience of parking in your driveway allows one to get to their destination each weekend and it encourages people to get out and be active more often and creating a healthier society. This would allow them to be organized and ready to go each weekend which creates better family time and building memories. RV's bring people together.
Yes, this would allow for easier access to use the rec vehicles. If it is on our own driveway why would we not be allowed to use this to have our vehicle on it?
Yes, this would reduce emissions, potential road congestion, road usage - less driving and costs for storing an RV in an alternative location. It also allows individuals to spend more recreational time as the time to move an RV to storage would be reduced allowing for additional recreational time.
Yes, those with smaller units that fit on their driveways should be given the option to keep their unit within the driveway. The restriction should be that there should be no part of the unit that impedes on the sidewalk or past the back of curb.
Yes, to a maximum of 5 days. I feel longer affects the astetics of the neighborhood.
Yes, to align with other major cities (edmonton) and give calgarians freedom to enjoy their properties over the summer months in a more realistic way
Yes, to allow for homeowners convenience and also the season is short
Yes, to allow more time to pack, clean and service (winterize etc.) trailer 72 hours max would be a comfortable time frame.
Yes, to allow remote workers families to load and unload so long as the units are in the driveway and do not obstruct traffic views and safety. the timeframe should be between seven and 14 days. Long-term storage and driveways could continue to be prohibited if more than 14 days.
Yes, to create convenience for those attempting to go camping and enjoy the outdoors.
Yes, to encourage families to participate in camping activities without having to spend hundreds of dollars on storage, there more expensive on gas for travel from storage to homes.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, to improve the quality of life and quantity of access due to the fact that most people buy rv's to take their kids camping, when they have to coordinate with work time and off site storage, it interferes with that time.
Yes, to keep an eye on the unit from damages
Yes, to reduce the stress on owners who wish to leave the city for weekends. They wouldn't need to retrieve their RV in advance of leaving
Yes, to save costs and travel. With the increase in gas prices driving up our trailer to crossfield sometimes becomes a big deal if we should go for camping or just leave it. It is far more beneficial for us to access it anytime we need it since it contirbutes to our mental health
Yes, to save money on storage and for convenience.
yes, to save time going back and forth to the storage and to save money.
Yes, totally supportive. It is my driveway and I am not obstructing sidewalks or anyone else. I see work trailers parked all year round which is more of an eye sore, but they seem to be exempted. Other towns cities allow seasonal parking on their driveways during camping season. I believe Edmonton and Airdrie are examples.
Yes, travel trailers are a pain to store and transport back and forth every weekend you want to use them.
Yes, trying to load camper up for a long weekend and 36 hours is not enough and that goes for unloading as well. It will be fine to have seasonal parking inline with other jurisdictions.
yes, Typically most people park in their driveways anyway. I believe RV's should be allowed as long as they do not impede on the sidewalk, or roadway
Yes, unless it is obstructing views of traffic or pedestrians for safety.
Yes, unless it obstructs the view of traffic.
Yes, up to 72 hours but not parked there all season. Rvs that are on the side and back of residence should not have restrictions.
Yes, very definiely support seasonal front driveway parking. Reasons as stated above, primarily convenience of loadin, unloading and maintenance. Significantly reduced costs, also much better security. Many people storing RV's & boats in storage areas have had them broken into. Complaining about aesthetics is a joke considering the large ugly buildings including 3 & 4 plexes currently being built. Safety & sightlines are not an issue provided unit is not obstructing sidewalk or city boulevard.
Yes, very much in support. It's common for us to want to park in our own driveway for more than 2 days to pack for long trips - our RV lot is not accessible 24hrs & managing packing & work & kids we are limited to when we can pick it up. Our RV is a clean airstream & parked on a side driveway & out of sight unless you are directly walking by it
Yes, we are in a community with a large front area and can park our RV directly infront of our home without interfering with our neighbors.
Yes, we are looking to move and finding a home with secure RV parking is challenging. When our RV is on our property, we are able to quickly pack up and go and/or return home and take time to unpack and clean. I feel like if we had offsite storage, we wouldn't get out camping as much as we do.
Yes, we are paying over 900\$ a year to store our trailer and because we are busy working to afford all of the increases that have come over the last couple of years we rarely get to use our trailer. It is a fairly inexpensive vacation but adding an additional 900\$ a year to park it at a storage lot cuts into our budget.
Yes, we camp a lot but have more than 36 hours between trips and it's a pain to constantly move it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, we can't use our driveway for our trailer but for others it's not that much different than being allowed to have a broken down car
Yes, we do not have access to our back yard for storage. We take our trailer out many times in the season. Often we have between a few days and 2 weeks between trips. It is not convenient to put it back in the storage lot after every trip. Our RV is newer, in good condition and well maintained. It does not impede traffic or sightlines when on our driveway. Our neighbours do no mind it being parked for longer than 36 hours. We are sensitive about the impact on others.
Yes, we don't have a place we can put our RV except our front lawn. We have a bus stop and a fire hydrant adjoining our house. It's very frustrating if we want to keep the trailer at our house to load up or clean it. We'd love some more freedom to use our trailer in the summer by being able to keep it near us.
Yes, we have a boat and take it out of storage in spring and return it to storage in fall, if a homeowner wants to park their boat/RV on their driveway seasonally I believe it's their right that's why you buy a house which can accommodate it. Some houses are not set up for side or rear parking as many houses don't have rear access any more.
Yes, we have a front driveway that is very long and our RV would not cause problems
Yes, we have been in a situation that would have benefited from extending that time period. It would save a person from transporting the RV back and forth from the secured rental lot.
Yes, we have to park our RV in paid storage 40 mins from our house. It's adds a lot of cost and inconvenience and the added logistics reduces the number of weekends we choose to go camping . More flexibility to park on our drive in the summer would create more freedom and fun for our family.
Yes, we live in a city where people enjoy the use of rvs and 36 hrs is short time between trips. It can be difficult to locate proximal rv storage.and professional lots are often robbed and tapered with. Batteries stolen.
Yes, we need fewer bylaws. People should be able to park whatever they want on their driveway as long as it doesn't encroach on public property. Appealing to aesthetic is a flawed argument as there are plenty of ugly beater cars parked on peoples driveways in every neighbourhood. It's reasonable to allow people to park during the camping season. My neighbour parks his trailer on the driveway during the summer and it shades my property a bit but thats part of living in a neighbourhood, I would never snitch on him like a nervy neighbour. Camping is a great functional family experience, families spending quality time with each other in nature should be encouraged without city roadblocks.
Yes, we only need to have our motorhome on the property for at most a week at a time. The rest of the time we are out camping. Our unit is well kept and like new condition, it is not unsightly. We also live in a cul-de-sac and has very little traffic.
yes, we own a 19' trailor, it fits onto half of our front driveway, and does not block the sidewalk. We use this on weekends June through Sept. It would be handy that in between our weekend camping trips, we can store the trailor on our drive way. Its handy for unpacking, packing, and saves alot of time vs having to unpack, then hook up and drive out of town to a storage unit, then back again to pack it up, before we go on our next trip. Come the fall, we store it away for the winter.
Yes, we own a 2019 Trailer and it would be convenient to be able to park it for more than 36 hours.
Yes, we own the land, let us use it.
Yes, we own the property so why shouldn't we be allowed to park our trailer





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, we pay for our property, we should be allowed to park on it.
Yes, we pay property taxes, we own the property, you should have no right to tell us what we can and cannot do with the property we paid for and pay property tax for aside from people clearly living in them or extreme eye sores. But this is subjective and will never work when people have grumpy neighbors complaining.
Yes, we pay taxes
Yes, we pay taxes, we own our property, why should t we be allowed to park what we own on it? Should work cans be allowed to be parked on driveways or streets.. trailers least much cleaner! We pull on road and block our driveway, only way for us to load and unload, our neighbours don't mind at all, thankfully!
Yes, we should be allowed to park what we want on our property
Yes, we support seasonal rv parking as current bylaws are too restrictive, 36 hrs is too short a timeframe as more time will likely be required due to emergencies/illness, breakdowns/waiting for parts, towtrucks, availability of time slots at repair garages, etc.
Yes, we use it most week ends and would like to have access to it in between uses, as well as not have to Re supply it every time we use it.
Yes, we use it throughout the season. When parked on our driveway, it does not obstruct any signage or create safety issues. This allows us to ensure our RV is ready each trip. We are not rushing to get it ready and hit the road in a harried or rushed state.
Yes, we use our RV frequently
Yes, we want people to get outside why not make it easier. Having an event on a drive way doesn't cause issues for anyone
Yes, we want people to go out and enjoy the outdoors. It's difficult to get your trailer ready, when you have to work especially if you have small children
Yes, we want to promote getting out there with families, get people off devices so support it don't make it. More expensive.
It allows people to keep a better eye on their property as well.
Yes, we would appreciate the flexibility seasonal parking would allow us.
yes, what people have in their driveway for a couple weeks does not effect me
Yes, when spring arrives camping season does too. I personally try to get out every second week. I have to take my trailer back to where I store it after coming back it's not feasible either economically or in my time and my families time. I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking.
Yes, where else are you suppose to park it?
Yes, why restrict our use of our property
Yes, with a size limit. Some trailers are very large and would look odd in front of a residence.
Yes, with busy working families the time saved and cost saved is high by allowing parking on your driveway as long as it fits in your driveway. Moved from cochrane where they allow it from may - Oct with no issues.
Yes, with conditions mentioned above
Yes, with conditions. If a RV is too tall and blocks sight lines, it needs to be a reasonable distance away from the road. Ex) 5ft back from the road
Yes, with fewer and fewer back alleys (access to back yards) this is fair. For summer months only. Motor homes are vehicles and should be able to leave on the street
Yes, with restrictions. Street parking should be restricted to the 36 hours.This reduces periods of disrupted views, security concerns for neighbors. But if I have an off street parking pad in





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

front of my house, I support parking beyond the 36 hours on that off street parking, where the RV is small enough to fit on the carpad, allowing me to pack, unpack, clean, prep the RV, and to do so without having to do the bigger job of moving it onto the permanent storage location each time I'm home
Yes, with size restrictions
Yes, with the caveat that only one RV unit can be parked on the front driveway.
Yes, with the storage fees saved more money will be spent in the community and more tax dollars created
Yes, within reason. I would have a maximum length of time still, but enough to park between weekend, so 5 or 6 days.
Yes, would make life easier for us and others who have these types of trailers rv/hoata
Yes, would support seasonal front driveway parking. It would promote more usage of the property. Easier access.
Yes, wouldn't bother me if the neighbour had a tv on their lot for the summer.
Yes, year round
Yes, you own the driveway and should be able to utilize it as you see fit. It adds convenience to owner to park it on their property for use during the typical use season. It also saves storage costs, and saves Calgarians money.
Yes, you own the property, you should be able to park your possessions on your property regardless of front, side or rear access
Yes, you should allow people to park their trailers as long as no one is living in them.
Yes, you should be able to park your rv in or on your driveway during the summer months. Some people do not have rear lanes or ereas to park.
Yes, you should be able to use your own driveway as you see fit.
Yes, you should be allowed ti park your vehicle on your property as long as it's respectable.
Yes, you should be allowed to park it there all the time. It's too inconvenient to have to find somewhere else to park it, as well as impossible to find space.
Yes, you should be allowed to store RV's on your property provided it meets the conditions above. Your property means you decide what to put on it within reason.
Yes, your driveway. If it doesn't block road or sidewalk
Yes, your property is your property. Leave people alone.
Yes, convenience cost-effectiveness sense of security
Travel trailers should be allowed all year long as long as there no impediment to cith property (ex: 20 Ft or less)
Yes,absolutely. It should be allowed year round as long as it doesn't hang over onto the sidewalk or street. This allows more people to get trailers, access them and also leaves reasonably priced storage spots in trailer storage yards. Owners will also be able to monitor their trailer to ensure everything is safe
Yes,but only if they are in good repair
Yes,convenience cost-effectiveness sense of security
Yes,ease of use.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes.
Yes.
Yes. Absolutely.
Yes. But it should be restricted to only one per household and not obstruct walkway access.
Yes. Convenience and maintenance are important
YES. If it is on your own property you should be able to do what you want. Parking an RV in your driveway should be your decision. The city should opt out and change the by-laws.
Yes. It's your driveway, it's your property. You can park it there 365 days a year as far as I'm concerned.
Yes. Parking in an RV lot is unnecessary and expensive if you already have the space to park it.
Yes. The cost of owning an RV is expensive (cost of RV, insurance & registration. Additional cost of RV storage only increases the costs & if one has the space on one's driveway, a driveway in one owns & pays city taxes, is unreasonable. Obviously, older units that are not in a good state of repair should not be parked on driveways but newer RVs should be allowed. In addition to the cost, the convenience and security aspect of having the RV in close proximity is important.
Yes. You own or are paying rent for your property. You are also paying taxes. I understand, it should not be encroaching on City property, and should not be restricting view. As for being unsightly is more difficult as everyone has different views. I feel if it is road worthy, it should be allowed.
Yes. I would not like to change the road parking regulation.
Yes. A person should be allowed to park any type of vehicle or rv in their own driveway or in front of their own house on the street for as long as they need, without restriction.
Yes. A temporary measure would allow additional accommodation or RV parking for out of town friends or family.
Yes. Allowing this makes maintenance as well as trip preparation a lot easier for owners with little impact to neighbours. Allowing it to be seasonal ensures owners must have arrangements for off-site parking.
Yes. Allowing this would provide owners of rvs with a cost effective method of storing their unit if they have the space.
Yes. And street parking. Because my neighborhood does not have driveways.
Yes. As camping is our go to holiday with 3 small children it is a nightmare to follow the current bylaws in place. If it was to be seasonal, and not unsightly or obstructing views then I see no reason why residents can't park their RV on the front driveway.
Yes. As long as it doesn't encroach traffic sight lines for safety if driving.
Yes. As long as it doesn't impact sight lines to the road. Some people have very large driveways. The cost of storing a RV is very expensive. It is also much easier to prevent break ins if you can see your vehicle. Also loading up with groceries and laundering bedding is easier.
Yes. As long as it is licensed and registered and does not obstruct the roadway anymore than a regular vehicle. This would be no more of a problem than someone who has 4 or 5 cars parked on their driveway and more parked on the street in front of their house
Yes. As long as mentioned the rv is not unsightly. It makes sense to be able to have it close to your home. Cost wise, security and definitely convenience.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. As long as stated before( not visually run down, not on public property etc.) Bottom line" Who cares?" Your property, your RV, stop frustrating home owners!!!! We pay enough taxes and there are WAY BIGGER PROBLEMS than this issue. GET RID OF THIS STUPID BYLAW!!!!
Yes. As long as the impacts mentioned above are addressed and that no part of the RV or trailer projects into sidewalk area. In the case of a corner lot, not within say 40' of the adjacent corner or roadway, including alleys and pathways.
Yes. As long as the RV is not impeding view for traffic and are in good condition as defined, I believe RVs should be allowed on front driveways for any length of time.
Yes. As we promote our nature and the connection to nature why not make it easier for responsible owners to Park their RV from May long to sept long weekends. This will also save countless greenhouse gas of owners needing to go and retrieve their units which are likely stored at a facility close to or beyond the city limits.
Yes. At time you named additional time to get your unit ready for an extended trips or perform minor maintenance
Yes. Benefits to residents and taxpayers outweigh and primarily aesthetic costs in most neighborhoods
Yes. camping and outdoors is extremely popular. The ability to park on your driveway would make peoples summer more enjoyable if they had a longer period to prep to camp etc.
Yes. Camping is a great activity for families and the time required to visit storage facilities is wasteful. I am however strongly applaud to a person who occupies a trailer while parked in the driveway.
Yes. Camping is a very important part of family activities. It is woven into our society for generations. As long as it can be fully parked on drive way and not impede sidewalk traffic, I see little harm in allowing RV's to park on a drive way. I have a neighbor that has a rusted out van that looks disgusting, and is depreciating home values, but that is legal.
Yes. Camping is good for families. Less bylaws the better.
Yes. Community co sructiin does not allow access to side or back yards in many cases. Parking an RV at a rote location is not always possible or affordable.
Yes. Convenience during camping season.
Yes. Convenience, cost, and safety. Availability, price, and location will be a major factor in RV parking. 36 hours is insufficient for some owners who have to drive 2 hours round-trip to pickup their RV one evening, pack the following evening, and then leave for camping the next evening. If any maintenance or cleaning is required, it could take longer than 36 hours also.
Yes. Convenience, doesn't really impact neighbors, typically unobstructive and not an eyesore.
Yes. Convince, cost, security, the ability
Yes. Cost effective, and efficient for RVer's. Also reduces damage to your unit. Has to be parked where it is not obstructing anything though (view, sidewalk, roadways etc.)
Yes. Cost savings. Convenience.
Yes. Cut down on storage cost, traveling to and from storage lot and maybe increasing usage. Have a unit in the front driveway is no different than a vehicle.
Yes. Definitely. Because it's freedom to use your property the way you'd like. Why not decide on a complaint basis whether a sight line is blocked??? With back up cameras on almost every vehicle safety has been improved. In the city I don't think your sight of the mountains is a guarantee - ever. Nor do you need your neighbours fifth wheel directly in front

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

of your front window. So case by case and on a complaint basis. People would be so happy to not have to go to the storage lot to get their rv or boat. And if it's seasonal it's like sharing. It goes away in the winter. Unless it is completely tucked into the property and blocks no one's view. Tgen year round ok.
Yes. Does not present with any safety concerns or impact other services such as garbage pickup ( as multiple cars can). Provides some much needed convenience to rv owners. Parking on your driveway makes much more sense than parking on the street where these things could be impacted. Important that rv is in good condition.
Yes. During the months of May to Sept. when most people use their RV's.
Yes. During the summer season you often use your RV for two or three days and then let it sit for sometime before you go out again. Parking is costly and it is very inconvenient when you are going out many times in a summer. If you have a driveway that can support an RV I believe you should be allowed to park it there for the RV season.
Yes. Even year round parking, should the trailer be a fold down style (no different then a small hauling trailer) or not an "eye sore" (ie. well maintained, clean). Folded down trailers do not obstruct sightlines, it is no different than a regular sized SUV. It should be fine so long as they are clean.
Yes. Every single neighbour that owns a boat or RV does this on my block. 8:12 houses in fact. But I was forced by bylaw to remove mine after 24 hours as it was reported by my neighbour. The boats and RVs on my block all remain. Further - the boats are behind the size of any average driveway on my block. So they hang over onto road or they're parked diagonal on driveway. It's not an equally police's bylaw. Which is frustrating.
Yes. For the pros mentioned above which I consider valid and important. I don't think there should be ANY SUBJECTIVE judgement relating to unsightliness as this makes enforcement by a bylaw officer problematic.
Yes. Having to make extra trips to a storage facility wastes time, wastes fuel, adds unnecessary congestion to busy roads, costs owner more money, reduces time owner has to inspect and maintain their RV to ensure it is roadsafe and well maintained.
yes. I also support year round parking on my property. I also support street parking for greater than 36 hours. I also support unattached trailer parking on streets as long as the unit is insured. I've got unattended vehicles on our street that have been parked for months and nobody does anything about them.
Yes. I also think temporary rv parking not attached to a tow vehicle should be allowed.
Yes. I believe front parking of licensed vehicles should be allowed subject only to safety & road sight line considerations. It's no different than a car and limitations are an unnecessary intrusion on the rights to use my home property.
Yes. I believe we should be able to leave our RV for longer than 36 hours
Yes. I can see why it would be beneficial for many people. RVs are increasing in popularity. It would be good for the city to support those needs if possible.
Yes. I have a 14' boat on a trailer and it would be much more convenient for me to use from my driveway to get to the reservoirs I like to fish with my grandkids. It doesn't block the visibility onto the street for me or my neighbour when I bring it home from storage, to prepare for use the next day .
Yes. I have a corner lot with a front driveway. This is my only option for parking my motorhome. Having paid city taxes for 50 years on this property should I not be allowed some consideration.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I have family visit for 2 weeks at a time and it would be nice to be able to put their RV in our driveway without worrying about a fine.
Yes. I have no problem with people parking their RVs on front driveways for extended periods of time. (I prefer to park mine in the back, so the rule does not affect me). Two of my neighbours park theirs on front driveways all summer, and nobody complains. I think the limit is unnecessary.
Yes. I paid for my property with a lot of hard work and I should be able to fully utilize it.
Yes. I should be able to place anything legal on my property at my discretion. Not only should the 36 hour limit be extended to be seasonal as you propose, but even the seasonal is an unnecessary restriction. There should be no time restriction whatsoever.
Yes. I support residents being able to park whatever they want in their own driveways.
Yes. I think for convenience and cost it should be considered. However, there should also be rules. If the vehicle/rv is blocking the side walk, then perhaps street parking is a better choice. Also, a timeline might also be a rule. Perhaps parking of RV's in driveways or on residential streets from April 1-Sept 30th.
Yes. I think homeowner should be able to park their vehicles on their own driveway including recreational vehicles. There would need to be rules about viability.
Yes. I think it should depend on the size of the unit. Smaller units don't bother anyone on the driveway. Fun for kids to 'camp out'
Yes. I would also support seasonal street parking of RV's, provided they are directly in front of the owners property and not blocking or obstructing traffic.
Yes. I would prefer to have it on my driveway to complete repairs, pack it and prepare. 36 hrs isn't enough time when you have two adults working full time. Others in the neighborhood have worse things in their yards and driveways. This shouldn't even be a discussion. My driveway, my choice.
Yes. I would support allowing RV storage in driveways if they are completely off the street and don't impede the sidewalk
Yes. I would support it because I know what a difference it makes to the ability to get away quickly for a weekend if you don't have to go get your rv.
Yes. If a person doesn't have access to their own storage facility, it is a significant financial burden to rent space. Cutting the amount of time required to rent space would be helpful. It is also more convenient to have our trailer in our driveway when we use it frequently. We have spoken with our neighbours in the past and none of them would object to having our small trailer in our driveway as it is in good condition and does not block the sidewalk. Trailers kept in the front driveway should be limited to, for example, 20-25 feet hitch to bumper.
Yes. If an rv is fully on the driveway, I believe a home owner should be able to keep their trailer there seasonally. This simplifies usage of the trailer and allows you to keep it stored between trips without having to move it.
Yes. If it fits in your driveway it can stay there. If it doesn't fit that it shouldn't stay there for more than 36 hours
Yes. If it my property I should have the right to park my unit on it.
Yes. If it's in good condition and not blocking any sight lines, I don't see why it wouldn't be allowed.
Yes. If the rv completely fits on driveway and doesn't impede on the sidewalk we are good.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. If the unit fits on your driveway without encroaching onto the sidewalk, it does not harm anyone and resides on your property. You can have a run down, rusted car/truck but not an RV, it doesn't make sense.
Yes. In fact, I think that my driveway should be able to house anything I like including boats and other recreational items. As long as they are tidy and quiet.
Yes. It allows families the convenience to go away for the weekend, get their trailer ready, etc. Also if there are repairs to be made, there is time for this to be done.
Yes. It allows families to easily unload and repack between camping trips. Eases financial costs for storage
Yes. It allows families to get out and enjoy camping every weekend. If you limit parking to 36. Hours that limits the ability to get out and enjoy weekends when your having to run back and forth to storage you simply unable to get out of the city.
Yes. It already very expensive to live vebin this city. Recreational activities should be brought down in pricing ie owning an RV
Yes. It can be difficult to police a period longer than 3 days which can be difficult. Provided folks don't live in their trailers on the driveway this is a good approach.
Yes. It can potentially take longer than 36 hours to pack an tv. Also they can double as space to host friends and family
Yes. It certainly offers convenience, cost effectiveness and a sense of security. In addition, it reduces traffic, gas consumption and emissions.
Yes. It enhances the enjoyment and use of the recreational vehicle. Additionally it can take a significant amount of time to set up and clean the unit, which can be more than 36 hours, particularly at the start and end of season.
Yes. It is beneficial to me to have my unit on my drive way.
Yes. It is convenient for owners for loading and unloading as well as for quick access to the trailer through the season. It allows people to be able to leave quickly on their weekend excursions.
Yes. It is difficult to store RV in summer while it is often in use. Why not park it in front of my home?
Yes. It is more economical and better for the environment for people to leave their rv on their driveway instead of driving to drop it off at a storage lot in between camping trips.
Yes. It is my property and will save me time, money and the inconvenience of bringing it home to load and unload.
Yes. It is part of many families summer.
Yes. It is very challenging for owners who need to go to a facility and park their trailer on a Sunday night, to turn around on Thursday to retrieve the same trailer from the storage facility to 'load up' for the possible Friday weekend trip. Or alternately, leaving the trailer to 'unload' on a Sunday for 36 hours and then return it on a Monday or Tuesday morning, to have to return on a Thursday night to 'load' it to leave town on Friday -all within the 36 hours by-law.
Yes. It makes it much easier to use the RV for trips when it is stored on-site during the summer (ie, loading, unloading, cleaning, minor maintenance, etc.) - 36 hours is just not enough. Plus , it would cut down on gas use and cost (and GHG emissions!), if we don't have to transport the RV back and forth to a storage lot.
Yes. It supports family visiting from other jurisdictions, and reduces costs associated with off-site storage.
Yes. It will be much more convenient for owners.
Yes. It will make Calgary more attractive to families vs outskirts and other cities/towns.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It would be more convenient, resulting in more family time not going back and forth to rv storage
Yes. It would provide convenience when preparing for trips during the spring/summer/fall season, and when returning from trips. The extra time would be helpful.
Yes. It would save people money, and possibly prevent thefts from storing RVs outside the city.
Yes. It's easier for families and things are \$\$ these days.
Yes. It's more convenient. Doesn't hurt anyone and it's ridiculous to have to pay to store and commute to your rv all through the season you're using it
Yes. It's there property that they can do what they want with.
yes. it's very difficult for people without side or rear parking.
Yes. It's your house do as you please.
Yes. It's a dumb bylaw anyway. People can park obnoxiously loud trucks in their driveways that are both unsightly and obstruct sightlines and have the added nuisance of being loud (and typically driven by douchebags). A trailer is completely benign.
Yes. It's much cheaper and more convenient to be able to store my trailer on my property, and my driveway is the only practical option.
Yes. It's on private property not public and therefore should be allowed as long as it is not unsightly and is functional (not broken down)
Yes. Its private property and home owners should be allowed to park on driveways.
Yes. Its way more convenient to park on your driveway during the summer months rather than the storage lot.
Plus, it's my driveway. My trailer is brand new (so not an eyesore). Compared to the stuff my neighbours store in their driveways (old cars, old furniture, garbage bins for Reno's for kings at a time, etc) my trailer is not an extra burden.
Yes. Many are already doing this in our neighborhood during the summer with visitors. Also, it generally takes longer than 36 hours to pack and/or unpack, let alone minor maintenance items. No one has ever had a problem in our neighbourhood, but our driveway space is very generous. Note our home does not have side or back yard access.
yes. many facilities for storage do not allow repairs and renovations to occur on property. by allowing seasonal parking on property residents would be able to make repairs and renos without hassle of finding a place .
Yes. Many other municipalities do. Fairness - those with access to side yard or back lane can do it; those of us without cannot. Often camp back to back on summer weekends. Illogical to take to storage Tues and bring back Wednesday. Need to plug in fridge and batteries for 24 hours before trip. Sometimes extenuating circumstances (illness). Extra hauling back and forth bad for environment. People with "work" (utility) trailers allowed but we are not. Camper vans allowed.
Yes. Many people store there trailer boat at a different locations and bring home the night before to get ready. It is not fair for the city to ticket them to unhook and go to work or run an errand.
Yes. Many RV owners would benefit from being able to park their RVs in their driveways to enable packing and unpacking. It is an additional burdon for many owners to have to pay for storage. I think it would be appropriate to be able to store it year round, but baby steps I guess!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Most people camp from spring to fall and keep their trailers in a camping storage lot over the winter. It is a pain having to retrieve the trailer and get it ready before a weekend and then return it to the lot only to then get it again in a few weeks. If it fits on your driveway then allow people to have it there from spring to fall when the national campgrounds open and close. And off their driveway in the winter
Yes. Most people only bring it home to pack up for the weekend on a Thursday night, then to unpack for a few hours on a Sunday. This shouldn't lead to ticketing.
Yes. My 16ft little trailer is on our driveway. We still have access to park in our garage and park on our driveway. We do not have an alley and not obstructing any city roads or views. No neighbor complaints. Not unsightly. I cannot afford to pay for storage. I pay taxes and am a good citizen. This is the last ounce of enjoyment we have and it's up for discussion to be stopped? Shame on you.
Yes. My answer is biased as I own an RV. Other people's RV's in front or on their property is no different than any other vehicle in my opinion.
YES. My lot doesn't have back lane access, nor can it accommodate a side driveway. I do have a double wide driveway that is substantial in length and could easily accommodate a trailer plus any vehicles. Parking a trailer on my front driveway would not impact road visibility. I would like to have the same ability to park my trailer on my property as others who happen to have a back lane or side driveway.
Yes. Or at least a longer period. Even though I can park mine sometimes I need to do repairs or back to back weekends. It would be good if you could store for one week.
Yes. People should be able to park whatever they want on their property if it does not impede public sidewalks.
Yes. Provided the RV is parked entirely on the owner's property, and is in good repair, I think front driveway parking should be allowed indefinitely. Front/street parking could be extended to longer, provided other bylaw requirements are met, however there is the possibility that street parking could negatively impact some areas and streets. I think there should be exclusions (motorhomes under a certain length, for example) that could be considered for long-term street parking.
Yes. Residents are allowed to park junkers, wrecks and all manner of unsightly vehicles on their driveways. Why is the city fixated on only RV's?
Yes. RVs need a place to be parked. Unless they are utterly hideous, Bylaw should focus more on sidewalk cleanup and grass issues - ones that actually affect the appearance and health of the community.
Yes. Season storage is expensive and if it's parked on your property, I don't see why you can use your driveway how you see fit. I don't support parking on the street beyond the current bylaw.
Yes. Seasonal driveway parking (mid-April to October 31) allow families access/use of RV's with convenience/ease. RV off-sight parking very costly/time consuming. Several young folks, sold their RV's due to being forced into costly year-round storage. Encourage young families to engage with camping in/around Calgary and Alberta. Many did/do so all year. Easier for a young mom, with toddlers, packing and unpacking, within time constraints (weather too). Few, if any people, have an issue with this; a change would be welcome. Will deter 311 abuse and City personnel.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Many properties have no backyard/side yard access, in hilly communities. Driveways are safer than street.
Yes. Seasonal front driveway parking would allow people to do major repairs or modifications to their RVs without having to make them roadworthy every 36 hours and put back in storage, only to have to take things apart again to continue work a few days later. I would also support allowing winter parking on front driveways by way of a permit. That way, specific sightlines, obstructions can be dealt with and people with small RVs or trailers aren't forced to pay for storage.
Yes. Seasonal parking allows families enjoy the convenience of camping seasons.
Yes. Seasonal parking provides RV users reasonable use of both their property and their RV vehicles. Aesthetics is a poor reason to disallow parking of an RV onto personal property. Aesthetics is in the eye of the beholder, neighbours can't choose what type of work trucks are parked in their neighbors property, why should RVs be treated differently? Some RVs are the same size as a big work truck or SUV. If the RV fits on personal property, it is unreasonable that use of the personal property is restricted based on what is parked on that property. RVs can be parked at the side of houses, it seems unreasonable not to allow them also to be parked at the front of the property
Yes. Sightlines in our area are not important for safety that I can see. Driveways are short enough that only smaller RVs would be parked there anyway.
Yes. So long as it is able to be up on the driveway and is not encroaching the sidewalk or road at all I think we should be able to be there longer. It is SO much more convenient throughout the summer to be able to pack and go from the driveway and not have to go back and forth to the storage lot. I would think this would encourage more people to get out more often which is of great benefit to everyone. My unit doesn't impact sightlines any more than the huge trees that are in the same area.
Yes. So long as the RV does not impact safety in the neighbourhood such as sight lines for driving or if it encroaches into the road too much so as to impeded travel or refuse/recycling collection. If it is unsightly, dangerous or inhabited for other means (such as Air BnB or rent in these tight rental markets - hey you never know.....) Otherwise, it is my vehicle and I think I can manage it on my property. Some RVs are so small such as s tent trailer that are fully parked on the owner's driveway and do not impact safety or collection, then it should be considered just another vehicle and hence the responsibility of the owner.
Yes. Sometimes it's really difficult to move the trailer within 36 hours. And if we plan on using it two weekends in a row it's a lot of extra driving (we store our trailer in Strathmore to save money) being able to store it all season would be fantastic but even extending the time we can have it to a week would make a huge impact
Yes. Storage costs being one factor. As long as doesn't impede sidewalks
Yes. Streets such as bays and cul de sacs do not provide any street parking space therefore the only option would be to park on a driveway
Yes. The 36 hour limit is absurdly restrictive. Even if all you have to do is pack or unpack it is a huge rush. It does not allow for times when you have to do extra cleaning or repairs on the RV. At our residence we have absolutely nowhere else that we can park our small trailer.
Yes. The 36 hour limit is not reasonable for those wishing to use their RVs on weekends. Those who don't have a rear or side yard option must move the RV twice for each weekend outing. As long as the RV is not unsightly or dangerous, then there should be no restriction on parking on our own property. This use of the space is no different than any vehicle parked on the driveway.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. The 36 hour limit is ridiculous and only enforced by complaint so it is not applied fairly. It is perfectly reasonable to park in your driveway during the camping season.
Yes. The City of Calgary recently approved a plan that will allow for for increased density generally and more specifically corner lots and 3 unit developments will be allowed. The building coverage on those kind of developments will do as much or potentially more to obstruct sidelines on streets than a RV parked in the front yard of a vehicle. Especially given corner lots are by definition at the intersections of two or more streets. I question why the RV parking should be limited to just seasonal use.
Yes. The city should not be over regulating what residence do with their own property. As long as there isn't undue harm caused to Neighbours, residence should be allowed to park their vehicles (RVs or other vehicles) on their property as they choose.
Yes. The current bylaw penalizes anyone who does not have side or rear access to their property for rv parking. This means that anyone without those options has to have hundreds of dollars of extra cash to pay for offsite storage, and many hours of extra time to retrieve the trailer, get it home and then prepare it for use, or strip everything out so it can be stored elsewhere.
Yes. The home owner owns their driveway and should be able to use as see fit.
Yes. The mad rush to pickup the trailer after work, pack it the evening is very time constrictive when considering most people have work to go to and children to organize. Camping encourages a healthy outdoor lifestyle and the time constraint is stressful to know you may get a ticket for bylaw infraction if plans change and you are 1 day late for departing , weather delays, unexpected repair needs to be done.
yes. The safety concerns seem made up. Trees, pickup trucks, bushes, cube vans, large garbage bins for home renovations all present the same problems and are allowed, and in some cases encouraged. The only concern left is esthetics, and here folks have different opinions.
Yes. Their property let them do what they want
Yes. There are few if any rv parking lots inside calgary. The lots are all rural. It makes camping on weekends difficult and creates more greenhouse gasses due to unnecessary travel to and from a storage facility.
Yes. There are many reasons. The current 36 hours is not enough to for loading an RV for a trip, or for unloading/cleaning following a trip. It makes it difficult for families where both parents work to use their RVs for a weekend trip. Seasonal front-driveway RV parking is important for neighborhoods where there are no back alleys to allow RV parking in the backyard. Homes often don't have space on the side for an RV. Less towing to RV storage yard = less GHG emissions and less cost.
Yes. There are many times families will come home for 4 days and take off again. When you have a job, small children etc it is a nicer/slower way to get the trailer packed and reduces mental anxiety. It also allows fir small repaid that take time, such as fixing the hot water tank, faucets, stripping, vents etc.
Yes. There are vehicles etc for home based business allowed all the time and are junkier than my trailer and are as much of a safety concern as an RV.
Yes. This bylaw review is long overdue. My garbage and recycling days are Thursday and Friday and it is impossible to find street parking to load/unload my RV prior to the weekend. I camp almost every weekend in the summer and the fuel expense and hassle of taking it away





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

only to bring it back a few days later is a pain. This is the most restrictive bylaw for RV's in all of Alberta, maybe Canada and is crazy given how close we are to the mountains and campsites.
Yes. This would be a seasonal & temporary accommodation for rv owners
Yes. To allow for visitors to park, the 36 hour rule should be extended. Providing the rv does not restrict sight lines for traffic or cut across sidewalks obstructing pedestrian traffic, an rv parked on the homeowners property should be allowed.
Yes. Trailer that are parked on a corner lot would have to ensure that it not blocking view of traffic.
Yes. Trying to load a trailer in 36 hours is ludicrous. Trying to perform any repairs - even minor ones inside of 36 hours is insane.
Yes. Ultimately the driveway is still the property owners paid residence. Sometimes planning and preparing or maintenance/repair/renovation take additional time than the limited 36 hours.
It should also take into consideration the large number of cul-de-sacs in Calgary with no back alley/side parking where a trailer cannot even be parked on the road for a period of time because the space is not directly adjacent to the property.
Yes. We are a RV owner and for sure trying to meet the 35 hr. limitation is very challenging. Especially for maint. and inspection activities that need to be performed for road safety before travel. Never could understand why this limitation especially if entirely on driveway. Not blocking sidewalks or visibility for pedestrians/vehicles. The aesthetic argument is kind of ironic given we see multiple vehicles on driveways often in poor condition. Multiple parking of vehicles on side roads that I think detracts from the neighborhoods, leaving it looking over-crowded. I'd suggest overwhelming RV owners keep their RVs in very good shape. Looking way better than other vehicles on driveway
Yes. We have 3 small children. When you couple that with work, 36 hours is very restrictive for loading and unloading times.
Yes. We have a daughter with developmental dealys, and behavioural, sensory and anxiety issues etc. We could use the time to get her familiar with the unit, and give her time to adjust or place things where she would like it. If a unit fits on a driveway, it doesn't bother me, on a city roadway, yes. Seniors could use extra time to get their units ready. These units are also kinda fragile, things get broken, and it would be nice to take it home, to fix things between trips, and not on a rv storage lot, with no access to electricity.. Residents should be allowed to use their own driveways: Most rv owners use their units almost every weekend, because the season is short here.
Yes. We often camp every weekend in the summer, and driving an hour to store the motorhome every week is a burden.
Yes. We park our trailer in our driveway. Convenience, cost-effectiveness, security.
Yes. We pay for storage nearby but often times are going camping back to back weekends. Taking the trailer back on Monday after it's cleaned to pick it up on Thursday rather than leaving it in my driveway for a few days is a nuisance.
Yes. We should be able to use our driveways as we please
Yes. We use our travel trailer frequently during the summer and it is helpful to be able to have access to the trailer for more than 36 hours. It is conceivable to have a time frame of coming back from a camp trip, unloading the unit, taking it out to a storage site and then





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

turning around in two or three days to bring it back to our property to load again for another weekend trip. It's a lot of driving back and forth to the storage site.
yes. why does it matter if an rv is parked in someone's driveway vs a pickup truck or anything else. it is a driveway meant for parking vehicles.
Yes. With the elimination of back alley's, many residences don't have a location on their property to store a RV. Many house have driveway's that can store a RV safely. Allowing RV's to stay on the driveway is environmentally friendly with the elimination of driving the RV back and forth to a residence.
Yes.  People should be able to store a variety of personal equipment on their property if the have the suitable space.
Yes. As long as the RV is not blocking sidewalks or roads, is fully contained on the owner's property, must be on a paved driveway, it cannot be on the lawn at all, and is kept near and tidy.
Yes. I think people should be allowed to have easy access to their RVs. This will reduce the amount of towing time for many people who have to take their trailer back to the RV lot every weekend. This is cost saving and potentially good for the environment.
Yes. I'm not sure why you can't? It's "your" driveway.
Yes. It's your own property. You must be able to use it as you wish
Yes. Most owners break the by-law anyhow. I'm currently looking out the window at 4 permanently parked trailers in front driveways that aren't causing a safety issue.
Yes. , more convenient , less emissions
Yes. 1. More convenient. 2. Safety. 3. Side/Rear parking is pretty much nonexistent in most communities built in the latest 30 years. 4. When traveling/camping at two different locations on consecutive weekends there is a lot of time and fuel/travel consumption required.
Yes. 100% . So many families etc love to camp and adding the cost of storage on top of ownership year round is a deterrent and its bad for the environment having to transport just to load and unload each and every trip.
Yes. 100%. Our travel trailer fits on our front driveway without extending onto the sidewalk. When it is there it does not affect our neighbour beside us. We have to pay \$76.00/month storage fees so the neighbor across the street doesn't call bylaw the minute our trailer exceeds the parking time on the street. We are seniors and don't have a lot of disposable income. It would definitely decrease our stress level on us not to have to park on the street while loading and unloading.
Yes. 36 hours is a very short period of time to load, unload, prep, and clean an RV when you have children and are working full time. Having our RV accessible through the season by being on the driveway would facilitate more use of the RV due to its proximity and ease of preparation for a longer period of time.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. 36 hours is barely enough time to unpack after a trip and get it back to a storage facility let alone clean it. We should be allowed to park our own vehicle on our own property seasonally.
Yes. 36 hours is definitely not long enough in the event repairs need to be made, or if selling the unit.also, many people use their rvs throughout our short summers. Would be most convenient not to have to load nd unload for each trip.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough for seasonal parking when camping trips are planned for each weekend.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough for some required maintenance.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough time for the suggested use, and offsite parking is too expensive/not realistic for permanent parking. 36 hours is also not enough time to perform most requested regular maintenance or repairs to an RV.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough time to perform cleaning and or minor repairs that may be needed. It's frustrating having to go back and forth to a storage yard every few days brining an rv back and forth.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough time to work on a trailer if it needs service.
Yes. 36 hours is not enough. Means I have to stage and restage throughout the summer.
Yes. 36 hours is not long enough if you go out every weekend it is a major inconvenience to restock the fridge and reload storage facilities don't allow the propane fridge to stay on and there is no where to plug it in there. This should be all year long if it fits in your driveway. If only seasonal months you are still stuck paying 90 a month for your storage spot. Seems senseless
Yes. 36 hours is often not enough time to prepare an RV for camping, especially if working. Parking on a driveway should be allowed for at least 5 days.
Yes. 36 hours is often too short a time period to have a camper at your property for cleaning, preparing for winter or when going on successive trips that are close together.
Yes. 36 hours is too short to prep for even a long weekend trip considering work schedules and childcare drop offs etc. having longer would enable more flexibility for us in prepping for camping trips or cleaning the unit afterwards. We are forced to Street park so this could be expanded to not just driveways.
Yes. 36 hours is too small of a time frame. Especially people that camp consecutive weekends.
Yes. 36 hours is unacceptable and should be extended to be fully seasonal. RV storage is expensive and is far out of the city. Now that families have to pre-book camping times , sometimes a week or so apart, It is a massive inconvenience and cost of gas (emissions) to be forced to drive the trailer out, only to have to come back out days later, bring it in, to pack up again. Repeating all summer. Let families park the RV on their driveway, unattached, from April 1 through November.1.
Yes. A Driveway is considered private property. Items should be allowed to remain on the driveway assuming they do not block the sidewalk.
Yes. A driveway is not city-owned like public streets are. Owners should feel free to do with it as they please and politicians should stay away from Pressure Groups that want to decide the fate of everyone else and minimize their charter of rights.
Yes. A front driveway is your property, you should be able to park on it for as long as you need. No one should be regulating this, there are far more important things for our city and bylaw services to be focused on.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes. A homeowner who pays taxes and wants to park an RV (size restrictions for safety are welcome) should be able to do so for the ENTIRE season. Why waste bylaw's time and money to have them come out and ticket people for actually using their property. The city has no problem letting 40 year old cars with tarps on them on driveways or container pods for years at a time, why bother a young family who wants to enjoy the mountains without tacking on 2 hours of driving to get the RV. C
Yes. A lot of people already do it. As long as there are rules about keeping it secured (like wheel chucks, etc)
Yes. A more nuanced approach to allow seasonal parking occurs in other cities (including lower mainland), supports family based activities, reduces driving costs and environmental impact of having to commute repeatedly to store and retrieve RV. Boats up to a certain size are much less intrusive or obstructive than many trucks parked on driveways.
Yes. A personal vehicle stored on an individuals property very rarely would interfere with city or neighborhood maintenance and is not a nuisance.
Yes. A work van could be parked on a driveway, so if those don't impede sight lines, what's the difference? The city has pushed developers to build most homes without back lanes, and on narrower and narrower lots. This forces many homeowners (and renters) to have narrow lots, with no side yards, and front driveways.
Yes. Absolutely support this. As long as the RV fits on your own property it should not matter how long it stays. There are many other things affecting the esthetics of a property. I'm far more concerned about trash and yard waste.
Yes. Absolutely.
Yes. Absolutely. Alot of other towns and cities have been allowing it for years
Yes. Absolutely. As long as people are respectful and the trailer is cosmetically not a rust bucket. The driveway must be long enough so the RV doesn't block the sidewalk. Maximum one trailer on a driveway. Seasonal only. There are several people with RVs on my street and to my knowledge nobody has any issues. If someone parks on the street and unhooks, that's a safety and emergency access issue. But the driveway should not be an issue, particularly if there is no sidewalk.
YES. Absolutely. Its too expensive to pay for storage and providing resources are in good condition and roadworks, we should Absolutely be able to have them on our own property. Its also very difficult for me, a single retired lady, to get a ride to the rv storage to drive my motorhome to my house every weekend, then back again. If no one will help me I can't get it. I can't drive 2 vehicles!!
Yes. Again not hurting anyone. Plus it was a great way to self isolate during the pendency and as someone with an immunocompromised system it was nice to have.
Yes. All concerns about safety, etc can be managed through other bylaws rather than indirectly by banning front parking. There are plenty of pickup trucks larger than my compact tent trailer that aren't banned from front driveways so it seems unfair that I have to pay for off-site storage.
Yes. All year.
Yes. Allow people to have more access to camping
Yes. Allows for easy access when using RV during the summer months. Saves multiple offsite trips accessing RV and or belonging needed from RV.
Yes. Allows owners ease of packing/unpacking and ability to monitor rv. As long as rv does not impede sidewalk access it should be allowed. Due to neighborhood speed limits being reduced to 40 kms unless posted, this allows drivers ability to stop if visibility is reduced.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Allows residents to save on storage fees in an already inflated economy. While I support this I also support ensuring any parked RV does not encroach into sidewalks and is kept orderly (neat and clean).
Yes. Almost every time we prepare for a trip or return from a trip it takes several days of preparation, to properly load, or unload and clean our trailer. 36 hours is simply not enough to accomplish any of the necessary tasks.
Yes. A lot of people use their units most weekends, yet you still have to have a paid storage spot. The gas wasted driving the unit home Sunday, emptying/cleaning, back to storage Monday, then pick up from storage Thursday to use again Friday. If we are trying to reduce emissions, then this is an easy way to reduce trips back and forth to storage.
Yes. Although seasonal might not be necessary, but maybe 72 hours instead. I agree there might be some issues with vehicles there permanently.
Yes. Although this does not impact me as I pay for annual offsite storage, I believe that other RV owners would benefit from this - just as they can park their cars or motorcycles on the street. This restriction due to impaired sight lines, etc, is the thin edge of the wedge. What if my community doesn't like maple trees, blue painted garage doors or satellite antennas? The aesthetics of an RV parked on the street really shouldn't be a regulatory issue.
Yes. April to October would be fair. I would even support a permit system and make sure RVs are presentable and within a certain age maybe.
Yes. As a camper it makes life simpler when you use your trailer every weekend
Yes. As a camper owner, it is more convenient to have our camper accessible and ready to go when needed. To be paying an extra monthly fee of \$75-\$150 on top of all our other expenses is unrealistic for spring/summer and would mean less camping and less camper usage as costs would be too high. Finally, we hear of too many break ins on camper storage sites. I even had a cousin who had his camper stolen right from a camper storage site south of the city; sense of security.
Yes. As a person with a travel trailer it would be very difficult to have to take the extra time to park the trailer off site. It makes impromptu trips cumbersome.  However, trailers that block sidewalks, reduce visibility etc are a danger and unsightly. Smaller trailers that fit entirely onto a person's driveway and are not on corner lots pose little problem.
Yes. As a property owner the driveway is mine and no one should have a right to tell me what I can put there. It harms no one and the safety concerns are ridiculous with the way new neighborhoods are built. My driveway is no different than a backyard. Make it legal or stop allowing these new neighborhoods that only have front drives.
Yes. As a travel trailer owner who does not live on a corner lot or have a big enough back yard to store our trailer in, it takes a lot of planning to figure out how to park our trailer in less than 36 hours as our trailer has to be parked in front of our property. We do not want to risk getting a ticket over trying to enjoy the outdoors as sometimes packing our trailer (for long trips) takes a lot of time especially with kids and full time jobs to contend with.
Yes. As an owner with a trailer in excellent condition, it would be extremely helpful to have more time to load and unload or leave it there for next weekend's use. Additionally, it would be wonderful to have that extra space for me to use, as a play area for my son and/or extra living area for visitors.
Yes. As an RV owner I can see the benefit of having your unit handy

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. As an RV owner I sometimes come home from camping on Monday morning before work and have to rush out before unloading the trailer. If work is busy I need a couple days to clean and empty it before putting it back in storage.
Yes. As an RV owner with 3 small kids, it's seems like the only practical way to be able to load/unload & be able to use our trailer through the camping season!
Yes. As an RV owner without backyard parking, being able to store my RV at home is convenient. It means not having to remove all our belongings from our trailer between camping trips to ensure its not stolen in storage, and gives us more time for vacations because we're not traveling to and from storage to pick up and load our trailer on every trip.
Yes. As an RV owner, storage is extremely expensive and 36 even 48 hours is not enough time to prepare properly or clean and put away after a weekend trip.
Yes. As being owners of a trailer with a big driveway we would love to be able to use our driveway to park to save costs.
Yes. As communities are being built with no alley access, this limits backyard parking.
Yes. As frequent campers with three kids, it becomes a hassle coming home from a trip, unloading at home, driving and unhitching at the RV storage lot, coming home and then going back to get it a few days later because we are going camping again for another week or weekend. As long as it is not obstructng a driver's view of the road, it is esthetically in good shape.. there is no reason for it but to be allowed all year.
Yes. As long as as the RV is in a good condition and is being used.
Yes. As long as it does not interfere with side walks or street parking there no legitimate reason why it should be restricted.
Yes. As long as it does not obstruct views and create a safety hazard.
Yes. As long as it doesn't block sidewalks or obstruct sight lines. Safety and convenience are the largest pros.
Yes. As long as it doesn't block sidewalks, is strictly on your property and the RV is in good condition. The current 36 hours bylaw does not allow enough reasonable time to pack/unpack if the weather is not favourable (pouring rain), to do a thorough clean, do routine maintenance, etc.
The current bylaw highly discourages Calgarians from owning an RV. RVs allow Calgarians to enjoy the outdoors, go away for some vacation time, and maintaining an RV is a good hobby for some. All of which contributes to good mental & physical health.
Yes. As long as it is kept clean, and someone isn't currently living out of it while in the driveway. I don't believe it should be seasonal. If someone wants to park their rv/trailer in their drive way all year they should be able to
Yes. As long as it is May to Oct and is on the property only. No street parking
Yes. As long as it is not encroaching on someone else's property or public safety. Then it should be no one else's business if someone parks their own trailer on their own opinion.
Yes. As long as it is not unsightly, I think it is fine.
Yes. As long as it is on a private driveway, not leaking black or grey water, and not obstructing the view of drivers.
Yes. As long as it's not in my way or others way it doesn't affect me.
Yes. As long as it's on the driveway.
Yes. As long as it's not on the sidewalk I think it's ok
Yes. As long as it's on your property it's no different then having a vehicle on your drive that you only drive on weekends.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. As long as roadways or sidewalks aren't obstructed residents should be able to park whatever they want on their property (driveway)
Yes. As long as rv is not in poor condition and not blocking sidewalk. It would be convenient to have access to our trailer and be able to save on the fee to store it and the gas wasted to take it back and forth.
Yes. As long as sidewalks and roadways aren't obstructed (including sightlines for traffic). Our taxes are high enough and shouldn't force us to pay out of pocket for RV storage that may not be necessary. I believe seasonal would be totally acceptable, especially for households like mine where we're using the camper bi weekly.
Yes. As long as the RV fits in the driveway and does not impede the road or sidewalk then it is fine. Assuming no power is being run to it ie no one is living in it.
Yes. As long as the RV is in a drivable state and not impeding pedestrians on sidewalks or traffic. I see no reason for telling people what they can have on THEIR driveway.
Yes. As long as the RV is off the street it is not hurting anybody
Yes. As long as the RV isn't blocking a sidewalk or safety of pedestrian or bystander.
Yes. As long as the trailer does not restruct walkways or parking. Especially when you do not have a back access or side for parking/storage.
Yes. As long as the trailer is parked appropriately and safely there really is no reason why it couldn't be parked in a driveway during camping season
Yes. As long as the unit doesn't encroach on access ways or sidewalks, it should be fine.
Yes. As long as the unit fits on the driveway and does not impede walkways or sight lines
Yes. As long as they are parked on a private driveway, they are not an obstruction to sight lines. As long as they are well maintained and properly parked/stores they do not pose safety risks.
Yes. As long as they don't cause a safety problem
Yes. As long as they don't protrude extend beyond the driveway. Allowing this would probably make it easier for people to use them more.
Yes. As mentioned above, depending on slightly, some vehicles look trashy yet can park. To have access to your RV during times you use it should be allowed.
Yes. As mentioned it allows RV owners the convenience and practicality needed to load and unload and clean the RV during camping season, but I recommend allowing parking no more than 3 days consecutively.
Yes. As most RV owners store their units in a storage yard in the off-season, the ability to store the RV, when in season, on premise allows more flexibility to camp. Absolutely support RV parking in the front drive and parking stalls.
Yes. As the owner of a travel trailer I would like to store it on my property but am unable to do so legally as I have no back ally/ability to park it on the side of my property as building bylaws have made it impossible for me to drive a car between houses, let alone a trailer.
Yes. Assumption would be that the RV fits on the driveway and doesn't encroach on the city sidewalk or roadway. The convenience this affords RV owners would be welcomed.
Yes. At least for a lot longer that 36 hours.
Yes. At the beginning or end of season it would be nice to have time to clean your trailer and get things in or out without being rushed. And being able to use your driveway would ease the street parking congestion.
Yes. Atleast for RV season as with kids loading and unloading RV cou0ld be potential cumbersome. During summer, it should be allowed to park on your driveways atleast.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Bc it is my property and if I choose to park my RV I should be able to. I also would like RV parking approved on streets infront of my property for more than 36 hrs. It is quite a burden to pixk up, clean and prep the trailer within 36 hrs. Especially, because in most families, both partners have to work to afford living in Calgary.
Yes. Because current rules are outdated and just because you own an RV doesn't mean you should be discriminated against. Also most trailer storage locations are a fair drive to get to and cost a minimum \$50-60/month.
YES. because home owners should have the right to utilize their front driveways on their property as they see fit.
Yes. Because I consider it a part of my home - both my driveway and my travel trailer.
Yes. Because I pay taxes for my property and can park anything I want on my property. I shouldn't have to pay taxes and storage fees.
Yes. Because I would like to have access to my RV when needed and not be restrictions
Yes. Because is convenient and cost efficient. Because will reduce a lot the amount off travel trailers, tent trailers, campers, boats etc. On the roads on that period. Just for my experience will reduce my time whit less than 2 trips. And other my friends.
Yes. Because it affects no one but the property owner or renter.
Yes. because it does not interfere with traffic as I am in the middle of the block, It does not obstruct any views. If you are doing any work on your RV You need more time
Yes. Because it takes time to load and unload, especially when working full time with kids.
Yes. Because it would be easier to use my RV more and have a passive impact on my family's summer experience
Yes. Because it's good to consider there's a housing crises and there's a possibility of a recession which would result in the housing crisis worsening. Some people may need to leave in RVs. I think there should not be a restriction on this but the restrictions on the things that would make having any vehicle that's being lived on a hazard. Perhaps the city should give grants and create spaces for people that are living in vehicles any type to be able to have access to water electricity and any other things that may be necessary.
Yes. Because it's annoying to have a time limit on having a trailer on your own property.
Yes. Because the current timeline is too short!
Yes. Because who cares what other people do with their own property if it doesn't cause a danger to others
Yes. Before the season starts units need to be prepared. This takes time. After each trip units needs to be cleaned. If it is being used on sequential weekends there are not enough days allowed to leave out front, so you must bring it to storage for ONE DAY only to bring it back to depart. It makes no sense.
Yes. Best to be all year parking
Yes. Better for discouraging thefts and vandalism. Encourages residents to use RVs, stimulating the economy.
Yes. boating camping and other outdoor activity that requires trailers is part of a happy life for many citizens in Calgary. The mountains is a big piece of what brings people here. Some current rules adds barriers to supporting that lifestyle. Safety is understandably something to consider but obscured sightlines or esthetics seem questionable. There could be limits to size of RV perhaps.
Yes. Both adults work fulltime with 2 small kids and trying transport the trailer to the house packed it, charged & safety checks can sometimes be challenging all within that 36 hours. It can be a annoying if we planned to go back to back weekends to try and empty the trailer if

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

we need to wash items take it to the storage unit to just pull it out 2 days later again. We get bylaw called quite often trying to get it off the drive way with in those 36 hours if we need to do a full inside clean.
Yes. Both seasons. Maybe put something in that it needs to be moved once a month. Or once a season for 2 days. That way the area can be cleaned. Lots of people were isolating in their trailers during Covid. Imagine if this was an option all the time.
Yes. Busy lives can make it difficult to pick up and drop off RV's immediately before and after use. When we had a tent trailer it was often easier to pick it up days beforehand and, take the time for cleaning, repairs and packing before use. Also, it was useful for having summertime visitors and fun sleepovers for the kids. Currently I have a motorhome and no driveway. I have no choice but to do all of these things on the street instead.
Yes. But as long it does not obstruct the sidewalk or comes onto the street. ( hitch)
Yes. But it needs to be expressly stated that if the RV is causing an obstructed sight line at an intersection then it cannot be parked there for more than 72 hours. RVs parked on driveways cannot extend over or on to the sidewalk. Having the convenience of parking the RV on the driveway lessens the amount of RVs travelling to and from storage lots. This increases road safety. The drawback is RVs stored on driveways are more likely to be broken into or stolen. Sense of security is an illusion.
Yes. But many in our neighbourhood already park seasonly and hang out into the side walk. They need to fit within their property.
Yes. But not close to a corner or cross walk.
Yes. But with certain conditions, like the RV has to be completely on the driveway and not cross any portion of the sidewalk. It should also mean that personal vehicles cannot be left on the street for extended periods of time as this will simply shift the location of personal vehicles from private property to street parking.
Yes. But with limit to time... I think maybe a few weeks at a time is OK. But a camper on a driveway for possibly six months would be too long. And definitely not if there is someone living inside there for that length of time. But we have had our camper on our driveway for up to a week in preparation for a long family trip. It takes that long to clean and organized. We have also had friends passing through Calgary. Stay on our driveway for a couple of days.
Yes. Calgarians do a lot of weekend camping trips and the convenience of having our motorhome parked in our driveway is more affordable and convenient and will allow us to travel Alberta more regularly on the weekends. Storage is expensive and a lot of work travelling to and from making weekend trips less feasible and longer trips outside the province more typical.
Yes. Calgarians should be able to park travel trailers on their front driveways. We ordered ourselves in having great access to the parks in the Calgary area. The current bylaws are restrictive and do not support the families that enjoy camping.
Yes. Camping (especially with younger children) can be challenging enough. Allowing people to simply park and load/unload at their leisure throughout the week would be a massive benefit to a lot of people, and for some families could be the difference between camping or not. It negates a lot of taxing time intensive steps when the only goal should be to have fun camping with your friends/family.
Yes. Camping could be 1-2 weeks between trips and drive to and from storage can take a long time and uses more gas thus more greenhouse emissions (less being green). As long as a trailer is not left in disrepair there is no harm to anyone by allowing someone to leave a camper in their driveway, as long as it's used once a month off site.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Camping has become very popular in Calgary, and many people now own trailers. While I agree, certain areas (neighborhoods) are not setup to accomodate easy parking for a trailer, in my opinion, anyone with a driveway on their property should be allowed to park the trailer on their property much longer than 36 hours. It is very difficult to load, unload and do general maintenance on a trailer if you have no space to do it. One cannot be expected to only do these types of things at a storage facility. Again, my property should allow me to make the decision how long I have a trailer on my driveway, within reason.
Yes. Camping is an important part of our summer and it is convenient to be able to have it in the driveway to prepare for camping. It is also expensive to drive back and forth to storage lot.
Yes. Camping site reservations are not certain. Sometimes, we might need to park our trailer on the driveway for couple days if possible.
Yes. Camping, being out in nature, with family, is crucial for some families. Having to get the trailer to and from storage would add to the cost, and make it much harder to get out of the city for the weekend trips. - - *should fit on driveways *be back from city street and sidewalks *should not be decrepit. Over the winter we store it since it can't be used for a few months (4 to 6 months).
Yes. Cannot at my residence(no driveway), but can understand the convenience it provides.
Yes. Cause it's our property and we pay way too much in tax to be told what we can or can't do.
Yes. Cities and government in general should have less rules/laws.
Yes. Convince of using the vehicle. Everything is expensive now a days, including camping. Allow campers to save the storage costs by allowing them to use their driveway. Assuming it fits in their driveway. No overhanging onto road or sidewalk.
Yes. Convenience, cost effectiveness not having to rent storage, greener because of not having to transport to and from storage lots.
Yes. Convenience
Yes. Convenience
Yes. Convenience
yes. convenience ,cost savings and time savings as most rv parking lots are outside the city
Yes. Convenience and cost.
Yes. Convenience and environmental impact (reduces emissions from having to drive back and forth to a storage lot).
Yes. Convenience and safety being the biggest. Cost saving on storage too.
Yes. Convenience and the ability to bring the motorhome out of storage for a few more days before and after use to load and unload.
Yes. Convenience for RV owning taxpayers.
Yes. Convenience to the owners.
Yes. convenience cost-effectiveness sense of security
Yes. Convenience, cost effectiveness, safety
Yes. convenience, cost-effectiveness, sense of security.
Yes. Convenience, ease of access to Rvs, time efficiency.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Convenience, Having to move an RV to and from storage through the camping season is time and resource consuming. In our location there is no rear alley, so no other options for storage.
Yes. Convenience, smaller carbon footprint. Some people already have big vans or work vehicles parked on their driveway that are larger than some RVs (tent trailers, campers, small travel trailers).
Yes. Convenience.
Yes. Convenience.
Yes. Convenient for multiple trips throughout the camping season. Taking unit to and from storage yard is time consuming and expensive. Performing certain repairs on your rv can take more than 36 hours(resealing roof/ windows.
Yes. Convince and cost savings to rv owners
Yes. Cost effective for home owners. Convenient for the home owner to be able to have it close by for cleaning, loading and much safer for them. In my opinion it has no bearing on esthetics. I lived in Red Deer and I did not have any issues with my neighbours having a trailer on their front driveway, especially if they only had a front driveway as an option for parking it because they did not have a back alley or any way of storing the RV in the back yard.
yes. cost effectiveness, especially under the current economy, and convenience should always be given priority over "aesthetics".
Yes. Cost of storage, able to care for trailer ie, snow removal
yes. cost saving and neighbors I talked to dont mind that at all.
Yes. Cost saving to Albertans as it reduces storage requirements. Security and damage prevention (ex: can cover if large hail expected). Allows for DIY repairs. Allows for further damage prevention. Example: if hail has caused significant damage you need to be able to check water is not getting in or further damage is occurring while you wait for insurance to come through or repairs to be completed. This is difficult to do if you have to travel to a storage location). Convenience.
Yes. Cost savings, convenience for owners who use them often. Convenience for maintenance and repairs. Sense of security.
Yes. Current bylaw is too restrictive in time allowed if both parents work. I support April 1 to October 31 to be considered camping season.
Yes. Currently some types of maintenance can not be facilitated in the time frame allotted. I have to remote store my trailer and having to return it after 36hrs on the street to my off street storage location is frustrating.
Yes. Do to the benefits listed above
Yes. Doesn't affect anybody else and much more convenient for RV owners.
yes. driveways are the property of the owner. Why can't I have my tiny tent trailer on my driveway? it is much smaller and lower in height than my neighbours service van.
Yes. During the regular camping season (may-sept) there is no impediment to allowing families to keep their property on their own property. It cuts down on unnecessary travel to move and store the units at facilities that can be quite a distance away, which means less vehicles on busy highways, less fuel being consumed needlessly.
Yes. Easier access to maintaining and less travel time to parking that is usually out of Calgary.
Yes. Easier for home owners to access their recreational vehicles. It seems counter intuitive given that we have such an incredible outback to explore near us.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Easy access seasonally helps alleviate the stress before taking off on an adventure. Most storage lots are outside the city.
Yes. Enable families to use their RV more; it difficult to go and retrieve from storage and bring home to load. As long as no one is living in it, even 14 day permit/pass is reasonable. 36hr is not reasonable especially on return home from a trip and need to unload and return to storage lot.
Yes. Encourages use of recreational vehicles as it is more convenient for owner. That much of an eye soar.
Yes. Especially in the suburbs where parking isn't limited
Yes. Esthetics are subjective. Homeowners should have more discretion as to what they are allowed to park on their own property. If homeowners want to allocate a driveway space to an RV year-round that should be their choice. How is this any different than parking a large pickup truck on a driveway?
Yes. Esthetics is a very poor reason as it's private property and these are working vehicles.
Yes. Even an extension past the 36 hours would be helpful for the summer months when camping every weekend so you don't have to return and park during the weekday
Yes. Even extending it from 36 hours to 7 or 14 days seems reasonable.
Yes. Everyone one needs to mind their own business if it's on my own property and not causing concerns what does it matter.
Yes. Everything nowadays is expensive, storage as well. We pay for our space, why we cannot use for it? Lots of people leave car stoped at street for year.
Yes. Extra fees for storage is silly when you have space at home
Yes. Financial cost to families, extra cars on the road when they have to pick up the RV or boat from storage, builds a feeling of community with your neighbours (talking about plans etc) and increased safety vigilance - strangers don't know when you are coming and going (currently if we park in front of the house everyone knows we are going away since we can only park up to 36 hours.
Yes. Finding alternate parking is often an issue for some RV owners. Enhancing the family accessibility to their RV for an outing is a positive thing. I am a senior who camps with my family and grandchildren.
Yes. Finding offsite storage can be difficult and time consuming taking it back and forth. Some houses do not have access to the back of their property for parking.
Yes. Finding storage is difficult and very expensive. I have the space available to park it on my own property
Yes. Finding storage is impossible and costly. Too much pressure on those that live in the city
Yes. First and foremost, if the owner is residing on the property, whether they own or rent, it's their property and they should be allowed to park there. Property taxes & the price of everything is going up so much, I can't imagine having to park an RV in another place and pay the fees. Camping is one of the few things left for a family to enjoy cost effectively. Raising the cost of owning an RV is only going to inhibit affordability for families. That's unacceptable. YES to seasonal parking
Yes. For a practicality and affordability standpoint. I pay annually for storage, but with taxes increasing, I'd like to be able to keep it seasonally in my driveway. That would reduce the storage from 12 to 5 months, saving me money. It's on my property, so I don't see why I shouldn't be allowed to park it there.
Yes. For all of the pros you have listed.
Yes. For convenience and cost savings and security





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. For convenience and cost.
Yes. For convenience and security while we are loading/packing/unloading or cleaning our RV.
Yes. For convenience and security.
Yes. For convenience and to reduce storage costs.
Yes. For convenience as it is used very often.
Yes. For convenience in cleaning, maintenance, and loading an RV. Save on storage fees. Saves fuel in retrieving RV to and from storage.
Yes. For convenience up to 72 hours.
Yes. For convenience, to save environment (less gas)
Yes. For convenience. Most RVs are nice looking and are far better to look at than old cars/vehicles
Yes. For many owners who use their RVs regularly through the spring to fall season, the convenience and security of having our RV at our residence would be awesome!
Yes. For my family we camp almost every weekend in the summer and it gets to be bothersome and expensive to always have to pick up the RV from storage and put back in storage when the motorhome is used so often.
Yes. For people who go out every weekend, commuting between storage location and residence can result in additional time to prepare for going out and to prepare for storage for each weekend. As long the RV fits on your driveway without encroaching on the sidewalk, seasonal parking at your residence should be allowed.
Yes. For reasons mentioned above
Yes. For security purposes. At home you can train a camera on your unit and know it's secure, and have footage of any damage being done. You cannot do this at a lot.
Yes. For smaller trailers of a certain size. Bc we pay tax on the property, as well as owning it, and should be able to use it as we would like.
Yes. For the convenience of loading and unloading during the short summer season.
Yes. For the known benefits, set out above, which outweigh the known impacts.
Yes. For the reasons listed above.
Yes. For the reasons listed above. Storage facilities are not secure and are expensive. As long as the rv does not encroach on public or private property, we should be able to use our property for storage year round.
Yes. For the reasons stated by the other municipalities namely: convenience, cost-effectiveness, and sense of security
Yes. For those who camp most weekends, it would be much more convenient to be able to keep it at your house all camping season for all the loading, unloading, and cleaning needed all season long.
Yes. For those who have only that option or to use a storage facility, it can get expensive. Also storage facilities have been known to have break-ins and damages, that they are not liable for.
Yes. Fridge must be emptied prior to taking RV to storage yard. If we can keep on driveway we are able to keep fridge plugged in. We can also keep things in RV that we would take out prior to leaving in storage. Don't feel storage yards are very secure at all.
Yes. Front driveway parking of an RV has minimal impact on neighbours. It is a safe and unobtrusive. It also supports the owners of an RV in the way of convenience when cleaning, preparing an RV for travel and packing. It also supports and makes minor repairs and maintenance for RV owners much easier. Minor Repairs and maintenance being defined as





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

having minimal disturbance to the neighbourhood, such as replacing or repairing an interior appliance, changing a blind, etc.
Yes. Front driveway parking should always be allowed. Instead, make the restriction that they cannot be lived in and cannot be unsightly, or attached to permanent structures, with a criteria for that (tidy, nothing falling off/broken, etc.).
Yes. Gas prices and storage prices are too high to have to take back and forth
Yes. gathering a family, packing/unpacking for a weekend can often take longer than 36 hours. Also if there is a lot of camping throughout the summer, taking a trailer back and forth to storage is a waste of gas and bad for the environment.
Yes. Having a longer time period would allow us to be able to do maintenance on our unit and any other updates that would be required. It would give us an opportunity to save fuel costs by not having to drive the unit back to storage if we are travelling back to back on weekends during the camping season.
Yes. Having an RV on a neighbouring driveway for the camping season should not affect me or my house.
Yes. Having easy access to your belongings/trailer/camper shouldn't be a question. For some people it's return on Monday and being the trailer to storage to be out again on Friday. Shouldn't have to add extra driving.
Yes. Having to drive my travel trailer back out to a storage yard on Sunday night, then pick it up again on a Thursday night when we use it on consecutive weekends is a horrible waste of time and fuel. I'd love if we could just leave it on our driveway so its ready to go.
Yes. Having to obtain the RV from storage and prepare it for a weekend outing can be time consuming. There are also thefts of batteries and other accessories from storage lots. The current bylaw isn't enforced and there are RVs parked seasonally on driveways in the area already. The current bylaw says you have to wait 48 hours before parking in front of your house again. Going camping on a Friday evening and returning to unpack on a Sunday afternoon less than 48 hours which doesn't make sense.
Yes. Having to retrieve my trailer every time I want to use it is an enormous time waster, and the extra trips to and from the parking storage lot isn't exactly environmentally friendly. On top of that, it's my property! If it's not overhanging the sidewalk, then what difference does it make if it's a trailer or a truck or an RV or a van or anything else.
Yes. Home owners should be able to determine what they can park on their driveway.
Yes. Homeowner's should be able to park their vehicles on or around the property that they own.
Yes. However I pay taxes for a year do should be able to park all year instead or pay at a storage yard outside the city if I can't park on my property it becomes a costly problem for me to park it elsewhere
yes. however the owner should receive compensation for out of pocket expenses forced on them by the city
Yes. I actually can't think of a legitimate reason why they shouldn't be allowed.
Yes. I added an environmental benefit below, but in addition, there is the convenience factor for the camping season and saving time and money in driving back and forth to the storage area.
Yes. I agree that 36 hours is not enough time for most people to be able to get a trailer cleaned out and prepped for a camping trip.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I agree with seasonal only. As a 5th wheel owner, if I had the vehicle on my property all year long, tarped up and protected from bad weather, it would impede sightlines on the street. I think seasonable access is reasonable.
Yes. I agree with the benefits detailed above.
Yes. I am always against restriction of use for private property.
Yes. I am an RV owner and fully support allowing additional time to park the RV during the summer camping season. Having to move my trailer for 2-3 days between weekends is completely unnecessary.
Yes. I believe if you own your property you should be allowed to store your RV indefinitely as long as it is not infringing on rights of passage or blocking view of crosswalks or streets where it could be a safety issue. The majority of people who own an RV will maintain it in proper working condition and not have people living in it, as suggested by some. Others have complained that it is not aesthetically pleasing but if you capitulate to that type of reasoning then I could complain about the colour of someone's home, their choice of landscaping, the amount of rust on their car etc, but then we are entering dangerous territory.
Yes. I believe it would be beneficial to RV owners so they have access to their RVs at all times. Completing maintenance, packing/unpacking are two important issues.
Yes. I believe people should be able to use their properties to house their belongings.
Yes. I believe people should be allowed to park trailers on their driveway during the camping season as long as they are in good condition for convenience and to promote the use of the camping grounds around us.
Yes. I believe that camping is an important part of our culture and people should have access to that experience without unnecessary added costs.
Yes. I believe that they should be allowed year long as long as they are mobile and not decrepit. People should be allowed to keep their property on their property.
Yes. I believe the benefits noted above out-weigh the negatives. I also believe that any safety concerns are very low risk. I also believe that there is too much interference in the ability of citizens right to utilize their property as they see fit so allowing seasonal parking would reduce some of that interference.
Yes. I believe we should allow parking on driveways. It is personal property and cars are allowed to be park there so should RVs.
Yes. I bought my home with no access to side or back parking, only front driveway, before I bought my motorhome. It fits on my driveway, doesnt block the sidewalk or impede the view. It seems unreasonable that I have a space I can store/park it but I'm expected to pay to park it somewhere else. I own my property, I pay ridiculous taxes and cant park there?
Yes. I can barely afford storage and driving over an hour to get my trailer or work on it is ridiculous. I have a hard time having to move my ice fishing trailer every 36hrs when I take it out on the weekend and sleep on the ice in it!!!!!! That's 5 days in between when it should be moved when I take it on the weekends not 36 hrs.
Yes. I cannot afford to park our camping trailer at a yard somewhere. If you implement this change I'll be forced to sell. And this means that I cannot take my family on summer vacations. You would literally be taking away my ability to make fun memories with our kids in the summertime. :(
Yes. I completely support it. People would get out more and use them!
Yes. I disagree with not being able to park out front with a maximum of 36 hours. It's my property. It's not an obstruction.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I do find the 36 hour time limit to be restrictive (coordinating with general family and work activities).
Yes. I do not see the problem and am not bothered if anyone else does it. Our summers are short. Sometimes you need to do work on your rv and nowadays it takes longer than 3 days. Supply chain issues. I pay to live there should be able to enjoy my rv at home for any reason.
Yes. I do support allowing seasonal driveway parking. They are hard enough to use with with high storage costs, spot rentals, fuel. Allowing parking will make it a little easier to use and spent money using it.
Yes. I doesn't bother me if it's on someone's driveway.
Yes. I don't agree it matters how "nice" or expensive your RV is to determine if you can park it at your house. People should be able to use their parking space for any vehicle or recreational vehicles they choose.
Yes. I don't believe the issue caused by esthetics and obstructed sight lines outweighs the convenience and Improvement to lifestyle.
I know myself, it can take more than 36 hours to pack/clean/prep a RV before and after a trip. Especially during summer, I don't see many issues allowing residence to have their RV on their property in the front of their homes. I do not require this in my specific case, but would like others to have this opportunity.
Yes. I don't mind if they are parked yearround
Yes. I don't see rvs as being unsightly. I don't see this as being a problem.
Yes. I don't think it's fair to tell someone what they can and cannot park on their own property so long as it is functional and not broken down and a habitat for pests.
Yes. I feel a front driveway should be able to be used this way. If it's a registered and insured item it's up to the home owner what they want to park on the driveway. I think as long as the rv doesn't extend to within a certain distance from the street, perhaps 4 feet from the curb.
Yes. I feel it's people's property and they should be allowed to park their recreational vehicles there. Especially since the "safe" RV lots are often not so safe and riddled with mice.
Yes. I feel my tv would be safer in my driveway.
Yes. I have a driveway that is of ample size for my trailer. I don't have a back alley and having a trailer in my driveway does not impact my neighborhood.
Yes. I have no access to back yard without removing panels from fence this is a huge amount of effort each time the trailer moves. It's my driveways and the trailer does not extend into the street when parked there.
Yes. I have no issues with it. It's a private driveway.
Yes. I have no problems seeing trailers and campers. They provide an opportunity for families to get outdoors and the restrictions regarding parking maybe the reason why some don't do it. I currently park my camper 4 hours north of the Calgary, it would be nice to use it in this area and support local. With it being so far away I can't watch it for water damage, pests, or theft which is stressful.
Yes. I have no side or back access.
Yes. I heavily endorse seasonal parking. As noted, this would only be for parking in front driveways. I do no support seasonal parking on city streets.
Yes. I know so many people who have had their trailers broken into at "secure lots". This would help lower this sort of crime where trailers are left for months at a time.
Yes. I like to see it moved every now and then, but as a general rule I think it's fine.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I lived in Edmonton before moving to Calgary and the bylaw was April 1-Oct 31. If rv owners need maintenance on their rv the 36hr rule does not allow this.
Yes. I lived in Edmonton with seasonal parking for a decade and it had great advantages and lessens conflict with neighbours.
Yes. I own a ski boat and can fully park it on my driveway without affecting view of road, but due to having an Enmax, Telus and Shaw utility pedestals on my property it makes it extremely difficult to park beside my house without possibility of damage. I've already damaged my boat trailer and house with parking because of the difficulty of navigating the utility boxes. I park my boat beside the house in the fall and throughout the winter. Storage places are very expensive with many thefts.
Yes. I own an rv and it is not convenient to have to load and unload my event when I go camping every weekend. I don't see the issue if it is parked on my driveway which is my property. That I pay taxes for.
Yes. I own an Rv and it's very hard to clean or do maintenance within the 36 hours.
Yes. I own my driveway and if it doesn't impair vision for other drivers it shouldn't be an issue.
Yes. I pay property taxes. It's my property. And what's the difference from the people who park it on the side.
Yes. I should be able to set up my trailer, store it, keep it on my property for my convenience considering the taxes I pay.
Yes. I store my trailer three hours away. I bring it to my home to pack up for our annual vacation. It takes more than 36 hours by the time my trailer arrives to the time we leave on vacation. I would request 36 hours to 7 days.
Yes. I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking for campers and smaller trailers that fit the front driveway for convenience, cost effective, safety and security. We stored our camper in a private lot before and we were told that other rv storages sometimes lost some campers or rv and they have no liability or responsibility on it. Its just like that. Its scary to leave ur property to some place that they wont even feel responsible if somebody stole it in their yard. Its also cost effective as we all know everything cost a lot of money now. This at least will help us save at least \$400 or more and put that money to grocery bills for the family. I hope u understand.
Yes. I support extending the hours to park rv on your driveway.
Yes. I support full time parking on personal property. So long as sidewalks and walkways are left clear I believe it should be allowed.
Yes. I support seasonal front driveway parking. A small setback from the front sidewalk, such as 1 m, would be more reasonable than the short time span in the current bylaw. The setback would allow people to use the sidewalk and help with sight lines.
Yes. I support seasonal parking as long as it doesn't block sight lines or obstruct traffic. However, there may need to be a size limit in place based on size of unit and size of space.
Yes. I support this as I don't see any reason why someone cannot park something on their driveway as long as it does not interfere with sidewalks or roadways
Yes. I support this. More security on your own property
Yes. I support year round parking of rv on a person's OWN property! Storage is expensive. The city has already raised property taxes, it's very expensive to live in Alberta right now has it is. Give people a break already!
Yes. I support year-round parking in front driveways or extended front driveway areas. People pay property taxes and should be allowed to use their property to park/store any seasonal vehicles or any type of towable trailers as per above conditions. Parking an "RV" in a

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

driveway is no different than parking a vehicle. Most garages are not designed big enough for anything larger than a small car, so the majority of driveways are full of cars, trucks, vans, etc. Parking an "RV" doesn't create any different safety hazard.
Yes. I support. It's my property and as long it doesn't create safety concerns (blocking visibility for example) should be allowed to park on my own driveway. Several other municipalities allow that and there is no actual data on downsides for it.
Yes. I think aesthetics is a silly reason to require people to have to pay excessive storage fees and the cost of gas to and from a storage facility. I feel this bylaw also exacerbates tensions between neighbours as folks feel the need to tattle on each other if for some reason an RV is left a little too long.
Yes. I think as long as a trailer is in a private driveway it doesn't create any issues. It is not cheap to store a trailer and to be able to park a closed up trailer on the driveway for the summer months is helpful.
Yes. I think as long as it is on your property and not causing any issues then it shouldn't be a problem. It would also make it easier for those that own RVs to plan camping trips.
Yes. I think as long as they are parked in front of the owners property, or, in an area that isn't in front of another person's home or business rv street parking should be allowed year round
Yes. I think having the ability to leave it on the driveway for longer periods would benefit the roadways. We pick up the night before and park it hitched to the truck along the curb. This has impacted garbage pick up (which happen to be on Fridays) and delivery drop off trucks as our street is narrow. It also significantly reduces the parking on our street. On our street, it also impacts sight lines more than having it on the driveway.
Yes. I think I should be able to do what I want with my driveway, within reason.
Yes. I think if it's on your driveway that is your property and you should be able to do it. Your not made to shovel snow off of your driveway, just the city sidewalk. Also I think that it helps the environment in part, if someone doesn't have to waste a trip out to get their trailer from storage and back to their house and then after the trip another trip back to storage and back home again. That's a lot of wasted trips that would not be necessary if they could keep the unit at home.
Yes. I think it should be extended of alleys too.
Yes. I think it would make it easier to get out when you have quicker easier access to the camper. I would feel better leaving all my summer items in my camper knowing I could keep an eye out on it. It would be cheaper for the entire summer. It would also be nice if my kids want to pretend to camp for a night if we are not able to get out of town.
Yes. I think it's a great idea. It's cumbersome having to go pick up your trailer every weekend, especially if it's an hour drive to get it and then back, to go camping every weekend.
Yes. I think it's more convenient for Rv owners to be able to have the option to park the Rv in front of the Jim rather than having to plan 3 or 4 days in advance and then spend an extra hour or two at either end of a trip to retrieve the Rv from a storage lot.
Yes. I think it's overreach for the city to dictate which vehicles can be stored/parked on private property providing it is not garbage, unsanitary or junk.
Yes. I think it's ridiculous that you are o Ly allowed to park there for 36 hours.
Yes. I think people can do what they want on their own property as long as it's not causing a nuisance to neighbors.
Yes. I think under certain conditions it should be allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I think year-round front driveway parking should be allowed with the above conditions. The homeowner should be able to park their RV on their land wherever they see fit as long as it is not an eyesore or cause any safety issues.
Yes. I will still pay for offsite storage of my RV throughout the year as it's not something I want on my driveway for the whole season. However, I do my own maintenance on my tent trailer and projects could last more than 36 hrs. As well, if I'm making 2 trips out relatively close together, it's quite inconvenient transporting the RV back to the storage facility (which is 30 minutes away) rather than just leaving it on my driveway between trips. Added trips means more fuel consumption as well.
Yes. I would also support it on the road in front of a residence as not everyone has a front driveway.
Yes. I would do it myself if I could get our RV beside our house. As long as it's not blocking visibility or causing congestion with the roads I don't think it should be a problem.
Yes. I would like the convenience of being able to go out with my RV on short notice.
Yes. I would like to park my RV on my driveway
YES. I would prefer driveway parking than RV owners parking on the street. A lot of RV owners (particularly) tent trailer owners tend to park their RV on the street adjacent to their homes for longer than the current bylaw which takes up an enormous amount of parking space.
Yes. I would support it.
Yes. I would support this under the conditions that the RV is parked appropriately by not impeding other driveways, sidewalks, or daily routines. I feel as long as the owner of the RV has parked it appropriately and the condition of the RV does not represent a danger or hazard to surrounding citizens, it should be allowed.
Yes. I would support year round front driveway parking. It is an individual's property. I have no issues if they want to park a trailer on it. My neighbourhood has many homes with large and small RV's and they are parked on front driveways year round with no issues.
Yes. I would support year round parking as well. I have no problem with people parking all types of RV's on their front driveway.
Yes. I would support year round parking on driveways providing they fit on the driveway. They should not impede sidewalks or safety.
Yes. I would. The restriction seems unnecessary, particularly since some already do and don't get fines simply because their neighbours don't report them.
Yes. I'd also support parking in wide alley ways such as those in Ward 13. Right now within a block of my house there are two small utility trailers, a tent trailer, and a camper parked in the wide alley. In the summer there will be more. They aren't obstructing anyone and they should be allowed. Part of the reason people buy in this neighbourhood is because of the wide alleys. The City should let people use them for RV storage as long as they are not blocking motorists.
Yes. If a car, truck, or van can be parked for more than 36 hours, why not an RV?
yes. If a vehicle isn't impeding on the city of Calgary property (encumbrances taken into consideration), there should be no reason someone shouldn't be able to store their own property, on their own property
Yes. If an RV or travel trailer is parked on a private driveway, not an eyesore, the city should not limit the parking of such vehicles on private property, front or rear driveways/yards. Respect towards private property is key in a free democratic society.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. If campers are forced to be moved to off site storage areas after 36 hours it can be very inconvenient for the owner
Yes. If I maintain my driveway I should be able to use it.... Paid for cement and shovel it... should be there for my use.
Yes. If it doesnt overhang the sidewalk. Leave them alone.
Yes. If it fits on a driveway and does not block sidewalks, it should be allowed.
Yes. If it fits within the length of the driveway, it shouldn't be an issue. It's temporary so it can't be bought and then sit there for forever.
Yes. If it is not blocking the sidewalk or restricting traffic.
Yes. If it is off street parking, front driveway and the vehicle does not extend over the sidewalk. Seasonal parking helps the owner access their camper. And reduces storage cost and emissions
Yes. If it is on a driveway then that is personal property so not a bother to others.
Yes. If it is on your own driveway then it is not bothering or impacting anyone else.
Yes. If it is parked fully on your property why should anyone else care.
Yes. If it is road legal that is enough.
Yes. If it is seasonal it is being used and not there all the time or year round. It is often away on weekends or longer durations, therefore that is temporary.
Yes. If it's in your drive way, you own it and should be able to park it there
Yes. If it's my driveway, let me use it the way I see fit. It's mine.
Yes. If it's off the road it's not a safety concern to motorists, cyclists, etc. we camp most weekends so storing it elsewhere is inconvenient, substantial cost.
Yes. If it's on their property then they should be allowed to park in The laneway no problem. Doesn't bother anyone and if it does, again it's on your property should be allowed
Yes. If it's on your own driveway and does not block anyone else's access to their property.
yes. If its on a driveway there should be no limit. It's your property.
Yes. If it's on their property that they own it shouldn't be an issue.
Yes. If its on your property, it shouldn't matter.
Yes. If it's parked on private property for only summer months what the issue?
Yes. If nothing else, it would cut down on the emissions spent to drive out to storage, haul my trailer back home, then load up to leave town, and repeat that procedure again at the end of the weekend. Allowing for seasonal parking cuts down on ~40km worth of driving. Multiply that by the hundreds of people that go out, times the number of trips they make a year, and that's a lot of spent fuel.
Yes. If parked on your property, a time limit is not really just.
Yes. If something is on your property, parked on the driveway, it is no business of another citizen to assess if it's ok. I don't like old trucks or corroding vehicles, but I can't let my biases impact others' lifestyle, which they pay for. Their tax dollars cover their property use - simple as that. The current bylaw promotes NIMBYism.
Yes. If the parking is available, there is no reason to limit it.
Yes. If the property and RV are owned by the property owner, the owner should be able to use their property as they see fit.
Yes. If the recreational vehicle is being used on a weekly basis it doesn't make sense for most to unload trailer, park it elsewhere for 2 days only to return it to the driveway to reload. An exception during the spring, summer, fall would be nice however it should be parked away from the driveway in the winter. Trailers intended for storage and moving of equipment that do

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

not obstruct side walks or impact sight lines should be able to remain in a driveway year round.
Yes. If the RV can fit on the front driveway, and street parking is unaffected, then I see no reason why it would be an issue. We often go camping for the weekend only, and it would be much more convenient to have additional time to load and unload, and save time and gas going to and from the RV storage lot that is out of the city limits.
Yes. If the rv is functional and fits safely on the driveway, it should be allowed to park there seasonally.
Yes. If the RV is not blocking streets, or sidewalks being able to park your RV on your own property should be allowed for longer than 36 hours. 36 hours is a very short amount of time for beginning and end of season tasks, loading/unloading and cleaning.
Yes. If the the RV isn't blocking any part of a sidewalk or roadway, it has no affect on others. I pay for my house and my driveway and should be able to use it.
We camp almost every weekend in the summer and park our trailer on our driveway during the week for easy cleaning and packing.
Yes. If the trailer can fit in the driveway without obstructing sidewalks or laneways then parking should be allowed.
Yes. If the trailer is not negatively affecting the neighbourhood (aesthetically, day-to-day activities, obstructing traffic), then I believe they should be able to be stored on an owner's driveway.
Yes. If the vehicle has a sidewalk clearance of 4 ft.
Yes. If the vehicle sits in the driveway then I see no problem with it parked there. It is one way to make life more affordable as trailer parking is expensive. I know this as we have paid for parking for many years (however I do not have a driveway that would accommodate my trailer). However I see no issues with any parked in driveways around the neighbourhood.
Yes. If they are parked on the owners property they should be allowed to park in the space available and not made to pay to store the vehicle or trailer.
Yes. If we are paying property tax then you should be able to utilize your property if it fits on the driveway, year round. Why would I pay storage and property tax when I could use my driveway that I pay tax on already.
Yes. If you allow trucks and vans on driveways then RVs parked completely on private property should be treated in the same manner. As long as the RV is capable of being moved (I.e, it's not derelict)
Yes. If you have a front driveway then this should not be an issue as long as you are not on the sidewalk or street.
Yes. In fact driveway RV parking should be allowed at any time.
Yes. In my case I would then not have to drive a further 30 minutes or more to pick up my trailer from the storage yard. It's convenient, and with young children, time can be critical to ensuring a successful trip. This includes the trailer and preparation.
Yes. In the summer months, they are often used for loading & unloading and is convenient that you access it right off the house.
Yes. In the summer we camp most weekends and it's a big inconvenience to have to return our camper to storage for a day or two mid week just to comply with the bylaw. The trailer is not infringing on our neighbour's ability to use their property, nor does it pose a safety risk. I see a major benefit to trailer owners of a change in the law with little to no impact on the rights of our neighbours to extend the storage period to 5 days during the summer months.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Inner city doesn't have the side or back options to park. Would be ideal to have an option to park on my property.
Yes. In-season parking on private property.
Yes. Insofar as the parked vehicle does not impede public property, there should not be any time restrictions on parking. It is unfair to restrict tv vehicle parking on grounds of poor condition, safety, poor sight lines when these very issues could apply to any other vehicle on a driveway. If a vehicle is registered and insured, there should not be any restrictions.
Yes. It allow people to have quick weekend get away.
Yes. It allows for Families to have more time together and convenient access to outdoor adventures.
I would simply ensure that the rules are followed for not obstructing the street or sidewalk.
Yes. It allows for more ease to get ready for the camping season without having pressure to move the vehicle. Saves on paying for storage and time. Also reduces carbon footprint with not having to drive excessively.
Yes. It allows for owners to more easily access their trailer for cleaning, maintenance, and I think it will lead to higher usage which is better for everyone's mental health.
Yes. It allows more flexibility for RV owners. It is more environmentally friendly because we currently have to drive to our storage lots multiple times a season, consuming additional gas and releasing emissions. If we could store it in the driveway for longer periods, such as between summer trips, we would drive and tow it less.
Yes. It allows owners to easily be able to access their vehicles during the season.
Yes. It allows time for a family to comfortably plan a camping trip which may include packing for small children and pets. Especially if the planned time away will be an extended period.
Yes. It can be expensive to pay for storage making it harder for low income households to have the benefit of an RV
Yes. It does not bother me when my neighbours park their tv around the neighbourhood.
Yes. It does not obstruct anyone else's parking and when you go every weekend or every other weekend, it can take a lot of extra time to pick it up and drop off as there aren't a lot of easily accessible storage areas close by.
yes. It encourages people to use their RVs and get out to enjoy the great outdoors! if they have to stop in at a storage all the time they would not use their RVs half as often. In an era where we are seeing increasing costs for everything, it would be good to save on RV storage fees as well.
Yes. It feels like too much (unintentional) micromanaging by the city otherwise. Many RVs, campers, etc are smaller than the jacked up trucks all over Calgary, but due to our culture, these unfairly get a free pass. RVing is pretty wholesome and a great way for people to explore their country or continent. Good things happen when people get out of their bubbles and see the world, develop an appreciation for the natural environment, and come into contact with others who they normally don't.
Yes. It frees us street parking that we are forced to use when preparing to use the vehicle. What is parked in my driveway doesn't negatively impact anyone in the neighborhood.
Yes. It gives the owner a chance to save so money
Yes. It helps people with trailers get ready to camp, clears the streets of trailers having to park while getting ready to head out camping.
Yes. It helps reduce cost of gas driving to and from storage. It is still on private property, not obstructing street parking.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It helps save storage costs and is a normal thing in Alberta
Yes. It is a convenience if you are camping every weekend to unload and reload. It shouldn't impact visibility anymore in a driveway than any other vehicle.
We would still keep our storage spot, but it would make coming and going alot easier and save alot of time.
We specifically chose the trailer we did so that it would fit on our driveway. The time limit is challenging if we come back late and have to work the next day.
Yes. It is a gain in efficiency, a reduction in carbon footprint, and is a fair use of private property.
Yes. It is a great and inexpensive way to travel around Alberta with our family, and with two working parents and 3 kids it can be difficult to park the trailer outside the city every week. The restriction should be raised, but be seasonal for spring-fall use.
Yes. It is a matter of storage access. Our storage is available over winter but not summer so would have to find alternative storage.
Yes. It is a vehicle and should be allowed to be parked on private property
Yes. It is an inconvenience to pack, unpack as well as simple maintenance under the current bylaw.
Yes. It is an unreasonable infringement on private property rights to prohibit parking a registered vehicle on your own property. It also forces owners to put their property at risk by storing it off-site and is hugely inconvenient. Finally, many other properties have much worse impacts in terms of aesthetics and cleanliness than a clean, well-maintained RV.
Yes. It is being done anyways - so either need equity in ticketing all offenders - or removing it. Allows for increased accessibility of City residents to seasonal camping activities, which support health and wellness. Allows for annual/seasonal maintenance of the RV.
Yes. It is common sense to allow this. Other jurisdictions allow it.
Yes. It is completely reasonable for rv owners that do not encroach on city property to park their rv on driveways. The 36 hr rule is inconvenient and serves no purpose. If you can park a company trailer or a pod on a driveway you should be able to park an rv.
Yes. It is convenient and isn't an issue if the vehicle is kept in good condition.
Yes. It is convenient for RV users to have them available in their driveways for convenience and peace of mind to have your personal property on your property .
Yes. It is convenient not to have to take your trailer back to storage for the weekdays. Also gives owners time to do repairs, clean at their convenience
Yes. It is convenient to have easy access to your RV.
Yes. It is convenient, cheaper and safe for owners of RV's and does not impact others. It's on private property.
Yes. It is convenient. Promotes spending time with family outdoor as RV reminds you to go explore somewhere.
yes. it is convenient. saves money. don't have to worry about vandalism/theft as much.
Yes. It is costly and inconvenient to have to go to where ones rv is stored. It saves gas to be able to leave the trailer on our property and is much more convenient, and less time consuming than having to drive an hour, all in, to pick up ones rv.
Yes. It is easier to access and go on trips, especially when RV storage lots are on the periphery of the city.
Yes. It is especially helpful when preparing a long trip or doing maintenance.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It is important to be able to charge the vehicle, have electricity to the fridge, and to be conveniently located for loading and unloading. As well, we can run a hose to fill the water tank with city water.
Yes. It is inconvenient and time consuming for an owner to drive to a storage lot, hitch up their trailer, return home, then load it up within 36 hours. Then, upon return from the trip, repeat the exercise in reverse. Also, for cleaning it, plugging it in to cool the fridge, etc it would be much more convenient to have it parked out front than in a storage unit.
Yes. It is more cost effective and convenient, and secure for the owners and does not obstruct use of neighbouring property or communal use of streets and sidewalks. This will lead to increase recreation and use, which has both physical and mental health benefits for the population.
Yes. It is more cost effective for homeowners.
Yes. It is more practical for families that take their trailer out each weekend in the nicer months.
Yes. It is my property and as long as it is not unsightly and messy I should be allowed to use my property for what I want.
Yes. It is no different than side yard parking. It is convenient
Yes. It is not convenient getting RV from storage and filling with supplies to go camping for a weekend and then unloading to put RV I to storage. Too many new communities don't have back alleys to allow access to park RV in back yard. Also lots are too small even if you had a back alley.
Yes. It is not enough time to pick up, pack and get all ready while working and having family life
Yes. It is often inconvenient to have to run back and forth between storage lot and my home. Allowing my trailer to be able to be parked on my driveway for the camping season seems reasonable. Mid June until mid September seems like a reasonable request.
Yes. It is on private property and not hurting anyone.
Yes. It is on private property and should not cause any safety concerns, like obstructed vision from the road or sidewalk. Seasonal parking would be great.
Yes. It is on private property. It does not take away from visibility, safety or aesthetics of the property. I have seen ugly or broken down cars on a person's driveway. If that is allowed, I don't see a reason why an RV should not be.
Yes. It is private property and can be used for personal vehicles, recreation or otherwise.
Yes. It is SO annoying that there is nowhere to keep my RV. Ive talked to my neighbors who have stated they wouldn't be bothered by it, as long as it doesnt block sidewalks or other parking. Its MY driveway, I should be able to keep MY vehicles in it.
Yes. It is the owners property and no different if it was a car/truck
Yes. It is unfair to force taxpayers to not be able to park what they want on their own property, as long as it meet safety standards. For people who work full time, it is very difficult to pack or unpack & clean an rv in 36 hours.
Our travel trailer is tiny, only 16 feet long, much smaller than many vans, trucks, commercial vehicles, etc. These are allowed to park in driveways and clog up streets.
Yes. It is up to the property owner to decide what is parked on their property. If an RV is fully contained on that property, on a driveway or parking pad, the city should not have authority to require an rv be moved. If it is not blocking the sidewalk, or road, is not leaking etc, it's not a problem. Front yard or back yard or side yard, if it's your property, you decide what to park





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

on your driveway. The hassle, insecurity, and extra time required to park off-site is unnecessary. It's a different story on public property, where Limits are appropriate but, on a private driveway, it should be up to the property owner to decide what can be parked on their driveway.
Yes. It is very hard to load and unload a trailer plus do maintenance in the 36 hour window. Sometimes we like to camp 2 weekends in a row; having to take our trailer back and forth to the storage place adds a lot of extra time to the week.
Yes. It is very inconvenient to not be able to store something that is continually used at your residence.
Yes. It is your home, you pay taxes and you should be able to park your property in your property.
Yes. It is your own property. It is an inconvenience and financial waist to not be allowed to keep something you own on the property you own.
Yes. It just makes sense. It's a nightmare trying to pack and unpack a trailer for holidays so quickly. Having to drive all the way across the city to pick up the trailer every weekend is time consuming and annoying. It's my property on my property.
Yes. It keeps it off the road and on peoples property, which means it's theirs and people should be allowed to have what they want on their property.
Yes. It makes a tremendous difference in time and convenience of camping as well as security of a fully packed RV. In the summer people keep things in their RV that they use in daily life. Having it close at hand makes that simpler.
Yes. It makes it accessible for the entire season and easier to load (especially if you have to do it alone).
Yes. It makes it easier for people to go camping if they can easily load/unload.
Yes. It makes it easier for trailer owners
Yes. It makes it easier to use the RV especially for cleaning and prepping in-between trips
Yes. It makes it much more convenient for loading and unloading.
Yes. It makes more sense to store it on your driveway than pay for storage or park on the street for a longer period of time. Most houses do not have alleys.
Yes. It prevents so much time, money and dangers of going to storage facilities every week.
Yes. It promotes the ability of planning a spontaneous camping trip by having the trailer both close and within sight to encourage people to live and make memories with their families outside and away from screens, which is incredibly important for family dynamics and mental health. When campers have to be stored, less money is available to families having to pay storage fees, and the trailer is out of sight so it is less likely to be used. Seasonal parking allows people to have easy access to their property and more affordability to use it instead of only store it.
Yes. It provides convenience and security. With the increase in Cadillac converter thefts and have stored vehicles in a thirdly party storage lot thats continually broken into this is much more safe.
Yes. It reduces the need to go to pricey and distant storage locations. It does not impact the look of a neighborhood and an owner should be allowed to park whatever they want on their own property
Yes. It saves both time and energy, not having to drive the extra distance to RV storage areas which are usually in the outside of the city. Trailers are not more unsightly than regular vehicles and should be allowed on driveways as long as they are not obstructing driving visibility or posing another safety concern.





## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It saves on gas and time to haul our trailer back and forth between weekend trips. We have a long enough driveway to store our trailer without blocking the sidewalk or road.
Yes. It seems reasonable to allow it
Yes. It seems worth distinguishing very small RVs, like tent trailers and teardrops, from massive fifth wheel trailers that actually do obstruct sightlines.
Yes. It should be allowed from April until October. No different than workers with their large company trucks or vans in the same spot.
Yes. It should be allowed in the summer and just tell people to put them away for the winter. At the absolute VERY LEAST the existing bylaw should be amended to allow for parking for up to 7 -10 days. The current 36 hours is absolutely pitiful and completely unreasonable. Many people want to complete repairs, dewinterize or winterize their units or prep for their 2 week vacation at their homes where power, water, tools and equipment are available 500 characters for this response is ridiculous
Yes. It should be extended to the street in front of your house as well.
Yes. It takes 1.5 hours round trip to drive to our long term trailer storage facility in Springbank. This is a huge inconvenience and diminishes our trailer ownership experience. I would also like to eliminate the cost and environmental impact of unnecessarily burning fuel to shuttle the trailer back and forth everytime we use it. Furthermore, I have an exceptionally large driveway which can accomodate 7 or 8 vehicles - so parking a trailer on it still leaves plenty of room for our cars.
Yes. It takes a while to prep it before you can leave, and clean it after you return. Working people are limited to non work hours to prep and clean it out after they return. Even plugging in your fridge takes a while to cool down before you can load it and keep the food fresh.
Yes. It takes time to prep for camping and it's more then a day's work.
Yes. It wastes more fuel to transport a trailer before and after every trip, and would support climate efforts, as well as reduce accidents with trailer transport.
Yes. It will allow people to use them more
Yes. It will definitely cut down the amount of break in and thefts the rv owners experience a lot.
Yes. It will help people save on costs for storage and save them time hauling it back and forth from the storage lot twice a week if they are avid campers. Moving the trailer to the storage lot and back and then again when leaving to go on a trip actually causes more of a disturbance for parking and access because the RV is being moved twice every camping trip.
Yes. It works in other areas why not hear.
Yes. It would allow families to better plan for trips, use unused space and utilize their own property that that have paid for to their full use, as the family chooses.
Yes. It would allow more frequent use of the unit by not having to completely unload it to store it between trips and then spend a day reloading it.
Yes. It would allow owners like us that need more than just 36 hours in between trips. Specially if the unit is in good standard and it is not unsightly.
Yes. It would allow people to use their property for their recreational vehicles.
Yes. It would allow you to go camping more often by having your trailer more accessible.
Yes. It would be cost effective and more convenient for our family to park our travel trailer in our driveway from spring-fall.
Yes. It would be more convenient to leave it parked in the driveway for a few weeks when camping multiple weekends in a row, or if going every other weekend. It would also allow for



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

more time when unloading, loading, or cleaning before taking it back out to the storage lot, even in the summer.
Yes. It would be more convenient.
Yes. It would be nice not to have to continuously take a trailer to and from a storage location every time it is used. Let us use our front driveway for storage during the summer.
Yes. It would be parked on my driveway on my property and would be far more convenient for me.
Yes. It would be so much more convenient for the trailer to be in the driveway than to need to put it in storage after every weekend
Yes. It would be very convenient, it's not in the way, and would save money in storage costs. Not every home has access to rear or side parking.
Yes. It would give people quick access to enjoy their recreational activities and spend longer in the great outdoors
Yes. It would help keep the cost of owning an RV down.
Yes. It would help with cost and allow for time for annual maintenance and repairs. Conveniences
Yes. It would make camping easier and more available to more people in the city.
Yes. It would make going on multiple camping trips each weekend a lot more convenient to load and unload. Specially charging batteries and such. It's hard enough to get a campsite in Alberta. This would make it easier to Grab a site and go on short notice. Rather than have to drive a half an hour out of the city to find your Hitch up your vehicle.
Yes. It would make the loading/unloading process much more pleasant if access was available to the rv for a longer duration of time so that you aren't rushing to load, unload, clean, do maintenance in the 36hours because most rv owners have to work through the day and only have the evening to do this work especially if they just got back from a camping trip. It's even harder when it is a family with small kids and you see having to manage them at the same time. We would usually be up really late
Yes. It would maximize our use of the vehicle during the season as well as supporting activities like repairs.
Yes. It would save so much time by eliminating travel to and from an RV storage lot. It would be much more convenient.
Yes. It would save time and gas driving the RV back and forth between my home and the storage place
Yes. It would save time and pollution from us having to drive to the storage lot, haul back to our house to fill and then return to the lot when we're done. Over and over and over again.
Yes. It's a person's property to begin with (both RV and driveway) so they should be able to park the RV on the driveway. It is helpful in mitigating costs and encouraging camping!
Yes. It's a private driveway.
Yes. It's added pollution and congestion driving it back and forth from the storage lot.
Yes. It's an owners business what they park on their driveway.
Yes. It's common sense to allow homeowners to use their driveway for this purpose during the appropriate season
Yes. It's just more convenient. We sometimes need the rv park for a longer time to get organized. Also people wouldn't have to bring it back to storage between trips in the summer.
Yes. It's more convenient for campers to have quick access. Camping is relaxing and popular
Yes. It's much more convenient, plus I own my property.
Yes. It's much nicer having the trailer handy for packing.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It's my driveway and my trailer ... I should be allowed to store my stuff on my property. During camping season it is a hassle to have to go to the storage unit, within 36 hours of our trip, and store it again, within 36 hours of the end of the trip. Many people are already doing this and it would be nice to see the regulations catch up.
Yes. It's no different for obstruction than a van. It would be far more convenient.
Yes. It's no different than a vehicle(s). We need a place to park out trailers that is easily accessible.
Yes. It's no different than having vehicles parked on private driveways. This should be free choice.
Yes. It's on property and not obstructing street parking.
Yes. It's only for the season.
Yes. It's our property and we pay huge taxes. We should be allowed. Pay \$1400 a year for storage spot and it's there for the vast majority of the year. Plus repairs necessitate keeping it in the city sometimes.
Yes. It's private property. If it's a registered trailer and in good shape it is fine. Nobody wants to see derelict old piles of junk in the driveway though.
Yes. It's private property. The city needs to stay in their lane and get out of peoples business on their private property.
Yes. It's ridiculous that Calgary doesn't have this bylaw in place, given most other major municipalities in the prairies allow it. Having moved from Saskatchewan, where this was allowed, I was surprised to learn that this strict of a bylaw existed. It's very inconvenient to store my RV offsite during the summer months, not to mention the additional cost associated with it.
Yes. It's someone's property, they should be able to park there.
Yes. It's the homeowners property. Let's just stop with the overt government oversight in every small aspect of peoples lives.
Yes. It's the owners driveway and they should be able to park any of their vehicles on it
Yes. It's their property, let them use it.
Yes. It's there property. If someone else wants to pay for my parking it's 1000\$ a year.
Yes. It's too expensive and time consuming to pay for storage, drive to pick up and drop off your trailer multiple times in the summer. On our street multiple people have trailers on their front driveway Year round. We support this if used to get away on the weekends or holidays (not for somebody to live in year round).
Yes. It's your house you should be allowed to do whatever you please. As long as it's not obstructing the sidewalk. I personally think you should be allowed to park your trailer for one week at a time on your driveway. Because a lot of camera go every weekend anyways. That way they can pack then take off for the weekend.
Yes. It's your property and you should be allowed to park trailers that you own on your own property
Yes. It's a huge hassle to retrieve an RV from storage every time you want to go away.
Yes. It's a reasonable request for a homeowner.
Yes. It's a ridiculous overreach to govern and restrict RV parking on your own front driveway.
Yes. It's frustrating for individuals who use their RV frequently to constantly go back and forth to their storage unit to grab their RV. It would be nice to be able to have access to organize your RV and load/unload freely.
Yes. It's just a vehicle and it's private property.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes. its more convenient for me.
Yes. Its my property
Yes. Its my property and I should be allowed to store my tent trailer there during the camping season.
Yes. It's my property!
Yes. It's not hurting anyone.
Yes. It's off the road which is much safer for visibility. Allows for cleaning and maintenance. Perhaps extend to a week? We store ours offsite when not using (winter etc.) but with busy lives it sometimes takes days to get it ready for a trip.
Yes. It's often necessary when trailers need fixing/tune ups and require extended amount of time parked at home. If parked in the driveway, the trailer can be unhooked from the tow vehicle, allowing owners to use his/her vehicle for work. Also, it avoids electrical wires from crossing the sidewalk (if the trailer is parked on the street) which is a tripping hazard.
Yes. It's our driveways. We should be able to park what fits in it.
Yes. Its people own driveways let them use it the way they want to.
Yes. It's people's properties. As long as their RV isn't obstructing the side walk or road, and is 100% on their driveway, it should be allowed there.
What's a more pressing danger is inadequate snow and ice clearing on main roads and the complete lack of it on side roads. Just a month ago I almost slide into traffic because snow plows do not clear side roads. I was 2 feet from being hit on the driver's side.
Yes. Its peoples RV and drive way city shouldn't have a bylaws for what people can or cannot park on their property.
Yes. Its personal property parked on personal property. I support year round parking too for storage during off season.
Yes. It's private property and is off the street. You're entitled to park whatever you want on your lot
Yes. It's ridiculous to have to pay an additional fee just to park something small like a tent trailer. In addition it's hard to find a place since most lots just accommodate bigger trailers.
Yes. Its very common in other towns and makes life much easier.
Yes. Keeps neighbours from parking trailer on the street between trips (for loading and unloading). No difference of a truck or work van (esthetics?) parked on a driveway or an RV. Promote people to use their RVs and enjoy the summer.
Yes. Less carbon footprint given our storage lot is out of town.
Yes. Less hassle for people trying to find accommodation for their units and the. Travelling back and forth so often. Environmental impact will be less
Yes. Less theft from storage lots, easy access for owners, easier for small business start ups that need work trailers
Yes. Less traffic bring trailer in and out? More convenient
Yes. Life is expensive enough who wants to have to pay for storage if you have the space on your property.
Yes. Like above its hard to find parking all times of the year and this would be a good way to make it more accessible
Yes. Like me park it there please
Yes. Live and let live



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Living inner city (Ward 8) means limited short term options for RV parking. This inconvenience means less utilization and enjoyment of our travel trailer. Street parking is also limited so optimizing our driveway is a practical solution.
Yes. Loading and unloading a trailer is much easier. Doing routine maintenance on an RV to protect my investment is more convenient as many storage lots do not permit this.
Yes. Loading and unloading before and after trips. Also maintenance or repairs that need to be done, sometimes parts need to be ordered. Also for guests that are traveling through.
Yes. Loading and unloading the trailer over the summer months takes significant time. To stay on the driveway would allow more flexibility to use the trailer throughout the summer.
Yes. Lots are inconvenient especially in the summer months when RVs are used quite frequently. Lots are not always secure especially when there is such high traffic going in and out during busy times.
yes. Lots of people (including us) don't have back or side of house parking, or there's not enough room in the back alley. People jam into the back alley and then they get clogged. I would go further to say that trailers should be able to be unhitched on the street for a set amount of time also. As a tent trailer owner the laws mean we can NEVER have our tent trailer at our home because we would have to unhitch to set it up, and we don't have any parking on our premises
Yes. Lots of people do it already and we feel penalized for following the rules. As for aesthetics, there are all kinds of legal ways for people to have unsightly yards that don't make life difficult for everyone else.
Yes. Lots of times RV owners are camping every weekend and to put the trailer in storage for 3 or 4 days does not make a lot of sense.
Yes. Makes it easier to use trailer. My driveway is long enough to park my trailer And my vehicle in front of it and still be away from sidewalk. I don't see why that isn't permitted. It is a well kept trailer In good condition.
I would probably sell my RV BEFORE I PAID FOR STORAGE.
Yes. Makes sense.
Yes. Many families camp on the weekend, but don't have access to back parking like we do. The 36 hour limit is a challenge and many ask neighbours to be kind for the extra days.
Yes. Many front lots have other obstructions to the view, front garage, large trees, tall vehicles. An RV parked in the winter should be no problem. That assumes the street has adequate parking. Zoned parking may be an area where RVs may have additional negative impact. I have no back or side access to park an RV on, but lots of space in front.
Yes. Many newer communities do not have back lanes.
Yes. Many of us who use our trailers use them every weekend. Having the trailer on site would be most helpful.
Yes. Many people already park their RVs on their driveway for extended periods during camping season. This change would simply acknowledge the reality of what's already happening. So long as RVs aren't parked in a manner that is unsafe or blocks traffic/pedestrians they should be allowed to park seasonally.
Yes. Many people already park vehicles in their driveway, so incremental impact would be limited. It would reduce time, fuel, and environmental impact of taking our trailer to/from storage and would maximize the time we could be actively using it instead of just transporting it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Many people camp through out the season and sometimes finding storage can be far away making it difficult to prepare for a trip
Yes. Many people don't have a back lane or room in a garage for storing a tent trailer, forcing them to pay monthly fees for storage elsewhere. This is costly and makes it difficult to use/maintain the trailer regularly.
Yes. Many people don't have another place to put it other than their driveway. And for people like us (shift workers who work full time) we don't have time to continually go to a lot to pick up the trailer. In the summer and fall we have it ready to go, so as soon as we get home from work, we head out with it. Not to mention the cost of fuel to drive it back and forth between a storage lot. And we use it often in the summer.
Yes. Many people invested in rvs over the pandemic and would like to use them. If the unit is on their own property and doesn't obstruct the view of a neighbour then who cares?
"Poor condition" should not be a condition. There are many homes and vehicles that are in poor condition and the city does nothing about it.
Yes. Many people use their RVs every weekend in the summer. For many, this requires moving them in and out of storage which increases fuel consumption and is less green. There are also many who want to renovate their RVs. This bylaw doesn't allow for that either. Others use their RV to house people from out of town.
Yes. Many places allow this and it is much more convenient for those with RVs. It would also allow us to free up our tow vehicle and not be moving the trailer all the time.
Yes. Many residents already do. This would just ensure that they meet bylaw regulations. As a tent trailer owner with 2 small children, it would facilitate camping, which would probably make us want to go more, thus building positive family dynamics, increasing local tourism, and promoting a healthy outdoor lifestyle. The cost of RV storage significantly deters many families, especially those of lower sociodemographic status, from purchasing RVs and engaging in camping comfortably.
Yes. Many rv lots are far away and full
Yes. Many RV owners are required to store their RV outside city limits on "RV farms", as they lack otherwise on-property storage capacity (side or rear of home). Many of those RV owners use their RVs multiple times per season, and it is currently inconvenient to move the RV back to the off-season RV farm for the few days between weekend uses. It makes more sense to allow seasonal RV parking in front driveways, off city property.
Yes. Many RV owners do not have the ability to park their RV on their personal property (side or backyard) because they live on a small residential lot. Extending the time period that it can be parked at/near their residence provides them with greater flexibility before the RV has to be moved to out of city parking sites.
Yes. Many rv owners store their RV out of town. If consecutive weekends are planned for camping it is reasonable to allow the ev to be parked at the residence for the few days between trips to allow unpacking, cleaning and restocking. Also to plugin and charge batteries.
Yes. More convenient. Reduces costs for storage. Allows people to enjoy their own property.
Yes. More economical for families to have visitors, costs are rising everywhere. Taking a unit out of storage saves dollars and would result in a person/family using it more often if they don't have to bring it home to load and unload.
Yes. More helpful through the Short season. Not a problem to have it done.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. More time to prepare/load trailer for travel, and unpack and clean after travel.
<p>Yes. Most do not obstruct sight lines and affect safety.</p> <p>Calgary is so big that it is challenging and expensive to park an rv elsewhere. We pay \$1400 a year to store our small pop up camper. Calgary is a city that constantly supports an active outdoor lifestyle; the bylaw contradicts that philosophy.</p> <p>We do not have a back alley due to city planning or else we could arrange parking in our yard thru a gate.</p> <p>It takes a long time to prepare for camping and this bylaw most significantly affects single parents who might not have the time to collect and pack an rv in 36 hours without having the help of a partner. The same families may also struggle to afford other types of vacations.</p>
<p>Yes. Most households with campers in Calgary, are families. Many with younger children. If we go camping two weekends in a row, it's a significant amount of extra effort to store my camper away from my property. I would guess an extra five hours because you have to unpack everything (drive to Calgary West RV storage by COP for us anyway), unhook there, drive back, etc. It's much easier and allows us to camp more often if we can have some additional time. It also reduces trips on our roadways.</p>
<p>Yes. Most owners would not keep it long term on their driveway. 36 hours is not a lot of time to clean, load, pack, unpack especially for 2 people working full time. Also having to consistently go and get your trailer for weekend getaways is an inconvenience. Especially if you return on a Monday and are going out again on a Friday, the current rules state you must move it within 36 hours, meaning Tuesday it goes to storage and Thursday it comes back to be prepared or rules are broken/ignored.</p>
<p>Yes. Most people take pride in ownership and would keep the RV clean and well maintained. If the RV is rundown and unsafe then No.</p>
<p>Yes. Most people work hard every day. So when we have only a short amount of time off to do things like camping, it would be nice to not have ridiculous bylaws in place preventing you from having your camper at your house, so you can make the most out of your available time. And secondly, having to pay storage fees during winter is expected but it would be nice to not have to pay those fees during the summer months when we are actually using our trailer on a regular basis.</p>
<p>Yes. Most RV users only use their vehicles in spring/summer. As long as the time extension for parking on streets followed suit, this would make sense.</p>
<p>Yes. Most RVs are not obstructed to the view of others. Having access to their RV in one's driveway allows families to enjoy them more often. Neighbours can get a reprieve from noisy children every weekend throughout the summer months. Bottom line it doesn't hurt anyone..</p>
<p>Yes. Most who own RVs store them in locations far outside of the city. Having the restriction of 36 hours significantly increases the carbon footprint of hauling the RV to and from the storage facility. Say if I were to go on a RV trip two weekends in a row, then that would be at least 4 trips too and from the storage facility to my house since I am unable to park it at my house for the work week (120 hours).</p>
<p>Yes. Mostly for convenience</p>
<p>Yes. Much more convenient for owners who camp several times in a season. Also saves them money. I'm in favour of allowing them parked on front driveways provided that they aren't impeding neighbours views any more than a vehicle would.</p>
<p>Yes. Multiple RV owners have to store their units out of town in order to find affordable storage (mine is near Strathmore despite living in Dalhousie) and changing the driveway parking regulations would allow owners to bring the RV home "for the season" rather than</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

having to travel potentially long distances to get it before/after every weekend they intend to get out and away with that RV.
Yes. My boater is smaller than some people's trucks. Also some people park big RVs on their driveways and are never ticketed or asked to move them. I had mine on the drive for a little over a day and was contacted to move it because my Neighbour complained.
Yes. My driveway, my property, so I should be able to park my vehicle as long as it does not restrict public pathways.
Yes. My family camps a lot in the summer, basically every weekend. And having the trailer at home seasonally allows quick access to it so we can come home and be ready to go in an instant. We also have some repairs to complete on our trailer and only having it at home for a 48hr time period is not enough. At times we have family come into town and having the trailer at home would allow us to have them stay at our place instead of having to look for accommodations nearby. There is cost savings all around, from less storage fees to gas as you have to bring it home and take it back to the yard.
Yes. My property so I should be able to park it in there. Also storage costs are too expensive. Save money driving back and forth to storage.
Yes. My property, none of your business.
Yes. My property. Not your business.
Yes. My trailer is my property and I should be allowed to park my property on my driveway.
Yes. My trailer is slightly too long to fit on my front driveway. Allowing me to park on the street longer than 36 hours would be helpful for my family and vacation time with the travel trailer.
Yes. New communities often only have front access and those parking spots should be available for any type of vehicle that is still mobile.
Yes. Newer subdivisions with driveways rarely have access to the sides and back of the properties for (unrestricted) parking.
Yes. No access to side yard. Inconvenience to have to pay to store and transport. Added cost of storage when we have the space in our driveway.
Yes. No issues with it. People already do it. The only reason the city ever comes out for any parking issues either just a conventional vehicle or a RV related issue is due to an asshole neighbor complaining which the city has to investigate. Been there done that on the receiving end many many times. Unfortunately one bad apple ruins it for many in our community.
Yes. No rear or side parking. Limited access to storage sites.
Yes. No reason not to
Yes. No reason not to.
Yes. No significant impact on neighbors.
Yes. Not all lots are capable of side or rear yard storage.
Yes. Not all properties offer an alternative, like side, or rear parking.
Yes. Not everyone can afford storage and the city should not be adding additional costs onto residents. Lot owners should be able to use their lots as they see fit.
Yes. Not everyone has access to a safe place to park it year round. We go camping every single weekend all summer and our RV winter parking is over an hour away. How would we drive two hours out of our way to park it there after a weekend, drive two hours to get it mid week to stock it up etc. let people enjoy the mountains and their short summers.
Yes. Not everyone has access to parking other than in their front driveway nor can they afford the cost of renting a spot at a commercial RV parking storage facility.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Not just seasonal but I think all rv, camper etc owners should be able to park whatever they want in their driveways and for as long as they want. Year round. As long as it is not obstructing traffic
Yes. Not my property not my business. My neighbors should be allowed to do as they please as long as it doesn't hurt anyone. Same for my family and our RV.
Yes. Not only is it convenient but in a city like Calgary that is so close to natural resources, I think we should be encouraging others to get out an explore.
Yes. Not only would it increase the convenience for owners (rather than having to drive to the edge of town where all storage lots are) it would save home owners money in a climate where everything is increasing in cost.
Yes. Not year around, but for summer months only.
Yes. Occasionally families go camping on back to back weekends. Hauling their trailer back and forth can get excessive and significantly increase the cost of fuel consumption for the weekend.
Yes. Off site parking is super expensive and inconvenient.
Yes. Offsite storage is expensive and if it doesn't obstruct the road or create safety issues then why not.
Yes. On a driveway but with safe, reasonable size restrictions...for visibility.
Yes. Only if it isn't something that belongs in the junk yard. It needs to be operable.
Yes. Only seasonal.
Yes. Otherwise besides cost in adds to time and traffic when RV is driven to and from the commercial parking lots. For the weekend user lose a significant amount of time.
Yes. Our boat is very tidy (and not big) and we keep our yard tidy. It is no different than parking a truck on our driveway. This helped facilitate families ability to recreate and not incur additional time and expenses moving the vehicle.
Yes. Our camper is no bigger than a many trucks or vans. We have an extra wide alley where we could park in the back as well.
Yes. Our camping season is shortened by winter and this helps make it more convenient for owners, and increases the likelihood families and individuals get to use, enjoy, repair, and maximize their investment in their RVs when they are closer to home.
Yes. Our home is on three floors. Our elderly parents and some disabled friends cannot visit because all bedrooms are a staircase away. A camper parked outside would be a solution for them.
Yes. Our homes all have the main windows in the back, so mostly no one sees these anyway. But even if they did, I support activities that get people out into the outdoors. And if a unit needs a little extra attention or repairs, it's impossible to do outside of town without access to power or water.
Yes. Our motorhome fits on our parking pad and it's ridiculous when we use it almost every weekend that we can't leave it in front of our own house. Especially when our neighbour has 6 or more cars cluttering up our street.
Yes. Our storage location is 1.5 hours from Calgary and this would allow us to store it temporarily between camping trips during the summer.
Yes. Our summers are short and it is inconvenient and costly to have to store and pick up/drop off a trailer after camping for a weekend.
Yes. Our trailer is only 26 feet in length and we did not feel it was an eyesore to park in front of our house. Since we cannot, it is very expensive to rent a stall on a yearly basis to park



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Our trailer is small and fits on our driveway without interfering with the sidewalk and allows for an easier storage during summer months. Cost efficient.
Yes. Our trailer is tiny. Our neighbours junk in their yard and side is much more of an issue
Yes. Own property. Own parking.
Yes. Owners property, the city should allow RVs to parked on driveways as long as it does not cover city sidewalk or street parking
Yes. Owners should be allowed to keep their RV on their property. Storage costs \$ and is convenient. Who cares if it's unsightly? Some people's homes and cars are unsightly and there's no consequence.
Yes. Parking elsewhere is wasteful (time, money, fuel) and unreasonable. Also, what is the point of owning a house/ yard, if you can't put your things in it.
Yes. Parking for up to 5 days.
Yes. Parking on the driveway doesn't prevent using one's garage in most cases. The convenience factor helps too.
Yes. Parking your trailer on your driveway should be allowed as long as it's not in the way of others driveways, sidewalks
Yes. Paying for rv storage is expensive and in the economy I would love to be able to park my travel trailer in my driveway.
Yes. People are allowed to park cars on their own driveway with no restrictions.
As long as the rv fits without blocking the sidewalk I have zero problem
Yes. People are already parking extra cars and trucks out front so I do not see that the esthetician are much different having an RV parked
Yes. People are stretched, RV storage is hard to find, it's their property.
Yes. People can easily access their RV for camping during the season
Yes. People can have their RV at home to monitor it, make sure it's secure, maintain it, decrease costs in gas and storage, saves time to load, clean and leave for a holiday.
Yes. People can't work on them where they store them and being able to keep it at home to repair would be useful. Also the amount of fuel used to drive it back and forth possibly every weekend is a lot and considering Calgary has a climate emergency that should be an important part
Yes. People drop large refuse bins on their driveways for months at a time, and an RV is now different.
Yes. People have the right to enjoy their property as they wish.
Yes. People likely don't have anywhere to put their RV over spring/summer months other than storage which is a hassle. Covid made camping and provincial vacations more popular due to travel restrictions and need for isolated vacations. More people want to explore their home provinces and this have purchased smaller rvs/camping gear to do so. 36hrs is not long enough to do maintenance, clean, load, or improvement to an rv before a trip or after. If someone owns the driveway or parking stall, they should be allowed to park their well-taken care of rv there seasonally.
Yes. People live in and/or own their property...they should be allowed to use it. In this time of increased cost of living, if they can save some money on not having to pay a storage fee throughout the summer...they should be allowed to do so. Less government interference in peoples personal lives is ALWAYS better.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. People need all the help they can get. Plus it's their property. Paying somewhere to store it and forcing people with no other option is ridiculous in my opinion.
Yes. People need time to unpack, pack, clean, perform maintenance/repairs and we do not think 36 hours is sufficient.
Yes. People should be able to do with their own property what they like.
Yes. People should be able to have their rvs accessible on their own property. Especially with high cost of living so people don't have to pay for storage space
Yes. People should be able to park on their own property to enable them to prepare, take care of, or maintain their RV's as they see fit. Providing they are not creating a safety hazard for others it should not be an issue. S Potential safety matters should be addressed on an individual basis. Trucks block viewing far more than a boat or camper trailer.
Yes. People should be able to park on their property as they wish. It should be the responsibility of drivers to navigate safely, not for homeowners to allow for better views when they are fully on their property. Neighbourhood speeds have also already been reduced for safety.
Yes. People should be able to park their own property on their own property. It takes a lot of time and energy to constantly be hauling a camper to and from storage. So much so that it can be a discouragement to use it on a 2 day weekend. As such it impacts quality of life.
Yes. People should be able to park there property on there own property that they already pay insane taxes to live on. The problem is you guys need to back off and stop telling people what they can and cannot do with there own stuff. But we know this will not happen as you guys love the control and sense of power.
Yes. People should be able to use their driveways however they want.
Yes. People should be able to use their own driveway as they wish.
Yes. People should be allowed to park next to or on their property. 36 hours makes it hard for us if we want to Go camping on the weekends back to back.
Yes. People should be allowed to park their things on their own properties. As long as it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk, it should be the owners choice.
Yes. People should be supported in accessibility of recreation.
Yes. People shouldn't have to pay to store their trailers if they have a spot on their property to park it.
Yes. People want to have access to their campers etc during the summer to clean them, pack them etc.
Yes. People who own homes pay property taxes. Therefore, it's not the cities (or anyone's) business to tell people what they can and can't park on their driveway.
Yes. People would likely get out more often and probably be more happy as a result. Life is pretty hectic these days and the convenience of having the trailer close makes my life easier. Since I have a spot in the backyard for my RV it's always available for a children's sleepover. My kids get more enjoyment from the trailer when it's close by. I can also engage the kids in preparing, cleaning and fixing it all of which are good opportunities for learning
Yes. People's driveways are their property and they should be able to do what they want in their own driveways.
Yes. Peoples trailers should be allowed as long as they fit on your own driveway.
Yes. Personally I understand restrictions on a street but I don't see the need to police an individual's driveway as long as it isn't interfering with a neighbours access.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Practical reasons more important than aesthetic.
Yes. Promoting healthy outdoor living and making this convenient for all. Allowing RV parking on a driveway should be your right.
Yes. Property owners pay taxes and should be able to park in their driveway regardless of the vehicle as long as it doesn't impede sidewalks or roads.
Yes. Provided RV's are not unsightly, broken down, encroach on a neighbors private land or city property, occupied during storage.
Yes. Provided there is no safety/visibility concerns and the unit fits on a driveway/parking pas, residents should be able to park their camper/travel trailer.
Yes. Reality is. Whenever I go to pickup my trailer to get it ready to go out camping, with multiple houses on the street having more than 2 cars in the household, street parking is limited to none for my trailer for the couple of hours required to pack it to leave. Being able to park it in my driveway would limit this inability to do so.
Yes. Reasonable price RV parking is outside the city. It's a needless expense. As it stands the bylaw is not uniformly applied - merely a path for whiney, spiteful neighbours to act against others. While renting in North Glenmore there were at least a half dozen RVs parked contrary to the bylaw that I'm very confident in saying were never ticketed for the almost two years we lived there.
Yes. Reduce cost of storage. Plus safer for motorhomes during to theft of catalytic converters
Yes. Reduces travel between home and storage. Allows more time to load and unload trailer. Fits on our driveway without blocking anyone else.
Yes. Repairs can take much longer than 36 hrs, security reasons as well.
Yes. Residence should be allowed to do what they wish on their own property as long as the RV is in good condition and not unsightly.
Yes. RV parking lots are not conveniently located throughout the city. The cost of an RV parking spot has dramatically increased and the need to unload your RV at home and then drive to a parking space causes additional traffic congestion and hassle. If an RV is in safe condition to drive and camp in, it is like aesthetically appropriate to be parked in a driveway. The fact that some homeowners can park free of charge in their back or side yard YEAR ROUND is a significant disadvantage.
Yes. RV storage can be quite expensive and not conveniently accessible or available. If I have an appropriate spot on my property I should be able to use provided the vehicle is in good repair.
The people across from me have a car storage lot (6) some running some not that he stores all around the neighbourhood in front of our houses restricting our parking. One car on his driveway has been torn apart and on jacks for years....how is that permissible but I can't park my new trailer or surf boat?
Yes. RV storage is costly and by requiring people to store their RV you are wasting land and increasing costs to Calgarians. The current rule is inconvenient and unreasonable.
Yes. RV storage is expensive around calgary and inconvenient
Yes. RV storage is very expensive.
Yes. RV storage lots are expensive and unsafe. If your RV can fit on your driveway and doesn't impact the adjacent sidewalk nor any driver's view of the road then there should be no issues with it. Our city has more than enough bylaws and telling people what they can and cannot put on their own property is an over reach. Someone can leave a broken down,



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

dilapidated car on their driveway to rust in perpetuity without issue so why cannot an RV owner park their unit on there during the summer?
Yes. RV yards are expensive and so long as the whole unit is on the driveway and not encroaching on a sidewalk or sticking out into the road, it should be fine.
Yes. RVs of a certain size should be allowed. Many pickup trucks and SUVs are larger than a small trailer
Yes. Same as above.
Yes. Save money and convenience
Yes. Save us money .
Yes. Saves costs, encourages outdoor activities, majority of impact on private property, less farm/ranch land sterilized for parking.
Yes. Saves families time and money.
Yes. Saves gas having to go back and forth to tv storage.
Yes. Saves on storage costs, fuel travelling back and forth to storage facilities, improves security and increases odds people will go camping and be healthier, happier humans.
Yes. Saves time and money involved with transportation to and from off site storage.
Yes. Saves time and money when having to drive our motorhome to and from RV parking (gas is expensive and so is parking) when using it frequently throughout the camping season.
Yes. Seasonal as long as it doesn't impact the sidewalk. It doesn't need to be there in the winter for aesthetics but during the summer it would be fine.
Yes. Seasonal driveway parking is convenient for people who may not have their RV storage location close to the residence. It can reduce the number of RV vehicles on City roadways during peak travel times. I think as long as the RV is in good condition (can be moved upon request), sees regular use throughout the season, owner can provide proof of insurance upon request, and does not pose a safety hazard then seasonal parking should be permitted.
Yes. Seasonal driveway parking is exactly that. Terms must be clear, with a specific date range. In addition it's not the street in front of your house, it is limited to driveway.
Yes. Seasonal driveway parking should be allowed from May until September. Our driveway has security cameras. The cost of storing a motor home is very high and also inconvenient.
Yes. Seasonal is fine, full time - year long is not. I.e: May - Sept would be reasonable, but winterized unit storage should not be allowed from Oct - April
Yes. Seasonal parking for RV's on residential front driveways is a good idea because it allows people that work to be able to use their RV's on the weekend. This encourages people to be more active and increases mental health. The current bylaw makes it very difficult for anyone who is not retired to use their RV for more than long vacations, and even then, extra vacation days need to be used for loading and unloading the vehicle before and after the vacation. This is not practical.
Yes. Seasonal parking should not have any limits, as long as the RV doesn't block the sidewalk or road. It's my private property and so long as I'm respectful, it shouldn't be banned.
There could be a size limit considered though. Some people have very large trailers which might be overimposing on the neighbourhood.
Yes. Seasonal storage can be very cost prohibitive and inconvenient.
Yes. Seasonal time frame should be May 1- Oct 31.
Yes. Security and ease of maintenance/repair.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Security and environmental impact being the reasons. It costs people thousands of dollar in fuel and storage fees to take them outside of town every week. That is a huge environmental benefit.
Yes. Seems crazy that eye sore vehicles are allowed to be parked on driveways for extended periods and most camping trailers are visually more appealing
Yes. Several reasons: parked on the driveway is less of a safety concern or parking concern than parked on the road. when you have an RV that can fit in a front driveway the cost of storage during summer months. The fuel cost/environmental impact of driving it back and forth weekly during summer months. Convenience. Enough time to pack, unload, clean RV.
The only concern I have is when the RV extends onto the sidewalk or roadway, or just barely fits in the driveway and/or would impede the view at an intersection or on a hill.
Yes. Short duration between camping trips makes it very inconvenient to return our trailer to the storage lot. It fits on the driveway, doesn't impede traffic or people's ability to use the sidewalk. It's also more secure on our property.
Yes. Should be able to park on our own driveway all year. We also have a trailer for ice fishing that is used during the winter months.
Yes. Since many people are working before and after trips utilizing their RV the 36 hour time period does not allow ample time for cleaning, packing/unpacking and then returning RV to storage facility after use. When using your RV on consecutive weekends, the ability to store your RV on your driveway would eliminate the need to transfer your RV to and from storage.
Yes. Smaller RVs, especially class B camper vans are often used as general use vehicles. They may only get used once or twice a week, but can be a "second vehicle" and not move very often. Also, many properties in hilly neighbourhoods don't have vehicular access to the rear of the home. This means, that an RV has be stored all year round, meaning more cost for storage. Having to drive out to the storage facility, then drive home both vehicles, just to go on a weekend camping trip is incredibly onerous.
Yes. So I won't have to park on street. If I can park a 3500HD truck then I should be able to park travel trailer.
Yes. So long as it does not encroach on the sidewalk or roadway
Yes. So long as the trailer is not a permanent fixture someone is living in permanently. You should be allowed to store an RV on your driveway so long as it does not extend the length of the driveway. It would have been a convince to have it located on the driveway during covid for isolation purposes. Really what difference is it to having a vehicle parked on the driveway?
Yes. So long as there is no unmitigateable safety hazard to the public and the trailer is on the property of the owner, Parking should be allowed at any time of year for any amount of time.
Yes. So many of my neighbors have a motor home and they park year round why not allow other RV'S
Yes. Society cannot police what is aesthetically pleasing. There are numerous unsightly objects that people own, other than RV's, that are allowed on driveways. Namely dirty ugly garbage, recycling and compost bins, old rusty cars and trucks, kids toys, trailers, etc. It is unfair to select RV's. People leave all kinds of crappy stuff on their driveways, not just vehicles. This neighbourhood has no alleys, nor any room in the side for additional parking, therefore there is only driveway parking.
Yes. Some areas do not have backyard parking available.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Some families camp as their only means to vacation. It's not affordable for many to fly to or take larger vacations in hotel stays. Seasonal parking will help these families
Yes. Some homeowners leave dismantled/un-driveable vehicles in their driveway which is much worse visually than a RV. The convenience and security of parking it in a driveway at your home versus paying for RV storage at outrageous prices is far better. Especially in this economic climate.
Yes. some rv owners parked their rv in front because they have another schedule camping on next following days, it is east for them to prepare things.
Yes. Some RV's fir perfectly on a driveway without being sight hazards. It can be difficult for owners to be able to load/unload RVs within a short period of time. A lot of RV owners don't have or can't afford a paid RV parking area year round. It can also be more inconvenient if they have to store it in friends/family acreage etc to go pick it up every time they want to use it. Also uses more gas and pollution to the air when they do.
Yes. Some RVs are smaller than personal vehicles. As long as a sidewalk is not blocked, and the RV is 100% on personal property, there should be no bylaw.
Yes. Some times your camping trips are only days apart. It's been a pain knowing you have to take it back to the storage facility for a day or two between trips.
Yes. Sometimes need more than 36 hours, especially to complete minor repairs which cannot be done in a storage facility where this is no power or water available.
Yes. Storage costs are high and most facilities have poor security.
yes. storage fees are too much
Yes. Storage fees for RVs is expensive. RVs, when they are following the above rules, are not hurting anybody.
Yes. Storage is both expensive and inconvenient. Also people have many thousands of dollars tied up in their RVs. Parked at home would encourage people to use their RVs more often.
Yes. Storage is costly for year round service and easy access is important during the camping season.
Yes. Storage is expensive and the security is a concern. I find the green/blu/black bins more unsightly than rvs. I think size matters also. No obstructing sidewalks for example.
Yes. Storage lots are often far from home. I needed to clean mine, Parked it out front and then woke up with Covid - obviously neighbours called the city asap. Hands were tied. If you have room to safely park it on property while it is the summer it should 100% be allowed!
Yes. Storing my recreational vehicle at a storage facility during the winter makes sense. Seasonal parking in front driveways and parking stalls is a great idea! Property parking during the season when it is used continuously during the summer months would be convenient and appropriate. The 36 hour limit is difficult to abide by every time and not a sensible request of all RV owners.
Yes. Summers are short in YYC. Why not
Yes. Summers are short. Storage and retrieving trailers is time consuming.
Yes. Supporting family activities such as camping is great for mental health, family enjoyment and enjoying all the Alberta has to offer. It would be nice that Calgary's bylaws are similar to other cities. RVs can be expensive and thus being able to maintain and manage ones RV will allow better sustainability of the RV investment.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Tax payers should be allowed to park on their own private property no matter where. You could however set a distance that it must be from the street ie: 5 or 10 feet.
Yes. That would be a large convenience. Storage away from my property is generally quite far and makes it difficult to retrieve and store my trailer if I would like to go camping on a general 2 day weekend.
Yes. The benefits above our weight the costs and the front driveway is still the owners property.
Yes. The benefits of having the convince to just load up & go would be great. Wouldn't have to worry about break ins in storage/ storage fees. If you have a long enough driveway, park it there all yr round. Our neighbours felt safer when ours was parked there. No one could jump the fence to get into their backyard. Adds privacy into our yards as well.
Yes. The city allows homeless people to live on side streets and set up homeless camps but will not allow owners to park on they're own property
Yes. The city has an abundance of overreaching rules that do not reduce harm in any fashion. It should not be within the cities control who can park what on their own residence.
Yes. The city needs to stay out of people's business
Yes. The city needs to stop being big brother
Yes. The convenience and freedom of being able to pack anytime, freedom to do last minute "lets just go", time for DIY repairs and maintenance. I do not find it unneighbourly for others to have RVs on their driveway, even before we bought our first RV. The freedom for Calgarians use their property (within reason) as they choose. Seasonal use works in other jurisdictions. I also don't think grumpy, finicky, or complaining neighbours should cause issues for the rest of us.
Yes. The convenience encourages more outdoor activities and greater health and wellness for our city.
Yes. The convenience factor far outweighs inconvenience. Who is looking across the sight line always??? That is a painful thing to do. When trees block the sight line there's no issue so why this.
Yes. The convenience of having the ability to park an RV at home for repairs, cleaning and reduced storage costs is essential for some families.
Yes. The convenience of having your RV at your property for cleaning, light maintenance, loading and unloading is a tremendous benefit. Additionally, the cost of storage lots is becoming increasingly more expensive. Being able to keep your RV in your driveway seasonally would greatly help with that.
Yes. The convenience of having a couple extra days to clean and repack for the next trip would be so beneficial. Storage lots can be a long ways away from homes and requires unnecessary driving.
Yes. The cost and availability of storage is astronomical. Saves families time, money and uses less fuel to stor at there home
Yes. The cost of a trailer, camping, the park pass, cost to travel is no longer cheap. It's an expense on its own. If you have the space to park a trailer on your lot why not utilize it and save Calgarians money. Camping has turned into a "rich" persons hobby. Which truly is such a shame. Having to pay to store it is just all the most a cost.
Yes. The cost of parking them and the inconvenience of picking them up and dropping them off with every trip makes it hard to go out. The chances of damage whether man-made or animal is high in storage lots. Neighbour's who call as soon as you park it to unload or load



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

makes it stressful. With the high cost of living it makes it hard for families to take their kids out and make memories when you need to worry about storage and transporting.
Yes. The cost of storage is overwhelming. The shortage of convenient storage space is ridiculous.
Yes. The cost saving of not having to store it is nice. Honestly the big one for me is the security of knowing it's on your property and you know if bad weather has affected it, knowing that it hasn't been vandalized, being able to keep an eye on a large financial purchase always give me piece of mind
Yes. The cost to park your trailer elsewhere is expensive and damaging. We own our homes, we should have the right to park our trailers on our property.
Yes. The current 36 hour limit is not enough to properly maintain an RV and other options for parking an RV for this reason are limited.
Yes. The driveway and/or parking pad is my private property. As long as I am causing no harm to others, the city has no business regulating what I have on my property as far as parked vehicles go. Most complaints (I have had them) are from people that do not like the "look" in the neighborhood.
Yes. The driveway is private property. Having the trailer in the driveway provides for accessibility for our limits getaway times.
Yes. The existing bylaws are too restrictive, rather impractical for RV owners, and go against the spirit of property owners exercising their property rights. Compared to other large cities in BC that I'm familiar with, Calgary's policy is draconian and screams of NIMBYism.
Yes. The government should have no right to tell us what we can or cannot park on PRIVATE PROPERTY
Yes. The limit makes it difficult at times to manage our trailer between trips.
Yes. The limited time allowed does not allow most people to maintain or trip plan.
Yes. The motorhome is not longer than the driveway and not blocking the sidewalk.
Yes. The needs of RV owners are as important as those that complain about RVs
Yes. The previous rules are ludicrous.
Yes. The primary reason for me is the financial benefit of storing my tent trailer at another location. This just doesn't include the monthly service charges, but the extra time traveling before and after family trips. We also don't have rear lane access to our backyard so storing our trailer wouldn't not be possible in our backyard or side of house.
Yes. The resident should have the right to park whatever vehicle or vehicle attachment on their own driveway
Yes. The summer season in Calgary is short so rvs would not be on the street all year. 36 hours is not long enough to pack and unpack between weekend trips. It is costly and time consuming to take the rv back and forth to storage during the summer.
Yes. The time and expense to drive back and forth to the storage facility is prohibitive. It is convenient to have easy access for packing and unpacking and allows a few extra hours each weekend to be spent outdoors with family camping.
Yes. Theft it a huge issue. Battery especially but many RV parts are valued by thieves. The more public place makes a tougher for them. On top of that out right convenience. When such a huge portion of the population on an RV with some type, why punish them.
Yes. Their driveway, their right to use if within bylaw requirements.
Yes. Then doesn't block the road, it encourages people to get out more & parking for them is very expensive making it unaffordable for some families.
Yes. There ain't anywhere else to park it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. There are many times when it's not reasonable to have to haul the trailer all the way back to the lot just for a night. Fuel prices for hauling are more expensive now as well as storage lots. If we have work to do on the trailer it is almost impossible to do when it's at the lot vs at the house where the tools are, etc
Yes. There are not enough neighborhoods with back yard access.
Yes. There are so many Calgarians that go camping throughout the summer, forcing people to find alternative storage is ridiculous. My family has made space in the garage so it is never out front. But people should be allowed this. The front driveway is considered our own private property, Calgarians should be allowed to store their recreational vehicles there is they so wish.
Yes. There are times when you are only home for a few days between trips and it allows you time to unload and reload in a safe manner off of the street
Yes. There are times where you would like access to the rv for more than 36 hours. Example, renovating the interior on evenings after work. Being forced to move it back to storage every couple days is limiting, especially if more in depth work is being done. It is also just more convenient to be able to "pick up and go" if it is readily available instead of having to go pick it up from storage, then pack, then leave.
Yes. There have been numerous break ins of RV's when parked in various locations. Having it located in front of your own residence would allow you monitor your RV. Also gives you the ability to do any maintenance or cleaning required before and after any trip.
Yes. There is a need to clean, load and unload the camping gear and turn on refrigeration to get to required temperature for storing food that takes over 24 hours, add water in the tanks, getting ready for trips while working regular shifts would just take too long and is becoming stressful to get all done on time.
Yes. There is limited rv storage within the city so the convenience for owners is great. I do not see an issue with esthetic or obstructed sightlines.
Yes. There is little difference from many houses that park trucks with toppers on driveways. A Crew Cab truck fills up many driveways in a very similar way, with similar obstruction as a trailer. Yet there is no debate about this practice, though many houses do it.
Yes. There is no reason to restrict what is parked in a private driveway.
Yes. There should be no restriction on front or rear driveway parking as that is the homeowners private property that they pay property taxes for.
Yes. There should be no time limit on RV parking on personal driveway as long as it fits. Time may be placed on city street parking.
Yes. There would be greatly reduced carbon emissions from not having the back and forth travel from storage to home every week. And we all know the Mayor has declared a Climate Emergency. There should also be NO FEES associated with this.
Yes. They are part of the season pattern of use. It is inconvenient and expensive to move back and forth to a separate parking lot
Yes. This allows for easier access for families and working individuals to use their vehicles more frequently
Yes. This allows the ease for owners to use the RVs supporting a healthy lifestyle. Seasonal front driveway parking really make sense. How about just one RV per house and ensure nothing goes onto walkways?
Yes. This is a benefit to peoples lifestyles. This would encourage people to get out and enjoy the outdoors and make it much easier to do it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. This is my property that I pay astronomical taxes on, I should be allowed to park my property that I paid for with taxed money on my taxed property driveway.
Yes. This is NOT a safety concern. People should be able to enjoy the use of their own property!
Yes. This makes it extremely convenient for families. Summers can be busy and stressful so having the convenience of your RV on your private driveway is extremely helpful and will improve stress.
Yes. This provides time and ease of maintenance, cleaning and loading and unloading. Also it allows the owners flexibility to pick it up from storage during the work week to get it ready for your next trip.
Yes. This seems not only fair but useful for residents.
Yes. This will clear up space on the road for parking, etc. and if it is on your own property there shouldn't be a problem.
Yes. This would be a huge convenience as we camp every second weekend. 36 hours is very limited to pick up and pack a trailer especially for working families.
Yes. This would eliminate the need to drive back and forth to storage lots which is a waste of gasoline and adds to unneeded hydrocarbon emissions.
Yes. This would save time, gas and storage fees for the season, storing an RV that you use frequently during the camping season can be costly and the extra travel is not ideal. Ease of being able to hook up and go.
Yes. This would save us thousands of dollars per year and the time it takes to drive the trailer to and from storage. We also have a problem with mice at rural storage sites, so having the RV on our driveway would save us a lot of cleanup.
Yes. Though I don't feel for an entire season is reasonable. Perhaps limited to 7 days.
Yes. To allow home owners to park items they own on their own property driveway just makes good sense. My boat needs to be plugged in after every use. This makes it much easier than at a parking facility.
Yes. To me it is no different than parking a truck or car in the driveway full time.
Yes. To save fuel as the storage sites are so far away and when the unit is used it necessitates more fuel costs and harms the environment
Yes. Towing of vehicle every time it was use is unsafe, not economical, unfair to a family who'd only wish to have this vehicle for recreation, bonding and get together.
Yes. Traditionally it means people are around. People around = security
Yes. Trailers and rv's are not a noise violation and it is the property of the homeowner. Homeowners should have the right to park their property on their property.
Yes. Typically these vehicles are used many times during the summer and having to take them back to storage every week is very time consuming and costly. The RV's are on personal property and not impeding the city or the neighbours traffic flow.
Yes. Understanding that it's environmentally friendly to Not drive back and forth to store the units that could fit on a driveway.
Yes. Vehicles left on the road are a far bigger visibility obstacle than on a drive.
Yes. vehicles parked on the road between homes create more challenges. An Rv parked on a driveway is no different than a pick up truck
Yes. Vehicles within the length of a private driveway should be allowed to be parked without restriction
Yes. Very helpful to people who are Packing or unpacking for trips.
Yes. Very tedious to move.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. We abide by the 36 hour rule however it would be nice to see that rule a bit more flexible in length. There are times when we would like to be doing extra yard work on the property with the trailer parked on the front street.
Yes. We camp a lot during the summer. Being able to clean and pack our RV without rushing from our home is important to us.
Yes. We camp mid week for 2-4 overnights every week from April until October. I can park our unit on our driveway with 4' clearance to the city sidewalk. It is far enough from the street that no sight lines for traffic are obscured. I have out of city storage for it over the fall and winter but prefer to have it near home so I can clean and work in it until we leave again in another 3-4 days.
Yes. We camp often in the summer and it would be easier to seasonally stir the trailer at home. We have storage for the winter or any extended period of time in the camping season.
Yes. We camp often in the summer. Leaving the trailer on the driveway means fewer trips in and out of the neighborhood towing the trailer. 36 hours is not a reasonable period of time to remove the trailer when many campers arrive home Sunday night and may not be able to complete all the cleaning and reloading to remove it by the next evening.
Yes. We could go camping more often if don't have to unload all stuff - bedding, kitchen stuff, sport inventory. Other cars are parked on driveway, why RV cant
Yes. We currently pay for month to month storage of our trailer year round, however, the useable season for trips and repairs on the trailer is extremely limited. Add to that a shift work schedule and the convenience of being able to hook up and leave to go camping or make repairs/upgrades to our travel trailer without having to make a 35min drive is definitely the way to go. I do think that it does become unsightly for extremely long periods of time, but I support having them parked on driveways in the summer.
Yes. We go camping almost every weekend through the late Spring all the way to the end of September. It is a huge inconvenience to unpack the whole trailer every week when we are leaving again a few days later. We are not comfortable leaving things in our trailer due to the huge amount of theft at storage lots.
Yes. We have a new larger trailer
Yes. We have a short camping season and it should be spent enjoying the season and not running back and forth to storage in between weekend trips.
Yes. We have a small airstream and would like to be able to keep it close to home especially but not restricted to the period between Spring and Fall for convenience and cost saving.
Yes. We have a tent trailer and have no where to park it during the summer.
Yes. We have a trailer and with our busy schedules, the few weekends we are able to go out each year are precious. Having a shorter time limit on being able to prepare our trailer and pack is inconvenient and we often make several trips back and forth to the storage lot. Any family that has an RV / boat of a reasonable size would benefit from the seasonal option. Plus, it's better for the environment (less trips to the storage lot) and would help citizens save on gas.
Yes. We have exceeded the 36 hours up to two or three weeks for convenience or logistics. We have a place outside the city but it needs to be in town for repairs, loading, or simply the timing between hauling it back and going in a local trip or job. We know our neighbours would complain if they had issue and so try not to abuse it. We have decent sight lines for safety and our 12 ft huge hedge row hides the rv from many angles.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. We have family that comes from out of province for 1-2 weeks every summer. Please allow front parking as it enables us to host them.
Yes. We have had situations where we have needed to make repairs to our trailer and it is extremely inconvenient to not be able to. Sometimes 36 hours is not enough time.
Yes. We have lived in a house with no side or back parking for our rv. It's is helpful, considerate and practical to allow this.
Yes. We have to pay so much money for storage and take another hour to get our tent trailer from storage. We have a small toddler and this really adds up.
Yes. We like to park our trailer on our driveway before we leave for camping as it provides us with the convenience of packing close to home. We have a one year old and bringing him back and forth to our storage yard to pack for camping is inconvenient and time consuming.
Yes. We may be biased because we own a trailer, however, as a family we do not judge anyone who parks an RV in their driveway as the RV is a source of family fun. This is something that we feel is important. As long as the RV is not in disrepair, or encroaching on city property or adjacent properties we feel there is no issue.
Yes. We often camp on consecutive weekends which means dropping the trailer off to storage on monday and picking up thursday to prep (more than 36 hours). Being able to keep the trailer at home during those times saves time, petrol, and reduces traffic. Much of our neighbourhood does not have front driveways, however, so it is important to keep the option for on street parking for prepping or maintenance, even if it is still a limited time period.
Yes. We pay huge fees for storage to the point that we are considering selling our trailer although our kids love it and we get quality family time away in it. This would drastically cut back the costs of ownership.
Yes. We pay taxes on the property and should be permitted to park recreational vehicles on that same property.
Yes. We should be able to park all of our vehicles in front or behind our houses. As long as it isn't obstructing anything, there shouldn't be an issue
Yes. We use our trailers frequently and often with only 5-10 days in-between taking it back out again. The current allowance of only 36 hours make it a huge inconvenience for these short turn around trips. Technically we would have to come home, unload and clean out the vehicle in a short amount of time, then find the time to tow it back to storage, only to have to find the time to retrieve it from storage, bring it back to the home for a reload before leaving again, all while working full time jobs and full time family commitments. Added fuel costs, and time is not something taken lightly these days. We use our RV's as an escape and at the moment the short window for parking is a hindrance.
Yes. We use ours every weekend and don't shears have time to clean and unload our first night home. Then fill water, cool fridge And reload before heading out takes longer than 36hrs when working full time. Plus if any repairs between trips need to be made.
Yes. We want to use our travel trailer more often, but having to go pick it up only to return it a few days later is frustrating. I would be able to get it ready while my husband is at work, and then we could leave immediately when he gets home from work on a Friday. Currently we have to go get it, so we can get it ready, then we can leave - this adds over an hour to our departure time. Considering some campgrounds close their gate early, this can complicate our experience.
Yes. We would like to spend more time preparing the trailer for a trip and we may use them in a few weekends in a row. As long as it does not cross onto the sidewalk, we think it should be ok.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. We wouldn't have to pay for storage for ours. We live in an area with a lot of parking available.
Yes. When growing up, it was always a treat to go to a friend's home and sleepover in the trailer... The experiences were more meaningful. As well for those with RV's they are typically going out many times in the spring/summer/fall using their RV. For winter, I store mine in my garage.
Yes. When I need to do repairs or renovations on my trailer, like painting the inside next spring, that can't be done in 1.5 days. Also allows for more flexibility when doing back to back trips. We may also be doing an interior home renovation next summer. If we can't stay in the house, my family should be able to stay in our trailer parked on our own property. Or having the ability to leave the trailer on the driveway would help when family comes to visit.
Yes. When space is limited to street parking and you can arrange to park your trailer on the driveway and still protrude on the sidewalk and or street I absolutely agree
Yes. When we park our rv on the driveway it does not impede any sidewalk or street. It would alleviate us and many others making countless trips with the rv just to pull it out the next weekend.
Yes. When you are going camping 2 weekends in a row, it is hard to clean take everything out and then take it to storage and then have to go grab it again to pick up for the weekend. With the price of gas being high and probably higher for the summer, it would be nice to extend the time we can have our trailers in the drive way.
Yes. When you are only home for a few days between trips, it's a lot of back and forth driving to the storage. Also, sometimes it needs repairs and can't get them done in a day.
Yes. When you are preparing to go camping having extra days will help fit people's schedules much better. Having a trailer on a driveway does not impact your neighbours. Just because a neighbour doesn't camp or like trailers shouldn't impact if you enjoy it and shouldn't have to constantly be justifying it.
Yes. When you get back from a trip, and are going out the following weekend, it's a complete waste of fuel just because someone doesn't "like" an rv parked there.
Yes. While we pay for storage we specifically bought a trailer that would fit in our driveway. With extended parking time, we wouldn't have to rush to unload to get it back to storage, and could keep it in the driveway when we have two camping trips planned close together. This would save time and be much more convenient.
Yes. Why not.
Yes. Why not. Not hurting anyone but people who complain the littlest thing.
Yes. With size restriction. I feel small trailers should be allowed. Most are smaller than pickup trucks, vans or even smaller than some RV's. If a large van can be parked in a driveway why can't a small trailer. Size should matter; Don't extend the hours permitted put limits on the trailer size.
Yes. With so few houses having access to a parking stall in the back or side of the house, it is very difficult to try and coordinate picking up/ returning a travel trailer to a storage facility every time you want to go camping.
Yes. With the ease to access the mountains, provincial and National parks in Alberta, the use of seasonal parking would be beneficial to a lot of Calgary residents. The current 36 hours time restriction is not enough for a proper turnaround between trips. Most families are out multiple weekends and this would help release the strain felt on households.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. With time constraint and financial burdens on people, having the opportunity to have the RV in your driveway saves both. Our storage is 30min 1 way from our home and essentially uses 2 hours per weekend away. We burn \$65 per hour for fuel which uses \$130 of our budget before we even get to camp. This doesn't even consider the storage costs.
Yes. Would allow for better security of rv and easier maintaining of it.
Yes. Year round should be allowed. Some people like summer and winter camping. If you have the room on your property, why shouldn't you be able to put it on it. You have to drive all the way to where it's stored just to drive it all the back to load up before you can go anywhere and do it all over when you return, waste of fuel and time
Yes. You need it in order to load and unload your Rv. Convenience security cost saving for rental storage
Yes. You need to load up. Water etc. Sometimes you just can't do it in a day. Other times your unloading. Cleaning. Fixing etc.
Yes. You should be able to keep your trailer on your property and use your driveway as you wish.
Yes. You should be able to park any vehicles/trailers on your own driveway.
Yes. You should be able to park your Rv in front of your house during the summer season as long as it is only on your property.
Yes. You should be able to park your vehicles on your own property. The fact that someone don't like it esthetically should not be a factor. I don't like the color of some vehicles, or rusty vehicles, or work vehicles and they are allowed..
Yes. You should be able to park your vehicles on your property. Unsightlyness is a silly argument when you can legally park a bus sized rv in your entire backyard, as my neighbours do. As long as sightlines are not disturbed, and the rv is not being lived in, it should be legal to keep your vehicle on your driveway.
Yes. You should be allowed to park your property anywhere on your property. I can replace the facade of my home to anything I want, parking your vehicles on designated parking spaces is no different.
Yes. Your property you can do what you want.
Yes. I believe we have to consider and respect the fact that the driveways are considered personal property. Considering our economy, we have had record house prices and mortgage rates are on the rise. Many people don't have the disposable income to also afford the rising rates of storage lots. Camping is healthy and affordable after the initial costs and is a great alternative to \$\$\$ hotels. RVs on a the driveway can also be used to host friends or family to also save \$\$.
Yes. - no real negative side effects - on your property shouldn't be an issue - gives neighbours a means for arbitrary complaints - bylaw officers can be better utilized on more important issues.
Yes. 36 hours is not long enough when doing some maintenance. Waxing an rv is labour intensive! We have struggled to complete in the 36 hours, especially when we have early season complete cleaning to be done. It would be great to be able to park the trailer in driveway if we have camping trips close together - ie a week or two in between. Returning a trailer to storage creates extra work and time.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We, personally, would not use our driveway for seasonal parking, but I understand some people would and have no issue.
Yes. I feel as it is my property I should be able to park my RV on my property year round.
Yes. I have a parking pad in my backyard that I store my trailer on for about 8 months of the year, however getting it parked into the yard is a chore I have to pay someone to do even though my trailer is only 13ft. My 13 ft trailer is as long as some pickup trucks. I think an ugly dually would impede view equally and be more of an eyesore.
Yes. It is YOUR driveway and you should be able to use it for any sort of registered, driveable vehicle. One long motorhome is no more unsightly than two work vans or two large SUVs. We should err towards private property rights while the vehicle remains on private property.  (Yes, I know The City owns the first 3-4 feet adjacent to the road. These vehicles would need to move to allow City maintenance.)
Yes. Our back/side yard is not accessible for parking our trailer due to a poorly placed cable box. Being able to park in the driveway gives us access to pack & unpack the trailer without impacting anyone in the neighbourhood.
Yes. To give us flexibility on any repairs needed. Save travel time and fuel used to take it all the way back and forth from storage.
Yes.. owners should have the right to park their possession on the property that they pay taxes for provided it fits fully on their property
Yes.. I think it is an important part of Alberta culture. Being able to easily access your recreation vehicle during our short season is a big benefit to the user. I agree that storage on the driveway for the entire year is not necessary. I lived in Red Deer and appreciated the opportunity to have my boat on my driveway.
Yes.. seasonal and a reasonable amount of allowed time..36 hrs is way too short of time especially if u need to do maintenance on it at beginning of season or end of.. If it's on your driveway and not in the way of pedestrians for the walk this should not be such an issue in my opinion.. In my mind ones that complain are of course ones that don't have an rv unit of any sort and probably jealous lol.. I believe a "reasonable" amount of time should be allowed
Yes... As long as it's on personal property and in a hard surface... This shouldn't be a huge issue.
Yes... Its ecomical and Moore practical for residents
Yes....I just think the 36 hour thing is not enough time.
yes....I would like to allow seasonal front driveway parking as some areas of the city do not have back alleys to allow access to a rear driveway
Yes....not a problem for us
Yes...they own the property and should be able to park on their driveway
Yes..I think it is a person's property and they should be able to park any vehicle they own there





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes..would be more convenient and a cost saver.....instead of having to travel back and forth to storage ...and save some cost on storage costs....
Yes.I have 4 kid's It's save time and money to park somewhere. Also my Rv ready to go any weekend.
Yes.need to see it at all times since cps does not patrol streets.
Yes.Yiu have the responsibility to maintain parcel as well as setback so you should be allowed to utilize it.
Yes; other cities of similar size allow for this. Due to calgarys spread it is even more inconvenient to only have 36 hours when sometimes people must drive an hour or more to where they store their "rv" during summer when many families make trips every weekend with their rv's. We specifically bought a 3 garage home to park our boat in our driveway and it is frustrating that we can't.
Yes; in the summer we are often camping with family every few days. In-between we park a 21' trailer in the driveway to pack, unpack and do maintenance. It makes no sense to move it to storage for just a 24 hour period to satisfy the bylaw. I would support 2 weeks or even no limit from June - Aug
Yes... it's my property
Yes... many towns do this. It's on your own Property and if you camp regularly, you don't have to unpacks D repack everything in 36 hours.
Yes.....I should be able to Park my licensed insured vehicle in my own property
Yes....as an owner of a small RV that fits on my driveway ..I should be able to park it there like any other vehicle I own...I pay city taxes ..
Yes...access to recreation is an important part of good mental health. Ease of access decreases stress of getting away to the great outdoors. As prescribed by doctors!
Yes...it can be challenging to take the RV to and from the storage yard between weekends. I also don't see why my neighbours can have 3,4 or even 5 cars parked on their driveway but we can not park our RV. If we had the option of side or rear yard parking we would use it, but suburban communities don't typically construct front drive homes with laneways.
Yes-cost savings for the owners
You should be able to park a recreational vehicle anywhere on your property.
You should be allowed to park your RV or boat on your own driveway as long as it doesn't impede the sidewalk.
Your arguments for safety and sightlines can be thrown out by a drive around almost anywhere in the city... You don't enforce these restrictions whatever their cause or whatever their location. Personally I don't care. They look rubbish but people have rights to use their property for their preferred purpose. I prefer a patio and a big garage, some prefer an RV nearby and easily accessible to keep ready for travel. This is another example of the city addressing things that are not important
Your property, your choice
Yup! We live in a quiet culdesac, lots of work utility trailers are parked on the street and in driveways on a daily basis, all year long. Having the opportunity to park our travel trailer would allow us to save time each weekend before and after camping (instead of having to rush to pack it up and get back to storage asap). I don't see the difference between my small travel trailer and my neighbors' big work trailers.
Yup, easy charging, loading and unloading items

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

**QUESTION 4 – Would you support allowing seasonal front driveway parking? Please tell us why or why not?**

## Participants that responded NO they don't own a recreational vehicle

- no.
- esthetics, obstructed sightlines, there is already enough stuff parked in residential areas
"with the condition that the RV is not unsightly (i.e. poor condition)"..who is going to determine this? There should be a requirement that there can be only ONE RV per property! Also, the RV should have to belong to the person living in the home: the driveway cannot be rented out for \$\$ to store someone else's vehicle. For a property with an absentee owner, the tenants should have use of the driveway, not the owner renting it out to someone with a trailer. Neighbours should not have to look at someone else's trailer parked on the driveway next to them: unsightly, especially if it doesn't move for weeks or months. Some landlords already charge extra for their tenants to park onsite.
100 percent. As long as it's tidy, not unsightly(condition wise not colors or cosmetics) and not a hazard. Not encroaching on city property. Other than that those who own their property and pay taxes should have the right to store their property on their property. If it's too large then yes, a proper storage facility would be more appropriate.
100% no some residencial places no spaces and RV is huge and Danger to Park to smalll kids or kids to play outside! Remember some incident i watched in the news that the father parked outside their garage & he didnt see his own kid! And then now you asked for RV no please.
100% no. Most are an eyesore, obstruct views, and make the streets/community look worse. Also, some are so large (self driving RVs) they can be a danger to drivers.
100% no. No one will follow the rules. They'll be on the street, plugged in, blocking sidewalks, draining stuff into the sewers. Plus everyone will leave it on their driveway to save on storage, meaning they'll lose a garage and a driveway parking spot, pushing more vehicles onto street parking. Which is already bad. Already people don't use their garages and then houses have 5 cars each parking on the street. No one else can ever park and the roads are narrow. And people will block alleys
100% support
100%. As long as the vehicle is parked on personal property it should be no one else's concern.
36 hours are more than enough.
36 hours give folks plenty of time to load and unload their trailers. It disrupts my sight lines and especially with kids playing out front of the house. May of these RV'a are not well kept and are an eyesore and that prevents me from enjoying the beauty of the front of my home. It also makes the neighborhood look very junky.
36 hours is enough time, they're unsightly and don't need to be parked in front
36 hours is sufficient
36hrs is efficient amount of time to park your recreational vehicle. Ppl can't be bothered to return their vehicle to the storage lot.
36hrs is sufficient to load and unload a trailers. Trailers should NOT be allowed to be parked/stored in backyards, alleys, or curbside of residential areas beyond 36hrs.
48 hour parking is plenty of time for someone to load or unload an Rv.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

48 on driveway. 24 hr in street
6 to 12 hours seems appropriate
72 hours
72 hours only - eyesore, increase opportunity for crime, increase amt people
A couple days is fine, have no issue...
A flat two week rule is simple and allows enough time for visitors or moving etc to occur then back to a drive way, not a parking lot or extra yard Spence for junk storage
A hard no! They are an eyesore and too big to be in front of a home. It decreases the value of the neighborhood. Obstructs view of neighbors and in my case obstructs entrance to my driveway.
A possible issue is RVs on corner lots blocking vision for drivers attempting to turn, but since most drivers ignore stop signs & don't yield to traffic with RoW, a clear sightline doesn't matter to 95% of Calgary drivers to begin with. Another issue might be parking. With so many illegal suites & renters forced to park on street, if an RV/boat/whatever is in a driveway, it means their vehicle/s is/are on the street limiting parking availability for renters even more. Possible issues aside, it's an unenforced bylaw to begin with, and people do/are going to do what they want regardless of laws anyway. Response to mask mandates proved that.
absolutely not! Turns neighbourhoods into trailer parks. Neighbourhoods look ugly. If a person can afford an rv or trailer then they can afford to pay for storage
Absolutely ,absolutely , absolutely (NOT) safety, safety , traffic flow , aesthetics, (unsightly) . Our neighbour lives across the street parks his company truck and trailer in the front of our house. Not pleasant.
Absolutely do NOT support! Block visibility to others front doors and properties making theft easier, driving visibility issues with traffic, crosswalk visibility, etc. people can use their own backyards and not encroach on others enjoyment of their own properties since more people putting in front patios as well now!
Absolutely I support this. If someone is parking on their driveway, their Property, the city has no business restricting this.
ABSOLUTELY NO I DO NOT SUPPORT seasonal front driveway parking. I wholeheartedly believe that community streets will have obstructed sight lines and roads will not be safe for our children/pedestrians and become even more congested than they already are. Not to mention the esthetics of what our streets will look like. Who wants to look out of their front window and see someone else's RV? Or have restricted views down one's street due to a parked RV. Again I DO NOT SUPPORT THIS!
Absolutely No my neighbour has a front driveway with a car parked on it. As the song goes pave paradise and put up a parking lot. Leave some any landscaping it's the only the only thing that brings us close to nature the last thing we need is more concrete pads and decaying old RVs sitting in our front yards.
Absolutely no, creates a safety issue, view, obstructions, and clutters up the streets, even more than they already are
Absolutely No.
The existing restrictions insure that RVs don't impact the aesthetic of the neighbourhood and costing all of us with decreased property values.
Absolutely NO. -because RV owners abuse the 36 hours now. Not enough man power to enforce. Our culdesac has 2 abusers. They park the boat all summer on the street/camper all winter on the



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

driveway. -Calgary is a white color city, above cities are blue color. -Communities were built with 2 cars per house in mind. Now 3-4 plus vehicles (plus multi generation families). -who defines poor condition? The city will lean in favor of the RV owner. Your adding addition stress to the community.
Absolutely not
Absolutely NOT
Absolutely not
Absolutely not - safety, sight lines and unsightly neighborhoods
Absolutely not , they are an eyesore and depreciate home values . Very dangerous for sight lines , we do not live in the country . Too many complaints and arguments will happen between neighbours , Keep the laws the same .
Absolutely NOT acceptable. They are an eyesore, block driveway views creating safety issues.
Absolutely not due to extremely limited sight lines when getting out of adjacent driveways (we have already experienced this with current neighbors so am well aware of just how limiting the visibility is). It's extremely dangerous! It's also very hard for other drivers passing by on the road to see if someone or something might be about to come into the roadway. Beyond dangerous and should not be allowed. Even the 36hrs is pushing it and should be limited to less.
Absolutely not for the reasons listed above. Obstructed sight lines and. Safety as well as esthetics.
Absolutely NOT support it as RVs are unsightly and lower have values and neighborhoods!
Absolutely Not Recreational vehicles are unsightly in any condition. They block lines of sight for children to play in a neighbourhood and ride bikes on side walks.
Absolutely NOT! The communities would look like a RV parking lot. That's what RV lots are for.
obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
Absolutely not! Visual clutter, attached extension cords, hoses etc. but most of all because it is inevitable that some will be occupied and will add to noise and disturbance.
Absolutely NOT! I live on a street with front drive garages. Every summer, it feels like I'm living in an RV parking lot due to the number of RVs in driveways. The view out my front window is RVs. Increased crime due to blocked views and breakins of RVs. No street parking during the summer. Visitors to my home have to park blocks away. Increased tension among neighbours. If they are allowed to keep the RV longer in the driveway, it may never leave and I'm forced to call bylaw.
Absolutely not! They're a safety concern for pedestrians crossing the road and cars backing out of driveways. They take up valuable parking spaces in front of homes, and they are eyesores that can devalue properties. The statement "with the condition that the RV is not unsightly (i.e. poor condition) ... " is ludicrous. It took months to get junk piled on a neighbor's driveway ticketed and removed by the City. A junky trailer will be moved and returned after a notice is issued.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely not! Too many streets are not wide enough to safely accommodate this. In our neighborhood (Copperfield SE) there are too many trailers that park on the roadway in excess of the 36 hours already. Give an inch they take a mile!
Absolutely not! Attracts crimes of opportunity, significantly reduces on street parking in already limited areas. Eye sores and reduces property value. We didn't buy a home to potentially live in a temporary or permanent trailer park.
Absolutely not! I already see people who go this and it's unsightly, blocks the vision of other neighbours and makes it easier for thieves to skulk around. Not to mention that not all recreational vehicles are in tiptop shape. Back yards are fine. Front driveways should not be considered
Absolutely not! If this were allowed or would only lead to people talking advantage of it and parking hear round. Furthermore it would lead to people renting the vehicles during the summer months. If this is allowed it will make neighbourhood worse. People will take advantage of it and it will lead to problems. People will rent these vehicles out as they will see opportunity for income. Recreational vehicles provide a very poor esthetic and are unsafe as they obstruct neighbours line of sight when exiting driveways. This is not a good idea and would cost the city more money and time as bylaw would be called to investigate.
Absolutely not! In my neighborhood there is a huge problem with all manner of rv's, boats, etc parked in driveways permanently or semi-permanently. Such an unsightly neighborhood. And if a few folks do this then others follow suit. I had a large wake boat parked next door for 4 years. We had many visitors comment on how unattractive our street looked as a result.
Absolutely NOT! It's a eyesore, it blocks sun on gardens and lawns, it's messy and they over hang sidewalks and spaces on the streets, NO no No
Absolutely not! Many of the recreational vehicles listed are very large and block sightlines for drivers on residential roads, or often encroach on sidewalks, creating significant safety hazards. I do not believe the city would be able to enforce the rules enough to prevent these safety hazards. Having recreational vehicles visible is also an invitation for crime. Finally, driveways cluttered up with recreational vehicles take away from the visual appeal of communities.
Absolutely not! The safety of our community should come first. Our children can't been seen, and it would attract more criminals to our neighbourhoods. Also, it looks awful and would bring down the value of our community.
Absolutely not! You cannot see coming traffic when backing out onto a street. If RV is parked ON the street (in front of owners home) you have a field of view when backing out. If the owner needs to leave his property, he needs to move the RV. This would make the owner be efficient when loading or unloading, thereby minimizing problems for adjacent neighbours when trying to get in or out of their properties. 36 hrs is plenty time to pack or unpack. Only food and fresh items need be added.
ABSOLUTELY NOT!!! I owned an RV for years, and paid for off sight storage. I do not want my view blocked (which could also block light impacting landscaping), and I have no faith whatsoever that there will be any effective enforcement of ensuring the RV isn't "unsightly."
ABSOLUTELY NOT!!! Our neighbour has an old rusty 80's vintage motor home and it is an eyesore. He parks it on his gravel driveway, which is immediately adjacent to our front driveway. He carries out NOISY mechanical repairs in the driveway, 10' away from our home, causing fumes to go into our open windows in the summer. It is also a safety issue as we





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

can't see when we pull out of our driveway. It is a blight as it's all we see when we look outdoors from our front living/dining rooms.
Absolutely not!!! Resident will abuse this privilege. The family across the street had people living in their RV and the tenants would urinate in the street. Disgusting!
absolutely not, a large number of communities simple do not have space and people who use their parking space tend to use the limited amount of street parking. They simply move other vehicles on to the street to make room for an RV. Part of the cost of owning a RV is having it legally parked and if you do not have that legal space at your home you have to pay to store it somewhere. Friends that I have who have RV's fully support this
Absolutely not, for the concerns identified above (esthetics, obstructed sight lines, and safety).
Absolutely not, it disrupt the view and panorama mainly in new district. Also it might have an impact on the value of houses depending how old and condition is the RV and hide the view of the mountain for example in some area that is a point sometime when you buy a house. A motorized RV can obstruct the view close to a street corner for example and be the cause of an accident. I think that if you can afford to have an RV you can afford to pay for parking in an appropriate place
Absolutely not, living on a cul-de-sac each house, the front window faces the next door neighbour's driveway and the only thing I can see from my front window is my neighbours RV which he parks there all summer and ignores all of our requests to please store it in a RV storage lot.
Absolutely not, looks terrible and is a big safety hazard.
Absolutely not, soon people will start living in them or renting them out. It's a very bad idea because people will take advantage of this. Also more theft, and it looks bad. People already have multiple vehicles parked, it's a definite no from me .
Absolutely not, the streets are already overcrowded with multi car households and this would make it worse
Absolutely not, they are a complete eyesore. If you own a vehicles they need to be stored at storage facilities.
Absolutely NOT, they are unsightly, obstruct views, limit the sight lines for drivers, pedestrians and Infringe on sidewalks
Absolutely NOT, we have RV owners beside and across the street from our home. Even when they park in their driveway, it is mainly a safety hazard through obstruction of views and puts all of the drivers and mainly the kids safety at risk. Safety of our community roads more than overrides convenience , cost effectiveness and sense of security. These benefits are "nice to haves" and no one's safety can be put at risk by these benefits. RVs are also unsightly in any community. Their presence devalues the community by their mere presence. I do not want to live in a community that feels like a trailer park for most of the year.
Absolutely not. It only benefits those rich enough to own two homes If they can afford the cost of RV, the vehicle to pull it, the gas and the camping fees, they can afford to rent a space to store it. 36 hours is lots of time to load and unload. There will be communities where parking becomes an issue. people will complain about not being able to park in front of their own homes. The argument that it is their land and they should be to do as they please is selfish and entitled!
Absolutely not. If people own RVs, they can arrange for (and afford) proper parking elsewhere or at the back of their property. It should not have to be at the unfair detriment of their neighbors. It would





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

look terrible to have a neighborhood full of RVs on the driveways or streets. Blocks the sunlight from people's yards, blocks the view, it would be frustrating to live beside. Unfortunately courtesy does not exist anymore and in this "me" world. Bylaws are so important.
Absolutely not. My neighbours across the street park their rusty 50 year old RV in front of my livingroom window for 2 days before and after every long weekend - it's not in front of their living room window. It will completely destroy any joy I get from looking out my window in the summer.
Absolutely not. They do obstruct sight lines and will be used more often to operate businesses and/or provide extra accommodations (occupants in the RVs).
Absolutely not. Exactly for the reasons you listed above as "known impacts". Travel trailers are more likely to be broken into and I do not want this additional open invite for criminals to cruise and creep around. Larger trailers overhang onto sidewalks making it necessary to step onto the street-utterly unacceptable. Sure it is convenient for the owner of said RVs but not for those who live beside them. When backing my vehicle out of my garage I cannot see through the trailer and must frustratingly back myself slowly practically into the street before I can safely see oncoming traffic. I do not support increasing the duration of time one can park their travel trailer on a driveway
Absolutely not. I used to frequent Cranbrook BC before they had a law regarding parking RVS . It was dangerous you couldn't even back out of your driveway because of visibility. I live in a wealthy neighborhood where everybody has an RV of some sort. This would be awful.
Absolutely not. It lessens the curb appeal of the overall neighbourhood. Hitches often protrude into the street or sidewalk. I did not buy a house in the area I did to live in a trailer park
Absolutely not. My neighbors don't abide by existing RV bylaws and their commercial vehicles are parked all over the street as it is. IF they were allowed to park in their driveway, their trailer would completely obstruct their neighbor's kitchen window for the duration. And they would take up street parking with their many vehicles. They use their trailer twice a summer. It does not need to be on their property all summer.
Absolutely not. RVs are an eyesore impacting the look of a neighbourhood. They are typically very large and often hang over driveways blocking sidewalks and creating a safety issue. With the cities support of front drive garages, the time of RV parking in residential areas has long passed.
Absolutely not. Street parking is limited on my street and competing with an RV with an extended stay would not work. Additionally, as the existing bylaw is in place for aesthetics, I agree with those reasons for the bylaw.
I don't understand why anyone would need more than 48 hours (two full days) to pack their recreational vehicle. If they can afford the recreational vehicle, they should be able to afford proper storage and plan the time required to get it to and from storage.
Absolutely not. These units are typically used only a handful of times throughout the year. I do not want to look at it 24/7. If you own one you should have to store it accordingly. You are concerned about City property encroachment....what about mine?
Absolutely not. This will have a greater impact on mid to lower income neighborhoods as these types of vehicles are more widely used. So, instead of the odd house having an RV, it would/could be more than 50% of the street or more, which is unsightly, causes safety



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

concerns, limits sightlines and increases theft and or vandalism due to now hidden areas, etc. Some neighborhoods may have plenty of space to park an RV, while others do not. For instance, houses that only have single vehicle driveways, or areas where the driveway pad butts right up against a neighbor's house and have no easements between them (i.e. DeerRidge, DeerRun, Queensland, Lynnwood, etc.) do not.

Absolutely not. Two years ago two neighbors parked RVs in their front driveways for extended periods. We wanted to be good neighbors and be flexible so we did not complain. The RVs were not road worthy and made the area look like a junk yard. One was eventually hauled away on a flat bed. One member of other neighbor's family started living in the RV. A complaint was finally sent to bylaw after raw sewage was dumped onto the street. In addition, our street only has sidewalks on one side and is a walk route to school for the neighborhood elementary school children. It's already difficult to see them crossing the road with cars and trucks. RVs will only increase the danger.

Absolutely not. We have had problems with neighbors parking "just for a bit" on the sidewalk as well as garage pad, on their drive for extended periods, off and on again within an hour for a renewed 36 hours etc. Please don't give people license to inconvenience their neighbors even further.

Absolutely NOT.

Bylaws are abused to begin with let alone extending it and then it not being monitored except by neighbours who have to report things.

Absolutely not.

Calgary is a much bigger city than all of those cities that were scanned (exception of Edmonton) and so the scan is relatively ineffective for providing a reasonable benchmark or comparison. A significant population of Calgary residents have RV's and if this rule was relaxed then our communities would be littered with RVs in alleys and driveways. If people choose to own an RV they have to accept that storage may be a part of the budget they need to consider when purchasing - it should not come at the cost of other community members (in terms of esthetics and safety) so that RV owners have more convenience and save some money.

Absolutely not. A cost of RV ownership is having proper storage. People already abuse the bylaw. They block sightlines, people use and live in them on their property and they generally make a neighborhood feel junky. Same goes for boats and atvs. If you want to live like you're on a farm move to a farm

Absolutely not. A number of local residents abuse the current bylaw, e.g. parking on the street for weeks on end. This should NOT be encouraged.

Absolutely not. Aesthetics, sight lines and just plain annoying. Owning an RV is a choice, one that includes planning for storage.

Absolutely not. As it is currently, people do not obey the bylaw as it is written. If you want to own an RV then you need to allow for offsite storage on an annual basis. We do not want our community to become a 'mobile park' for extended periods during the RV season. On a safety note, people already do not look when backing out of their driveway.....with an RV parked in the driveway they will have even less reaction time in seeing oncoming traffic.

Absolutely not. Go to chestemere, it looks so trashy. Every other house has one. All you see as you drive down the street are ugly trailers every day.

Absolutely not. I did not purchase a single family home to live in a RV trailer park. I want our city to look well kept and it also creates a hazardous situation when vehicles are exiting our



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

frontdrive garages and the views are obstructed for all parties involved. Also very dangerous for children.
Absolutely not. I pay taxes and I do not want my neighborhood to look like a parking lot. If they can afford an RV then they can afford to park it somewhere in a farmers open field storage.
Absolutely not. I think it is an eyesore in the community we live in. Also our neighbors 5th wheel obstructs the south facing sun! I think if you have the money to own an RV, you should have the common courtesy to have a proper storage facility. A lot of Calgarians who own RV's want to save monthly fees for an offsite RV storage and park their RV in front of their driveway. Not only it is an eyesore, it becomes a safety issue when driving as it creates a distraction to the driver as you wonder.
Absolutely not. I'm getting tired of seeing RV's parked for long stretches in my area. They are unsightly, force people to park their cars on the street providing even further mess. As well, I often see RV's parked with their staircases up to the vehicle, in such a way as to block the sidewalk, and/or provide a tripping hazard when being cleaned, packed, or charged.
We love in residential neighbourhood for permanent homes. We do not live in trailer parks. If we wanted to, we would move to one.
Absolutely not. If people can afford an RV, they should be able to rent a space in a proper RV storage facility and not use a public road or even a front garage as a parking.
Absolutely not. If someone can afford an RV they can afford storage. We ended up selling our house because a neighbour parked his trailer in front of our house every summer despite the 36 hour limit (which was never enforced). Aesthetically it m
Absolutely not. If you allow it, people will abuse it.
Absolutely not. It detracts from the esthetics of the neighborhood and impedes views. It also could encourage people to live in RVs parked on front driveways. If someone owns an RV, they should be responsible for either having garage/back lane storage or third party storage for it - neighbors should not be subjected to these eyesores for 3/4 of the year.
Absolutely not. It doesn't matter if the rv is "unsightly" or "nice" when it has to do with safety (obstructed sightlines) and again takes up parking on the street for residents and visitors
Absolutely not. It is an absolute eyesore, leading to reduced visibility, as well as unknown occupants living inside of them.
Absolutely not. It is hideously tacky, they are huge, resulting in visibility issues. Kids playing in the front yard running out to chase a ball would never be seen. Suddenly every other driveway is a hidden driveway while people without trailers are trying to pull out without any visibility. It's a minor point, but if someone's massive trailer is in their driveway, it might be shading someone else's Summer garden
Absolutely not. It is not an RV park it's a neighborhood we have cars we need parked on the road if we have visitors and we never have room 6 houses surrounding have RVs I hate them in the street
Absolutely not. It is unsightly, blocks the view, and means that more cars will be packed on the already very full street.
Absolutely not. It will destroy curb appeal of neighborhoods
Absolutely not. It's a huge eye sore that the neighbours have too look at.
Absolutely NOT. Large vehicles are hard to see around, that is a danger to kids playing in the neighborhood. Many of these vehicles are old, and ugly, would be a huge eyesore all up and

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

down the streets. I am also positive!!! That friends and relatives will be living in these campers, trailers and Motet hones all summer long, positive!! To allow street parking for all the above vehicles is a very very bad idea.
Absolutely not. Many of these trailers create line of sight issues look junky, overhang the sidewalks. And generally cheapen the cosmetics of a community. Many of these travel travel should be condemned. They absolutely should not be left in peoples front driveways. They should be required to be in the backyard surrounded by a fence at a minimum.
Absolutely not. Many people have very large RVs which completely obstruct views, create shade, and also provide opportunities for increased crime.
Absolutely not. Most people that have garages already park vehicles on driveway because garage is full of crap. This will lead to more cars being moved onto roadways. This result, along with big RV's in driveways will lead to safety issues with kids playing on the street, riding bikes on sidewalks , etc. No/limited visibility In newer areas the clearance between houses is so small that RV"S will be right up to your property line. Not a pretty sight. As well, people that own a \$40,000 RV can have the curtesy and spend a couple of hundred dollars a year to park it in a lot. Neighbours will be at "war" with each other. Doesn't council have bigger issues to deal with than this? My goodness.
Absolutely not. My neighbour would surely park it in front of my house and I would have no recourse.
Absolutely not. Not all areas are created equally. New areas people can barley park their cars and most front drive homes on a corner block the intersection. Can't see pedestrian or cars.
Absolutely not. Not only can it be an eyesore, but you will have people who will use them for storage, piling things on top of or around them. You get neighbourhood children climbing all over them or darting out from behind them and not watching for vehicles (who may not be able to see the children).
Absolutely not. Not only do the RV's lessen the curb appeal of the neighbourhood, they pose a very large risk to children. The RV's block line of sight and are massive compared to passenger vehicles like trucks and SUV's. With the high number of children in the neighbourhood, this adds additional risk when they are on outside. It is also a risk for vehicle collisions.
Absolutely not. Not only would it decrease safety and line of vision while driving, it would look terrible and diminish the aesthetic of the community.
Absolutely NOT. Over abundance of RVs is unsightly in communities, there are electrical cords running across sidewalks causing hazards, RVs occasionally have slides which (when opened) infringe on neighbours' properties, they cause significant shadows on adjacent properties due to height, significant safety issue when you cannot see around them when reversing from an adjacent driveway, zoning issues when the RV is used to house guests or host AirBnbs, issues when hitch exceeds length of driveway
Absolutely not. People would end up living in them, or they would use up street parking to accommodate parking their RV's on their driveways.
Absolutely not. RVs parked in residential neighbourhoods, whether on the street or in a driveway, are an eyesore for the entire community. More importantly, they are a serious traffic hazard by restricting visibility for drivers, cyclists and pedestrians. Our neighbour has a large RV, and when it is parked in front of their house it is nearly impossible for us to see down the street to safely exit our driveway. The 36-hour parking allowance is already too generous and should NOT be expanded.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely NOT. Safety is a concern. Having RV's parked on urban neighborhoods causes concern for drivers and children not being able to pedestrians. Also, Calgary has a very high addiction, mental health and crime crisis in URBAN neighborhoods that city council refuses to take any steps to improve the situation. Having these vehicles would only add to these issues. Also last but not least, enough with junking up our urban streets! Over the last five years our city has gone downhill.
Absolutely not. Sight lines, esthetics and safety
Absolutely not. Sounds like the City doesn't want to enforce what's already there. Neighbours don't abide law now and have sleepovers in their RVs. what an eyesore...don't assume these are attractive to look at, I'm not interested in living in "Hillbilly Vill" RV owners just don't want to pay for storage. Besides the fact that that when RVs and 5th wheels are on what is already a narrow street it cause issues by not allowing drivers to see around them or moving over for oncoming traffic.
Absolutely not. Specially in the inner city. Obstructs sight lines and they are an eyesore. RV owners should find adequate RV parking for their vehicles. Current bylaws allow sufficient flexibility
Absolutely not. Takes up space and is an eyesore. The fact is is even being entertained is ridiculous. last time I checked most people in Calgary. Don't live in a trailer park.
Absolutely not. The City of Calgary is going to charge residents for parking passes and is considering this stupid idea?
Absolutely not. The known obstructed sightlines, and safety issues should be enough to ban them altogether.
Absolutely not. The last thing I want to see on my street are campers or RV's parked in my neighbours driveways or on the street. Aesthetics aside, i believe that vehicles the size of RV's are so big that it makes it very difficult to see around them for oncoming traffic or backing out of driveways. We have kids that play outside on during the summer or ride their bikes up and down the street on our cul de sac and would be difficult to see them if there was one or two RV's parked on the street.
Absolutely not. The majority of post 1990's communities weren't designed to have a 20-30 foot RV in the lot.
Most of the SE communities already have issues with too many cars on the street.
Absolutely not. The presence of RVs make the community look like a trailer park. It erodes the perceived market value of our community.
Absolutely not. The trailers are often under-maintained, old and unaesthetic. Furthermore, they restrict the view for street traffic. On top of that, in poorer communities trailer owners often illegally rent out their trailers for people to live on their driveways.
Absolutely not. There are clear standards in 1P2007 for where an RV can be parked in the front of a property. The City does not enforce these properly already, and allowing seasonal parking defeats having a bylaw restricting parking. When 1P2007 was written, the setback for buildings was different than for RVs because of the new trend of having sideways front drive garages, and houses set diagonally to the front property line. Relaxing the bylaw seasonally basically nulls the bylaw, as one would argue that campers and boats be allowed in the summer, and snow mobiles in the winter, and ATVs all year. Both parking areas and the maximum time should not be relaxed.
Absolutely not. There are neighborhoods where a camper or trailer becomes a VRBO or has people living in them full time. It brings in \$\$\$ for the home owner.





## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely NOT. These things are dangerous and an eyesore. You'd be turning every neighborhood into a trailer park!! And before you know it people would be living in them. I hate these things with a passion. If you can't afford the storage don't buy one!
Absolutely not. They are an eye sore & places where people camp out & children play in. This creates safety hazards & noise.
Absolutely not. They are awful to look at, block critical sight lines, and often extend across sidewalks. The neighbourhoods of Calgary have not been designed to accommodate these vehicles and making an allowance for them would be a diminishment of overall safety of the neighbourhood. RV's and travel trailers are notoriously underused, allowing them to be parked on a front driveway will inevitably lead to rotting trailers for all to see.
Absolutely not. They are big, ugly, awkward, and the owners will put the RV on one side of the driveway, use the other side for the basketball hoop, can't drive around the RV to get into their garage so they'll put the giant pickup that tows it on street parking along with all their other vehicles and plug up the whole neighborhood. Makes navigating the residential districts on a bike a nightmare. And when they park all that on the street you can't see around it to get in and out your driveway
Absolutely not. This is a safety issue - what is to stop people from "renting" out the living space in the RV to people to live in, especially with the current rental market? Neighbors and other people living in the neighborhood should not be subjected to this risk and unsightliness of RV's being able to be stored on a driveway.
Absolutely not. This pushes regular vehicles onto already full street parking. It's unsightly and a safety hazard.
Absolutely NOT. This will have significant Impact on my neighbourhood. From blocking the view, increased noise concerns, having an impact on the environment from leaked gas and sewage.
Absolutely not. We already have a neighbour who parks 8 cars in his drive way and in front of all our houses. None in his garage. He moves them about 2feet every second day so we cannot call them in. If you allow rv's we will be seeing those outside our homes as well. Complete eyesore and in a cul de sac there is already not enough street parking. Add in an ignorant neighbor and it's just asking for trouble.
Absolutely not. We have owned our home for 13 years and have seen nothing but our neighbour's rv out our living room window. Sick to death of it. Its ugly. Can't see our own house until we are directly in front of it. That is, unless their vehicles are parked in front because their rv takes up their entire driveway. Pulling out of our driveway is dangerous because we can't see anything until we're backed into the middle of the road. Some good neighbours park their gigantic rvs in their backyard.
Absolutely not. We live in an area where it is hilly and driveways are off camber. I would not trust that everyone would park their RV properly to prevent it rolling down the drive. The would have significant safety issues for people and properties. In addition we already have car prowler problems which now includes theft in garden sheds. RV would only attract more crime to the area. Also agree with the impacts noted in other jurisdictions.
Absolutely not. Why are we re-visiting this. The by-law works. Frankly I don't think they should be allowed in the backyard either. It takes away from my backyard aesthetic.
Absolutely not. With newer communities where there is only half of a street parking spot for 2 houses, (1 shared space for 2 homes), parking is already a problem where multiple vehicles are associated with one address. Add in to the mix a driveway spot taken by an RV and now



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

you have eliminated the functional use of one or more garage parking spaces leading to more homeowners parking on the street. This effect is multiplied when a property has renters.
Absolutely NOT. It reduces the property values in the neighborhood. It is simply ugly and makes the surrounding area look like a junkyard.
Absolutely not...Far more people do not own RV's, or most of those that do are content with parking them in non residential sites. Our neighbourhoods are not designed for RV parking, especially large ones. There are so many safety and security issues RV home parking creates, not to mention the aesthetics of the neighbourhood. Owning an RV comes with responsibilities...which theoretically the owner accepts. Why should "non owners" be affected to the degree home parking presents? The rules are in place, and they serve the majority as is !
Absolutely not: they already cause traffic issues and are total eyesores. They block views and take up significant space on roadways (when not in driveways). The older ones are typically smaller but frequently in poorer condition and more prone to oil leaks, and the new ones are massive and totally detract from views of the neighbourhoods and even traffic in the streets. I am totally against a seasonal front driveway parking bylaw: if you own an RV, you should pay for somewhere to park it. I'd encourage the city to also consider commercial vehicle bylaws as well: I am frustrated by extended parking of business vehicles as well.
Absolutely not....it reduced sight around them...it's a total eyesore in the community, not safe around the house...
Absolutely- should be permanent
absolutely would support it. rv storage is very expensive and it is the homeowners driveway let them do what they want and stop trying to regulate everyone to the point they don't want to live in the city. if it fits in the driveway there should be no problem with it.
Absolutely YES
Absolutely yes ... and I believe that hardworking contractors should be allowed to park their utility trailers on their driveways too , the reason I'm for it , is because the exorbitant amounts of money the storage places charge , and their abhorrent track record on thefts and vandalism makes this bylaw draconian ... then combine that with the fact that insurance companies gleefully charge additional premiums as a result of storing your RV 'offsite' makes it even more cost prohibitive !
ABSOLUTELY YES! ... This allows the Calgary Tax Payer / Home Owner / to save on Storage Fees for at least the Camping Season. It also makes the season more enjoyable and accessible as the RV doesn't need to be retrieved each time the Calgary Tax Payer wants to go camping. Do not take this away from Calgary Citizens. Families are struggling enough with all levels of Government raising taxes as if our wallets are their own little piggy banks.
Absolutely yes. They do NOT obstruct sightlines or have an effect on traffic in residential communities. The speed limits in communities has been reduced to 40km/hr. There is no reason that larger vehicles parked on the side of the road should impede traffic safety.
Absolutely yes. This is private property and a reasonable, appropriate use of private parking space. As long as the vehicle doesn't block pedestrian walkways or streets it is acceptable that recreational vehicles are permitted in driveways.
Absolutely! If roads or views aren't obstructed then what's the problem?
Absolutely! Makes sense from a convenience perspective, especially for those who take trailers out camping multiple weekends in a summer.
Absolutely! People literally pay property tax, it's their property to do as they desire

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely! Sight lines are no worse for RV's than the big trucks or vans that people park in their driveway. Esthetics is in the eye of the beholder. I may not like it but my neighbour may not like my landscaping. The driveway is owned by the property owner. He should be able to park there.
Absolutely! This can save families (the typical camper) paying rent for something they could easily do in their own property.
Absolutely, and it should be year round, not just seasonal. These are our properties, we pay the taxes on them, and should not be restricted to what we can park on them. A minimum distance from curb would be the only appropriate restriction, for visibility issues. Many people do not have an option for backyard storage( retaining wall, poor layout etc). They are forced to pay for storage. This is a waste of land, and personal and public resources. It also creates a barrier to entry to recreation
Absolutely, for the vehicles above I would support year round parking and for other vehicles provided they are not cluttering the street parking or blocking the side walk for pedestrian traffic.
Absolutely, if it is your drive way you have every right to park your own registered & insured vehicles on said property
Absolutely, is it is not unsightly and fits on the driveway
absolutely, the property belongs to the individual for their own personal use,. As long as it stays in the bounds of not being unsightly and it si not blocking sightlines especially atr corners alley entrances and exits.. all good
Absolutely, yes. I feel RV'ing is a very important aspect in some people's lives, and finding the time to get an RV prepared (especially if someone is a full-time working, parent, etc..) could likely easily be a week long effort (ie. after work hours/appointments, etc...). Living in a time of 'hecticness', this would just add to it all, unnecessarily (in my opinion). To have easy access to your RV during the peak period could be very beneficial, and I believe it would not have too much impact on RV weight issues, and neighbours could deal with the unsightliness, being it is temporary (seasonal).
Absolutely, you own your house/property should be no reason why you can't park your RV ON your property.
Absolutely. A lot of people camp every weekend. They store their rvs far from home. Let them pack and clean them in between camping trips. Our summers are short
absolutely. I think it's ridiculous that the city is worried about this. All it does is get neighbours to fight more. What next? Don't like the colour of a neighbour's house? Call the city on them?
Absolutely. It's a matter of convenience and cost. I had a boat and found it discouraging to have to pay to park it in a RV lot far from home when I had plenty of room on my driveway. Based on the current law I sold boat. I will get another when law changes. City should not dictate what one parks on their private property and/or driveways!!!!!!!!!!
Absolutely. We pay property tax and should have the right to ark whatever we want on OUR driveway.
Absolutely. As long as the RV is not obstructing the sidewalk or the road, it should be able to sit on someone's personal property as long as they want.
Absolutely. I think you can set visibility standards that are reasonable and ticket people who don't abide by them, but if you can fit your RV on your driveway without breaking those standards, you should be allowed to.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

"Aesthetics" is NIMBY code for "I don't want *those* kind of people in *my* street." I am very much against telling people how their own property can or cannot look.
Absolutely. I'd support year round parking. It's their property.
Absolutely. I've owned a trailer. The city reduced the time we could park in front of our own home while we owned it, but allowed contractors and developers to park what they wanted for however long they wanted anywhere in a community as long as they had a "permit". Residents couldn't use their own street to park their own vehicle but the F'ing developers get to park trucks and trailers blocks from the the houses they are building because they are too cheap and lazy to have a storage site for their construction trailers.
Absolutely. If the rv/boat/motor home etc fits on the driveway and doesn't impede the sidewalk of the community the owner should be allowed to park it for as long as they want. I think it should be legal to park it indefinitely on their own property.
Absolutely. It creates an unnecessary burden on RV owners like my uncle. People don't need the government telling them when and where they can park THEIR vehicles on THEIR property.
Absolutely. It isn't the responsibility of the city to decide what people can or cannot park on their driveways. as long as they are safe, not causing an immediate problem for anyone on or off the property. People really need to mind their own business.
Absolutely. It's their property/driveway, so we shouldn't be governing the use of it. It's also safer than street parking.
Absolutely. Many communities in this area don't have alleys or substantial backyards to house these items. Not allowing personal property to be stored on personal property seems like gatekeeping from a lifestyle affordability and item security standpoint. Guidelines regarding making them less intrusive for safety purposes can be ironed out.. doesn't need to be simplified to a 'black and white' drastic measure either way.
Absolutely. My driveway is My property and I should be allowed to park anything I want on it.
Absolutely. People are being forced to rent additional spaces to store their recreational vehicles. With the seriously high levels of stresses in the world, we should be encouraging more users. What is the big deal, really?! Who sits in the front yard for the views? Let people store their units. Even if they want to have it nearby for the kids to sleep in, to clean, to pack ahead of time. Usually it is a significant investment so why not allow people access to their property.
Absolutely. People pay good money to own their homes. Their drive way, their rules. I do not care if my neighbour has two RVS and a quad in his drive way, none of my business.
Absolutely. RV storage is expensive and if you can save residents even 4-6 months worth of those fees it will have a big financial impact, while not affecting my enjoyment of my community.
Absolutely. Safety concerns are valid but I have never had an RV, under this definition, obstruct my view or hinder my driving in any way. Lifted trucks, cube vans, and other commercial vehicles have caused issues however. Policing what people can do in their own driveway for esthetics is wrong in my opinion. As long as the sidewalk is not obstructed and the RV is the correct length for the driveway then I feel there should be no issue. I don't personally own an RV and think this bylaw is ridiculous.
Absolutely. The use of private property should not be dictated by others - including The City
absolutely. there is no reason the city should impose laws/extra charges to be allowed to park any recreational vehicle on the street or on the driveway. some trucks are larger/obstruct view more then some trailers.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Absolutely. Trailer storage is expensive.
Absolutely; the current guidelines are far too restrictive- especially to rotation shift workers like EMS. Seasonal parking would help everyone out greatly.
Again no, I think the limited time people have now to pack up their items into a trailer is adequate.
Again no. Vehicle prowling is a nightly occurrence. Theft, vandalism, fire hazard and eye sore!
All year
All year round
Alley becomes very icy during winter time. Vehicle should not be parked at back alley and should be parked at the front such to allow more room for other vehicles that are parked in covered garages
Allow it all year round. That "home owner" owns that property. They should be allowed to use that space as they see fit. This is supposed to be a free country.
Allow it year round, literally no point in policing someone else's land and property. Especially with such a short timeframe
Allow people to park their property on their property for as long as they like. As long as they abide by certain rules. You shouldn't have to force people to pay for storage of their own property, and if you do, storage should be free from the city
Allow seasonal front driveway parking. Our privately owned driveways should be allowed to park any vehicle and use as we please. Have rules and bylaws like in the City of Calgary is a disgrace to live in the City of Calgary. There are way to many rules, red tape and bylaws in the City of Calgary. Parking of RVs in driveways is not harming anything, I think the health and safety issues are minimal and are blown out of proportion. This is more about aesthetics and some neighbors being too picky.
Allow. It is offensive and discriminatory to not allow full time driveway parking. Yes, I said full time, meaning 24/7/365.
allowed all year long
Allowing all of these types of RVs makes the neighborhood look more trashy and invited more crime and vandalism of these items. It also gives criminals more hiding opportunities while committing crimes.
Allowing RVs on your OWN PROPERTY should be allowed year-round. Again, it's your property. Many Albertans purchase and enjoy RVs throughout the year (yes, winter camping exists) and storing them without incurring extra costs should be allowed if it is on your own property or following bylaws if parked on city streets. This shouldn't even be a discussion unless it is to allow for year-round parking and not just seasonal. This year is the only time in my life I've not owned an RV and I've had to pay outrageous storage fees before, so it's truly unfair to mandate someone to store their unit elsewhere.
Allowing seasonal parking in our area results in additional vehicles being displaced from driveways and being parked on an already crowded cul-de-sac which causes safety issues, over-crowding & obscures our sightline.
Although I don't live in a community with many front driveways...I think that size is an issue. I agree with the impacts listed above. I guess generally I don't agree with front driveway parking for RVs. Part of owning an RV is considering winter storage. I agree that the 36 hr limit should be extended. The benefits listed above are all in favour of the owner and not the community.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Area streets are congested with parking as it is. Extended RV parking in a driveway limits an owners use of a presumed garage and space on their driveway adding to street parking. The convenience of the minority with such vehicles creates more difficulties for pedestrians and passenger traffic.

Please don't allow for this, our community is riddled with high density population with a reliance on vehicles. There is already too many parking issues as it is as the infrastructure can't keep up with high vehicle to household homes. This includes the primary home dwellers, secondary suites and Airbnb that have popped up recently.

As long as a vehicle is parked on their own property and not blocking sidewalks the leave people alone

As long as it does not obstruct city street/sidewalk I am not opposed.

As long as it doesn't block anyone's view

As long as it doesn't obstruct eyeline. You can safely see over or around it and it doesn't impact your neighbour's driveway or path of travel I don't see a problem with itm

As long as it doesn't obstruct traffic.

As long as it doesn't obstruct visibility or movement of traffic or pedestrians, I support removal of limit.

As long as it fits on your driveway, it should absolutely be allowed to be parked on it.

As long as it is kept in good and tidy. sure why not.

As long as it is off the road and not obstructing visibility around corners, there shouldn't be a time limit. If it's in the road or block visibility around a corner, parking should not be allow and enforced.

As long as it's not parked on the road or across the sidewalk and is in good condition I think a week is more than sufficient.

As long as it's on their drive way and not on the street, yes I would support it. If it's to allow parking on city streets longer than current bi laws, then I would not support it.

As long as it's on their property/in their front drive home driveway and not obstructing the road, there should be no issue with this.

As long as it's their driveway only. I live in a skinny lot, so there's already limited parking

As long as not encroaching sidewalk or within Back of Walk.

As long as sight lines remain clean, no harmful chemicals from washing are going down the drain, the vehicle is in good repair, and the unit is properly drained, I see no issues with this.

As long as the RV fits in the front driveway and is not spilling over on pedestrian side walks, I'm fine with seasonal driveway parking.

As long as the RV owner park the RV WITHIN their property boundaries and no blockage of traffic views, that should be allowed no matter driveway or hard surfaced front yard. Canadian loves camping, why'd we make the life too difficult?

As long as the vehicle in question is insured for such, it doesn't block line of view, or sidewalks, or driveways. Isn't old, smelly or has someone staying in it. I think seasonal parking should be allowed. NOT on streets please.

As long as the vehicle/trailer is fully on the property and not on the sidewalk, I see no reason why the city should say you can't put your own property on your own property. Many people do not have space on their back property to accommodate the bylaw as it stands, as well as dont have access to the back of their property adequate for an rv. This is unfair especially if the front of their property has space to accommodate an rv.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As long as there is no impeding sidewalk use or road use it should be allowed. As long as it does not obstruct road views for drivers (for example at a T intersection , having an RV on the corner lot driveway could create blind spots.
As long as they are completely on their driveway and not over hanging the sidewalk or on the city roads I have no problem
As long as they are fully on the owners driveway and not on sidewalks or extending onto the roadways.
As long as they are not actively using the vehicle for living on property. Storage only on property. If they want to use on property they should pay higher taxes for the added occupancy loading. Should also come with all the regulations required to sustain life as a property.
As long as they are not blocking the sidewalk, this should be allowed during from May-Oct.
As long as they're not parked on the road or on a house that is close to a corner.
As long as visibility is not an issue then I don't see why people can't have their rv in their driveway or in front of it. As soon as visibility becomes an issue then it's not allowed
As lots are getting smaller & smaller, driveways are getting shorter & shorter. The MAJORITY of anything parked on a driveway in my area blocks the sidewalk. In a similar fashion, streets are getting narrower, with BOTH sides of the street being relegated to on-street parking. While it is true a speedboat fits nicely, a double pontoon boat or even a small RV is so wide that it causes trouble for people driving in the street; forget about parking an RV on-street with a car directly opposite it!
As most homes in my particular neighbourhood do not have front driveways, I would not support seasonal RV parking. When the neighbours do park RVs out front on the street it leaves little room left for parking and does indeed block sight lines and detracts from the aesthetic of the street.
Beauty or more correctly ugliness is in the eye of the beholder, thus no seasonal driveway parking. Driveways are for cars to come and go. RV's on driveways just result in more cars on overcrowded urban and suburban roads.
Because it's your life, your property. Do what you want, live and let live.
Because you are useless and are wasting here taxpayer money on another pet project
Because you own the property. You should be able to park your vehicles there.
Bo, for the very reasons already mentioned in your survey. RVs' take fair amount of space and with narrow streets it is unsafe as line of sight is significantly decreased. Also, even current laws are problematic. The vehicles tend to block the sidewalk when parked on their garage pad. Safety first.
Certainly not extending beyond 36 hours because of sightline obstructions when you have to back out of a driveway and you cannot see oncoming traffic. In addition the size of some is not aesthetically pleasing.
Certainly, some pickup trucks are allowed to park on drive ways and those can be just as big or bigger than some of the RVs. We need better reasons for bylaws besides esthetics.
Certainly. I believe that the home owners are paying property taxes and should be able to safely park recreational vehicles on their property including the driveway.
Collapsible tent trailer is the only form of RV vehicle that I think is acceptable to have on a front driveway because it is small and discrete. I would not support any other form of RV that is larger than an average sized car. They are obnoxious, obstructing, and visually unappealing. It looks incredibly trashy.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Congests area and looks terrible as all sorts of junk will be parked and stored as they are already doing and city by-law does nothing about it. Consider the home owners that do NOT have these RV's, trailers, company vehicles, etc. We would like a clean and tidy looking neighborhood!
Definitely do NOT support. Firstly, many are large and present a danger for children not seeing traffic on residential streets. Secondly, the vehicles are a complete eyesore. This is a slippery slope for the decay of the visual aspects of communities.
definitely for smaller RV's as you can see around them to back over the sidewalk. for bigger ones increase time to 72 hours so people can properly prep, clean etc without being rushed .
Definitely no! It would damage the neighbourhood aesthetics. We have enough with 3 ugly bins per house.
Definitely no! They look awful and then people have to park all on the street and there is no parking as is. Also super hard to see around the trailers. Don't allow this!!!!
Definitely NOT
Definitely not! It distracts from a presentable look in communities with front attached garages. It can also present extra safety concerns regarding fire load and accessibility for first responders.
Definitely not! Keep the neighborhood neat and tidy. Keep more thefts from happening.
Definitely not! This is because they usually don't park in the driveway, but rather park in the street. Sometimes there's two or three sitting next to each other and it's really hard to get by.
Definitely NOT!! I think RVs make neighbourhoods look trashy (no matter how new they are) and unkept. My neighbour has parked an RV behind my house and has never let me park there. I do not approve of people sleeping in RVs on city streets and would not feel safe if that became a regular thing with seasonal parking.
Definitely not. For me it would first be a safety issue. My house is on a curve and driving out from my garage onto the road is challenging most times. Right now my vision is obstructed by our next door neighbors 1/2 ton truck. Also, it would be an esthetics issue. Parking an RV on the garage pad would also mean more cars parked on the road. This could be a problem if limited parking already exists.
Definitely not. Nobody likes to look out their windows and see large recreational or commercial vehicles parked out front. We all pay similar taxes within a neighborhood, but why should any of us have to see our neighbours recreational vehicles. It's bad enough that most new communities have front drive garages and many people don't park in them, do there are always vehicles parked out front. I understand the need for the 36 hours, but it doesn't need to be any longer. If the owners of the vehicles can afford them, then they can manage offsite parking .
Definitely not. Our neighbour already breaks the bylaw by parking her RV on her driveway for up to a week before and after going on a trip with it. It looks awful, and is an obstruction for us when we leave our driveway.
Definitely not. Convenience for some is not a benefit that outweighs the safety/ and obstruction of sight line's allowing for increased crime and theft
Definitely not. Now, many homes have growing families with older children who can now drive. Some homes have 4 or more cars parked outside, and often because they fill their garages with junk. This fills up the streets. Adding long stay RVs would make the clogged road situation even worse.
Definitely not. The vehicles are a safety concern as far as visibility is concerned and their unsightly nature is detrimental to the aesthetics of a community.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Definitely not. They have obstruct the view and it is not necessary to be parked in the driveway for more than 36 hours. In fact, the time that they're parked in the driveway should be less than 36 hours. Extending this time. May result in people allowing us to stay in the RV or do use it as a secondary suite. If the time is extended be on 36 hours, this will result in it being very unmanageable.
Definitely not. Visual safety is a major concern. Inconvenience to neighbours. Causing parking problems, if an RV is parked on the driveway then the owners other vehicles are parked on the road causing inconvenience to other people, Just because someone wants an RV etc don't inconvenience others. These people must use RV storage parks. Bylaws are not adhered to and no one enforces them.
Definitely not...because it is an eye sore and creates more street parking making it even harder to find a parking spot on the street, in fact, I wish there was also a bylaw prohibiting old cars and small trailers on driveways that don't move for years just sitting there on driveways also looking terrible and making the neighborhood look like the a auto wreckers place of business!!
Definition of front driveway on your driveway ok with that. Parking on the streets no. All comes down to visibility. My neighbours are great to let me know.
Depending how long season parking is ( I assume June to September) I would agree to front driveway parking as long as it is enforced when not in compliance or complaints from neighbours
Depending on how "season" is defined. Yes would support.
Depending on length of time and size of RV, and purpose. It can't be allowed for people trying to live on someone's single dwelling property.
Depends on size. A tent trailer doesn't really obstruct views. But an RV does, and shouldn't stay on a driveway.
depends on the size of the rv. I worry about sight lines especially when children are playing or an animal darting out that someone/something might get hit by a vehicle because the vision is blocked. Your not able to foresee a possible problem ie. a child chasing a ball into the street
Depends on the size of the RV. If it is a 15' trailer that's fine. If it's a 40' triple axle toy hauler then no.
Depends. Should be time limited. 72 hrs maximum.
Do not extend the time!! People take advyand leave it an extra few days. By the time you call bylaw two days later they move it and it has been there a week.
Do not support a longer parking period because it would be very difficult to control and enforce. Who is going to decide which vehicles are unsightly?
DO NOT support driveway or street parking. This infringes on the aesthetics of the community, as well as blocking sight lines. Parking is at a minimum and allowing RV parking would make it so much worse. We live beside a boulevard and do not want to have to look out our window in the summer to see a monstrosity of a vehicle parking beside our property. Definite NO from this household.
Do not support! Uses up on-site parking, pedestrian safety concerns (due to lack of visibility), driveways are often close to adjacent neighbour's property line so to have a large structure sitting there could affect use and enjoyment of that property, would cost additional money to communicate the new rules and to enforce the rules and RVs are a luxury, overall esthetics of a neighbourhood

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Do NOT support. I agree with the impacts stated above. As no home is allowed to install a fence that is higher than 42" to the front property line, RV in front driveways are a major obstruction to sight lines and safety especially when there are children playing in the front. The hazard of a child running out from behind a parked RV increases.
Do not support. There are already enough parking issues with multiple vehicles for every residence. On street parking is over whelmed and adding more vehicles to driveways obstructs views and potentially sidewalks and makes walking in communities more difficult. Plus parked RVs could attract more break-ins and residential crimes.
Do not support. Most rv's are use rarely and would sit for long periods of time creating an eye sore to surrounding properties.
Driveway - possibly...but there's already blind corners, tough intersections in my community due to hedges and parked cars, rv parking is only going to make this worse
Driveway is fine but the street is not. Some are so big there's nowhere for people to park and can barely back out of driveways.
Driveway of the home owner would be ok but not on the street. RV must be fully on the owners driveway and not on any property that is between houses/yards. This keeps the RV contained on the owner's property without causing harm to neighbour's property.
Driveway parking is fine. Street parking is an absolute NO.
Driveway parking yes as long as the vehicle is not being used to house visitors which I see a lot of the time during summer months .
Driveway yes as long as the sidewalk is not blocked. Along the street no as it creates a Hazzard for drivers and pedestrians
Driveway, fine. Front street parking, no. Street parking is at a premium already in the area, but if someone has a driveway, then that's ok.
Driveways are one thing. I feel that is okay because what you do on your drive is your business. However for parking on roadways, I feel residential roads are not equipped to handle this. It is a hazard for cars passing by, pedestrians walking. There are just too many vehicles of all sorts parked on roadways and this is the bigger issue that needs to be addressed by Calgary.
Driveways fine to some degree but many rv owners park on the street sometimes for weeks at a time. My neighbour has a large motor home that is on the street for many days at a time blocking our view and creating a safety issue.
Driveways only as long as they don't block view. Not on street!!
Due to obstruction of sight lines, sense of security and aesthetics I do not support seasonal front driveway parking for RVs. But just to clear 'seasonal' RVs are already parked on the front driveways in my neighbourhood, all year long. Also there are large construction and utility trailers parked on front driveways in this residential area. The above bylaw appears to have zero effect. Not confident that any amendments will help or hinder the intent behind the bylaw.
During Covid it had helped with isolation.
During the warmer seasons when the unit is used weekly they can be parked but during non use seasons they should be hidden from sight into a storage yard
Eazy to part
Even in good condition it's an eyesore
Even though I dont have one, I approve of people parking RVs on their driveways. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Camping/Exploring the outdoors is big part of life in Canada.</li> <li>• Not everybody can afford indoor storage.</li> </ul>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People are parking on their own property</li> <li>• The only people this bothers are busybody neighbours who need to mind their own business.</li> </ul>
Even when it's set to 36 hours ppl keep them out there longer
Exceptions should be made for a small rv (van style) that a resident uses as their "commute" vehicle (less than 22 ft in length).
Expanding timelines invites longterm rentals and living on site issues. What prevents owners from renting their locations. RV storage should be limited to registered owners.
Extend the time to 48. But no more. It's not equitable to those who do not have rvs to have to endure the eagerly concerns or the aesthetics ...
Fine with front driveway or side but limited rv street parking
For a few days no more than 15 yes, but no longer it would block view and the safety issues they represent.
For limited durations. Not seasonal
For reasons mentioned above.
Would consider allowing guest parking in front drives for temporary, limited time.
For short periods yes
For smaller vehicles yes.
For RVs no.
For the amount of taxes we now pay, I support allowing seasonal from driveway parking.
For the entire season, no. I think that allows for some neglect of rvs if there are no limits. What about 1 week at a time? Then if people are camping every weekend they'd meet the requirements for moving the RV, could keep it close by during the week, etc. But if they're not actively using it within a week, it should be moved.
For up to 5 days at a time
Front drive way only, not on the street. Front drive is not that unsightly so ok.
Front driveway parking is ok, as long as the vehicle or trailer does not impede the sidewalk.
Front driveway parking ok, but NOT ON THE STREET! it is such a visibility hazard.
Front driveway parking yes. Street parking no. Street parking impacts safety and view points. If it's in the driveway it's no different than a car
Front driveway yes, not on the street in front of homes as there is already very little space and the lack of sidewalks in our community the neighbours cars already block our walkway on a daily basis
Front driveway yes. I think it's essentially the same thing as parking a car or motorcycle on your own driveway.
Front driveway yes. Street parking no.
Front driveway, as long as sidewalks are not blocked and the vehicle is a minimum distance away from roadways (10 feet, for example) - if they are too close to sidewalks or roads, they should be fined.
Front personal driveway yes, it doesn't block anything for anyone. But on the street, absolutely not.
Fully support as long as RV/trailer does not pass onto or impede sidewalk. Not allowing it impacts middle income families the most as they cannot afford a home/yard with dedicated RV parking. I do not currently own an RV/trailer, but would like to potentially own one in the future but with the current bylaw it severely limits my options unless I purchase a much larger property with available RV parking. We currently have some RVs that sit on driveways all year round have have no issues with them

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Genuinely think the city should have no say in how long an RV sits in a driveway (so long as it is in sound condition)
Given that many communities do not have back lanes, allowing parking on a front driveway should be okay - as long as the RV is not unsightly or blocking access or visibility. I do not own an RV of any kind, but some of my neighbours do. One has a large trailer that they store at a storage facility. Two neighbours have small travel trailers that they do keep on their front driveway and I've not thought that it was a concern at any time.
Have them purchase parking permits (\$100/month) from the city and contribute to our coffers.
Having RV parked in driveways and on the roads are an eye sore and shouldn't be allowed except for short term parking. Too many RVs are parked in driveways 24/7
Hell NO!! Massive eye sore. Cheapen the aesthetics of the neighbourhood. Create blind spots for traffic creating danger for children. There already isn't enough parking for residents and visitors for cars as it is. I staunchly oppose allowing RV's in neighbourhoods.
Hell yeah, if you own it, what you park there is your own business, nobody else.
Home owners should be able to park their own property on their own property. As long as the RV does not interfere with roadway use and proper clearing/maintaining of sidewalks, there is no issue.
Honestly, people should be allowed to put whatever they want on their own property. More than just seasonally, they should be allowed to park year-round if the above-mentioned conditions are met.
Houses that are in the corner or around a bend or and intersection, atleast 5 houses from intersections, should not have RV's parked at all on driveways or outside the house. They hamper vision when turning in and especially cause issues near a school. RV's and trailers both included in this description.
How are we to make sure the RV parked in front IS the property owner, and not a visiting friend or someone else using it to sleep/park overnight? We already have that issue by Wal-Marts during the summer/stampede time.
How many driveways can accommodate both vehicles and rv's ? Does parking a rv force 1 or more vehicles to be parked on the road ? If so, this becomes a safety issue ! Extended parking of a rv's,boats or enclosed trailers does not look well in the neighbourhood.
I absolutely DO NOT support extending the bylaw from its' current ruling. Twelve years ago I owned a fifth wheel trailer and when it was parked infront of my house it was very difficult for close neighbours to see clearly when exiting their driveways. I think to extend the bylaw would definitely impede the safety of children. I believe it is definitely harder to navigate a clear, unobstructed view for exiting or entering a driveway (are there children unaware of a moving vehicle because the sight line is shorter?). I believe it would impact the aesthetics of the neighbourhood, making it look like a parking lots. PLS DO NOT ALLOW THIS
I absolutely support seasonal front driveway parking. It dramatically eases things for RV owners and saves them real money, which is especially important these days.
Further, the current bylaw is not policed in many areas. While I am happy that it is not policed in many areas (as I don't see value in the bylaw), it creates an unfair situation for those places where it is policed.
I absolutely support seasonal Parking on a front driveway as it does not affect the roadway or others especially if the RV is in new and excellent condition and for use.
I adamantly support long-term front driveway parking for RVs, etc. I do not believe the safety argument against RVs is legitimate. I also believe they should be allowed on city streets in



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

front of homes. Further, I believe owners of these RVs should be allowed to use them for their intended purpose (temporary living accommodations). I do not own an RV, but I support a longer stay with much more flexibility. For some people, this is a much more convenient way to house visiting family and the aesthetics argument seems to be overly picky and none of anyone else's business but the owners.
I agree that RV's block sights especially turnings in communities. Exterior look of house is compromised. Kids in Summer playing in communities cause safety concerns
I agree with seasonal parking but would limit the timeframe of the season to just being the months of July and August. I do not wish to see RVs parked in driveways for 4+ months.
I am a former RV owner, and I do not support seasonal front driveway parking for RV's. You only need to travel to Okotoks or Airdrie to see how unsightly RV's make the neighborhoods. I had to ask our neighbor to move his RV off his driveway after 3 months because it was blocking the daylight getting into our front room, and our view of the neighborhood. Shouldn't be necessary.
I am a supporter of both seasonal and year round parking. As long as they are kept in good repair, not leaking and not having debris build up under or around them.
I am against allowing seasonal front driveway parking because negative impacts have higher priorities than benefits. RV owners are wealthy enough to park/keep them somewhere else.
I am against changing this bylaw, as I am concerned about junkie broken down that get left to sit also adds to more vehicles on street as RV's are on driveway! And what a nightmare for city to manage and neighbors have to tattle on neighbours! Not good
I am against extending the duration of RV parking. Most owners of RV's in our neighborhood (Meadowlark Park) have a garage designed for RV storage. Another issue is that RV's could be rented out, with people living in the RV. This is added rental density for the neighborhood. In certain US cities, landlords rent out their RV's to tenants for \$500 per month.
I am concerned that some folks will run Airbnb's out of them on the driveway.
I am in favour of allowing seasonal front driveway parking; the pros seem to me to outweigh the cons.
I am in favour of letting people to park their rvs on their own driveways
I am not a fan of seasonal front driveway parking. I think that instead of seasonal parking that perhaps the time that RVs are allowed to be on front drives and parking stalls could be changed to 48 hours.
I am not generally supportive -- I think that it could encourage people to use it as seasonal accommodation instead of as temporary parking. The aesthetics are not great and it could also increase noise (from visitors, parties and generators), create sanitation issues if the RV is in use, and create other parking issues. There is also a safety aspect, as vehicles could impair sight lines. Many RVs are also much larger than today's suburban driveways and could encroach on the sidewalk/roadway.
I am not in support of seasonal front driveway parking. As an owner of a RV trailer in the past, I see it as a safety issue with obstructed sightlines and worry about neighbourhoods looking like RV storage lots. Also, in our neighbourhood, street parking is very limited and this would compound the problem as homeowners losing a parking spot or 2 in their driveway would be forced to park on the street.
I am not in support of seasonal parking due to the impact on the look of the neighbourhood. I would be in agreement to lengthening the 36 hours to 5 -7 days to allow a more practical use for owners



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I am okay with seasonal front driveway parking as long as sight lines are not obstructed and city sidewalks and streets are not encroached on.
I am okay with seasonal parking as long as they aren't parked across the sidewalks and are not unsightly as to show respect for their neighbours and neighbourhood. This should only be allowed if we can enforce the bylaw and we can't seem to enforce the shoveling of sidewalks so not sure this will be maintained.
I am on in support of seasonal parking. It is the right of the resident to determine what gets parked on his/her own driveway so long as it does not restrict the view of oncoming traffic or at the junction and does not obstruct the ability of public services (e.g. garbage disposal, fire dept.) to perform their duties.
I am opposed to allowing seasonal parking. People with RVs block sidewalks and sometimes even park on the street or other public areas. There is currently no enforcement of the existing bylaw. RVs and boat trailers parked out front of houses make the community look like a ghetto and this hurts property values.
I am opposed to supporting seasonal front driveway parking. The current bylaws allow people to own and be responsible for the purchases of over-sized vehicles. Traditional camping season is when people want to enjoy their properties outside. Seasonal front driveway parking now subjects non-RV owners to the unsightly (in some cases, unkempt or broken down) vehicles and obstructive sightlines that impact safety. (Not to mention generators and all the other additions people have).
I believe it depends on the street, is there a lot of duplex's, 4 plex's? How many people are renting out illegal suites? If the street is low in traffic and low in parking as is then why not.
I believe that if you have a driveway, front or rear of your property, you should be able to park you holiday trailer on it. It is your property and having the ability to use it as you see fit should be allowed. It also provides more security for your possessions rather than having to leave it parked on a lot that is at least 30 minutes from your home-fuel costs are very high. It adds convenience for the RV season as well as a very large cost savings. There is no need to drive to and from the storage lot, packing and unpacking it everytime you need to use it, cleaning and laundering after use, etc. If you have space at your home, having to rent a space at a storage lot is extremely wasteful.
I believe that time limits are essential, perhaps no longer than 5 days . When we lived in Ottawa our neighbours parked their older, rusty RV in the driveway adjacent to our property the entire time we lived there. Not only was it ugly but it blocked our view of more pleasing vistas and, according to our real estate agent, this parked RV delayed and in some cases prevented the sale of our house. Since we are a military family it meant that our family was separated for a year!
I believe the allowed time frame of street parking for recreational vehicles is 72 hours. At any rate I think 72 hours is a reasonable time for street or front drive parking of said vehicles.
I believe the current policy of 36 hours is sufficient. Any longer and people use the RV and community roads as RV pads.
I believe they don't pose an eye sore and I would also understand if someone can only have weekends to use these vehicles. Having to pick them up from storage and then also drop it off takes too much time off of individuals much needed break from day to day living. It would help relieve stress that would come from that as well.
I believe we should allow seasonal parking of RV type vehicles. As much as it may be aesthetically unpleasant, this is far from the most pressing concern of the city and generally not enforced anyway.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe, if seasonal parking were allowed, it would lead to more recreational vehicles being purchased and parked seasonally. This would block one normal vehicle parking space on the driveway and could also block a second vehicle parking space in a front access garage, resulting in extra street parking which is already tight. I also think it would be visually displeasing to see RVs in driveways during the summer blocking line of sight.
I both agree and disagree because a trailer parked in a driveway can cause safety issues. A trailer might block someone's sight line to easily and safely pull out of their driveway causing possible accidents as well as issues of people not always checking that the trailer isn't moving or loses its brakes and rolls into the road.
I certainly would not support it, we had a neighbour in Lethbridge that had a trailer on their front driveway and they had a family member living in it, the occupant was living in it with no power no water and was not allowed to enter the home. I won't describe the state of the driveway let alone the smell, and it took the city almost a year to resolve the problem
I could support seasonal RV parking based on current regulations around placement and ensuring it is not encroaching or being parked on residential roads.
I currently have neighbours that park their covered trailers for work in their front driveway all the time, and that is as large as a RV, so I don't see the difference. If the driveway is in the home owner's property then they should be able to use it for their RV. I agree with the 36 hour rule for street parking.
I disagree. RVs parking on front drive ways should be reduced for safety and obstruction of sight lines reasons.
I do agree with allowing people to park RV's in the driveway. I think the arguments against allowing people to park them on their property are ridiculous. The only one that has any merit, is when the driveway is on the corner of an intersection and it blocks the view of oncoming traffic.
I do not agree with this. I live on a street with several homes that have more vehicles than they do for functional parking space and the streets are constantly lined with vehicles making it difficult to have visitors as there is never parking around. Plus the vast majority of neighbours around my street who already feel they are above bylaw with RV's make the crowded streets in a family community a safety concern and nuisance for drivers.
I do not believe the time should be extended. People already do not abide by the bylaw so please do not allow them to think they can park these vehicles longer.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking as I believe it will be missed used and it would ruin our neighborhoods and would be eventually rented out to strangers.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking because of what has already been mentioned...Safety, Obstructed sightlines and Esthetics.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking described above and the city should not do it. Because of obstructed sightlines, it is not safe for pedestrians or kids playing around those zones, congestion in the streets.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking due to obstructed sightlines and esthetics
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking for RV type of vehicles  Safety and obstructed views for cars and pedestrian are a primary concern. Backing out of driveways next to large structures such as RV presents additional hazards which can be mitigated by not having them on adjacent driveways



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A RV parked on a front driveway could also be used for longer term residence within the RV in the front driveway which could lead to additional safety and environmental concerns.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I am fortunate to live facing a park. There is free parking available on the other side of the road for the many users of the park. I am concerned that RV owners would take advantage of this space as there are no limits or parking zones. Because it is a designated playground zone and is a high use area all year around with many children, adults walking dogs, exercisers, bike riders (to name a few) I worry RVs would block visibility for people/drivers and also impact the parking available to the day users of the park. Also, if a RV was parked unattended, it might invite vandalism or theft. I also think it would be unsightly.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Parking is already limited so allowing RV's to park makes the existing parking problem worse.
I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Street parking is already a problem especially in post-1990 communities, which favor narrower lots. Parking an RV in the driveway, which ostensibly will not be frequently moved, takes away one or more parking spots (spot on the driveway and potentially a spot in the garage due to blocked access) and encourages RV owners to park their vehicles on the street, causing even more street parking issues which in turn constitutes a greater safety hazard as visibility becomes increasingly restricted. The current 36 hr arrangement is not a change - RV owners should be well aware of their responsibilities when deciding whether to purchase one.
I do not support allowing seasonal parking on front driveways. Besides creating poor sightlines for pulling out of my driveway, I find that anyone that is parking for more than the permitted time is actually using it for extra lodging. There are often times electrical cords on the sidewalks which is a tripping hazard for those pedestrians using the sidewalks. When there are so many RVs on the street with the "bump outs" engaged, it makes it tricky to navigate driving through the street.
I do not support an extension beyond the current 36 hours. I live in a cul de sac and my neighbour's driveway is directly in front of my house. The owner parked their RV (travel trailer) on the driveway, obstructing our view from our home. The owner also does not use his garage for parking, so the addition of the RV trailer on the driveway eliminated a parking stall, creating additional parking demands in the cul de sac.
I do not support as its already dangerous enough trying to maneuver through streets in Calgary with cars/trucks parked on the roads and also too close to an intersection. Its extremely difficult to see oncoming traffic. Sightlines, safety and esthetics will be compromised. The look of having these parked on streets is not appealing. All you need to do is drive through Redwood Meadows and you will see how allowing this to occur can absolutely ruin the overall impression of a community.
I DO NOT support change to this bylaw, it makes community's look like junk yards and devalues my property, Langdon, Chestermere all look like junk yards with RV's parked every where
I do not support driveway parking for any longer than 36 hours. Our roads are messy and dirty; we have few trees even in summer; we don't need unsightly vehicles/trailers cluttering up the neighborhoods and blocking clear, aesthetic views from residential windows. This city needs to take steps to improve aesthetics downtown and in residential areas, not to detract from pleasant environments.
I do not support extended front driveway parking. Allowing extended RV front parking results in minor personal benefits for RV owners while impacting road safety for all other drivers.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Unless there's a guaranteed way to not obstruct other driver vision, or if the RV owners are willing to take responsibility when road accident happens due to their RV obstructing vision, otherwise this is such a small personal benefit in exchange for safety consequences of the greater population.
I do not support extending the time. Driveways are visible from road ways. They should not be treated as temporary camp sites
I do not support front driveway parking due to safety.
I do not support it for the larger 14 plus foot trailers for the obvious safety concerns mentioned. It displaces more cars onto the road for parking where children play .
I do not support it.
I do not support it. I feel it would be a visibility issue and now there seem to be so many of them, with people already abusing the 36 hours and or parking them on the street. I feel this would just make thing worse.
I do not support it. It's ugly to look at a bunch of RVs in driveways. Although I'd prefer that than having it parked on the street. Our streets are already narrow with so many cars parked on them with huge RVs and trailers it makes it worse trying to navigate around them and see other vehicles etc.
I do not support parking in a driveway or parking stall for more than 36 hours. Many of these RVs are eye sores, plus they can be so huge, it is impossible to see out and it ruins our view. Do not change the rules.
I do NOT support seasonal driveway parking. It creates blind spots on home security cams that thieves take advantage of. Also not everyone knows how to block the wheels or safely prepare tv for winter storage. 36 hours is more than enough time to load and go
I do not support seasonal driveway parking. This is because it drives up street parking because people now must park additional cars on the street to make room for their RV on the driveway. This makes many residential streets overly crowded which creates hazards for pedestrians, especially children who may dart out between parked cars. Further the parking congestion creates one way traffic on some streets.
I do not support seasonal front drive parking of RVs. It is a huge safety issue. Difficult to see when backing out of driveways when you have an RV parked nearby. Lots of children on bikes in the summer and on foot. I live in a very walkable neighbour and this would be a safety issue for everyone but in particular for the elderly and children. People that own RVs know the rules and understand there are additional expenses involved for parking/ storage. The current bylaw works well in my neighbourhood. No need to change it. It is unsightly to see these large vehicles parked everywhere.
I do not support seasonal front driveway parking for esthetic purpose and also for safety. These large vehicles create blind spots on corners.
I do not support seasonal front driveway parking for more than 36 hours because it is unsightly, impacts safety and makes neighborhoods look unbecoming. Bigger vehicles like rvs and trailer obstruct view of areas where children play and allow suspicious persons to hide. Some rvs and trailers are dated and ugly to look at
I do not support seasonal front driveway parking. If you can afford to own a large vehicle, you can afford to pay to have it parked in a storage. If allowed to park in a driveway, people will start to live in the vehicle. Taking space on the driveway will require more vehicles to park on the street.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I do not support seasonal front driveway parking. It's unsightly and more often than not a danger to everyone around it by blocking sight lines and parking inappropriately
I do not support seasonal parking of RV's in residential areas for the known reasons as outlined by the city. In my opinion, if someone has the means to purchase an RV they have the means to pay for seasonal storage of said vehicle as Calgary has many inexpensive storage options both within the city limits and in surrounding areas.
I do not support seasonal parking. It's an eyesore for a neighborhood and a safety hazard. The safety issue alone should be considered.
I do not support Thais as if u relax this a little chances are it will turn a real nightmare there are paid storage areas outside the city
I do not support the seasonal parking. For the reasons above esthetics and especially obstructed sightlines. Houses near the intersection of two roads create very challenging driving conditions when sightlines are blocked until you nose into the intersection
I do not support these RVs parking on front driveway, seasonal or not. They are huge, unsightly and an eyesore
I do not support this, as it puts more vehicles on the streets.
I do not support this. I am worried people will live in them.
I Do not support this. It would make it less safe for kids while playing outside. There are storage facilities that they can store their rv at.
I do not support this. It would obstruct driving view, cause congestion and make residential neighborhoods crowded. RV is a commercial vehicle and should be parked commercially not on residential driveways
I do not support this. Many of my neighbors have RVs etc, and if each of them are allowed to park on their driveway for the summer, it creates a safety concern for my young family. Obstructed sight lines and increased on street parking are both safety issues and unsightly. I think the privilege of owning these items comes with the responsibility of finding appropriate storage that neighbors don't need to stare at all summer long.
I do not support this. Over time this will be abused. Aesthetically it becomes an eyesore to the neighbourhood and the home.
I do not support this. People take advantage of the rules in place already. They park illegally, past the 36 hour time line. People will take advantage of it and the streets will begin to look like a trailer park.
I do not support! This is a huge eyesore to our community. There are plenty of storage facilities that people can access for their rvs rather than the driveway!
I do not support!! Site lines entering road ways(especially corner houses), cluttered neighborhoods and to prevent anyone from renting their unit, similar to AirBnB.
I do not support. At the end of the day if you are an RV owner, you are already aware that storing your RV (and taking it from storage to your home for each trip) is a part of the luxury and cost of owning an RV and that you are subject to certain bylaws for parking, etc. Although the above may be more convenient to them and will save on storage costs. It is the complete opposite for non-owners. It is not convenient nor cost effective and would provide less safety and security as they block views and now provide a haven of hidden areas for theft and vandalism. Obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics should carry a higher level of importance than convenience to the Owner of the RV.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I do not support. I have been a previous RV person and so long as one understands the 36hrs...then one can plan accordingly. Yes the RV parking lots can be far away...but this is also well known before one purchases. Just because it is an inconvenience doesn't make it wrong. I would be concerned about sightlines, esthetics..etc due to the amount of people that already park their RVs for longer. Enforcement is already failing at this level...why allow more "open to interpretation" in this space.

I do not think I would support seasonal front driveway parking.  
I'm not sure that I think it's really necessary.

I do not think RV parking on front driveways should be allowed for more than 36 hours. We live near a rental detached home, and an travel trailer has been parked there for approximately one year, the city as not enforced it's own bylaw. How are they going to enforce a seasonal RV parking bylaw. Also (in Edgemont) we pay an annual community enhancement fee to beautify our community. An RV parked on the front driveway all summer (and winter in the case I mentioned) does not enhance or beautify a community.

I do not think they should be allowed to park them in a driveway or on the street.

I do not wish to have RV seasonal parking on streets because of obstructed sight lines, safety issues as obstructing driver's vision of pedestrians or children. RV's restrict road and back alley space for emergency vehicles. We have very good bylaws at present, there is no need to change them. In many locations, on street parking is already quite limited and the RV seasonal storage would further worsen this problem. The RV storage would be the thin edge of the wedge for many "tight" areas.

I do not, Calgary already has problems with too much street parking, and looking out of your house to see nothing but RV's and other vehicles is already an eye soar. As well as the ones who do not know how to properly park their cars or trucks, let alone an RV will end up blocking intersections, sidewalks and other people's throughways.

I do not. They obstruct views and make neighborhood look awful

I do support a change to front driveway or front stall parking. Although I am not an RV owner, my relatives and friends who are RV owners mention that the time limit of 36 hours is too short to do all that is needed for outings. Calgary should join other municipalities in knowing that RVing and camping has become very popular over the years. Our current bylaw is outdated and should be adjusted to for property owners that pay taxes and own RVs.

I do support it. Because it can be hard to find parking for a vehicle like that. Also, there is the potential someone is living full-time in that vehicle and is visiting a friend or relative or is being helped out by them. It is safer to have it closer to a home instead of parked away where it can be vandalized or robbed.

I do support seasonal front driveway parking. People who own a driveway should have the right to park their own personal vehicles and recreational vehicles on their driveway throughout the year but especially during the late spring to early fall camping season. The city of Calgary should not be able to ticket homeowners for parking their recreational vehicles for longer than 36 hours on their personal property. Street parking with an RV and obstructing sidewalks should still be monitored and not permitted.

I do, if the RV is in reasonable condition. This gives people more flexibility to do what they want with their space, so long as they do not degrade the experience of their neighbors. I don't feel that a parked RV is an issue in most cases.

I don't care if a neighbor parks his Rv on his own property. Leave them alone. It's a non issue.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't mind if the camper etc is parked on the driveway, I wouldn't support it if they were allowed park on the street.
I don't own a RV but would be in support to allow RV owners to be able to park on driveways or pass on their own property and not on the street. Street parking should stay at 36 hrs but if a person were to park their RVs on their own driveway or pad I would be fine allowing it for the summer months (July/August) and then in storage the other 10 months of the year
I don't support allowing seasonal parking: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•it obstructs views on the road as well as pedestrians on sidewalks</li> <li>•Calgary's population density is higher than those of the other municipalities listed above. There's limited parking where I live and many cars are parked on the street instead of the driveway</li> <li>•the benefits listed are only for RV owners and places more burden on non RV owners. It opens up the issue of people who own RVs but don't have driveways (people will park wherever they can)</li> </ul>
I don't support because there is not enough street parking to accommodate extra vehicles then parked on the street.
I don't support it. Some of my neighbors have huge RV and when they park it in the street, the visibility is very poor in a corner of the street and creates hazard for the drivers
I don't support RVs parked in front driveways longer than 3 days. They can be unsightly & larger ones block views and the sun.
I don't support seasonal front parking because of sight lines and aesthetics
I don't support that decision. I live in a cul-d-sac. Trying to pull out onto a main road is very difficult when an RV is on someone's driveway blocking my view to pull safe out into traffic. It is also difficult to see when they are on the street in front of their home.
I don't support! Community becomes RV parking lots and area, Community quality and safety would be impacted! Community is a living village , not a recreational place! RVs has wide range of type and size, so that city of Calgary and community will lower the whole quality! Obviously, residents who owns RV would have more convenience, but still need to move to RV storage place. So I think 36 hours is reasonable and enough for preparation to vacation!
I don't think big trailers should be allowed on the streets for extended times but smaller ones are ok. As mentioned before, anything 2500lbs dry or 3500 loaded should be excluded from this bylaw
I don't think it is safe to have this large vehicles parked in front of your home cause it is usually longer than the driveway and it takes up so much room
I dont care if an RV is properly parked on a driveway if bylaw officers will timely enforce those that are obstructing the sidewalk or roads. Bylaw officers are so understaffed now,I don't think the city should pretend this isn't a way to take some of the summer pressure off of them for complaints.
I don't hate it. As long as the RV is *fully* on the property I don't see a problem with it.
I don't have a problem with smaller units being parked on the street during summer months, although I might limit the times for very large units/motorhomes. As long as the RV fits into the footprint of a standard car or van and is well maintained/ in good condition, it wouldn't bother me.
I don't have an issue with them being parked on their property. I have an issue with them being parked on the street and taking all of the street parking up in front of everyone's homes. It just causes entitlement and unfairness.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't like the idea of seasonal parking, but I would be willing to accept it if they had to pay for an expensive permit to do it, and the permit proceeds went to subsidize low income transit passes, or some other benefit for low income families, since allowing ugly RVs to be out all summer only benefits the rich.
I don't not support this. We live in an area with limited parking already and if people are now able to park RVs on driveway they will park othe vehicles on the already very limited street. We already have issuse with commercial trailers parking in front of our drive to the point we have to the parking authorities that this will cause bigger issues.
I don't see a problem, if they are moveable, don't block the sidewalk etc. No junk vehicles that can't be moved without towing.
I don't support it. Alot of RV parked In The community starts making the streets look like a campground/trailer park. Staring at RVs across the street are an eyesore. Maybe increase the timeframe by a day so ppl feel they have more time to pack/unpack and clean etc
I don't support this. They are unsightly, huge and cumbersome, no one parks in their garage now so my neighborhood already looks like a parking lot, everyone also has 3 huge garbage recepticles that virtually EVERYONE leaves on their driveway, our lots are not big enough for all the crap. I'd love to drive home and just see a nice neighborhood with great curb appeal.
I don't think "aesthetics" should be part if the decision. Sight-lines, blocking sidewalks, etc are all very valid! But I don't care what ugly thing my neighbour has in their driveway.
I don't think I would support this - Residential areas are for residents and residents cars - they are not parking lots for other types of vehicles - bad enough with the growing number of cars parked on driveways and streets as it is
I don't think it's necessary. Owners will have their campers on the driveway for the entire season. They detract from a neighbourhood during the nicest time of year.
I don't think streets should have trailers in front driveways. If you have room at the back, I'm less fussy... but front you should have clear views of neighbors property, kids playing etc... one thing to set up a trailer for a trip... If you camped every weekend, I could see the argument to make it like no more than 5 consecutive days. Taking it to storage for a day every week would suck.
I feel like a lot of RVs will not safely fit on driveways without encroaching onto public spaces and sidewalks. Some driveways are steeply graded as well which be a hazard for long term storage. I am not opposed to allowing it on front driveways but it would need to be enforced.
I feel rvs should be allowed to park on a drive way as long as the are in working order and not interfering with the side walk or street.
I feel that there is no point. In my neighbourhood people are keeping RV's, boats, trailers, etc in their driveways year round. It is not enforced and probably won't be if it is changed.
I have a \$50K boat that I'm parking offsite paying \$50.00 a month to store it, it it out of sight and subject to vandalism and mice. I would like to have the convenience of having my boat right in my front yard parking space so I can keep an eye on it. It costs me a lot of money to store it elsewhere. I have space in my front yard and my boat costs more than my car so it's beautiful. Having the boat there does not obstruct anything. It's a beautiful boat.
I have a corner neighbor in an area where the roads are narrow and small when vehicles are parked on the streets and corners are dangerous due to site restrictions, especially when there is an RV parked on the corner. Neighbors have called in these concerns numerous times as have I. The neighbor will move his RV every 24 hours to avoid being fined but then puts it out the very next day. This occurs off season as well as on season and it is very

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

dangerous for any at that corner as you cannot see what is coming. He has found a work around for the 36 hour time limit and is using it to his advantage.
I have a neighbor that has two RVs which are already parked on seetside for weeks. If this is extended I'm afraid the trailers will never move. Three days gives ample time for clean up and preparation. It's less than a majority of the week and limits the amount of my summer where there are two trailers parked outside the front
I have no issue with driveway parking but I don't believe there should be street parking of these vehicles. I know the bylaw addresses this but the city should be enforcing these rules. My neighbourhood has many recreational vehicles/truck-trailer combos that are parked on city streets for longer than 36 hours.
I have no issue with RV parking on a driveway pending the unit fits wholly on the driveway and doesn't encroach or impede the use of the sidewalk in front of said driveway. I would be concerned about the increase in road parking in communities with front driveways and rvs parking on them though because the newer communities in this city are not designed to provide enough space between driveway entrances to safely have vehicles parked on the road.
I have no issues with people parking RV's on their driveways as long as it fits in the driveway and does not impose danger to anyone. The RV should be in ready to use condition (respectable) for seasonal parking. not a broken down RV on wooden blocks. There has been too many theft happening to RV's accessories while in storage. Some people go "shopping" in RV storage lots for batteries, some RV lithium batteries I hear is really expensive. People own their homes, their RV's and they pay their property taxes so we should accommodate their needs instead of punishing them.
I have no issues with someone parking their RV on their driveway or beside or behind their home - I have an issue with those monster RV's parked on the street so that oncoming traffic is hidden from view when making a turn
I have no problem with my neighbours parking their RV's in their driveways. However, when they run their air-conditioning units 24/7 or smoother noise apparatus, then it becomes an annoying issue and should be controlled through by-law.
I like the 36 hr law, because our neighbours kept their huge RV in their driveway every summer, for YEARS. They just sold it, but now they are leaving their boat on their driveway 😞
I like the existing rule , that allows servicing and loading but not semi permanent storage, especially when the rear is available.
I live in an open tree filled area, and would dislike seeing this nice view interrupted by a large vehicle for a long period of time. I believe this would also negatively impact my property value, as the view is a feature people look for in these areas.
I noticed people are living out of RV on the street protruding it out blocking access to vehicles around. If driveway parking permitted that will open doors for people to just rent it as Airbnb.
I only support it for short term use, I.e. filling, cleaning, emptying, etc.
I prefer not. I don't mind increasing the allowance from 36 to 72 hours, but for all reasons mentioned above, would not support seasonal parking. When families take on ownership of an RV or trailer they understand there will be costs, including storage, associated with ownership.
I really don't care if somebody parks an RV in their driveway as long as it's not run down looking, leaking fluids of any kind or obstructing pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I see no issue with someone parking their RV, trailer, or boat on their driveway. It is within their own property, it's out of the way, and doesn't impact other drivers on the road. I think bylaw should only get involved when the vehicle is parked on the street as that's when sight lines and safety are impacted.
I see no issue with someone storing an RV on their property provided it does not interfere with the sidewalk or sightlines around corners.
I see no problem it's your driveway or backyard. Long as it's not blocking anything or any access I see no problem. People can't afford extra expenses. Some people are always going to complain about something.
I see no problem with front driveway parking if it doesn't encroach on city property such as sidewalks
I support all year round
I support All year round driveway parking for any of those listed! It's very costly for people to put these vehicles in paid storage - yards are small and limited choice - family recreation doesn't need to get more expensive.
I support all year round trailer parking on driveway
I support allowing Canadians to make use of their driveway in a manner that pleases them. They pay for the lot and for the driveway.
I support allowing it. You should not have to pay for storage.
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. On private property, I feel the home owner should decide what they do or don't do on their property. The ability to house and store seasonal vehicles on a driveway or parking stall allows for ease of recreational use, the cost burden of rec. vehicles, healthy lifestyles, mental health, safety and security of property.
I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. This will encourage recreational activities, and allows for financial savings that can be beneficial for our recreational industry.
I support allowing seasonal parking on driveways. I also support allowing parking on driveways in any season, as long as sidewalks and roads are not obstructed. While I understand the safety concerns associated with Street parking of RVs, I cannot imagine safety concerns associated with long term driveway parking. I strongly believe that it is the homeowner's right and prerogative to use their driveway space for any use they see fit. I do not even own an RV, but it is important to me that homeowners are allowed to utilize their own property, and the aesthetics arguments are bizarre in my opinion.
I support allowing seasonal parking so families can enjoy their RV longer than usual. It also communicates a message of importance of recreation for families. Families/users can go RVing anytime permits without having to worry about logistics.
I support as long as it is not blocking any walkways and traffic views either side of the road.
I support driveway parking, and to be honest, i think most people with driveways already park their camping vehicles there in the summer. I think the problem is when these vehicles are parked for an extended period of time on city roads. I would also support a relaxation for corner houses, allowing parking along the length of a corner home.
I support front driveway parking as long as it is on the person's property and is in good condition. I would also support it if it does not cause issues with pedestrian/vehicle traffic. I don't want to cause more issues in the neighbor hoods than we already have with people not paying attention or can't see around a corner. I would also limit what units can be there. For sure tent trailers or flat trailers apposed to having large RV units.
I support front driveway parking. Having to rent or own a separate storage space reeks of privilege.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I Support full time parking in the Home Owners Driveway > It's there home not Yours Quit being a Dictator
I support it , doesn't bother me at all
I support it for a limited duration (e.g 2 days) and as long as it is completely off the road
I support it for a short time - perhaps up to 2 weeks but I have neighbors that stored their trailer on their drive for an entire winter. It's unsightly and dangerous when backing out of my driveway. Another neighbor stores theirs all summer and then parks on the street (in front of their driveway) and as we are in a cul de sac again it makes it dangerous to back out of my driveway. The front of their vehicle is almost even with the edge of my driveway. There is just no room on our street.
I support it. It's very convenient having your RV at home if you're camping all the time.
I support it. The cost savings to RV owners would hopefully go to their food budget and other living expenses.
I support leaving it as it (not putting restrictions) until unless the view is not obstructed and parked safely
I support parking all year as long as it's not unsightly, not impacting others, on your property.
I support parking of RVs on driveways for extended periods of time, permitted that they do not block the sidewalk or road.
I support parking whatever you want wherever you want on your own property.
I support people parking RVs on their own property or in front of their property. We al, pay far too much in taxes already. Why layer on a separate parking spot charge PLUS Ms Mayor deemed YYC in a 'Climate Emergency' . Driving back and forth from a separate parking facility is ridiculous!
I support seasonal driveway parking because it doesn't really affect other people. The city doesn't step in when someone's house looks awful (torn siding, a state of permanent construction, tyvek on house for years with no siding, etc etc) so why would an RV on a driveway be an issue? Should be a bylaw, however, that states no one can live in it when "stored". Not sure how to enforce...
I support seasonal front driveway parking as long as it doesn't obstruct the sidewalk.
I support seasonal front driveway parking for RV's. Owners should not have to park their RV in storage when they are always using it.
I support seasonal front driveway parking for up to 36 hours but I do not support it beyond 36 hours. It would allow citizens to prepare for trips or cleaning prior to storing the RV.
I support seasonal front driveway parking of an RV, as long as the RV is on home owner's property not the street
I support seasonal front driveway parking, it is better for the environment to not have to go to a trailer yard to pick up a trailer used multiple times through the summer season & as long as it is on the owners property (their driveway) I believe it should be a home owners right to be allowed to do this as long as the RV is in working order & is parked away for the winter months somewhere else.
I support seasonal front driveway parking. If it is an inconvenience, atleast it's a temporary one
I support seasonal parking for RV's because of the exuberant costs associated with storing these vehicles when not in use. Forcing people to store these vehicles off of their own property is an added costs most Calgarians cannot afford, which makes these items even less attainable by most. I believe that the time line for parking should be increased from 36





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

hours, up to 7 days, to allow people to do proper cleaning and restocking of the unit as well as time to find an affordable place to park.
I support seasonal parking, as this allows more flexibility for Rv and home owners, and reduce the excessive cost of owning such vehicle.
I support seasonal parking, letting homeowners utilize the available space on their property. Setting a length limit or criteria of acceptable impedance to sidewalks, driveways, etc.
I support seasonal parking.
I support seasonal RV parking as long as it's not during the winter, like my neighbors eye sore.
I support those who want to park an RV on their property. They pay for it and it's time the government mind their own business and stay in their lane.
I support unlimited parking of any vehicle on your property.
I support year round parking in front driveways. Safety, sight lines and aesthetics wouldn't change in the winter. There already is a community standards bylaw to address true aesthetics (debris, weeds etc). I have one neighbor who had broken down cars in driveway and another who runs a home mechanics shop with vehicles on ramps and in various states of disrepair in driveway. Plus this is Alberta where rig trucks are huge and everywhere. RVs look way better aesthetically than these examples.
I support. Current bylaw is too restrictive and inconvenient.
I support seasonal but I would prefer no bylaw and allow owners to use their property as they see fit
I think 36 hours are sufficient to load or unload these vehicles. I don't think permanent parking should be allowed. Or even longer periods of time. There are places to store these vehicles and that's where they should be rather than parked in driveways,
I think 5 day parking max, before it should be moved. Only for peak camping season.
I think 72 hours, to load/unload is appropriate. Seasonal is subjective and some units are an horrible eye sore and obstruct views . If it be sitting in your front yard or kids playing in the street. They don't need to be parked more that 72 hours.
I think a trial run would be good especially on a street such as mine which is a circle without through traffic. I see the main benefit to the homeowner in terms of cost and convenience. If you're doing a trial run, you could reverse the decision if a lot of troubles and complaints surface
I think as long as they aren't covering the sidewalks I don't see the harm. They would need to fit into the driveway, If they overhang then no. I see more broken down cars in driveways than anything so why not a trailer.
I think front driveway parking seasonally is fine, I see no need to have it parked on their driveway November to April. There are lots of places they can take them to a pay a monthly fee to store them and I think there is good security so their vehicle is not stolen?
I think having a large RV parked on front driveways is an eye sore. 36 hours is plenty long enough.
I think if you own an RV and you have a driveway you should be able to park it there all year round. Crime is so bad in Calgary that unless you have visibility on it everyday something will happen to it. Also you own the property or a renting it so what you park on your driveway as long as it has wheels should be allowed.
I think it is a bad idea and may encourage people to take up illegal residence in these spaces given the lack of appropriate affordable housing. This housing need should be met but not in this way as it will cause many issues within neighborhoods. There is also issues with



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

aesthetics that I agree with. I would be in favour of extending the timeline of up to one week but no more. I feel if you have enough money to own and upkeep an RV ect then you should have enough money to store it safely.
I think it should be allowed on a seasonal basis as long as it does not obstruct a roadway or sidewalk. In my opinion there a zero drawbacks to allowing seasonal parking (ex mid June to October)
I think it would be reasonable to allow parking for a week or two, but not all summer. 36 hours is too short and not reasonable.
I think it's fine as long as it doesn't impede sight lines for crosswalks, parks , school zones , other people's driveways , or isn't a general danger. But the city should be able to assess case by case if there are complaints.
I think it's reasonable. It's not taking up street parking and being at the visible point in the house would hopefully cut down on break-ins.
I think owners should be able to keep their vehicles on their property as long as it doesn't encroach on any public spaces such as sidewalks.
I think people should be allowed to park whatever vehicle they own in their own driveway - I seriously do not understand this bylaw at all (Bronconnier leftovers??)
I think so thought needs to be given to sight lines. If a minimum of 10 feet was required to exist between the end of the RV and the sidewalk / curb I would be supportive of the proposal. If the RV is directly adjacent to the sidewalk/ curb. I believe that sight lines are too restricted to allow it.
I think spring to fall is too general. June 1- august 31 I think is reasonable
Otherwise I think 48-72 hours i sufficient and should be moved off front drives.
I think that the allowed time of 36 hours gives the owner enough time to clean or load the RV
I think the current bylaw is appropriate at 36 hrs. I see trailers and motorhomes parked on front lawns (no driveways or pads) years around. In new communities, lots are small, parking is tight and there is no room for trailers etc
I think the current time limitations are more than sufficient. The biggest problems I have encountered are encroachment on the sidewalk and impacts on sightlines when driving (entering intersections). There should also be a grace period to allow the owner to unhitch the trailer (so long as it doesn't hinder the sidewalk access/traffic sightlines) to run out for supplies.
I think the homeowners should be allowed to park their RV in their own property for however long they want assuming that it fits in their driveway and doesn't hang into the street
I think the time frame currently if more than enough for loading and loading.
I think the timeline should be extended from 36 hours to even a week or 10 days but not from spring to fall - people are gross and unfortunately not everyone keeps their property neat and tidy.
However I am okay with RVs parked in a back alley lane way, fenced yard - and even all year long for those options
I think there could be some issues with visibilty for neighbors and to make sure it doesn't pollute the view of the community , DEFINITELY NO STREET PARKING !!! street parking is at a premium right now , a person cannot even park in front of their own residence due to neighbors and across the street taking up the parking with their additional cars



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think there is a large difference between a tent trailer and a huge motorhome so I would want to maybe put some size limits on the vehicle.
I think there should be seasonal parking but should be limited to the size of the unit and should not encroach on sidewalks and or streets. I think a 2 week period would be acceptable during the seasonal period. July and August and September I would consider as seasonal. As stated above safety is a main concern since A lot of these units are large and do block visibility. Bylaw services should be doing more to monitor these items and not leave it up to people to register a complaint. Also the drop down menu supplied with 311 does not indicate these units and also street parking of vehicles is not an option as well.
I think up to 48 hours is okay - more than that could be a bit much to take for the neighbors.
I think you should extend the time, perhaps 7 days but not seasonal parking. in my community we would be full of RVs if everyone was allowed to park it from spring to fall. I think it would be a huge safety concern. My boys go outside and play and walk the dog and I worry that other ehicles would not see them crossing roads due to sight RV obstructions.
I used to own a travel trailer. While I would not support a seasonal front driveway parking, I would support extending the 36 hr limit to 72 hr. This would allow a working family to grab their RV from it's long term parking and then prepare it for either start of season, weekend camping or end of season without having to juggle work obligations, family obligations etc. I found the 36 hr very unreasonable.
I used to own an RV, lived out of the city. Stored it. I do not support this. We will end up with a sea of RVs around the neighborhood. We will end up with folks living in them. 36 hours is more than enough to load or unload
I will not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking for RV. They come in a variety of sizes and can affect esthetics in the neighborhood and direct neighbours, safety issues, lure more petty thefts, block sidewalks, etc.
I will not support parking RV in front driveway. It blocks view, blocks sunlight on plants in front yard and flower pot. It is also safety concern, sometimes it is very close to walkway. I will request city to keep restriction currently have. I have lived in Edmonton, Grande Prairie. I tried to avoid buying home is next house has RV. When I sold house, buyer didn't like RV on the next parking lot. When I bought, next house didn't have RV, They bought after.
I would 100% support seasonal parking on front driveways. The arguments of esthetics and safety to me are poor considering the vast majority of RV owners maintain them to an excellent standard and there are plenty of gaudily modified non-RV vehicles with much worse esthetic appeal that we have to put up with. Sight lines I see as a reasonable argument but in my observations of front parked RVs I'm yet to see a single time they have cause this type of issue.
I would absolutely support it. As a tent camper who would love to own an RV, I think it would really ease the stress of owning an RV.
I would agree to driveway parking but to the back of the driveway. There are no sidewalks on my street and I think it would be unsightly and take away from the esthetics of the neighbourhood.
I would agree with allowing up to 72 Hours. My concern is that much more than that and people will abuse the privilege. This way if neighbours view is blocked or the RV is in poor condition something can be done to correct it. Depending on the neighborhood I feel 36 hours is a pit unreasonable.
I would allow for four days. Time to prepare or unload would be about this time.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would allow it as long as nobody is living in it. And with the rising cost of everything it will allow more family to unplug and enjoy the outdoors more often.
I would allow it. I think it is important for families to be able to use their leisure vehicles at a moment's notice. I also appreciate the cost effectiveness.
I would allow two weeks maximum. Any longer it becomes an eyesore.
I would as long as it's clean and doesn't block a neighbours view or ability to travel the sidewalk
I would be ok with it as long as the rv/trailer is only in the driveway and doesn't extend into the sidewalk or street. Might be worth considering a maximum length in the bylaw to prevent issues with blocked sidewalks/roadways
I would be opposed to seasonal parking on driveways due to blocked sight lines, as well as increased street parking.
I would be supportive of a full-year (including seasonal) front driveway parking. It does not bother me. If I have an issue with the appearance or visibility, I have good relationships with my neighbours and can discuss concerns with them directly.
I would definitely NOT support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. It obstructs the view from our windows in our homes and that could become a safety hazard. It also takes away from the value of the area.
I would definitely support extending the time someone can have their RV etc parked out front, even if it's just to like 7 days but seasonally would be fine as well. Maybe there should be a limit on the height or length of the RV for it to qualify for front driveway parking, to account for sightline concerns.
I would definitely support this and as long as its on the owners driveway i dont see any problems with it.
I would definitely. It doesn't bother me to see them in the summer as long as it's not unsightly and doesn't cover the sidewalk.
I would for ease of use for owners. I don't think the esthetics of an RV are that terrible, especially if it is in active use. My only concern would be about the security of the vehicle when not in use
I would give them free reign all year. I don't understand how it is a safety risk.
I would go further and say that I support full year parking for any road-worthy habitable vehicle of this nature. If it is "not unsightly" and kept in a fine enough condition to drive off and use (not collecting rust to be a junk pile), not encroaching on City Property, and meeting other bylaw requirements, there is no reason to limit it.
I would have no problem with seasonal parking, as long as the RV is being moved throughout the season. But there is no way they should be parked in a front driveway year-round, and without moving.
I would if it included dis-allowing parking these vehicles on the road. I would much prefer my neighbours have these vehicles in their driveways than on the road impeding my visibility and making it unsafe to back out of my driveway.
I would increase the 36hr rule to maybe 5 days during summer months allowing ppl to park on driveway during weekdays and camp at weekend.
I wish to complain about the ppl who park trailers for months and then use up street parking as the driveway full of illegal crap.
I would like it to continue as it is, that RVs are only allowed to be parked short term in neighborhoods.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would much rather people park them in their driveways rather than on the street
I would not be opposed to this being extended to 72 hrs but longer than that can create safety issues and can be an eye sore
I would not as it is a safety hazard, obstruction of views and limits parking due to the size
i would not as the majority of yards in the area were built with the space to include RV pads inside the yard area. no need to block street. we have several neighbors with Trailers/RV's who have their units parked inside the yard on their RV pads so streets are left open to vehicles
I would not because of the impacts of obstructed sightlines, safety, and esthetics. Also, I know from personal experience tolerance for "unsightly" is subjective and therefore the burden of enforcement is put on the affected neighbor/s to make the complaint and this can create bad feelings between neighbors- why would we create this situation? If people can afford an RV they can afford to secure seasonal parking somewhere other than their front residential driveways.
I would not due to the concerns outlined above.
I would not have an issue with allowing more time for driveway rv parking. I do have objections to rv street parking and it would be good for bylaw to ensure driveway parking is in progress and to ensure that street parking is not.
I would not support additional seasonal RV parking as these vehicles are large and block sight lines for pedestrians and drivers using sidewalks and streets. These RV vehicles also block sight lines of neighbouring houses to the street with potential safety issues. A parked RV would block the neighbour's view when backing out of their driveway.
I would not support allowing RV vehicles to park on driveways. The city is opening up a can of worms. It cannot monitor effectively now bylaws who is going to pay as people will abuse. You will find people living in the RV or rented out. Look at the city of Kelowna West Bank trailers parked in the driveways are unsightly and they have had problems. People are currently parking their RV some where on a lot that is secure. What will happen to their business you will take away. City stop interfering in something that does not need to change. Concentrate on snow clearing of side roads and walking pathways instead of wasting time on this issue.
I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking because of the esthetician.
I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway RV parking. When you back out of your driveway it is impossible to see on coming cars when there is an RV parked adjacent to your driveway. Also when an RV owner is backing out of his two lane driveway containing an RV he cannot see cyclists or pedestrians walking on the sidewalk.
I would not support allowing seasonal vehicles to be parked on the front driveway or property. It detracts from the look of the neighborhood. It also reduces visibility and would provide more crimes of opportunity. And it looks just plain trashy.
I would not support any RV, boat, or trailer parking in front or back parking pads. These luxury items should be stored in a properly secured compound or lot, to reduce theft and or possible contamination runoff to city sewers. I believe if you choose to own one of these items, it should be a requirement to park in a storage facility as in general these are an eyesore in backyards now.
I would not support any seasonal front driveway parking. It's a safety hazard for children and oncoming traffic that may be hidden due to the size of RVs. Citizen's also have a loose interpretation of of driveway. RV owners have ample options to use RV parking lots, for

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

which they exist for. This is a knowingly added cost to owning an RV, and they should bear responsibility for it.
I would not support front driveway parking. Many of these RV's are now so big they block the view of the road for neighbours to safely exit their own driveways. Drivers using the road cannot see people exiting onto the road, particularly children running out into the road. Calgary has many safe storage locations to park an RV.
I would NOT support it for the above mentioned reasons, especially safety. Allowing extended parking time encourages some people to use an RV as extra (rented) living accommodation.
I would not support it. I think it would cause a safety hazard especially in a neighbourhood with schools and young children.
I would not support it. It creates a ton of blind spots where there are none and if they are rich enough to own one, then they should cover the expenses of parking at somewhere else. It's a complete nuisance.
I would not support it. It is a huge safety concern. They block proper views of street the children playing.
I would not support it. Safety of the community should always come before convenience and other benefits for individuals.
I would not support it. The owners would then have to park their vehicles on the street creating more crowded streets and illegal parking. There is already too much of that in my neighborhood.
I would not support it. They are unsightly if a lot of homes have them. Specifically in Calgary they pose added dangers to people who would leave them in their driveways for the owners and neighbors that have to get onto the street from their driveway and have these large RV's blocking their view and thus making their street entry much more hazardous. Its also a danger to pedestrians that walk there due to decreased visibility.
I would not support parking in front driveways, as I'm finding it difficult to keep the 2 parking spaces in front of my own home in Dover available to park my own 2 vehicle's because neighbors are using the space. If RV's were allowed then even less street parking would available.
I would not support permanent summer parking on front streets or properties. I have one neighbour with it permanently on their font grass, another with a motor home sideways on the driveway partially in their yard. It looks terrible.
I would not support RV vehicles parked on streets beyond the 36 hour period due to already limited parking on our streets and the fact that we cannot find parking on many days due to over saturated density. Many residents seem to have too many cars. My neighbor currently has an RV and parks it in the other neighbours back alley making it a challenge for large trucks to make it down the narrow alley. Moving these types of vehicles onto the street is going to create larger problems.
I would not support seasonal driveway parking. Big issues is on small cul de sacs, it really reduces sightlines, and it is ugly. ie: reduces green space.
I would NOT support seasonal front driveway for more than the current 36 hours. I believe they are obstructing views and diminishing local neighbourhood pride and property values. During the past summer, my cul-de-sac looked like a RV park.
I would NOT support seasonal front driveway parking - because RVs are ugly (esthetics), and can block lines of site due to their size (safety). However I would support extending the time



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

that RVs can park to say 4 days as frequently families have visitors with RVs for extended long weekend visits. 36 hours is very restrictive
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking as it restricts view for safety reasons & does take away from the beauty of neighbourhoods when one is out & only sees rows of RV's rather than yards & hopefully trees!!!
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking of RVs. My issue is concerning pedestrian safety. A person walking or running on a sidewalk can not see what is on the other side of the parked RV. A vehicle could be backing out of the driveway and there easily could be a collision between the pedestrian and vehicle. The current bylaw works. Why change it?
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking of trailer/ RVs. Nor do I think that extending the 36 hr time for front parking is necessary. People already try to push the 36 hr limit. Having to look out at someone's trailer/RV on the front street is not something that I want to see. If people want to park them in their own back yard that is up to them. They chose to buy it and they should be prepared to store it where it does not affect anyone else.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking the way it is described.
36 hours is way too restrictive. I would support 3-4 days, maybe a week or two. 36 hours is not enough time to load things into/ out of an RV and is way too short.
Seasonal (sounds like 1/2 the year) is too long. I have concerns about people living in or renting out their RVs in the summer. I also see people "pushing the limits" of the rules.
36 hours should definitely be fixed, seasonal to me is not the answer.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. We live in a cul-de-sac that is in a larger cul-de-sac, parking is limited, so once someone parks their RV/etc in their driveway, they have to park on the street and a lot of the time, they don't even park in front of their own house.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. If the resident is parking a every in their front drive they then park their vehicle(s) on the street. Street parking is a problem as it is for most streets. 36 hours give the rv owner enough time to pack and ready the rv for their trip. If the time is extended this could lead to people living in rvs in driveways which has happened in our area in the past.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. It is an eyesore to have these vehicles out front. They also block sight lines, which can be dangerous for cars pulling into the street. I feel the 36 hour limit is appropriate.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Living in the dense neighbourhoods of NE Calgary already causes issues with parking. Having larger RVs for example takes up more room on our streets leaving less room for everyone else in the community.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. My neighbours driveways are presently so full that they have to park their cars on the street., along with their adapted "delivery-style" vans. Some of these RV's are so big that they block the front of the house, and are not ameliorating the neighbourhood esthetic.
I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. There is no effective or efficient way to enforce unsightly conditions and it will only encourage parking and occupying of RVs in the community.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would not support seasonal front-driveway parking for RVs. If the RV is occupying the driveway, the cars that previously occupied the driveway get parked on the street. Street parking is scarce around here.
I would not support seasonal or extended parking in residential areas any more than I would support the parking of city or school buses there. They block sight lines which makes crossing the street, or turning into or out of lane ways more difficult.
I would not support seasonal parking because it is the responsibility of the RV owner to finance and secure their RV and their neighbours should not be burdened by the danger, inconvenience and ugliness of these vehicles. There is no reason for people to even use RVs to enjoy our amazing wilderness ecosystem. I also don't believe the city has the bylaw resources to police infractions of the code or the ability and willingness to enforce strict enough fines to deter abuse of the code.
I would not support seasonal parking but would support providing convenient RV grounds.
I would not support seasonal parking in a residential area. I think increasing the time line to 48 hrs. is a reasonable time to allow the parking in residential allowing time to load, unload or generally prepare an RV for use. I would like to see a specific provision in the Bylaw that requires any RV to be roadworthy and in operable condition including licensed and proof of insurance when parked on private or public property. This would be a tool to prevent people from dumping derelict RV's.
I would not support seasonal parking in front, , my daughter lived in grande prairie where they allow this , it's a mess, almost every house had one and they are so large that they needed to be angled across the double driveways and lawns , looked like every street was a trailer park , half the time there were hitches and bumpers intruding onto sidewalks, people take advantage of the roads and park huge trailers for the summer on side streets
I would not support seasonal parking. Firstly it would be a safety concern for people exiting their driveways and any bylaws would be very difficult to enforce. It would lead to people parking boats etc. Secondly driveways are not meant or designed to be RV parking lots. We are absolutely not for it. You would end up having people living in them. It could depreciate property values.
I would NOT support seasonal parking. I want tough rules and regulations. Citizens already abusing the by-law. Only 15% own RV's. Why are you catering to the minority? We are a white collar city, blue collar is Edmt, RDeer, Winnipeg etc. Nothing has changed wrt obstruction, safety and aesthetics. They are all good reasons to reject any changes. City Council is out of touch with what the majority want. If you own a RV you can afford to pay for storage. Houses originally designed for 2 vehicles. Not 4 cars plus a RV. I HATE that this is even being revisited. Poor RV condition is in the eye of the beholder. City will favour RV owners. STOP this NONSENSE.
I would not support seasonal RV parking. I am worried about safety in communities. Obstructed sight lines would be obstructed for autos, pedestrians and cyclists. Additionally, it has been my experience that when these vehicles are parked there are often power cables running from the home property to the RV for extended periods of time - crossing sidewalks, right of ways, and sometimes even spanning an entire street width. This would also be a safety concern.
I would not support seasonal RV parking. That would mean an owner would park their car on the street all summer creating parking and safety issues. I would support allowing more than 36 hours though. That is restrictive and RV owners do need time for packing and maintenance. 48 hrs or even 72 hrs seems more flexible.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would not support such an initiative. These vehicles are large and block sight lines for vehicles driving on adjacent roadways, leading to potential accidents with children or others. Additionally, they negatively affect the aesthetics of residential neighborhoods.
I would not support the parking of any seasonal vehicles in the front driveway because they are obstructing sight lines and also dangerous if it is a larger RV with a larger tank for gas or propane.
I would not support this at all. I have neighbors who already park their RV's wherever and no by-law person ever tickets them. Another issue is that I would end up with someone's RV in front of my house unable to get out to the front street as we live where we do not have sidewalks in front of our house. RV's that are parked on the street are usually parked in front of someone else's house or are blocking the intersections or alleys so safety of drivers is in question.
I would not support this change. The front of properties should be left as clear as possible to keep the aesthetics of the community looking as uncluttered as possible. Some of these RVs are huge and would completely block views of the front streetscapes. This obstructive view would also give rise to security concerns where potential offenders could hide. I consider 36 hours a reasonable period to load/unload. The cost of off site parking should not be a factor to change the current bylaw.
I would not support this for the reasons outlined above. Calgary drivers are already distracted enough - additional obstructions are not needed.
I would not support this. The city has made communities too small, driveways too small and streets too narrow. The city has effectively made it unfeasible to have RV's in the community longer than 36 hours
I would not support this. In my neighbourhood, the driveways are often not long enough to accommodate the trailers and the hitches are often protruding out into the city sidewalk This is dangerous for pedestrians. It also creates sight instructions for drivers on the roads.
I would not support this. Recreational vehicles obstruct views on residential streets. They block lines of site for drives navigating neighborhoods, as well as obstruct views of children in yards and people walking with or without dogs. Most streets are already too narrow to let one car through, let alone adding campers and RV's. I believe it will cause more rifts between neighbors with people blocking driveways or units spilling onto streets
I would not support TV parking for longer terms. It reduces the appeal and resale value of any home on the street. RV's should be parked in designated storage facilities.
I would not support. I already live on a corner where the side of my property is abused by my neighbors parking trucks, trailers and other vehicles. Some neighbors have more vehicles than they can store in their driveways and garages as is. Allowing more RV vehicles to be allowed in driveways will mean more vehicles parked on the street, and on my street it will mean more vehicles to be parked next to my house. Those spaces have already been abused by my neighbors where we have had leaking vehicles, vehicles parked in excess of city bylaws and we have had to call the city often to get these concerns addressed while creating rifts between neighbors. Things will just get worse!
I would not support. It is an eyesore. More importantly reduces availability of street parking. RV owners will park their passenger vehicles on the street, due to their driveway space being occupied by an RV.
I would NOT, for all the currently existing reasons. They're intrusive, unattractive and detract from the neighbourhood. 36 hours is a reasonable timeline.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would not. I do not want the flow of traffic constricted, availability of short term parking reduced or my view obstructed.
I would not. 36 hrs is more than enough
I would not. I live in a neighbourhood where there are many secondary suites (legal and illegal) and parking can already be challenging. If someone is parking an RV on the street for an extended period of time, parking would really be challenging. I have also noticed that newer RVs parked in front of a garage usually cross over the sidewalk and extend into the street.
I would not. I think it will increase crime, be unsightly, and be dangerous for pedestrians.
I would not. It is unsightly and obstructs views. With the lots as small as they are right now, parking on driveways impacts the neighbours greatly. People rarely obey the bylaws now, it will be worse if the bylaw is extended and some will end up having their RV parked there full time. The bylaw is fine as it is.
i would not. opening this up is just slippery slope to eventually having no rules on rv parking at all. if you own an rv & don't have anywhere to park it or can't afford off site storage/parking why should everyone else have to look at an rv parked on a driveway all spring & summer. or "better yet" parked straight up on grass.
I would not. Our neighbours push boundaries already and have people stay several nights in RV on street with bump outs extended. Seasonal parking would encourage this, blocks sight lines for longer, making life easier for prowlers and quite simply is unsightly.
I would not. They are too large and can obstruct sight lines. One benefit of COVID was that more kids started playing outside. In my neighbourhood, lots of kids play on the street and ride their bikes. Allowing large recreational vehicles to be parked on the street for over 36 hours adversely impacts the ability of drivers to see young children. The risk is not worth it. Also, the large vehicles are unsightly. These vehicles do not belong in the inner city. Children and families belong here.
I would not. We do not have a lane. So these things would be at the front of the homes.
I would only support allowing seasonal front driveway parking if there were substantial set-back rules in place, to make sure that sightlines were not obstructed. For example, if the RV had to be parked a minimum number of metres away from the sidewalk, so that cars exiting that driveway (or neighbouring driveways) could have an unobstructed view of any pedestrians or cyclists using the sidewalk. This is of utmost importance to me. We really need to ensure that Calgarians are able to safely use active modes of transportation, and that should not be impeded by someone's desire for a large RV in front of their house.
I would only support an increase to 48 hours
I would only support front driveway parking as long as the RV does not back out onto the sidewalk or onto part of the roadway. Otherwise it's obstructing sidewalks for public use. It is already frustrating enough when people intentionally do not back all the way into their driveway and block the sidewalk with their vehicles. Children should not need to walk around into the road.
I would only support seasonal driveway parking as long as the rules don't change for street parking. RVs parked on public roads shouldn't be parked for longer than 36 hours.
I would only support such a bylaw if it included a clause that did not allow for sleeping and/or cooking in said units while they are parked in the driveway. We do not want to encourage the use of these vehicles for summer city camping or short term rentals. Also, vehicle should be licensed to the owner or occupant of the property to discourage rental of driveways for rv storage



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would ONLY under very strict guidelines like aesthetics, vehicle condition, road obstruction, and proximity to parks and private driveways. I'm mindful that Calgarians like to RV and boat. But they need to be respectful and mindful of others.
I would prefer not allowing it longer due to esthetics, also I do believe it could cause more property crime due to easy break ins. 36 hrs is ample time to clean pack or unpack
I would prefer not to allow for the reason that the neighbourhood will look worse if it is allowed.
I would prefer not to look at an RV all season long. And i think if you allow rec vehicles to stay longer then 36 hours, they must be gone for at least a week before returning to sit for another 36+ hours
I would prefer not, because it isn't safe for kids, it's obstructed sightlines, and it causes disagreements/resentment between neighbours, as we feel uncomfortable to ask them not to park there, despite of the RV blocking all the way and making it difficult for us to move freely.
I would prefer not. Aesthetically it is not appealing and it can obstruct sight lines. Our street is also very busy in the summer as we are on Canmore park. Too much congestion already
I would prefer the bylaw to remain at 36 hours due to these vehicles obstructing site lines and safety concerns for both pedestrians, children and automobiles. I also do not care for the cultured esthetics.
I would prefer the bylaw to stay as it is, some RV s are very big and our streets are narrow and most won't fit anyways on driveways without obstructing sidewalks
I would rather not have the seasonal front driveway parking. In a tight bay like ours it could easily look like a RV storage lot. Unsightly trucks are enough.
I would say yes if it fits on your driveway without impeding the sidewalk or street you should be allowed but if that happens people will then clog the street with vehicles. If it didn't increase vehicles parked on the street it would be fine but I'm not sure how anyone could monitor that.
I would support 2 weeks. This allows for uses such as visiting relatives, loading, or any other use I can think of of short of someone using it as a permanent residence at the house or creating a commercial purpose for it, and neighbors should be able to mind there business for 2 weeks, as well as not affecting permanently, the esthetic or affecting property values or selling a house. Somewhere around 2 weeks I think would be the sweet spot
I would support a 72 hr limit. Not longer
I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking if the RV meets some criterias, such as height, length, safety (e.g. the propane tank cannot be left on the RV for over a period of time, the sidewalk cannot be blocked).
I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I don't disagree with the concern of it being unsightly and obstructing the view of drivers. However, I also believe it would be nice to allow people to have the convenience of parking their trailer on their own property for convenience and cost savings.
I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. Some individuals do not have access to a back alley or a way to get their RV into their yard. Putting an RV in storage can be quite inconvenient and can increase the likelihood of damage to the vehicle. It is also much more difficult to maintain when in a lot.
I would support April to October expanded parking off-street allowed.
I would support as it's seasonal and it's their property (not a permanent structure)



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support as long as it is not being used while park on the front driveway. Some people use their RV while on the driveway which can cause noise and disturbance to the neighborhood.
I would support as long as it isn't blocking sightlines for others (e.g. entry/exit ways, corners, etc.), crossing over or too close to sidewalks.
I would support driveway parking from May long weekend til sept long weekend. Street parking though, no, not acceptable for extneded periods of time - not a chance.
I would support extending the 36 hours, but not to seasonal.
I would support extending the the time an RV is parked on the front driveway to 72 hours. I would not support full-time seasonal parking of RVs
I would support extending the time to 72 hours (3 days). People work and have other time commitments that could make it difficult to pick up their RVs from storage and prepare to leave within 36 hours. They should be given extra time. But I do not want to see RVs stored on driveways for the entire summer season.
I would support front driveway parking. As a home owner, if I had an RV I would like to have the option to park it in my own driveway for more than 36hours.
I would support increasing the amount of time that an RV can be parked on a front driveway to accommodate disruptions in cleaning, loading, unloading, etc. 48 or 72 hours would allow for more convenience, and could possibly reduce the trips back-and-forth which is better for traffic and roads.
I would support increasing the length of time to 2-3 days. However not longer. I don't like how the street looks with multiple rv's. In increases the vehicles parked on the street which makes it hard to have visitors park, over they go onto the sidewalk making it difficult to see or walk around.
I would support it for small ones like teardrops or other small impact units. I would not support for larger units or boats. Perhaps a maximum length or weight based on the standard front driveway.
I would support it if there are specific dates attached May 20 - Oct 15
I would support it only if it is completely in the driveway. In our area, there are a couple of residences that park them on the street in front of their driveway and, even worse, have the slideouts open, further obstructing the street.
I would support it with a paid permit
I would support it. Don't see why someone shouldn't be able to park their personal property on their own property that they pay for. Option 2 is that the city provides free parking for these seasonal vehicles in a safe, city maintained, well lit, monitored area. Considering this is expensive, let people do what they need to do.
I would support it. If the person/renter of a home has the space to park the vehicle, I don't see why it's an issue.
I would support it. It eases trailer ownership and encourages people to travel locally.
I would support parking any serviceable, uninhabitable vehicle on private property without time limit. It's their vehicle, it's their property, repeal this ridiculous bylaw. Make restrictions only applicable if the unit violates one of the listed concerns.
I would support parking for 3 days but not longer.
I would support parking in the front.
I would support seasonal driveway parking only not street parking.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support seasonal front driveway parking because it's a hassle for people to re-park their vehicles at offsite lots between trips. Once September comes around, they should be parked off site or in the back.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking for some RV's under a certain size. For example a tent trailer or boler. The larger trailers and RV's would cause congestion and be unsightly. In the new communities the streets are already congested due to the narrower lots and adding large trailers would just magnify that issue.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking of up to 72 hours, in order to allow a weekend visitor, packing, cleaning, and unpacking of the trailer/rv... however they are an eyesore to the neighborhood, often block sidewalks, encroach on other's property, block the sunlight for gardens, and are simply unwelcome.
I would support seasonal front driveway parking. This seems like the most accessible option for RV owners. And, provided the RV follows bylaw and does not obstruct sidewalks and walkways, then I don't think safety or obstructed sightlines concerns are too much of an issue. If it is stipulated that the RV must not be unsightly, then I don't see aesthetics being a large issue.
I would support seasonal parking as part of getting ready to go away involves picking up unit from a storage facility which uses valuable 'travel' time when it could be packed and ready to go when the family is ready.
I would support seasonal parking based on the size of the RV. The RV should be a set number of feet back from the sidewalk.
I would support seasonal parking it as long as the RV is in a driveway. I would not support extended parking on the street, or on a front lawn as one of our neighbours have done. In the first instance because of obstruction of view when driving. I do not support parking a vehicle on a front lawn because of aesthetics and makes the neighborhood look like a junk yard.
I would support seasonal parking on driveways but not on the street. Driveways are private property and the responsibility of the owner. Street parking is meant for all. I would allow for an increase in time from 36 hours to 72. We used to own travel trailer and would come home on a Sun/Mon and go back out the next Thurs/Fri. The extended time would allow us to un/re-load for the different trips.
I would support seasonal parking on front driveways as long as they don't block the sidewalk. But I don't support parking on streets for any length of time. We have limited parking on the street and motorhomes etc. would take up all the space.
I would support seasonal RV parking as long as conditions are in place (e.g. RV is not over the property line/meets setback requirements for sightings etc). In Cochrane this seems to work pretty well as a compromise. Alternatively a 72 hour period for RV owners to park on the front driveway would improve convenience issued posed by the current 36 hour requirement.
I would support slightly more relaxed approach to RVs over the summer, 36 hours is a pretty short amount of time. I would prefer if my neighbours RV/trailers weren't parked in from of our house all summer, its a decent balance right now but I can appreciate 36 is not very long.
I would support the ability to park an RV in the front driveway temporarily to allow for packing, unpacking and cleaning of the unit only, not seasonal parking. I feel that allowing RVs to park in front driveways would make the neighbourhoods look cluttered & junkie.
I would support the city not having any say in what happens on someone's personal property.
I would support this as long as; The RV is 100% on the driveway. To be safe establish a distance that the trailers hitch must be behind the sidewalk to eliminate any RV owner from thinking a little encroaching of the



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

sidewalk is ok.
The community has the right to complain if they feel their line of sight is obstructed or the trailer is in poor repair or any other safety concern. And the owner will have to remove it.
I would support this if there is increased restrictions on RV street parking. RVs parking on the street is obstructive.
I would also support this only if there is a size restriction. Those with large RVs parking in the driveway shadows our yard and garden, it also poses a safety risk. I feel more unsafe walking outside our home with a large RV in the driveway as I cannot see people or vehicles approaching. Perhaps a height and length restriction similar to a vehicle could be reasonable.
I would support this initiative as long as the RV is kept off of city streets. Our residential streets are already low on parking space so to have RV's take additional space would not be reasonable but if the RV was to only be kept on driveways or parking pads doesn't seem to be too problematic.
I would support this so long as there are slightly more restrictions on the larger RV's that exist. I find the large motor homes to be incredibly noisy, block large lines of sight, and take up more than 2 parking spaces along a street. I'm all for the seasonal parking for smaller vehicles (Class C motorhome and smaller), but anything larger than that they are quite bothersome.
I would support this, it's their property and they pay taxes on their home!
I would support this. People use these vehicles and often make a lot of family memories.
I would support year round driveway parking. It is part of one privileges of home ownership. These do not impact neighbours.
I would support year round front driveway parking. Parking an RV is no different that parking a car in the driveway. The entire issue seems to be more of a made-up sop to busy-bodies making demands on others on an issue that is not their business.
I would support year round front driveway parking. So cost effective for home owner not having to pay for storage fees elsewhere. Home owners should have the right to use their own property as they wish. I would not consider a travel trailer to effect the overall aesthetics on the street.
I would support year round rv parking
I would support year round, better than them getting moved around to different spot on the street every few days where they obstruct passing and visibility on the physical roadway
I would support year-round driveway parking as long as RV was parked on homeowners driveway and not on the street as long as the adjacent neighborhoods can safely view the street when leaving their driveway. By-law could be updated to include a minimum clearance from sidewalk, street or property line. It's better than our neighbour parking it in front of the community post office boxes.
I would support year-round parking of RV on individuals driveway private property
I would! Life is already expensive enough without having to pay RV storage fees. If your driveway is big enough to hold your RV without it obstructing anything, why shouldn't you be allowed to park it on your own property?
I would, because the driveway belongs to the homeowner as his property and the freedom to treat it as such must be recognized by the City, not co-opted and re-defined. The bylaw must ensure that it is not infringing upon people's property, thus violating God's command, "You shall not steal," but also ensuring that the property owner does not also steal from the value

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

of his neighbor's property by being ill-maintained and unsightly. That is the purpose of government, to ensure that there is no theft.
I wouldn't change it.
I wouldn't support allowing seasonal front driveway parking, the RVs are an eyesore to look at and usually longer than any driveway.
I wouldn't because it does obscure sight lines and leads to more issues with street parking.
I'd be okay with seasonal front driveway parking for RVs that do not exceed a certain height. For example, tent trailers, and some campers are not very large and do not block sightlines to the same degree that motorhomes or fifth-wheel travel trailers do. I don't support seasonal parking in front driveways for large RVs because they block sightlines as well as can block sunlight from reaching their neighbours windows or their lawn. It's not fair that, just because someone's neighbour owns a large RV, that they should lose sightlines from their home or have sunlight into their homes or on their lawn blocked.
I'm all for rear and side parking and maybe extending the 36 hr rule for frontside but the problem you'll face is when people start living in said trailers full time or worse charging people rent to live in them. This will create more problems than the trailer itself, especially when the City has to deal with problem people and try to enforce something.
I'm lukewarm about it. Prolonged parking on driveways will decrease available street parking. Makes the garage partially inaccessible and takes away a parking spot on the driveway=more cars in the street.
I'm ok with driveway, but absolutely no street parking
I'm OK with summer use because of the pain in the hassle of moving in and out and going to RV Campground. I get that. I really do not want them parked over the course of the winter because then they also create their own snow fence type of situation where I end up getting snow on my driveway because the RV has blocked it and collects in my yard. That I don't want to.
I'd be concerned about obstructed sightlines. But as long as they aren't unsightly and aren't on the streets, I wouldn't have an issue if they were able to park in their driveways from May through September.
I'd support small size RV parking on front driveway to reduce the parking on street. Safety is still a concern.
If a family has a front parking stall or pad, it is their right to do as they please with it. If they choose to park their RV there, so be it. The City (and neighbours) should stay out of it.
If I am paying taxes after my property, let me park whatever I want on my driveway. Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking.
If I own an RV I should be allowed to park it on my own driveway. My property my business
If it allows families to get out & camp more then I think it would be okay. There would need to be adequate space on the driveway.
If it blocks people line of site for driving, no do not allow! If people abuse it and park on street, move to driveway, than park on street. Moving it so bylaw doesn't do anything, No! Do not allow! ( which my neighbour does and bylaw does nothing).
Parking In back lanes, close to garage, No do not allow! And No, do not allow, due to abandoned trailers/rv that never move and become mouse hotels. ( again neighbour has and the mice are everywhere)
If it didn't block views.
If it doesn't obstruct sightlines and safety



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If it fits on there property and it's well kept and not abandon. Yes
If it is 100% in the private Driveway yes, if it hangs out on the sidewalk or road no -
If it is in the driveway, I don't have a problem with it. If it is parked on the street, it should be there no more than 36 hours.
If it is on a driveway I think it is okay if we don't get people living there. Should still be a limit but something longer like a week.
If it is on your property , go ahead and park it any time .
If it is only on their driveway and doesn't stick out onto the road or sidewalk then it would be ok. Better than taking up street parking which is what happens now. On our street so many people have long RV's that if we allowed them yo park on the street all summer there would be no available street parking all summer. This would negatively affect us as we often have family drive in to visit from out of town (bringing tourist \$ to alberta) and they would have nowhere to park.
If it is parked on driveway or pad I am fine with this. But should not be parked on the street in front of the home for extended periods of time. Like one week or more used as a place for guest to stay.
If it obstructs vision of the road by other vehicles, then it should not be parked on the driveway or the street.
If it's on an individuals property that is fine, I think that if it is on the roadway it should be under the current rules.
If it's on the property and off the street not taking up parking for regular resident parking a bit longer might be fair, not really any reason it needs to be there long term.
If it's someone's property, they should be allowed to park wherever they want to on it, including the front driveway, as long as it doesn't obstruct the side walk. Not everyone has the money or ability to park it in their back yard, especially if they're renting.
If it's still 2 ft away from the roadway (sidewalk size) then I'm fine with it.
If parked on the lot itself and not on the road or drive way then yes. It is not aesthetically pleasing and more concern is the limited visibility due to their size. Our family (kids under 10) was riding bikes along the street pedestrian path and had to veer off the road due to an RV parked in a drive way, in doing so we couldn't see an oncoming car. This is a significant safety hazard!
If people follow the proper measures, there should be no reason why they cannot use their own property to park their RV.
If RV is huge, then no. It definitely would obstructed sightlines from a car rolling out from neighbors.
If RVs are parked on front driveways, then owners cars will end up on the road or back laneways. We already do not have enough street parking. No!
If the house has a large enough front driveway on their property and if the time period is limited to 3 or 4 months I am ambivalent. However I am entirely opposed to street parking as it will be abused and impact neighbors both visually and will compete for homeowner parking, especially in my neighborhood where lots are small and one parked car takes up the space in front of one house. Homeowners need ease of access to their properties and shouldn't have to compete for street parking.
If the owner parks on his/her front driveway and only driveway, he/she should be allowed since it is his/her property. If the RV is parked in front of or behind the house on the street, it should not be allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the RV could fit in a DRIVEWAY without obstructing vision of drivers or the sidewalk, I don't see an issue with it. I don't like the idea of an RV being parked on a street for longer than 36 hours (especially living in a community where parking is very limited).
If the RV is fully on the driveway, and does not obstruct the road, and NO PART of the RV extends into the road, then yes.
If the RV is in a driveway and is in good condition I don't see why it would bother someone if they are parked there all year. My neighbour parks his trailer in his driveway and I love it because it stops people from looking into my child's bedroom. When they take it camping I have to close the blind 24 hours a day.
If the RV is on their property it's none of anyone's business and should be allowed to remain on their property for as long as they need. With the prices of everything these days it would be easier for their pocket books as well.
If the RV is on your property it should be permitted.
If the RV is parked in the driveway (meaning inside the private property) and is not obstructing the sidewalk, I think it's fine to stay there for whatever period of time.
If the unit fits properly and they don't park on the street where they cause unsafe traffic.
If there is no obstructed sightlines or esthetic issues, or if they park on the road and I have to cross the yellow line - those would be unacceptable terms to park the vehicles on. Otherwise, I am okay with it.
If they are on a driveway then it doesn't bother me. If they are on the street then I do not want them parked for longer than 36 hours
If vehicle is back far enough to not block visually fro sidewalks, intersections and neighborhoods don't have to view all the time. Should Not be on front driveways for long periods of time.
if we allow all the driveways to parking RV then their own cars will be parked in street. it creates a parking problem. already noticed majority of homeowners are using the Garage as a storage place , additional vehicle parking front driveways and street parking.
If we allow seasonal parking, why not allow year around parking? Maybe with a maximum size.
If you allow trailers and RV's to sit all summer they will sit there all winter as well.
If you own the property and you can park in the driveway without intruding into the sidewalk or street, you should be able to.
If you park your trailer at all even for the 36 hours you should have to be in front of your own property and not your neighbour's property.
I'm fine with it as long as sidewalks are not blocked.
I'm ok with seasonal driveway parking of a well looked after RV. But we had a case of renters having an ugly work trailer parked on driveway for months as not being used and we could not do anything about it. We have also had cases of residents in the community having RV's parked on the street for many days and over a week with an electrical cord across sidewalk. This is a safety hazard.
In driveway only not on street.
In general terms no, I don't support. From 36 hours to 9 Months?? The outlined impacts noted above will absolutely come into play for ALL neighbors. The benefits confer to the RV owner only, these do not confer to any neighbors. While I can support the odd extended application of parking (visiting friends utilising their own RV while visiting), I cannot support this proposal.
In neighborhoods with back alleys or large enough access at side of home no parking should be allowed. Other communities should allow it.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

In our neighbourhood there are many families with young children, ourselves included. Being able to look down the street as kids play with each helps maintain their safety while allowing them to play more freely. RV parking would obscure sight lines, risk children hiding under or around them, and decrease visibility to motorists driving by. RVs should bit be permitted to be parked residentially for more than a few days.
In several areas parking becomes problematic for residents in front of their own homes on a frequent basis. This is especially true in areas with front drives. The allowance of seasonal RV parking would likely exacerbate that. Street parking may be public and not the right of home owners, but it's already a great source of frustration when one neighbour has vehicles parked in front of other people's homes resulting in home owners having to park up to a block away from their own residence. Allowing longer term storage of additional vehicles will just make matters worse.
In someone's driveway is absolutely safe and fine! How and why couldn't someone park one on their own property. On the street, I understand it cam cause issues.
In someone's personal driveway this seems absolutely reasonable but street parking is already limited and there are so many children playing outside in our neighborhood. RVs restrict driving sightings creating an unsafe space for our children
In the driveway yes. On the roads, no as there is not enough parking as is.
In the driveway, yes. All year round.
Is "front driveway" on personal property or road property. Why would we not allow people to park their vehicle on their own property despite the condition. While this may open the door to unsightly vehicles being parked on PRIVATE PROPERTY for an extended period of time, it is on property which they pay taxes for and likely purchased as well. Why is there so much god damn red tape in this city comparatively speaking.
It affects the visibility as I leave my own driveway. I cannot see if someone is walking towards me or biking up the street. The second concern is the sunlight, after that... some of these trailers are huge or dumpy. Why should I have to look at that all summer? Often the hitches go over onto the sidewalk and you have to walk around them,
It can be very unsightly depending on the vehicle and it may abstract vision. It's is not fair to other residents who have to live in the neighborhood.
It could be allowed as long as it is on the property and not blocking access to the city sidewalk .
It depends. In certain neighborhoods driveways and streets are quite narrow. In such cases, there should be strict rules about RV parking. In areas where the streets are wide and driveways have sufficient space, seasonal RV parking could be permitted.
It depends. not if it limits street parking because these people now have to park on the streets. What is considered 'seasonal'? Most people spend more time outside in the seasonal period you refer to. That's when this should be equally enforced.
It is a safety hazard to have large RVs on the street limiting visibility for drivers and pedestrians. I would approve of a 48-72 hr limit.
It is an eyesore and makes the neighbourhood look trashy. Sightlines are obstructed. People take up more street parking because their driveways have trailers on them. It is a safety hazard. It promotes even more prowling. Residential should stay residential. There are commercial areas for commercial trailer/vehicles and storage lots for trailers. If they want to park trailers on their property then they should buy an acreage!





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It is bull [removed] not to let people keep rvs in their front driveways on a continuous basis. As long as it is on their personal property I don't care.
It is imperative that the RV not block the sidewalk. I am not overly fussed about the aesthetics but i get very annoyed when the RVs or the truck to tow blocks some or all of the sidewalk. I am not inclined to call 311 on my neighbours but that doesn't make me any less annoyed. I will add that the situation i am referencing has gone on for more than 3 years and its often the truck that is too long for the driveway ...but the RV is as well!
it is private property and everyone should be allowed to park RV on their own property regardless of abuses or annoyances it may cause. When there is a conflict between neighbors, the city should be able to provide guidance instead of a law to prevent conflicts of opinion. Punishing the majority with minor annoyances is not in the interest of the public. I am against this bylaw although I don't own an RV.
It makes me happy to see kids and family loading up to go camping. It doesn't harm me if they're parked there and off the side walk.
It only makes sense to allow a homeowner to have front RV parking on a solid paved surface for security and convenience. I don't understand why it would have to be seasonal. Split it into two categories, seasonal for those who obstruct traffic views on a main street. I have a front driveway and live in a Bay. Located towards the end of the Bay and there is no obstruction to anyone's view. Esthetically, there are people who don't look after their yards with weeds, garbage, dog poop, etc.
It should be allowed all times of the year. It's private property. The city should have no control of what people park on their own property
It should be allowed year-round.
And more than that, you should allow parking of cars on the area of driveway between the sidewalk and the road. Right now you ticket people for that. There is no need.
It should be available year round
It should be year round and the city needs to mind their own business and target homeowners who don't shovel snow, keep their yard groomed and screaming kids, not a parked RV on someone's property where they are paying taxes for the land.
It should not be "seasonal" the bylaw should not exist period. It is people's property that they pay property tax for. There is no reason RVs should be subject to more parking restrictions on people's private property than cars.
It should not be considered. Parking is already congested on the streets since the City starting allowing homeowners to block their own driveways as long as they parallel park. Can't even imagine the further parking congestion this will cause. And it is an eyesore
It should only be allowed for small trailers, a size limit would be required.
It shouldn't be just seasonal. Why can't it be year round? There not a single good reason this shouldn't be allowed year round
It will get carried away. They will be parking junk out there longer than they should
It would be a concern to allow RV parking on driveways for more then currently allowed as I feel it would encourage people to have families/individuals live in these units, considering the unaffordable state of housing at the moment.
It would be more convenient for owners but I don't like the idea of seasonal parking. I think that aesthetics and safety is more important than convenience. Could the time be extended to 48 or even 72 hours rather than to the whole season.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It would prove difficult to tell someone that their trailer is old and unsightly if allowed seasonal. Also the present bylaw isn't enforced anyways as there are lots of people parking throughout the summer season already so I don't see much of a change happening either way.
It's a mobile vehicle parked on private property in spaces dedicated to parking mobile vehicles. They should be able to park there if need be.
It's an eyesore and would impact other properties
It's just ugly
It's ok with me given that it is in good repair & is moveable. RV toilets must be dumped at a proper facility. You can visit in the driveway but you can't live in the driveway. Some weirdo was living/squatting in a trailer that never moved on property that was boarded up. Apparently he had permission from the land owner. It took months & months, maybe a year to get rid of him. If the trailer never moved & the house was inaccessible, where did he poop? Ugh what a nightmare. It looked like something you'd see on dateline, tarps & makeshift fences. I was fully expecting to see a hostage come out. It was reported regularly by several people but still took forever to get rid of.
It's private property and should be allowed. It's convenient and saves money
It's private property. As long as it doesn't impede the sidewalk I'm fine with this
It's already ongoing, there's no enforcement of ANY bylaws so who cares.
It's much easier for people to unpack/pack and get away for weekends. Much less time wasted having to drop off and retrieve it. Better quality time/life.
It's not hurting anyone. It's their property let them be.
It's people's property. They should be able to park whatever they want in it.
It's the owners' property, let them park whatever they want, unless it's an eyesore
June through august should be up to 5 days parking as some people go camping every weekend in the summer. Other than that please don't allow any more than 36 hrs as long weekend camping outside of the above mentioned time frame is limited.
Just let people park their own vehicles on their own DRIVEWAY. All year. It their privet property... It would be understandable to have this law for street parking. For example not have and tv or boat trailer on a residential street more than 72 hours .. but for a personal driveway that is ridiculous. Leave people be go deal with the dangerous train stations
Just let people park their rv on their property.
Just put a size limit - especially height. Some of these RVs are larger than my house.
Large motor homes and trailers boats etc. obscure sightlines for both pedestrians and drivers resulting in safety issues particularly on corner lots involving intersections.
Large ones, especially those hooked up to trucks, extend out onto sidewalks and roads ALL the time. Then there are those who run their chords to the house, across sidewalks as well. Smaller pop up or those not connected to vehicles that fit fully into a driveway and don't restrict the neighbours views to safely enter/exit their driveways, maybe. Why though? Other than loading/unloading/cleaning, it doesn't need to be there, unless people are living in them, which I've also seen. Define seasonal
Large, no, small, yes as they take up no more space than a typical car, truck, or SUV.
Leave it up to the HOAs then all the NIMBYs can battle it out amongst themselves. I really don't care personally, but I live in a solidly middle class neighbourhood.
Let people park their RVs on their property. I wouldn't like to see a bunch of big RVs parked on the street because there'd be no room left for other people to park. But if people want to use their driveways, let em



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Limiting the time an RV can be parked is good as they are unsightly and make it difficult for walkers and bikers to safely navigate around them. I think a week is a reasonable length of time
Make space for people to park temporarily in lots just outside of residential area. There used to be a campground by the zoo. Use light industrial areas that could be adapted for RV's. Allowing RV's in residential areas encourages people to set up housekeeping permanently in RV's parked in front yards. At least put a size limit and short time limit for RVF parking in residential. A better ideas is to allow people to build "granny shacks" in their yards to accommodate an aging relative.
Maybe
Maybe as a trial, but only If they don't obstruct sidewalks or obstruct good visibility of laneways and other road crossing points. They shouldn't be lived in, just stored.
Maybe for a tent trailer, teardrop (under certain heights and lengths) but I do think many are too big to fit on most driveways and not detract from the appearance of the street and visibility getting out of driveways.
Maybe. But not if people are partially blocking side walks or too close to cross walks/intersections already with minimal visibility.
More than 36 hours, but not more than two weeks in late spring/summer/early fall. If you are not prepping it for vacation or cleaning after a trip, then you need to put it somewhere else. Leave as is for the off season.
Most definitely not. It is already unsightly, no matter how nice the RV is. It highly skews sightlines, with people and kids darting into the street from behind them. The street I live on is already so narrow and packed with parked cars, it makes it not only hard for others to find parking while visiting however it makes the streets even that much more compact and harder to drive down. One reason I hate the upcoming warmer weather is having these things parked on our streets again. No NO NO!!
Most of these vehicles are too large to fit in driveways or they take up the entire driveway and then people park on the street and leave no room for visitors and make the street look ugly. They also hinder visibility especially on corners and bends. They WILL get rented out and have people living in them during the summer months.
Most people don't park on the garages as they are full of 'stuff', which means they park in their driveway. If their RV etc is parked in the driveway, they will park their vehicles on the street which creates safety issues and is aesthetically unpleasant. Our residential neighbourhood should not look like an RV park.
Most people in my area block side walks with their rv's
My main concern is sightlines being obstructed down the road from large trailers potentially parked in driveways (while trying to exit my own driveway). For this reason, my answer is no, I do not support it.
My neighbors already park their RVs on their driveways and street from May until September. They've never been ticketed.
My street doesn't have driveways, however my neighbour has a work pick up truck, a family van, a personal family Dodge ram, and their son has a car. That's four vehicles parked on the street because our road has no driveways. In addition throughout the summer they have a spectacularly HUGE camper, they regularly park it on our street up to four-five days in a row, to charge the camper and prepare it for camping. It essentially pushes everyone in the vicinity to park elsewhere.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

My street has only 3 houses with front parking and they are at the end of the block. As to seasonal one needs to define seasonal which then asks the question assuming Spring-Summer why not allow Fall- Winter. Some people visit in what is called off-season so why should it be limited to particular seasons. I do not have an issue with front parking if it doesn't block the view of vehicles driving on the road, pedestrians or is a safety hazard and the said vehicle is operational and not derelict.
My suggestion would be to consider dwelling equity. Having a trailer (etc) parked on a duplex/four plex driveway is aesthetically displeasing and is a safety issue for sight lines. There simply isn't enough room when one lives in dense housing or as you move toward inner city. It's not the same living experience as suburban neighborhood with space between properties/driveways. However, people have hobbies regardless of where they live. With that, keep the bylaw to
need clearer definition of 'seasonal'; changing from 'more than 36 hours' to 'seasonal' does not clearly indicate what timeframe is being proposed
Neighborhood' S are not trailer parks. There are many unsightly items now such as garbage cans in the front yards. No, I would be completely against it. Thank you.
Never
Never support such a absurd idea why would you clutter neighborhoods with motor homes and rvs never the less crime that will come with hiding homes behind motor homes what about street parking it will be tighter than it is now
never pollution as people will be running these year round in the neighborhood we already have 100+ of these in driveways in Deer Run and there is no enforcement of current laws eyesores, they block sidewalks, reduce visibility on roads they increase crime as now there is another target of theft
Never!
Never, except for a few hours to load and unload. It is unsightly and cheapens the neighborhood.
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
NO
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
NO The streets in McKenzie Towne are narrow enough without RVs. When we moved here we were told by the developer that the streets were narrower & that homes all had parking pads in the back so that people would park in the back & not on the street. Most people park in the front



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

& sometimes it is difficult to pass by an uncoming vehicle. It is a safety issue. Also I don't want my neighborhood to look like an RV storage lot.
no absolutely not. 36 hours is more than sufficient to load and unload these vehicles. They are an eyesore and also can block clear sight on the street.
No Obstruction of sight lines, would mean more cars being parked on streets because of units on driveways, unsightly, more likelihood of temporary occupancy. We have had neighbours who Park In their driveway and it extends into the sidewalk allowance
No the large rv that parks next to my house is a safety hazard. I can't back safely back out of my driveway when it is parked there. The neighbour's children party nightly in the rv. It is an eyesore.
no - additional cars are now parked on the street blocking street views - safety issue of kids darting out into the street not seen as view is blocked - additional fire hazards with propane bottles, fire wood etc.
No - agree with the known impacts. Benefits only apply to RV owners not community. I live in an infill community with many 25ft frontages. Parking RVS on driveways would increase on street parking which is already dreadful when people have multiple vehicles and vans plus the AIRBNBS vehicles. 36 hours is reasonable in fact quite generous
NO !!!!! obstructed sightlines and esthetics
NO - ABSOLUTELY NOT - AN EYE SORE IN THE COMMUNITY ALL YEAR LONG! THESE OWNERS SIMPLY TAKE THERE UNITS OF THE STORAGE FACILITIES FOR 4 OR 5 MONTHS AND PARK THEM ON THE STREET. 24 HOURS MAX! SIMPLE SOLUTION.....A FINE OF \$500.00 FOR INFRACTIONS
No - absolutely not. Our neighbor had their RV parked in front of our yard for three weeks blocking the sun and using up the on street parking. We didn't want to create conflict with our neighbors by asking them to move it or calling bylaw. The kids also like to skateboard and scooter and it would be even more unsafe for them.
NO - again it is dangerous as they block visibility. I have seen many children almost get hit
No - already limited street parking would be negatively impacted. Narrow lanes don't need large RVs looming and impacting sight lines. We already have some large vehicles regularly parked in our area that make it unsafe to exit our street. I don't need more. It also impacts the walkability of a street, making sidewalks less comfortable for pedestrians.
No - as a resident who lives on the street with multiple RV owners the obstruction would be to great. it narrows the street for bikes, and other vehicles. RV's have overhangs and other parts that encroach on sidewalks. people often leave extension cords on sidewalks. Visibility would be poor for pedestrians and especially if a child runs into the street.
No - because it usually means the garage or driveway is blocked from use of the normal residential vehicle(s) of the property and pushes that requirement onto the public street
No - because of obstructed sight lines and safety. I agree with the current guidelines
No - Because the current by-law provides more than adequate scope for RV owners. "Poor condition" will be VERY subjective and cause untold issues with interpretation. Leave things as they are! If it isn't broken, DON'T try to fix it!
No - current 36 hour allowance is acceptable.
No - degrades the visual appeal of neighbourhoods
No - esthetics play a big part in pride of ownership and keeping a neighborhood neat. We are also concerned about obstructed sightlines and safety. It's seems difficult for people to keep





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the sidewalks clean, I can't imagine how much more difficult it would be to enforce things such as encroachment, blocking your views, and whether or not the RV is 'unsightly'.
No - eye sore - shouldn't be allowed year round except for loading/unloading times (36 hours)
No - eye sore. People will push the boundary and front yards will turn I. To storage dumps
No for the above impacts
No - for the reasons mentioned above- obstructed sight lines, esthetics, and safety.
No - for the reasons stated above under impacts.
No - I feel it's an eye sore - they can privately store these RVs elsewhere
No - I have some people park their trailers on their driveways/roadway in front of my house - they block sidewalks, make it impossible to place my blue/black/green bins on the street, obstruction for other cars making it unsafe for my children, a eye sore. Streets are already too tight - allowing RV to be permitted to park 36 hours is already a huge inconvenience!
No - I object to side yard if visible from the front too. It brings it closer to my house. I don't want something like that right outside my windows idling or storing gasoline and human waste
No - I support the shorter timeframe as already outlined by the City. I would also not support a timeline extension beyond 36 hours.
No I think they're a eyesore
No I would not , they block my line of sight
NO - I would not support allowing extended hours. As it is, these RVs are currently taking up too much of front house (non-front garage homes) street parking space, and are causing severe obstruction in sightlines. The esthetics of my front house and street facade are very much impacted , that it could erode my property value.
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking for all the reasons that are already listed in the current bylaw. I leave on a street that all the homes circle a kids park. In the summer kids are running freely unaware sometimes of the possibility of cars backing out of driveways or cars on roadway. It does make it hard to see when you have a large RV blocking your vision. I believe it is a safety issue.
No - if this is on the driveway, then the homeowner needs to park their everyday vehicle on the street and most neighborhoods already have an issue with parking in residential
No - increase thefts, block sightlines for drivers, unsightly
No - it causes significant visual pollution and it will increase traffic in small communities street and consequently increases risk of accidents specially with kids.
No - it is a major safety hazard for vehicles not seeing children or pedestrians on sidewalks when trailers are blocking visibility; challenging to define unsightly RVs ( poor condition) to actually report it to bylaw (one person may find it unsightly and another love the "retro" look); if someone can't afford to store it properly or have room in their backyard likely they can't afford to maintain it and therefore it will not add to neighborhood aesthetics; will block neighbors from connecting
No - it is unsightly and can block view
No - it obstructs views of the street and causes safety issues
No - it reduces the attractiveness of a neighbourhood to have large vehicles and impedes sightlines driving in residential areas. They can be stored in back yard if possible or at a storage sight if not in use.
No it would be esthetically displeasing as well as potentially blocking sightlines. Some if these vehicles are as big as a small house, the same with boats and trailers. The variety of recreational vehicles is varied as is the condition they are in.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No - it would create a lot of clutter and make our streets look more crowded and unkept. The streets are already filled with parked cars, and I believe that more people would park on the streets if their recreation vehicle was stored in their driveway.
No - it's a hazard. RV owners typically park in a way that their vehicle obstructs the sidewalk. I have difficulty taking my child in their stroller due to RVs blocking the sidewalk. There is also a visibility issue
No - it's an eye sore. There's also a concern of people living in the RV'S
no - last thing communities need is more congestion on streets due to seasonal permanent parking of large vehicles
No - look awful, belong in a garage, back yard or offsite.
No - looks junky, safety issues-blocks view for other drivers and cyclists
No - most houses do not have a driveway long enough to even accommodate an RV - I fear there will be many issues with RV's blocking sidewalks as they do not fit completely on a driveway. Although this is technically illegal (as the sidewalk is city property) - it is a lot for bylaw officers to enforce and I feel if this ends up being a widespread issue in the city that bylaw officers won't have the time to review each complaint. Also for neighboring houses, trying to back out of your driveway in your vehicle can be extremely dangerous as an RV parked on a neighboring property can entirely block someone's view of incoming traffic on the road which brings up a lot of safety concerns.
No - most RVs are too large/unsightly to be stored on a front driveway, and many locations (pie lots, cul-de-sacs, etc.) would put RVs too close to a neighbors' property, taking away the use of adjacent property.
No - negative impacts still remain, difficulty and expense of monitoring/enforcing transition from non-seasonal allowance, summer esthetics definitely reduced, lack of ownership responsibility (e.g. purchase of RV should include convenience. cost of off-site storage)
No - Not in cul de sacs which have small circular radius.
No - obstructed sightlines and esthetically does not look nice. From a safety perspective, also shows when a homeowner is home or away so crimes can easily be committed.
No obstructed sightlines is a serious concern
No - obstructs sight lines when walking or driving on the street. Very unsightly for the neighborhood.
No our neighborhood has become cluttered with boats/RVs of all types. Some larger rvs stick out onto and block sidewalks. We have neighbors who permanently park their RV on their driveway through winter and it moves out on the road through summer. It's not a pretty view in any season. . For me it takes away from the neighborhood. I feel that a reasonable expectation for those purchasing an RV is to factor in the cost of outside parking when RV is not in use.
No parking is already an issue in many new communities. Allowing RVs on driveways will push additional vehicles to the street. Limits visibility and space to enjoy the community
no - parking will decrease, street parking would increase
No - risk of people living in these.
No - RVs are not pretty and ruin the aesthetic of our beautiful neighbourhoods. They also block visibility
No - seasonal will become year-round.
No - short term parking yes to load and unload the vehicle. The front street is where you visit with people walking by and this would be a hinderance.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO - since the city regulated the basement apartments now we found it impossible to find any parking around our home when we needed it. All of the renters have taken up any extra spaces & continue to do so without any regard to other owners who have some physical difficulties, never mind carrying groceries, children & all of their stuff. Also, all the extra traffic noises from early day into late evening. We were so fed up we moved almost a year ago. We had real problems with the renters next door also - drugs, marijuana ,alcohol in excess fights ,screaming . We reported it all . We had lived in our former home for over 20 years, raised our kids there, grandchildren . part of the communit
No - such vehicles obstruct neighbours' view when backing out of driveways, walking past the property, etc. Long vehicles that encroach from driveway over sidewalks create potential safety hazards for pedestrians. RVs parked on the street cause potential tripping hazards when power cords are connected from an RV across a sidewalk to a power source on the property. Owners of such "RVs" should store the same on their property out of view/behind a tall fence or at an RV storage facility.
No - the esthetics are horrible! As it is - in our area - we have two cars parked in the garage driveway and almost every available curb parking is used. We live on a street of garages and vehicles with a few houses attached!
No - the problem is many people park on their driveways rather than in their garages, so if an RV takes up the spot on the driveway the existing family car then must park on the street, making street parking for guests even harder. Plus the RV's are unsightly and spoil the "neighbourhood" look.
No - there are varying degrees of the condition of RV's and some make the neighborhood look very junky. The size also can have impacts to visibility on residential streets and around corners
no - there is too much subjectivity related to "unsightly", there is already misuse of the current guidelines and expanding them will expand the issue
NO - there were a couple on our street (in the driveway) but it was hard and very unsafe to exit the neighbouring properties or drive there as you couldn't see traffic or pedestrians. Our kids bike up and down the streets and these huge RV units made it very unsafe. They are parked in the driveways more than used!
No - these are unsightly and parking is already an issue here. I prefer seeing trees and yards to RVs.
No - these vehicles are an eyesore. I do not want to see them on driveways in my community
No - they are big and are a pain to see by and drive around. Always afraid some kid is going to jump out into the street and get hit. RVs can attract car prowlers and thieves as well
No - they are not pleasing to look as the block views and make parking more difficult.
No - they are unsightly. They would encourage more break-ins and theft from vehicles. It would change the look and feel of the neighbourhood.
no - they'd stay parked there for most of the summer - impact sun on gardens given that the driveways are so close together
No - this would turn all neighborhoods into parking lanes for RVs. They'd also likely present a danger to kids as people move them around and would inevitably be parked on the street causing problems.
No - unsightly and obstructs view
No - we have a mix of driveway and street parking now and if those who did have driveways were to use them for RV parking, that now takes up what limited street parking those who need it would have access to.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No - we live in a city - not a RV parking lot
No - we live in a neighborhood that would have many RVs on the road if this is the case. If 1 is parked on either side there would be not enough space for 2 vehicles driving, it also is dangerous for children crossing the street (and animals). I believe if you have the money to have an RV you need to ensure it is stored properly. I also believe it would increase theft and break and enters as more vehicles would be around to break into, increasing crime.
No Unightly
No ! It makes streets even more crowded than what they are. There is a good chance that the RV owner now park their other vehicles on the street and getting someone else's parking spot.
No & remove side/back park too. In our area bylaw clea yet 5+ RVs (mainly detached trailers) in residential front driveways year round some tarped with rope & run down. Every day, City of Calgary workers pass by these sites. I would like to see better enforcement of existing bylaws; reporting by people working for City (bus drivers etc) who see it daily & not leave it to neighbours to complain/live with it. RV Owners knew costs buying RV; why now make neighbours pay for their choices/parking?
No , as some are so large it can be quite an eye sore for the community. Especially if they are not kept nicely. Also having such a large vehicle in the driveway can creat blind spots in communities for kids crossing the roads and keeping areas safe.
No , dangerous because most of them block the view for drivers and kids on the roads-safety for drivers and children comes first !!!
No , I have personally been put at risk on a daily basis for 40-60 days a year because of the RV next door blocking my sight line to safely back out from my driveway on to a busy street. The neighbor also parks one his many vehicles at the end of his driveway because his RV is blocking access to half of his garage and further blocking my sight line .
NO , Obstructed sightlines, safety, degrades the esthetics of the neighborhood.
No , they are unsightly and often block eyesight lines
No , this ruins the curb appeal of the neighbourhood. This forces these households to park their cars on the street making the street look like a used car parking lot. Home owners without RV's shouldn't have to look at an RV all day that is what camp grounds are for. These people often block adjacent neighbours driveways for hours at a time while they load and unload.
No , visibility and safety for kids and car backing out of drivewag
No . Recreational vehicles are big and can be very unsightly for the overall appearance of a community. Some RV's even though they are parked in a fron5 driveway can impair a person view for roadways and streets.
No . Our neighbors park their Rv in their drive way . We live on a small culture Sw sac and it is an eyesore and I have to back out around it because they extend out to the roadway and over my driveway . I hate it and it is so selfish of them .
No . Poor aesthetics, abuse of use. Impact on driveway egress visibility.
No . The area would look like a trailer park.
No . They obstruct sight lines and can become a safety issue
No . We live on a small cul de sac with many vehicles already parked on driveways and on the few road parking spaces available. More RV's will add to congestion, be a safety hazard and ruin the curb appeal of the street and yards . We have had a neighbour who even parked a trailer on their grass !

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No . It will create security issues , our roads parking are already congested. it will ad. to problem . It can increase accidents ? lots of children are out on roads in summer. it will look unsightly in the community. it will create issues between neighbours , neighbours who do not own a RV will feel that in order to accommodate RV the owners of RV are parking the cars in front of their home.
No .. just no !
NO .We are in a condo and people leave vehicles with trailers on street all week that block our view from our living room. Living room window is about 30 feet from the street. We have a nice view of green space that gets blocked every summer by holiday trailers. Plus they take up all the parking on the street.
no / eye sore. people car camping or living in RVs parking not only on streets but this could be a very good revenue generator for people with driveways / parking stalls to rent out there space to those living in vehicles or camping.. huh,
No 36 hrs is enough...there should be other arrangements made if you own such a vehicle.
No a few residence around me already do it and the there is barely any space to drive. Also there is no parking around here there are 3 spots for the whole crescent
No absolutely not !!!! It is a privilege to own a RV, and it is your problem that the privilege requires you to park it somewhere else.
I really don't care about the inconvenience for a RV owner. I bought a house to enjoy my neighborhood and now you are saying you can park large vehicles that are going to be an eyesore to the neighborhood.
ABSOLUTELY NO!
Let the RV people park them where they belong in storage !!!
No absolutely not! They can pay to have it stored in a commercial storage facility.
No absolutely not. It impacts visibility and potential side walk access. Keep with the 36 hr max.
No absolutely not. Our street is already so congested. And our neighbours have the largest oldest motorhome that they park in front of our house every summer. It definitely depreciates our home. We keep a very nice clean yard I don't need to stare at their garbage in front of my house.
No absolutely not. Recreational vehicles should be parked at appropriate storage facilities. They are an eye sore in neighborhoods.
No absolutely not. RV units should not be parked on city streets and or driveways for more than 36 hours. I have neighbours who part 20+ foot long units on the street for weeks and it causes traffic and safety issues.
No- Aesthetics. obstructed sight lines.
No all the homes in my area are front street parking.
No as 36 hours is plenty of time to load to head camping
No as enough individuals abuse it as is.
No as I don't think it's safe for pedestrians and children. On our street, there is an RV that not only parks on the street, but has its slide out extended. I don't think that's safe at all.
No as it can block the view for reversing out of the driveway



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>No as it clutters the neighbourhood and creates a safety hazard. With those large vehicles parked it's difficult to see kids running out to play.</p> <p>It also forced the homeowners to then park their daily vehicles on the side of the road which then increases the safety risk for children's playing as well as reduce the area available for vehicles to pass each other. All of this together it's an absolute eye sore and a major safety hazard.</p>
<p>No as it is dangerous in a single family neighbourhood with narrow driveways and an RV obscures sight lines.</p> <p>I have a sidewalk in front of my house and I cannot see pedestrians, dogs, cyclists and other cars coming when my neighbour's RV is parked in their drive</p> <p>It is even more of a problem during the summer when kids are out of school and they are running quickly on sidewalks or riding quickly on their bikes without watching for vehicles</p>
<p>No as it would make communities like mine parking lots. Even with the current by law we still have issue with blocked driveways and blind spots.</p>
<p>No as overall some people are alt ready doing this on a permanent basis and too many calls to 311 to remove. They are unsightly and Calgary is already unsightly with lack of grass cutting</p>
<p>No as owners cars then end up parked on street and more cars are subsequently parked in front of others homes.</p>
<p>No as the ones that do already stick out on the side walk and park on the road for as long as they can anyways. We have on on our street that hangs from the garage past the sidewalk. They have no regard for neighbors as is.</p>
<p>no as the tend to be an eye sore and sometimes have people living in them for a long time.</p>
<p>No as theyvthen park cars on the street</p>
<p>Street parking is bad enough without more vehicles being added</p> <p>No street parking for Rvs</p>
<p>No as this then limits parking of their cars in their stalls or driveways, leading to more congested parking/improper parking on the roads (this is already an issue). This can also lead to people who don't own RVs to rent out space for others to park such vehicles.</p>
<p>No at all, residential streets are narrow, it would be unsafe blocking sights, making the streets even more narrow and hard to navigate around safely. The aesthetics of our community and houses will be highly affected.</p>
<p>No at present we have properties in our area that have three or four recreational type vehicles parked on property and driveways it is crazy.</p>
<p>No because current RV's on my street are mostly larger than the size of driveways and owners just park them on the street which leads to a number of traffic and parking issues for other residents on the street.</p>
<p>No because first because of safety when pulling out of my driveway</p> <p>Second because because houses in my neighborhood are to close together</p>
<p>No because I consider it visual pollution.</p>
<p>No because I have had issues with being unable to see pedestrians or cars when trying g to back out of my own driveway. On streets with no back alley or on Cul-de-sacs there is no room for extra cars on the street. It makes parking challenging for everyone if a trailer or RV is used on a seasonal basis.</p>
<p>No because I have seen some in the neighborhood parked on the driveway actually sticking out onto the street because of the size of the trailer</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No because I own a dayhome and many trailers I have seen obstruct the sidewalk meaning I need to take 5 little kids and a dog around it on the street which is unsafe and they can cause more accidents as you can't see cars and people coming from the other side!
No because if you get a large vehicle that is high it makes it difficult for neighbours backing out to see around it creating a problem especially for kids playing outside.
No because is not a trailer park or camping.
No because it affects visibility and affects some homeowners that are trying to back out of their driveway on narrow streets
No because it blocks visibility for pedestrians using the sidewalk and for the drivers pulling in and out of driveways in the homes surrounding. Large RVs mean less visibility.
No because it blocks your view and is dangerous. It looks awful. When you look out your window all you see is the recreational vehicle. We have a by law that your fence can only be 4 feet tall on your driveway for safety reasons so there is no difference. It's unsafe for recreational vehicles.
No because it clutters the communities and attracts prowlers to your community.
No because it creates too much congestion
no because it is a safety hazard for people exiting their adjacent driveway
No because it is an eyesore.
No because it is unsightly and will be used as a squatter shack
No because it makes the neighborhood look trashy. Also people that have trailers that are too large to fit their driveways and having them sitting across the city sidewalk making people walk on the road to get around them.
No because it would look like a parking for trailers
No because it's unsightly. That's prime house selling time so that's an issue. Owning an RV is a privilege and if you own one you should have somewhere private to store it all season.
No because most front driveways can't accommodate the length without encroaching onto the sidewalk. This is a big inconvenience for safety of people walking in the community especially children, seniors and people with disabilities.
No because of obstructing sight lines and reduced safety as a result. Particularly people who have corner lots which could reduce visibility at intersection
No because of safety and obstructed view. Currently on our street is a winterized Motor home. It has been there quite some time and does obstruct the view on a busy pedestrian school area. Also an eye sore wrapped up for the winter.
No because of the impacts listed.
No because people block sidewalks, run power cords, etc which creates hazards. They're also an eyesore.
No because people will likely abuse the definition of Spring and Fall. Or they may alter their driveway to have room for more RVs.
No because safety should always be #1 priority, regardless of the season
No because seasonal always turns into annual and a rental unit for people that can't afford a regular apartment rental.
No because sidewalks could be blocked, it restricts parking, blocks sightlines, could have more shade if you are next door to a parked RV. It is an eye sore
No because some owners will feel entitled to park on the street long term & not in front of their own driveway. We live across from a green space & oftentimes rv owners park there longer than 36 hours because it's an open space not realizing it impacts our home. With extended time I can foresee this being a big problem.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No because sooner or later it becomes messy looking. Not all RV are easy to look at. Some are junk. Get used 1 week a year then just sit there. An eyesore.
no because the RV obstructs my view of the park in Fish Creek
No because the vehicles now a days are being used as living accommodation more often than not - they become unsightly and a problem for neighborhoods that fall into a grey area for enforcement bodies - leave the allowances as is in properly zoned areas on the rear of the property.
No because the way become eyesores and they're never there for the allowed time. They block lines of sight on roadways and sidewalks. They're hard to see around. They're ugly and block the views of trees and general greenery.
No because then residents will move their cars to the street and they will hoard even more street parking. Also, the neighborhood will start to look like a mobile home park like many places in BC.
No because they are unsightly and block views for drivers and walkers. The end up getting left over the winter as well. Enforce the current by-law. We paid for a parking pad in the back for our RV when we owned one so we could follow the rules. We lost backyard space but it was a choice.
No because they park on the road and it is difficult to see around causing a possible accident to happen. We have a neighbor with a trailer that is only there temporarily but I keep telling my husband someone is going to get hit as you can't see around it.
No because they pose a safety hazard any time of year.
no besides looking bad if your neighbor has one in their driveway it is hard to see around when backing out
No but I would allow 48h instead of 36.
No but it wouldn't matter the city does not enforce current bylaws any way. I live on a street with limited parking and RVs are parked for weeks on end during warmer months.
No- causes a nuisance on the roads and not enough room for pedestrians
No chance - Zero Point Zero - looks ugly- blocks visibility -
No Chance, this would bring so many parked trailers away from their current season parked locations and would have streets looking poor with the amount parked around. If you're going to own a trailer then you be paying to store them off your property.
No control over the quality of what will be parked.
No definitely not. Blocks many sight lines and can block sidewalks. There are other places that you can park your trailer. Also looks cluttered and like a trailer park which looks dump and unclean/
No definitely not. Really ugly, blocks sight lines for drivers etc. people already abuse timelines so will abuse even more and keep out even longer. Responsibility lies with RV owners to store properly and bring out when they want to use it.
No due aesthetics, size, lack of other street parking for normal vehicles, safety concerns
No due the aesthetics of the neighborhood. Possible obstructions
No due to aesthetics and obstructed sight lines
No due to line of sight issues backing out of adjacent driveways and obstruction on to sidewalks.
No due to neighborhood aesthetics.
No due to obstructed sightlines. It's a safety issue as people have to walk around it and can't see strangers hiding on the other side. It's also very ugly to look at.
No due to safety issues with obstruction of sight lines.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No due to sight lines and aesthetics. Some trailers and or motor homes are old and are an eyesore in the community.
No due to visibility around the vehicles, some are already blocking the use of sidewalk's.
No enough parking in the streets to allow large vehicles. Safety, obstruction of vision
No extended front driveway parking. I am okay up to 48 hrs parking.
No for all of the reasons noted.
No for all the reasons in the current bylaw
No for all the reasons listed above. From personal experience I can say it makes navigating intersections dangerous because you can't see what is coming when there is a huge RV parked there blocking your sight line. I also think it will make it easier for criminals sneak around with big RV's to hide behind as well as blocking peoples view from keeping a watch for suspicious activity. It would also be unsightly to have rv's parked everywhere all summer long.
No for all the reasons that other municipalities have listed as cons!
No for esthetic and obstructed sight lines reasons.
No for longer than the current amount of 36 hours, as it is unsafe for residents and pedestrians. Also parking on front driveway streets are already limited for guests of the residents. An RV can take up multiple spots, which takes away parking for possibly the whole summer. It also allows people to squat in their RVs at these residential front driveways. The larger RV's will also potential block out natural light for neighbour's gardens.
No for reasons of safety, esthetics and sightline obstructions
No for regular RVs but Yes for Tent Trailers
no For the 2 reasons given ....aesthetics and obstruction of nightlines
No for the following reasons: safety, obstructed sightlines, Compromised cleanliness of driveways aesthetics Increased parking along the residential streets
No for the reasons listed above. obstructed sightlines safety esthetics Also how it affects the neighbors sight lines from their windows at the front of their home in a culdesac when they can't see the street and their children because the neighbor has a big RV parked. Sight lines while backing up near the RV are an issue too because you can't necessarily see if there are people or children or animals coming from the other side.
No front driveway parking allowed except for the 36 hours grace period to load/clean etc. All the negative things will start to happen. Ugly old campers parked in driveways with people living in it. Safety reasons, more opportunities/ reasons for thieves to be in our neighborhoods.
No hard to see around and safety hazard plus takes up space and increases risk of homeless break ins
No homes are to close together and there are too many children running out from homes it is a safety hazard.
No I adamantly do not support. Our neighbours driveway beside and in front of our main living area window. If they park there all we can see out the window is their RV. It eliminates our entire view out of our window. Who would want this? It also obstructs the sight line for vehicles



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

turning around the corner. Dangerous situation! There are plenty of storage area outside and in the city for this purpose. These are designated for these vehicles. I'm no way should every neighborhood in Calgary be inundated with ugly RV in every neighborhood in Calgary. If you can afford an tv then you should be able to store it in designated areas as well.
No I am sorry i would not like to see rv parked in front driveways. This is a safety and unsightly thing for me.
No I definitely would not for the same reasons that front driveway parking is currently limited to 36 hours. Extending that would encourage living in said RV. The current bylaw/rules are adequate/appropriate. Everyone including owners and non owners is aware of the rules and enforcement is easy to understand and comply with.
No I do nit support it. Our streets will look like junk yards with additional vehicles collecting dirt and dust
No I do no support that. Our streets are not wide and already have too many cars. There are numerous density increases planned so there will be more and more cars. It's just not safe to have these big trailers on in inner city streets that reduces visibility.
No I do not agree. RVs are very large and some are very old and undesirable. They can obstruct your views and take up a large amount of space in residential areas. People pay for parking RVs in storage and that is where all residents should have to keep them.
No I do not support a change. RV's are an eye and certainly an visual obstruction for pedestrians and other vehicles.
No I do not support allowing seasonal parking on front driveways. They are difficult to see around, too large, residential homes are not "camp grounds" for recreational vehicles.
No I do not support ANY type of RV or trailer on driveways. People abuse the privilege, it's an eye sore when I pay taxes and community fees for a nice curb appeal.
No i do not support I did not buy property in a trailer park currently people leave them for months at a time and play dumb that the did not know there was a bylaw - again if I wanted to live in a trailer park I would not have bought in a community - they can bring in for overnight only. Many are too big for driveways and overhang - cause obstructions. Increases street parking and possibilities of accidents due to abnormal parking
No I do not support it. It blocks/ obstructs traffic to the driveway beside it, making it very difficult to back out of the driveway. The RV could be used as a rental space having people live it it without it moving for 6 months. They can be completely unsafe for pedestrians walking beside it behind it, especially if there is criminal activity happening inside the RV. They are an eyesore in all communities.
No I do not support it. Parking space is a at a premium especially in the newer neighbourhoods and, neighbourhoods with secondary suites. In my area, in addition to the added vehicles for secondary suites, many people are also parking their commercial work vehicles on their driveways, or on the street behind their driveways when they should not be. Especially In summer, the children are out more and thus causing a greater safety concern due to limited visibility for both drivers and pedestrians.
No I do not support season front parking for visual reasons and sight line safety for children playing
No I do not support seasonal front driveway parking for the already stated impacts.
No I do not support seasonal front driveway parking nor long city sidewalks. Not only are these vehicles an eye sore, but they impact visibility which can create safety concerns on the property. A further issue would be fire concerns either through negligence or arson. For heaven sakes, we have seen the garbage bins set on fire by teenagers! What would the

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

zoning be if people wanted to have family stay in these vehicles? Owners of RV's purchased them, why should they not pay to house them?
No I do not support seasonal front driveway parking of recreational vehicles.
No I do not support seasonal rv front driveway parking for the reasons why it is currently not allowed for more than 36 hours. RV'ing is very popular in Alberta so imagine if everyone stored their rv's on their property. People already do it illegally in my street. I do not want to look at rv all summer nor the mess of them or the traffic of them constantly coming and going.
No I do not support this as there is limited space on roadways and I believe 36 hours is plenty of time to load / unload.
No I do not support this. Both my neighbours own an RV. I live on a busy road near a school and very close to a playground area. It's hard to back off my driveway at times. This would make it harder to see vehicles and pedestrians/children approaching. Also there is limited parking out the front of our home with neighbours and ourselves having multiple vehicles, it will be impossible to park vehicles.
No I do not support this. Our cul-du-sac doesn't have enough spots for cars. Add RV to the mix and it will be impossible to park. They are unsightly and ugly to look at. We have lots of small kids on our street. RV can block drivers views of children playing. Best to store them at a storage facility.
No I do not support this. The streets in my neighborhood are narrow, and already congested due to multiple secondary suites, a group home with multiple staff, several handicap parking areas, parents parking to drop off/pick up kids from school, and a neighbor who buys/sells vehicles from his house. This same resident currently does not adhere to the bylaw; leaving his 5th wheel parked on street for more than a week at a time, using the space in front of three homes. That is six parking spots.
No I do not support this. These RV's are unsightly and take up valuable street parking, even though they are not allowed to park on the street they still do and when I have complained about a boat being parked on the road 311 told me that it was legally parked and it sat there for 3 weeks. This was in the community of Auburn Bay The city system of investigating complaints is a sham. Only RV owners would support this seasonal parking. Most people who do not have RV's will oppose this.
No I do not support this. They are an eyesore and bring down neighborhood values. Also, if people are allowed to park their RV's in their driveway then they will have to park their regular vehicles on the street. There is already minimal street parking available.
no I do not support, go look at Langdon and Chestermere, looks like a competitive RV show and who can have a larger RV on the front driveway, very messy and junk yard looking
No I do not support. 36 hours is a long time and should be adequate. Owners need to consider parking on side or rear or budget for seasonal storage. Trying to define what "unsightly " means is vague and open to interpretation.
No I do not support. people want to camp in their driveway or have people stay in their trailers on road ways or in their driveway this is disrupting to the sense of community no to mention as your walking down the sidewalks with baby strollers or walking aids people are running in and out of these trailers swinging doors open/closed is a danger. You are also tripping over numerous extension cords running from the house to the trailer to provide power to these units. It's an over all nuisance
No I do not think the time should be increased. The thought of looking out my front window and seeing RVs parked everywhere would be sad. We live in a front facing house so this is



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

our large window view. It is also a parking and safety issue for children playing in the neighborhood.
No I do not!!! Give an inch and Calgarians will take a mile. Yard and home maintenance is not required, overtime (in some instances) RV's will start to look abandoned with weeds and garbage under and around them.
No I do not. There are storage facilities for RV's, and that should be considered as a factor for someone contemplating ownership. A neighbourhood cluttered with RV's is just an ugly parking lot. We should be beautifying our neighborhoods, not making them junky.
No I do not. I think it provides for even less parking. Which we are already short of. If they are parking on their driveways the cars end up on the street and parking is such a problem in our area. Plus I feel for the safety of our children with all the juggling of vehicles
No I do not. I think that if people were able to store RV's on their front driveway then more people would do that to avoid paying for storage fees. Having it on the driveway would take up space and have more vehicles park on the street and cause more blindspots in residential areas. People could also be staying in them and hook up power to the house. Since there is no end of life plan for RV's they are typically destroyed or left in a dump yard, so allowing people to park them at home would enable and encourage the ease of owning them which I don't think is environmentally friendly.
No I do not. I would add the blockage or obstruction of sidewalks to the above list of concerns. RVs do not belong in our neighbourhoods and should not be allowed to remain any longer than the current bylaw of 36 hrs allows.
no i do not. the city needs to be cleaned up. downtown and the c train stations are a disgrace with all the addicts, garbage etc. allowing these vehicles in neighbourhoods will only add to the city looking worse. these big vehicles are an eye sore will only cause more chaos and garbage near our homes. i cant even believe the city does not have more important things to discuss.
No I do not. To define what is in good condition is subjective and no one to enforce in a timely manner. A good portion of people only camp a few times a year (set vacation times) and then on occasional weekends as spots are limited and generally are booked in advance. RVs would sit in driveways for the entire spring and summer months just so people can avoid paying for storage.
No I do not. Unsightly, leaking from water tanks, grey water tanks on sidewalks causing unsanitary situations. Non recreational vehicles should be stored in a garage or storage facility.
No I don't I previously had a neighbour that parked their RV in their front driveway for at least 6 months every year even though your bylaw is for 36 hours. I feel that if you extend the time it will be taken advantage of and people will end up parking even longer. It was an eyesore, obstructed my view and reduced incoming light. Everyone who came to my house commented on the RV and asked how I could put up with it. Leaving and returning with the RV was noisy.
No I don't and wouldn't support seasonal front parking. It's an eye sore to the community. Takes away parking from others and crowds communities
No I don't support allowing seasonal parking. I live in legacy and thanks to the city implementing zero lot line homes. There is not enough room to even park a truck in front of a residents home. Therefore I don't think that they should be parking for a season. It clogs up the streets in legacy and looks unsightly. This isn't an rv parking lot I would support allowing 3 days maximum to get their rv or motor home loaded



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

up and then put away . But definitely not an entire season. That is ridiculous especially in these new neighborhoods that the city has created. Can't have their cake and eat it too.
No I don't support it, that's what rv lots were designed for; seasonal parking. Over the last few years I have had neighbours parking rvs on their driveways for months at a time. I live in a small cul de sac so when they use driveways for rv parking they are forced to park motor vehicles elsewhere. I don't think this is fair to other residents when there becomes limited parking on the street.
No I don't support seasonal front driveway parking. Visibility backing out of neighboring driveways will be impacted. Obstructed views of pedestrians especially children. I think 36 hours is adequate for loading, unloading and cleaning. If a person chooses to have an RV then it should be stored outside the residential area or the back of the owners property the rest of the neighborhood shouldn't be affected by it.
No I don't support this it will obstruct vision when entering and exiting your own driveway.
No I don't support this; city neighbourhoods are not RV parks or campgrounds so there is no need for people to have RVs on their driveways for months at a time; people will start living in them or have people come from out of town and live in their driveways; these vehicles frequently block sidewalks which will obviously not be allowed but then the city will have to police that; overall I do not like the appearance of RVs in residential properties and feel I pay too much property tax to live in a trailer park
No I don't support. They are huge and take up too much room if parked in front
No I don't agree front area parking
No I don't support it. They can park it on their driveway but not on the streets please. The streets are narrow and there is playground zone beside my home , so kids are always around. These big vehicles obstruct view. It is not good for safety.
No I don't support seasonal parking. The listed problems of parking rvs affect many more people than the convenience provided to the owners.
No I don't think it should be allowed as distracts from the look of the neighborhood, a real eyesore
No I feel it's a safety concern, from not being able to see around them, someone for people to hide around, something else to break into in communities. Part of owning an rv is to pay for storage, everyone knows this and should be doing just that.
No I feel that it should be limited to one week to perform maintenance (winterizing..) or small repairs.
No- I find it obstructs the view for drivers. We live in a cul de sac, and when our neighbour motorbike is parked in front of their house, you cannot see passed it to see if a car is coming up the hill. Our children have been in danger a few times because of this on their bikes out front.
no- I like the current bylaw
No I think a season is too long, but would be alright with extending it a little longer such as 72 hours. I think potentially smaller RVs such as a tent trailer though would be okay to park on a driveway for a season as it doesn't obstruct the view any more than a car especially when considering how large some peoples trucks are.
No I think it blocks views and could be a safety issue. If you allow that then tractor trailer without trailer should be allowed to park on driveways- as long as they aren't encroaching on city property.
No I think people will plus in and use as accommodations. Out back behind the house parking only

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I think that too many people own these types of vehicles and that they would be blocking views and look crowded. Already too many cars per household on the streets and with this extended period it would be a nightmare of recreational vehicles, boats and trailers all over the streets. This is not the look out city wants.
No i will not support for the very reasons mentioned above; this just adds to the task of making complaints because people will have their own viewpoints to whats esthetic , how its hindering sightline or whther its well kept or not. Such vehicles do not belong in tight spaces and are inconvenience to others around. There is also the possibility that someone might be actually living there time to time which is totally unacceptable
No I won't consider seasonal parking as that will lead to obstruction of view for cars, bikes, and children on the streets in the spring to fall seasons. Seasonal parking would lead to abuse of timeline and cause more need for enforcement personel to police.
No I would absolutely not support allowing these vehicles to be parked for longer. They are a danger to pedestrians and children and an eye sore c
No I would leave as 36 hours it would become very unsightly and dangerous for driving in some neighbourhoods
No I would like to see no extension to the parking bylaw
No I would no favour changing the by-law. Homes are being over developed without interior storage other than garages. Most homes are now parking their vehicles outside on driveways and streets making neighbourhoods looking like parking lots. Adding RVs to the mix with not recourse would just add to this problem. Example: we were looking at show homes in Currie Barracks and Aspen and driving around these neighbourhoods was nearly impossible with everyone parking on the street.
No I would not
No I would not clutters the neighbour hood blocks neighbours views creates place for more crime obstruction of home and fills street parking with other peoples cars now since driveway is full
No I would not for the same reasons they are not allowed now, safety, obstructed sight lines plus it would also mean more automobiles/trucks on already congested streets. Would you allow me to put up a 6 - 8 foot fence on the front of my property in the summer?
No I would not it unfair to clutter my neighbours views causing additional street parking issues and place for more crime if homes obstructed from view
NO I would not support allowing front driveway parking. It changes the neighbourhood, obstruction of doorway entrances and neighbouring yards. There is the element of safety by obstructing the view of neighbours homes and view of the street. I have driven around small town/hamlets and it is noticeably more 'ghetto' or 'hillbilly' with the RV/Campers/Tent Trailers parked on the street and on driveways. City of Calgary properties are already on top of each other with very small narrow lots. There is very limited extra street parking due to small lots and driveways being so close to each other. Allowing RV parking on driveways will only exacerbate the parking issue among neighbours.
No I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking for all of the reasons above: Safety, causes low visibility behind RVs, more opportunities for thieves to target RVs on the street, it detracts from curb appeal.
No I would not support allowing seasonal front parking as there is not enough parking on a good day let alone for more than 36 hours. The neighborhood has too many vehicles as it is & makes driving & parking more difficult without adding more obstructions. With the houses

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

being so close together who wants additional bad sight lines & rv's that are large & cumbersome. It takes away from greenspace & landscaping views. Any people that take pride in their yard might not even be able to see it.
No I would not support front driveway parking. They are blocking views and unsightly even when in mint condition. They bring down property values and make selling homes that face or look on to those driveways.
No I would not support having this extended. They should make arrangements to park at a proper facility for storage. With the summer kids are playing on the streets and it is hard to see them with trailers etc parked on driveway. Safety concern
No I would not support it because RV users will simply leave the RV on the property for the maximum allowed time for their own convenience.
No I would not support it. It lowers the look of the neighbourhood . There are lots of storage sites in Calgary to store RV s.
No I would not support it. It's unsightly and obstructing. Also allows people to live in these units.
No I would not support it. Our lots are already small enough. These RV's are an eyesore.
No I would not support Season front driveway parking. Reasons: 1) In my community people block roads ways by improperly parking non-RV vehicles. Seasonal RV parking would make the situation worse. 2) RV block line of sight. Our roads are narrow with multi unit housing across from single family residential units. 3) When people bring out their trailer they also bring out their BIG trucks. So now we have a BIG & LONG vehicle (2 vehicles) blocking the road way for extended period of time. 4) Many people fix RV in their driveway. This activity adds noise & additional cars (helpers) to the community. Its ok if this lasts for a short duration but extending it longer may trigger a repair business
No I would not support seasonal driveway parking for RV's. The majority of owners of these vehicles use them infrequently ie. long weekends etc. and would be a constant inconvenience for parking and an eyesore to residents. The height and length of these vehicles obscures sightlines on streets making it difficult to anticipate children, bicycles, motorcycles and/or animals.
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking.
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. I live in a cul-de-sac and occasionally we have a neighbor who parks their large RV on their driveway, and it always extends out into the cul-de-sac. This creates issues for garbage, recycling collection as the trucks have to navigate around the big RV sticking out into the cul-de-sac and sometimes they'll skip picking up our garbage. The owner never obeys the 36HOUR limit and we've had to call bylaw a couple of times.
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Not only does it block your view along your street, if you needed to watch your kids play etc. It's an eye sore, and also can increase crimes of interest.
No I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Already a lot of RV compare parked driveways and then they will park on the street.
NO I would not support seasonal parking as there will be abuse and old ratty rv's parked on front driveways with devaluing my property, RV's are parked all over the city on the front driveway, it's just not attractive to any community. people block the walkway with hitches



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

some of these big units or park sideways on there driveway taking up there own personal parking, other home owners having to park further and not close to there own houses because that home owner parked in front of there house
no I would not support that as an rv on a driveway is ugly and damgerous to kids playing around them
No i would not support that. They Obstructed side lines and they are not estheticly pleasing
No I would not support this as we pay community fees and if everyone parks whatever they want for as long as they want then why did we move to an estate area to have our community look cluttered and a storage facility?
No I would not support this change. During the spring/summer/fall months there is more traffic in communities. I live in a circle with limited parking. Adding RV type vehicles to remain on the block for long extended periods of time would limit parking as most of our RV owners have large RVs which do not fit on their driveways, therefore are parked on the street.
No I would not support this change. I would be alright with allowing parking for 2 or 3 days only. Allowing RV parking for several months can easily led to people parking them all year on their driveways. I visit Lethbridge often and I think allowing RV parking like Lethbridge is a problem and not a good solution. Communities in Lethbridge have look messy and cluttered because of the RV parking. They can block sidewalks and lessen the appeal of these communities. Most communities already have enough vehicles in their neighborhoods with trucks and cars. If you can afford a trailer or RV you need to also have parking outside of the community.
No I would not support this I think the time allotted today is sufficient. Allowing parking on the front driveway during spring and fall would result in all kinds of issues some of which you listed, there would be trailers fowl of the sidewalks on streets obstructing vehicle traffic and views, complaints to 311, accidents, theft. People would run power cords to their trailers on the road resulting in tripping hazards for pedestrians using the sidewalks.
No I would not support this initiative. It would impact my neighbourhood in a negative way, street parking is a premium, not enough as there many bsmt suites so potentially two separate households in one house could park two RV vehicles. Because these types of vehicles tend to take up a lot of space it is hard to see around so I would be concerned for the safety of those walking or driving. It is unsightly.
No i would not support this, people park these on the roads and drive ways they block your view of the roadway as they are typically big/tall/act as a wall from seeing other road traffic especially on corners
No I would not support this. As a former RV and boat owner, they cause distraction and the larger units interfere with visibility. For safety, they should not be permitted in residential areas.
No I would not support this. It is aesthetically wrong, and it is a safety hazard if it obstructs sightlines for pedestrians and cars.
No I would not support this. It is not convenient for people that do not own RV;s and who do not wish to live in a trailer park. They are large and unsightly, I feel that they devalue the neighborhood. When my neighbour parks his RV on his driveway he has to park it at an angle and it ends up encroaching on to my front yard making it difficult to mow my lawn and the reflection off of the window of it burns a window pattern into my lawn in the hot summer. The other thing that transpires when he parks his detached trailer across his entire driveway is that then all his vehicles take up street parking which is already at a premium on our street.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I would not support this. People could potentially start renting out their trailers parked in the driveways to increase their income which could easily get out of hand and would be unsightly for the area. Let's not turn our neighbourhoods into a trailer park.
No I would not support this. Interpretation of the conditions of an RV could be quite varied. What would be considered unsightly to one person could be a castle to another. How will this be determined? Not only conditions but color, accessories such as pop outs, canopies, etc could all be considered unsightly to some. This could lead to people living in the RVs for the summer months and turning our neighbourhoods into campgrounds. Obstructed views of property could increase chance of crimes
No I would not support this. For all the reasons previously mentioned. RVs restrict views in a neighbour which could be the detriment of children playing safely with parents being able to see them as well as the possible of kidnappings due to restrictions. They too hinder visibility to any suspicious activity happening in and around houses from a neighborhood watch point of view. If every individual on a street owned an RV it would be an eye sore to see them parked for 6 months on end on top of congestion being added for home owners have to Street park as a result of blocked access to drive ways and garages.
No I would not support this. I believe if this is going to be allowed enforcement should be tightened. All this is doing is putting the burden on the community to police their neighbours to abide by this.
No I would not support this. I live next door to a family that parks thier rv in the driveway 365 days a year. We are the second house in the cul-de-sac, I have almost ran over children walking home, cyclists as well as almost got fun over by other drivers backing out of our driveway. I do not support RV's parked on the driveway for any a out of time they are unsafe for the other vehicles on the road having to move around them.
No I would not support this. It is an eye sore and lowers property value when RVs are parked in our community. It is dangerous as they are quite large making it difficult to see, it also disrupts flow of traffics when the owner tries to park or move the RV. If you can't afford the costs of storing your RV at a storage facility then you shouldn't get one to begin with. Also coming from another municipality that allows this, it has been noticed that although parking them all season is allowed, they are hardly ever taken out so are really just being stored to save costs.
No I would not support this. It is not safe for children or any people walking by these as the owners never properly clean snow. There would be an increase in crime as they would be a target for thieves. It also make the neighborhood look unsightly.
No I would not support this. It would eliminate sight lines. It would be ugly aesthetically, affect our sense of security causing blind spots for intruders to hide. Invite more vandalism and the list goes on This makes communities look trashy
No I would not support this. Large RV and vehicles like this need to be in a rural area not urban areas where space can or may be limited.
No I would not support this. RVs parked in my neighborhood block sight lines when driving - it is very dangerous and I have seen pedestrians almost hit because of them. More often than not thee people park in the street and take up space preventing people from parking in front of their own house.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I would not support this. There are already enough vehicles in the neighbourhood. This would add to the cluttered look.
No I would not support. The streets in our area are already busy enough. We have so many kids on our street that love to play outside and it's a serious safety concern having these large trailers parked. Each of our neighbour and the two across the street all have trailers which take up all the space. Making it also unfair to others who pay to live there limiting their ability to use street parking
No I would not support. They will inevitably block something.
No I would not!! Looks terrible!! Curb appeal suffers if you're trying to sell you're house!! When not parked on driveway these things are parked in the street and moved before something can be done!!
No I would not, it looks terrible not to mention that is why we have storage yards, RV parks, etc. I know for a fact people will park their crap out front and bring dow property value! Please do not allow this
No I would not, no reason to be parked in a driveway
No I would not, they can be very difficult to see around and can encroach on sidewalks and generally look bad.
No i would not. I am in an area with semi detached houses with shared front drives. If there was an RV parked in my neighbours drive .y front yard would receive littl to no sun. I do think that the time allkwed for loading and unloading should be more than 36hours, should be at least 48 hours.
No I would not. I think owners should accept the cost of ownership of these devices as needing to store them either at a campsite or at a storage facility or in the back of their property. If the city can limit vehicles encroaching on city property. I should be also able to limit how close these vehicles can be to my property.
No I would not. Most of the driveways in my community are not large enough for an RV. When people park them on their driveways, they obstruct the sidewalk, forcing pedestrians to walk on the road. There is also very limited street parking in many areas near my home and if RV's are occupying that space for extended periods of time, other residents and their guests will have no where to park.
No I would not. They ruin the esthetics of a neighborhood and people start using them as guest housing
No I would not. Currently there has been a travel trailer parked a few houses down from us and it's been there at least a year without having been moved at all. Consistently just parked. They are obviously storing it and it has becine unsightly and annoying that they have no intention of using it and following the current by-laws.
No I would not. Especially in neighborhoods with front drive garages, as it would make the neighborhood a parking lot.
No I would not. I believe they create huge visual barriers and especially during warm months when kids are out and about, it makes it difficult to see around at times.
No I would not. It is a safety hazard (visibilty for children, other vehicles, etc) and makes it more difficult for pedestrians to circulate.
No I would not. It looks awful. No one wants to look out their windows and see giant rvs parked everywhere. They are a site obstruction for children playing in their front yards. It's a huge safety hazard.
No I would not. It's unsightly and obstructs sightlines.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I would not. Our streets are already cramped with parked cars throughout the year and RVs and parked cars during the summer. This limits accessibility to cars and limits visibility around bends where RVs are often parked on our street.
No I would not. People start living in them, they're too large and most won't fit on the driveway. The Bylaw is fine the way it is
No I would not. RV ownership has expanded greatly in the last years and sometimes our community looks like an RV campground. I did not buy into an R1 district for this. There are plenty of RV storage lots available for these units to be parked. Our neighbour has had a full size 5th wheel parked in their backyard for a year. Just what I hate to see out my front window.
No I would not. Safety is #1. Can't see around them to get out of drive way. When looking out my window all I see is a trailer. While they are storing trailer on drive way then taking up parking on street for their extra vehicles.
No I would not. The owner can build an area in his rear yard for his RV.
No I would not. The roads are already narrow enough, and adding additional large vehicles would be a hazard. We have visibility issues when backing out of my driveway with my neighbours holiday trailer. Had a few close calls.
No I would not. The streets of Calgary already have too many vehicles on front driveways. The streets look junky and cluttered.
No I would not. There is already not enough space for people to park their cars in front of their homes. Many neighbors have 3 or more vehicles per household and they have difficulty parking all of those vehicles in and around their homes. Adding RV parking to driveways will only increase issues when it comes to finding parking for other vehicles. Between parking issues and emergency crews that may need to reach people and homes, there is just no space and no need to add RVs to the mix.
No I would not. They effect the aesthetics of neighbourhood, they block street views, and can make it harder to see children running out on the road. Also they could be abused and turn into rentals or multifamily accommodation, creating additional noise, street parking, and traffic. We choose this neighbourhood because it of the streetscapes and low density feel, and invested \$1,400,000 for the privilege. The last thing I want to see is a bunch of RV's parked on in front of houses along the street.
No I would not. Too often RV's hang off of property onto sidewalks or walkways, blocking access or requiring maneuvering around the obstacle. They also pose a sightline issue when parked near corners, stop signs or other traffic control devices.
No I would not. Will lead to more on street parking in my neighbourhood which creates safety issues - for people and cars - to be able to maneuver around.
No I would not. I believe that there are already many R.V owners who push the boundaries of the current bylaws and loosening restrictions would only allow more space for that to become a larger problem. I find those that "have" take little consideration in those that "have not", and that is not fair those around them. More R.V's in driveways will equal more cars on the streets creating perpetual vehicle congestion within neighbourhoods. I don't want my community to look like a camp site.
No I wouldn't because of safety issues. You cannot see someone coming out of an alley with a bigger RV and the person in the alley cannot see you. My neighborhood has many kids and adults that ride bikes and it would be dangerous for them.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No I wouldn't, as they lower the aesthetics of most neighbourhood.
No I wouldn't, RVs parked in he front are dangerous. No visibility for drivers nor pedestrians
No I wouldn't. The current rules is sufficient enough. I believe that extending beyond its current 36 hours will go further than seasonal.
No I wouldn't. This would increase the street parking which is already a challenge living in a cul De sac
No I wouldn't. With how many people that have RVS out community would look like an RV parking lot. Also safety to be use it would block up so much of the driveway that when my kids are waking in the sidewalk they might not see a car backing out of the driveway. Plus the rvs are usually so big that they would come into the sidewalk and would have to walk onto the street to get around. I hope the city won't vote for this because I think it would make our communities unsafe and look horrible.
No I wouldn't, when you pay for storage it's yearly the streets are narrow as is, it also causes my neighbours to park in front of my house as they park trailers ect on there driveways so I can't park in front of my own home. I realize it's a public parking on the street but if I park in front of there homes they sure dislike that then the problems explode as I have personally experienced.
no I wouldn't, it will be broken into and converters will be stolen and block sight lines when your backing out of your driveway if the vehicle is beside you, this is dangerous
No I wouldn't. We already struggle with this on our strip. Our vision is often blocked backing up from our driveway due to the large RVs that get parked on our street
No- If a person wants to own an R.V. They should park it in a legal R.V. parking area, so as not to impact their neighbors in any way.- e.g. sight lines, eyesore, blocking walkways, safety.
No in general, yes for small trailers only. Large RV's or trailers can cause a dangerous obstruction for traffic visibility, especially for bikes, and often obstruct or encroach on sidewalks. Currently, even with the current bylaws, we have many large RV's and trailers that constantly extend into the roadways and block sidewalks during the summer with little to no regard of enforcement for all cases be it on street, driveway, and those who extend their property into public spaces or set backs. The common offenders are always large RV's and trailers. I have never encountered a small trailer, about the length of a normal truck or smaller, causing an issue in our neighborhood.
No info not. If you can afford these vehicles, you can afford to store them. The only time they should be parked in front of your home, is when you are packing to leave.
No it causes excessive street parking and disruption of traffic with excessive parked vehicles on either side of the road (narrowing travel lanes). Forces owners to park other vehicles on the street.
No it doesn't make the street look nice. Maybe one night is ok but not more
No it erodes the aesthetics of a community
No it hinders view and I believe are eye sore in neighborhoods
No- it is a bit of an eyesore
No It is a matter of esthetics Causes property devaluation
No it is an eye sore
No it is an eyesore
No- it is an eyesore. Some RVs are in horrible shape, they take away from the beauty of the neighborhood
No It is hard to tell your neighbour not to do something to unless there is a clear rule



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No it is not safe and not looking good for neighbours.
No it is not safe for cars or pedestrians. Large vehicles obstruct views.
No it is safety issue due to obstructive vision, also there are may RV owner who park on very steep driveways with risk of injury to children because unit might become unsecured and roll.
No it is unsightly and causes vagrants parked out front of communities
No it is unsightly. We have people living in their trailers year round on our block.
No it looks awful and it's not safe. You can afford an rv you can afford proper storage
No it looks bad and cuts views being a safety issue
No it looks terrible and blocks street views of on coming vehicles and kids playing. I think it's more a safety issue.
No it looks ugly and it's a slippery slope to people Airbnb them
No It seems these days most owners seem to use their double and triple garages as their work places and storage instead of storage for their cars which they were designed for. As a result you end up with three or four cars parked in the driveway or on the roadway, much less all of the above vehicles that people are trying to get permission to store.
No it should be year round. I don't see why people shouldn't be allowed to park their trailers on their own property
No it should not be allowed. It opens up the possibility of people allowing guests, renters, family to stay in them. People could also rent out their driveways for others to store their RV or allow others to "move in".
No it turns neighborhoods into rv lots it's ugly those with rvs should purchase a larger property or pay for off site storage
No it's an eyesore and impacts street parking since people cannot use their garage and driveway.
No it's obstructive in many ways, erodes the esthetics of the community.
No it's a safety concern and the esthetics of neighborhoods turning into rv storage lots it happens too much already.
No it's an obstruction and unsightly. If you van afford to have it you should make provisions for storage or building a place in your backyard for storage. If you can afford the gas you can afford to pay to park it
No its changes the landscape and the view, they contain propane and people tend to use them as a hotel for visitors, creating more people density and parking of other vehicles. And i have seen trailers with sewage pipes leaking on the residential streets and people washing their trailers. Lets not turn our residential areas into a Walmart Parking lot. I pay may taxes for the privacy and open space not congestion and clutter !
No its unsightly to look put your window and see RVs
No I would not support it. It obstructs (my) view, causes a security issue by potentially obstructing the front view of neighbouring house and discourages people from paying for storage for their RV.
No looks terrible
No looks terrible and blocks visibility
No more than 36 hours
No more than 5 consecutive days. This means that someone that uses his RVs every weekend can still bring it back home an getting it ready for use the following weekend. More than that, and I have seen it in my block, allow for traveller to use RVs a place of accommodation (overnight) while visiting family and shouldn't be allow.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No moreso than is allowed now
No my neighbour parks his RV in his driveway and it blocks any view of the coming cars on our road making it dangerous for us to get out of our garage and when he parks it on the road it blocks the street to only one car can pass
No never! It's just so dangerous!! They obstruct my view while pulling out of my driveway and I find it dangerous coming onto the sidewalk and onto the road if I can't see pedestrians or cars coming!!! Also for children they have an obstructed view while walking, riding bikes etc.
NO NO NO!! It blocks all views, is very unsightly and brings property values down when they are full of snow or dirt as they won't be cleaned daily
No NO NO. An eyesore and an inconvenience for all of the neighbors, and creates road safety issues.
NO no! We've had an errant RV owner who parked on his front driveway for 3 yrs. No one wanted to be the bad neighbor who blabbed so there the eyesore stood for all of us . Seasonal would be just as ugly and unsightly as it is for 2 days. Limit should be 48 hrs max. After that it's so easy to over step time boundaries. If one wants to purchase an RV there should be responsible pre-established proof in the sale or leasing to the city as to where it will be parked, away from residential. Please do not ugly up our neighborhoods with RVs or boats. Closed tent trailers or unloaded small utility trailers ok as we do have them around.
No not able to see and dangerous for others living on the street Low visibility
No not at all I don't own one .and I don't want my neighbors parking in front of my house for weeks or months on end.
No not enough on street parking now will end up becoming a messy nightmare
No not enough room.
No obstruct sightlines which is bad in communities with children and old people.
No- obstructed sight lines, people don't follow rules now, making time longer will make problem worse
No -obstructed sightlines & safety -traffic related hazards to pedestrians and other vehicles , - attractive nuisance for children and target for thieves, many are parked in such a way that they encroach on safe use of the sidewalks, esthetics - detracts from the SINGLE FAMILY Residential areas - I do NOT want to live in a parking lot - there are ample storage facilities in the Calgary area - If you can not afford a proper storage site for a luxury then perhaps one should "act their wage"
No- only for limited time periods as mentioned as obstructs views.
No opinion on parking on driveways which empty onto a street. For homes that lack driveways (i.e. those with alleyways) than a 36 hour limit should be placed on street parking RVs. These vehicles block sight lines of neighbours to the street and are safety hazards given their size.
No our streets are crowded already and when people buy a motorhome they know they can't just park it at their house
No Our streets are narrow and already crowded. There is little space between yards. 3 days is enough time in front. If you have an RV you should have side yard or backyard fenced in area to park.
No parking at all, even if the city imposes a 24 hr timeline will always take advantage of that, sometimes I see RV's parked in front of home for days, RV's should be parked in an RV



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

trailer park. Only time an RV should in front of homeowner's property should be to load and unload.
No parking is a problem on our street already and if they block their driveway they will park on the street
No problem with it as long as not obstructing view and taking up street parking and maintained
No- quite often they don't stay on the driveway and are moved between the street and the driveway to avoid ticketing. And not to mention they're an eyesore out front of homes and attract theft in residential areas that may not normally be subject to it.
No Restricts view Safety reasons Eye sore Rent a spot like most people If you can afford a trailer and to camp You should be able to afford to store it on a lot elsewhere If you allow this then you should also allow carport tents on all driveways or semi truck cabd
No results in cars then piling up along street and over walkways - visual restrictions
No RV parking diminishes the value os the neighborhood. RV's block the view of the street and are dangerous for drivers and padestrians when crossing the street.
No Rv's are much larger than most vehicles and block open sight. Not to mention smaller children like to play in and around them I strongly believe this is a safety hazard.
No- RVs obstruct views and cause safety issues (kids on bikes driving around them on the road, sightlines for cars driving down the street), RVs create a negative appearance in neighborhoods. It would ruin the look of the neighborhoods. I've been in communities that allow RVs to park without restrictions and the neighbourhoo looks like a sketchy area. People might use them to allow people to sleep in them on their driveways. The RVs are noisy when someone has the air conditioner on.
no safety
No seasonal is too long. I would support 1 week.
No seasonal parking and no street parking for more than two hours. Obstruction and cables/hoses run across sidewalks are issues.
No seasonal parking. It is an eyesore.
No should also clamp down on ones parked on the street even if they move it ten feet inside the 36 hours
no sightlines
No site lines, detracts from the properties may attract thieves and vandals.
No- streets are clogged as it is with multiple drivera per house - some houses would take more then their front house area to park removing parking from others
NO support for seasonal parking for many reasons. 1) Street parking in my neighbourhood is limited as it is. RV's on driveways will push more vehicles onto the street. 2) Many RV's are in a dilapidated condition and are aesthetically unpleasing. I take pride in my neighbourhood and don't want it to start looking like a trailer park. 3)I am concerned on how the city will enforce the removal of RV's at the end of a season? 4)If you can afford an RV, you should be able to afford proper storage.
No support.
No support. Living in a cul-de-sac in a newer area, parking in driveways obstruct sightlines to the roadway entrance to the cul-de-sac and security is negatively impacted and dangerous with little kids running around outdoors. Houses are so close together, it is claustrophobic.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are many rv lots around town where they can park their vehicles. 36 hrs to load/unload the vehicle in front of house is plenty of time After that, move along.
No thank you, trailer hitches often impede on the sidewalk and lack of judgment is dismissed as it is
No The addition of these vehicles to all the other things people are storing in their front driveways (garbage bins etc) spoils the look of the place there is RV storage down the road - when we had a trailer we never brought it to our home it went straight to storage and we would clean it etc there.
No the city doesn't have the manpower to enforce current rules
No the precedent is established and it appears to be a woke way of dealing with every issue.
No the timeframe should remain the same. Many times hitches extend into sidewalks, they are large and ugly.
No the vehicles can be very large and create a hazard by blocking the view of drivers.
No- there are already too many cars parked on streets which obstruct sight lines. This will obstruct sight lines further and push more cars onto h to e street. Very unsafe
No there is no need for a residential area to be congested with these vehicles. other than a short period of time to prep for travel they should be stored at off road facility
No there is. It enough street parking as it is. If you can afford an RV you can afford to pay to park it
No- these vehicles are large - in older areas like mine the approaches weren't built to accommodate them which means people will street park- safety and narrow streets that limit visibility already would make this worse.
No they already block up parking on the streets often enough. Too difficult to manage what constitutes unsightly
No they are a sore eye and bring property values down
No- they are an eyesore and people may start renting them out short term
No they are unsightly
No they are unsightly and frequently the way they are parked encroaches on the sidewalk. They remove sight lines for pedestrians making travel on sidewalks more difficult
No they are unsightly and most people have the hitch going over into the sidewalks making it hard for people in wheelchairs.
No they block the view.
No they block views and are parked for weeks and weeks. Really are an eyesore and are right against next door property. Also can't see cars or kids on the street or sidewalk when backing out
No they look ugly and inhibit proper movement
No- they obstruct views. They also take up a lot of room in the neighbourhood.
No they park there trailer trash in storage lots
No they present danger for pedestrian and cyclists
No they should not be supported- they belong in a storage facility It looks bad - esthetics they are an obstruction RVs should not be permitted to park in a residential area
No they they take up too much room and detract from the neighborhood
No this should not be allowed. Once you start doing this, then trailers, 5th wheels will be left in place the whole time to only be used for a couple of weekends a year.
No this will bring down property values, it is unsafe





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No to larger size units such as 5th wheels and full size RVs. Too much of an eye sore. I would agree to extending the time to a week to allow for visitors. Yes to smaller camper trailers pop ups etc.
No to seasonal parking but yes to increased time from 36 to 72 hours.
No to seasonal parking. Because nothing has changed, it still obstructs sightlines, safety and aesthetics. I want tough by-laws. You will favour RV owners when poor condition is defined (this is ridiculous). 7% to 15% own RV's. Why are we catering to the minority? NO, NO, NO. Communities are not designed to house 4 vehicles and a RV. RV owners MUST pay for storage. Revisiting this by-law shows how out of touch City Council is with the majority of Calgarians. Why do you want to make this city look like a junk yard? I am outraged that this is a topic for city council. We have major issues with homelessness, recycling, property tax, crime.
No to seasonal parking. For all of the concerns noted above. Perhaps we tending the time to one week. That would allow for use as guest space and accommodate preparation for the camping season.
No too much vehicles on residential streets
No trailers should be stored in storage yards. Allowing parking in driveways blocks the side walk and makes the streets look unkempt
No turns neighborhoods into every parks.
No unless it is only for properties without a back lane or alley and only if the vehicle is parked 0.6 metres back from the interior edge of the sidewalk or 0.6 metres back from the curb if there is no sidewalk.
No unsightly and lower property value
No visibility limited when parked in driveway.
No way !!!!!!! They park on the road too, so dangerous with these big motor homes & trailers.
No way bad ideas don't need eyesore motor homes obstructing my views or boats if you have a boat trailer motor home park it in appropriate location no degrading peoples property
No way I don't want the sunshine I enjoy on my property to be obstructed.
No way it blocks the sun from coming on my property I would not want it happening in my community!
No way! It take sip way too much view and a bit obstructes view of children are playing in area as well as just view from property
No way, I want to leave in a nice neighbourhood, not one that looks junky! I call and report on 311 on all my neighbours who park their trailers in the driveway! I paid a lot for my house!
No way. If it's allowed, people will start renting them out and we'll have a secondary population using our municipal services, but not contributing to taxes. RV BnB...
No way. Our communities are already packed with vehicles blocking partial driveways. Everyone owns two or 3 vehicles now. Enough is enough.
No way. While this might be convenient for RV owners the vehicles are a REAL inconvenience for neighbors. RV's often take up limited street parking causing added stress and conflict in crowded neighborhoods. Owners often run electrical cords to their vehicles causing a trip/safety hazard. RV's are an unsightly nuisance in neighborhoods and often pose a hazard to drivers navigating crowded roadways. My opinion: Park it in your own backyard or in a designated storage facility elsewhere.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No we DO NOT support seasonal front driveway parking for RV's. If an RV is parked in the driveway then that household's car(s) will need to then be parked on the street. In Bridlewood there is more than enough cars already that park on both sides of the streets due to garages being full of stuff, multiple cars per household and more houses that are now rentals which also brings more cars to the neighbourhood. You can be hard pressed to find street parking for visitors most evenings.
No we would not
No we would not support these being parked for esthetics, safety and sightlines We do not need to have our streets become parking lots.
No we would not support. We believe this is a security issue, creates eyesore within the community, we have seen camping occurring during the 36 hours which creates additional congestion in community.
No when our neighbour has their RV here for packing it is partially in front of our property.
NO Why would the city even consider allowing vehicles to be abandoned all summer on public streets? Turn streets into RV parks for FREE? Live in them? Tents for the homeless? Wouldn't that be a pretty site? RV parks front of my home, should I have to put up with that all summer? FAIR? Facilities exist to park, not wanting to pay for this doesn't mean I should have to adjust to their life style! Already some take advantage, one constantly parks front of mail boxes, doesn't care anyone inconvenienced, RV is large and completely blocks front of next house, not even his own house, owner doesn't care, lives in a condo down street, has caused issues between neighbors I DO NOT SUPPORT EXTENDING
no would be eyesore if two many are in front on same street. especially older not maintained properly . back yard ok. also attracts thieves to break in.
No would not support, makes neighborhood parking on street too full and look trashy, if they can afford a rv they can afford a small fee to park at a storage facility
No would not support, as this makes the neighbourhood too cluttered and people will take advantage of this , if you can afford a rv you can afford a storage spot
No would not support. The obstructed sightlines of front driveways due to the size of the recreational vehicles and the esthetics of our streets. There are already vehicles that are broken down and in poor condition parked in driveways.
No would not support. Makes the neighborhood look messy. Is also a safety issue when neighbors are trying to back out of their driveways and visibility is being blocked by the RV, truck, etc. Lots are available at a cost, use it.
No  RVs are big and ugly  RVs are too big to even park in said driveways  RVs hang over the sidewalks  RVs take too much street parking when they are parked on the street  36 hrs is enough time to clean, load and pack  I don't own RVs and I don't want to see them in my community for more than 36 hrs



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the RV needs more than 36 hrs to park in a residential place the RV is not being used for its intended purpose
Owning an RV you need to be able to properly store it -budget for this expense
NO Aesthetics of the neighbourhood are negatively affected. Shadows cast by large vehicles negatively affect front lawns, flower beds etc. Obstruct sight lines of neighbours driveways Grass and weed growth around or next to RV is often neglected
No All the problems you list above
No Complete eyesore. Ruins the look of the neighborhood. Not safe. Can't see properly for driving or when children are playing. Not environmental. People will use this permit to also park RV on street in front yard (this already happens).
No Eyesore
No I think RV's are unsightly in the neighborhood and pose significant safety issues. I used to own a trailer and believe 36 hours is plenty of time to pack and unpack. The city's referenced as allowing RV parking are smaller cities than Calgary so not comparable. Calgary City Council allowed the development of residential rental units in every neighborhood in 2016 so I fully expect they will continue the degradation of our neighborhoods by allowing RV's as well.
No It's the property of the owner.
NO Know people who are able to enjoy the use of their RVs with current bylaw. An RV owner obviously has the means to pay for off site parking if they have the financial ability to purchase an RV. Also, the terms unsightly and poor condition are subjective and I can foresee conflict with neighbours. Will also place extra burden on bylaw officers RV owners will not adhere to bylaw requirements RVs partially obstructing sidewalks, RVs being used as accommodation. Unsightly, obstruct sight lines.
No Mainly they are unsightly and block views for driving safely
No My neighbour parked his RV in his driveway years ago where it caught on fire because visitors were using it.
No obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
No obstructed sightlines safety esthetics Risk of people living out of them



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>No Sight obstruction is a real concern. We already have issues with this as we live in a corner and larger parked vehicles block our line of sight. I find this especially concerning for children. Driveways should ne unobstructed by large vehicles.</p> <p>In my opinion our communities need fewer vehicles and not more. We need to build safer communities for our children to be able to move and play freely.</p>
<p>NO That will make habitual to park like that all through the year.</p>
<p>No They are an eyesore and obstruction</p>
<p>No Unsightly, homes already close enough, not interested in a large vehicle practically up against my home</p>
<p>NO!</p>
<p>No! Eyesores, poor sight lines, obstruction of sidewalks and crosswalks.</p>
<p>No! My preference would be to see vegetation instead of a white/grey wall.</p>
<p>NO! These units are unsightly and will turn into seasonal VRBOs if allowed to sit more than 36 hours!</p>
<p>NO! The other cities listed are not known for nice aesthetics. If I wanted to see an RV 8 months out of the year I'd have bought my house across from an RV Park. I think all RV's are unsightly in residential areas. I purchased my home in a residential area, not a mixed use area "residential/recreation". 36 hours is plenty of time. Those who enjoy these toys, get to shoulder conditions of considering their neighbours.</p>
<p>NO! Unsightly, obstructed sight lines and a safety issue. There are plenty of storage facilities. RV's should only be allowed to park for packing and unloading. Who determines "unsightly" if the city allows it. Who will monitor it as there will always be people who go beyond the rules and for this length of time some will have people living in their RV's. City doesn't have the manpower to monitor who shovels their sidewalks, so they definitely won't be able to monitor this. No need for change</p>
<p>NO! Already I drive around the city and people park their RVs PERMANENTLY in the driveways and on the street and in alleys. Allowing people to park their RVs in their driveway then forces them to park their multiple vehicles (not including visitors!) on the street where there is a NO parking because of the City's fondness for suburbs with front garages and no sidewalks How RV owners compensating their neighbours for their negative impact on parking?!</p>
<p>No! Do not want neighbourhood turned into giant parking lot. Too many RV owners abuse the guidelines already.</p>
<p>NO! Eyesores and obstructed sightlines. Plus they then park them on the street for days at a time as well.</p>
<p>No! Homeowners will leave the vehicles on the street.</p>
<p>No! If you can afford the operationAl expense of owning a recreational vehicle you should be able to pay for storing it out of your neighbours eyesight.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No! It prevents visibility and the homeowners part other vehicles on the roadways because they don't have the driveway access because their RV is taking up the parking space.
NO! Paying for storage is a cost of ownership and should apply all year. It is not a major inconvenience to bring the unit to the property to prep for departure. Having an RV unit on a driveway pad or in front of the residence for an extended period is not desirable for all the reasons set out above.
NO! Some RV's, i.e. 5th wheels, motorhomes, and very long trailers, are too large to be allowed to park on the front driveway or street seasonally. They would present a vision hazard and an eyesore for that length of time. I certainly would not want the neighbours to put in a front driveway just so that they could park an RV there all summer. It would impact light and cut off our view out our living room window.
No! The overall look is unappealing and it's harder to back out of your driveway and have to see around neighbors trailer.
No! There are definite safety concerns with having these RVs parked in front of a house. Can't see around them. Plus the number of people who own RVs there would be so many trying to do this. It would look awful - esthetics are terrible. We already have a neighbour who tries to work around the current bylaws - he'll park his HUGE motorhome on the street out front, then moves to alley, then back on street. It's Awful!
No! These vehicles are eye sores in the community and not designed for this purpose. We should not encourage them in residential neighbourhoods. Simply allow them on-site to prepare for travels only or upon return from travels.
NO! We have neighbours who own 5th Wheels and motorhomes. We have respectful owners and very disrespectful ones. When the later are parked on their driveway it overhangs the driveway onto the street creating congestion on the street and obstructions for those of us who walk - and then they will move the vehicles to around the corner in front of someone else's home and it blocks the sightline when entering the intersection. It is unsightly when they are parked in front of their homes.
NO! With how close together housing is, and numerous other large vehicles parked in all possible locations on properties, having seasonal parking would create an even larger safety hazard and eyesore.
NO! . Sight lines are important for safety. Children, walking in the dark, driving. Keeping the community uncluttered!
No! 36 hours is way too long for them to be allowed to park their vehicles in their front driveways. When they park their recreational vehicles on their driveways, they block garage spaces and driveway spaces for their other vehicles and then they have to park those vehicles on the street, and usually at a neighbor's house. The corner house, like mine, which has no sidewalk, ends up having to deal with additional vehicles parked outside of my house. I don't want to have the parking spots outside of my house used as long term overflow parking. It is often challenging to navigate down city streets when vehicles are parked on the street.
No! 36 hours should be adequate enough to load and unload trailers leaving them longer is unsightly when people stretch their water hoses and electrical cords cross sidewalks to their trailers. People park them on the street for equally as long, they are unsightly, block vision and provide visual blocks for thefts and crime to occur. We have enough crime
No! 36hrs is sufficient time to load/unload, clean, and maintain an RV. It is less secure leaving an RV parked in front of a home for an entire season as they can obstruct automatic lights



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

and security cameras, and they are easier to break into. Spring-fall is prime time for selling and/or purchasing a home and allowing full time seasonal RV parking could hinder selling/buying. RVs create larger shadows and would encroach on gardens and other areas of personal and neighbouring property.
NO! Already, people take advantage of the rules and park their RV's longer than the 36 hours allowed. Our streets are cluttered and congested enough. PLEASE do not extend this window. Not only are they an eye sore when so many people are cramming them into driveways, but they are such a safety hazard (sightlines) that is certainly amplified in the warmer months because there are children playing outside and riding bikes on the sidewalk.
No! Because it obstructs sightlines and is a danger to drivers and pedestrians.
No! Blocks view of street possibly resulting in safety issues when view is obstructed.
No! Cars will be all over the streets. It's already difficult to find street parking and this would make it even more horrible.
No! Everyone has different styles, shapes and conditions of RVs, so esthetically it's a crapshoot. People may use them as extended housing which will add to noise and impact on the neighbourhood. Break ins are on the rise, so it now gives more opportunity for crime. Who will enforce the removal of these vehicles in the off season? Will neighbours have to report their neighbour? Because sadly most people being people are not going to move their RV on their own.
No! Eyesore and obstructs views. More cars have to then line the streets.
No! Half our street have rvs. The neighbourhood will look junky. Sunlight and views will be blocked from neighbour's oversized campers and boats. People will take more advantage of the rules than they already do. This is not a good idea to do.
No! I am tired of looking at RV's every time I go walking in my neighborhood. They're across the street, on front driveways, backyards, alleys..... for weeks on end. They're big, ugly, and block views. Get the owners to find another spot for recreational stuff...why should they have the right to ruin a look of a neighborhood???
No! I can't see around them when driving down the street, kids run out from behind, it's just a giant block aid which is dangerous when roads are clear due to street parking and ice and snow build up
No! I support people having a longer time to pack and clean their trailer, but not parking it. There is not enough room on most streets, it's extremely dangerous for kids as it creates blind spots, it will also ruin peoples gardens and grass due to the shade.
No! I'd never be able to park out front again. RV owners will Abuse any relaxing of the rules will Impact those who have to park their personal vehicles on the road.
NO! In my Douglasdale neighborhood when people park their RV's/trailers on the street it turns our two lane road into a one lane one. It becomes dangerous and difficult to see around corners, especially by the playgrounds. I would be in favor of REDUCING the hours to LESS THAN 36 and also limiting where they are allowed to be parked.
No! It is an eye sore, people often park them on the greenspace beside their driveway and potentially damage their neighbours vehicle/grass.
No! It is awful for neighbours to have to look at cars parked in driveways and along the street, let alone RVs/trailers. More importantly, these RVs/trailers can obstruct views of kids playing on the street and may attract vandals.
No! It looks terrible, makes people park on the street adding to congestion. You will have more people with no permanent residence taking advantage of this. A lot of these units are in





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

terrible condition, and will downgrade the neighborhood. I lived in an area that allowed this and it looked terrible. People clean their units on their driveway and hook up to the sewage (despite by law) as they don't think any issues will happen or get caught. Bad idea all around.
No! It's already hard backing out of my driveway onto a busy street let alone having huge rvs and trailers blocking my view to safely drive. It's also an eyesore in the community but I'm more Concerned about safety with driving
NO! Most homes have at least two vehicles in their household, if not more. Having an RV in their driveway means one of their vehicles will have to park on the road as most do not park in their garages. Having the streets cluttered with vehicles parked on both side of the street will make for congestion and safety issue when backing out of our driveways.
No! Most people around me park their recreational vehicular on their lawns! It looks so awful! I paid a lot of money and take pride in my house and yard only for my neighbours to junk it up and park there year round!
No! Neighbourhoods are already full of cars with many homes having 2 - 4 cars. Front driveways are already cluttered with garbage cans which household fail to remove adequately from streets. RVs parked in driveways is just another thing to add to unsightly neighbourhoods. Finally, if these individuals can afford these RVs, then they can afford to pay to store them when they are not being used.
-NO! NO! NO! RV Parking blocks view for neighboring properties. -Makes it difficult for adjacent properties to back out SAFELY from their properties. -Creates parking problems for neighbours as an RV owner cannot park in their own driveway, thus taking up space on the road, often times in front of their neighbours property. -City also needs to ENFORCE ONSTREET PARKING of RV's. This problem creates safety concerns but only seems to be dealt with if there is a complaint. Often a Bylaw officer may be in the area for other concerns and COULD ENFORCE this bylaw if they so chose. Often they just drive by.
No! Our neighbor parks his RV for weeks at a time in front of his own house while parking his 2 city use vehicles in front of ours & our neighbor's homes leaving us all to park quite a ways up the street. Can't imagine where parking would be for us if all of our neighbors were allowed to recr vehicles parked along the street. This leaves us carrying our groceries & other things we need (reno materials, etc.) quite a distance. It does not allow for delivery trucks to pull in to park and they end up parking in the middle of the street while frustrated drivers accelerate past them on the wrong side of the street through a playground zone, creating a dangerous situation for tchool children.
NO! Parking is already limited on residential streets. An RV taking up a large amount of space for lengthy periods of time would not support the majority of residents in Calgary.
No! People do not park in their garages as is so now in addition to not using their garages for their everyday vehicles, they will park on the street even more. Factor in business vehicles and families with multiple drivers, it will cheapen the esthetic of neighbourhoods and make parking even more difficult on a good day.
No! People will still living in them and other dumb crap
No! Please No!. This is just pandering to minorities who own RVs and the sellers of them. If you can afford an RV you can afford to store it offsite. This will cause RVs to be abandoned permanently throughout the city. It will become an eyesore for all. Pleas, please no - I beg you. This is a very bad idea. NO!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No! RV's should be parked in appropriate RV lots, away from residential streets as having them in driveways and city roads parked is unsightly, makes the neighborhood look like a dumping ground and just poor taste. Seeing a poor kept / run down RV wedged in my neighbors driveway (or directly in front of my house on the city street) for months on end is poor taste, makes my home look less desirable and just an eyesore. I did not buy a home beside an RV lot! But that is what my area is now
No! RV's are an eyesore, there is no way I'd want to see these all over the city for months at a time. I believe the current bylaws are suitable. Also, it becomes a safety hazard for sure! I personally have experienced trying to back out of my own driveway (In another city) while there was an RV parked in the driveway next door and I could not see a thing! I came very very close to backing right into a person jogging on the street! It would also invite more crime!
NO! RVs on driveways create unsightly streetscapes and greatly reduce enjoyment of neighborhood walks, but most importantly are a SAFETY hazard. They obstruct sight lines and I would be very concerned about letting my kids play in a neighborhood with RVs in the front driveways. No one needs an RV parked on their driveway longer than 36 hours. An RV is a luxury and just like other property developments or additions it comes with responsibilities to neighbours. Bylaws forbid tree forts, gazebos and even temporary structures like tents in front yards therefore NO RVs! Consideration to neighbours is important - let us all enjoy our neighborhood safely while the weather is good for being outside
No! Safety issues. Unsightly.
No! Safety issue for drivers & pedestrians when line of sight is obstructed. Unsightly units impact neighbours. Despite restrictions people stretch limit. Using front drive for RV causes home owners to park on congested streets -also impacting neighbours.
No! Safety will be compromised, and visibility will be terrible if people can park their big RVs at the front of their houses.
No! See above #3, don't ask redundant repeated questions!
No! There are already too many vehicles parked on lawns, driveways and on the street
No! These are an eye sore and people could be renting them/living in them and renting their homes out. Slippery slope on what you can park
No! They are an eyesore and a blight to communities.
NO! They are big and hard to see around, and make the neighbourhood look junky. And if they are parking on the street, take up a lot of room on the street and I've even seen cords running across sidewalks, which is a tripping hazard. Three days is plenty of time for people to load/unload their unit.
NO! They are often unsightly old, poorly maintained with flat tires They can encroach the sidewalk Where there is no sidewalk even hang over into the street. Exiting driveways and lanes is difficult. In culdesacs it everything looks so crowded. Blocks the view for cars pulling out of driveways. I have two young grand children as well as other children who walk and ride their bikes up and down the side walk. Drivers cannot see well past these trailers to see small people. Visibility is critical!
No! They are unsightly and diminish the aesthetics of my neighbourhood.
No! They block the sightlines for people driving and reversing. They also frequently exceed the front driveway space and extend into the street or sidewalk.
NO! They make it difficult to see pedestrians, increase # cars parking on the roads, are used as seasonal "airb&b" for visiting friends with electrical cords and generally clutter the neighborhood

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No! They're a safety concern as they block the view when entering/exiting a neighbouring property. Plus most are enormous and unproportionally large to the house/lot. Unappealing eye sore :(
NO! This will only cause RVs to be abandoned on front driveways. In theory a great idea that will benefit a few good people but majority will take advantage as an excuse to abandon RVs and trailers.
No! This will significantly detract from the safety and aesthetics of my neighborhood. This does not align with the complete streets policy of making streets liveable!
No! Those RVs are unsightly and in some cases a hazard. They often stick out on to the sidewalk and they obstruct sightlines making the area less safe.
No! Trailers are an eyesore. If you allow one, there will soon be many. If you can afford a trailer, you can afford storage. If not, don't buy a trailer.
No! • obstructed sightlines • safety • aesthetics
NO!! seasonal parking!! My neighbors already do this illegally. I am tired of looking at their trailer.
NO!! These are a huge vehicles to begin with and not everyone has a newer model or tend to keep their model clean. They are a huge obstruction. This also gives people the convenience to start using them as dwellings. I am so surprised that this is even being considered. I am against this as we have too many vehicles taking up our streets currently due to people utilizing their garages as storage. They'll start using these huge vehicles as storage now and they will never move them. Against this!
NO!! It is unsightly. Obstructs sight lines and decreases safety when exiting driveways, alerts, and other streets. Adds vehicles to the roadways when cars usually parked on driveways are moved to the street to accommodate recreational vehicle parking on the driveway.
No!!! Ask the Fire Department what they think of this dumb idea if they have to respond to a house fire.
NO!!! for reasons already cited plus: It will encourage street parking for the owner's everyday vehicle(s) and WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH STREET PARKING DUE TO THE CITY'S DEVELOPMENT/DENSITY MANDATE. Already have to park several houses down, so why are we concerned about convenience for RV owners? Unlikely the City will regulate the unsightliness of an RV! 36 hrs to pack/unpack an RV is reasonable. Non-RV owners should not have to suffer because an RV owner can't get themselves organized in 36 hrs.
No!!! It obstructs sight lines especially close to intersections and also from backing out of a driveway. It is an eyesore for the neighbourhood. It's bad enough people keep all their garbage/recycling bins on driveways.
NO!!! Neighbours do that now and park all of their cars on the street. This limits everyone elses parking, obscures views and it is especially dangerous on corners!
No!!!!
NO!!!!
NO!!!! The sightline obstruction is a huge concern - it is hard to see around RVs that are parked when backing out of your own driveway; and it is hard to see kids (especially when they are on bikes) around these RVs. RVs on front driveways are simply unsightly - it is unfair to Calgarians that do not own RVs to see them negatively impact their neighborhoods. IF this bylaw does change, there has to be a restriction on the size of the RV allowed!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No!!!! There is something called "Good Neighborhood Guide". A good neighbour would not impose their unsightly RVs to clutter the front driveways and streets.
no, visibility concerns for pedestrians and children
NO, unsightly. too clutter in neighborhood, block vision, ,dangerous for small children - might dart out to roads.
No, all the impacts above are correct
no, enough parking concerns now without adding more issues. these vehicles are larger causing safety issues for kids, walkers and drivers
No, few neighbours already park illegally on the road blocking driveways. So if driveways were allowed i think it would get worst and just be an eye sore.
No, I do not want my neighbourhood looking like an industrial park or rv park.
No, it is not a storage facility. Some people wish to drive up and see a beautiful home, not an RV Park. At least that's what it could look like if everyone one had one. Also, what about those who owe storage lots and rely on that income that would be lost. We no longer have ours but used storage as had a back lane and was on our property but it invited vandalism and trespassing so I don't think in your back property is safe for you or your neighbors. Only way I'd be ok with this is if it were 100% I enclosed/gated and locked to reduce theft.
No, it takes away from the look of the neighbourhood. I do not want to look out and see and RV parked next to my yard.
No, mostly concerned about safety for children and pets, cats backing out of driveways and not being seen.
No, obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
No, serious danger many blind spots easing across sidewalk. Aesthetically unappealing.
No, the units are huge and unsightly..they obstruct sight lines which is a safety issue. Take up parking because then the cars have to park on the road. Also will create problems with people using them as housing.
No, this translates to vehicle parking spaces being removed and replaced with the already limited street parking
No, Unsightly and open to abuse i.e. large trucks, etc.
No, Visual pollution, restricted sight , danger to oncoming traffic if children play .
No, would prefer the bylaw to stay the same, for several reasons, but mostly for safety reasons. I also feel that 36 hours should be enough time to clean and unload vehicle.
No, a lot of them are unsightly.
NO, a menace. Garages become full of junk. A fire hazard.
No, a pedestrian will die and the rule will have to be reversed. Please don't make it even more dangerous.
No, a prime time for house sales is during the spring/summer/fall season. Having a neighborhood full of RV parking could impact the ability to sell a property. Do you want to feel like you live in an RV park? Also, many properties front yards are south facing providing prime time for sun for gardening. Large RVs could have the potential for shadowing for homeowners gardens.
No, absolutely not- it's an eyesore, you'll have people living in them, they will devalue property and generally make the neighborhood look like a congested slum
No, absolutely not under no conditions. The extension to 36 hours is already unnecessary. This ruins sight lines on already blind corners in communities. It blocks driveways,



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

crosswalks, stop signs and many other important things. It's also unsightly, ugly, and inconsiderate to neighbors. I believe this also opens up the possibility to people "pushing the envelope" even further. Leaving their RVs hanging out onto the street, often moving their RV from their driveway to the road temporarily, and overall causing more traffic in communities where parking is already tight.
No, absolutely not! It is fine to park these by or on their driveway to load up for their vacation (36 hours) but other than that, they obstruct sightlines, make the neighborhoods look junky, esthetically unpleasing, even if they are new. If people can't afford to park them in storage when they are not in use then they shouldn't buy them, period!!
No, absolutely not. The sight lines for vehicle and pedestrian traffic are severely impacted. This can lead to collisions and injury.
No, absolutely not. These vehicles are dangerous as they block line of sight. No to mention they are terrible looking parked at houses and on the street. They devalue the neighbourhood by their presence.
No, absolutely not. Affects neighborhood appearance, blocks sight lines, blind spots. If an RV fills a driveway, this reduces street parking for other vehicles.
No, absolutely not. It's an eyesore for tax payers who maintain and care for their properties. It can also create visibility concerns for motorists using neighboring roadways. If a citizen has the money to purchase a recreational vehicle, they should budget for the cost to store it outside of residential areas. There are several lots outside the city with very reasonable storage rates.
No, absolutely not. The above known impacts outweigh all the benefits for the small minority of city residents who own RVs.
No, abuse of timelines and limited space for large recreational vehicles, encroaching on roadway.
No, adds cars to street parking as driveway is filled and garages blocked, making street congestion and can't see front street scape through large rvs
No, aesthetically unpleasant
No, aesthetically unpleasant, obstruct view and visibility of kids and pets running, reduce available parking for personal vehicles.
No, aesthetics and sight lines being the main issue. People already have enough vehicles parked out front
no, aesthetics
No, aesthetics, sight blocking on main roads, increase illegal parking, reduced parking in neighbourhoods if driveways or occupied
No, again it's their property. If visibility is the problem people should learn to drive
No, again they create blind spots for drivers. Also they are out of place for a neighbourhood. Also they tend to be used for guest while parked.
No, all RV's are eyesores
No, all the above pros and cons are subjective and have the potential to lead to abuse, there are no pros for people who don't own an RV. Furthermore car parking is already an issue in many streets.
No, allow parking in alley for greater than 36 hours instead
No, already our area suffers crimes of opportunity with people going driveway to driveway trying to open unlocked cars, cutting out catalytic converters or finding unlocked cars with garage openers in them. RV's would just increase this type of crime.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

They are also unsightly especially if they aren't taken care of and allowing this change would put the onus on residents.
No, and for all of the reasons identified above. Parking in the driveway reduces the sightline of the neighbouring property (particularly when driveways are adjacent) and becomes a safety hazard for pedestrians and visibility of other cars when backing out. Not to mention the poor aesthetics these vehicles create in the neighbourhood.
No, and for community standards. If it's ok for 1 person to park 1 trailer on the front driveway/lawn it has to be ok for everyone to do the same.
No, as explained above.
No, as I feel it would be too unsightly & our ward has many homeowners that do own RVs...the potential to have so many in the community for such an extended period of time, especially in a front driveway could cause street parking to be even more crowded. New developments are already tight enough.
No, as I live on a street with limited street parking as it is. With RVs on driveways, more cars would end up on streets
No, as in my neighborhood the sight lines in particular can be difficult or dangerous when RV are parked. So longer than 36 hours would make life more difficult
No, as it means more vehicles parking on the streets. Less visibility and less space for bins.
No, as my neighbours will store their RVs permanently. It obstructs vision, it's not safe if a child runs out from behind one.
No, as some areas have limited parking available and with RVs parked in driveways, RV owners may be using limited street parking. Especially in areas where condo owners have limited parking and vehicles are on the streets.
No, as sometimes their vehicle is larger than their driveway and they block the public sidewalks. I have also seen many semi trucks in my community (Carrington, NW) that also are on a driveway and block the sidewalk.
No, as they block views for neighbours and drivers (at times)
No, as they don't allow for clear sight lines
No, as this will create eyesore and increase the likelihood of these trailers being used as additional informal accommodations. Instead, it is suggested the city make the bylaw more onerous, and require these types of vehicles as much as possible to be professionally stored off site, and not use the street or front of houses.
No, bad sight lines, some (but not all) RVs look terrible
No, because if you allow seasonal it will inevitably lead to people abusing that privilege and will park permanently and you will have to do even more enforcement
No, because by blocking the garage entry and occupying the driveway, the home tenants have to lark on the street, using up too many spaces per household.
No, because it does not effectively contribute to a residential aesthetic of the neighbourhood
No, because it is an eyesore and makes the neighbourhood less pleasant.
No, because it makes the streets busy, when trailers are parked on the driveway more cars are parked on the streets, sometimes visitors can't find the parking. Sidewalks are more busy, some trailers are longer and take some sidewalk space
No, because it's a slippery slope once that's allowed. Then you find it trickling into the road for parking. Also some would be parked in disrepair meaning all who live in the community have to see it there.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, because it's not safe to have these large vehicles blocking the view. It's dangerous enough walking around the neighbourhood already; obstructing the vision of drivers would be even worse.
No, because of esthetics.
No, because of safety. A small car backing out of their driveway; the sight lines and safety will be compromised.
No, because of the aforementioned negative impacts plus the additional negative impact that RV front driveway parking impedes the building of neighbourliness - especially during the admittedly limited summer months when people are more likely to be out and about. Anything that physically separates people from seeing and interacting with their neighbours to both sides of their homes and with people on the sidewalks is detrimental to our quality of life.
No, because of the current reasons it's restricted. Why go 36-hours, to weeks! How about 4-days...?? This allows time for the family to pick up the RV vehicle from storage, a few days to pack, then go on their holiday. Same for returning after. 4-days is ample time for cleaning. If an RV is going to be purchased, the family should budget in the storage fees. We shouldn't have to have obstructed sight lines and safety issues all Spring through the end of Summer.
No, because of the impacts listed above. They block views when driving. There are cords running across sidewalks which causes walking hazards. The owners don't just park on driveways, they park on the side of the road which causes the hazards. People begin living in the campers parked outside the home.
NO, because people abuse this system and leave their recreational vehicles parked in their driveway all year long and no one ever complains. then they take up other street parking because of that. Ans they leave those vehicles part way on the side walks too.
No, because people already abuse the limits regularly and when parking on streets block visibility while driving down the street and people are not responsible
No, because people may abuse that right to generate income (renting out rv living space), which could cause problems like sewage smell, noise complaints. There is the matter of how huge RVs can be- some neighbours can be very negatively affected by this. RV seasonal parking lots business may be harmed.
No, because people such as drug addicts are currently doing this and living in the motor home and siphoning others utilities. By law and police do nothing to get rid of people doing this
No, because people would end up living in it and also more vehicles would be parked on streets instead of in driveways, people would not follow the rules and they'd block the sidewalk etc.
No, because provides an extra break in risk, obscures vision for children crossing roadways. Takes up space for local residents riding bicycles, playing street games. I am totally against extending the time frame.
No, because RV owners already stretch the rules that are currently in place. Let's not make it worse.
No, because the enforcement in winter will fall off and we would be stuck with it year round. 36 hours is more than reasonable. Don't sacrifice safety so that some people can save money. If your buying a RV you have to be able to afford the storage costs.
No, because the seasonal vehicle likely displaces other vehicles which are than likely parked on the street, which creates congestion and safety concerns.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, because then that homeowner will need to park their other vehicles on the street to accommodate their RV in the driveway. Some people only have street parking and it's already limited. Extended RV parking will create less street parking for people who need it.
No, because they don't move them at all. Travel trailer next door for years.
No, because with the laws in place, people took advantage and I think it will only get worse.
No, besides being unsightly, they also create dangerous situations for pedestrians and children on bikes. The large boxy shapes can prevent vehicles and their drivers from seeing any potential person that might cross the street inadvertently.
No, block view, can't see when backing up, attract crime.
No, blocking traffic
No, blocks light, sight lines, destroys look of neighbourhood.
no, blocks residents views of the street, safety concerns with lack of visibility of kids by drivers, often blocks sidewalks for pedestrians, people will live in them and even rent them out if this is allowed guaranteed, doesn't look attractive
No, blocks sight lines in the block, especially with kids playing outside. Impact on parking - trailers in driveways also means residents are parking their vehicles in the street instead.
No, blocks view of fronts of homes and detracts from the aesthetics of the neighbourhoods
No, blocks view of oncoming traffic
No, but 36 hours is too short. Maybe 3 days would work ok. I imagine that sometimes it takes awhile to get ready, do cleaning, etc.
No, but extending the time to 48 hours would be reasonable.
No, but I would consider a slightly longer temporary parking allowance such as 48-60 hours. Large RV's can close off the neighbourhood and trying to enforce an "unsightly" rule would be difficult as that can be a matter of opinion.
No, but I would support 2 to 5 days for loading, unloading and cleaning. My neighbour had his travel trailer parked in front for 1 and 1/2 years and only took it out 3 or 4 times for one or two days at a time. It stayed in front over the winter. Thankfully it is now in the backyard but if he takes it out for one day, it ends up staying in front for weeks. It is an eye sore with duct tape and packing tape on it. When we look out our front window it is always in our sight line when in front drive
No, but to increase to 48 hours. I am opposed due to all three of the above impacts listed:sight lines, safety and esthetics.
No, campers and rvs and the like obstruct views when pulling out of the driveway making it very dangerous for pedestrians on the sidewalks, especially children.
No, cause then it will clutter streets for parking and opens opportunity for people living in them.
No, causes too much parking on the roadways which are already crowded
No, city parking should be allowed. If you own a RV take it to an RV storage facility.
No, creates more issues with street parking that is already an inconvenience due to poor parking availability with condo buildings in the area.
No, creates parking problems on road by overcrowding streets creating unsafe driving , unsafe for pedestrians and unsafe for children playing or riding bikes forcing them to ride further into traffic.
No, creates poorer visibility on roadways for pedestrians / cars entering roadway, more congestion for normal vehicles. RV's / campers often exceed the space between driveways disrupting neighbours access



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, creates safety issue by limiting visibility when backing out of driveway. Also, if RV is parked on a street that has a bent on the road, you can see what's on the other side. If RVs are parked on each side of the street, it reduces traffic to one lane. In my neighborhood, several people have RV, not only would it be an eye sore to the community if all parked on the street, the street would become an obstacle course. Definitely against the proposed amendments
No, crowds the road parking and doesn't allow for proper snow clearing and blocks sightlines
No, Currently RVs are already on the street more than 36 hours, they block sightlines and encroach on the driving lane making it unsafe and reduce the road width from 2 to 1 car also there are many children around and a large vehicle (many times at a street bend block view of traffic and pedestrians. It is also unsightly if the vehicles are there for a prolonged time.
No, deciding what is "unsightly" is too subjective and will lead to many disputes. It's easier to just consider all RVs large, unattractive vehicles, made of man-made materials, that decrease the "green"/natural aesthetic of a residential street and make it look like a parking lot instead. They are eyesores- stationary monoliths. RVs reduce curb appeal and would make selling your home very difficult if it looks to prospective buyers like the new neighbours are trailer trash/rednecks.
No, definitely not. Making sight lines worse, increasing street parked vehicles. All leading to an even more unfriendly environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Do we all need to sacrifice safety of pedestrians and children walking and playing for the convenience of a few? Do we all need to feel like we live in a storage lot so a few can save a few minutes before going camping?
No, definitely not. There are already too many vehicles parked on our residential street. Allowing more RV parking in driveways will push more vehicles onto the street. I have young children and this is a safety issue, especially when there is no regard for the 40km/h speed limit.
No, depending on the way the vehicle is positioned it could create safety hazards for drivers and people. Also it is unappealing to see and people will always abuse the bylaws, allowing for more time will create new loop holes for people to abuse. if someone can afford the RV they can afford to park it in a storage facility. If we allow this will we start allowing other classifications of vehicles as well.
No, difficult to see around, more targets for theft, esthetics. People will park on the road, even if only allowed on pad or parking lot.
No, do not appreciate blocked sight lines and poor aesthetics of RVs parked in driveways long term
No, driveways and parking stalls are simply too small to accommodate most RV's. In addition to this many homeowners, even those with attached garages are parking vehicles (passenger cars & trucks) in the driveway or on the street which already causes issues with obstructed sightlines, safety, and esthetics. It is too crowded to add RV's. Cost effectiveness and convenience should not be a consideration, RV's are a luxury and the cost and inconvenience of storage is part of ownership.
No, driveways are close together, you try hard to keep your yard looking nice and all you would be looking at up & down the block is large square ugly RV's. Very hard to determine what is unsightly & hard to enforce when one is old & ugly. Right now several are parked in the area & all are old, rusty & unsightly
No, due to safety and esthetics

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, due to the aesthetics of the neighborhood. Also, I have seen people already parking their RV for more than 36 hours.
Safety is another big issue. There are children that play outside and introduce obstructions plus if the owner is not careful backing up such a large vehicle there is more risk of injuries. When owning an RV you should also budget for parking in a lot and not in the driveway. My main concern is safety.
No, due to the known impacts and any unknown impacts, especially sightlines.
No, due to the known impacts from allowing seasonal RV Parking. (obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics)
No, due to the residences' other vehicles encroaching onto city streets. I.e. Street parking of personal vehicles being unsightly. What are garages and driveways for? Markham doesn't allow overnight curbside parking. What can we do about houses that own more vehicles than they have driveway space for?
No, due to the sheer number of RV owners, this would significantly impact neighbourhood esthetics, and in some cases sight lines at intersections. There are some owners that in addition to an RV own boats and off road vehicles. Would all these be allowed throughout the season? Also some of these RVs are oversized, would there be a length stipulation?
No, encourages more transient behaviour, more thefts, drugs encroaching in the community, unsightly overcrowding and decreased resale value of homes in area. When it looks junky, it will also attract junk to the neighborhood.
No, especially now with permit parking. Living inner city parking is limited. To have RV's taking up several parking spots for extended period should not be allowed. The time currently given I believe is plenty of time to load and unload RV's. This is something that already cannot be enforced. We have had neighbours have RV's hooked up (electricity) to homes with guests sleeping in them on the street. A safety concern knowing people are possibly in the RV as you walk by. Something a man may not worry about but as a woman and mother it is a concern.
No, especially those house which is at the end or beginning of road, it is difficult for driver to see any pedestrians going to across the road
No, Esthetics and obstructed sightlines. We work hard to make our property look nice and that would definitely have a negative impact.
No, esthetics and safety. If you can afford your toys you should plan to afford to safely store them in designated spots.
No, esthetics. If you can afford to store it properly you can't afford an RV.
No, except for a day or two to load and unload but after that it should be stored off site. Current bylaw is appropriate.
No, extended parking period for RV will make neighbourhood road even narrower, challenging the garbage collection space, and other visitors parking convenience. Not to mention some cheap people let their visitors sleep in the RV as a hotel room, it is not a civilized scene for community to see people from RV dressed in sleeping clothes in and out, smoking, drinking and talking loudly in the night. A safety concern for those slept inside RV and pedestrians walking in the community.
No, eye sore
no, eye sore, invites more car/rv prowling, block sight lines, ugly, neighbourhoods could become homeless shanty sites.
No, eye sore.
No, eyesore. Blocks view



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, far from being the open and welcoming neighborhoods of the past, Calgary neighborhoods are already now "designed" as cluttered and claustrophobic spaces with tall buildings crammed close together. Last thing we need is for the last little bit of relatively open space be taken away by a huge vehicle parked for 6 months straight. If RV owners are allowed to park these vehicles for extended periods in front yards, the fence bylaws need to be ammended to allow for full 6 foot high front-yard fences so that I can build one to block the view of their eyesore. Either park it in the back yard out if sight or pay for seasonal storage and consider it a cost of ownership.
No, for aesthetic reasons.
No, for aesthetic reasons. If they can afford to have an RV, they can store it elsewhere - something that's been done this whole time. I think it would be ok to have a 48 hour limit for cleaning/offloading, but nothing beyond that.
No, for all the reasons listed above: Unsightly and obstructed sightlines.
No, for all the reasons stated above.
No, for esthetic reasons I don't want to look out my front window and see a big hulking RV parked in my neighbors driveway.
No, for newer communities there is already a lack of street parking, it is next to impossible to see kids who are trying to cross the road or are just playing and may run out into traffic. Not to mention you can't see traffic around most RVs
No, for reasons listed also if you can afford a recreational vehicle you can afford to pay to store it elsewhere. It is a safety issue in almost all situations when sight lines are obstructed particularly in areas with high traffic of children walking to and from school or playgrounds . Owners activating pop outs laying down pylons, electrical cords across the side walks etc. Major safety issue.
No, for reasons of safety and visibility impact on the adjacent driveways
No, for the above reasons. But, believe the 36 hour limit should be extended slightly.
No, for the negative impacts listed above. Residential areas were never intended to be storage areas for such equipment, and additionally, if people can afford these RVs (of all types) and related costs (insurance, Camping fees, add'l fuel costs to use them, etc.), they should be able to fund offsite storage fees. It's currently bad enough that many homes have double car garages, and 3-5 cars, but fail to park any vehicles within their garages,
No, for the negative reasons stated above.
No, for the reasons listed (sightlines, safety, asthetics) I would support extending the duration of time to 3-5 days.
No, for the reasons listed above. In particular, obstructed sight lines would be a real problem in neighborhoods with lots of kids out playing
No, for the reasons mentioned above. Obstructed sight lines, esthetics and safety. They should be parked in the owners property at the back of the home.
No, for the reasons outlined above (sightlines; safety; esthetics). Neighbourhoods already look cluttered and overcrowded with all the street parking; putting an RV in the driveway means even more cars parked on the road.
no, for the same reasons as above. Obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics. I would support extending the timeline to 48 or 72 hours
No, for thereasons above. Obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics.
No, frequently blocks parking on the street unreasonably. Blocks public amenities like mailboxes



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, front driveway streets are often clogged with many parked cars that obstruct views due to multi family living. This will make it worse for many communities. 36hrs is plenty to load your rv for use
No, front yard parking of any vehicle including RVs is unsightly and dangerous to pedestrians. Adjacent properties are negatively impacted with views down the street blocked. The residential streetscape cluttered with vehicles in the front yard detracts from the more tranquil scene without. Why should neighbours and the community at large have to accept and put up with those unwilling to find an appropriate location for their recreational vehicles?
No, happy with current bylaw.
No, have many children walking and playing, it obstructs the view of drivers. It is also unsightly and esthetically non please. It does not conform with many of the other houses that don't have trailers. This is especially troublesome where some individuals have what appears to be derelict trailers and that would impede and detract from the feel of community and the general upkeep of our neighborhoods.
No, having RV's parked on driveways is a safety issue, I personally would not like to look out my front window to look at someone's RV. When we owned a RV we always paid for offsite storage.
No, having rvs parked in driveways and street parking is an eyesore and makes the community look bad. If it is allowed for rvs to be parked for longer than 36 hours people will try to live in them and cause issues by overcrowding street parking. If someone moves into an rv in a driveway, they are taking a private parking spot and now there is an additional street parking spot needed.
No, having these vehicles on the driveway are unsightly but more importantly are really a safety factor. I have a truck on the driveway next door that is old and has not moved in years, when I back out it blocks my sight lines for people walking on the sidewalk. It's a hazard!
No, horrifically unsightly, plus inadvertent uses up more street parking due to RV owners parking on the street.
No, however I would support an increase in the time allowed to park so that anyone using the RV back to back weekends wouldn't need to store the RV for the week in between. Another reason for not supporting seasonal from driveway parking is that an RV parked in the drive means more cars on the road.
No, however I would support extending the allowed time to park an RV from 36 hours to 48 or 60 hours. If it helps by extending the time for RV owners to be able to prepare their RVs for trips or after a trip, that is fine. However it should not be allowed to have it sit on driveways throughout the whole season. It disrupts the visibility of roads and the esthetics of a neighbourhood. Storing an RV in a designed zone for longer periods of time is what RV owners need to do. People that do not own RVs don't necessarily want to be exposed to their communities being littered with RVs.
No, however special circumstances like the incident when the family had their home Burt down and were living in the trailer on their driveway this should be allowed. It supports the family to stay in their community, still have access to their school, bussing, work and their property. These is very rare and must be more accommodating for these situations.
No, I absolutely do not support the proposed changes. With smaller lot sizes, particularly in newer areas, RVs usually block both the owners and neighbour's front yard. Besides being unsightly, they also pose a problem seeing when backing out of driveways. It also makes it difficult to see pedestrians and kids riding or playing.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I agree that it poses security threats in terms of visibility of the property and aesthetics of the neighborhood.
No, I agree with the purpose of the bylaw and am glad that driveway parking is set to a limited time. I would be OK with the hours allowed extending up to 48 or even 72 though
No, I already have a problem RV on my street, & by relaxing the bylaw it will make it worse. They block sun, they're an eye-sore, they will make "definition of driveway" parking questionable, they're unsafe on a slanted driveway, owners will stretch "seasonal" definition, dilapidated units will become storage units, will hinder grass/tree growth by shade thrown, & just not an added benefit to the neighborhood. If people can afford a unit, they can afford storage.
No, I already have difficulty making a left turn onto our drive with a large truck parked there. At least he moves every day.
No, I am concerned about obstructed sight lines and would consider it an eyesore to see large trailers parked in my neighborhood for extended periods of time.
No, I am concerned about sight lines especially in relation to corner lots and crosswalks. I think the rules have to be the same for everyone so it should be kept the same for everyone.
No, I am vehemently opposed to seasonal front driveway parking for the following reasons: a) when an individual purchases a recreational vehicle it is his/her responsibility to factor in the cost of storage when making that decision b) recreational vehicles parked in front driveways are an eyesore and interfere with the neighbours' right to quiet enjoyment and c) most definitely there would likely be safety issues with obstructed sightlines.
No, I believe it blocks visibility of pedestrians and other vehicles on the street. With limited street parking, this would further push regular vehicles to park on the street if their driveways are occupied by RV's. RV's tend to be large and ruin the aesthetic of the street by blocking houses and lines of sight.
No, I believe it could lead to a party parking a recreational vessel for the entire time from April or May and until September or October and this would not be acceptable
No, I believe it will clutter up the neighborhood.
No, I believe RVs should be stored elsewhere as it can be very difficult driving around RVs.
No, I believe that they should be stored offsite. They are an eyesore and I believe they are a safety issue with young children playing outside. They obstruct visibility which may increase risks for accidents between vehicles and pedestrians
No, I believe this will lead to long term parking of personal vehicles on the streets.
No, I believe you caught the reasons why. RVs, and for that matter sole proprietors who park their large commercial vehicles on residential roads, should see limited ability to use public space for these large vehicles.
No, I did not pay \$700k for my house to live in a trailer park. If you own a trailer and live in the suburb, then you should have to store it elsewhere
No, I did not spend all this money on my home to be surrounded by rvs and feel like I'm living in a trailer park. I have also spent much time and money to beautify my front yard and make it a natural safe space for insects, birds, butterflies. RV parking in fronts of houses and extended parking on streets is not neighbourhood friendly. Also they would added to reduced visability for all, especially children, when walking in the neighbourhood and safely being able to cross the street.
No, I do agree in some cases 36 hours may not be enough, however, I do not believe seasonal storage is fair to others in the communities. 5 days I think is more than reasonable.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People who take out their RV weekly would appreciate the ability to unpack and repack during the week before the weekend. But, people who only use their RVs occasionally should not be able to store them long term. I am strongly against seasonal storage in the front of homes.
No, I do not support allowing front driveway parking. These oversized vehicles often overhang the sidewalk and impede pedestrians right of way and they also obstruct the view of on-coming vehicles.
No, I do not support allowing RV's to be parked in the front yard of homes. In our community we all have back yards and alleys. I live in a residential area, not a campground. Why have bylaws anyway when you do not enforce them? I have reported the same offender twice and the RV is still parked in his front yard. It has moved once in two years. As an older community, some people look after there homes others don't. We do not need to look at an RV everyday.
No, I do not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I believe that we need to improve streets and visibility so that young people can play and be seen on the streets. I would like the city to prioritize young people and active transportation over RVs. Thank you.
No, I do not support driveway parking. I live on a cul-de-sca. One neighbor parked 2 travel trailers on his driveway which meant 6 vehicles were required to park on the street. In addition to the parking issue, it is an eye soar.
No, I do not support extended seasonal parking for these recreational vehicles. They are often large, unsightly, block views, overhang driveways, encroaching city property and sidewalks. Why should the view from my home be of constant trailers, campers, etc.? Five close by neighbours own such vehicles, some located on the street - longer than bylaw allows, some on driveways and others stored year round within their property. It is truly ugly to look at and reduces the beauty of our neighbourhood
No, I do not support extending the timeframe or allowing more RV type vehicles to be parked in the neighborhood. It creates added 'clutter' in the neighborhood. Too many vehicles on driveways and it becomes a risk factor, an obstruction and also a safety issue. We currently have a neighbour who brings his large boat home and parks it on the street which over hangs to his neighbor. Sadly, because of this, his neighbours front yard view for most of the year is his boat.
No, I do not support front Driveway Parking of Large vehicles of any type. All RV's and commercial type vehicles cause visibility issues and take away from how neighborhoods look.
No, I do not support having RVs allowed in the front driveway. It obstructs my ability to see the road when I try to back out of my driveway. In addition, if there's an incline, and that RV is not properly secured, it is a risk to pedestrians and other vehicles, if it rolls down. I think it creates further problems for a risk of prowling and theft as well.
No, I do not support it due to safety reasons. We live on the main drive in our neighbourhood and it's a very busy drive with city buses, school buses and heavy foot/bike traffic. I'm concerned about good visibility to see elementary school students walking to/from school and the safety of all the bike riders and community members walking their dogs.
No, I do not support it. Currently in our neighbourhood I would estimate that 50% of the houses already use their driveway to park their vehicles because their is used for other purposes (storage, etc.). Allowing recreational vehicles to occupy these driveway spaces for longer periods of time will simply result in more and more vehicles being parked on the street.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This creates a safety hazard for children in the area and simply makes the street more unsightly.
No, I do not support it. People already are pushing the current boundaries, I do not want to look out my front yard and stare at an RV. There is no reason why they cannot be stored elsewhere. Some may never get used and will sit in the driveway being an eyesore as it slowly ages into poor condition. Defining poor condition or unsightly is a matter of opinion. There are plenty of options for storage.
No, I do not support it. I do not want to look at RV's on my street all summer. I don't want my street looking like a RV park. Storage is the cost of being an RV owner and should continue that way.
No, I do not support season front driveway parking within the city. Homeowners on my street already block the sidewalk with the tail ends of their large trucks, I do not want to envision a world where trailers are allowed to take up street parking or park in a driveway for more than 36 hours.
No, i do not support seasonal access. It is a slippery slope. Who's to judge whether a certain RV is unsightly? Seems to be leading to more problems.
No, I do not support seasonal front driveway or street parking for RVs. Neighbourhood streets will be overtaken by RVs. They can be extremely large and block sight lines. Currently RV owners take advantage of the 36 hour rule by simply driving around the block to meet the current definition and bylaw.
No, I do not support seasonal front driveway parking because of the amount of recreational vehicles there are. Thats why there are storage areas for RVs.
No, I do not support seasonal front driveway parking. It may cause sightlines obstructions creating dangerous situations. I live along Bow Crescent, a bicycle pathway and an area with a lot of pedestrians. Neighbours pride themselves on keeping the area beautiful which in tern leads to socialization, an important factor for the health of a neighbourhood and its people. Those with RV's need to find appropriate places to park. A front yard isn't suitable in any way.
No, I do not support seasonal front driveway parking. Our neighbourhood, with many front yard driveways, would experience obstructed sightlines, safety concerns, lessened aesthetics. Until now, RV owners have made arrangements for their vehicles and their plan is already in place. Let's continue with a system that is working just fine. Why should someone else's RV become my problem?
No, I do not support seasonal or otherwise parking of RV and other recreational vehicles on front driveway as it is esthetically not pleasing. There have been instances where ppl rent those RVs to out of towners as the draws power from the house.....late nights, loud music..... which is a nuisance. It also causes unnecessary crowding of other vehicles in the area when RVs etc are either trying to park or driving away from the community.
No, I do not support seasonal parking. They should be parked off site to ensure that people don't treat them as secondary suites.
No, I do not support this initiative for reasons of limited driver sight and associated personal safety (children) and esthetics.
No, I do not support this potential change. Let's be honest. Large RVs will not fit on most driveways and will be parked on the street. This will lead to all sorts of conflict.
No, i do not support this, but think the size of the RV is an important factor to consider in this matter. A tent-trailer might be okay, but a large 30 foot fifth wheel would be way too large to

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

leave on a driveway all summer long. In addition to being esthetically unpleasant, in certain situation it might be an impediment to visibility and safe driving conditions.
No, i do not support this. In a residential area, this could also mean bigger vehicles moving around constantly. It would also mean once the door of the RV is opened, pedestrian will have obstruction walking on sidewalks.
No, I do not support this. It clutters streets. Safety of blocking views for traffic, decreases property value around the clutter
No, I do not support this. Large RVs parked on front driveways impede ability for neighbours to avoid children playing (including impulsively running across a road) and to avoid oncoming traffic
No, I do not support. Safety, unsightly and I suspect people will start living in them (eg relatives, children home for the summer from university).
No, I do not support. I have experienced issues with our two neighbours on each side of our house having their RV/transport van parked in the driveway. It doesn't only effect the esthetics of our area but also obstructs view while we drive our car out of our garage.
No, I do not support. Parking large RVs on driveways obstructs visibility and poses dangers for kids and pedestrians on sidewalks. People reversing from their driveway next to an RV will have their views of approaching traffic obstructed, and will be harder to see kids on the sidewalks too.
Our summers are a beautiful season and having a variety of large RVs on driveways will make our communities less beautiful.
No, I do not support. Seasonal RV parking can quickly become unsightly and creates significant issues with sight lines.
No, I do not support. These cars create lots of noise and waste, block the view, owners walk around these cars and put the belongings by my lawn (as all their space is taking by rv), ect.
No, I do not support. This will take away car parking space and those cars will end up on street for parking. Greatly reducing an already tight number of spaces for street parking.
No, I do not support. Why , because they are blocking the view of other neighbors when the back out of their driveways.
NO, I do not want RV's to be in the neighborhood for the season... maybe the time could be increased slightly from 36 hours to 48 hours? There is no need to have these extra vehicles in the neighborhood. They take up valuable parking space and are an eyesore even just on the property. If you decide to buy an RV, you need to make sure that you have somewhere to store it out of sight. No one needs to have an RV on their driveway all year. The same should apply to non-registered vehicles.
No, I do not want to have to look at RV's parked on a front driveway. They can be an eyesore for the neighbourhood
No, I do not want to look at someone's RV/trailer/boat for the entire season. Sorry but I would like to keep my street as clear as possible. There are already a high number of vehicles parked on our streets let alone adding more vehicles.
No, I do not want to see RVs parked longer than 36 hours. If anything I think it should be reduced. But I understand that people need time to load up or unload their vehicles. Convenience, cost-effectiveness and sense of security for some should not outweigh the obstructed sightlines and esthetics impact to the neighborhood. So simply put: no benefits for the few should not come above impacts to the many.
No, I don't like it. Unsightly and annoying.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I don't support allowing seasonal front driveway parking because owners mostly tend to encroach on other neighbors
No, I don't support seasonal front driveway parking. As you have listed, it can obstruct a neighbour's view, it can entice prowlings in the neighborhood, and it can be considered an eyesore even if the RV is in "good shape" (who determines what good shape is?)
No, I don't think boats, RVs and trailers add anything to the esthetic of the neighbourhood and they impede views/sight lines. In addition they affect street parking, given the reduction of on site front driveway parking.
No, I don't want to live in a trailer park. Once the driveway is occupied it means the street becomes filled with a pickup truck. They are very ugly to look at and completely wall in your property so you have no view. The current bylaw takes into consideration everyone's needs please don't turn our neighbourhoods into campgrounds.
No, I don't want to look at these vehicles on city streets or parked in front of houses. They are difficult to see around when driving and frankly they are ugly, I don't want to see them in my community
No, I don't really like the look of large trailers in the front driveway of a home. It looks tacky.
No, I don't support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. I don't want the area I live in to look like an rv park. When people park their rv in their driveway, they tend to park their cars on the street, leaving less parking for visitors. The recreational vehicles also impede visibility for neighbours backing out of their driveways.
No, I don't support having a large vehicle looming in a driveway for a long period of time for safety and esthetic purposes
No, I don't support it. They can be large and they clutter the neighbourhood. It's an eyesore, and a safety concern. It's difficult to see around them, so it is dangerous when kids are playing outside.
No, I don't support seasonal front driveway parking. Often the RV's extend onto sidewalks and roads causing safety concerns for pedestrians and drivers due to obstructed sightlines. The esthetics of a street with many parked RV's is in my opinion not very pleasing to look at.
No, I don't want RVs parked for longer than 36 hours in my neighbourhood. It creates a lot of visual clutter and issues with street parking and waste collection as people then move their other vehicles to the street if the driveway is being used.
no, I don't want to look at someone's over sized tent all summer. I enjoy sitting in my front porch and like the street as is without RV everywhere. they are generally large and should not be on the driveway and streets. I would not have an issue with someone little boler size trailer but not big ones.
No, I don't want to see rv's parked on front driveways because they obstruct views and make the properties look unsightly regardless of the rv's condition. There are businesses and sites that provide rv parking and storage for this very purpose.
No, I drive a small car and find it very difficult to see around large vehicles that often park in dangerous places
No, I feel it would obstruct sight lines in the neighborhood which can be dangerous
No, I feel it's not worth the safety risk. It's important that drivers and pedestrians have a clear sight path, and RVs just don't allow for that to safety happen.
No, I feel some houses have people sleeping in them adding to safety concerns, extra traffic more transient type people. It does not look nice and blocks views. There are proper storage facilities for RVS. They do not belong in front of peoples houses or in Driveways.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I feel that they would pose a problem being able to see visually. Either down the street, or be able to see our kids playing. There are storage units for a reason.
No, I find it takes away from the look of the neighborhood. There are people on my street that leave their RVs and boats parked on their driveway all year. It looks bad.
No, I find it very bothersome having an RV blocking sight from my home.
No, i have a safety concern as they obstructed sightlines.
No, I have children that play in my front yard, ride bikes on the sidewalk, and it would be very unsafe for them to play with RVs parked on the street or driveways!
No, I have seen neighborhoods transformed in the summer months places where this is allowed. It is unsafe and unsightly.
No, I have serious concerns about sight lines and safety, especially for children on the sidewalks/street. Also, in areas with limited/high demand street parking (eg. Inner city neighbourhoods), one RV would take up considerable parking space, but my primary concern is safety related.
No, I like it the way it is
No, I live on a busy street with a front garage and limits the visibility backing out .
No, I live on a corner lot and the number of accidents are atrocious on the cross street, I can't imagine how dangerous it would become if the line of site became more restricted. We have major sight impairments in the summer due to tree lined streets, now add a big RV on every corner we would be inviting more accidents and deaths.
No, I live on a small cup de sac and there isn't enough room for RV's to be parked on the street for any length of time. Owning an RV comes with many costs, storage is just one of them
No, I see 24 hrs is ok. To load rv before going out and coming. Anything outside that needs to be parked else where
No, I think boats and trailers are ok but the others are too large and might house additional people.
No, i think current regulation is enough
No, I think it blocks sun and when everyone has it parked in driveway or street it is not appealing.
No, I think storing RVs in front yards creates safety issues as it reduces site lines for pedestrians as well as vehicles pulling out of front driveways.
No, i think the bylaw is fine the way it is. I am ok with the RV's parked for a short period of time when cleaning or loading up the RV for a trip. But there is no way a RV should be allowed to be on a driveway or on the road for an extended period of time especially for storing. We were RV owners and we rented a place to store the RV. I do not pay all these taxes to look outside my window or block to see RV's around.
No, I think the current time frame (36 hrs) is sufficient. My neighbour has a camper parked on their driveway year round and as well as being an eye-sore, it's unsafe for children and animals.
No, I think this ends up being a nuisance to neighbourhood. It will force more vehicles to park on the street, increasing congestion on our residential streets. When the streets are congested, it makes it unsafe for people to choose active transportation methods.
no, I will not support seasonal parking of the RV's on the front driveways ; as mentioned above, RV's parked on driveways create safety risks and block the sightlines. Also, they impact the esthetics of the neighborhood and impact the value of the properties. They create the impressions of a not very well maintained and preserved area.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I worry that it will take up parking spots that could be used for cars. The goal should be to keep vehicles off streets and on driveways. I also worry it will attract thieves and obstruct sight lines for vehicles and block sidewalks for pedestrians.
No, I would if the trailer is parked on a paved driveway for no more than a week during the summer. With a trailer on the front lawn next to our property, sunshine is blocked from our driveway and causes frost to remain after shoveling. Sunshine can't come into our home with the trailer parked on our neighbors front lawn and our vision is restricted when trying to look out the windows. Reporting this bylaw infraction can cause ill feelings between neighbor's so most of us suffer in silence.
No, I would not be in support of allowing seasonal RV parking. I think the current 36 hour limit is sufficient for owners to pack or clean the RV prior to a trip. Parked RVs could potentially obstruct visibility for neighbors pulling out of driveways. I would also be concerned about people living or staying in the parked RV.
No, i would not like for an RV to be parler longer than 48 house in the front of a home. There are enough people that park their cars in their driveways and side of the road that create congestion and I fear that would get worse if they were allowed to park their rv in front seasonally
No, I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking for RV's because of following reasons: 1. Has a negative impact on the look and feel of a neighbourhood that can impact the prices of the houses. 2. Obstruct the view. 3. May even block the road sometime or cause congestion.
No, I would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. RVs are an eyesore in the community. 36 hours should be ample time to do what is required of an owner to prepare their RV before and after it's use. The impacts shared by other municipalities are the same for Calgary: obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics. I do not understand why the rest of us have to suffer these impacts for some people's convenience.
No, I would not support it. We live on a Close and have found RVs on driveways restrict visibility, causing unsafe conditions for the residents, especially for children and seniors. It's also an eyesore, diminishingly the beauty of the neighbourhood.
No, I would not support seasonal driveway parking of RVs. Living in a neighbourhood with RVs parked in the driveways closes off the houses. As mentioned above, this creates more safety and security issues with large obstructions creating hiding spaces, reduced visibility of houses, less visibility of neighbours. Less sense of community.  Visually, driving through a neighbourhood with RVs in the driveway is also very unsightly.  Owning an RV is a privilege, not a right. Yes, it's inconvenient to store and drive out to a storage lot to bring it in, but that is one of the costs of having the privilege of owning a recreational vehicle.
No, I would not support seasonal driveway parking. There are many places (ECCO on 24th St. SE, for example) that have safe off-road storage facilities. If you can afford any of the recreational vehicles mentioned, then you can afford to store it for the winter or when you aren't using it. I don't want to look at it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking for the obvious reasons already stated. Safety and clear visibility on the streets is a main priority but ensuring your street and neighborhood doesn't look like a campground is equally important. If I want that I'll go to a campground.
No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking for the reasons outlined above (obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics) as well as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• lower property values</li> <li>• less on-street car parking</li> </ul> Owners should factor in storage costs when they buy an RV. The rest of the neighbourhood is penalized by these RV owners who don't want to pay for off-site storage.
No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking, but I would support extending the time an RV can be parked on a front driveway to 48 hours
No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Aside from it being aesthetically displeasing it is an immense safety issue with sight lines..especially in school zones.
No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. In my neighbourhood, there are a lot of RV's that are eyesores and are already parked on driveways permanently or even on front lawns. If I had to look out of my window at the side of a parked RV it would not be pleasant. On top of this, they obstruct sight lines for driving, including, backing out of your driveway and watching for potential pedestrians and sometimes even block the actual sidewalk for pedestrians.
No, I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. These vehicles are unsightly and provide cover for illegal activity. Also, I would not feel comfortable if someone was actually camping out in one right outside my door. Allowing seasonal front driveway parking would be dangerous and ill advised.
No, I would not support seasonal parking. The known benefits listed above are factors that should be considered when buying an RV. Convenience - Yes, it would be convenient not to have to travel back and forth to a storage lot but not at the expense of neighborhood esthetics. Cost effectiveness - the cost of storage should be considered when buying an RV. Security - it's only a "sense" of security. Are the odds of something happening to "your" RV any greater when parked among a hundred others?
No, I would not support seasonal parking. There current rules allow neighbours to enjoy their communities without the constant annoyance and inconvenience of recreational vehicles, and still allows owners of recreational vehicles to park them on their front driveways for two days. Of course it is inconvenient to not be able to park it there all the time, but many still do. I would consider extending the total time allowed to 48 hours,
No, I would not support seasonal parking. There is a major safety concern during this season as children are biking. There create a blind spot. I live on a corner backed onto a park. Many Neighbours park their RVs there several days a week. I also paid a lot of money to have a corner lot with a park behind me. There are so ways TONS of RVS parked along side my house in the summer. We look like an RV lot!! We even see them move from other streets. It is extremely frustrating as a home owner!
No, I would not support that. These decisions are always knee jerk and just like those monstrous trucks and other gargantuan vehicles that take up way too much space and are like brick walls for our line of sight in a parking lot , these toys are just too large to be parked in and around our small, close together houses. Please do better, otherwise where does this madness end and common sense reign?

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, I would not support this change. As I do not and will not own a RV I do not want a large trailer or motor home obstructing my vision by parking in the driveway next to me for the entire summer. It would also allow for the possibility of an additional residence for several people to live during that time with the potential for increased noise and less privacy for my family.
No, I would not support this mainly because of obstructed sightlines and compromised esthetics
No, I would not support this.  Calgary municipality does not seem to be anticipating a monumental impending homeless issue with interest rates rising. How are you going to manage safety when people begin living in their RVs? People will park in the communities closest to their place of work or to a community centre and an RV takes up at least three parking stalls for a smaller smart car. This does not align with the cities goals of having a green friendly city.  RVs are expensive to maintain and the average RV is run down and covered in soil or mud. It will be impossible to arbitrarily decide what RVs are unsightly to the community.
No, I would not support this. Many times they go over the sidewalk, or block views. Generally they become an eyesore.
No, I would not support this. Recreational vehicles are luxuries and non-essential for transport. They take up an excessive amount of parking space, and in some areas there is already extremely limited space for multiple residences. Their oversized nature often cause additional issues with blocking spaces, interfering with sightlines etc. It is difficult to see around them which is a safety hazard for vehicles and pedestrians.
No, I would not support this. These are eyesores for the community, of struct site lions, are dangerous for children, playing in and around them, and Darding, out into traffic, and most driveways are not long enough to house these vehicles appropriately. There are storage facilities for these vehicles for a reason. It is up to the owner of these types of vehicles to ensure they're stored in a place that is appropriate.
No, I would not. Our neighbour often has his huge RV parked on the street or in his drive way. We are so nervous backing out of our driveway as you can't see oncoming traffic and children on the street. Usually these RV's are pulled with a huge truck also which is often blocking our driveway.
No, I would not. Our neighbours already break this rule consistently and it looks horrible as well as blocks visibility terribly. Who will monitor that the vehicle isn't unsightly? Who will monitor that it's only "seasonal?" There should be some standards for neighbourhood appearance. Individuals should consider storage as an expense and issue for owning these vehicles.
No, I would not. RVs take up a lot of room, are unsightly and depending on how they are parked could be a safety concern. We live in a cul-de-sac and having a few RVs parked seasonally would definitely reduce space for children to ride their bikes and residents to move around freely.
No, I would not. 1. I feel it would block the views for the time that is being considered for. 2. Concerned about any maintenance issues like leaks left on the road / walkways. 3. Some neighbors try to take advantage of the situation and park multiple "RV" vehicles in the area.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

4. If even half of my area has them parked by their home, it'll look unsightly.
5. Unsure if it could bring unsavory people in the area looking for an easy score.
No, I would support extending the 36 hour limit to 2-7 days, however spring to fall would be too long and we would no longer have the occasional RV on driveways but communities full of them. This would also be prime season for children to be out biking, walking, roller blading etc. so would be the most dangerous time of the year to impede sight lines. RVs make it difficult for children to see vehicles backing out from beside the RV on a front driveway as well as for the driver to see the child.
No, I would support parking them for longer than 36 hours but not seasonally as they are an eyesore. 1-2 weeks may be more appropriate.
No, I wouldn't. I live in Mahogany and as it is most of the houses have very short driveways. Even small trailers, Rv's, etc.. cause sight issues for oncoming vehicles. I've had to walk on the street multiple times as well where people have their Rv's parked so close to the end of the driveway that they protrude on the sidewalk.
No, I'd prefer that RVs were not parked for longer than 36hrs in a front driveway
No, I'm addition to being subjectively eyesores, they can block visibility for pedestrians and motorists.
No, if an RV is parked on a driveway all their cars will get moved to the street
No, if the regulations are relaxed neighbourhoods could and would become visually and physically congested with all kinds of "RV" vehicles.
No, if there is an available place to park in the winter it can also be used for the summer. There is no way to discern wether an RV is unsightly If allowed the limits are usually stretched
No, if they are parked in their driveway and I am walking, I can not see if they are backing up out of their driveway with it parked there. Also a danger to children playing in the area.
No, if you allow the driveway parking then then the other vehicles comes to street and there is already a shortage of parking spots in the streets in the community
No, if you can afford an RV you should be able to afford storage.
no, if you have to park at the front, it usually means there is no alley, a newer community and limited parking. I lived in Springbank Hill for 17 years. ALL my neighbors had 'massive summer homes on wheels' as long as their house. There was no where to park them. They had to park them across their driveways and at least 2 front lawns. 36 hours is enough time. If they could park IN their driveways (off the public street) would be acceptable. NOT onto the sidewalk, public space.
No, if you own one of these vehicles, find parking for them. We should not have to look into someone's trailer and not being able to enjoy what little view we get from our house windows.
No, if you purchase an RV you are committing to paying for the parking and storage of it in a location other than your driveway or front parking space. RV's ruin the neighborhood aesthetic, but more importantly are becoming a significant safety hazard when driving, walking, or biking.
No, if you walk through a city that allows seasonal RV parking in front, it's unsightly & often obstructs the sidewalk.
No, I'm not in favor, specially this neighborhood have a very small area between houses. And also will be concern of safety and visibility.
No, impossible to see around when backing out of your own driveway. Unsightly, some RVs are old and decrepit. Looks terrible. Also, temptation for people to live in there such as friends, guests, etc.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, in my experience people will sometimes reside in these vehicles, specifically camping vehicles. This has added poor aesthetic and noise. These vehicles make the neighbourhood junky and overcrowded looking.
No, in my neighbourhood of New Brighton there are homeowners who currently park their RV's in their drive way in contravention of the current bylaw. On several homes, the RV extends into the sidewalk. Allowing front driveway parking would make this problem much worse.
No, in the jurisdictions named above (I.e. Winnipeg) there are far fewer RV owners per capita. If seasonal parking of RV's are allowed in Calgary, it become a safety issue since owners will have to park their vehicles on the street. This will make streets crowded with vehicles and will affect visual sight lines when trying to cross the street for both pedestrians and vehicle traffic.
No, internal roads are already over crowded with cars parked.
No, is an eyesore and in other areas can also impede vision of vehicles or pedestrians.
No, It becomes a problem when backing down your driveway, as it decreases visability. Also I think it devaluates our property when seeing these vehicles on everyones driveway. And if one can afford a recreational vehicle, he should be able to afford rental spaces for it.
No, it blocks sidewalks and creates a view blocker for children to cars
No, it blocks sight lines for other drivers. I've seen instances with trucks parked in driveways where other drivers don't see kiddos riding bikes. An RV would block sight lines further.
No, it blocks sightlines and would not be neighbour friendly. I do support permits for 1 week parking - for visitors.
No, it blocks site lines for drivers. Wh have a neighbor that parks his tv on the street and children have run out from behind it and almost gotten hit because they can't see the car and the driver can't see them. Similar to driveways. Divers can't see pedestrians coming when they are backing out creating a higher risk for hitting pedestrians.
No, it blocks the view of neighbour for extended period of time and many RV owners are parking their vehicles in such a way they block public side walks and many of them are parking in city streets for extended period of time during summer season.
No, it blocks the view of reversing in your driveway to the street and it's an eye sore.
No, It blocks the view when backing out of your driveway as well it can junk up your neighborhood. If people can not afford to park them in a RV lot then maybe should not own one
No, it blocks views of children/adults and vehicles and sidewalks. People are always abusing the 36 hours as they don't want to pay for storage.
No, it blocks vision for other cars to safely get out of their driveway.
No, it brings down the aesthetic of the community.
No, it can affect the neighbours
No, it can create tension between neighbors and it very unsightly. When you share property line with a neighbor, and they have an RV parked on or right beside the line it's terrible.
No, it causes issues visually for entering the roads/driving
No, it causes obstructed sight lines and looks bad sitting there all the time
No, it cheapens the neighbourhood - you see this in grande prairie where everyone parks their RV's in their driveway and it looks like crap all summer. Most RV's are too long so they hang out over the sidewalk making it difficult for pedestrians to walk down the street. Lastly, people park all along the roads because they can't park in their driveway, making neighborhoods feel very congested and creating dangers for kids running out between cars because you can't see them.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, it clutters already cluttered, narrow roads. Blocks sightlines, sets up potential for people living in the RVs during the seasonal parking.
No, it clutters the neighbour hood and eliminates parking spots. I already find that people do not follow the current rule and leave RVs parked for months on end creating issues. This should not be changed.
No, it could be overwhelming to see a large 5th wheel out front all summer or if more than half of homes in our cul de sac (for example) all had rec. vehicles out front, that too would be a little much. Also, the (if in good condition) would be hard to rule if it was or not.
No, it creates an eyesore! Also, from a safety perspective, I have young kids who walk on the sidewalk and this will reduce visibility by blocking view of cars backing up. Also frequently these vehicles encroach on the sidewalk which creates hazards as well.
No, it creates blind spots when people are backing out of driveways. It makes it dangerous for people on the sidewalk. This also limits a large amount of parking if parked on the street.
No, it creates possible vandalism problems, makes the neighborhood look unsightly, and it can create visibility issues.
No, it creates safety issues for kids that become harder to see. Also, it affects the curb appeal of the communities
No, it creates ugly neighbourhoods. Reduces visibility, car prowlers already have enough to hide behind.
No, it dosnt matter what season it is. If a neighbour want to park their RV in the front driveway on their property they should be able to do so.
No, it effects how the neighborhood looks, limits viewpoint when leaving driveway
No, it impacts the look of the neighbourhood.
No, it impedes the view of the street
No, it increases congestion on residential streets, impacts visibility, and reduces already limited parking on streets with homes that have front driveways. I do not support extending the timeframe, and would prefer it be reduced, if anything.
No, it is a danger for visibility when driving in and out of the neighborhood.
No, it is a safety issue when I try to pull out of my driveway and an eye sore. I live on a cul de sac and there isn't enough room for the vehicles today, adding recreation vehicles will make it very difficult to maneuver. There are many rental units in houses and this already leads to parking challenges, why make it worse.
NO, it is already difficult to park on residential streets with a car due to the amount of vehicles per household in Calgary this will make parking even more difficult. if you own an RV or large trailer/ work trailer then you are responsible to have private parking for this and not inconvenience the rest of the city and /or your Neighbours. if you can afford to own one you can afford to pay for parking or proper storage.
No, it is an eye sore and most of them wouldn't fit on the driveways. And the streets are narrow enough if they park on the street there is very little room to pass other vehicles and hard to see kids playing. Wouldn't want them to dart out on the road and get hit.
No, it is an eyesore and constitutes camping on the street
No, it is an eyesore and could bring down property. People could rent them out or rent out their driveways for people to live in. They are hard to see around.
No, it is an eyesore and many times blocks access to sidewalks and walkways between houses



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, it is an eyesore, blocks view of the road, and increases amount of cars parked on the street. You have a driveway for your car, not for an unnecessary toy
No, it is an eyesore.
no, it is happening already in our community completely blocking a view on curvy roads we have creating obstacles to clearly view the whole road.
No, it is ugly.
No, it is unsafe for others to see around especially if you live adjacent.
No, it is unsightly
No, it is unsightly and blocks view. The current time allowed is more than adequate
No, it is unsightly and blocks views
No, it is unsightly for neighbours who put effort into their property
No, it is unsightly to have RVs parked out front of your homes. Depending on where your property is situated, it can become a hazard if you can't properly see roadways.
No, it is unsightly. It is also potentially dangerous as children might crawl on or under the RV.
No, it is very unsightly and reduces space for cars, forcing them onto the street possibly obstructing visibility. Street parked cars are also unsightly.
No, it is way too unsightly. It would devalue my properties and parking is already an issue in my neighborhood. 36 hours is plenty of time to load/unload an RV. An RV is not a necessity and if you own one, then you should not get special privileges that infringe on your neighbours and their experience of the neighborhood they live in. If my neighbour's are allowed to park their RV in the front yard, then I want to put my hot tub in the front yard and have my dog be allowed to roam offleash.
No, it isn't nice to look at out my front window, as my neighbour has his rv parked on his front driveway and it's all I can see
No, it look terrible and you will find that people will start living in RV's on their friends and families driveways.
No, it looks awful
No, it looks horrible, most cannot fit in their driveways because they are too big and overhang on sidewalks making them dangerous and lastly would send more vehicles to the street where parking is already at a premium in new communities.
No, it looks trashy
No, it looks trashy.
No, it lowers the appearance of the neighbourhood and the property value of surrounding residences.
No, it makes a community feel depressing, like everyone wants to leave. RVs are also often parked hanging over into the sidewalk. Taking up the driveway also causes spillover of parking onto adjacent (or my) lot.
No, it makes it more difficult to see vehicles and pedestrians.
No, it makes residential neighbourhoods look like a trailer park.
No, it makes the community look cluttered and a lot of the times RV are parked over the sidewalk
no, it makes the neighbourhood look cheap, makes it hard to see when driving (kids/animals/oncoming traffic depending on how it is parked)
No, it makes the neighbourhoods look less appealing. This could make it harder to sell your home if your neighbour has something that is not appealing on their driveway. People always end up taking advantage of the rules. We had a neighbour who constantly parked their RV in front of the house and let their kids stay in it. We turned a blind eye but it was an issue

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

when it came time to sell our home. Parking it for a few nights while preparing for a trip is fine but having it parked on your driveway for seasons is ridiculous.
No, it needs to be one consistent level of enforcement year round.
No, it obscures sightlines, can be a safety concern, and brings down the esthetics of a community.
No, it often involves cords and people will use them as spare rooms/places for people to stay. Often they are unsightly. I would support extending to 48 hours
No, it really makes the communities look messy. It is also dangerous for small children.
No, it reduces sight lines on streets. Increases parking on streets which further reduces sight lines and increases safety risk. They are too big for storage inner city and the current bylaw is appropriate
No, it shouldn't be park on residential communities
No, it takes automobile spots; some people will use it as a campsite
No, it takes up space for owners with no garage or driveway
No, it very unsightly to look at. I live in a house and pay taxes to own my property. I don't want it to look like I live in a trailer park.
No, it will be an eyesore, would reduce visibility and crowd the streets and sidewalks even more.
NO, it will be too easy for people to be living in the RV's in people's driveways. People will push the rules and never move them. It's bad enough in our Hunterhorn area the amount of vehicles parking on the front lawns and nothing is done about it.
No, it will cause more on street parking issues.
No, it will cause traffic issues in the community because of size of vehicles and obstruction of road that they will cause. Furthermore, there is possibility of using the options such as motor homes and travel trailers and campers as short residential options and allow people to use them as short residential options such as AIRBNB posing security issues for families in neighborhoods.
No, it will lead to short-term rentals, extended family stays, dangerous sidewalks, and ugly neighborhoods.
No, it would be unsightly to have so many RV's parked on driveways in our neighborhoods.
No, it would encourage other street parking of vehicles associated with the RV occupants. It's often used as alternate housing in our neighborhood, but most owners who live in these RVs also have other cars (sometimes even more than one) and it makes parking for people with actual houses impossible.
No, it would encourage RV use as housing in residential neighborhoods and represent an aesthetic and safety nuisance.
No, it would have old junky trailers with people living in them all summer long in the neighborhood. A couple of days is all that is required to provision a trailer for a trip.
No, it would impede sight lines for vehicles and pedestrians trying to navigate in areas where these are stored in front driveways and parking stalls. It would also create additional congestion in areas where streets are narrow and parking is limited by adding seasonal parking of potentially very large trailers during the summer months, which is also when more kids are outside playing and visibility is critical.
No, it would not be feasible to check on all such vehicles and there would be some in disrepair and unsightly.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, it brings down value of community. There are specific RV parking.
No, it's a move to make neighbourhood a more vehicle-centric when we could be encouraging pedestrian traffic instead. RV's are huge, unsightly and do nothing to improve a neighbourhood. They're not a community building or enhancing item, why should we have to have faint, hulking vehicles obstructing views and taking up space. They do nothing to improve a community. No to extending the amount of time they are allowed to be parked on the street.
No, it's an eyesore and limits sight
No, it's an eyesore and ugly, reduces the value of the neighbourhood.
No, it's an eyesore. Some RVs could be ok but there are plenty that are not suitable for parking seasonally.
No, it's not fair to others in the community to have these sitting on driveways. How would you prevent people from temporarily living in these RV's?
No, it's a huge detraction to the neighborhood. There is zero need to store your RV for longer than that at your home. Parking an RV in a driveway will only drive more vehicles onto the streets that already are full with other cars parked.
No, it's a large visual barrier for drivers and residents.
No, it's a slippery slope. I think up to 48 hours is fair, anything more it becomes likely to be permanent fixture on someone's property.
No, it's already an issue with persons unhooking and leaving their fifth wheels on the sidewalk, blocking traffic and transit. There are already issues with the 48 hour rule that is never enforced in our ward.
No, it's an eyesore and most importantly, it block visibility for neighbors driving in and out of their own driveway.
No, it's an eyesore and often times trailer tongues over hang on sidewalks.
No, it's an eyesore and view obstruction that will spill over to front lawns and the street due to a lack of funding when it comes to policing.
No, it's an eyesore. When parked on the street they also take up too much room. If someone is in the RV using it they are now directly in front of people's homes and condos. They make noise if running HVAC etc.
No, it's significantly restrictive to visibility. Sizes of RVs are now larger. Our neighbour has a full-length 5th wheel and a truck that spans several parking spaces. When it's parked, it's harder to get safely out of our driveway. We live on a bike path, and cyclists and pedestrians would be at increased risk with the restricted views and congested roadways.
No, it's ugly and some trailers are so large they would decrease visibility of passing vehicles and pedestrians.
No, it's unsightly and clutters the street. Safety impacts of more places to hide is also a concern in our high crime area.
No, it's unsightly plus blocks visibility on streets for incoming traffic.
No, it's unsightly. I used to own a travel trailer and it's too large for residential street parking. One's opinion of aesthetics varies, so it's best to keep 36 hour in place. I personally would prefer it to be 24 hours.
No, keep to restriction, visually unappealing, hooked up, dumping water, sewage, etc
No, large eye sore and often in the road way impeding traffic, or hazard when you can't see around it.
No, large RV's cause a lot of issues with sight lines while driving. This is especially tough when the RV is parked on a corner lot driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, large vehicles like RVs impede sight lines along residential streets, making it more difficult for drivers to see and putting pedestrians and cyclists at higher risk
No, line of sight blocked and makes a two way street to a single lane.
No, lived in a community that allowed seasonal parking and it became a nightmare for enforcement and aesthetics. Some RV's are just too big for newer subdivisions.
No, long term rv parking in driveways looks sloppy and reduces visibility.
No, looks awful and hard to see up and down the road.
No, looks bad and junky to the community. Put them in your yard.
No, looks tacky and causes more street parking, which is limited in some neighborhoods with lot sizes and driveway position
No, looks terrible and increases cars on street or in driveway instead of garage
No, looks trashy, should be parked to rear of house, alternate location. Concern for squatters or illegal short term rentals. Fine with short term for cleaning etc.
No, lower property value and parking issues.
No, main reason is safety as difficult to see around them. Also unsightly. People also live in them which is unsafe as well.
No, mainly due to the esthetics, and the extra attraction it could be to vehicle prowlers.
No, mainly for esthetics and safety. I believe most will take advantage of the the rule, and park their RV at their house for the entire season. The current 36 hour rule works well.
No, makes my Neighbourhood look like a trailer park. Between that and everyones recycling and garbage bins
No, many of the Ev's in my community extend into the sidewalk, forcing pedestrians or kids using scooters/trikes etc to move onto the street. The street usually has cars parked along the side, so that means I've seen kids have to move further into the street off the shoulder in order to go around the driveway with the rv. This is very dangerous for kids and drivers.
No, many people already exceed the current 36 hours! If you increase it they will just leave it longer!
No, many people will abuse it
No, many residential streets are already lined with cars and down to one lane. The ability to park an RV in your driveway will likely put more cars on streets impeding visibility and passibility. In my opinion it would also be an eyesore. I have a few on my street that park for hours or overnight that barely fit into driveways and just onto sidewalks.
No, many RV are longer than our driveways and this results in the hitch sticking out over the sidewalk. It also makes pull out of neighbouring driveways more difficult. Visibility while driving is obscured which could make it dangerous for kids playing in their front yard.
No, many RV owners in my community park in their driveway and block the sidewalk, or have extension cords from a vehicle/RV along the sidewalk and create tripping hazards, or leave their RV door open, blocking the sidewalk.
No, most driveways are so close to each other it's like having a building smack you in the face when you walk out.
No, most front garages aren't, full of junk and driveways and streets too full
NO, most of these people use their garages for storing junk and miscellaneous items, The garage was meant for vehicles. Also these people usually have three to four vehicles which is not helping the green environment. Perhaps they should buy an acreage or continue to store in lots outside of residential areas!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO, most trailers are eye sores that bring down property values. Also usually the trailer owners do not always leave the trailers on their driveways, Parking them on the street causing parking issues for their neighbours.
No, my neighbour always has either his work trailer or pop up trailer on his front drive. They have no room to park so they use the street and our driveway to get access to their bins. They depreciate the area. I think 48 hours is fairly
No, my neighbour's driveway is right to the property line and they were going to park their big motor home on their front driveway for the winter luckily they could not as it is unsightly, blocks the sun, on small lots with small driveways it is the full driveway and adds nothing to the community what about trees, flowers, grass, just sees this huge block that basically takes up almost their whole front yard was horrible and did nothing for the community feel of the street. When I was backing up I could not see anything coming from the street in that direction. Bylaw officers forced them to move it luckily. If the whole street was full of these why like in a nice neighbour hood?
No, my street is already full of regular vehicles. I do not wish to have RV vehicles to take up that much needed space. Also, off season they will be parked there for many months without being moved. It can also be unsightly if the RV is old and rusty and not well maintained.
No, my street is so congested already. I think it'll be awful, it's already hard to see around from the street or house to house.
No, neighborhood street parking can already be difficult to find. If people are using their front driveways for RV parking they will need to utilize street parking for other vehicles they own. This creates even more difficulty finding street parking near to a home.
No, never! It's just one level above homeless.
No, no no. Blocks the view of the street, the mountains, kids in the street, oncoming traffic and the neighborhood in general.
No, no support. Esthetics of Neighbourhood is supreme.
No, not able to navigate residential streets with rvs parked
No, not at all. We live in a court in Woodbine. 5 or 6 of the home owners living in the court have RV's and abide by the current rules. Allowing a 4 month parking option in our court would be a fail. There would be zero remaining parking for friends and it would be hazardous for the 5 children (all under 8) to continue to use the safety of the court for cycling. People that can afford the costs of an RV can afford the costs to park it outside of residential areas.
No, not at all. People will be blocking sidewalks (but it almost fits they'll think) making it difficult for disabled and strollers to pass. People will push parking on streets and in front of other driveways. It's bad enough what people do, parking wherever and making it hard to see. Loosening rules will have so many people taking advantage
No, not based on the parameters mentioned. You say "spring to fall". Technically this means March 21st to September 21st which is far too long a time period. We would be fine with extending the time an RV could stay on the driveway from 36 hours to 2-3 days but residential driveways are not meant to be RV parking lots. It reduces our view and the esthetics of the community for the nicest part of the year. Also saying an RV in "good condition" is hard to enforce!
No, not enough space for parking for normal vehicles.
No, not for the entire season. The length of the driveway may not allow for enough clearance for visibility. Obstructed sight lines and esthetics would still be a concern. Extending the time from 36 to 48 hours would be acceptable to me.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO, not on front driveways for the known impacts described in this survey info PLUS as more people are looking for low-cost housing, RVs parked in yards are now often being occupied and lived-in without proper RV hook-ups and waste disposal mechanisms e.g. emptying tanks into the storm drains.
No, not seasonal. But I would support extending the 36 hr to 7 days. People who have RVs have storage sites. Allowing a week would allow for retrieval and prep on a weekend before a trip planned for the following weekend.
No, not seasonal. I would support extending 36 hrs to perhaps 72. Beyond that, impacts to esthetics and sight lines are too great.
No, obstruct sight lines and more people will start to park cars on street. Safety is also a concern for children.
No, obstruct visibility and not esthetical.
No, obstruct your ability to see if there is any vehicles coming down the street, when your leaving your driveway.
No, obstructed sight lines, safety concerns and esthetics are all sound and reasonable reasons for not allowing these vehicles to remain in residential areas beyond 36 hours.
No, obstructed sightlines
No, obstructed sightlines are not worth merely having a luxury item closer to home. RVs on driveways also pushes more vehicles onto the street making sightlines even worse. Longer trailers will encroach on the sidewalk, no matter what the rules are
No, obstructed site lines, can't see past obstacle (safety), block sun from plants/grass, eyesore.
No, obstructing vision creating a safety hazard should not be allowed in any season. These forms of transport are primarily used in the summer when children also play outside more so we need to keep roadside vision at its best.
No, obstructs traffic and detracts from the ethics of the neighborhood
No, obstructs vision, unsightly, increased prowling in neighborhoods
No, opens up the possibility of RV being converted into a dwelling unit and increasing neighborhood density.
No, our communities deserve better than to be plagued by RV's forcing more vehicles onto public roads when previously parked on driveways or garages. I've lived in multiple communities across the city, and it's the same everywhere. People either have 4 cars per household and park all along the available space on the road, or stuff garages with junk and forces cars still onto the road. Calgary has a car problem, and that impacts the availability of space for family/friends/services to visit my home easily.
No, our neighbourhood is hilly and sight lines are already impaired. We have many young children on our street. If a family can afford an RV then they ought to plan out proper storage & parking areas.
No, our neighbourhoods would be unsightly and dangerous if this were to be allowed
No, our neighbours have on in their driveway and it have created a blind spot when leaving our driveway, it also blocks sunlight to our trees
No, our streets are already narrow. Having large trailers everywhere for the season would make navigating residential streets with pedestrians even more difficult and dangerous.
No, our streets are congested already and we don't need more obstructions
No, Owner of Rv would not comply and no enforcement will be taken to address complaints as the court will not follow through. This is same issue with the truck bylaw trucks driving off route engine retarder etc. If you own an RV have it stored at an approved lot when not used.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Theses vehicles will be parked on the street and also cause other issues for garbage pickup street cleaning, with everything going up owners will try and save where they can.
No, owners already rarely adhere to existing rules and enforcement isn't thorough.
No, ownership of these vehicles should entail paying the true cost of having them, and that includes budgeting for off-site storage/parking.
No, parking is a premium around our neighborhood. Drive down the alleys and they are full of parked vehicles because no room to park in front of their homes.
No, parking is already limited in areas where townhouses and condos are located, and they are aesthetic unpleasant to see. Never mind they are tall and obstructive to be able to see around, especially when parked close to a corner.
No, parking RV's on front driveways deteriorate the impression of a neighborhood.
No, part of the expense of owning an RV is having it properly stored. Having a campground in your front yard is trashy.
No, people are capable of planning to only have the vehicle parked for 36 hours. I'm open to increasing the time to 48 hours or 60 hours for convenience but beyond packing an RV up, there is no reason to park the RV near by that is enough reason to supersede the safety risk. If you buy an RV you sign up for the inconvenience. It's part of the decision process.
No, people could live in them or rent them out. Also unsightly.
No, people don't abide by the bylaw now and every summer we have a guy 2 houses down that houses family in his driveway in their RV, water hook up, garbage increases, litter and noise. Bylaw is slow to respond and it's never been towed, and he still does it. Load your rv for a trip fine fair enough but seasonal parking is too much.
No, people use them as guest houses for friends and family.
No, people will eventually take advantage and have people living on their driveway.
NO, people will start to live in them and they do not look pleasing for the community. I have seen places in Vancouver where hundreds of motor homes are parked as is a cheap way to live. Better to have affordable housing.
No, people will take advantage of this, and the city doesn't have the manpower to control it via bylaw complaints.
No, perking is already a challenge in our neighborhood and this will just add to the issues. People already don't respect the bylaws and it is rarely "policed".
No, personally, I think it just looks ugly. It should be parked in a proper RV parking lot.
No, planning has allready made neighborhoods to congested. People don't follow speed limits and rv's offer no visibility for pedestrians and drivers.
No, please don't allow. I really love Canada and how residential Calgary communities looks so beautiful now. Obstructed sight lines , safety very important to me. Most people don't own these expensive RV and they will feel that reach people have everything even can close beautiful views for some. Strong no.
No, potential for blocking sidewalks for when I run 5 days a week in my neighborhood and line of sight concerns when driving. Some of these RV style vehicles can be rather large and I don't want to risk injuring anyone in a neighborhood. People who purchase these know the rules upon purchase and what they need to follow.
No, primarily for neighborhood esthetics and the additional risk of crime.
No, primary reasons - obstructed sight lines of the neighborhood, safety of having such large vehicles in the front of residences obstructing vehicle / driver sight lines, entrance (bulging) out onto sidewalk from driveway, and the negative front residence aesthetics.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, recreational vehicles in driveways as it ruins sight lines, invites crime. Calgary is a city of neighbours caring about other neighbours, if we can't watch out for each other we will lose that aspect of our city. Parking of recreational vehicles will also affect the aesthetics of our city and neighbourhoods.
No, reduces sight lines and looks junky
No, residential parked RVs are an eyesore in addition to being a safety concern. It's already common for parked RVs on driveways to overhang onto sidewalks and streets, and to allow them further time to do so is not of benefit to the general public. I understand not all RV owners do so recklessly, but enough in my area do, and I personally do not wish for their allotted time to be extended in any way. If anything I would be in favour of reduced time parked in residential neighborhoods.
No, residential properties should not be storage lots for giant unsightly vehicles.
No, residential street parking would become an issue if the RV was too large and besides, they are unsightly.
No, residential streets are already crowded and unsafe, and large RVs in driveways will only further obstruct visibility. There is also the risk of people 'housing' renters in them.
No, restrictive for neighbor's street parking as RV owners will park more cars in street. Problems with sightlines if parked too close to a corner or neighbours drive or slightly on sidewalks Some RV are huge, take up lots street space especially on narrower roads and cul de sacs. RV will be moved off driveway onto road to get cars out. Or more cars on road If buy RV then park it on proper storage facility. Some RV are old and look terrible, like old (legal but non driven) parked cars dont want them to have negative impact on neighbourhood
No, restricts visuals from driveways, including children on bikes, people walking dogs etc
No, roads and parking are already at a premium at most fronts of homes it also becomes a safety issue blocking out view of oncoming traffic
No, roads are to congested allready. Visibility is difficult for drivers and pedestrians. Improper dumping of chemicals into storm drain. Eye sore.
No, RV owners have a responsibility to not clutter neighborhoods with large vehicles parked in front of their homes. There are many storage lots etc to accommodate large SUVs and boats.
No, RV owners need to know ahead of time how and where they can park those things.
No, RV parking should only be comfortable time to pack and unpack. RV's are a luxury and their luxury should not be the neighborhood burden. Folks that rich can afford to park their RV in an RV lot.
No, RV restrict visibility of kids running into street, cyclists.
No, rv storage or parking should be considered when purchasing an rv, the safety and aesthetic issues outweigh saving money for people who purchased a luxury item
No, RV's block the road and make it dangerous to drive along street or back alley.
No, RVs are an eyesore and shouldn't block views of the street by being parked on the front driveway.
No, RVs are the most scientifically ugly vehicles ever produced and it would be awful to see them parked everywhere. If you can afford an RV the size of two city busses, you can afford to park it somewhere. I would exclude things like tent trailers and other smaller vehicles, as they are smaller and more likely to be used by people with a lower income and therefore not able to afford storage fees.
No, safety and esthetics.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, safety and esthetics.
No, safety concerns, obstructed sight lines
No, safety hazard for sight lines and potentially children crossing. Also will visually make neighborhoods junky looking with all the clutter. People put tarps, etc on their rvs and it will look like a junk yard.
No, Safety issues while driving on the streets. Some Rvs are longer than the length of the driveways and tend to block the pedestrian pathways.
No, safety, sight lines, people living in them.
No, safety. Even large trucks obstruct views with the city blocks getting narrower and closer together. The city already looks like a dump with all of the vandalism, do we need to make it less appealing?
No, same reason as above. Houses are getting smaller, which means certain streets are already over crowded.
No, shouldn't be allowed.
No, sight lines would be reduced and it would be a hazard for pedestrians with no real benefit.
No, simply because I live on a street with lane parking only ( Rivervalley Drive S.E.) so people are always parking their RVs on the street for days and with limited parking this is frustrating.
No, simply because this would be a concern for visibility, especially on corner lots. An RV on a driveway on a corner lot would make it very difficult to see pedestrians at intersections, especially kids on bikes who don't pause at intersections (I see it happen more than I'd like). A lot of the RVs that I've seen parked on driveways overhang the driveway so pedestrians have to walk in the road to get around them. Maybe if this was put into place, there should be a size restriction on the RVs.
No, slippery slope, soon people will be living in rvs on driveways if we allow them to be permanently parked on driveways and roadways. Also sight lines and road narrowing is unsafe for pedestrians.
No, so big and cluttered and I don't want to look at a big trailer parked at my neighbors house all season
No, some RVs are massive and can completely obstruct neighbours views.
No, spring to fall is too long - that's most of the year! People already push the current rules and they will just push any new / relaxed rules more. We have neighbours who park an RV on their front drive and it obstructs view when backing out of our front driveway (safety concern). Street parking in many communities is already tight - this will make it worse.
No, streets are already crowded and parking is difficult
No, takes away from street parking.
No, takes up street space where there is frequent lack of parking, eyesore, party spots
No, that would be too long. I would support 1 week.
No, that's too long. Can't see past them, blocked driveways, eye sore
No, the 36 hours is more than enough for loading and unloading. RVs stored on front driveways for potentially 6 or more months means half the year the neighborhood is unsightly, it causes danger to children and adults walking and riding for pleasure in their own neighborhood. We pay exorbitant property taxes and should not have to live in an unsightly, dangerous neighborhood.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, the bright line rule is suitable. Introducing 'poor condition' as a filter for reasonableness of leaving an RV in a front driveway is too vague to be enforceable and will wind up with decrepit trailers being abandoned in driveways. The status quo ought to be maintained primarily for safety (line of sight) issues and secondarily for aesthetics. Even if kept in good condition, RVs are unsightly and detract from well intended and manicured communities.
No, the city is already making it difficult to find street parking, meaning more Rv owners will have to park their personal vehicles on the street, adding to the overall congestion.
No, the city should not over reach in designating when someone can or cannot park a vehicle on their own property which is owned by the homeowner.
No, the cost of recreational vehicle ownership should be understood by the owners. 48 hours for packing and unloading would be fine but extended periods are not necessary
No, the current 36hrs are plenty to be able to load/unload, repair, clean or anything else that needs to be done before or after a trip. The impacts on neighborhoods and safety is to great for seasonal parking with the size of many units today.
No, the current allowances are sufficient. Lot sizes in Ward 12 mean that all of the driveway is used or the vehicle may extend over the sidewalk creating a sight hazard or walking hazard
No, the current bylaw is very well written and enforced on a complaint driven basis. It serves the majority of the population very well and I believe that current & new owners of such RV's should be aware of them and comply.
No, the current identified impacts are still relevant. Safety is the most important concern and with more RVs being used there could be multiple RVs in any given area increasing the safety concerns.
No, the current rules are fine, also, if you give people more freedom they will always try to push the limits and strain the neighbor relationship. Remember that one mans junk is another mans treasure and trying to find the middle ground would be very difficult when we are talking about the condition of a unit. Its fine now and so is the definition of what is an RV.
No, the current RV parking is intrusive to our community as the vehicles create an obstruction for our residents while driving our streets. It creates a safety hazard for children riding their bicycles and pedestrians walking their dogs. It also creates an obstruction and safety hazard for municipal employees and contractors from doing their roles, for example waste management collection.
I would support shortening the timeline permitted for RV parking to less than 24 hours.
No, the definition of unsightly is too subjective. 36 hours is more than enough time in front of a residential property. Off Storage is part of the 'deal' if you want to own an RV. Leave the bylaw as is.
No, the diminished sight-lines impact pedestrian safety.
No, the impacts listed above outweigh the benefits
No, the main people that want this are the people that have more than 1 trailer, more than 2 vehicles, and use their garage to store junk instead of parking their vehicles. This only creates more congestion on already congested streets. Lots of communities are already difficult to navigate because of all of the vehicles parked on the street. Changing this only pushes more vehicles onto the street.
No, the obstructed sight lines with small children playing is not worth an RV owners convenience.
No, the people in my neighbourhood already abuse the 36 hour rule for as long as they can. There have been motor homes parked for over a week in our community and enforcement

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

isn't great. This is a huge problem when people are also parking oversized commercial work vehicles on the street (e.g. buses, tow trucks, delivery trucks, etc.)
No, the reasons for not allowing it in the past all still apply. In addition, permitting parking seasonally will open the door to people abusing the privilege (ie staying longer than allowed or year around). Furthermore, enforcement will be challenging and costly to the city. Additional costs will also be incurred in educating citizens as to the new rules. Finally, it will create a patchwork of rules that will be confusing for people to follow especially those who may just rent RVs on occasion
No, the RVs are large and obstruct views for other neighbours
No, the rvs block sight lines, go right up to property lines and block Sun from gardens, grass, flower beds etc. also just make the neighborhood look cheap. I have seen where people park their rv right down to the curb making visibility when backing out of the driveway almost impossible.
No, the season is so short to enjoy the outdoors. I don't want to spend it looking at these large vehicles when walking around the neighbourhood . If you are going to change this bylaw might as well throw out the weeds and garbage ones as well.
No, the streets are already congested with people having 2-4 vehicles in a household. If you allow RV parking it will only add to a problem that already exists in Calgary.
No, the streets are narrow enough as it is, not a lot of room for RVs to be parked on the street for a season. It would be a Hazzard getting around, not being able to see kids run out from them, hard to get out of driveways safely if a rv is parked very close to my driveway and I run risk of hitting someone as can't see safely. If rvs are to be In a driveway for a season, it must fully fit in the driveway, with nothing over lapping onto the sidewalk.
No, the vehicles are too large and take up so much space. If they are allowed to be parked all season in the driveway then it will mean the homes' other vehicles will be parked on the street as many of the homes in this area are not able to park in their garage.
No, their impact on the community is no different regardless of the season.
No, then they park their vehicles on already very limited street parking
No, there are already recreational vehicles that are parked on residential driveways year round. I would be in favour of the existing bylaw being enforced more consistently.
No, there are many cars parked along the road in my neighborhood. Additional RVs park or longer period of RV parking would worsen driving visibility and safety.
No, there are safety concerns as well as esthetics
No, there are several RV parking throughout Calgary. When purchasing a recreational vehicle new owners are aware of bylaws and would need to make arrangements.
No, there are too many owners now and it is unsightly and often unsafe. Parking over sidewalks and reduced visibility is a concern.
No, there are various storage facilities in and around the city where the owners can park their RVs when not in use. Making a seasonal parking allowance will only promote the use of streets as parking and storage for owners. Storage should be a cost that RV owners take into account when purchasing one. It is not fair to neighbours or community members to deal with your big metal box taking up space on the street.
No, there is already a large van (I would consider it a camper) that is always parked next to the Rideau Park bridge and my kids walk that way to school. It obscures the other cars from seeing the children crossing from the bridge to the school. It is dangerous. Having RVs in driveways is a huge liability obscuring other cars, bikes and pedestrians from seeing each



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

other and puts children especially at risk of collisions when they can't be seen properly on the sidewalk.
No, there is already not enough parking spaces in most areas and the vehicles are unsightly.
No, there is enough basement suites and rentals that Leave my family driving the block looking for a spot to park. I worry about my young daughters walking home after a parking down the street. I should be able to park my vehicle in front of my dwelling .
No, there is limited passage on the streets in my area with so many parked vehicles on both sides of the street at all times, so extending time would add to the issue.
no, there is limited street parking now and allowing rv for extended time will further restrict available street parking even though you allow driveway only they will still park their passenger vehicles on the street as their rv is taking up their driveway
No, there is never enough room in driveways and it blocks being able to see roads. It's unsightly
No, there is no driveway in a community that will support an RV of any length over 18' and will block city sidewalks, yes we can report but how does that help me at the time when I have to walk into traffic with a stroller putting myself and child at risk just to accommodate someone's convenience.
No, there is no monitoring of the above conditions by the city. They often block the sidewalks.
No, there is not enough parking as it is.
No, there is not enough parking now and parking big units is an eye sore and will take up space in residence roads that are narrow . SO ABSOLUTELY NO - trailers and boats etc do not need to be parking for long period of time in neighbourhoods at all.
No, there is not enough street parking for the number of vehicles already, never mind adding recreation vehicles to take up 3x the spaces. They also block peoples vision when entering / exiting streets as I often see them on the main roads right beside a side street.
No, there is often not enough room for cars let alone RVs. They also obstruct the view when backing out of the driveway. Also, some streets are narrow and they take up a lot of room, far more than a car.
No, there is too great of a risk of abusing the rule.
NO, there is too many cars parked on the street now and many houses only have parking for one car and no lane behind our street
No, there isn't enough street parking as it is and RVs will just make the situation worse. Even if they are parked in the front driveway, that limits that homes parking availability so more vehicles will end up on the street.
No, there should be a set time limit. Maybe extend it up to 48hrs. Any longer than that, people will just take advantage of that and cause a disruption in the neighbourhood.
No, there will always be the one that obstructs the view for oncoming traffic.
No, there would be obstructed sight lines backing off my driveway
No, there's already enough junk cluttering up our neighborhood.
No, these are a dangerous eyesore that negatively impacts home values. People who own RV's can park behind their house or in a storage lot. Why should other residents have to look at their trailers, many are in very poor condition and unsightly and frequently washed on the driveway an/or used for overnight use by children. This is a large metropolitan city. Who wants to pay a million dollars for a home and look at someone's RV?
No, these are eyesores and obstruct sight lines.
No, these are unsightly even when new. They are heavy and have caused pipe issues underground in older established areas.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, these RV's are too large for driveway parking and block natural light and cause potential theft issues.
No, these vehicles are large and require lots of space when parking in residential area and even moving around community is problematic due to size
No, these vehicles encroach on side walls and cause accessibility issues too often
No, they almost always obstruct sight lines and are esthetically not pleasing. My concern is also that people will stay in the vehicles if able to be parked longer term.
No, they are a eye sore and block views when pulling in and out of driveways. This causes a safety issue for drivers and pedestrians.
No, they are a nuisance, people can barely follow the rules now, they eat up parking, stick out because people can't park properly,, will promote people living in them, will promote services like water and electrical left on ground causing tripping hazard. I'm good with extending to 48h, this will allow a more reasonable time for repairs and loading.
No, they are a safety hazard as they block the view down the street. They are an eyesore and at times block the sidewalk, which also creates a hazard. People may live in them/rent them out on their driveway (this is happening in Airdrie). They also create a safety hazard as they create view obstructions which make it difficult to see if someone is breaking into a house. Numerous trailers also look junky, creating a negative impact on the appearance of a neighbourhood.
No, they are an eye sore
No, they are an eye sore and block views
No, they are an eye sore and obstruct drivers view
No, they are an eyesore they devalue the property. They block neighbours views. Neighbours didn't buy their house to live beside a trailer park. No curb appeal for anyone. Put them in the backyard. Do not allow them in the laneway either. It is hard enough getting down the lane as it is either blocked with all the garbage cans and junk cars and garbage people dump hoping the city picks it up.
No, they are an eyesore and decrease the esthetic of a neighborhood. It can also be a safety issue trying to see around them and encourages people to park their cars on the street instead of in their garage or on their pad which clogs the streets. They are also an additional fire hazard due to onboard propane tanks, etc.
No, they are an eyesore in the neighborhood and take away from a communities appearance. Our neighborhoods are already declining due to lack of yard care, illegal parking, and this is just another factor.
No, they are an eyesore, potentially obstruct visibility for emergency services vehicles to quickly locate civic addresses on homes, and likely cause a shortage of street parking.
No, they are an eyesore. Calgary communities are congested enough, adding these types of vehicles will only add to that. You'll have people camping on their driveways, "RVcation"
No, they are an eyesore. They can then be used for housing.
No, They are big trailers and can obstruct other drivers views, if there is one blocking and someone doesn't see a pedestrian someone could be injured.
No, they are eye-sores
No, they are eyesores that don't belong in a community. They devalue property, obstruct sight lines,, in general give a hillbilly vibe.
No, they are large, and eyesore, take too much space, already have short number of parking on street (i.e. when they park RV on driveway, their cars have to be put somewhere)
No, they are obstructive of view and can be eye sores



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, they are obtrusive and many are not clean or up kept
No, they are often obstructing views.
No, they are too big to be there for prolonged periods of time
No, they are ugly and huge
No, they are unsightly and a safety Hazzard. If you permit seasonal use, people will then leave them there all year as they will lose their storage place. I'd say increase the 36 hrs to 48 or even 72.
No, they are unsightly and block sight lines of traffic
No, they are unsightly and block sight lines. They also can block light from homes.
No, they are unsightly on front driveways. A lot of people park their vehicles on their driveways because their garage is used for storage. If an rv takes up the driveway, they will park on the street, where space is already limited.
No, they are unsightly. They often shade lawns and they attract vehicle looting.
No, they become an eyesore
No, they block roads, and views
No, they block sight lines and create safety issues. People could live in them. Personal vehicles would then be forced to park on the street.
No, they block sights, are a hazard in that they make it so one can't see children playing, etc. and most of all they are an eye sore to the neighborhood. We pay an large amount of money to live in a "good looking" neighborhood and our property taxes seem to be going up every year. Now the City is considering down grading neighborhoods even more by letting neighbors park big ugly vehicle that downgrades the value of your property. Do not change this law!!
No, they block the view of traffic for neighbors backing out of their driveway. They also take up parking spots and it'll cause even more cars to park on the road.
No, they block vision and are an eyesore
No, they can be an eyesore
No, they can be unsightly and block views when reversing out of driveways and block turning corners. They can impede sight lines and cause accidents and potential injuries. RV's should be stored outside of the communities.
No, they could be unsightly and an eyesore. We have a beautiful neighborhood which will suffer
No, they hazardous for pedestrians they typically invade the public space. Owners work on them taking public space for days
no, they junk up the neighbourhoods; my street we're all dealing with one home owner who parks their junk vehicles on the street for past 10 years, as far as the City is concerned it's okay because the vehicles are registered; RVs would be similar.
No, they look bad. 36 hours should be enough time to load or unload it. If someone can afford an RV, they can afford to have it stored.
No, they make the neighborhood look trashy especially when it's older ones that are not maintained. They block sight lines from houses
No, they obstruct view, e.g. Kids playing, people crossing the street are less visible. Additionally neighborhood looks like trailer parking as at least half of Calgarians own one and people will park them constantly to save tune and money on storage.
No, they often block sideways and cause visibility issues.
No, they reduce sight lines, block sidewalks, and often allow visitors to live in them. Many are an eyesore as well due to age and disrepair.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, they should not be there for 36 hours
No, they still obstruct sight lines, so less time is better.
No, they take up a significant amount of space, have power cables all over the sidewalks, the extensions push out onto the sidewalks or green space around the street. They block traffic sightlines and generally degrade the driving and walking experience in neighbourhoods
No, they they will take away parking space used by regular cars and visitors of others who live on the street.
No, they usually obstruct sight lines or go over the sidewalk. They are also an eye sore. People who buy RV's should be responsible enough to ensure they can also afford to pay for its proper storage/parking when not in use.
No, they would be parked all over the streets. Not enough space when each home has 2-4 vehicles per household
No, they're a nuisance and block critical views of children and kids playing and take line of sight away for drivers.
No, they're unsightly and create hiding places for people coming into neighbourhoods to do nefarious things. They smell if not maintained. They might tempt people into allowing people to stay in them in the yard.
No, they're an eyesore and not always properly maintained. If someone has an RV appropriate storage is part of ownership.
No, they're too big and an eyesore.
No, this adds to unsightliness to the property.
No, this decreases curb appeal . If I wanted to look at a camper I would go to a campground. This decreases sight lines when looking for on coming traffic when trying the drive out of the driveway. If you own a trailer you should store it at an appropriate designated facility. The front driveway is not intended to be a storage facility.
No, this impacts visibility and safety driving and walking in the community, Also most of my neighbours do not have driveways and street parking or yard parking happens as is.
No, this is an individuals accommodation that impacts everyone around that location. Extending the time to allow for longer loading and unloading sure, 72 hrs works but seasonal, no. Example we have four neighbors at our end of the cul-de-sac all own trailers. If all were allowed seasonal parking that would be a lot.
NO, This neighbourhood is a school zone and a multi park zone and all year we need to protect the public and anything that obscures view planes is a hazard. People who have purchased RV's know the rules and guidelines when they purchased, adjusting those rules now makes no sense and jeopardizes the beauty and clear sightlines in neighbourhoods that were chosen for that serenity and park setting. The vehicles listed above would be detrimental and have many more opportunities to abuse the rules.
No, this results in the streets being clogged up with vehicles.
No, this would lead to people storing their rvs in front of their home, which is not attractive and can restrict vision of drivers on residential streets.
No, this would the same timeframe that aesthetics are relevant to those that spend time, effort, money to upkeep and enhance their yards. Blockage by large trailers and storage/container type vehicles nullifies these efforts. 36 hours seems too short however seasonal is too long, is there an option for a week at a time to a max of x number of weeks throughout the seasonal timeframe?
No, too cluttered.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, too long period of time for a large vehicle to be in front of a house. There are RV sites that they can rent to store, the price for that should be thought about before purchasing an RV
No, too many people already parking illegally on street between driveway
NO, too much congestion and safety concerns for children. Neighbourhood would look trashy
No, under no circumstances!
In my community there are unoccupied homes that have parked cars that lining the driveways. These vehicles are never moved and are an eyesore.
No, unless it's only for the small compacting rv's
No, unsafe for children and vehicles that can't see around corners. Unsightly
No, unsightly
No, unsightly
No, unsightly
No, unsightly
No, unsightly
No, unsightly and block visibility, particularly larger motor homes or fifth wheels. Small collapsible tent trailers or raindrop trailers are not so bad as they would generally not block visibility from front windows etc. Beyond simple esthetics, there is a safety concern associated with allowing these vehicles as it may block the ability to watch children walking down the block to school/ friends houses and could block night time visibility.
No, unsightly and limits space.
No, unsightly unsafe
No, vehicles are currently left on front lawns and streets for longer durations and nothing is ever done about them, why have bylaws that aren't enforced
No, visibility/safety and sight lines are my primary concerns. I am also concerned about bobcats, or other animals living under the rv if it is stationary for long periods of time. Finally aesthetically rv's and other trailers really detract from the neighborhood especially when we have such a short summer season in Calgary to enjoy gardens and plants and trees.
No, visually it's awful. Most trailers stick out into the sidewalk while parked on a driveway. and that will take up a parking spot so now more vehicles on the street which most streets are already full.
No, ward 9 streets and frontages are already too small. rVs will Not fit.
No, we already have enough issues with entitled RV owners leaving their side extensions sticking out into narrow streets. Park it all summer on the driveway and somebody will start renting those out as living spaces. Neighbourhoods are not campgrounds.
No, we already have problems with thefts from vehicles. Having vehicles with more contents encourages more thefts to neighborhoods.
No, we can't define the LGBT++ issue, how will you define the unsightly issue!?!?
No, we have a difficult time parking already with all the vehicles on our street and rv's or alike would only make parking even tougher.
We used to own one and paid annually to store it at a rv storage lot.
No, we have a front driveway that is abetted to a neighbour's driveway. Having an RV there would impact our ability to use our driveway. RVs on the street would also make it unsafe to back out of the driveway.
No, we have a neighbour that does this. It takes up a lot of room. They often park longer than allowed. You can't see. I think the current bylaw is good the way it is.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, we have architectural guidelines to ensure the community has a certain feel and aesthetic. Having multiple large RVs would definitely take away from the architectural feel of the communities. If you can afford a \$25,000 trailer plus camping costs you can afford the storage fees that come along with it.
No, we have several neighbours that have large recreational vehicles. All but one has been kind and respectful. One individual has his blocking other neighbours and on the street for weeks. It really impacts the street functionality. I can see heaps more issues if you allow this to happen. There already is a street parking issue with so many extra vehicles per household.
No, we have very limited street parking in our area and people are already abusing it with RV,s parked on the road ways and driveways which in turn have them parking their extra personal vehicles on the street.
No, we need more enforcement for existing laws, not relaxation of them. They are an eyesore, they take away parking for legitimate purposes of transportation within the city and people will take advantage of this with having other living in these vehicles full time
No, we need to keep our neighbourhoods sightly and safe
No, who would monitor the season? The Neighbourhood people? I think this could cause some people to take advantage of the situation and possibly have people living in the travel vehicles in front of the street in the summer time that could cause people charging people to live in the driveway, because of housing cost and housing shortages.
No, why not have it year around. There not hurting anybody.
No, with all of the densification in Calgary parking of any sort will be restricted and limited. This will make it worse. I understand it is for driveway parking but then the driveway is not available for other vehicles.
No, with parking already at a premium - I don't see why you'd want to make this worse?
No, with RV's parked on driveways, owners will then park their car(s) on the street as there is no longer room on the driveway adding to an already congested street parking.
No, would block views of many streets etc when trying to turn etc ,harder to see pedestrians as well some streets would be back to back rv's on some streets
No, would not support. They are an eyesore. When we had our RV we paid to store it when not in use.
No, would not support. I live in a small cul-de-sac and would not want to be looking at rv's all day our my front door.
No, would take up too much valuable space.
No, you should not extend the time that RV's can be parked on the street. They are an eyesore, often have people living in them while they visit; often have extension cords connected to the RV, etc.... If someone wants an RV, then they should be able accommodate it on their property.....not on the local street.
No, obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
No, Sight lines, safety, also on many residential streets, particularly at corners, this is the front view for so many houses. Also, people are more likely to run cords across sidewalks, and this is a safety hazard.( note this for winter block heaters, who often have cords on the sidewalks.)





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No,. Having owned a RV, current rules are fine as is.
no,1. Safety, they block peoples view of potential pedestrians ( kids ) as people are diving down the roads. 2. Theft, it is already a problem with vehicles , this would only make the problem worse for thieves. Also they can be a big fire hazard. 3, last this is they are a terrible eye sore go drive through medicine hat.
No,it will only lead to other vehicles -pull trailers,storage boxes
No,never, please no. The horror of all is hard to comprehend. Please, please no!!!
No,No, No. We have had RV parking issue in the past. It could be my RV jeep that I am rebuilding. Some the RV are a sight for sore eyes.
No,see above
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
NO. It is increasingly depressing to see how vehicles have overtaken our street scenes in every neighbourhood,... they make a serious problem for sight lines when pulling out of entrances and you cannot see if another vehicle is coming... children are too small to be seen in advance.
No. My main reason is the lack of street parking (especially in the inner city). I took a look at the historic neighborhood satellite images of Renfrew where I live. The on street parking has increases over time. This will only increase further as older homes are replaced with duplexes and multifamily units. The focus of the city to increase inner city population density (which I support) has contributed substantially to the increase in on street parking.
No. A lot if the time it takes away the visibility when acking out of your driveway or your garage. It also impares the visibilty of pedestrian on the sidewalk.
No. If you dive through any community now you will find driveways/streets with RV's and utility trailers. They are unsightly and drive down the value of our homes. The city should enforce the current bylaws by having citizen provide proof of storage location when registering trailer license plates.
I would agree with allowing storage of small tent trailers (under 25' Long x 8' wide x 5' high) no older than 15 years. This would still give sight lines for drivers and family's a savings.
No. Obstructive sightlines, safety, esthetics are all valid points. Also would encourage owners to work on/prepare vehicles for use. Have noticed owners leaving vehicles on driveways for most of spring/summer season.
No. They are ugly. They block the view of the street. They are a target for increased crime and vehicle prowling. They will force more vehicles onto on-street parking, there by worsening other conditions.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Allowing seasonal front driveway parking will just force homeowners to then park their personal vehicles on to the street. This would decrease street parking to visitors and negatively impact safety and esthetics. If someone is financially able to afford to own and operate an RV they should be able to pay for off site parking.
No. It is an eyesore to the neighbors. There is the likelihood that RV's and trailers would be used as accommodation.
No. It makes street parking even less available if owners cannot park I. Their own drive. It is most rvs are very unappealing to look at It makes sight lines worse. And those who don't have rvs should not have to stare at the ones that do. It just isn't a fair advantage
NO. Last summer, folks that were renting down the road had a small camper van parked out front of their house (not even in their driveway), they had it hooked up to the electrical and people camped out over a long weekend. This is unacceptable. Our streets are NOT campgrounds and there are not enough by-law enforcement officers to address this abuse. In fact, you should reduce the time to less than 24 hours - enough time for people to load their stuff for a trip and go.
No. Many people are trying to fit longer RV's in their driveway encroaching on to sidewalks especially in my neighborhood of Somerset. RV's block sight lines creating more dark areas for suspect activities at night. Street parking it tight and RV's in driveways force more people to then need to park their regular vehicles on the road. RV parking can be rented just outside city limits.
NO. Observe people living in RVs temporarily (not overnite) in areas without same by-laws. Safety! Can't egress safely from Driveway. Live next to 2 schools, 1400 kids. Careless driver dragged a 'blue bin' under fender not knowing it. More obstruction, more risk to injuring people. RV owners have pick-up, drop-off time. 18 years observation, current by-laws are respected by owners. Park in backyard? It's unsightly but like fence paint, poor house maintenance.....
No. Parking is bad enough on residential streets in our community and I am sure many others without adding longer times for rv vehicles. While a lot of owners are respectful of how/where they park some are not. I also find large vehicles block sight lines for safe driving especially when they are near intersections.
No. Primarily as it would block sightlines both at the corner of our street as it meets up with a significant road. There is also an alley that is used extensively for school drop off that should have good sight lines. Does the other jurisdictions indicate increased crime with these vehicles parked where its easier to get at them?
No. Street parking in our neighborhood is difficult, and many many people have RVS, so if they were allowed to park for extended periods of time that would take what little street parking we have left
No. Too many vehicles. Reversing cars out would be nerve racking. Bad enough as it is.
No. obstructed sightlines safety esthetics
NO. 36 hours is sufficient. People will start camping on doorsteps. They spill onto roads being too big for driveways. Spill onto lawns and where does this end. Just NO.
No. A real eyesore. On several streets right now there are vehicles parked on both sides of the street, leaving room for only one vehicle. RVs in driveways will aggravate thte situation making it more dangerous and obstructing view.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>No. Absolutely not. Neighbors are often inconsiderate, and you can't rely on common sense or etiquette. Why on earth should there be a street full of RVs on the driveways, all summer? Block view, block visibility when backing out (big safety issue), block sunlight, and would create issues with people staying in RVs, or turning them into AirBnB places. If people can afford to have recreational vehicles, they can afford to properly store them. After Covid, there are way more Recreational Vehicles, which would make the problem even worse.</p>
<p>No. Aesthetics, sight lines and snow removal.</p>
<p>No. An RV is a luxury, not a right. If someone can afford one, they should be able to afford storage. All of the other municipalities listed that support this behavior are not the type of municipality Calgary should be striving to be. It is counter intuitive to a City that promotes density and less parking for passenger vehicles let alone RV's. They also create visual issues. As a parent of small children who ride bikes and walk to the park, they are a safety issue.</p>
<p>No. Anytime a neighbor has their RV parked on the driveway in our community it protrudes into the sidewalk and or street causing safety hazards to traffic and pedestrians.</p>
<p>No. As a pedestrian, RVs block sightlines, create sark spots, impair visibility of house numbers, and detract from a residential feel of a neighborhood. 36 hours seem reasonable to load/unload and hopefully prevent these from being used as illegal AirBnBs.</p>
<p>No. As lots are getting smaller and smaller, more and more cars are parking on the road already. Vehicles on the road reduce the safety. There is simply not enough room to add RVs etc. to the already crowded streets. We used to live in a newer community and had to contend with RVs on the street. It was not a safe situation in the summer. As we try to encourage more people to walk and ride bikes, encumbering the streets with RVs is a very dangerous decision.</p>
<p>No. As with other jurisdictions - esthetics, safety &amp; obstructed sightlines. In addition to the above, potential increase in crime (break-ins); if the RV is in poor condition &amp; unsightly, calls into by-law services is going to increase &amp; that's the last thing our city &amp; tax payers need. When owners go through the expense of purchasing &amp; maintaining a RV, storage must also be part of their plan.</p>
<p>No. Because I do not want our street to look like an RV parking lot or an industrial park.</p>
<p>No. Because of obstructed sightlines.</p>
<p>No. Because they block access to the home for emergency services, and make it difficult to see and anticipate children playing in the area blocks ahead. 36 hrs is plenty of time to attend to RV needs.</p>
<p>No. Blocks lines of sight and sunlight</p>
<p>No. Blocks view of drivers, an eyesore, reduces parking for other vehicles, including emergency vehicles</p>
<p>No. Blocks view. Safety. Looks terrible. Blocks sunlight. People could hide behind it at night. .</p>
<p>No. Communities have a proliferation of front driveways and garages with lots of cars. Allowing RVs to be added to this will have a negative impact on the look and feel of our community streets. Houses are pushed further back, no eyes on the street, and so many vehicles in the front yard. There's no social interaction anymore. I do not want to see RVs allowed longer than 2-3 days.</p>

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Crime rates are already up in my neighborhood. I don't want my neighbor's RV to block sight of my home from the road as this would encourage break-ins. The proposed change would also decrease the amount of street parking available since RV owners would be blocking their own driveways with their RVs so they'd have to park on the street. Families who can afford RVs can afford secure, non neighborhood parking for this luxury.
No. Current rules limiting to 36 hours should be sufficient and adequate and doesn't risk people living in the units .
No. Currently our streets are very tight with people parking on the street versus parking in/on their garages/driveways/parking pads. It is already leading to safety issues. As well, it further adds to visibility issues when trying to navigate. When you purchase an RV, you know you need to also find space to store it.
No. Danger to kids and adults as sightlines are reduced. RVs (some huge) act as obstacles to traffic and pedestrians.
No. Don't need to look at a trailer all season. People will take advantage of this rule. Some Neighborhoods have no parking and Trailers will take up more parking.
No. Driveways on my street are barely long enough to have a truck on them without encroaching on the sidewalk. This causes major obstruction to see children playing on our cul de sac while backing out of our garage. RV's would make it impossible to park on driveways.
No. Especially on corner lots, driveway parking can significantly impede sightlight lines for drivers and pedestrians. We have busy sidewalks and a pathway with lots of pedestrians of all ages and mobilities; we need to prioritize them.
No. Esthetically it would not be nice for the neighbourhood I live in. There is already alot of people that take advantage of the current bylaw, which is their right, however, they often extend the amount of time that is allowed to have their RV parked on the street and there's not enough enforcement.
No. Esthetics and obstructive views.
No. Esthetics is huge as most garage pads face the front street, hard to clean snow properly, believe it could end up with rv & other rv equipment being stored on the front drive pad like a storage lot and the resident parking one or two vehicles on the street. Can see 36 hours for loading or unloading a rv unit but no other reason.
No. For all the reasons lifted. It's an eyesore and safety issue. People already abuse the bylaw as is. If extended people will surpass the new guidelines.
No. For esthetic reasons and because it will obstruct sightlines.
No. For the above concerns and also because there is already limited street parking and this would make things worse.
NO. For the reasons already stated.
NO. Giving up a driveway spot for an RV would force other household vehicles to be parked on streets. In some neighbourhoods, street parking is already not adequate. Households already tend to have more vehicles than their garages/driveways can accommodate. RVs added to this mix will just exasperate the problem. Also, the aesthetics would be less than desirable.
No. Hazard for drivers and kids looks awful. Our street in Parkhill is also narrow already and cars can barely navigate getting by each other
No. I am completely opposed to extending beyond 3 days in the driveway. It is not only unattractive and is a safety concern. In many cases, it makes it hard to see oncoming traffic. In our neighbourhood when people park their RV and utility trailers in their drive they move

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

their cars into the roadway. Moving their cars into the roadway takes up space for others to park and it also results in unsafe conditions for seeing oncoming traffic and results in narrow roads for driving.
No. I am concerned that the change would lead to people then parking their RV's for short periods on the street which would lead to parking for longer periods on the street. My concern is obstructed vision.
No. I believe it is an eye sore and can be abused with an ability to add more junk in the driveway.
No. I believe RVs parked in or around city or private property make the neighbourhood look shabby and cluttered. It blocks view and sunlight. RVs are not meant for developed residential areas. I do not want to look out my window and see a neighbour's RV all summer. The summer is too short for that. 36 hours should be more than enough for RV users to prep and unpack.
No. I believe they are a safety hazard as vehicles driving can not see around them. There are several RV parking spaces close and they should pay and park there.
No. I believe they are unsightly in any neighbourhood (aesthetics), definitely obstruct sight lines and dangerous for young people who are playing in the neighbourhood.
No. I deal with RV's being parked on the street for indefinite periods of time (not front driveways) & also have dealt with RV owners & THEIR ATV's parking where they want & doing whatever they want (it. I want to test my ATV so I am going to bomb around the alley & green-space or I am parking & cleaning RV's on the green-space behind my home). VERY TIRED of RV owners & their toys & THEIR ATTITUDES. They are aggressive if they think you don't agree with THEIR right to do what they want where they want. Concerned over safety, esthetics, aggressiveness & their detriment to my quality of life & enjoyment of my home & community. Stricter reg's with STERN by-law enforcement PLEASE!!!
No. I do not consider it safe for pedestrians or other motorists, as the vehicles parking beside the RV can not see past them when they exit the driveway.
No. I do not live in a campground and do not want my neighbourhood to look like one. Current bylaws allow for reasonable use and do not need modification to benefit a small portion of the population.
No. I do not support this because it is a safety issue with respect to visibility on the street. I have seen potential accidents from vehicles having to drive pass a parked RV and pedestrian (or even another car) not seeing beyond the RV by assuming there is no oncoming traffic.
No. I don't believe the new bylaws will be actively enforced in most communities. Some RV owners won't abide by the bylaws unless a neighbour complains.
My biggest concern is the number of toe hitches extending out over sidewalks. There will be more incidences of children being injured due to hitches obstructing their path. Strict regulations regarding the proximity of the trailer hitches should be considered.
No. I feel it is already congested enough to find parking in the front of our homes that allowing more types of vehicles only makes it more challenging.
No. I feel like it looks ugly and cluttered. It is difficult to see over-around them- unsafe.
No. I feel RVs are unsightly and negatively impact the esthetics as well as the value of a neighborhood. It's bad enough that I have several neighbors who park their RVs in their backyard year-round. Having that in the front (whether seasonal or not) would be visually offensive. Please don't make it worse than it already is.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I feel that having a recreational/travel vehicle is a privilege and consideration of neighbors, safety and esthetics should come before convenience, sense of security and cost-effectiveness. A large RV parked in a front drive is an effective screen for individuals who siphon gas, steal catalytic converters, or break and enter. I feel that 36 hours is adequate for temporary storage.
No. I find them to be unsightly. I have seen many RV's with power cords running to them (over a sidewalk) for weeks at a time. Obviously someone is living in the RV. My neighbourhood should not become a parking lot for RV's.
No. I had an RV in the driveway beside my violating then bylaw for a year and it was very hard to back out of my driveway onto a busy road with a curve safely.
No. I like to look up and down my street and do not want to look at someones trailer or boat
NO. I live in a cul-de-sac, as well as a block from an elementary school, with neighbors that each have anywhere from 2-4 vehicles per household, 2 of these neighbors have RV's. On any given day there is limited roadside parking with the overflow of personal vehicles. 1) seasonal parking would leave more personal vehicles congesting the roadway 2) who enforces the already 36 hour limitation 3) safety is already a concern for the children as well elderly in our neighborhood
No. I support the three reasons listed above: obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics. When we live in a community, we need to have limitations that enhance and protect that community. The present by-law is working well and does not need to be changed. RV owners have their systems in place for storage. Changing the by-law opens the door for neighbourhood conflict.
No. I think the bylaw should stay at 36 hours and then it becomes discretionary if a neighbor complains that it is impact the use/look of their property/street. Ie there is a tool to enforce if there is a problem. I do not think it is appropriate for RVs to stay on a front driveway for an entire summer.
No. I think the current bylaw is fine.
No. I would be concerned about people living in them.
NO. I would be OK extending the time to 48 hours. Otherwise, it is unsightly and obstructs clear views of the street and sidewalks - I find this a safety issue.
No. I wouldn't support it. I will call 311 every time I notice a RVs.
No. If everyone's driveway is filled with RV's they then need to park their cars on the street. It minimizes/blocks use of garages and takes up parking space in the driveway leading to more cars on the streets which can also obstruct sightlines. More cars on the streets also means increased crime.
NO. If I had wanted to live in an area surrounded by trailers I would have purchased a home in a trailer park. There are many people who purchase trailers, motor homes, fifth wheels etc., Who do not factor in to the equation when they purchase these vehicles the need to find and rent storage space. Definitely storage space should NOT be in their driveways.
No. If owners can afford an RV in the first place they can afford to store the unit in a secure lot offsite. Their convenience should not override the negatives listed above.
No. If people park their RV's on the driveways then this will increase street parking for their vehicles. I have lived in my home for over 30 years and several homes have added secondary suites over the years which has resulted increased street parking. Trying to park in front of my home is now very difficult. For example, two residents across the street currently have 11 vehicles plus an RV which is parked on the side driveway year round.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. In addition to the 3 reasons listed above there will be cases of people 'camping' in driveways for extended periods. Changing rules after house-buyers have expectations for the esthetics of their community is unfair. Also, recreation-vehicle purchasers knew the rules when they acquired their campers and shouldn't suddenly expect them to be nulled.
No. In most cases, it increases the street parking congestion.
No. In my area (Evergreen) parking is already crowded to the point that I cannot always have contractors or guests park in front of my house. As I have no back alley, this creates a problem for elderly family members walking on icy roads/sidewalks to reach my front door.
No. In my neighborhood there are so many secondary suites in the houses, when family or visitors come to my house they already can't find a place to park! If they now use driveways to park longer term, their other vehicles will add to the already crowded streets.
No. In my neighborhood we have detached garages in the back. Front drives with RV's or boats are unsightful. If they can afford such luxuries they should be able to afford to store them offsite.
No. In my neighbourhood people already park their RV's on the road (cul-de-sac with no front driveways) which according to YOUR rules is not allowed because the road is city property. 36 hours is long enough.
No. In some neighbourhood they provide places for drug trafficking, places for homeless to lurk. We have been attacked in the evening. They also make streets untidy.
No. It blocks sight lines and or community is full of children. Also if is difficult when RVs are parked already. There are cords on the sidewalks and tripping hazards. I prefer the shorter timelines. People know this bylaw when purchasing their RV
No. It blocks the view and detracts from the beauty of the community. They also block the sidewalks.
No. It creates an eyesore in the community and they are unpleasant to look at when they are parked right in front of your house all summer. It makes a nice community look like a trailer park.
No. It is a huge safety issue. We only have front drives (no alley). Small children playing could not be seen. Or any size for that matter.
No. It is already hard to safely see when exiting out of driveways with cars parked on both sides of thw road. An RV parked in a driveway on small lots also completwly blocks the sun of a neighbours yard. Side and rear rv parking is sufficient.
No. It is is unsightly and impares vision for driving or kids playing on the streets. We have neighbors that brought their trailer home for the entire summer and never took it out camping once. It is a cost benefit for them instead of using one of the many RV lots in the city. We do not own any type of RV so the bylaw does not effect us but do not want any of our neighbors storing their RV's in front of their homes. Makes it especially hard for anyone trying to sell their property.
No. It is unsightly and dangerous. They obstruct view of oncoming traffic. Street parking also gets too crowded due to limited driveway parking. I have seen some units extend over sidewalks as well.
No. It is unsightly, there are poor sightlines, children dart around them without care! In the newer communities where the city is cramming more and more houses in, it will get out of hand. There are plenty of RV storage lots to accommodate recreational vehicles and if you can afford an RV, you can afford to store it securely in one of these facilities.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It makes it harder to see pedestrians and other vehicles which is a safety risk. I would rather see trees and grass and sky than a giant piece of metal and plastic.
No. It makes the neighbourhood look trashy. Extremely poor visibility when driving down streets. It means that people will let their relatives stay on the street and may even develop into a new place for AirBNB. Calgary does not need this.
No. It obstructs sight lines and increases the chance of hitting pedestrians and cyclists when backing up a vehicle across a sidewalk. So just from a safety aspect I would be against it. Also it makes the community look trashy.
No. It obstructs traffic and visibility, can damage the local environment and makes neighborhood roads more congested and dangerous for residents, including pedestrians.
No. It reduces visibility in a time when there is increased use of the streets, sidewalks and yards by children - it would decrease the safety of our neighbourhoods.
No. It restricts sidelines. Provides opportunity for theft, attracts theft, is unsightly and creates a claustrophobic, crowded looking neighborhood. The neighborhood soon looks messy and disorderly....Less classy. I would personally feel claustrophobic if my neighbors had RVs parked on their driveways or on the street near their home.
No. It spoils the look of the neighborhood. It uses up parking availability meaning more people will then park on the road. Someone who owns an expensive RV likely can afford to pay for storage. Can make the neighborhood less safe in that intruders can secret themselves using the rv.
No. It starts to look shabby and degrades the view.
No. It will be a nuisance taking up too much space
No. It will increase the likelihood of abuse of bylaws. Looking at areas such as East Vancouver, there are many problems with transients causing crime while living in RVs. More problems for communities and By Law officers.
No. It would obstruct the view of neighbouring car coming out of their garage and possibly hit a pedestrian, oncoming vehicle, etc. this would be very poor risk management.
No. It's a safety concern for me. From an esthetics standpoint it looks terrible and it could also promote squatting. Areas near popular venues, like the Stampede may offer to rent out their driveway to allow others to park there. No one is going to adhere to the driveway rule. They will just park them on the street. People leave their RV's on my street for days in the summer with cords supplying power going across the sidewalk. They are an eyesore.
No. It's a visual eyesore. Especially if the rv's etc are not maintained.
No. It's an eye sore for the neighborhood, and devalues my property in the eyes of a potential buyer.
No. It's hard to back out of my driveway when neighbours have rvs parked. Also they always park too close to my driveway making it hard to get in and out.
No. It's unsightly and difficult to move around (ie. Sidewalks). Especially if you have a physical disability.
No. It's getting to look like a garbage patch through-out various districts in Calgary where the garbage, recycle organics bins are left out on the driveways now we have all these RVs looking bad but also a safety hazard. Sometimes it's hard to drive down streets where there are multiple RVs.
no. Keep the existing bylaw.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Large vehicles parked on driveways are an eyesore and a safety issue when you can't see around them. I would be concerned that the RVs parked on a driveway are being used to house people year round.
No. Main reason obstructed sightlines, and esthetics they make the property look like a parking lot.
No. Makes the neighbourhoods crowded and it looks trashy. They're an eyesore. I've seen kids under trailers playing or climbed up on top while they were parked in the driveway and it's such a hazard or accident waiting to happen.
No. Many of us do everything we can to have a nice property. People choosing to own an RV need to be prepared to store it elsewhere rather than their own property. It just makes the area look trashy like a trailer park. Residential areas are not designed for this purpose. Be respectful of others and park your RV elsewhere
No. Many of us work very hard to maintain aesthetics of a neighborhood. RV's are a major eye sore. I believe the existing rationale for limiting parking to 30 days still holds. Especially due to the large increase in RVs.
No. Many people park their rv's and then don't use them, so they become an eyesore in the community. Our neighbor blocks the sidewalk with his rv, making it difficult to walk.
No. Most of the units now are so big
No. Multiple owners that park their recreational vehicles on street on same block create traffic issues, safety issues, hoses & electrical lines across side walks, & also obstruct neighbours driveways. Current bylaw of 36 hrs is often not enforced, even when city is notified.
No. My concern is the size of RVs which impede visual sight lines for not only movement of traffic, but of children playing in the neighborhood area. This is a huge safety issue as young children can run or dart from behind the RV sight line which because of the size makes the safe viewing area smaller.
NO. My main concern is obstructed sightlines, as my neighbours last summer had their large trailer parked in the driveway for the entire summer. Despite reporting to 311 - nothing changed. In this instance they also parked the boat and trailer on the front lawn. Multiple near misses when backing out of the driveway and my front entrance was blocked from view creating a lack of sense of security and my safety. The city failed to deal with the violation - how to determine esthetics.
No. My old neighbourhood in BC allowed motorhomes in driveways which led to tons more cars needing to park on the street. Driveways should not be a storage place for a giant RV.
No. Negative impact for neighborhoods if vehicle is inhabited for potential months at a time.
No. Not aesthetically suitable for most neighborhoods.
No. Obstructed sight lines when trying to back out of our driveway. Impossible to see oncoming traffic until one is almost out into the roadway. Also an eyesore.
No. Obstructed sightines and esthetics.
No. Obstructed sightlines are my biggest concern. Trying to see around these large vehicles whether it is on the street or on a driveway is problematic. If you are trying to turn a corner or back out of your driveway it can be very dangerous trying to see oncoming traffic. The other concern would be who would define "in poor condition". I can see this being a problem between neighbours.
No. Obstructed sightlines is my first concern. ( from past experiences). If these RV's are not parked in driveways, it would be safer for home owners and their neighbors. I am thinking of people potentially trying to break in to these vehicles. Which I have experienced in my last

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

neighborhood. Some RV's and truck campers are not in the best condition. Esthetics is an issue.
NO. Obstructed sightlines, unsightly, people could be living there, safety and aesthetic concerns. If they can afford the RV, they can afford to store it. Back or side yard is fine. It is unsightly and detracts from the neighbourhood. It can overshadow the neighbour's garden and restrict plant growth. Who gets to decide what "derelict" level the vehicle is in? Increase the time to 5 days if need be, but nothing longer than that.
No. Obstruction of vision for safety. An eyesore for neighbourhood
No. Obstruction would be the first. Don't want to see that in the window versus a park. Esthetics also high up there. They can become living quarters for people if the RV is winterized.
No. Obstructs view when backing out of driveway
No. Over the last 20 years, the number of cars parked on roadways has increased significantly impacting the look of neighbourhoods. Allowing RV parking would further impact the look of neighbourhoods.
No. Overlaps onto street, obstructs sightlines and degrades esthetics of area. Also, regular vehicle then parked onto street.
No. Overnight camping, old rough looking campers won't be removed.
No. Parked/stored RV vehicles do not belong in residential neighbourhoods. If an RV owner can afford to purchase an RV, then they can afford to pay to store it.
No. Parking an RV in your driveway is an eyesore
No. Parking is at an optimum in our community as it is. If someone parks their RV in their driveway then I would assume they would park their vehicle on the street thus adding the congested parking.
No. Parking RVs on a the driveway means more parking and clutter on the streets. This becomes a safety issue for communities with small children. People sometimes visit residents and the driveway/street becomes a campground.
No. Parking them on driveways and roadways is obstructive. They would block sunlight from reaching neighbouring yards. I would feel less safe as sight lines are obstructed in the neighbourhood.
No. People already break the existing rules. Making them less onerous would just give them further license to clutter the street thus lowering property values.
No. People always push the boundaries of the rules. RVs are too big to be parked in residential neighbourhood. Streets are made narrower from all the parked vehicles.
No. Quite often these vehicles then take up the entire driveway, resulting in homeowners then parking their regular use vehicles in front of other's homes, prohibiting homeowners from parking at their own houses. They also obstruct views, block out security camera's visual fields from neighboring homes and are an eyesore in general.
No. Rationale:  - obstructed sightlines - safety - esthetics
No. Reasonable restrictions currently in the bylaw provide sufficient access to an RV used by a resident balanced against desired community aesthetics.
No. Reduces visibility so is a risk to children, bikers & pedestrians. Is also an eyesore.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Residential areas already have large numbers of cars parked in front of our homes. We do not need more congestion on our streets and also make it unsafe and unsightly. Children need to have clear visibility when crossing streets around their homes or going to school or parks.
No. Residential properties were created to provide a sense of space for homeowners who choose to live on such properties. Using such properties, especially the frontage, to store large vehicles and objects that are not moved daily limits that sense of space, leaving neighbours feeling crowded. Allowing RV owners to park their RVs on the property for weeks or months at a time invites these RV owners to rent out their RVs like VRBOs, disrupting the quiet enjoyment of neighbouring properties.
No. Residential streets are busy enough with all the cars parked on roadsides in front of houses. Big RVs, etc. are too much. Not good idea at all. Make streets too congested and unsightly for neighborhoods. Residential Streets overflowing with too many parked cars already. To allow this as a new bylaw will be very disappointing. I never participate in these engage forums but I am feel very strongly that this idea will be a huge mistake and one that won't be easy to back track on. Keep Big RVs, etc out of neighborhoods. This as a new bylaw be a huge headache in neighborhoods.
No. RV street parking is a problem today. Owners already ignore 36 hour rule, so unless there is a change to enforcement it will only get worse.
No. RVs are an eyesore.
No. RVs are unsightly and add to vehicular clutter in the neighbourhood. The cost and inconvenience of storing a RV offsite is just part of owning an RV.
No. RVs are unsightly and they do not belong on front driveways. Neighbourhoods are not parking lots. These vehicles are meant for recreation and travel, neither of which happen in residential neighbourhoods. They also obstruct sight lines and are a hazard to look around when vehicles are trying to check for passage onto the roadway.
No. RV's can block the sight of the road and are unsightly. The current law is already being abused and loosening the rules will likely lead to even further abuse. People who have bought an RV have bought it knowing the laws, and so should live with their decision.
No. RV's should only be allowed to remain on the front of the property for 36 hours. They are unsightly, increase the risk of crime, introduce the possibility of raw sewage spills, and present safety issues for pedestrians/bikers/vehicles.
No. Sacramento Drive is a very busy thoroughfare and is used both by residents and City workers (Southland depot, for example). In addition, it is also used by the Fire Department and EMS. An RV (or other vehicles that fit this description) are a hindrance at times, and a potential hazard at others.
No. Safety hazard for young children.
No. Safety issue, unsightly.
No. Safety issues major concern (can't see around them). Clutters the neighbourhood as well.
No. Seasonally front parking will encourage home owners to park their passenger vehicles on the street. This unfairly takes up limited street parking. I would be supportive increasing the parking duration to 72 hours allowing vehicles to be parked over a weekend
No. Sightlines on side streets are already a problem. This is especially true in my neighborhood.
NO. Sightlines, blocking of light and view, and general ugliness of neighbourhood. Unfair to other residents. Owners should pay for winter storage elsewhere.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Some people will start living in them. This is already happening in our neighbourhood.
No. Street level Parking is limited as it is. If my neighborhood was allowed for longer than 36 hours, it would be a issue of safety and parking
No. Streets are too narrow to accommodate parking of RVs. Terminology on "unsightly" is too difficult to enforce
No. TBH it's a huge eye sore. There will be hundreds parked all over the community that will stay there the whole summer. I don't mind driveway and street over night to pack up as that's reasonable. However, leaving RVs etc out all summer isn't reasonable.
NO. That is disgusting. I don't want to live on a street riddled with RV's for half of the year. Have these people store their vehicles at the back/side of off-site.
No. The benefits (convenience, cost-effectiveness and sense of security) benefit ONLY the RV owner while the impacts (obstructed sight lines, SAFETY, and esthetics) affect everybody else in the community.
No. The City does not have the resources to enforce this. As it is complaints about trailers and rvs will go months before a bylaw officer can investigate. This will be unenforceable due to lack of resources.
No. The current by laws are pushed over the limit already.
No. The large vehicles obstruct sightlines and create a hazard for those trying to back up out of their driveways beside them. A large boat was parked near a corner. If someone was not paying careful attention as they approached the corner they could have been seriously injured driving into the back of the boat/motor. Motor homes/trailers parked on the road in front of their house, with the extensions out toward the road/over the sidewalk, create a serious hazard & should not be allowed.
No. the most important issue for me is safety. I find it a safety issue with children playing and biking. I understand it's about seasons parking only, but the "season" for rvs is also the season for children out and about and I don't want sight lines obstructed.
No. The need to have a loading time is fine and the current bylaw addresses that. In most new home developments there is little room on front driveways and houses are close together. This creates a sight line issue for neighbors backing out of their driveway. Pedestrians on the sidewalk will be at risk and where there is no sidewalk vehicles driving by will not be seen until too late. Safety is #1. Aesthetic ISSUES#2. Pity the person who bought a nice home with a front porch
No. The negatives out weigh the benefits especially the obstructed sightlines on main residential arteries (IE: bus routes) and safety.
No. The present bylaw is fine. Neighbourhood views are blocked and eye sours on the street. Views blocked entering or leaving diveways and residential roadways especially on corner lots.
No. The reasons for the bylaw in the first place is not based on frequency of use.
No. The reasons that the current bylaw is in effect are good ones. It's simply a safety issue for both drivers (keeping sight lines open on streets and around corners) and pedestrians. Have you tried backing out of your driveway with an rv parked next door? You can't see what's coming down the road. Rv's should be parked in an RV lot. I wouldn't be opposed to the timeline being increased to 48 hours (from 36) but having an rv parked on a residential driveway seasonally is ridiculous.
No. The street scape abd safety will be compromised. We already have garbage and recycle bins sitting on driveways as they don't fit in the garage. This makes the neighborhood untidy. If you add recreational vehicles this will ad to the unsightly appearance. In addition people





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>exiting their driveways could have sightline issues for pedestrians on the sidewalks, cyclists on the road and vehicles on the street.</p>
<p>No. The units are getting larger and longer. We have people in our community who park their units on driveways which extend over the sidewalk. It completely obstructs passage. Safety and unsightly conditions are a huge concern. My thoughts are if you have an RV then you must be responsible for the ownership responsibility. It's a big eyesore and attracts potential vandalism</p>
<p>No. There are far too many people parking their RVs on their property and they are an eye sore. If you can afford to own an RV, then you can afford to store it elsewhere, rather than cluttering up residential areas.</p>
<p>No. There is already limited street parking since most home owners don't even have room in their garage for a vehicle. They are an eyesore.</p>
<p>No. These large RVs are an eyesore and take up a lot of parking space. Sight lines are already poor on some streets.</p>
<p>No. These units are unsightly. I would support a longer term that 36 hours (ie maybe a week). People should be keeping their properties in reasonable order and this opens the doors for abuse. People understand what they got into when they purchased an RV.</p>
<p>No. They are an eye sore. Some would be left on the driveways indefinitely</p>
<p>No. They are an eye sore. Some owners may think they are not ... but they usually are. If you can't afford to store your RV somewhere ... they probably don't have money for a good looking RV</p>
<p>No. They are an eyesore and the obscure sight lines when driving.</p>
<p>No. They are an eyesore. Block the view. People will live in them. Hitches sometimes encroach on the sidewalks, and electric cords strewn about. It's a slipper slope. Driveway for Street parking will be impacted since they can't park on driveway. Sight lines for neighbors backing out if driveway.</p>
<p>No. They are an eyesore. They make the neighborhood look shabby .. if this were allowed most people would likely leave them there all year.. then they wouldn't have to pay for RV storage.</p>
<p>No. They are ugly!</p>
<p>No. They are unsightly (covered in blue tarps etc). Reduce vision for kids and motorists. Snow gets pushed into street rather than sides of driveway. Owners vehicles are parked on street increasing congestion.</p>
<p>No. They are unsightly, and take up too much space.</p>
<p>No. They block sight lines especially of children and they look hideous blocking the road, their electrical cords running from their house to trailer is dangerous and unsightly.</p>
<p>No. They block sight lines for both traffic and safety. Having them parked in driveways all spring or summer would be such a ridiculous eyesore for people living in their street.</p>
<p>No. They block sight lines when driving. They destroy what a neighbourhood looks like. Very unsightly.</p>
<p>No. They block sight lines, take up valuable parking space as owners would then park their other vehicles on the street and generally are unsightly. I don't think city neighbourhoods should look like campgrounds. I Would not like to live beside a driveway with a RV parked there.</p>
<p>It would also encourage owners to use them as an Airbnb or additional housing which I don't think is a good idea.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is a good business providing offsite secure parking for RV vehicles and this change would also negatively impact that business quite severely.
In general, please don't follow anything Edmonton does! I lived there 40 years and the City makes the stupidest decisions and is quite ugly.
No. They block the view of pedestrians on the sidewalk making it dangerous for pedestrians when people are backing in and out of driveways. I also do not support them parking on streets.
No. They block the views, clutter the street look and owners tend to "creep" on the limits (ie hitch hanging over the curb). Work trailers can be unsightly, dirty and pose a health risk
No. They don't look good in the community and obstruct the view of neighbours.
NO. THEY HARM THE ESTHETICS OF THE COMMUNITY, CAUSE SAFETY CONCERNS RE BREAKINS, AND CAUSE PARKING HASSLES
No. They obstruct sight lines when parked In driveways for pedestrians on sidewalks and drivers. It also contributes to more vehicles parked on the road as space is taken in the driveway.
No. They obstruct the view of our street and some of the RVs are rather large and do not allow for parking of other vehicles.
No. They should be stored properly in storage facilities. There is absolutely no need to have such a large obstruction taking up space on residential lots for any length of time apart from loading it and emptying it.
No. They take up an absurd amount of space on the road and are impossible to see around when crossing the street. I would support paid parking beyond 36 hours up to 5 days for at least \$15/day in neighborhoods with uncontrolled parking.
No. They take up too much space as it is. 36 hours is more than enough time to clean or load your RV. I think Calgary can have higher standards than Edmonton.
No. They take up way too much space. There is barely any room to park already on streets with front driveways. The 36 hours is too long, not too short.
No. They're an eyesore, and if they take up their driveway with an RV or similar they're probably going to park their other vehicles on the street which could be really problematic in some communities.
No. They're unattractive and I had a neighbor that parked on driveway for months and their trailer was all I could see out my front living room window.
No. This can be very dangerous. Driver visibility should be taken into consideration first and foremost. There are people that leave their RV in front of their home, which makes it even worse. Our family is absolutely unsupportive of this consideration!!
No. This is a huge safety issue for sidewalk pedestrians and for drivers trying to see past them when pulling out of a driveway.
No. This is unsightly and restricts visibility and safety. Already, we have recreational vehicles on side of a lot which is a corner lots, and NO visibility for drivers or pedestrians.
No. This will just mean more vehicles parked in the street, which is already a safety issue for neighbourhoods with kids.
No. This would cause serious safety concerns as it would block visibility when backing out of a driveway, both for pedestrians and vehicles. It also blocks general views. It would reduce visibility of doorways, allowing thieves more time to break into homes. No one wants to look

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

out their living room window and see a trailer or a boat. Determining whether an RV is unsightly or not is too subjective to enforce.
No. Too many residents in my neighborhood park their cars on the street instead of their garage or driveway. An RV parked on a driveway blocks the use of a garage and the ability to park a car there. Also, an RV on the driveway forces the owner to use the street to park their regular vehicles. Allowing RVs to be parked on the driveway further reduces available street parking for visitors or guests
No. Trailer, RV's, boats, utility trailers etc. adds to the visual clutter and trashy feeling of a neighborhood. For example, have a look at Langdon ...new neighborhoods, nice homes cluttered with dozens and dozens of trailers, boats, etc. There would be more opportunity for places to hide and prowl for thieves.
No. Ugly and unnecessary
No. Unsightly, unsafe visibility and an unhitched RV trailer is a potential safety issue. It is already impossible to get bylaws enforced for many issues. This just opens up RV owners to push the limits and leave their RVs on driveways longer than than seasonal, sticking out over roads and driveways with no way for neighbours to get bylaw to enforce properly. Ie, give people an inch and they will take a mile.
No. We can barely enforce the bylaws around these vehicles as it is.
No. We currently don't allow this in our community. It impacts the ascetics of the neighbourhood, and also potentially pushes more vehicles onto the street for parking as driveway/parking spaces are occupied by RVs.
No. We have a short season where Calgarians can enjoy the beauty of our outdoor neighborhoods, without the obstructions of RV's dotting our communities. The city needs to enforce the current bylaw. There are already plenty of infractions when it comes to RV's.
No. We live in a culdesac and a good section of our front view includes the neighbours driveway. This would impact my enjoyment of my front yard. I also think people would tend to extend the bylaw to onstreet parking in front of their house which would be even worse for us, as - again because we are on a culdesac - that would be directly in front of our house. People would interpret the bylaw liberally to include all parking around their place.
NO. What benefit is "sense of security" Are you kidding!!!!??? I don't want our neighborhood become a trailer park. You should see Airdrie. RV's all over the place. If you can afford a RV then You can afford to park it offsite and let neighbors enjoy there private residence. The city let developers build narrow streets and condensed housing and you want RV's which are HUGH nowadays to litter the streets?
No. When I look out my front window I should not have to stare at an RV. Unsightly and it affects my quality of life. I do not want to stare at an RV when I look out my front window. If you can afford an RV, you should be able to pay for parking it elsewhere. I did when I owned one years ago.
No. Why clutter the front streets in the summer when pedestrians are out enjoying the city. They block views and are a safety hazard for children.
No. You don't enforce the existing rule. We pretty much already have seasonal parking. By moving to seasonal parking, you won't enforce that either, making it year-round parking.
no. 1. safety risks due to reduction in visibility 2. RVs & other large vehicles often block sidewalks when parked in front driveways which creates hazards



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>No. 36 hours is sufficient. If you don't have different to keep your RV (or similar), that is something that you need to consider before purchasing one. I don't want a city with RVs littering the street and making it look like a dump.</p>
<p>No. Absolutely not The rvs parked I. Driveways are an eyesore and quite frankly degrad the neighborhood</p>
<p>No. An RV is unsightly and blocks my view to almost half of my street and inhibits sight lines for street traffic when I back out of my driveway and when cyclists use the road. I do not want to have to determine what good condition of an RV looks like and owners will claim theirs is not unsightly. Don't leave the monitoring of this up to neighbours who may think any RV is unsightly. The proposal to extend the time an RV can be parked in a front driveway from 36 hours to seasonal is a big leap. If RV owners need more time, extend it to 48 hours.</p>
<p>No. Blocks traffic site for leaving driveway</p>
<p>No. Community eye sore</p>
<p>No. How do you define what is seasonal? Winter camping, etc. How do you define unsightly? I think that an off-roading truck-trailer loaded with muddy quads is 'unsightly'. I'm sure that their owners think that they look like a great weekend.</p>
<p>No. obstructed sightlines and safety concerns.</p>
<p>No. Obstruction, Safetly, eye-sore, unsafe for children's playing/walking/biking.</p>
<p>No. They are an eye sore most of the time. I have also witnessed people using their RV (having people sleep in them) on their driveway and making parties on their driveway and front lawn. Some RVs leak fluids, have electric cords laying around...</p>
<p>No. They are an eye sore. They would block the view of the street from neighbours drive ways and potentially create a dangerous situation for cars backing out of neighbouring drive ways and kids playing in the yard. It could block traffic from seeing potential hazards</p>
<p>No. They block sun from lawns and front landscaping. They are unsightly and affect property values. There is nothing stopping people from living in them. Can create dark, blocked it areas. All the impacts you noted above</p>
<p>No. Unsightly, I don't want to be looking at someone's ugly, old RV all year round. Makes residential streets busier. Parking is already a problem in some areas, if a rv is taking</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

up a parking spot on a driveway and blocking a garage spot there will be less residential parking.
<p>No.</p> <p>-We have minimal parking on our street and no back alleys, most homes are single car driveways. The more RV's on driveways, the less parking we will have on the street.</p> <p>-Can't see past the driveways if rv's are more than a tent trailer, we will be blocked in if neighbours on both sides have rv's on their driveway.</p> <p>-Summer is when we enjoy our yards and neighbours yards so view will be obstructed. We enjoy the sunshine in the front yard as the front is West facing.</p> <p>-Backg out of my driveway can be hazardous if a person is riding their bike or running, I don't see them initially when backing out due to an RV on the driveway, takes a second for them to be behind me.</p>
<p>No.</p> <p>We have plenty of cars parked in the neighborhood that belong to residents, such that there is little to no room for additional vehicles- particularly large ones. I'm concerned about congestion, as well as safety for our children that play outside.</p> <p>In addition, a lot of the vehicles are also an eyesore.</p>
<p>no. 1.road safety, 2.sightline hazards 3. ugly</p> <p>4. reduces already low available vehicle parking</p>
No. 36 hours is enough.
<p>No. 36 hours is fine</p> <p>Maybe offer a 311 or calgary parking option to extend a stay up to 72 hours up to 3 times a year.</p> <p>In the end staying long time implies other activities such as a long term stay.</p> <p>3 times gives an extra time to summarize an rv and winterize an rv or repair an rv.</p>
No. 36 hours is long enough
No. 36 hours is long enough to pack or unpack an RV before use.
No. 36 hours is more than enough, it is unsightly and people don't use only driveways, they use front lawns. Large RVs will obstruct views also. Why is cost effectiveness the City's concern? If one can afford an RV you should be able to afford storage. I don't want to look out my window and see a large trailer!
No. 36 hours is plenty of time to prepare for a trip. Long term storage of RVs on driveways can impact neighbours enjoyment of their property.
No. 36 hours is plenty to load & unload. We have one that parks down the street & the hitch hangs over the sidewalk. Kids have been injured by it. RV's are often in driveways, so cars are parked on street limiting parking. They can block a normally sunny yard to now be in shade. Cars backing out of driveways where RV's are parked can't see cars, but especially pedestrians & it becomes a huge safety concern. If you can afford an RV, you can afford to store it. 36 hours is Ample time.
<p>No. 36 hours is plenty. They block the view for pedestrians wishing to cross roads safely.</p> <p>They often pose hazards as they can have hoses or wires running to them across sidewalks.</p> <p>They take up valuable parking space. Pose additional risk to cyclists who have to go further into the lane to pass.</p>
No. 48 hours should be sufficient to load / unload. RVs block sunlight & view during the nicest season when people are outside and are not attractive



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. A number of neighbours near our house park their RV's for the 36 hours and I am ok with that. I would not be ok with more than 36 hours as it would change the look of our street and limit the available parking on the side of the streets
No. A season is too long. It should only be temporary for a residential road - like not for long term storage
No. A tenant from a neighboring rental property decided to park a Motor Home in the front driveway. Due to its height it completely obscured my view when leaving my home. Perhaps more troubling is how I felt completely cut off from neighbors on the other side of the rental as I could no longer see them when they were in their front yards. Due to their size RV's left in a front driveway effectively act like a seven foot wall that isolates neighbors from one another, effectively preventing spontaneous interactions. There is also the obvious safety aspect in that when I pulled out from my home I could not see oncoming traffic in the direction of the RV as it totally obstructed my view.
No. About half of the houses on my street have driveways. If they used their driveway for their RVs, there would be enough space for people to park on the street. It's already tough to find a spot. If we ever have guests, they have to park a block away.
Many houses in Calgary are built without onsite parking. Before Calgary allows people to park anything but personal/work vehicles on the limited driveways space, you guys need to change zoning so onsite parking is mandatory for all new builds. Street parking is a mess.
No. Absolutely not. The neighbourhood would look like a campground if this was allowed.
No. Absolutely not. There is not enough parking as it is. No one uses their driveways, garages or parking pad as it is. People already block driveways and alleys. I can not see around a car blocking my driveway let alone an RV. If you can afford an RV you can afford to store it. Otherwise don't buy an RV. New communities don't have enough lot width to park anything on the road without blocking access to something. You have forced more density without proper parking as it is. We are a car city!
No. Aesthetics and line of sight. An RV is a luxury item that owners should be responsible for budgeting in storage when deciding to acquire one.
No. Aesthetics and obstructed sight lines can be a problem in residential neighborhoods.
NO. Affect visibility, safety, green space and doesn't look esthetic.
No. All are "unsightly" ( how would you even enforce that? What criteria? Way too vague you would never charge anyone). They also are a safety hazard on the street as they are so wide and hard to see around. Usually an electrical cord running across the sidewalk as well. Why would someone need it on their street more than 36 hrs?
No. All of the reasons for the current limit apply. People would live in them. If i wanted to live in a trailer park i would.
NO. ALL REC VEHICLES SHOULD BE STORED OFFSITE. THEY ARE A SAFETY HAZARD FOR DRIVERS AND CHILDREN PLAYING. RV OWNERS SHOULD BUDGET FOR OFFSITE STORAGE. THIS BURDEN SHOULD NOT BE PUT ON TAXPAYERS
No. All the issues identified for not allowing long term parking of RVs in residential areas remain. They are ugly, and restrict sight lines which can be a safety issue at intersections. They should not be allowed to be parked for long periods of time
No. Alley or back yard only. Street parking is already such an issue. Unsightly, unsafe and obstructs view. 36 hours is plenty to load and unload.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Allowing season front driveway parking will further exacerbate the lack of street parking that currently exists in many Calgary neighbourhoods. Additionally, it detracts from the aesthetics of a community to have large recreational vehicles parked for prolonged periods of time in front of homes.
No. Already people are parking too many vehicles in front of their house.
No. Already too many RVs parking illegally. There is no effective enforcement.
No. Already we have so many trailers in our community and they cause such a hazard when driving or being a pedestrian. If we are willing to change the residential speed limit to 40 (which we did) why would we then allow a much bigger more dangerous law to pass? On top of that, parking is already a massive issue in every community I have ever been to. If we allow people to park their trailers on the driveways we now have way more cars to find parking that won't be able to.
No. An increase of time may be in the best interest of the citizens and city but a full allowance will: 1. Hinder people from parking in front of their residence, reduce visibility m.
No. An RV is not supposed to be parked in a residential area. It takes up a lot of parking space on the street, doesn't look good and gas safety issues too.
No. An RV is too intrusive to be set up for extended periods of time in residential areas.
No. An RV parked on the front driveway or in the alley is not a good use of the space. They are an eyesore and a hazard.
No. And who will determine which RV's are unsightly? You will be creating more work for our already busy bylaw officers as many will ignore the seasonal dates. Once again, we're catering to the few.
No. As a neighbor I don't want to have to look at someone's RV that never moves/is under constant repairs and depending on size of driveway multiple RVs. There are options available to store off site; while as a neighbor you can't ensure your neighbor won't have RV stored for long periods/ that it will not harm your home appeal. It's RV owners choice to own RV, while neighbor has no choice. concern of not being able to see when driving out of driveway if RV permanently parked. If someone parks RV in driveway potential for less on street parking as currently use driveway and could move to on street in order to store RV on driveway. Highly not in favor and think should be voted against.
No. As earlier stated, they take up significant amounts of room and are often left hanging over sidewalks or adding to parking congestion. This would reduce safety and accessibility.
No. As it currently stands, parking on our street is very limited and people are always parking within inches of driveways because the space between driveways is so small (not enough to leave the legal amount from someone's driveway. I already have an obstructed view when I back out because of this. Seasonal parking will only make it worse.
no. as it stands in current subdivisions there isn't sufficient space to support home storage of rv's. We already suffer from lack of street parking, inadequate sight lines and too often persons are unaware of bylaw requirements for parking ,let alone rv parking.
No. As someone who has experienced obstructed sightlines I know how unsafe it is. Driveways are not meant to store large units/vehicles.
No. Ascetically it is not great; but more importantly it is a huge safety hazard. It makes it difficult to see oncoming traffic when pulling out of a driveway neighboring an RV. Also, it poses a risk for pedestrian's making it difficult for them to see oncoming traffic, and difficult for those in vehicles to see pedestrians, especially children. Additionally, when RVs extend past the driveway and block the sidewalk it is a mobility issue (it is hard to maneuver a stroller onto the road to get around it, and a safety risk to have to do so.)





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Backing out of driveway is tough enough without additional obstructions. Safety is my major concern. Also, these vehicles look awful in the neighbourhood. It won't be long that theft from these vehicles becomes the next issue.
No. Ban needs to be clear-cut. ' Temporary' limited to hours or days, not weeks or months. Can lead to people living in RVs (as rental accommodation) if allowed to be parked long term (seasonally).
No. Because folks use the driveways for parking their rvs they would then be seeking parking somewhere else on the street causing issues for parking. I have also seen people living in them for days at a time with cables and water lines extending from the home causing safety concerns for fire and emergency access.
No. Because I live in Legacy and we have zero property lines, which means the RV would be very close to our house. They will obstruct our view. And who is going to monitor the condition of the RV's if they are old and unsightly?
No. Because I Pau way too much money to live where I do just for my neighborhood to look like a trailer park.
No. Because in my ward the RV's frequently block the sidewalk and give me no option but to walk on the street, This is considerable dangerous for myself and people using the sidewalk. Despite reporting this to the Bylaw office they rarely seem to bother to come out and resolve this extremely dangerous and life threatening situation.
No. Because in our community the driveway is to short to accommodate this and they end up obstructing the side walk. As someone who walks with a dog, baby and toddler daily this is frustrating. And when parked on the street it makes our already narrow roads more cumbersome.
No. Because it looks awfull and would further congest street parking.
No. Because it's an eye sore for the neighborhood.
No. Because most people will leave that vehicle there as it is costly to store it. Furthermore, most people do not park in their garage, and the presence of an RV there, take away a parking spot, causing more vehicles in the public street. The presence of more vehicles in the street is challenging for narrow-street areas wherein having vehicles are both side results in a one-way traffic versus the normal two way.
Lastly, RV storage lots exist for a reason: to store large vehicles that would otherwise be seen as blights to a community for the number of them present.
No. Because parking in neighborhoods is hard enough, residents will get angry with you, threaten you, leave notes for parking in front of their house so having seasonal vehicles also parked will make it much more difficult to park.
No. Because people push the boundaries and disrespect basic by laws etc
No. Because people will always take advantage and it will cause tension between neighbors. There are plenty of rv storage sites.
No. Because people will park a 30' trailer and back it onto their front lawn and it looks terrible.
No. Because some idiot will end up renting it out.
No. Because spring to fall is when adults and kids are outdoors riding bikes, walking and general play. These vehicles have potential to impeded sights exposing people to injury and accidents.
No. Because the neighbors did not sign up to own an rv and deserve to not have it disturbing them too. I hope this survey is also done for people who rent out their legal basement suites and allow their tenants to inconvenience other home owners. Neighbors have rights too



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Because these vehicles will then become permanent living facilities without the proper safety and security requirements.
No. Because they will be parking entirely off season
NO. because when people park the RV on the driveway. They are now parking all their other vehicles on the street! Our street is brutal in the summer. No one is parking their regular car on the driveway or in their garage because they used it for their RV. Can't drive down the road cause everyone has their car parked in front.
No. Big vehicles and boats block the vision of other residents. Also at night they provide cover for prowlers
No. Blocked sight lines.
No. Blocked sightlines will be an absolute hazard. It can be a struggle already with how tall new trucks are, allowing a motorhome to be parked on a driveway all spring and summer will be even worse. The aesthetics of a neighborhood will be negatively affected. Lots of families own RVs and seeing dozens of them towering over the street will look horrendous. If you can afford an RV, you can afford proper storage.
No. Blocking view and too many parked vehicles on the street due to driveway being used up by rv
No. Blocking view of children and pets. Safety and also trashy looking
No. Blocks line of vision. Safety hazard to pedestrians. Unsightly. Inconsiderate to neighbours. I support short term 36 hrs but not longer.
No. Blocks roadway visibility and looks terrible
No. Blocks sightline, often on road, blocks road and intersection, unsightly
No. Blocks view to other yards and is unsightly. Allows more areas for criminal and prowlers to hide behind /break and enter.
No. Blocks view. Looks terrible and often obstructs the sidewalks. Ownership of huge vehicles comes with extra costs which includes having to pay to store it somewhere.
No. Blocks views of street, attracts thieves to the area, and can see people housed in them improperly.
No. Both neighbours park their rvs for long periods of time and I can't see the road when backing out of the driveway which has almost caused me getting hit many times.
No. Bylaw is not enforced currently on the 36 hour restriction so if people are allowed to keep them there for months. They will take advantage and be there all the time. Eye sore.
No. Calgary parking is already limited. Vehicles used for work should always be the priority. Large motor homes are unsafe to drive on many small residential streets. Calgary already made parking unaffordable for people in condos, allowing this would be a slap in the face to those people.
No. Can't see the kids playing/riding bikes on the street. When the view is obstructed, kids get hit by cars. Too many times there have been close calls because a driver is whipping around a corner and doesn't see kids on their bikes because of big vehicles.
No. Causes safety, esthetic, and obstructions within our community already, so would not support extending timeframe.
No. City didn't design our roads for basic living as it is now. Parking is already difficult. No
No. City of Calgary would not be able to manage poor condition and unsightly trailers. Residential areas will become permanent trailer storage lots similar to what you see in RV storage lots. Essentially, it costs zero dollars to park on my lot for 6 months, whether or not the trailer ever leaves the lot. Why pay for something that I can do for free. Neighbours end up with large trailers blocking sunshine, views, etc. 36 hours is more than reasonable.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Clutter unsafe and not needed.
No. Communities will start to look like a camping site. More cars will be parked on streets. Let's keep our communities clean and proper.
No. Compromised safety due to reduced visibility. Also, there is sufficient offsite RV storage available.
No. Concerned with obstruction of sight/views and with safety. I think it would be difficult to police people living in said vehicles and the condition of the vehicles. "Unsightly" is subjective.
No. Convenience should not be considered, leisure activities require effort.
No. Current city policy covers all bases. No need to be altered. RVs obscure drivers & pedestrians views. Parking them on driveways are hazardous to pedestrians particularly children walking past RVs when sight lines are restricted or when vehicles are being moved. A mish mash of boats, campers, including truck mounted tent trailers, large bus sized RVs are an eyesore in residential areas and have the potential to devalue property. Parking at the home increases theft/kerb crawling
No. Current restrictions are sufficient with 36 hr limit reasonable to clean and move the RV. Very unsightly to neighbours living next door/across the street. Please do not change the current bylaw.
No. Currently there are many homeless people living in their RVs in commercial areas, they are parked there 24/7. If residential areas are opened up unconditionally, even if it is only spring to fall, they will move into residential areas. Parking is an issue in many areas of Calgary and this will only exasperate the problem.
No. Decreases availability of parking. Obstructs views (possible safety issues with small children walking on street).
NO. Definitely obstructed sightlines is a BIG deal. Not all RV owners are created equal! I don't know how many times just a vehicle parked over the city sidewalk is done, too many!!
NO. Density of communities is constantly increasing. People already stretch to definition of driveway or parking place to include the street in front of their home, and adjacent streets. Many homes in our neighbourhood already have double care driveways, but the length does not accommodate a trailer without encroaching on the sidewalk. The street frontage available is not enough to accommodate RV parking. Such parking often blocks sight of children playing near the road.
No. Despite what others say in these different areas, RV's cause a safety hazard from poor line of sight. In addition, they are an eye sore.
No. Disappointed survey only allows for 700 spaces, as it does not allow those NOT in favor to voice their opinion. It only takes 3 spaces to say YES in support. Why should non-RV owners be subjected to unnecessary change so it is "more convenient" for RV owners, which is a luxury that many Calgarians don't have or can even afford. Going from 36 hrs to 6-8 mths is extreme & only benefits RV owners & will only exacerbate current frustrations of non-RV owners having RVs for that length of time in our areas. Surely, there is a better solution that satisfies all parties and not just RV owners? The proposed change is a win for RVs Owners/the City (eliminates complaints) & not for anyone else.
No. Driveways should be for cars only.
No. Enforcement is already not occurring for people parking rv's on the street and only happens when neighbours report neighbours. This is not a good way to build community. Children playing in the spring and summer months will not be as visible resulting in a possible



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

catastrophic impact on children if driveway views are impeded by big RV's. Fluids leaking out of RV's is not always reported.
No. Esthetically RVs are an eyesore. There have been break ins, and homeless people living in RVs in my neighbourhood. Allowing more RVs to park in the neighbourhood will increase these instances.
No. Esthetics and obstructed sightlines.
No. Esthetics and safety
No. Esthetics are a concern. People visiting and living in the RVs on the property could also be a concern. Parking on the street could be impacted if the front driveway is occupied by recreational vehicles. The biggest worry would be to have RVs or additional parked on my street all the time.
No. Esthetics.
No. Even during the 36 hour period people are abusing use of it. Power cords on sidewalks . Junk beside the RVS being loaded or unloaded. They will be parked on roads and on to sidewalks . If anything calgary needs to get tougher with parking rules.
No. Even if they're on private property I find them large and unsightly. Having them on driveways all summer would be an annoyance and impact the beauty of the community. Even if they aren't encroaching on public sidewalks, blocking the sightlines as children play outside could be a danger.
No. Even with the current laws, we have had many issues with our neighbour as a result of RV parking. Next door, they park multiple RV vehicles on their long, front facing driveway. Not only does it block the ability to see oncoming pedestrians and traffic when we back out of our neighbouring driveway, but this neighbour also "fixes" them up during winter and sells them. We ended up having a rotation of RVs throughout the winter. This also encouraged use of loud tools while fixing them up. Once, a group experiencing homelessness started to store things in it, and make a scene while obviously high on drugs. We have young children who were feeling unsafe as this is quite unusual for the area.
No. Everyone would be parked on the streets which are too narrow for cars to pass if there is parking on both sides. I'm concerned about children in bikes and people backing into their driveway not being able to see them. We have enough problems with people parking 2 cars on a driveway that holds one car and the cars back end sticks out.
No. Eye sores to property. interferes with traffic and can be hard to view small children or animals
No. Eyesore in neighbourhood. We have experienced noise opposite our house as large RV has been left idling for over 90 minutes late on a summer evening, past 10pm noise bylaw. It was parked over 2 weeks and did this several times till I called bylaw to get it stored and the noise/fume pollution stopped.
No. Eyesore, dangerous.
No. Eyesore, obstructs sight lines, kids pop out from them and can't be seen until it's too late.
No. Eyesore, people will live in them. I didn't pay \$500 000 for my house to look down my street and see a rv park. If I wanted to live in a rv park I would do so outside the city, off in the trees
No. Eyesore.
No. Eyesore.
No. Eyesore. Obstructs my view to the cul de sac from my home. Creates barriers for thieves to do their nefarious activities that neighbors may normally be able to see if this large instructions weren't there.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Eyesore. Take parking space away from our homes.
No. Eyesores. Who will police if the RV is unsightly, and at what cost? Who will determine if RV is unsightly.
No. Far too long. Any definition of "seasonal" would be too subjective and likely to be abused. Many motor homes are operational in all 4 seasons. The busiest season is likely to be summer when most people are out and about enjoying their neighbourhoods and making most of their investments in improving their properties (landscaping, painting, fence repair etc) the objectives of which would be negated by the eyesores of these RV units
NO. Fire Hazzard, Safety Hazard any a huge eye sore.
No. Firstly, the security issue; lack of visibility of the neighborhood. Secondly, I've had children run out of driveways (into the street) from behind rv trailers, same with vehicles backing out of driveways with rv trailers parked on one side. Might as well have a ten foot wall erected for the amount of sight line available. Thirdly; it simply ruins the aesthetic of the neighborhood. I lived in Edmonton, I remember a dozen rv's parked in driveways throughout the neighborhoods.
No. For the above reasons.
No. For the exact reasons listed above. If it is on a parking pad in someones FENCED back yard, it might be different, but absolutely not out front or on the road.
No. For the reasons above + some of my neighbours ignore seasonal and don't move vehicles for years
No. For the reasons you mention above. Mainly safety - kids running onto the street from beside TVs, etc.
No. For the same reasons as item 3. For example, what is the definition of, "poor condition". Any definition would likely have an element of unenforceable subjectivity and thus influence needless confrontation.
No. For the same reasons listed above. It looks tacky and junky. It obscures views. It's already cramped with cars in city streets.
No. For the same reasons noted above. It's unsafe, bulky, ugly street appeal, inequality (those that can't afford one)
No. For the same reasons you outline above. The benefits you mention above only benefit the RV owner at the expense of the community. If your RV is not convenient, cost effective or secure then don't own one.
No. Front driveway and/or street parking for RV type vehicles leads to dangerous conditions for cars and pedestrians. Many RVs are too large for the driveway so RV owners can end up blocking sidewalks. RV vehicles are often old and in poor condition and are unsightly.
No. Front driveway parking will always hamper sight lines and block light. I used to live in Winnipeg and RV parking ruins the esthetics of the neighbourhood. Also, you will increase enforcement issues around whether or not the RV is unsightly or blocks sight lines. You will be hard pressed to find a homeowner in a front driveway community to support this change unless they are an RV owner.
No. Front driveways are fairly rare in central Calgary and the addition of an RV to these driveways would be very unsightly. The 36 hr rule could be extended by 1-2 more days but parking an RV all summer would be very unpleasant for neighbours.
No. Half the RV's are in poor condition, I'll maintained, or result result in deplorable home conditions
No. Hard to monitor, subject to interpretation.



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Having just moved for a place where they did that, it's a slippery slope. While it's convenient it doesn't look good and the vehicles are often lived in when allowed to park on driveways. It also impacts walking in neighbourhoods because people say they are parking in their driveway but 9 out of 10 times they end up being on the sidewalk forcing pedestrians to walk on the road. Aesthetically, front way driveway parking does not look good and it's hard to see on the road. I fear for my kids safety with large vehicles in the neighbourhood.
No. Having lived in communities where RV's lived motionless day after day, as nothing but eyesores - cluttering up driveways, I strongly oppose them.
No. Having RV's parked on front driveways downgrades the esthetics of a neighborhood. 36 hours should be plenty of time to load/unload, clean or perform maintenance on a RV.
No. High risk of hitting pedestrians because of obstructed views of both pedestrians and drivers. Sharp edges are usually left over the sidewalk posing more risks.
No. Homeowners have known the bylaws before purchasing so keep it as is. There are always going to be some who abuse the system and will lead to more neighbor conflict
No. Honestly, I think it would be too hard to manage people not following the more open ended rules and to investigate safety concerns. Safety should be top priority. Sightlines and safety to me are the big ones.
NO. Houses in Calgary are too close each other, the RV's are huge tall vehicles, blocking the neighbours sight to safely get in and out from their driveways. They are eyesores to the community appealing. For me 3 days are ok and enough for them have it loaded for the use, longer than 5 days will become a huge problem for the neighbours around.
No. Housing is too dense in Calgary's new developments to support this. Even some of the older suburbs aren't designed to withstand it either. There's no room for it.
No. I am concerned with safety issues related to storing of cooking fuels and other materials. Safety related to driving sight lines and pedestrian safety are also included in my concerns.
No. I agree with the reasons that the current rules apply
No. I already see a lot of trailers parked in peoples driveways that never move. Most of them have junk under them.
No. I am the only one with any parking space and already everyone uses the area in front of my house and my guests can't park
No. I believe having RV storage is part of the cost of owning an RV. I shouldn't have to live next to one if I choose not to own one. Storage of an RV on any residential property should not be allowed. Seasonal or not.
No. I believe it is view obstructing. Also you then run the risk of people staying in the rvs for guests or short term rentals. Rvs can be targeted for thefts and break and enters which may draw that into the community. This will also create a further parking shortage in communities with limited street parking.
No. I believe RV parking in residential areas is unsafe due to reduced sight lines and increased "hiding places". It also attracts burglars. I believe it to be safer all-around to have these vehicles stored on designated storage lots with security surveillance. People who own such vehicles surely would not have a financial barrier to such storage service.
No. I believe that it affects the overall aesthetics of the neighbourhood. I do think the cost of RV storage is high, but that is also part of the cost of ownership for a luxury item.
No. I believe the impacts you listed above outweigh the benefits listed. Also, the benefits are for one family (the RV owner) while the impacts affect literally everybody. Other recent





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

changes in the city seem to emphasize safety above all else - this would be a step backwards.
No. I can barely see to back out of my driveway with my neighbor parking their trailer there.
No. I can easily get out of hand
No. I consider a residential neighbourhood as a community to live, not be a part time storage area. As a side bar, the City allowed rental units in this established area, as a result parking became a huge problem. I do appreciate the esthetic beautiful of my neighbours hard work in their yards, both summer and winter.
No. I definitely do not support this, for all the reasons you stated above: obstructed sightlines, safety, and aesthetics.
no. I did not move into a trailer court. most driveways are 20 ft or less so trailers would not be parked on the driveway . its already an eye sore with old cars, recycling containers etc. on or in front of houses
No. I didn't pay a million dollars to live in a trailer park.
No. I do not support seasonal front drive way parking for RVs or other camping vehicles. Having an RV, trailer, tent trailer encourages people to live in them. Not to mention they can block views of the road for motorists and pedestrians
No. I do not support greater than 36 to 48 hour front driveway parking of such vehicles. I agree with the cited known impacts but would like to emphasize the security issue that large vehicles present. They can inhibit views when you are driving re corners and driveways but they can also block the sightline down your street inhibiting neighbourhood watch initiatives. When speaking of benefits, I agree that convenience for that vehicle owner is very definitely enhanced but perhaps at the inconvenience of others. The owner's costs would be reduced but at the expense of the business that houses and offers security for such vehicles. I am opposed to seasonal parking.
NO. I do not support increasing the park time to greater than 36 hours. People already leave them longer than that with No city enforcement. Poor condition is hard to define and again, there will be no enforcement. If people want to own a trailer, they need to pay for storage and pay that cost. People leave their trucks/SUV's with trailers hooked up on the street for days with no enforcement or consequences. This takes up parking, decreases visibility.
No. I do not think a residential driveway is the appropriate place to store these type of vehicles. It degrades the community and creates a commercial sense of community.
No. I don't even think an RV would fit on the average sized driveway on our street.
No. I don't think it would make the neighbourhood look or feel safe and beautiful with RV parking in the front. Owners of RVs should factor in the cost of storage and parking when purchasing an RV.
No. I don't want my front street looking like a trailer park. I like the bylaw the way it is. Thank you. If people want these toys, store them at storage facilities outside the city. Pick your camper up on your way out of town. Why should I have to look at my neighbours idea of a good time? I like the current bylaw. Please DO NOT CHANGE IT.
No. I don't want to look at travel trailers all summer. They are ugly.
No. I don't want to see my street lined with RV's all summer.
No. I don't have an issue with "parking", but the problem with this is that it opens the door to people operating and living in the RV as well. It would be almost impossible to enforce



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

"parking" vs "living", and this is already abused with the current bylaws. You could easily end up with many of these parked on a driveway for months and rented out on VRBO/Airbnb.
No. I don't support the extent of the time. I have a house in the corner of my block, that leaves the RV all the time. I didn't know this bylaw exists. In that corner, the RV obstruct the sight for that curve, and it has been a couple of time very close to having an accident due to this RV
No. I don't want my view obstructed by my neighbour's motorhome every summer. It's nearly as tall as our house and instead of sunsets we would be staring at the side of a bus.
No. I don't want to look at my neighbors trailer all summer
No. I don't want to see any of these RVs parked on the driveway. We have parking lots for this exact purpose. I believe people will take advantage and park there all the time.
No. I don't want to see my neighbour's 1972 RV that is very unsightly every day.
No. I envision neighbours on each side with a tall Rv that I can not see over or through. On my street cars park right up to and often slightly over my driveway. I live on a busy street. I have zero visibility as myself or my family which includes new drivers is forced to back out Blind into oncoming traffic.
No. I feel like it takes away from the ambiance of the neighborhood. I think it provides hiding for vandalism and makes it hazardous for drivers to see pedestrians and children playing. Not to mention very unsightly.
No. I feel like there are already enough vehicles on the streets and my neighborhood has gone from feeling peaceful to a Walmart parking lot. Maybe 48 hours would be enough?
No. I feel safety is more important than convenience. Seasonal parking is majority of the summer time when kids are out of school and playing outside. It doesn't make sense to increase obstructions and possibly increase the danger for kids to be able to play outside.
No. I find it really affects the aesthetics of the neighborhood. It's just ugly to have RVs in all the driveways. There is very high RV ownership in Calgary and I feel that if you allow seasonal RV parking then there will be numerous rvs parked in driveways in the city.
No. I find the parking of RV's on front driveways diminishes the esthetics of the neighborhood; irrespective of the condition of the RV. It can also be a safety hazard for pedestrians as parking RVs on front driveways can limit visibility of both the driver and the pedestrian.
No. I have a disabled daughter and often in the summer these vehicles block the sidewalk either because they have been poorly parked or the stairs to their door are on the sidewalk. I know I could file a complaint, but it hardly seems worth it when I know they will be gone the next day. But if the time allowed is extended accessibility is a huge factor for me.
No. I have a neighbor who breaks the current law all the time and it's so hard to see when turning on to a busy street and is always running cords across the public sidewalk
No. I have a neighbor who parks 3 RVs on a regular rotation on his driveway and in front of his house. They routinely create blind spots at the corner where kids play. Huge hazard.
No. I have a relative in Leduc and they block the drivers vision for oncoming traffic and they are unsightly.
No. I have seen this used extensively in BC and it is dangerous for other vehicles, pedestrians and children (blocked sight lines). Besides it esthetically detracts from neighbourhood and I would be very upset to see my neighbourhood as an RV parking lot.
No. I have witnessed these RVs being used as additional accommodation in the driveways. This increases useage on the infrastructure (electricity and water) and in most cases those using the RV as accommodation, don't pay taxes. Additionally having RVs parked in front driveways are unsightly and decrease other property owners' property value.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I like the cleaner look of our communities as is. Some areas already look a bit cluttered without allowing RVs for longer than 36 hours. Allowing RVs all season would cause our communities to become overcluttered and unsightly. Not to mention, create more blind corners and sight obstructions when backing out of driveways, which becomes a major safety issue. I DO NOT support this.
No. I live in a crescent with very little parking to begin with. Many of my neighbors have RVs so if they are on their driveway, their personal vehicles will occupy the limited street parking we have. (We do not have alleys so parking in the backyards is not an option for most here). Also, when there are multiple houses in a row, it's an eye sore.
No. I live in a cul de sac and the opening and road is narrow enough as it is. The sidewalk is round so they can't be parked properly. Trailers and campers take up too much space and leave no room for the cars that would like to park. It obstructs view for children playing on the road too.
No. I live in a cul de sac and zero parking to begin with my drive way touching my neighbours.
No. I live in a cul de sac. There already isn't enough street parking. If people park their RVs on their driveway then they would park on the street. Also, it would it would reduce my sightlines when backing out of my driveway.
No. I live in a cul-de sac and there are 3 families that have RV's. One is huge and the impact to the cul de sac with respect to space to move and vision is already impacted. Cannot imagine allowing them to keep it there all summer. Plus, the extra king RV stays on the road in front of their house for the 36 hours as it is too big to fit on the driveway.
No. I live in a town house and RV parking on front driveways reduces the visibility of the front of my home. The driveways are not long enough and large vehicles protrude beyond the drive.
No. I live in an older neighborhood that has narrow front driveways and I feel that motorhomes, trailers, boats, should not be parked in front of the set back in these neighborhoods.
No. I live in my community because they are not allowed. If we allow it, it will clutter and I will lose the pride if ownership I have of my area and neighbors.
No. I live on a pie shaped lot in a cul de sac and a trailer would significantly obstruct our view of the street as the neighbors driveway angles across the front of our house. This is not only an aesthetic issue but it's also a safety issue as we could not see out into a lot of our street to keep an eye on things like our cars on the street. It's also a community problem sue as we mainly have window open to waive and interact with our neighbors throughout the day.
No. I live on a street where household vehicle parking is an issue . Families have more vehicles than space provided for parking. Allowing trailers to remain on driveways would create a bigger parking concern. The other issue is visibility large trailers block views when driving. I'm concerned about the state of the trailers allowed to be on driveways. Some trailers are not maintained as well as others and would they be storing unused trailers to avoid storage fees . I worry about this leading to other changes in bylaws for what is allowed . I use to own a trailer.
No. I think extending it to 72 hours would be more reasonable for their owners, but visibility is an issue and they often become derelict with time despite the bylaws stating otherwise. They also are often left on the street and reduce parking for other residents and visitors.
No. I think for safety and sight lines in a major urban center it is necessary to keep driveways clear of large RVs. Large RVs can also block your ability to look out your windows unobstructed, block sunlight to your front yard or windows, and are generally an eye sore.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. I think having consistent bylaws year round will be easier for residents to understand and bylaw to enforce. Additionally, I believe the safety impact is way more important for all citizens than the benefits that will only affect owners, not all citizens.
No. I think it is a safety issue and makes your block look junky. If you can't pack up your RV within 36 hours, you need to rethink how you pack.
No. I think it looks bad and blocks parking spots. There is already not enough parking on my street. Allowing RVs would be residents would have to walk further to get home. Visibility is also a concern. I have young kids & a car wouldn't be able to see them if an RV was parked and they were to accidentally walk onto the street. I also live by an elementary school - same thing about kids and visibility
No. I think it looks tacky, brings down the look of the neighborhood and can be a sight line hazard for pedestrians walking on the sidewalk where cars can pull out of the driveway
No. I think it will not be safe and esthetics
No. I think May to September is too long for people to have their RVs sitting in their driveways. Especially if they are big and long.
No. I think people should be able to park their RVs on their driveways for as long as they want.
No. I think people who decide to own an RV should be prepared to find appropriate storage for it Year round. If they can't store it in their driveway year round then they shouldn't be able to store it in the driveway for certain seasons. They should find or make appropriate parking.
No. I think short term it is okay but long term it is an eye sore and cheapens the look of a community.
No. I think the Bylaw should remain the same.
No. I think the current 36 hour window is reasonable and sufficient to convenience rv owners while not inviting residents to use RVs as guest homes and storage. If I want to live next to an RV storage lot I would, and I'm sure the land would be cheaper next to said storage lot for that very reason. Changing the bylaw invites abuse and makes definitions like "unsightly" & "disrepair" vague stand-in definitions that are harder to enforce and communicate.
No. I think the current time limit is fine. obstructed sight lines and safety would be my concerns.
No. I think there isn't room in our community for more and more vehicles. There's barely enough parking as it is. Also I feel this will increase the safety risk for children passing by these vehicles even in driveways
No. I think they are unsightly and can obstruct views of traffic.
No. I used to live in a new community with houses close together, dozens of driveways per block. If every 2nd or 3rd house had an RV in the driveway it would be not only unsightly but dangerous to the many kids who run up and down the sidewalks.
No. I would allow a short term parking (such as 3 days, I think current is only 24 hrs) There would be little street parking available, the sight lines are drastically reduced causing more possible accidents and it looks terrible to have a large unit parked on a driveway all summer.
No. I would have concerns about safety regarding obstruction of sight lines which would make backing out of a residential driveway/garage hazardous. I would also have concerns about the appearance of neighbourhood streets were RVs allowed to park for extended periods.
No. I would like the regulations about parking these vehicles stay the way that they are. I think it is unsightly (even if the vehicle is in good condition) to have them parked on the driveway from spring to fall. In addition, I think that these vehicles can block sun for people who have seasonal gardens and vegetable patches which could cause problems for neighbours. I think

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

having large vehicles on driveways can also create spaces for thieves and robbers to hide. I think if the vehicle was a shorter RV (e.g. tent trailers) it would be acceptable, but vehicles larger than a regular truck/van would be inappropriate on a driveway.
No. I would not support an extension to this or allowing it in the first place. We have a person on our street who leaves the RV on the street and on their driveway well over the time limit already and doesn't move it at all. Plus when it is sitting there, there are times the RV is on and just idling and has been late into the night too.
No. I would not support it. Often times trailers, boats etc are oversized and extend past the sidewalk which makes it unsafe for pedestrians and kids riding their bikes. (My son hit a ball hitch that he couldn't see when he was riding his bike on the sidewalk). The city doesn't have the resources to 'patrol' unsightly RVs trailers etc. It looks like a junkyard in summer having RVs and boats, trailers on driveways and on the street. We see people coming into town & living in them in summer.
No. I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. Perhaps a short extension last the 36 hrs would allow for additional time between camping weekends, etc - but I feel like full seasonal parking would lead to some taking advantage of the timelines and would extend to winter
No. I would not support seasonal front driveway parking. We live in a dense suburb with limited space between lots. A neighbour who has an RV blocks lines of sight to the end of our street making it difficult to see kids playing or cars coming up the street.
Also, how does one define "unsightly" ? My neighbours may love their RV, even though it looks horrendous.
No. I would not support this. Mostly because of it causing limited visibility/ obstructed sightlines. As well, adding more and more vehicles to the street, causing it to become unsightly and crowded (congested).
No. I would not want the light from my front windows blocked, by an inconsiderate neighbour, all summer.
no. i would prefer allowing front yard businesses.
No. I would prefer if it was limited just to summer months. Max of 4 months per year.
No. I would prefer the bylaw to stand as it is. Having a seasonal allowance does not avoid sight obstructions.
No. I would support extending the max time to 7 days. In my opinion, it's part of owning a boat or RV, and everybody knew the bylaws when they decided to buy them. 3 days is short, but more than 7 is excessive.
NO. I would support extending the period from 36 hours to 72 hours to allow sufficient time to pack / unpack before and after use.
No. I would support extending to 7 days. Not longer. It's unsightly, blocks views, etc.
No. I wouldn't want someone's giant 5th wheel blocking my view when trying to pull out of a street or back out of my driveway. Large vehicles (trucks and full size vans) already create this problem, allowing RVs would make it worse.
No. I'm worried the Seasonal unit will never be moved until I call bylaw. Also concerned about sight lines and age/old until looking bad
No. If I wanted to live in a trailer park I would not have purchased a house. Estetics, safety with pedestrians walking or not blocked properly and rolling down sloped driveway, view obstruction when backing out if your driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. If individuals can afford these trailers they should be prepared to cover the cost and time required to store them elsewhere. Their driveways should not be their summer storage option. Sight lines and safety on drive ways becomes an issue. We have plenty of storage options outside city to have them stored in summer and winter months.
No. If people are insecure about having their RV parked off their property, give it up or suck it up. In order to save a few bucks, having RVs in driveways makes neighbourhoods look like trailer parks. As far as inconvenience is concerned, what about the unsightliness and safety hazards the other residents are faced with. Those with RVs will have their relatives living in them for sure when they come to visit. Charge them a fee to do this and it might discourage the dumping of RVs into communities that could do without this imposition.
No. If RVs are allowed for the entire season people will begin camping in them, or leasing them out as short term rentals. That adds traffic, and RVs for long periods of time are unsightly. It also uses up dramatic amounts of street parking, because those with RVs would then park their other vehicles on the street.
No. If the guideline of 36 hours is followed there will be no need to have this issue monitored.
No. If there were to be exemptions based on model or size there would be challenges to enforcement that the city bylaw is not adequately resourced to handle.
No. If this was allowed people might allow their RVs to be occupied by families or friends for an extended period of time. Our neighbor had an RV parked in their front yard after their house was destroyed by a fire. This can be an exceptional circumstance however after the house was rebuilt they continued to park their RV and use it as a residence. It was unsightly and people were coming and going in-transiently.
No. If u own an RV you know you have to store it when not in use. Driveway is not for storage. If it is can I start using c cans on my driveway.
No. If we aren't allowing secondary suites in driveways from spring to summer then we should not allow what is essentially a moveable domicile. Also they look like trash.
No. If you allow R V,s then commercial vehicles should be allowed as well.
No. If you can afford an RV you can afford to find appropriate storage. People will rent out there trailers on driveways to make a bit of cash. For example renting it out on air bnb during stampede.
No. If you can afford an rv you need to be able to afford proper storage. They do not belong in residential areas other than a short period of time for loading/unloading.
No. If you have property and have a driveway, and your vehicle (RV by your definition) fits in that driveway, you should be able to park it there.
No. If you have the money to buy an RV you should make sure you have the money to store it. RVs can block sight lines and there are safety issues. It also further decreases street parking for residents and their visitors
No. If you own an RV you need to figure out your storage vs keeping it on a front drive way for 6 months. This is apart of owning an RV/trailer
No. If you own an Rv you should store in the backyard or on an outside lot.
No. If you own an RV, then you should have somewhere on your property to park it. The streets are very crowded already and allowing large vehicles to block street parking is just unfair. All detached homes have off street parking pads, and they should be used
No. I'm concerned that driveways would be rented out to store someone else's RV. Also, we have neighbours who use the driveway year-round so the 36 hr regulation is not being respected.



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Impeding sight lines with overly large vehicles parked up to the front property line is dangerous for kids especially who are walking and biking on the sidewalk, but also everyone else who walks or drives. Front yard/drive isn't for people living in RVs, which of course will happen, keep that to the back yard. Having RVs in the front also creates an unwelcoming feeling to the houses/neighbourhood which impacts the overall welcomeness of an area and the city as a whole, especially on foot.
No. In addition to the reasons above. As well, I would be concerned about people who are struggling to make ends meet using the rv as an extended shelter on a driveway.
No. In Airdrie they allowed it and it turned the neighbourhood into what I imagine gypsy hell to be.
No. In many cases, such as my home, this would considerably impede visibility of the adjacent street and make seeing oncoming traffic more difficult.
No. In most of those cities listed lot size is larger and residents can get the seasonal RV off the front driveway to the side or backyard. In Kincora, we have only front driveways these trailers are larger than the driveway and end up on the sidewalk or roadway. This is an hindrance for those walking and they cause views to obstructed.
NO. In my experience with these vehicles, people live in them on their driveway and as a result they are not keeping the area clear of garbage. Also it is a eye sort. They also block the line of sight to watch over neighbours for suspicious activity.
No. In my opinion the negatives; obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics, outweigh the "convenience." Small trailers might be ok, but large 5th wheels and motorhomes obstruct sightlines way too much. People who own RVs already have strategies in place to store their RVs. They are so expensive already they can pay to park them at storage facilities. And with more people working from home than ever, they should be able to figure it out when they go camping.
No. In new communities with small lots, parking an RV in the driveway would displace other vehicles that currently occupy the driveways. This would exacerbate an existing parking shortage. I would support doubling the current limit of 36 hours to 72 hours.
No. In our community, people do not follow the current RV time-limited parking bylaws already. We have experienced how RVs in driveways make the neighbourhood less safe for children and pedestrians. Sightlines are blocked and vehicles exiting driveways cannot see children on the sidewalk, bicyclists on the road, or the traffic as they enter the roadway. The summer is prime time for kids to be playing and biking in our community. Please do not make this even more dangerous for them.
No. In our experience we have had a neighbour park their RV on the driveway and with how our road is you cannot see kids on the street and our kids can't see when it's safe to cross. If you have an RV you can find storage for it.
No. In some neighborhoods it is hard to see around corners on roads and large vehicles on driveways will impede sightlines further. Also, these vehicles will take away from natural spaces. I do not want to feel like I live in the middle of a parking lot.
No. In their back yard parking only. On their own property and NO one is living in them.
No. In some communities this would cause further issues beyond the negatives already mentioned. Some areas have very limited street parking (especially in front drive home areas) and an RV blocking part of a person's driveway/garage means they will likely be parking more vehicles on the street. This in turn creates more congestion on roads and reduces pedestrian safety by leading to unsafe parking practices (too close to driveways, crosswalks, and intersections).

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Inevitable to have a fire or misuse of space, attract petty theft. Unsightly. Causing owners to now use street parking for their cars instead of driveway.
No. Is not safe, it blocks views, eyesore
No. Issues around aesthetics, walkability, safety, etc.
No. It a a safety issue blocking my view to back out of the driveway. They attract rodents due to food or garbage in the RV. Odors such as human waste from large RVs not properly cleaned. Lowers the value of and curb appeal of adjoining properties.
No. It adds more vehicles to the area and promotes more street parking.
No. It adds obstructed views, shade, more areas for people to hide from view, leads to a larger likelihood that people will live in them, adds to more vehicle parking on the street, and likely obstructed sidewalks, etc.
No. It adversely affects the visual aesthetic of the neighborhood.
No. It becomes a safety issue when I am backing out of my driveway and cannot see the sidewalk/road due to the blocking of site line.
No. It becomes difficult to back out if driveways safely because of obstructed view. There are many kids playing on our street. They are ugly. Street parking is already an issue on our street, thus would make the problem worse.
No. It becomes hazardous as it is difficult to see past them when turning a corner, for example. Often cars that would also be typically parked on the driveway, are now parked on both sides of the street making two way traffic nearly impossible. They also often extend onto sidewalks which make walking on sidewalks difficult to navigate. When cars back out of driveways next to an rv, it is dangerous too as they don't have sight lines.
No. It blocks driveways, lawn and takes up little space we have in our streets if the owners start parking their vehicles in roads
No. It blocks sight lines and is unsightly. Plus, what's to stop people from living in the RV?
No. It blocks the view and is unsafe when backing out.
No. It blocks the view from my home. Park in the back lane or rent a proper spot
No. It blocks the view of neighbours and casts a shadow on your neighbours property not allowing them to grow flowers during the growing season. It allow people to hide and attack others. Most on our street obstruct the sidewalk so you have to walk on the road to get around it.
No. It blocks the view of traffic causing a safety issue. It's an eyesore (especially older/run down rv's), people could live in or rent them out as short term rentals, people block their own driveway and park their cars on the street leaving no room for others.
No. It blocks traffic. Hampers visibility for pedestrians, cyclists and children using the road.
No. It blocks views for others coming out of garages and driveways
No. It blocks vision of drivers and more importantly pedestrians. I already come across many cases of trailers and/or hitches that block or partially block sidewalks or create blindspots where cars can't see pedestrians walking
No. It brings down curb appeal , it obstructs sight lines of neighbouring driveways , it causes congestion on the front streets as now extra cars are parked there. It increases noise.
No. It brings down value of my house
No. It can cause blind spots. It's a safety hazard. It can be especially difficult to see around if the house is located on a corner.
No. It can create issues with sight lines (particularly on corner lots), and it can narrow access to private driveways and removes area to pile snow in winter.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It can impede visibility and reduce safety on streets, particularly near intersections. May be an increase in noise to the area as people potentially stay in RVs overnight. Cars that used to be parked in garages (blocked by RV) or driveways/pads then park on streets, reducing available parking and further reducing visibility and safety. RVs are often longer than driveways/pads and protrude into sidewalk/street.
No. It can make using the driveway harder for the neighbours as it obstructs the view of the street. Something small like a tent trailer or a little guy would be fine but anything bigger is a safety concern. It could also cause shade onto neighbours yards and effect their ability to grow a garden or simply enjoy their yard. And I don't want my neighbourhood to start to look like a trailer lot, on top of the other 2 reasons.
No. It causes a lot of obstruction and takes up a lot of parking space and doesn't give a decent sight to behold.
No. It causes sightline concerns, neighbors cannot back out of driveways, people do things in the RV at night, it detracts from the streetscape of the community, it breeds theft. Overall, this is a bad idea
No. It decreases property values, provides cover for criminal activity and invites pests (skunks, mice). People that park RVs for extended periods of time tend to be lazy homeowners to begin with. I imagine some homeowners would try to make money off of it by renting space to people who don't have room in front of their own home. Trust me, certain people would do that for a buck.
No. It decreases visibility from neighbours exiting their driveways. It removes all visibility from incoming pedestrians. 36 hour max parking should remain the same. It's plenty of time to load and unload.
No. It detracts from the look of the neighborhood and creates safety issues with large overhanging vehicles and reduced street visibility.
No. It has a very negative impact on the esthetics of the neighborhood
No. It impacts visibility of adjacent houses when backing out of the driveway, causing safety concerns.
No. It is a safety hazard and a general eyesore. Having RV's parked on residential area give them a trailer park look and diminish property values.
No. It is a safety issue visually, and for aesthetic reasons. As it is there are too many cars left on driveways and are being broken into or car parts being stolen.
No. It is already bad enough in our area with people parking RVs in driveways or on front lawns. Anyone who owns an RV should be able to pay to keep it properly stored on another property.
No. It is already bad enough with the number of people illegally parking their RV's. If the law further extends the period to park whether beyond the 36 hour or for the whole season, our neighborhoods will be inundated with a lot more unsightly vehicles.
I don't know what the percentage is, but RV'ing is a very popular activity in Alberta and the last thing we need is for the beauty of our communities taken over by large view blocking vehicles.
From my experience, many people with RV's leave their vehicles parked on their driveway or the street for the weekdays in-between weekends throughout the summer months.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It is already difficult to see down streets when at a stop sign because of large trucks/vehicles parked along the road. Allowing RVs to park along streets will lead to more accidents I think.
No. It is an eye sore for neighbors and makes the communities look cheap and unkept. People work hard to keep their neighborhoods sightly and huge RV's will take up space and views.
No. It is an eye sore.
No. It is an eye-soar and shouldn't impact my view nor the cause congestion on the street or neighborhood.
No. It is an eyesore and makes neighborhoods look "low rent".
No. It is an eyesore and restricts line-of-sight.
No. It is an eyesore. It will become a campground for visitors and devalue my property.
No. It is an obstruction and makes it dangerous to leave driveways. It takes up a lot more room than cars, taking parking space. It takes away enjoyment of my property. The only benefit is for the owner but it impacts many around them, not just residents.
No. It is cluttered and they can block the ability to see well when driving on residential streets
No. It is dangerous on these narrow streets. Especially when children are out on their bones.
No. It is difficult enough to back off a driveway without your sight line blocked by an RV.
No. It is extremely unsightly and detracts from my enjoyment of being outdoors in the short summer months.
No. It is hard enough sometimes to see around the large truck my neighbor currently parks on the street at the end of my driveway.
No. It is hard for people with small cars to back out of their driveways safely if an bigger vehicle like an RV that is parked next door at their neighbors' yard is blocking their view to see on coming vehicles driving towards their area. Some are so big, that when they park in the driveway they block the sidewalk, making the sidewalk inaccessible for pedestrians to use.
No. It is the nicest time of year and having trailers (of various age and quality) on a driveway for an extended period is unattractive. People are out the most in summer, so you would see it often.
No. It is trashy. It makes the entire street look dumpy.
No. It is unsafe due to sight lines especially for little children.
No. It is unsightly and clutters up the roads with more people parking on the road instead of their driveways. It obstructs sight lines.
No. It is unsightly and dangerous for road crossing pedestrians /driving for sight lines
No. It is unsightly and decreases our property value
No. It is unsightly and makes the neighborhood look messy.
No. It is unsightly and people on our street are currently disregarding the law and setting up their trailers on the street. If the law is loosened we'll end up having people camping here.
No. It is unsightly, a danger to drivers and pedestrians. If you own one of these vehicles, you need to deal with the cleaning and unloading/loading in a timely manner. 36 hrs is plenty of time to do this. Extend anymore and you will Find people encroaching on leaving it there weekend to weekend all summer.
No. It is unsightly, dangerous, and inconsiderate to neighbors
No. It is unsightly, means more people will park on the street, and can be a safety issue if views are blocked.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It is unsightly, owners frequently let trailer/RV block sidewalks, and when they are parked on the roads, they make it hard to see other vehicles coming (especially at intersections) and they make it hard to find parking for regular vehicles. They are a real nuisance. We used to own a trailer and we paid to store it. We didn't let it impact our neighbours' quality of life. Allowing seasonal parking of RVs/trailers will potentially increase conflicts between neighbours.
No. It is unsightly. Part of owning an RV is being prepared to store it and be respectful of communities and neighbours
No. It is unsightly; obstructs ones view when trying to back out of your driveway; results in more vehicles being parked in the road when they could be parking in a driveway; boats are particularly dangerous if a child climbs into it or if it comes off it's support e.g. our neighbour has their boat resting on an old wooden bed stand on a sloping driveway - it is very dangerous; people out walking can trip over an electrical cable run to the house for people living in the RV.
No. It is very unsightly and because most of the yards where I live are very close together all you see as you are looking out your windows and driving home is congested yards that look like the trailer park boys home bases. If people want to park RV'S on driveways maybe they could move to Edmonton, Leduc, Regina, Winnipeg, Grand Prairie, and Red Deer which allow seasonal RV parking (i.e., from spring to fall) on front driveways and parking stalls.
No. It is very unsightly, blocks views for drivers and puts pedestrians at risk.
No. It is an eyesore, and it will bring increased thefts to residential areas. With residential rents so high, it will lead to people living in RVs.
No. It looks awful, creates areas of non-visibility, plus current timeline is sufficient.
No. It looks awful.
No. It looks awful.
NO. It looks bad and it blocks views while driving in the area plus it brings down the value of the community. If people can afford a camper, boat etc they can afford to pay to park somewhere else.
No. It looks bad and then there won't be enough parking on peoples driveways. There already isn't enough parking on the street.
No. It looks horrible, blocks views, makes things appear crowded. Residential streets should not be storage locations for recreational vehicles. They are too big and quite frankly, too ugly. It devalues the street and destroys its character.
No. It looks horrible. Streets are too narrow.
No. It looks junky. If someone can afford to have one of these vehicles they should be able to store it properly when not in use.
No. It looks terrible and it becomes a party place. 36 hours is enough.
No. It looks terrible, and these vehicles do obstruct vision. If you have enough money to own an RV, you have enough money to store it properly.
No. It looks terrible. It blocks view lines.
No. It looks trashy. If you can afford an RV you can afford to pay for storage.
No. It makes it so we can't see down the street and it's harder to monitor our kids. Also makes kids run in places we can't see, causing a safety concern.
No. It makes it very difficult to see oncoming traffic when I am backing out of my driveway. It also is dangerous for pedestrians and neighbourhood children who cannot be seen when riding their bikes.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It makes more sense to keep it simple for everyone and not allow the driveway parking. It's often not within the guidelines and hazardous because people do not secure them effectively or the obstruct viewpoints.
No. It makes simple things like backing out of a driveway dangerous, adds obstacles to sidewalks when they are parked incorrectly, adds parking congestion in neighbourhoods that are already congested with business vehicles, personal vehicles, and multifamily dwellings that have 6 vehicles each.
There is already a lack of parking control in Calgary. I mean families have 4 business trucks and 3 personal vehicles.
Create a permit scheme that gets progressively more expensive for each permit/car.
No. It makes the area look unsightly and creates obstructions for pedestrians with its towbar.
No. It makes the area messy and people always try to push things past the allowed limits causing more problems
No. It makes the neighbourhood less appealing. Especially when you have so many trailers parked.
No. It may be convenient and cost-effective for the owners but the negative impact IMO far outweigh these benefits. There is no benefit to the property owners who do not own an RV. In fact.....comments continued in #5
No. It obstructs any view you have down your street.
No. It obstructs sidewalks, street views, and makes the community look bad.
No. It obstructs the view and safety of the road. In addition people will try to exploit the rules and block neighbours. Those who to pay for long term storage of RV are essentially punished vs those using the seasons le front driveway parking to save storage costs at the expense of nearby neighbours
No. It obstructs the view for residents and drivers. It's unsightly. Many residents have back alleys and other parking options.
No. It ruins sight lines and can pose a major hazard. Also snow melt and water run-off of trailers in freezing conditions causes extremely slippery sidewalks, and the trailer blocks the sunlight from melting ice
No. It ruins the look of the neighborhood, safety concern if parked too close to an intersection.
No. It takes away from the look of a neighbourhood. It also allows those who don't follow rules more leeway to continue to make these decisions. I know over here where I live it is already bad. People park their campers in the alley or in their front yard and think it's good enough. Could only imagine if the laws were changed..
No. It turns residential neighborhoods into parking lots. Also makes them commercial areas rather than residential.
No. It will become a problem and an eyesore. It's not necessary.
No. It will further reduce street parking.
No. It will increase parking problems. Keep the same bylaw in regards to RV.
No. It would be unsightly and hard to police whether the vehicle is being lived in. I can also see people's vehicles encroaching the sidewalk etc and this being an extra nuisance for neighbours and bylaw.
No. It would block visibility of the sidewalk and roadway significantly and cause danger to pedestrians and motorists when cars are leaving driveways. It is also unsightly and removes





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

parking spots/access to garage parking. this will add to parking congestion on already congested streets.
No. It would cause already overcrowded neighborhoods to become more congested with on street parking
No. It would cause issues with sightlines and would be unsightly. The road could start resembling an RV park.
No. It would impact the aesthetics of a community. Safety is also an issue. The other concern is would people use it as temporary living quarters.
No. It would impede my line of sight for exiting my driveway in the tight end of a crescent.
No. It would take up residential parking for regular vehicles on the street, reduce visibility and create unsightly views from ones front windows to the street and ruin the residential neighbourly feel.
No. It wouldn't work in Southview or Forest Lawn. We already have people trying to live in them all year in our community. It is also unsightly.
No. It's a huge safety issue. I have young kids and special needs kids and the RV's block visibility putting my children at risk.
No. It's a residential neighborhood not a storage place. Soon others will be renting their driveways and soon the proliferation of these eyesores will be littered everywhere. This sounds like a cry for the whining. The front of a house is no place for these even if it is seasonal. I wholeheartedly Do Not Support changing the law. Thank you, A Calgary resident for over 65 years. Calgary is a beautiful city and this would be a big mistake. I would not be happy at all. Plebiscite please.
No. It's a slippery slope. Parking in residential areas is already over capacity. This would worsen it. Less space for kids to safely play outside. Neighbours could get mad.... We already have problems getting along now that everyone seems to be selfish and grumpy. Don't add fuel to the fire. I'd the city made it seasonal (spring-fall) residents still need to park somewhere else for winter, and would complain about that now too. Parking lot owners would lose income, change their land use, and then less available winter Parking.... Then residents would keep it for winter on their property. It's going to be a big mess for anyone who does not have a RV. Please don't do this.
No. It's already hard to see when driving as the road curves and these large RVs are a big obstruction. Some are so large that when people park in the driveway, they also block the sidewalk which is another concern. I think 36 hours is too long as it is.
No. It's already too long and taken advantage of. 36 hours it plenty. People can try harder to be organized.
No. It's an eye sore and some don't move. They want to own an RV then they park it where it is supposed to park. It blocks streets for parking and it really is not appealing. Not at RV's are nice looking.
No. It's an eye sore if they aren't in great shape, it blocks sight lines in some cases and causes more congestion in terms of street parking as well. Also people will have tenants etc living in them in no time. I would support a longer period of grace for cleaning/packing like 48/72 hours for example
No. It's an eye sore to the community.
No. It's an eye sore. Renting space is cost of ownership.
No. It's an eyesore and brings down look for community
No. It's an eyesore and can sometimes restrict visibility for drivers and pedestrians

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It's an eyesore and will end up resulting in more space taken up in on street parking, either from the RV's themselves or other vehicles that are displaced from driveways. This will contest already minimal residential parking.
No. It's an obstruction to our quality of life. Our neighbours leave their trailers on their driveways for weeks at a time an it's an awful burden to look at.
No. It's bush league and no one ever abused by them. It should not be allowed for more than 24 hours. Period.
No. It's dangerous due to sight obstruction and detracts from the beauty of a street
No. It's difficult to see around them when parking on a driveway a long period of time
No. It's messy and hard to see around. Certain streets are already not following the rules and should never have these obstructions for safety. We sat on sumfills drive while a guy couldn't back his trailer and blocked traffic for over 10 minutes. It caused road rage and unsafe driving.
No. It's not safe, with the housing crisis people will be living in them and dumping garbage and sewage in the street.
No. It's not too bad if one driveway has an RV on it but if every driveway does will be very unsightly. It is also unsafe re visibility, especially with kids playing around. It may block light from neighbour's homes. Also if there are people staying in the RV safety and security and hygiene could be an issue. Who decides if it's in good condition?
No. It's ugly, and takes up too much room
No. It's unsightly and impairs vision to see when pedestrians are on the sidewalk. More rvs in driveways means more cars parked on the street which takes away already limited street parking in residential areas. RVs also often have mice problems and now that would be brought to house areas
No. It's unsightly, people already abuse the bylaw, and then people will just use them as illegal rentals. If they park in the driveway, where are the rest of their cars? Yeah. On the street clogging up the commons. How about this? They want to park, at all, at any time, then they need a licence to do so to that RV.
No. It's unsightly, people house guests in them. It means that they now park their cars on the street. We have way too many cars parked on the street already. People should be parking their cars in their garages or driveways.
No. It's unsightly. It makes these people park their other vehicles on the street. They often leave their trailers and hitches hanging over the sidewalk forcing pedestrians onto the street and there is very little bylaw enforcement that actually hands out tickets to offenders as it is already.
No. It'd an eye sore and streets are narrow. Even now when parked for less than 36 hours it effects parking, visibility etc.
No. It's a blight and prevents clear sight lines.
No. It's already a problem in areas with people parking them on the street and the city works on a complaint basis so the onus is on the neighbour's and little is done after numerous calls to bylaw
No. It's an eyesore, and how do we know it's not being used as extra housing.
No. It's hard enough backing out of a driveway without having to look past an RV, and typically those parking RVs in their driveways are parking their cars on the road, creating additional sight- line and safety issues. I would support neighbouring RV parking (a designated spot in a neighbourhood that does not pose a safety risk).



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. It's hard enough to get parking in my neighborhood. If people start using their driveways for RVs then they will take up more street parking with their cars
NO. its hard to see around these big vehicle's, crime would also increase.
No. It's ugly
No. It's unsightly and causes greater risks around safety than not.
No. It's unsightly and even though the by law will have some wording about them not being "unsightly", it's a subjective term.
No. Its unsightly and it blocks the view. It issometimes dangerous when backing out of ones driveway or if children are playing and can't be seen.
No. It's unsightly and there are numerous storage lots for parking RVs.
No. It's unsightly, can make it difficult to have a clear sightline to the house number. Also blocks sightlines for neighbors to see down the street as their view is blocked by their neighbors camper.
A place for prowlers to hide also.
No. It's unsightly. Also can ruin views (or the sense of openness in some homes sight-lines).
No. Its wrong to allow people to live in their vehicles all year around; they're basically squatters residing all over the community.
No. I've seen on numerous occasions that people park these RVs on driveway and it results in poor visibility while driving. This is specially problematic in residential areas where kids play and this has potential of causing major mishaps.
NO. Knowing how most of people behave, it will be abused affecting neighbors
No. KNOWN impact on safety rates more important that neighbors' convenience / cost savings.
No. Lack of street parking becomes a huge problem. Many people already parked their vehicles on the city roads for longer than 48 hours.
No. Lack of visibility for neighborhood watch, children playing and any potential criminal activity. Also doesn't look good.
No. Large RVs are unsightly regardless, block sight lines, and I prefer being able to see the trees and greenery.
No. Large RV's block a persons view while driving down the street, RV's are usually parked on front driveways for days, weeks and even months on end. There is a reason why there are RV parking storage available.
NO. Large RVs on corner lots and in other locations will obstruct site lines to adjoining intersections unless the City is going to inspect and permit individual locations. Large RVs also obstruct site lines to neighboring residences which give places for criminals to hide out of view (think environmental design principles). If the City allows this will there be rules surrounding occupation of RVs for the entire season? If they are allowed people will have relatives staying for extended periods.
No. Large stationary objects attract rodents as a place to live, which could then have them get into homes/businesses/restaurants easier depending where the location of each is in relation to each other
No. Large vehicles are unsightly and take up a lot of parking spaces. Trailers and campers should be parked on a driveway, backyard or at a camper lot.
No. Last spring Neighbour parked hers for 2 weeks (extenuating circumstances) but the obstructed view it caused was very dangerous when exiting my driveway. And it would cause a lot of "clutter" in the roadways because RVs parked in driveways would force vehicles to

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

park on the street. Also, would alert thieves to when someone was away camping for the weekend or not.
No. leads to more street parking for daily vehicles. Reduces visibility for children playing.
No. Leave it as is.
No. Limit should be 12 hours.
No. Limits parking in our area which is already really bad. Large units obstruct views while driving and walking.
No. Limits visibility and yards will start to look like junk yards.
No. Limits visibility down the street. Attracts vermin.
No. Long term parking decreases street parking, lessens curb appeal and allows junk vehicles to accumulate.
No. Looks awful, takes up space, harder to see when parking and pulling out of driveways for safety due to height.
No. Looks horrible. Safety concerns. Keep it the way it is
No. Looks like garbage. Current law is ok. You let them stay to long now.
No. Looks terrible and likely will be abused.
No. Looks terrible. If you can afford an RV pay for storage.
No. Looks terrible. People end up parking on the grass. Increase in people s vehicles or trailers hanging out off their property onto the road or sidewalks. Increases break ins and theft. Obstructs the view.
No. Looks unappealing. Safety, blocks visibility while driving/walking
No. Lots of our neighbours rent those extremely big RVs and park in front of their houses while encroaching in front of our driveways as well!! Letting them park for an extended time will make things worse for us. Lots of wires are coming in and out of those RVs, which makes them hazards for pedestrians. Sometimes they tape it to the curb, and sometimes not, and you have to take care!
No. Main reason is obstructed sight lines and the traffic safety issues because of it. It is bad enough that a lot of residents are using there garages as workshops and park on their driveways which causes sighting issues.
No. Mainly due to safety with children. Also I feel it would invite more crime to take place (more property to target). Most all RV lots are secure.
No. Makes the streets crowded
No. Management of classifying RVs conditions will be costly, require more services from the city, and be dealt with in a slow manor. Parking of RVs for longer times will restrict parking and flow of traffic - narrowing streets. Esthetics will also be effected
No. Many are an eyesore. They also force people to park on the street which are already overloaded. The problem is that people will go way to far and park junk on their driveway. If we allow this, we should allow old fridges, wrecked cars, and horse trailers. Many look great ... more look terrible. This would bring the value of homes down. I would only support parking them in their back yards.
No. Many are very large and cause visibility issues
No. Many homes already have far more vehicles than can be parked on their front driveway. As a result, mant streets are crowded with off-property vehicles. Many homeowners do not use their garages for parking which adds to this issue.
Allowing extended RV parking on front driveways will simply force other vehicles onto the street creating additional congestion.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Many of the rvs are huge and extend into roadways, making already small streets crowded. Also when RVS are park on their driveways - even for the 36 hours, these owners move their vehicles to adjacent parking on the street often in front of neighbors houses because they don't have room on their own driveway. This takes up parking spots, often in front of homes that don't have additional vehicles, using up street parking that is close to our homes. This means visitors to our homes sometimes have no where to park. Further some individuals have more than one RV(boat/trailer) and one some streets there are multiple rvs. It starts to look like a campground and is very unsightly.
No. Many residential suburban home lots are very close together. Allowing large bulky rvs to park on parking pads will create an even more dense neighborhood look. RVs can be very tall. It could be like putting up a 16 foot fence up that blocks the sunshine on my property the whole growing season.
No. Many residents already don't have space to park vehicles in their garage so they park on the driveway. This change will result in more people either parking their personal vehicle or RV on public roads causing parking congestion for those that do not have driveways and poor sight lines for drivers and pedestrians. The community aesthetics are also poor and it's hard enough getting bylaw to enforce the existing bylaw.
No. Many RVs are massive and if parked in a driveway for months would take away from the friendly community aspect of the neighbourhood. It would make it harder for children to walk and play in their neighbourhood.
No. Many RVs aren't properly maintained and are simply an eyesore in the community.
No. Modern RVs are ludicrously big. Parking them pretty much anywhere on the street or front drive could block the sunlight for a neighbour literally all summer. These vehicles have a massive visual and environmental impact, I do not support making it easier to own one. Owning an RV is a privilege and parking it in a storage yard should be considered a cost of having one.
No. Most are too big
No. Most driveways are not large enough to safely accommodate these vehicles.
No. Most end up blocking sidewalks. It's rarely if ever enforced.
No. Most neighbourhood streets are too narrow and small to allow safe parking while maintaining sight lines for pedestrian safety
No. Most people have 2-3 cars and some are parked in the driveway or street. Allowing this creates more cars parked in the street.
No. Most people park them in the back yard or at a lot. If u can Ab afford an RV; you can afford to store/ park it. Nobody wants to look out their front window and see the neighbour's RV blocking their view. In addition, it can be a safety issue if your trying to move out of your own driveway.. visibility.
No. Most people with RVs also own multiple large vehicles, trucks, etc and that leads to streets cluttered with vehicles everywhere. If everyone had an RV sitting in their driveway for months, their other vehicles would be on the street leading neighborhood streets to look like used car lots and impeding sight lines, especially around playgrounds.
No. Most RV's are unpleasant to have as a semi permanent feature of the road, and owners too often would allow them encroach on public rights of way. I am opposed to seasonal parking, but maybe 3-5 days would be acceptable.
No. Multiple neighbors obstruct sight lines on high traffic intersections with trucks and RVs as it is. Allowing it will only cause worse parking and visibility issues and degrade safety.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. My concerns are for safety. There are more pedestrians (especially children, who are smaller and harder to see) during the summer months and therefore the potential for hitting a pedestrian that is not seen behind an RV is higher than during the winter months.
No. My neighbor has an RV parked all year in back yard it is a real eye sore. I think parking in front will cause more parking congestion on the street as people will have to park their cars in the road.
No. My neighbour parks his RV on the street in front of his house for periods of time when loading and unloading and cleaning and it is a total safety hazard. I can't see around it. My boyfriend has to ride his bicycle on the sidewalk to get around it. I can't see if cars are coming when I have to leave my house and I'm afraid some day I will be t-boned because I simply cannot see. If he parked it in his driveway all the time I would never see anything. This would be a disaster waiting to happen. My safety and others would be at risk.
No. My neighbours park their giant RV on their driveway. Which results in them parking two cars in the street instead of in their driveway. It makes visibility difficult on our road. Plus it's very ugly, having this giant RC parked diagonally across their driveway so that it just barely sticks out the end onto the road. It also makes it really difficult to see cars coming up the road. We have a park across the street from our house and we walk the kids across the road.
No. Neighborhoods are hard enough to find parking
No. Neighborhoods are not parking lots for any unsightly things residents own.
No. New areas are not designed with additional on street parking. There is limited space available as is without large RVS taking up space for the summer months
No. New communities do not have enough parking. If people park an rv on their driveway, the vehicles that would have been parked there then need to be parked on the street further impacting parking availability.
No. Next door neighbour parks small RV in his driveway year round. I can't see my garage door open until I am in front of it. There are lots of children on our street, so I should have good sight lines when exiting my garage. If you can afford an RV, you can afford to pay for storage.
No. Not a good idea. They block view. Bylaw should not change.
No. Not aesthetically pleasing, and blocks sight lines as I'm trying to exit my driveway. Always lots of small children in the area and not being able to properly see means they're in danger.
No. Not appealing appearance wise
No. Not everyone has an RV. They are an eyesore and detract from the aesthetics of a neighbourhood. They can be an attractive nuisance for vandals and thieves. Their hitches often extend into a sidewalk area and pose a hazard for people including kids on bikes. It can also cause hard feelings between neighbours which does not make for a friendly neighbourhood. There are RV storage lots for a reason. It should be considered part of RV ownership.
No. Not only are they an eyesore, but by taking space on the driveways, they encourage more people to park on the street. Street parking is almost impossible already in our area because people fill their garages with stuff and park on the street.
No. Nothing good comes of it as it is and will be prone to high abuse. The City has a track record of slow and poor enforcement. If citizens want to buy one of these it cannot become a broader neighborhood problem which they become. If you want to buy one find an off site area to park or store. Period. Enough of this individual "freedom " that the broader neighborhood has to put up with.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Obstructed sight lines and aesthetics. I don't like them on parked on residential streets though, they take up too much space and create less safe roads due to visibility.
No. Obstructed sight lines and safety in the neighborhood due to this. Also, aesthetically, RVs are an eyesore in the neighborhood.
No. Obstructed sight lines are a real issue and they look terrible in front of houses for extended periods. Some people may also treat them as an an additional dwelling space on their property if allowed to park for extended periods.
No. Obstructed sight lines, esthetics, more use of street parking for displaced vehicles, overhang onto sidewalks.
No. Obstructed sight lines, safety, esthetics are 12 month concerns.
no. obstructed sightlines & esthetics.
No. Obstructed sightlines are the reason; even when on the street, it is often dangerous to see around or over recreational vehicles
No. obstructed sightlines causes too much of a safety issue and sidewalk infringement cause a movement issue while walking
No. Obstructed sightlines Is a major safety concern and safety should be the primary deciding factor.
No. Obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics, taking up parking space in front of our house, and large RVs block across-the-road security cameras.
No. Obstructed sightlines. Bulkiness, aesthetics of the streetscape.
No. Obstructed view for neighbors. Walking convenience as they often overshoot the sidewalk.
No. Obstructed views, blocked side walks, and limited street parking in my community. Allowing extended time would likely consume a lot of street parking.
No. Obstructing view
No. Obstruction of sight lines and safety concerns related to decreased sight lines. Diminished esthetics of the neighborhood. I don't wish to spend precious summer outdoor time looking at RV's parked on driveways and streets for extended periods.
No. Obstruction of traffic sight lines is a serious problem.
No. Obstruction sightlines is a huge safety factor if there are more RVs for longer time periods on driveways. It significantly reduces visibility of pedestrians, children biking on sidewalks, dogs on long leashes, cyclist on the road, traffic. These months that other jurisdictions (spring-fall) are the busier months where all of the above are also more frequent.
No. Obstructive, ugly and impacts neighbourhoods. I am strongly against any changes. RV owners should have suitable long term storage arranged that doesn't impact enjoyment and access of the neighbourhood.
No. Obstructs my view. Dangerous for people crossing the street. Unsightly! Don't want my street looking like a used parking lot.
No. Obstructs views for pedestrians and drivers Unsightly to others . Impedes the enjoyment of the beauty of neighborhoods .
No. Obstructs vision. Attracts vandalism and attracts Prowlers. Creates a nuisance. Parking in our neighborhoods is already cramped and many abuse parking privileges already. We do not need to add further excuse for vehicles to congest street parking because of RV vehicles being allowed seasonal parking.
No. Often left on driveway most of the summer other than occasional holidays



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Often people don't have the room to park the RV on the driveway and they park it on the street. Or the RV takes up their driveway and if they have multiple cars, they park those cars on the street, often in front of other people's house.
No. Often times it obstructs view of seeing other cars. It also often doesn't allow anyone else to park on the street as it takes up multiple parking spots.
No. Often, it means the RV will encroach on sidewalks, or the public property. Plus, if there are 2 or more parked on a street, the on-street parking will quickly be consumed, leaving the rest of the residents scrambling to find vehicle parking.
No. On our street parking is very limited. 90% of the homes when built did not come with driveways. The ones that have built or added driveways usually only hold 1 vehicle. So the ones who park a RV on the driveway don't have room for a vehicle so end up parking vehicles in front of other houses. I have complained in the past but got rejected and was not told why. After reading the rules on this page I'm guessing it is because maybe considered beside the house? I always thought that RV's had to be behind a fence if on there own property? What is the safety difference if on a front or side driveway?
No. Ones on our neighborhood have obstructed driver views and some are insightly.
No. oObstructing views. Safety, esthetics of the neighborhood. Visual pollution. Load it and move it. Store it at a facility mean while.
No. Our city streets are already jammed with cars that ought to be parked in garages or in driveways. Allowing people to store RVs on driveways will push more passenger vehicles to park on roadways. This is a safety concern and a visual mess.
No. Our communities are tightly packed enough as is without the added presence of trailers taking up driveway parking. With trailers in driveways more cars will spill onto the road where parking is already at a minimum.
No. Our community has many kids who play in front yards and this poses a safety issue. It would mean more cars parking on the street and tougher for kids to see cars and drivers to see kids.
No. Our cul de sac already has restricted parking and is cluttered with vehicles.
No. Our experience with RV on each side is one is organized and rarely there for more than a couple hours. The other side is hopeless and there 10 kids plus friends would end up living in the trailer it takes them hours to load the trailer one blanket at a time.
No. Our neighborhood has minimal parking at best
No. Our neighbour already does not follow this. It's sometimes partially extending onto the sidewalk, and it's quite ugly.
No. Our street is already congested enough. Parking their RV on their driveway would mean more vehicles on the road, when it's already difficult to find parking. Not to mention it's unsightly.
No. Our street is quite narrow. It would be frustrating to have it essentially down to 1 lane only (for both directions) the whole spring/summer/fall
No. Our streets are already over crowded with old vehicles that never move. It would be unsafe for children
No. Our streets are too narrow and barely accommodate current residents vehicles and traffic
No. Our streets in Mckenzie Towne are already completely packed, homes close together and narrow streets. When campers or other rec vehicles are parked on the street they take up additional room to the already limited space. Also concerns regarding safe play for kids on the street, rec vehicles may restrict line of sight for both kids and vehicles driving on the street.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Finally - I don't want people camping in front of their homes, +36 hours and some may take this as an invitation to stay longer
No. Owners have options for storage other than on front driveways
No. Ownership of RVs and the other vehicles listed above should not be considered part of an acceptable residential activity or right to store in a residential area. If such 'vehicles' are purchased they must be stored elsewhere in an area not zoned residential.
No. Parking an RV on a city residential lot is a nuisance, for multiple reasons. Some examples: Safety (obstruction of view), pollution (assorted combustion fuels and waste fluids), noise (motors, generators), not to mention bad aesthetics.
no. parking an rv on a front driveway will often mean the home owner will then park a vehicle on the street. There is already a shortage of street parking in many neighbourhoods.
No. Parking congestion on laned home streets is tight enough as it is. RVs parking for days at a time exacerbates this problem.
No. Parking in our neighborhood is difficult enough to obtain as many people do not use their garage for parking, using it for storage instead. This means they park vehicles on their driveway and in front of the house between the properties. That means for every 2 houses there is only one extra parking spot. If RVs are now included in that parking there will be even more limited parking.
No. Parking in residential neighborhoods are at a premium. This will be compounded if recreational vehicles are permitted to take up driveway space for longer periods of time. It is difficult to back out and maneuver around these, especially the larger ones. It shouldn't be a burden on those without these vehicles for the convenience and cost effectiveness of those who do own them. That was their choice when purchasing a vehicle of that nature.
No. Parking is already limited and when people park their "toys" on their driveway, then they park their cars on the street. It makes it challenging/impossible to have visitors who drive or sometimes even get on and out of other driveways nearby. It also makes the street look trashy and unkempt.
No. Parking is already limited I many areas. Allowing RVs on front driveways displaces other vehicles onto the street.
No. Parking is already limited. It will overflow residential parking areas. It will increase incidents on the road, it's visual pollution, it will lead to waste on the roads, you will see RVs with trailers hooked for months and months without moving, it will create chaos.
No. Parking is already strained.
No. Parking is always a premium and very limited. Filling a driveway with an RV will only displace the private vehicle which used to be parked there on to the street. This will make roadways extremely congested and jeopardize safety. I would support extending the current 36 hour limit to 72 hours. This would increase convenience for the intended use of most RV's.
No. Parking is difficult to find as it is. RVs are a massive eye sore and can block of views of homes (as we all know, residential is public and people park wherever they want regardless if it's not in front of their homes or not which is already really annoying let alone if it's a 30 foot RV.) If passed absolutely not be allowed in high traffic neighborhoods. For example I am in Marda loop /Richmond area and having RVs parked would be a nightmare. There's already no parking.
No. Parking is hard enough to find with a car let alone a big rv taking up space. As well, because of the size of RVs they can block views for both drivers and pedestrians and I could

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

see potential accidents with children play in a neighborhood and a RV blocking the view of a driver. Please don't allow RVs to be parked in residential areas.
No. Parking is limited anyway. If a spot is taken by the RV it limits street parking even further meaning neighbors parks in front of someone else's property especially when they have several vehicles.
No. Parking is tight enough in many residential areas throughout the city. Parking needs to be saved for vehicles in constant use, not for someone to park extra vehicles for extended periods of time.
No. Parking is tight on my street without having an RV parked there and if you can afford to buy an RV you should budget parking costs too.
No. Parking is tight, RVs are bigger, and I've witnessed drivers pulling out of their driveways with no visibility due to RVs sizes. Have seen multiple close calls and 2 contact events.
No. Parking is very limited in new suburbs with a maximum of one on street parking spot per two houses. When people park their trailers on driveways, they park their other vehicles on the street reducing street parking For other neighbors or visitors.
No. Parking just on your own property.
No. Parking on streets is already a disaster. If homeowners are allowed to park for longer periods on their driveway this will lead to more stress on on-street parking. May concern primarily hinges on safety - lots of folks speed down my road, but there are lots of kids too. More street parking decreases visibility.
No. Part of RV ownership should include plans for storage etc. which should not need to default to parking in your driveway. In many communities having RV parked in driveways would provide additional vehicle clutter and reduce visibility when backing into streets, etc.
No. People already do a poor job at adhering to the conditions of RV parking currently, such as encroaching on city property like sticking out of their driveway blocking sidewalks, dealing extension cords across sidewalks etc. allowing storage for longer will make this worse.
NO. People already don't utilize their garages for vehicles, instead using them for extra storage. With many vehicles already parking regularly on driveways, this will force them into the streets where parking is limited in newer communities as RVs, campers, boats, etc take up driveway spots. If I wanted to live in an area with overcrowded streets, I would have bought downtown.
No. People can live inside them and could cause issues with people renting illegal situations out during the summer. If you can afford a trailer you can afford to park it somewhere.
No. People choose to have RV's and should incur the costs associated with this privilege
No. People choose to own an RV as a choice for their leisure activities and they must be prepared to assume the responsibilities, costs and inconveniences that are related to their choice. The bylaw already is generous enough in terms of providing RV owners with ample convenience. Seasonal front driveway parking would take away from the seasonal enjoyment for all residents. Even new RVs are large and unsightly, taking away from the beauty of the neighbourhood. Many residents want to spend time outside on their property and in their neighbourhood -- that is a way that residents choose to enjoy life in Calgary! Giving more convenience to people so that they can leave the city seems illogical.
No. People don't listen to the current rules in place anyways and it would further limit already limited street parking.
No. People end up living in these units full-time. Totally inconsiderate of neighbors and community. Streetscape takes on the appearance of a Walmart parking lot- so no.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. People in Calgary aren't very good at following bylaws as it is. I perfectly expect sidewalks to be obstructed. The only way that I would support this is if infraction were vigorously enforced, but Calgary doesn't enforce so many bylaws as it is.
No. People in some communities will have people living full time I them. People will also put them on the driveway and rent on airbnb. People suck.
No. People live in them, adding noise, and ugliness to the neighborhood astetics. Your taking away business from the people that house these eyesores now.
No. People living in a driveway, blocking vision, looking like crap. It's already a problem that they live out on the street for extended periods.
No. People might rent them out as accommodation.
No. People should be able to park their vehicles on their parcel without government intervention. This is a land use issue. Let DCIM inspectors evaluate requests for permitted parking pads and approve on a case by case basis. Let people park whatever they want on the parking pads. Don't approve enormous parking pads and you won't see enormous vehicles on them. Let everyone else get grandfathered in.
No. People take advantage and it's not necessary. It's doesn't take even 36 hours to load or unload a trip. 36 is more than enough.
No. People who purchase these RVs know that they must pay to store them when they are not used. Any parking or storage of an RV on a driveway should carry an additional property tax.
RVs block the views of streets for adjacent neighbours. They are like having a 5 m fence which is not allowed. This makes it harder to get to know your neighbours. On corner lots parking an RV can be an obstruction to traffic.
In the past people have been using the RV as a secondary suite and allow people to sleep in them.
Parking close to the sidewalk makes snow clearing harder, creates shadows and can lead to icy sidewalks resulting in slips and falls.
No. People will abuse seasonal RV parking just like they are doing currently. People are currently parking their RVs on their driveways all year round which is an infraction in Calgary. Since covid, enforcement of RVs parking in driveways and lanes has not been enforced. However, before covid the bylaw officer was coming around on a regular basis. Make them arrange and pay for storage like most people do .
No. People will abuse the rules and we will see eyesores everywhere that are blocking parts of sidewalks. Some people will also say it's an all season vehicle and leave it out all year. I would support longer periods than 36 hours though, such as 14 consecutive days during May to September. People need storage eventually. If nobody uses storage in the summer, the storage places will go out of business and people will park their vehicles in their front driveway indefinitely.
No. People will end up parking in the streets.
NO. People will leave recreational vehicles out for longer than the recommended time. I want to look at the trees and flowers, not a big piece of machinery.
No. People will most certainly abuse it, and the obstructed sightlines will be a safety issue, especially considering this will always be in residential areas with children still learning to navigate roadways.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. People will park rv's on their driveways and clog the streets with vehicles defeating the purpose of driveways. All RV's belong in a lot away from residential streets. Parking is tight already , and the neighborhood will look awful cluttered with RV's.
No. People will take advantage on this and let guest stay in the rv. They will use it as a second room. It may cause more destruction to the neighborhood (break ins). It looks awful! I p don't want my view wehn i am outside to be of an RV.
No. People will then be parking more cars on the street to make room for the RV. Parking is always a massive issue.
No. People within it RVs (ie the majority) shouldn't have to live in communities that look like storage lots.
No. Person across from my residence moved a 50 year old travel trailer onto his front drive. It has not moved in three years and he uses it as a storage place, both internally and externally.
No. Poor AirBnB bylaw and complete lack of enforcement means a change in bylaw will allow for the rental of RVs
No. Poor visibility of sidewalk, safety, bikers, etc. This is especially concerning where people are crossing the road. Also I simply do not want a giant RV parked out front of my house all summer. I think that is fair as a tax paying citizen.
No. Presently, some RV owners do not adhere to the 36 hour rule as they extend the time the RV is on the property with no consequences. By adding more time, some more people will extend the new time to a greater amount thus RV's will be on the streets and parking areas for a longer amount of time.
No. Property values will go down. It's unsightly and could be dangerous if people are staying in them. Crime rates will increase.
No. Pubic safety. It will block view for vehicles and if children are playing nearby, it can block the view even more. This will also create parking issues for narrower road where the parking issues already exist. I DO NOT SUPPORT this!
No. Purely from safety and visibility reasons. 36 hours is more than enough time to load and unload.
No. Rarely to trailers fit on driveways and can also cause view obstructions. No way to ensure that people are not living in trailers on front driveways either. Unsightly to look at from front windows of neighboring houses.
No. Recreational vehicles are unsightly and can make it difficult to navigate suburban streets safely when parked on the road.
No. Reduced visibility, higher risk to pedestrians & aesthetics.
No. Reduces visibility and also an eyesore. Not in favour at all
NO. Reduction in property value as all our neighbours have them and could result in a trailer park situation
No. Residential areas are already a concern given the amount of street parking. Visibility is poor without having to navigate around large recreational vehicles. It is a disaster waiting to happen. People will move their recreational vehicles ahead a few feet to state that it was not "stationary for more than 36 hours".
No. Residential areas are for personal living and not vehicle storage. More vehicles on driveways means less street parking, which is already scarce.
No. Residential is permanent, NOT temporary. If RVs are being used, user fees or fines must be imposed.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Roads are narrow as is. This will become give an inch take a mile. Defining poor condition will be open for interpretation. Could open houses to theft in that the trailer is gone if someone scopes the area.
No. RV ownership and the respective camping consumption that goes with it is harmful to the environment--gas and wild space impact. And that's if it is truly being used for recreation. In more desperate situations, extended RV parking would mask the homeless problem in our province. It could create a black market for parking/plumbing hook ups.
No. RV parking in front yards looks terrible and for all the reasons the existing bylaw is in place.
No. RV parking in neighbourhoods is already abused with little enforcement of current bylaws
No. RV's are unsightly. Driveways will be clogged with them forcing owners to park on the streets which are congested enough already.
No. RV's etc block sightlines (both for driveways/sidewalks/pedestrians/traffic buy also to your house and can make home break ins easier) and are not aesthetically pleasing either. If one wants to own a RV/trailer you need to organize proper storage for it as well.
No. RV's take up too much parking space and they make neighborhoods look dumpy!
No. RVs are an eye sore. They block sunlight from neighbour's yards and. They should not be parked in the front driveway.
No. RVs are bigger than ever, especially with the side extensions. They obstruct ones vision for traffic, etc
No. RVs are huge. They obstruct site lines, narrow the road as they are hard to park due to their size. They reduce the sense of openness and a number of them on the same road space would create a claustrophobic atmosphere. It will increase tension due to parking space disputes. There are plenty of RV parking services throughout the city. Those that can afford RVs can afford parking/storage services.
No. RVs are large and create a safety issue. My neighbours RV blocks our sight line down the road and so it is hard to see traffic coming when you back out of the driveway or when my son rides his bike off the driveway. Also, it takes away from the general appeal of the neighbourhood.
No. RVs are large and obtrusive.
No. RVs are meant for travelling and moving from spot to spot easily. Parking for expended periods will be a hazard for drivers by reducing sight lines. Additionally how would these RVs be serviced if they are being parked for extended durations, wastewater holding tanks would need to be emptied and would impact adjacent properties in terms of odour, noise and possibly light impacts.
No. RVs are not always very aesthetic, they can block site lines of the neighbor's properties, they can pose a hazard in case of fire.
No. RV's are ugly and an eyesore and they make the neighborhood look trashy and cheap. They should be kept in garages, backyard parking spaces or rv lots.
No. RVs are ugly and it changes the aesthetics of the neighborhood. They also remove spots for visitor parking on streets and large RVs are a definite safety concern. If people can afford to own and RV, then they should be able to pay to store them for the season. There's no reason an RV can't be packed/unpacked/winterized in less than 48 hours.
No. RVs are unsightly and disturb the cohesiveness of the neighborhood. They make it difficult to read house numbers and obstruct sightlines. There is no reason that owners can't accomplish their packing and unpacking in the time provided. There is no reason they need access to an RV 24/7.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. RVs are unsightly and often obstruct sidewalks. Many are too big to fit properly in a driveway and are unsightly
No. RVs are visually and audibly obtrusive and obstruct sight lines. Owners tend to use generators when used seasonally which is incredibly loud and disruptive. They should not be allowed to be parked in front driveways longer than 48 hours.
No. RVs block the view of traffic in the lane right beside it which creates hazards for the next door neighbor.
No. RVs can be parked on the side or rear of a property without a time limit and that should be good enough for RV Owners. There is already limited street parking in this city, changing this rule would reduce street parking even more.
No. RV's can be unsightly and should be parked away from roadways entirely. The potential for even more large vehicles blocking sidewalks and sight lines affects safety as well.
No. RVs can become additional living spaces in a space not designed for that purpose. The RVs could attract criminal activity. They detract from the living experience in a neighborhood.
No. RVs can easily block sidewalks. They are a hazard for visibility. They are unsightly in a neighbourhood.
No. RV's do not belong in residential communities. They are an eye sore and diminish property values.
No. RVs in neighbours' driveways make it difficult to see traffic clearly when backing onto roads. Many RVs block sidewalks and make them impassible. Many neighbourhoods have limited street parking. When RVs are in driveways, garages become inaccessible for cars and driveway spaces are Minimized. This results in increased cars parked on street, decreased space for vehicles to drive on streets, decreased visibility and increased likelihood of accidents with vehicles and pedestrians.
NO. RVs large. Obstruct view, sightline. Extend beyond driveway. Unsightly, difficult for bylaw to address. RV not move, not used to reduce storage fees. Remove wheels pretending being "repaired" so bylaw did not ticket. Degrades appearance of neighbourhood. Mechanical repairs in driveway (noisy, messy, extra equipment disrupting access). People camping/living in driveway. Parking already limited especially in cul-de-sacs: several vehicles per household, not using garage for parking, exceed space available in driveway, park on street where already limited. Adding RV exacerbates this problem, limits street parking for homeowners and visitors. Potential for increase in vandalism, theft.
No. RVs make it difficult to see around, they're not safe for children playing or drivers.
No. RVs parked on front driveways are unsightly and make communities look less inviting.
No. RVs parked on the street make the roads narrower and difficult to drive around. Kids run back and forth in our neighborhood and I couldn't see them around an RV. I could support extending the time to 48 hours.
No. RVs should not be parked in front driveway. It obstructs views where children play.
No. RV's should not be parked on peoples driveways in communities. They are an eyesore and block seeing oncoming traffic. They also lead to those residents parking on the streets in areas that already are maxed out for parking.
No. RVs take up a lot of space and if they are parked on driveways, other vehicles will need to be in the street and there are limited spots for street parking in most communities. It's also difficult to see around RVs and it can obstruct a drivers view. This can cause a safety issue for drivers not being able to see children, bicycles, and other vehicles.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. RVs take up too much space on the street and our neighborhood has such small streets as it is; two cars can't pass each other if cars are parked both sides of the street. We also have limited street parking. They are an eyesore as well and a safety/crime concern especially in the inner city. People already live in vans and trailers parked in the summer around the South Calgary park.
No. RV's taking up valuable parking space due to their extended length, the esthetics of seeing such a large vehicle parked all the time is not good. There are safety concerns with sightlines and moving around the vehicles. There is the potential for people to start to live in them while parked.
No. RVs, campers, boats and trailers on front driveways and even lawns makes it look like we've been taken over by hillbillies.
No. Safety - you can't see around them. Space - parking availability is already an issue in many neighbourhoods, and this will make it worse. And it looks bad.
No. Safety has to be a priority. Yea
No. Safety is compromised by obscuring view of roadway for cars and pedestrians. Enticement of vandalism. Enticement of home and RV break ins due to obstruction of visibility. Potential impeding of Emergency and Front line workers access to the community or housing due to the placement of the RV. Unsightly for the community.
No. Safety is my biggest concern. RVs in the front driveway obstruct views and provide a hiding place for people who should not be there. They are also a target for thieves to break into. While these thieves are in the neighbourhood, they will look for other opportunities.
No. Safety issue as more places for intruders to hide behind.
Also is an eyesore
No. Safety issues with vehicles and children playing on driveways and streets. Neighbourhoods with Rv's parked in front of houses look tacky.
No. Safety of sight lines re: theft and break and enter.
No. Safety should NEVER be compromised for convenience and cost effectiveness. The "benefits" listed do NOT outweigh the listed impacts, nor should they.
No. Safety, esthetics as well as environmental. Seasonal parking increases risk if RB use in these locations and the improper disposal of waste. The city does not need another problem to manage.
No. Safety, obstructed sight lines and esthetics. If you can afford to own an RV you can afford to pay to have it stored. It also takes up space in the owners driveways and will cause the owners to park on the street, creating further parking issues in already dense areas. I have no desire to have issues parking because someone is parking their RV for an extended time. There is also the concern that they'll overhang the sidewalk and create safety concerns with their hitches.
No. Safety. Blocks sight lines, gives thieves cover for breaking into adjacent homes/cars. Summer really rundown too, and I feel like it reduces the value of the neighbourhood when these rundown large RVs are parked in your community.
No. Safety/obstructed sight lines and unsightly to look at
No. Same as above. It's not esthetically appealing. Store it as not everyone wants to see your trailer whether it's in good condition or not. It's. neighbourhood. It a trailer park.
No. Sight line issues and other challenges make me prefer restricting RV parking in the front. If allowed a significant distance from back of walk would be preferred.
No. Sight line obstruction, blocked sidewalks, poor esthetics

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Sight lines are poor enough already in many areas. Let's not worsen the situation. Give owners an inch and they'll take a mile, so to speak. There will always be owners trying to get away with more....parking year-round, parking on street, etc. Does the city want to tie up bylaw officers with even more RV issues?
No. Small congested area make it look too junky. Blocks vision of nice area.
No. Some are too big and unsightly
No. Some city streets are already too crowded when cars are parked on either side. Allowing this parking would be detrimental and a huge safety risk. Especially given that community side streets don't get snow cleared. This is a huge safety risk that should not even be considered in a city such as Calgary. This would truly put the public at Risk.
No. Some RVs are enormous and, as already mentioned, would have to much impact on sight lines and esthetics. In addition, they may be the target for thieves which has a negative impact on the neighborhood.
No. Some streets are so narrow, or the houses are so close together, any large vehicles would hinder access or block traffic views. It may be ok to park in a back alley if it does not block access to neighbouring homes.
No. Sometimes the RV or trailer take up space over the pedestrian sidewalk especially when attaching to a vehicle. Some RV are too big for pulling into or out of driveway/park pad with other vehicles on road
NO. Specifically in narrow lot dwelling RN1 zones. And in RC1 zones only for front attached garage homes and if only where drive ways are big enough to accommodate 4 to 6 cars like BONNAVENTURE, ACADIA etc.
AGAIN NO WAY IN NARROW LOT DWELLINGS.
No. Street parking practices are already too lax even for passenger vehicles. Our neighborhood streets are already too narrow. I encounter safety hazards daily in my area; particularly in the winter where secondary streets are not snow-cleared. it's difficult enough already to permit clean travel for 2 opposing vehicles. Allowing RV's seasonally will significantly exacerbate this problem.
No. Streets are already crowded
No. Streetscape impact is unacceptable, notably where RV is a Class A motor home or a 5th wheel trailer. Facilities for storage are plentiful in the Calgary area.
No. Summer is when more people are out doors trying to enjoy views. Having large vehicles impact views is hindering enjoying outdoors, safety of children playing as the vehicle take so much space
No. Take up a lot of space and block views.
No. Take up to much space on the street. Parking congested as it is on certain residential streets. Ppl with trailers etc can store them offsite. They are all over Calgary.
No. Takes away from lack of parking
No. Takes up more street parking and makes streets congested.
No. Takes up much needed parking. If camper is on driveway, more vehicles are parked on the street.
No. Takes up space on the road for parking and brings down the value of the community by making it look like a trailer park.
No. Terrible aesthetics, and safety issues. Parked RVs attract theft (battery and other), look terrible, obstruct pedestrian sight lines, and also indicate to thieves by their presence/absence whether the homeowner is home.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. terrible idea. I don't support this idea it's a way for people to accumulate junk on the road and rv's all season would be an eye sore. Again, this is a city it shouldn't have farm field ideas..A neighbour parked a trailer on the road and took advantage of it for 3 weeks last summer. People park their trailers and RV's on the streets and driveways right now way too long past the 36 hrs. It would only increase the issue if they had more time. People take a yard when they have an inch.
No. That takes up garage access so vehicles soil it onto roads and into other streets. The convenience of parking their trailer has definite impacts on anyone trying to visit and park on streets. The trailers have to be parked directly adjacent to their property for 36 hours. That is just not possible on streets with either minimal parking or so many cars parked on the street already. Parking is already a known issue between neighbours. I guarantee this will make things even more heated for some
No. That's too long for an RV to be in a driveway.
No. The 36 hour allowance is a good balance for homeowners to load/unload their RVs. Allowing RVs longer than 36 hours will lead to safety and esthetics issues. It may also lead to visitors staying in their RVs when visiting residents.
No. The 36 hour restriction is appropriate and long enough
No. The 36 hours is sufficient. The RVs in our area block sightlines when turning. This creates safety concerns for drivers and pedestrians. Also, we have limited parking on our street. Extending the time will make the parking situation worse and increase calls to 311 and the parking authority.
No. The by-law is good as-is for the reasons listed (esthetics, safety, obstructed sightlines)
No. The City does a poor job of enforcing by-laws as it is. I foresee folks taking advantage of this "seasonality" to park anything and everything without facing repercussions.
No. The community is already full of junk/broken cars and commercial vans everywhere. The community looks like a junkyard
No. The convenience for owners of not having to pay for and use off-site storage should not be more important than the issues of sightlines, safety, and aesthetics. RV owners make a choice to own these vehicles and the negatives of this choice should not be placed on neighbours who have no choice about the owners' purchases. These negatives apply regardless of time, so there's no rationale other than convenience to support a seasonal approach.
No. The cost-effectiveness and convenience factors for people with disposable income to buy these types of vehicles should not outweigh the safety and aesthetic impacts on the whole community. Also, many will extend onto public sidewalks and then it will cost money to enforce rules that say they can not be on the sidewalk.
No. The current 36 hour rule is more than enough. There are many instances where others have already been ignoring this 36 hour rule and park their RVs for more than this time frame
No. The current bylaw should not be changed in anyway
No. The current time frame allows for reasonable short term packing or maintenance, rightfully discouraging street usage for long term storage.
No. The current time limits are fine and shouldn't be extended. There will be a negative impact on street visibility, crime rate, and real estate value. Moreover, this will also have a severe environmental impact in terms of sewage and gas leakage. The above issues could escalate to health hazards. Finally, imagine a street where most home owners have RVs and how detrimental it will be for traffic and living in that neighbourhood.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. The current time of 36 hours is sufficient for loading, unloading and cleaning and prevents long term parking for units being repaired or converted creating negative aesthetics. Also, storage parking is a business opportunity that would be affected if driveway parking was allowed. RV's need to be stored offsite.
No. The existing bylaw needs to be kept as is and enforcement needs to be strengthened.
No. The houses in Calgary are already very close together. Allowing RVs could impact sunlight in neighbouring homes.
No. The large size of these vehicles obstruct the view for drivers and make it unsafe for other drivers, pedestrians and bikers. My neighbour frequently has their RV parked and I am always worried I'm going to get in an accident, or that a child will get hit. Calgary is a big city with lots of traffic, it's not the same/comparable to smaller cities like Red Deer, Leduc, Grand Prairie, etc, that may have more flexible rules.
No. The larger RV's take up multiple parking spaces, are unsightly, and are in some cases hazards. They are also not in keeping with the spirit of the neighborhoods.
No. The length of RVs should be considered. On my street, pre-long weekends, there are easily 8-10 30+ foot RVs and trailers on driveways at angles which also disrupts parking, garbage and recycling collection, safe sidewalks for pedestrians.
No. The majority of houses already have an advance of cars and this would push more cars onto street parking making neighbourhoods even busier.
No. The majority of people do not park cars in their garage. In addition, most communities including mine, have very limited street parking. Allowing extended RV parking will just amplify this problem. People will park their cars on the street and RV in driveway all summer.
No. The move towards getting density has already stretched available street parking. Having vehicles allowed to park seasonally which are only used for a small handful of days or a weekend while tying up street parking further exacerbates parking. As well as roads are further narrowed to assist in traffic calming makes visibility difficult and safe passage higher risks.
No. The neighborhood I live in already has a lot of vehicles parked on the street. It affects my ability to make left turns as I cannot see the upcoming traffic when I need to get out of my street. I believe that issue would be even worse if there are more RVs parked on the street for larger periods of time. I do not support extending the parking timeline to more than 26 hours.
No. The obstruction is a huge safety hazard. How would you decide on what is considered "unsightly"? Most are unsightly in my opinion as they are bigger than some homes!
No. The outside storage of these vehicles and other storage is unattractive and visually ugly. Multiple front driveways are terrible for pedestrian safety. People need to be our first priority, not cheap storage.
No. The parking in Ward 5 is bad enough now with many illegal over width driveways. Allowing seasonal front driveway parking would just make this situation worse.
NO. the reasons listed above such as obstructed sight lines and safety are more than sufficient to NOT allow parking more than the 36 hr grace period as it currently stands. With kids playing outside or people walking on the sidewalks, having these large vehicles parked for more than 36 hours directly correlates to higher safety hazards. It's more challenging for drivers to see kids/people with these large vehicles due to the obstructed sight lines. It is a recreational vehicle that doesn't belong in a driveway for more than 36 hrs. It's a luxury to be able to buy one in the first place, and people need to factor in the cost of storing it offsite accordingly.
No. The risk for prowler and obstruction of other vehicles and children.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. The risk to children as well as other road users, pedestrians, cyclists is far too great. These things are far too big to see around, and if parked in a driveway tend to make for fraught egress for neighbors who almost certainly will not be able to see past them. Also, many of our neighborhood streets are far too narrow to safely accommodate them, and navigating would be certain to frustrate some other drivers, increasing risk.
No. The rules are fine as is. Having parked RVs on the street reduces sight lines for kids biking, playing, etc. we didn't buy a house in a trailer park so don't want to live on a street that looks like a trailer park/ camp ground.
No. The RV parking causes visual impairments to motorists. Also many times the RV will overhang into the sidewalk and obstruct pedestrian traffic. Paying for RV storage is part of the cost of ownership.
No. The RVs are large and block site lines when safely trying to cross the street. There are often extension cords running across the sidewalk that become hazards. People often park them in a way that blocks crosswalks.
No. The RVs are unsightly and block public routes, such as sidewalks, making it difficult for other residents to enjoy activities such as walks. They also displace other vehicles that may park in those spaces, limiting parking that is already in short supply.
No. The safety sight lines are compromised. The esthetics are poor. It could safety in the neighborhood by attracting thieves. There are several neighbors in my area that already do not follow the rules, it is very unsightly.
No. The simple fact that this reduces safety - and mostly in family neighborhoods should be enough to make efforts to reduce the amount of time allowed, not increase it. Encouraging safety for walking and biking should be the priority.
No. The street I'm on is already used by people from other neighborhood streets to store rarely used cars which is an eye sore, so I have no doubt it would turn into RV storage very quickly. This already happened once a few years ago. We also have recurring prowler problems so this would invite more.
No. The streets are so busy and these vehicles are far too big for street parking.
No. The streets around are already very busy with lots of cars that are blocking the visibility for other cars to run and for pedestrians to cross the street.
No. The streets of our cul de sac are already narrow and when the RVs are parked in front of the house or sticking out off the driveway it really impacts blind spots when pulling out of the driveway. This is already a hazard with the current bylaw.
No. The vehicles are unsightly, take up a lot of space and obstruct sight lines. They are also used for accommodation in some cases with electrical lines and hoses run to them. Unsanitary and dangerous.
No. The "clutter" of having RV's on everybdriveway, will decrease the value/desirability of property.
No. There are already enough issues with street parking, if someone looses access to their driveway because they have an RV parked on it, it will only make it worse. If there's going to be an increase in time, I'd say it needs to be less than a full season, maybe for a week? And it needs to be enforced, current bylaws aren't
No. There are already more and more cars and trucks and vehicles parked on the road. When someone parks an RV, especially in the suburbs, there is often very limited space for other parking as the size of driveways and nature of the road design doesn't allow for it.
No. There are already obstructed sightlines from vehicles parked on the curb. RVs are larger, usually, and create an increased safety risk of accidents as they hinder sightlines even more

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

than cars. Roads are already difficult to navigate in many communities, especially newer communities that have narrow streets, lined with vehicles. Adding additional obstructions to sightlines from RVs parked in driveways longterm does nothing to improve safety.
No. There are already people that do this and too often the tongue of the trailer extends out over the sidewalk blocking pedestrians. If more people did it, there would be fewer cars in garages and more on the streets.
No. There are already people who own RVs who disregard the current bylaws so to extend it would not be the right thing. Neighbors do not want to look at an unsightly RV every day for even longer, especially when owners of the RV 1. disregard the current bylaw for storage time on the street or driveway, and 2. leave the RV idling on their driveway well past the noise bylaw cutoff time.
No. There are already too many vehicles on the street. It will cause traffic issues, sight lines will be blocked, potential safety hazards of children running around and between vehicles, and it will just look terrible. Like the broken window syndrome, this will be the first step in reduction of property values.
Plus, most RVs are so large, they wouldn't fit on a driveway. They will be parked on the street. It happens now, it will definitely happen with this suggested change.
No. There are enough cars parked on driveways and on the street that it creates a safety issue with manoeuvring around parked cars(both sides) and visibility (children crossing the road). Larger vehicles (ie RVs) would make it worse.
No. There are enough homes with already large pick up trucks and multiple vehicles at their homes that already obstruct sightlines and are a safety concern that allowing RVs as well would just compound that issue. In an already very car centric city we should be trying to move away from multiple vehicles on properties to even less, not more.
No. There are enough issues with kids trying to ride bikes in the summer with vehicles parked all over the place. If RVs are being parked in driveways then other vehicles will be on the road likely. Safety concern for kids and other pedestrians. Plus driving, hard to see around them,
No. There are houses that park for 36 hours or longer and we had so many incidents that could have resulted in kids casualties because cars cannot see the kids walking across the road especially around a corner. It is a safety hazard. Also not all RVs fit into driveways and they always park it and sticks out so we have to walk around it on a road. Kids don't understand when it comes to things like this when needing to step out to the road so we are always worried for their safety.
No. There are literally hundreds of acres of RV parking around Calgary. As if Bylaw has enough resources to police this too.
No. There are many communities that can not support the additional vehicles. It's also dangerous when you can not see around large vehicles while driving.
No. There are no shortage of rv storage sites in and around the city. There's already a shortage of parking on streets for vehicles as it is.
No. There are plenty of places to store such items properly. Having tonnes of RV's makes a neighbourhood look junky and often obstructs sidewalks.
No. There are RV lots for this. I would never buy a house with an RV out front. Bad enough they are in the back lanes. Terrible eyesore and decrease in property value.
No. There are safety concerns regarding visibility as well as the obvious eye sore.
No. There are too many residential streets that are too narrow to have excess vehicles on the streets. Most recreation vehicles are even wider which make single lane passing restrictive.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

With many street with playgrounds and schools, visibility would be even more restricted. We had a travel trailer and 36 hours is more than efficient.
No. There are too many RVs around all summer either parked in driveways or on the street. They are an eyesore and take away parking in the community.
No. There is a huge safety issue with sight lines being obscured with surrounding homes. The small amount of room between homes makes this an even bigger issue. People who purchased these can park in the back of their lot while not putting neighbours safety at higher risk for thefts. They purchased these recreational vehicles knowing the rules. What's to stop people from using neighbours driveways while backing these up onto their own drives and possibly causing damage with low riding hitches?
No. There is a huge tendency for these to become a residence for seasonal living. Creates additional vehicle parking and much more street activity and noise issues.
No. There is already a huge problem in our community with parking on the streets making it very dangerous. Allowing RV longer parking on driveways would increase the numbers of cars on the streets impacting drivers view etc (too many people already park too close to intersections/stop signs).
No. There is an increased risk of leakage from the sewer and water tanks. it also opening up the possibility of people staying on the trailers/rvs while on someone's driveway. The city can hardly the number of bylaw infractions it currently has, why add to this? Also. The above listed cities are not cities Calgary should be modeling itself after. Lets look at the crimes rates and bylaw in fractions in these cities because of their seasonal RV parking.
No. There is continued abuse now and this would extend beyond any designated time. Current enforcement is slow and spotty. There is no obligation to anyone other than the owner to properly park an RV. A neighborhood will quickly become parking lots.
No. There is enough of a problem parking vehicles on the street without extra vehicles from driveways clogging up roads.
No. There is limited parking in our community in the warmer months, we can't park near our home, you can't see around them and they seem to have extension cords running across the sidewalks. If you buy these items they should be parked in the appropriate facility. Otherwise people are going to move them 5 feet every 36 hours and they'll never go away.
No. There is many RV owners who bend the rules already by parking on the street for more than 36 hours. They don't have a trailer that fits on their driveway but they park perpendicular to it, blocking the house. It covers the address plaque, the sun and its unsightly. Most streets do not have capacity for extra cars, let alone a travel trailer. If there was any concession maybe tent trailers only since their height when closed doesn't impede sunlight or block the house for aesthetics.
No. There is no need for it. 36 hours is plenty. People already abuse the 36 hours. Having the items listed above parked in driveways will block sight line and create a safety issues.
No. There is no way to ensure the RV in question is in good repair and not an eyesore.
No. There is not enough by-law officials to enforce what is unsightly or not. Also, you will have a ton of people putting the RV in their driveway on Air BnB and having family stay for the summer.
No. There isn't enough parking as it is. It also does not look nice in the neighborhood
No. There isn't enough parking or visibility.
No. There's already a parking problem in my neighborhood with vehicles blocking driveways more often than not. There's not room for extra large vehicles and other items.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. There's no need to have an RV parked in front for the season. However a week is not a bad idea.
No. There's not enough street parking as it is.
No. These vehicles are large and are an eye sore to look at. They also create safety a hazard as it makes it difficult to see around when backing out of the driveway and for pedestrians to see cars coming out of driveways.
No. These are huge vehicles that are unsightly and greatly interrupt the aesthetic of the neighbourhood and sight lines.
No. These are inconvenient for neighbours.
No. These are massive vehicles that would be unsightly. Given the cost of housing, I think people might feel inclined to rent them out and live in them year round. This would cause more disturbances more vehicles. The rvs might also dump and cause noise running generators and more fumes.
No. These campers and RV's create barriers for our children to play/ride bikes safely in front of our homes. I cannot see my children when they are blocked by giant vehicles. They also make a community look cluttered. Between the garbage bins and RV's there is too much stuff in front of peoples houses.
No. These large vehicles block parking, make streets harder to see and less accessible and do not belong for extended period of times.
No. These massive things are eye sores. Some are very poorly maintained and they decrease curb appeal while I'm trying to sell my home.
No. These massive trailers block sight lines and too often, sidewalks. I do think visitors should be allowed to park for 48 hours on the street tho.
No. These monstrosities are unsightly and dangerous as they limit visibility and driving sight lines that dramatically impact driver/pedestrian safety.
No. These vehicles add visual clutter to the neighborhood.
No. These vehicles are an eyesore, limit visibility, and create other hazards as people have to work around them. I don't want my neighbourhood to feel like a parking lot for oversized vehicles. Many households that do not have a driveway already use the street or the alley as an extended parking lot, which makes for problems with accessing one's own garage or having parking space for service vehicles to one's home. There should be a consistent policy that all RVs must have a storage space away from the residential areas. Driveways should not be exempt. It is not the responsibility of neighbours to absorb the costs and effects of someone else's recreational vehicle.
No. These vehicles are being used all year round.
No. These vehicles are eye sores to the neighbours and bring down property values. Some of these vehicles are also in filthy conditions and would be disgusting to look at everyday.
No. These vehicles are often unsightly and even more often encroach on the sidewalk. Obstructing sightlines is also a concerns as convenience should not be allowed to negatively impact safety.
No. These vehicles are too large for residential areas. The additional time on a driveway puts more other vehicles on roads for extended periods. These units are unsightly and detract from the efforts many homeowners make to beautify the neighbourhood. The existing provisions are ample and owners of RVs should rely on storage facilities for periods between uses.
No. These vehicles are ugly and invite potential crime.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. These vehicles are unsightly to neighborhoods and can easily obstruct sightlines for driving and can be dangerous when children play around them and dart out from them.
No. These vehicles are visually obtrusive. They block sightlines and make streets unsafe. Children are put at risk when drivers can not see around a large vehicle to identify children playing. They do not add value to a community. They degrade property value.
No. They already block streets and have cords blocking sidewalks before and after summer/spring/fall weekends. People push the 36 hour rule endangering cyclists who are even less visible, making driver sight lines impossible, creating sidewalk mobility issues (cords), and making it tricky to find a spot to put blue, green and black bins. You want to be a green city - quit bowing down to gas guzzlers.
No. They are a huge sightline obstruction.
No. They are a lot of places in Calgary where people can park RV at this places. Bylaw should be in force for people who have number of vehicles parked in streets as they do not have enough room in at their property or in condominiums.
No. They are a safety concern. You can't see around them. Makes it more dangerous for kids playing on the street. Will also attract more theft.
No. They are an eye sore and makes the area look trashy
No. They are an eye sore and reduce curb appeal for those homes that do not have a lane. The bylaws were put in place for a reason and just because other municipalities have changed doesnt mean we should. Commercial vehicles are not allowed nor should trailers, RVs and boats. There are plenty of of lots available to store these vehicles.
No. They are an eye sore and they create congestion in a city that is becoming more crowded. The city has difficulty enforcing the bylaw already. Why make it more complicated?
No. They are an eyesore and limit driver visibility of pedestrians and cyclists going by.
No. They are an eyesore and make the streets crowded. Stopping in to load or unload for a few hours is fine but over night should not be allowed.
NO. They are an eyesore and potentially dangerous as they can limit vision around them.
No. They are an eyesore and reduce appeal of neighbourhood.
No. They are an eyesore and we all have to abide by certain requirements for esthetics or community design, whether it's height of an addition or using a driveway for storage. Calgary's housing is already generally unfriendly, with doors hidden behind huge garages and limited tree canopy and this will just continue to reduce the attractiveness. I think it could also be a slippery slope of allowing whatever owners want without restrictions. I can store my rundown RV so I can also park my old sofa
No. They are an eyesore, they make it hard to drive safely in and out of the neighborhood because they are enormous, and if people start living in them parked on the street they will be a magnet for crime.
No. They are an eyesore.
No. They are both eyesores in a community but often also block sight lines for intersections when parked on driveways adjacent to intersections or corners.
No. They are eye sores and if you have one you should be responsible for appropriate designated storage that does not impact the view or feel of the street for your neighbours.
No. They are eyesores and can lead to an increase in crime as thieves may try to break into them. If you allow them on driveways for extended periods, what's going to stop people from actually living in them???
No. They are horribly unsightly, this will create parking issues as most homes will have to park their cars on the road then. There are already issues with too many vehicles parked in



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

neighbourhoods, I think this is ridiculous. If you're going to purchase an RV, it should be with the notion that you will need to pay to store it somewhere not in your neighbourhood
No. They are large and not always well maintained. Block the beauty of the streets and homes. They take up space on the sidewalk. They block sight for children on bikes and could increase risk of accidents and injuries. If parked in a stall will be large for the stall and then block other parking spots or limit them.
No. They are large and typically extend off owners property onto City property. ie sidewalks. They are also an eye sore.
No. They are large and unsightly. The sense of security is only for the owners. But for other in the community like walkers, joggers, dog walkers etc, they block sight lines. Reduced visibility of the area around can be dangerous. They can block from seeing even other pedestrians including those with dogs. It also provides hiding places. Not only for predators but thieves as well.
No. They are large vehicles and I have no desire to look at one blocking my view all summer. It seems that whenever a bylaw is relaxed, things start a downward spiral. (For example, a reasonable amount of building material on a property. It may be reasonable, but 10 years old and rotting, but that's okay). Houses are too close together. Maybe extend the hours to 72, I have no issues with that.
No. They are not able to be effectively managed by the city and therefore this will be abused and will eventually become a full time residence, eye sore and reduce property values. E.g. cousin Eddie from Christmas vacation.
No. They are obstructions to sight lines and look awful. We live in neighborhoods, not RV trailer parks. If you own an RV, you need to own the responsibility of finding a place to store it. Please keep out neighbourhoods beautiful, friendly, and unobstructed without these eyesores. People already don't adhere to the 36 hour rule and these RVs Intrude into side walks and cause safety hazards. They block the sun and can have people living in them for extended periods.
No. They are so ugly and bulky in general. They obstruct the beauty of our neighbourhoods
No. They are too big and could block the vision of other vehicles driving by which could result in pedestrians being struck.
No. They are ugly, and street parking in our neighborhood is already a major issue. In the evening, finding a spot on the street is far from guaranteed. People sometimes illegally block the laneway by parking there because they couldn't find street parking. If more spots were taken up by RVs, this would exacerbate the problem.
No. They are ugly, block the views of neighbours, collect garbage and detritus.
NO. They are ugly, obstruct the view of vehicles passing by, and if they are allowed to stay for extended periods people will use them for accommodation.
No. They are unsightly . They make a community look unkept , looking more like a parking lot. They will decrease property values and hinder the sale of neighbouring properties. No doubt people will start residing in these units leading to unlawful dumping of septic into local storm sewer , bbq ing on driveways and basic camping in front yards. How can the bylaw officers possible keep up with the complaints and violations?
No. They are unsightly and I wouldn't want to be looking out from front window to see that. Park in back yard, garage or at storage lot.
No. They are unsightly and large ones can block sunlight from neighbouring yards.
NO. They are unsightly and many are in very poor condition and look very BAD. They restrict views from neighboring front windows and make the area look like a junk yard. This will





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

destroy the neighborhoods appeal. If you can afford a RV then you can afford a storage yard for it.
No. They are unsightly and opens up the chance that people will park on the street full time, which is unsafe as it blocks sight lines and takes up too much space on the road. RVs on front driveways can also encroach in on the sidewalk, which is not safe.
No. They are unsightly no matter what condition they are in.
No. They are unsightly, block visibility and cause parking issues. In addition, the city does not enforce the current bylaw so we have had motor homes parked for weeks and months illegally.
No. They are unsightly, limit site lines and even obstruct especially on corner lots. Parking anywhere on residential should not be allowed for extended periods.
No. They are unsightly. Take up already scarce space and are difficult to see around.
No. They are usually large vehicles and obstruct site lines for safety. They often hang out over sidewalks when parked in driveways.
No. They belong in storage.
No. They block sight when you are driving. They often block the sidewalk. They are often not taken care of.
No. They block the view of the neighborhood
No. They block the views of traffic and encroach on the roads
No. They block the visual safety of drivers to pedestrians. Their are unsightly. They leak fluids.
No. They block views when backing out of driveways. In the winter the snow melts off the roof and would leak onto the sidewalks and driveways
No. They block visibility, and the roads are already narrow. They can also vary wildly from well-maintained to junky eyesores.
No. They can block driveways. It looks really bad. Obstructs views if on the street. Looks trashy. Some streets are narrow so unsafe for 2 way traffic, ie 2 cars driving on a street opposite ways. Unsafe for kids, ie obstructing views. So many reasons to not do this, so that's why the bylaw is in place...
No. They can cause blind spots.
No. They create a hazard by obstructing views and, in case of emergency, cannot be moved without another vehicle
No. They create a hazard for children who are out and about. The RV's block other vehicles view when backing out of their driveways. They also create an eye sore in the community. No one wants to live in a neighbourhood that looks like a parking lot. I am ok with them being there for 48-36 hours to allow for packing up etc but nothing longer.
No. They create congestion on the streets and block the sidewalks for pedestrians.
No. They create issues trying to see when turning, and are attractive to pretty criminals. They also create parking issues as possible no longer have room to park multiple vehicles on their own driveway. They then are taking street spots from other residents and their visitors. I realize it's first come first serve, but people with accessibility issues may struggle to walk multiple blocks in the winter to their homes.
NO. They detract from my enjoyment of my neighborhood/ home. They are esthetically unpleasant. They definitely reduce my property value. THEY WILL CAUSE PARKING PROBLEMS. People will park on the street because the RV is in driveway - therefore I will not be able to park in front of my home. I do not want this !

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. They detract from the attractiveness of the neighbourhood. They are often very large and block views and even sun for some time depending on where they are in relation to a neighbour's property. Some of them are pretty beaten up and don't belong in front. I understand that the cost of parking is an issue but it is part of the choice an owner makes when he decides to purchase.
No. They don't fit on driveways properly with the hitches blocking sidewalks a lot of the time. This is an accessibility issue for those that need the sidewalks. Parking is limited in most communities as is and this then means more parking on streets. For Some reason, most people use their garages for storage and park in their driveways. There is also many multi generation households with 2+ cars which also adds to crowded street parking. If you can't afford storage, you can't afford a trailer.
No. They encroach onto sidewalks.
No. They have storage facilities for that purpose. That is how the direct neighbours resale value is affected. Shouldn't be permitted. Next thing you know, there will be "junkers" amassing in driveways.
No. They impact the beauty of the street scape and ultimately there will be instances of decrepit "units" creating even more of an eye site. Additionally large units block sunlight.
No. They look awful. Curb appeal is terrible
No. They look bad and can present a safety hazard. When parked in a neighbourhood, in practice they can block sidewalks and roadways, regardless of bylaws to keep the RV on a driveway. This is especially true in neighbourhoods without driveways
No. They make the front of homes look like a parking lot. They reduce visibility in the neighborhood.
No. They make the street less safe, especially if people stay in them. Unfortunately, some people push the limits and park very large vehicles, and either have "visitors" stay in them, or allow them to become derelict.
No. They need to be stored in a proper facility
No. They obscure sightlines for traffic, decrease the neighborhood's aesthetics, and ultimately bring down the value of the area for everyone. I believe it will increase crime from break ins and theft. Who and how will it be determined if an RV is in poor condition? Parked RVs for an extended period of time belong in an RV secure lot, NOT on someones driveway for 6 months. What a stupid idea!
No. They obscure vision when backing out of driveways. I don't wanna see someone else's used sport, utility vehicle or camper trailer on the driveway especially when it's the front of my house and it doesn't stop them from being robbed or broken into because it happens just as much on peoples driveways you buy a camper you know you can't store it at your home unless it's in the back of your yard. You know that you need to spend money to have it and store it.
No. They obstruct sight lines and are a safety hazard. Infill lots are often narrow and they take up too much space causing parking problems for others as the driveway is taken up by the RV. The current time line should meet their needs for convenience. Esthetically, they are a problem as they loom large preventing clear and safe site lines. They are an additional invitation for breakins to vehicles and homes.
No. They obstruct sightlines from ones own property and degregate the esthetics of the community and neighborhood.
NO. They obstruct the view when leaving the driveway. The community will not look nice and tidy



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. They obstruct vehicles on either side from seeing road tragic endangering drivers and pedestrians.
No. They obstruct views and pose potential dangers with children and/or pets running out from sidewalks reducing response time for people driving by. These changes offer no benefits to the overall neighborhood but encourage abuse. It is common for propane tanks to be jutting out onto sidewalks and these units to be protruding onto sidewalks. Calling the city for these infractions only agitates people and causes neighbors to accuse one another of "being nosey".
No. They obstruct views of greenery, are unsightly, and lead to neighborhoods feeling cramped. Given the size, they can also obstruct traffic views and can be a major hazard.
No. They obstruct views, they are not aesthetically pleasing, they smell in the heat, safety to see around and safety of people/kids/animals popping out from behind. I would be open to allowing small and short- no taller or longer than a regular vehicle, tent trailer or boat to be allowed on people's driveways for summer but nothing bigger. Owners bought these to camp/travel/boat with not to live in their driveways and obstruct safety sight lines. There are no benefits to none rv owners only negative impacts. I realize it could save the owner money & time but that is part of responsibilities you sign up for when choosing to own a rv. None owners should not have endure them more than 36hr
No. They obstruct visibility on roadways which provides a dangerous situation for children and drivers. Enforcement on removal due to poor conditions would require residents to put a complaint in which isn't fair to residents to deal with. Also they are unsightly. A small trailer isn't bad but most rvs these days are as large as a semi trailers. I wouldn't want a giant vehicle obstructing my view out my window or being next to my yard while I'm finally able to go outside to enjoy the summer.
No. They often encroach on public sidewalks or neighbouring property. They limit sight lines which is a hazard to pedestrians and drivers. Unsightly RV's negativity affect neighbourhood aesthetics and even property values. Leads to more vehicles being parked on the street where street parking is already very limited in residential areas.
No. They often encroach on roadways, sidewalks and are unsightly and often of high height, blocking light from neighbours yards. They will be used for other purposes other than intended use. Storage, overnight visitors. Children playing which can be dangerous with the possibility of fires due to propane being left in. Having owned a motor home 36 hours is quite sufficient for loading, unloading and cleaning
No. They over hang on side walks, electric cords are a hazard. They look tacky
No. They provide hiding spots for house breakings, they are unsightly, they block sight lines between neighbours causing less interaction, and provide space to use as an unlicensed suite.
No. They should be parked in the back of the house or at a storage area. Even parked in the backyard they should be under time restrictions.
No. They still block sight lines. They very often encroach on the road or sidewalk.
No. They take up so much space in already over crowded neighborhoods. They look unsightly. If someone can afford to buy one, they can afford to store it.
No. They take up too much space often blocking access to driveways
No. They take up valuable parking where there already is very little. They are a hazard as they block views for drivers.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. They usually overhang on the sidewalk, they're unsightly, and then people have to park on the road because their driveway is full with their trailer. It just makes a mess of the neighbourhood.
No. They're an eye sore and often extend into the sidewalk line
No. They're big and unsightly and if they are parked in a persons driveway then that increases the number of vehicles being parked on the street and detracts from the neighborhood. More cars parked on residential streets increases dangers for kids and cyclists out on the streets playing and recreating. Current regulations are fine and people who currently have RVs should have been aware of them When they purchased their RV.
No. They're a safety issue=> increased attention from flagrant wanting to steal contents or cat converters. They're an eye sore and most importantly some RV owners allow guests over summer which cause a sanity concerns.
No. Things like poor condition and being "unsightly" are not easily defined and therefore not easy to enforce.
No. Thirty-six hours is enough for packing/unpacking and puts vehicles on the street. Besides the dangers for children and eye sores for neighbours and visitors, it is an inconvenience for service vehicles, deliveries, EMS, city snow removal and street cleaning. On our street recently, one residence had 5 vehicles on the street, plus a tent trailer on the front lawn. The home opposite had 4 vehicles, all parked on the street. (The garages were full of other storage.)
No. This adds options for RVs to stay and quite often block traffic. Most new neighborhoods have narrow roads and this would create more issues. Also need to define what is unsightly.
No. This could lead to the rv's being rented as an Airbnb impacting street parking availability
No. This is a distraction in the neighbourhood.
No. This is the doorway to an unacceptably high usage rate per property of City services with no commensurate tax revenue. Parking permits for mobile living spaces should be \$10/day after 36 hours. This is another example of a minority interest pushing for changes that only benefit them and inconvenience others. In any event, any change to the existing bylaw should be on a community to community basis, not Calgary-wide.
No. This limits visibility of the street, impacts safety when parking or backing out as a neighbor and encourages crime and break-ins which is rampant in our community.
No. This obstructs sight lines to the neighbour who is trying to exit their driveway and is very unsafe. It decreases curb appeal and leads to clutter collecting on the front driveway. It is noisy and disruptive as these RV owners are constantly going in and out and may even try to fix them. It leads to more cars being parked on the street which means only one car can pass through at a time and makes the neighbourhood look like a used car parking lot !
No. This only further causes other vehicles (cars) to use the road as private parking and encroaches on public streets and contributes to congestion. Not to mention it is an eyesore.
No. This will cause problems between neighbours, block views of your surroundings. Some might use it to house guests/ tenants. If you choose to have an RV, it should become your communities issue to store it. Spring to Fall is most of the year.
No. This will create safety issues with obstructed sightlines for others trying to back out of their garages, increase people parking on the street when they have multiple vehicles as they will not be able to park in their garage or on their garage pad increasing the challenge for visitors to find parking, unsightly (don't want to look at it out my front window), challenge as to

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

who defines what is an "unsightly" or poor condition vehicle (subjective), multiple RV's parked at one home.
No. This will increase on street parking and that combined with large box like vehicles parked on driveways will limit visibility (including people and children running out from behind these vehicles). Increased street traffic will also hinder collection of waste and recycling bins.
No. This would effect safety, sightlines, impediments to sidewalks and general aesthetics. I would support an extension to 48 hours only.
No. This would not mitigate the established impacts of allowing RV parking. This would not benefit the majority of residents and in fact it would inconvenience the majority of Calgary residents. Vancouver (Bylaw 2849) restricts the parking of large vehicles <a href="https://vancouver.ca/streets-transportation/large-vehicles.aspx">https://vancouver.ca/streets-transportation/large-vehicles.aspx</a>
No. This would spiral out of control
No. To many people taking advantage. We already have a problem with multiple cars at a house and streets being full. The visibility issues they cause for cars and pedestrians. A small portion of peoples trailers actually fit in their driveway, and already hang over sidewalk!
No. Too crowded, dangerous for kids trying to bike by, can't see your neighbour's houses. If it can fit in a driveway that might be ok but if it over hangs, its dangerous. Most RVs in our neighbourhood do not fit on a driveway. They barely fit on the street without crossing our driveway.
No. Too large a vehicle and creating safety and obstruction problems. Should be limited.
No. Too many cars are already parking in the neighborhood. RV parking would make it worse. Do not want neighborhood looking like a RV lot or RV recreation area. Keep current bylaws.
NO. Too many rvs will make neighborhoods parking worse
No. Too much cluster and eye sores in the neighborhood.
No. Too much mess and clutter with big vehicles out front. If people can afford RVs they should properly store them in their back yards or at a storage facility.
No. Trailers and RVs do not fit well on residential driveways and are an eyesore. They are rarely parked well and often are on the wrong side of the road with a big cable going across the road to them. Put them in a parking yard meant for rvs and trailers please.
No. Trailers block views and I would be concerned about kids and pedestrians in residential areas. Trailers are in unsightly and very few fit on driveways so streets would be blocked up. Trailers impact curb appeal and the overall open air aspect of communities.
No. Trailers have grown from little tent trailers to massive structures. The negative aesthetic impact on a community (especially those with narrow lots and front garages) is huge. We have setbacks in place for the house for the same reason. Fences, sheds, garages can't be built forward on the lot for the same reason. A 36 hour window is reasonable to load/unload then move it. There are costs that come with owning an RV and storage is one of them. This cost should not be shifted to neighbours.
No. Trailers parked on front driveways are eyesores, particularly if they are not well maintained. How would the condition of an RV be determined and by whom? Given the additional height and length vs. a car/truck, they obstruct sightlines to a greater degree. However, I would support extending the time an RV can be parked in a driveway to 72 hours since 36 hours is a bit short for packing/unloading and RV owners should have the opportunity to perform minor maintenance activities.
No. transient population, decline in property values, safety concern, garbage collection,





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. Typically during the current 36hr period the majority of RV owners are unable to follow the current bylaws of NOT blocking/hanging onto the road or creating obstructed sightlines. RV owners already are parking on the streets and blocking roadways and parking authority rarely comes out.
No. Ugly
No. Ugly and blocks view.
No. Unless parked on a relatively straight street, even in a driveway, RVs consistently block line of sight for drivers. 36 hours is plenty of time to load/unload/maintain.
No. Unless someone who needs housing is living in it. Otherwise park you RV out of sight please.
No. Unsightly
No. Unsightly & get used on the property not just stored
No. Unsightly and blocks view. Roadway parking (especially of large trucks) already a problem.
No. Unsightly and concern about people living in the RV or being rented out as airbnbs.
No. Unsightly and dangerous site lines. Will make residential areas look fun down.
No. Unsightly and hinders sight lines.
No. Unsightly and obstructed sightlines.
No. Unsightly and obstructs vision. Larger units tend to encroach sidewalks. The weight of some of these motor homes are damaging the sidewalks as they cross them. If you can afford a Recreational Vehicle, then you can afford a proper storage facility.
No. Unsightly and potential safety risks, especially to younger children.
No. Unsightly and puts more vehicles on streets which reduces other parking.
No. Unsightly and results in homeowners parking their private vehicles on the road leading to even less road parking availability.
No. Unsightly and safety concern for pedestrians as they often are not fully on driveways. We would support 48 hour though.
No. Unsightly and unsafe and commercial options exist
No. Unsightly for neighborhood
No. Unsightly in the neighborhood, would drastically affect visibility on certain community roads, would drastically negatively affect the amount of street parking (as those who normally park in their driveways would now park on the road).
No. Unsightly is a subjective word. What is unsightly for one person may not be the same for the owner. I prefer not to have a monstrosity parked in a driveway blocking the sun or my enjoyment of my view.
No. Unsightly, encroaching on views, not able to see on two roads as well, animals other critters being in and around.
No. Unsightly, potential to block sight lines and sidewalks, too much leeway for misuse/hard to enforce for an already taxed system.
No. Unsightly. Cant see well for visibility. Hitches would be sticking out on the sidewalk.
No. Unsightly. If you buy one, storage in a proper facility should be a considered cost of ownership. Neighbours and neighborhoods should not have to tolerate them invading for a summer. Also, people could and would use them for having additional people stay over and then sleep there.
No. Unsightly. Leads to environmental concerns bcse trailer owners wash them (and sometimes dump various messes) on driveways even though it is illegal to do so for cars. Hard to see it back out especially in a cul de sac. Limits parking on street already as people



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

who have an RV on their driveway then need to park their own cars on the street instead of in the garage or driveway. Allowing longer length leads to more people using it for guests and running cords for power onto street & sidewalks
NO. Unsightly. Obstructed sight lines.
No. Unsightly. Safety concerns: unable to see roads/sidewalks with big vehicles impeding vision. We have crazy drivers that have near misses with pedestrians already. Don't add in giant vehicles that block sight lines to streets and sidewalks. Driveways are too short for most RV type vehicles.
No. Unsightly. Streets will become so cluttered and lose the neighbourhood feel.
No. Very dangerous for sight lines. For both vehicles and children. Put more vehicles on the road as recreational vehicles are parked on driveways. This is also not enough parking in several areas that will only make it worse. People already have too much crap and this change to the current Bi-law would only make that worse. Bottom line Safety should never be compromised for more convenience!
No. Very unsightly and will take up on street parking for other vehicles used regularly.
No. Very unsightly.
No. Visibility concerns with children and higher traffic roads surrounding my home make this a nonstarter for me.
No. Visibility, aesthetics, become temporary sleeping arrangements.
No. Visibility, ugly. Not all RVs are the same quality, size and age. People would rent out and live in RVs if they could be parked all season
No. Visibility, unsightly, safety and not enough space for everyone to park now! If they park their RV / alternative vehicle in their driveway that just means that they are talking up additional other shared spaces with their daily vehicles.
No. Visibility/safety and aesthetic concerns primarily. Possibility of hitches sticking out into public portions of the road (sidewalks) as a secondary concern.
No. Visual obstruction, narrowing of roads, unsightly, overfull/leaking waste and water tanks, safety, aesthetics.
No. Visually unappealing and safety hazard due to obstructed sight lines
No. Waste of space. Make them pay for that right.
No. We already have issues with illegal parking. Having RVs parked has created safety & visibility issues. Attraction to thieves has Increased not to mention how unsightly it is.
No. We already have people who break current bylaws and park for a week at a time obstructing views and making our streets crowded, unsightly And obstructing drivers views. It would become much worse if people could park all summer long. As well, visitors would possibly stay in the RVs as a vacation location.
No. We already have problems with people far exceeding the 36 hour limit, including living in those recreational vehicles. There are the inevitable power cords strung across sidewalks to navigate let alone dealing with the comings and going's and the challenges of parking and navigating them on city streets with limited space. They leave long enough to empty the waste tank or get gas, and they're back again. A recreational vehicle is for recreation. Driveways are not taxed as habitable property.
No. We already have two daycares in the area that park their busses on the road which reduces visibility significantly. Adding large RVs/trailers to this will significantly reduce the already poor visibility.
No. We are 1 house from the corner and it makes it difficult to back out- we often have a horse trailer parked on the street behind our driveway and a trailer on the driveway beside us



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

impeding the sight line to an intersection that has had several accidents at. It also means there is no street parking for visitors all summer long and into fall.
No. We are short of street parking already and this would make the downtown parking even less available.
No. We didn't buy our home to live in a parking lot. People should consider where this tv will be parked long term before buying it.
No. We don't even have room for most cars. With some families having more than one vehicle. Why would the city want to even consider this. No way
No. We had a motor home from our neighbour that was allowed for about 36 days by the city, contrary to its bylaws. In our shared driveway, this was an ugly sight that made entry and exit from our driveway dangerous. There is additional danger for children in thee neighbourhood, from many of these RVs because they will not be maintained, contrary to a vehicle that is driven several times per week. In the picture that you show, there is a risk from the propane tank, from the blocks preventing it from rolling not being maintained or adjusted properly, etc. Give me another picture and I will comment on the safety, etc. This change in bylaws would add many clauses and exceptions and regulations.
No. We had a neighbour that left such vehicles in their driveway. We could not see up the street while backing out of our driveway, not can other drivers see us. It is very dangerous. Two vehicles in driveway, large one on the street - very narrow window to see oncoming traffic. 36 hours is more than enough. Viability is not just reduced but almost eliminated.
No. We had an RV for many years. It was stored offsite. Throughout those years we managed within the 36 hour window. And just because other municipalities are willing to put up with obstructed sight lines, reduced safety & esthetics doesn't mean we have to.
No. We have 3 neighbours in close vecinity from each other parking their trailers simultaneously for weeks at a time (sometimes for the whole 3 summer months) some on their driveways and some on the road making traffic on the street really difficult. Furthermore, the one that parks on the road has the rooms of the trailer extended making impossible for drivers to see the road and blocking our access to our own driveway! We were hoping for the city to reduce the parking time not to extend it !!!
No. We have a corner lot and RVS on adjacent driveways block the view of the street as it curves. Our children cannot safely cross the street as incoming cars have no clear view.
no. we have a neighbour who does that and there are times folks appear stay in it parked on the driveway and their cars are spilled on the road in part due to not using the garage. Our road has a bend and reversing out the driveway though slowly with such a vehicle is a safety issue.
It is bad enough with pickup trucks that increasingly get bigger by the year.
No. We have enough cars parked on the road and it is already difficult to get a clear sight without having overly large vehicles parked for weeks on end. If people cant afford a proper parking spot they shouldn't be getting a RV.
No. We have experienced the blight of RVs in Meadowlark Park for years, and even the 36 hour limitation isn't enough to deter extended, illegal parking. We have even experienced people using very poor condition RVs as secondary suites on a front driveway adjacent to us. Seasonal parking would only compound these issues.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

36 hours is easily adequate to load, unload, and prepare an RV for proper use. This limit should not be extended.
No. We have had experiences with people living in a camper in the driveway and a 5th-wheel parked on the front lawn on our street. Currently we have a trailer that has spent the past 3 summers parked on the driveway. Bylaw comes by and warns the few trailers which park regularly on our street One owner simply refuses to move his trailer. We know that even with the rules in place for this not to happen it is a regular occurrence. If permitted our street would soon resemble a campground.
No. We have had our neighbours park their RV in their front driveway up to 4 months. They used it to house visitors who partied in it at all hours. In addition it blocked site lines when backing out of our driveway. We have other RV owners who only load and unload.
No. We have many children in the neighbourhood and it's hard enough to see them when there are trucks on the driveways let alone RVs.
No. We have neighbours who often park their large trailer RVs blocking or partially blocking pedestrian crossing points. It's hard to see the pedestrians behind this large trailer-RV until the last minute. It's also a T junction - the RV makes it inconvenient/unsafe for traffic negotiating junction. Another RV trailer is sometimes parked for days close to a 90 degree bend in the road causing some traffic disruption. We've neighbours who willingly obey the byelaw and use nearby large RV parking.
No. We have no driveways. Our neighbour parks his fifth wheel for days at a time in front of his house. Wrecks the neighbourhood.
No. We have owners in our area parking their RV's on their front lawn.
No. We have several large RV's in the neighbourhood who constantly take advantage of the parking bylaws already, moving their campers 1/4 block every 36 hours and parking up against alley exits, roadways and traffic circles completely blocking views to the street for residents exiting their alleys and driveways. The only thing that makes it remotely bearable is the awareness that it will (in theory) be temporary, having it be 'seasonal' parking has serious safety and security implications.
No. We have very limited parking in our neighbourhood to begin with. I can see an RV being parked over night to facilitate departure but only one day at most. People who want RVs need to plan where they live rather than put the issue on neighbours.
No. We have very little street parking. With driveways being used for seasonal front driveway parking, personal vehicles will be on the road.
No. We live in a quiet street with many little kids. We already have significant safety concerns when RVs are parked for loading and unloading. significant increase in the number of trailers on our block where at times home owners can't find enough space to park RV close to home or other vehicles. Blocking access to other vehicles for visitors. People using garbage bins to reserve space to park their RV.
No. We live in a suburban community with tight yards. Parking on our street is already congested and RVs and trailers will only further add to the issue. They are also an incredible eyesore and potentially dangerous as they obstruct sightlines in communities where kids live, go to school and play.
No. We live on a curved road ..already it is hard to see children on the road with just the cars parked on it.
No. We live on a school bus route, and our road curves and is on a hill. If people parked RVs in their driveways, it would be harder for kids to see if someone is backing out of another



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

driveway, for instance, and harder for us to see anyone coming along the sidewalk when backing out of our driveway. It is also unsightly and would block the limited sunshine, leaving more icy patches.
No. We live on a zero tolerance lot with the neighbors driveway butting right up to our lawn. Have a view lot of mountains and city to the north. RV or trailer parking raises concerns for all three noted issues above and we are assessed higher because of our location. To try and sell with an obstruction would severely impact my home value. Trailers also being moved can result in the truck driving over our yard. Lived here for 30+ years and never had an issue as old neighbor used garage and parked between houses. Zero obstruction. Now park 2 vehicles right outside my window including large crew cab. Had a trailer there for 4 months and it drove me crazy. Blocked view to my front door from N.
No. We need less parking not more - we need to make room for vulnerable road users like people walking and biking. Safety for them is a top priority. We need bike lanes and less parking. We need higher density and less urban sprawl. Urban sprawl is expensive. To have more resident density will put pressure on parking - we do not need RVs adding to that pressure.
No. We owned travel trailers for 20 years in Calgary and had no problems with the time limit allowed on front driveway. It could be extended to 48 hours from 36. I don't want to look out my front window and look down the street just to see a side of a trailer 30 ft away. If people can afford to buy RV's then they should budget for storage for them if they don't have an appropriate spot on their property.
No. We really appreciate the aesthetic of our community. It's a place we come home to everyday and are proud of. We feel that trailers parked on driveways are an eyesore and cause issues a lot of neighborly issues. For example, we live in a cul de sac and our neighbours trailer is so long that it extends into the sidewalk and because of the close proximity of our driveway makes it difficult to maneuver our cars in and out of our garage.
No. We should discourage RVs. People shouldn't use them.
No. When a purchaser buys an RV they should be aware of existing by-laws and budget for off-home storage.
No. When people park their RV in their driveway then their everyday vehicle(s) are parked on the street for EXTENDED periods of time. This results in less street parking for visitors and sight lines are obstructed by these vehicles.
no. when purchasing property, those own it and shall store whatever pleased in the legal area and safe area. if someone wants to store an RV, boat, etc, they should be allowed. in the winter, they get winterised therefore storing is important.
No. When RVs are parked on driveways, cars need to be parked elsewhere. In our experience, this interferes with our abilities to access our driveway with ease and does not allow for extra street parking for guests. In addition many of the RVs parked near our home over the summer impede sidewalk access—thereby adding extra burden onto bylaw to manage.
No. When you are in a community of reasonable & above average homes, your front area should be kept in a viewable, pristine condition, not like a junkyard of Big Objects. These trailer can be stored in the regular RV storage yard as expected.
No. Where I am having seasonal driveway parking would impair the ability to see if there is oncoming traffic.
No. where is going be the boundary to specified and control the situation that follow by all residents.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NO. Who needs the hassle? My landlord doesn't even let us park rv's in our parking spots. And parking nightmare for everyone else. I don't understand why this is even being considered.
No. Why not? 1.short, narrow, sloping driveways - any guidelines? 2.rent driveway space to anyone who will pay = lessor/lessee/liability/rental agreement considerations? 3.why do RV storage lots have fences and locked gates? ...known benefits: "sense of security"...hogwash - I just had my catalytic converter engraved. 3.other uses for trailers: guest room, play room, sleep-overs, party room, storage space, work shop ...need to hire more Bylaw Officers.
No. With added secondary suites in our area, street parking has become limited. Having larger vehicles parking for extended times not only ruins the look of the street but also affects cars passing one another on the street. Safety is also compromised fir crossing the street.
No. With rents at all time highs I worry that our neighborhoods will turn into temporary rented shelters of RVs in front drives. The only reason that RVs should be in neighbourhoods are for loading/unloading.
No. With the streets already being very narrower and average residential plot area being smaller in the newer communities like New Brighton, this will leave very limited space for children and families to have unobstructed and safer streets to enjoy the seasons. This will force kids indoors who most likely are locked up at their homes pretty much whole winter.
No. Would block my view.
No. Would block sight lines. Would be a negative impact on neighbourhood astetics.
No. Would not support seasonal parking as RVs are an eyesore and block the sightline of traffic and pedestrians.
No. You cannot ask for increased densification of a city while allowing for everyone's RV to be parked on their driveways. If people permanently park their RVs on their front driveways, then their personal vehicles will end up on the residential streets adding to the problem of too many cars per household.
No. You could perhaps extend the 36 hours to 48 hours or something similar. But these are often eyesores in neighbourhoods in my opinion. The current rules work, and should not be modified.
No. You need to have a place to park your RV that doesn't effect your neighbors. I have seen travel trailers parked and set up on the road in New Brighton and it looked terrible and disrespectful to the rest of the neighborhood.
no.
Allowing front driveway parking can lead to more cars on the street, which can increase traffic congestion and make it more difficult for emergency vehicles to navigate. It can also be unsightly and negatively impact the aesthetic of a neighborhood. It can also impede snow removal, and may lead to blocking sidewalks which may impede access for people with mobility issues.
No.
Obstructed sight lines, safety and esthetics.
Many inner city streets are too narrow to permit two way traffic with car parking on both sides of the street. The situation is worse in the winter when snow accumulates on the curbs. Having larger format vehicles such as RVs parked for extended periods undermines your ability to navigate streets safely.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Imagine having your front window view blocked by an RV for more than 36 hours. It undermines the quiet enjoyment of your home.
No.  Restricted site lines and creates an eye sore. Attracts property crime and lowers property values.
No. Campers / RV are by definition for recreation. Storage of such items should be considered prior to purchase, with current bylaws in mind.  These parked on streets will add safety risk to driving, and most concerning to children who may be hidden behind such large vehicles.
No. Causes blind spots for drivers/obstruction. Is an eyesore/not aesthetically pleasing.
No. For the very same reasons stated above; obstructed sightlines, esthetics and safety
No. Having large vehicles on driveways make it unsafe for neighbouring vehicles by blocking their view of oncoming traffic.
No. If they are using their parking spaces for their RV's their cars will be on the streets. These people do not make good neighbours. Don't encourage bad neighbours, that doesn't make for a good city. Not enough parking as it is.
No. It clutters up the neighborhood.
NO. It will result in more cars being parked on the street when there are already too many. People will use them as rental units, you know they will...come to Stampede, or for the summer, we have a trailer to rent. It will be insightful for the neighborhood. You want an RV the cost to store it is yours alone. It should not affect your neighbours.
No. Outside of being unsightly, from a safety perspective you can't see past them when trying to back out of the neighboring driveway.
No. PARKING FRICTION: With higher density neighbourhoods there is less space between homes on much smaller lots. This leads to less available parking for everyone. In older neighbourhoods, lots were massive and there was at least 3m between the houses. There is a struggle parking now just neighbours personal and commercial vehicles. Priority must be for commercial vehicles (plumber, HVAC, electrical etc) as they are on call and their services benefit Calgarians.  WEATHER: Unlike the cities mentioned in the survey, Calgary is located in the foothills of the





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Rocky Mountain with frequent weather swings leading to changing driving road conditions - very hard for Transit to get down my Hill now
No. Parking is already an issue in neighborhoods and the last thing we want is next steps to allow RV on the streets in front of peoples homes. I believe it should be no longer than 24 hrs as this is more than enough time to load an RV.
No. Potential for abuse, Unsightly, Increased density.
No. The current guidelines seem adequate.
No. The sight lines alone are a danger. In a cul de sac for example. Children play all the time and with an RV blocking sight lines it is unbelievably dangerous
No. Why can't there be some in between choice? Allow people to park their RVs for 5-7 days between weekend camping trips, without cluttering up neighborhoods for 4 months straight? Site lines for drivers and parked cars are a real issue, especially in areas with short drive ways.
No.. very unsightly . If one can own an RV one can afford storage.. in lower Mount Royal seeing RVs parked and using for living purposes - often contract labour for construction projects..
No... I have owned, and are in the process of buying another RV, but don't believe we should have them parked on the front drive or road for extended periods. 1. would be because of the aesthetics - I just believe they don't look great, and can't imagine having 10 of them parked on our small street. The second issue is they take up valuable parking spots - we have very limited spots as it is. I would agree to extended to 48 or 72 hours, but would not support full time parking of units in residential areas. The big issue today is that the current bylaw is not enforced. My neighbours have been parking their RF in the drive for 15+ years. I would not want to have one beside me
No... Owners should use RV/ Cargo & Utility Trailer storage locations
No... safety, congestion and unsightly
no.....it's unsightly
No....as indicated above the benefits identified are convenience, cost-effectiveness and sense of security. I fail to understand how people who own "RV's" as defined by your definition above, should have their benefits (privileges) extended while other communities in the city have to pay to park in-front of their own residence.
No....never
NO...having RVs on driveways is unsafe & unsightly, especially on a cul-de-sac. It's bad enough that the 3 garbage/compost/recycling bins have become part of the streetscape on a cul-de-sac. This all detract from the aesthetics of the area.
No...not at all.
No...we live in front of an elementary/junior high school....its hard to see padt personal vehicles ...impossible with rec trailers parked in the drive!
No..obstructed views..safety..unsightly. InConvenience and cost are part of OWNERSHIP



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No; sight obstruction and esthetically unattractive.
No; adds additional clutter and mess to neighborhoods. Owners also tend to park them so close to (or over!) the sidewalk you can't see past them.
No; because of the impacts listed above. Also the density of so many neighbourhoods now - on a 25' or 33' lot, there just isn't enough room to park an RV let alone personal vehicles & allow for proper sightlines. I also believe this could lead to permanent living spaces which will also create problems (illegal dumping, etc).
No; for all the reasons mentioned. Owner convenience and cost benefits do not outweigh the greater impact on neighborhood culture. If 36hrs is considered too short to be reasonable, extend the allowable duration to a few days. Extending to several months of a 'season' is inviting issues that will require more attention and bylaw enforcement (which you cannot fund without raising the taxes of everyone, RV owner or not).
No; not esthetically pleasing; newer/cleaner RVs are an eyesore whereas, older dirty RVs are beyond unpleasant obstruction provided for thieves it's convenient and cost-effective for RV owners; they should factor in storage costs into their purchase. Neighbours should not have to deal with this because an RV owner is a cheapskate
No; sight lines in neighbourhoods are already difficult.
No; unsightly and visibility
No; unsightly. 72hours seems fair.
No... for the reasons listed above
No... it creates too many obstructed sight lines, becomes a safety issue. and also limits parking spaces on our roads and in some cases may impede on other owners property.
NO... it may be convenient, cost effective a sense of security for the owners of the RVs; but it is not for the non-owners. I've had someone climb up the ladder on my neighbours RV and onto the ledge of my house and right to my upstairs bedroom window. I am deathly afraid that this will happen again if you allow this. ! I do not want this to happen: there will be more accidents backing out of driveways.
No... would be okay with extending parking on driveways for a week tops
No.....ugly, degrades the aesthetics of a neighbourhood. Could restrict a neighbors views.
No....it looks shabby and crowded and blocks view when parked close to intersections. There are plenty of RV storage lots around
No....it makes the streets very unsightly. Blocks views. A general big eyesore
No....the streets are crowded enough. They are dangerous for visibility. Not good with children.
No....trailers and rvs belong in a dedicated rv parking commercial area ..I believe it brings down property values and r in sitely
No.....they are an eye sore to the neighborhood. People choosing not to own an RV, shouldn't have to see them daily in their neighbours driveway. Their presence on the driveway can be a safety hazard.....obstructing visibility.
No.....There is a major fight for space here and people are inconsiderate. They even extend the pushouts into the streets for days on end, further impeding the streets.
No...congested street parking is the principal reason. Existing rules allow for side or rear parking which seems like a good compromise.
No...living in a community that has its fair share of RV's, I can honestly say that only 1 has been consistent in adhering to current bylaws. I walk my neighbourhood on a regular basis, 5

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

days a week at least. I have noticed in the last decade we have been getting young families moving in with RVs. The cords along the sidewalks, parking on the street and blocking line of site on corners and alleys, dumping raw sewage. I prefer to look at the beauty in my neighbourhood without blinders.
No...Obstruction to others, esthetics, safety.
No...safety of sight lines; even if encroaches on sidewalk people will still continue to park in driveway; city will do little about it. Too big for a driveway. Will become more of a problem for residents around them. May also allow people to stay in them overnight??
NO...unsightly, unsafe, some encroach on city property
No!, it is a eyesore to the community
No-if the RV vehicles are parked on the driveway, the vehicles end up being parked on the street adding to congestion.
nope, Calgary is not any of the communities listed above. It is absolutely ridiculous to allow seasonal parking of RVs. If you can afford the RV find a place in your yard or storage to park your beast.
Nope, due to the very likelihood of street congestion as the RV would take the place now used by the cars that then would be parked in the street ( garages are used a storage and not for parking vehicles)
Nope, we used to own a travel trailer and brought it home to load, unload, winterize and clean. I did not like it parked in front of our house for any more then 2 nights. It blocked views and made it difficult to back out of the garage. It was a beautiful trailer and not a junker, but even so, it become an eyesore. Also street parking is at a premium in most neighborhoods, so I cannot imagine having 10 out of 20 houses parking their RV's out front and then being mad there is no parking left.
Nope. Because it's an eyesore. It fills up street parking with cars because driveways are full. It limits visibility of playing children. I pay taxes, buy a nice house, mow my lawn, prune my trees, etc. I don't want to look at everyone's crap in their driveway all year. Storage lots are plentiful. If you can afford an RV, you can afford to park it off site. Go get it Wednesday or Thursday for the weekend trip.
Nope. We have a small cul de sac with not enough parking as it is - too many people do not use their back alley, and make themselves a parking pad or put up a garage. Some families have 4 cars. We do NOT need any trailer/motor home thing parked there year round. I don't want it parked in the alley either as we use our alley. Front lawn and front driveway, sure, but don't block the sidewalk
Nope.  I can see extending the 36 hour limit as people will just move their trailer around the block and park.  Go to Kelowna and drive down any street. Boats and RVs everywhere. It looks like [removed].
Not a chance. They obstruct vision when driving and can therefore incur accidents more readily than if the space was open and visible. They might also attract more thieves, and God knows we don't need more of that in the city. They are an eyesore. And they obstruct other neighbours from being able to see around their neighborhood. That's not fair. That's not right. There are lots of RV lots to take recreational

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

vehicles to around the city and just outside the city. That's where they should be. Unless they have a parking pad in back that they can bring that vehicle right onto their property they should be at a storage facility.
Not at all
Not at all! I've been to Grand Prairie and saw first hand how terrible and junky neighbourhoods look with every type of the RVs listed and what an eyesore! Also for the reason I previously stated - blocked sightline when I'm attempting to safely back out from my driveway. It would be a nightmare if driveways on both sides of mine had a huge RV or boat or utility trailer parked on each side. Safety should be first and foremost as it's a very serious issue with neighbours attempting to back out.
Not at all! Neighbours park their RVs and boats in their driveways all summer and then take up parking on the street because they can't park in their driveway. We have teenagers that live in our home with vehicles that have nowhere to park all summer. I can agree with 36-48 to load and unload RVs but other than that they need to be store elsewhere. Also it looks trashy!
Not at all. Just opening a bag a worms and people will not follow that rule. Keep this rules as is!
Not at all. You buy an RV, you factor in the cost to store. To be allowed to keep it on your property for some people to use twice a season is unacceptable. Why should they get a cost break on storage? Then you will see people extending the season and using the excuse they can't find off site parking.
Not at all. 36 hours is to long. Load and go.
Not at all. Due to RV parking on front side of Residential place, there is zero visibility for the cars to turn and drive through this area.
Not at all. Taking up driveway space means adding cars to already crowded streets and increases parking concerns. They are also an eyesore, obstruct sightlines, and invite crime. No to seasonal driveway parking, please.
NOT at all. This has visual obstruction and clutter. There is not functional difference if a long haul Tractor was parked when the operator was home or off duty. Mostly, having RV plugup this space means their other vehicles take space of street parking off someone else. They have remote RV parking for reason.
Not at all... we have had issues with our neighbours having people staying in their trailer. Instead of being able to park cars in the driveway, they are then on the street where there is never enough room
Not clear on what the definition of seasonal is- as there are a number of seasons during the year. I have seen some places where the RV is parked year round.
Not everybody camps or use the recreational equipment only during summer months only, some people are year round users and that should be considered. For people who have purchased a property specifically because it had accommodations for the recreational vehicle to help with cost access safety etc. this could be an unfair alteration to cost of living. That said there are already many who are abusing the system such a neighbour who drives his very large pull trailer to the back alley for 2 days/ then front 2 days etc. over weeks. Parking against my fence so I have no privacy in my yard. That should require my permission @ my fence ...as he watches me from trailer over fence and nothing I can do
Not for any longer than it takes to load or unload. 1-2 days, tops. Why? It's an eyesore.
Not for more than 48 hours due to obstructed sightlines
Not for more than a week. Need that to allow loading, visitor RV,s etc

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not for motor homes, camping trailers, campers or tent trailers because it is unsightly, sidewalks will be blocked, views blocked, people will live in them like a home. I am fine with smaller sized items like boats or tent trailers (collapsed) being given seasonal parking but not things that are big and can be lived in.
Not for the entire season. For 72 hours, yes
Not generally in favour of parking due to sight obstruction. Perhaps rules similar to the fence rules could apply that only allow a certain height within a set distance from the roadway.
Not if the vehicle extends past the driveway and into public space, no. Too much if a visual obstruction and could hurt pedestrians.
not in favor, because they end up parking their other vehicles on the street which usually infringes on the neighbors.
Not in my neighborhood because the homes are so close together there is not enough visibility when an RV is on neighbours driveway.
Not in my neighbourhood. Today's houses are so close to one another and sidewalks only on one side of the street, it's already too congested. We don't need to have RV's tightening up the space even further. Already some bigger trucks (like F-150's) stick out into the sidewalk due to pads being smaller than ever before. In winter the snow melts off the truck and drips directly onto the sidewalk, freezes and then is pure ice. Forces you to step off the sidewalk and onto icy/snowy/slushy road.
Not in newer neighbourhoods because the properties are so close together
Not in our neighbourhood of Garrison Woods. Our streets are packed already. We are a dense area with very little parking available. RV's are meant for the country.
Not in support of seasonal front drive parking; assumption is this means unrestricted front driveway parking spring to fall? 36 hours is reasonable for owner's convenience for packing, unpacking etc. I am very concerned with safety/visibility problems if rv's are permitted to always be in front drive.
Not on front driveways as it's too dangerous to see around for pedestrians on the sidewalks.
Not on most streets of average & smaller size homes, as the street would look very messy & potentially create obstacles either physically or visually for people.
not on street. if your private driveway is in front of your house then yes.
Not on the street, no. And not if they are blocking visibility or encroaching on the sidewalk. Temporary parking for load/unload is fine.
not really only small vehicles..
Not really, mostly because it is unsightly and space is so limited as it is
Not really. I would be in favor of extending the limit to 48 or 72 hours but not all summer. They block sight lines and could result in more accidents.
Not seasonal but extend to a certain number of days. Safety/Visibility is an huge issue in newer neighbourhoods where the lots are smaller and homes/driveways are closer together. These newer neighbourhoods are also home to more younger families with children who may not be clearly visible because of these RVs.
Not sure why this council is so determined to enact laws (rules) for every little thing. If the RV is parked safely on private property it should be able to stay there indefinitely ... it's their damned property - leave it alone. A public roadway is a different issue - one week
Not under any circumstances. Too many times have seen them connected to electric and being used for accommodation on the street





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not unlimited time. 48 hours, even 72. But it is unsightly in neighborhoods, especially newer ones with zero lot lines. It's also dangerous because it blocks views of kids that could be running around, vehicles pulling out, or criminal behavior
Not. As the RV is parked on driveway then the owners have to park their cars on the street and you end up with streets full of cars parked
Not. Not only is it unsightly but it also makes it more difficult to see when driving past them when they are parked on roads or driveways.
No-they are too big, they obstruct views and I consider that unsafe esp in summer when kids are playing outside. Already in my area we have a lot of people who push the bylaws as regards RVs in the summer and I don't mind as it's a sign of summer. However, there are so many of these RVs that if everyone was parking them on their driveways from May - Sept or something like that it would cause too much eyesore and completely change the landscape of my area (TuscanyEstates) and my entire community.
obstructed sightlines, aesthetics, overhang onto road/sidewalks, parked right on property lines.
obstruction of sight lines and space
Of course I would support seasonal front driveway parking. We should be encouraging people to get out and be active and RVing/other recreational vehicles should be included in this. I don't own an RV and do not have a drive way but I think it's absolutely silly that someone would think an RV parked in the front of a house is a safety or esthetics concern. How about ice/no one shoveling their front sidewalk? Isn't that 100% more of a safety and esthetics concern? Calgary property owners should be allowed to park what they want in their driveway. If the city allows many to pave over grass, etc in the front yard to park a 3rd vehicle then why can't they park an RV?
Of course they should park in their driveways for as long as they want. Leave them alone. I am getting so sick of these people. Too many NIMBY people in this city.
Of course! I know many people with RVs that are frequently going away for weekend trips. RV storage is expensive and can be very far from the location of the home and/or destination, and often causes unnecessary use of gasoline and travel time to get to. If people were/are allowed to park RVs for extended periods of time (provided it is not impeding traffic flow or neighborhood street parking) I do not see any reason why it shouldn't be allowed.
Of course, for many reasons! During those months is when those items are in use, which means residents who own them obviously would like fast & easy access to them. Having to either put an RV in your back yard or having to move it during the week is a hassle. Say on Friday night when you want to get going after work, you can now leave in 20mins instead of the 45 it would take to get it out of your garage or back yard. On top of that you should especially be able to keep them in your driveway, considering you own the property. Well as long as it doesn't block sight lines of an intersection, or create a blind corner. That would be up to neighbors to notify 311 if they think it's an issue
Of course. It's their property and they are parking it on their property. This bylaw has always been ridiculous on [removed] neighbours look to enforce it.
Of course. Stop infiltrating our lives! It's our property
Of course. There's no harm in having it on your property. We pay our taxes and it's our own property. There's obstruction on occasion but so are trees, hedges etc... if it doesn't over hang onto the street or make the rule 2 or 3 ft from the curb in the front of the house or flush with the property line if you have an ally access





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Official paved front driveways, yes. On grass or gravel in front yard, no....makes a mess on the streets. But, this will open up more chance for then to be rented to live in.... It's already happening. People shouldn't be living in driveways
OK their front driveway only, many consider the alley or street there parking spot.
Ok with parking them in the driveway but not all summer on the street or partially blocking the back alley
On a person's personal driveway, then no problem. My problem is when it is parked on the road outfront of a house. It makes it hard to get down roads and if near a intersection, it makes it hard to pass through safely.
On driveways for the whole season yes. Street parking should be limited to 36hrs still
On hard surface driveways for the camping season but not on front yards (grass or temporary cement blocks).
On the owner's driveway; it is on their property. On street parking is definitely an issue and should not be extended beyond 36 hours. For example, on our cul de sac; people do not park parallel to the curb now making it extremely difficult to drive down to the end of the street and turn around already. A motor home would only increase this problem. We also have a resident that has a camper van that he leaves there for months on end and does not follow the bylaw currently.
On your property, in your driveway, sure if it fits
Only during the summer months and so long as the trailer does not impede city side walk.
Only for a limited period of time i.e two or three days.
Only for a max 72 hours.
Only for camper vans and other small trailers like a teardrop
Only for collapsed tent trailers because they are small and unobtrusive.
Only for low profile RV such as pop up rent trailers.
Only for one or two day's/ nights to load up the unit/fridge and plug in to get ready for the trip.
Only for RV's of a certain size. Under no circumstances do property owners have the right to jeopardize the safety of drivers or pedestrians. The esthetics don't bother me as much as the potential safety risks these vehicles pose.
Only for short periods of time, maybe 72 hours to load and unload.
Only for small folded down tent trailers and small water vessels in good working order.
Only for small tent trailers or caravans. Large rvs such as higher than an SUV are unsightly, and often block sidewalks and sightlines. This is especially a concern on busy roads.
Only for small units if a certain size that aren't taller than a regular SUV or pickup truck. I don't support allowing all those items covered by current bylaw to remain seasonally. I would be open to extending from 3 days to 5 though.
Only for smaller RV's. Bus sized RV's would be not only be unsightly, but would provide hiding places for criminals wanting to jump unsuspecting citizens out for walks, etc. it would create blind spots for ordinary citizens who wish (and need) to be aware of their surroundings while they are out and about, making communities far less safe.
Only for smaller trailers < 15 feet provided they do not block the sidewalk.
There should also be a limit of one per household.
Only for smaller units - trailer, boat, tent trailer, camper.
Only if enforcement is properly established to not let the issue runaway from that 36hr.
only if it did not encroach on city sidewalks



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Only if it does not obstruct the view of traffic for other vehicles/pedestrians and the RV is in good condition.
Only if it doesn't block the view while driving, so if it is at the last house before a corner/turn it can block the view of upcoming traffic
Only if it is in the private driveway, not blocking sidewalks. Also, not parked on the public street.
Only if it is on a private driveway. I would not support seasonal on-street parking as that would create real safety, and space issues.
Only if it was on an actual driveway. Parking on the street in front of homes for more than 36hrs should not be allowed as it impacts parking in the neighbourhood.
Only if it's in their driveway and cannot be running. I've had neighbours have their RV running all night while sleeping and that cannot be allowed!
Only if it's not a corner lot where vehicles need to see to navigate safely. Some T's are already very difficult to see out of. I'm NOT in favor of a blanket ruling that would worsen sightlines from a safe driving perspective.
Only if the city ACTUALLY ENFORCES the regulation. I routinely see RV/similar parked for far more than 36hrs in driveways and streets - it blocks view (I have a front garage, and frequently had someone parking a truck camper in front of my house, making it very hard and dangerous to see oncoming traffic in my street. I also encounter incidences where campers/trailers are routinely parked to they obstruct the sidewalk. It might not be the whole sidewalk, but it's enough that it would be an issue for anyone in a wheelchair/walker/stroller, and force you to walk/jog around it which can lead to increased risk given the slanted driveway if it's wet/icy/snowy. And they have cords/hoses to them.
Only if the full length of the vehicle fits fully on the driveway or parking pad (not extending into the street or obstructing the sidewalk, and if it does not cause safety concerns (obstructed street views for drivers).
ONLY if the parking of RVs remains on the property of the homeowner and remains on the driveway and does NOT extend into the sidewalks or laneways or roads. In Tuscany, there are too many travel trailers parked on the streets unattached to vehicles, for long periods of time causing an obstruction to my own property, driveway and the road. Many of these RVs are too long and will not fit on a front driveway. Keep those RVs and Trailers on personal DRIVEWAYS and get them off the street.
Only if the vehicle does not encroach on the sidewalk or public pathways
Only if they are parked within the property lines and do not spill out onto the street parking and/or sidewalks.
Only if they have room in their driveway for their vehicle as well, I'm sick of the people across from me parking their trailer in their driveway all summer for the 3 weekends they actually go away but meanwhile they use all the street parking in front of my house with their 3 other vehicles - and we all know there's no street parking anyways with garage front homes!
Only seasonal, for example, preparing the trailer for a vacation.
Only short term. RV's are an eyesore and should not be parked for extended times in residential neighbourhoods.
Only so long as the unit is only on the residential driveway. And not over, and into the public sidewalk. When walking with a stroller, having to move out and around a trailer hitch is



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

bothersome. If the trailer unit is too long for the residential driveway, it being there all season will be annoying.
Only summer months for a week before the RV is taken out and a week after it returns. The road width in Chaparral differs. This makes moving up and down and past oncoming cars difficult. The houses in middle income families, can have multiple vehicles and that means the side roads can have parked cars down either side. I can't see how one bylaw can be made for all of Calgary. The variables are: garage and driveway size, lot size, back lane availability, width of residential street, type of residence, number of vehicles per residence, season and finally if the residences are good neighbors.
Only Summer. There are enough vehicles parked on the roads. Trailers on driveways will put additional vehicles on residential roads.
Our neighbour has parked their seasonal vehicle on their driveway for several consecutive years and it is hideous and obstructs our view, making the street look transient all the time. Our street is bad for several houses seemingly already adopting seasonal driveways throughout the summer, thereby pushing their daily vehicles onto the already near nil parking areas.
Our neighbour is on a corner lot and if they parked their RV in their driveway, then we would be completely blind when leaving our driveway as they are right beside each other. Wouldn't see anyone coming around the corner.
Our roads in the north east are already too crowded with houses having several vehicles.
Overall I don't support this. Most residences here have not been designed to obscure this at all. Meaning you end up with a garage drive that is then occupied by a trailer full time, and that is unsightly.
Parking an RV on your driveway should only for loading or unloading. RVs block your view especially when reversing out from your garage and a serious safety hazard especially if you live on a main road.
Parking in the driveway is fine. Not on the street.
Parking is becoming limited in neighborhoods. The cities that have changed the bylaw do not have the population of Calgary nor the density. Secondly people are beginning to use RVs as a place to live in the residential areas. If you extend the timeline then that will give more people opportunities to park their RV and live in them on the streets. Also would this open the possibility of owners renting out the RV while it is parked in front of their properties. I would consider allowing owners to use it while renovating their own homes but only with a limited time allowed and limited amounts of times.
Parking on one's property and not overhanging the sidewalk or road is acceptable. However when using the sidewalk access and not having to move onto the road is a basic safety standard that needs to be maintained.
Parking restrictions for RV's should be extended and more lenient. The requirement to park immediately in front of a residence is burdensome and impractical for larger vehicles in increasingly dense neighborhoods.
Parking RV's on front driveways through winter gives neighborhoods the look and feel of parking lots. Parking in summer on a temporary basis in between use is ok.
Parking should be full time not seasonal. If they've the money to own property and trailers, they should be able to park them indefinitely on or outside their property assuming it doesn't hinder a neighbour's spot. Of course if they've the money to have all these things they can probably afford storage on a trailer but that defeats the purpose of owning property then if your own [removed] can't be stored there permanently.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People already do this all season. It's ok if it's in your driveway but I dont think they should be allowed on street parking. And only ok as long as it does not block sidewalks or street.
People can park their RVs on their own driveway ffs. Rules rules rules about property that's not yours. City council full of \$\$ grabbing Karens. Shameful
People should be able to park their vehicles on their property as long as it is not blocking sidewalks at all
People should be able to park what they want on their own private property as long as it is not impeding, a public sidewalk or a public road
People should be allowed to park their RV in their yard 365 days a year.
People should be allowed to park their RVs on there own property unless the City of Calgary is willing to provide a secure place to store the RVs for free. They should be able to park their RV on their property year round.
People should be allowed to park their vehicles on their property.
People should be allowed to park what they want in their driveway, the law isn't even enforced unless there is an angsty neighbor that calls
People who own their driveway should be allowed to park whatever they want in them, so long as they don't impede sidewalks or roadways.
Perhaps when front driveways also have sidewalk space (to ensure better street safety); if no sidewalk space RV would be higher risk of obstructing driver view and increase concern for pedestrian/bicyclist safety.
Personally, as long as the RV is not a hazard, I have no issue with front driveway parking. If it is jutting out of the driveway, obscuring someone's view, etc, then it is none of my business where people park them. However, safety should be considered above all else in this situation, even if it means a size limit is imposed.
Please define seasonal! How long is it? I would support increasing the length of time a RV is parked on front driveways (possibly a few weeks) however I believe parked RV's reduce driver's visibility, encroach on sidewalks frequently and impact the aesthetics of neighborhoods. I don't want to look out at an RV all summer long. As a property owner who cares about my neighbourhood I appreciate the conducting of this survey.
Property's that have a front driveway and no back alley should be allowed to park a motor home and any kind of trailer as long as it's on a solid surface and does not cover or come in contact with city property like a city sidewalk.
Providing convenience & cost effectiveness to individuals that own these vehicles should not be the job of any city. Personally I do not care to look @ these storage vehicles.
Q. It can help to afford storage for the owner of the RV or trailer.
Quads. Atv. Transport Transport trailer
RV should not be park at residential area. It will block neighbour view or create parking problems. We want peace and clean our neighbourhood so parking in residence areas should not be permitted.
RV's should be allowed in front yards as long as they are in the driveway. We have several streets in my area that have no alley
RV's should be allowed in front driveway's year round, not just seasonally. All of the impacts listed exist for RV's in side yards / alleyways, where they are currently allowed, and thus are minor when compared to the benefits. In addition a well maintained but low cost (\$1,000-\$2,000 saved over multiple years) RV is often within the financial means of many lower

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

income families but the storage costs (\$600+ per year) make it's ownership prohibitive, thus discriminating against those families.
RV's should be permitted to be parked on a front driveway at any time in the year.
Rvs should not be parked on the driveway. I had a neighbour who parked on their driveway the whole time. It was blocking the sight of the next house's driveway and people and children couldn't see if the car was backing or coming out of their driveway. Also it was blocking the walkways so it was inconvenience and dangerous for the people in the neighbourhood. Also that is why there are rv parking businesses. Consider how many residents own a rv. There are so many especially in Alberta. If this is allowed people would just park their rvs on every driveway and it would compromise everybody's safety by blocking every other driveways.
RVs will spill over onto the sidewalk, block vision of the street, end up being parking on the street instead of on the owners property. 36 hour limit to clean, pack up etc is adequate.
Saves citizens money and lots of time in getting and dropping off RVs, for the greater public good.
Seasonal front driveway parking should not be allowed. If allowed, there will increase obstruction, increased safety issues and everyone who owns an RV by definition, will be parking on their driveway which will cause increase residential parking own the streets, which is another issue.
Seasonal front parking should be allowed. The cost of living is going up and if one can save some money by having their trailer at home then why not
Seasonal is fine as long as it's for a limited time with no affect on driving conditions
Seasonal might be much but extended to a week or two would give plenty of time while still preventing them to become fixtures.
Seasonal only but needs to be neat and tidy
Seasonal or all years what makes a difference? This is just more control you guys want
Seasonal parking is a great idea. Hopefully this will help people get outside more.
Seasonal parking makes sense for the owners of RVs. People who rent their RVs usually park for a short time. Ownership of RVs seems to be rising. Seasonal parking seems fine to me.
Seasonal parking or year-round parking is okay for single, good condition RVs. (No dirt, grease, rust.) Allow only one single self contained RV per driveway, meaning no conglomeration of multiple RVs or parts to the RV, such as tires, tents, awnings, food hampers etc.. NO free standing truck campers. (Hazardous) Boats, tent trailers, camper vans, trailers and motor homes would be fine. Increase the on street parking to 48 hours.
Seasonal parking seems too much. Maybe increasing from 36 hrs to 72 hrs instead?
Seasonal purposes must include specific start and stop dates ( ie May 1 - Sept 15)
Seasonal- up to 72 hours
Seasonal with an extended limit - instead of 36 hours maybe 72 hours. But not permanent for the whole season
Seasonal would be better than year round, however it is my opinion that RVs should only be parked on driveway when being loaded/unloaded.
Seasonal would become a slippery slope. I understand the intent would be to allow parking during the weeks where the RVs would actually be used. However, instead of people bringing their RV out of storage, staging it for the weekend coming up, and then returning it to storage....people would simply store the RV in their driveway for the entire season.
Seasonal yes but still on hard surfaces only . I don't hate the idea of letting people park in their driveways between weekend adventures.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Seasonal yes. Should be easy for people to go camping
SEASONAL I don't feel it should be unlimited all summer, but if you're camping 2 consecutive weekends, returning home Monday and leaving Thursday etc, then there should be more allowance.
Conditions should be in place. Not lived in/slept in while on property, can't be a short term rental property, can't extend over sidewalk, must be in road worthy/driving condition. increased property insurance of sorts for liability of obstructed views, increased risk of breakin and theft
Seasonal, being all seasons. 100%. It's their property, they should be allowed to store their RV on it
Short term parking on property is okay but not seasonal storage!!
Should be year round as long as it's on their property and does not go into the street.
Shouldnt
Sightlines could be negatively impacted
Small motor homes/camper vans or tent trailers are fine. Anything larger than a 20ft long RV, no, primarily for esthetics. Most encroach onto the sidewalk and pose an issue for walking by. Plus if the whole driveway is occupied by an RV, then the homeowners must park in the street causing the already busy streets to be more congested. Finding street parking is difficult and a nuisance in our neighbourhood.
So long as it is not overly restricting vision and pedestrian walkability I think it's fine.
So long as it meets the above definitions, seasonal parking should be allowed however, the "season" needs to be clearly defined especially given the weather in Calgary.
so long as the unit does not block the walk way while parked in the drive way in any way including the running of electrical or water line to the unit as to create a tripping hazard. NO on street parking for more than 24 hours
So many people already break the laws as written now. It causes a lot of safety & accessibility issues. Neighbours frequently park on street for weeks on end and in alleys. It takes up excessive parking space, which causes regular cars to park in alleys, which causes more safety and accessibility issues.
Somewhat. Time and size limits should also be part of it
Specifically for areas that do not have an alleyway, absolutely yes. For areas with an alleyway, I'm less inclined. I for example do have an alley, but no alley access for any kind of vehicle much less an RV. Adding that access would be a considerable expense due to the topography of the yard. If I owned an RV, I suspect I'd be frustrated at the inability to park it in my driveway, and see it as economic gate keeping (required paid storage) and nimby-ism.
Street parking is already at a premium. Also, it is nice not turning front yards into parking lots with trailers
Street parking is an issue in our street
Suburban Calgary properties are already so compact that people are extending their driveways width wise without permits to park additional cars. Street parking is a battle when you have multi generational families living under one roof with 4 or more vehicles. Parking enforcement is non existent in suburban Calgary Calgary. Petiole encroach on intersections, crosswalks etc.
Summer real-estate sales during this time. This directly impacts sales and appearance of the neighborhood. Cost effective? For who? Not your neighbours. Consider it a wealth tax, you



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

pay to store it because you bought it. We didn't. If you can't afford \$20-\$30/month to store, that's on you. Likely to introduce HOMELESS people bringing RV's into town now, camp on friends driveway. (FYI, your website spellcheck is American Eng. spelling, NOT Canadian) Neighbour vs Neighbor Colour vs color.
Summer time only
Support a trial of driveway parking, with 2 major hesitations: 1) visibility issues during a time many kids are riding bikes etc 2) misinterpretation of the amendment causing an increase in duration of road parking (some are questionable in blocking visibility now, but at least they move it after a few days)
Support as long as people aren't living in the RV they have parked on front driveway for days/week/months at a time. If strictly for storage and RV stays in driveway, isn't parked on street and RV is in good condition than support for seasonal front driveway parking . Additionally, if multiple vehicles that are usually parked on driveway are now parking on street to accommodate RV in driveway could see this as concerning for neighbors.
Support fully unless on a corner blocking signage or view of other drivers and pedestrians
Support seasonal front driveway parking
Support seasonal only and height and length restrictions for sightlines and shadowing onto adjacent properties.
Supportive. As long as we're not getting into the long term living concerns Vancouver has I'm really not bothered by what someone has in their driveway (or on the street for that matter)
sure
Sure
Sure - people do it anyways - who am I to say what people can do on their properties
sure - people pay property taxes for the amenities on their property and should be able to use them. As long as the property is not well-kept and not strewn with garbage etc - let them use what they pay for.
Sure a more relaxed policy enforcement role in summer might be more appropriate
Sure street parking should be first come first serve. I can see for large RV no more than a week, it's not a parking lot but it should allow for visitors to visit, and to prepare and fix rv at home. And be able to park in own driveway anytime. That's your property people park in their back yards that's just as much as an eyesore. As long as they are a few feet back from the side walk/curb to prevent injury.
Sure, but it should be with a permit, specific to the unit/rv. Additional rv's (visiting families/friends) should be permitted as well but with more temp permits/fees.
Sure, since most new communities do not have/allow back alleys, where can we park?? Put alleys back in, then we could install back parking pads. Quit approving the new communities without back lanes.
Sure, your not able to enforce the existing bylaw. You may as well let these people look after other calls.
Sure.
Sure. I'd it's on your property why should it bother someone else.
Sure. Seems like it would be nice for people with driveways and RVs
Sure....people's own property - they can do what they want as long as it doesn't impede pedestrians. It's when people park on public space and expect to have that space or think it's there's where I have an issue. Streets are public, driveways are private.
Temporary parking during travel seasons should be allowed

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tent trailers parked in front of a home do not obstruct any view from the home. If a large trailer or motor home are parked on a driveway they will obstruct the view of the street and sidewalk. Home owners should not have to worry about hitting a child because someone owns an RV and does not want to store it. They are also unsightly regardless of condition. 36 hours is a short period of time to clean and move the RV so I feel more time would be okay but not the whole season.
That is a BIG NO WAY. We live at the end of a cul du sac where there is no street parking as it is right now and everyone owns at least 2-3 vehicles. Kids don't leave home anymore. So if they have a RV in their driveway where do they park their vehicles. On the street of course. And with me someone is always blocking my driveway.
That is a very hard NO. It's a huge safety issue (backing out of driveways, seeing children crossing streets etc). Our community has a ton of kids. It is also unsightly and degrades the look of the community. Our community feels crowded enough already with smaller lots etc.
The address above is a perfect reason to not allow RV parking in a yard. The owner cannot drive and forced me to put up a fence because he almost got my gas meter. It is also very unsightly.
The biggest issue with this is, the RV displaces vehicles that are usually parked on the driveway. We live in an inner city community where parking is limited. We have a neighbor who parks at least 5 vehicles on his driveway. When he parks his RV there at least 3-4 vehicles are parked in the street causing issues for all the neighbors.
The camper trailer parked in the driveway next to my home is unsightly. I work very hard to maintain my yard and receive compliments every summer, but my neighbours couldn't care less about theirs, and the trailer is not appropriate in what is an "estate" neighbourhood.
The current rules are not enforced. I have concerns that by extending the limit would increase issues with RVs encroaching on sidewalks. I'm not concerned with aesthetics, but it is difficult for our children to ride bikes and seniors to walk around the block with so many vehicles hanging over the sidewalk. Trucks are also frequently a problem (even though they are not a part of the list).
The driveway is part of the property purchased for use by the owner. It's not up to the city to regulate the owners' rights to park any vehicle on their property
The expense and inconvenience of storing a trailer away from the owner's property when it may be used quite often in the summer is a waste of money in these inflationary times and a further source of pollution due to the extra driving required to pick it up.
The overall public costs (negatives) are greater than the private benefits (positives). Meaning obstructed sight lines, safety, security, and neighbourhood/street esthetics (negatives) outweigh the benefits to private owners. In addition the seasonal time frame is too long.
The problem is defining "unsightly". There are some very old, pretty-awful looking camping units so it's hard to imagine that they will be targeted. There is also a huge difference depending on the size of the unit as well as the size of the driveway it is parked on. Bylaw is on a complaint basis only, but in general they don't seem to levy fines or penalties, rather they just try to "educate" the homeowner. Concern also that some absentee landlords will rent out their driveways.
The three known impacts are experienced by neighbours while the three given benefits are experienced by only the RV owner. Take a family that uses their garage to store their recreational equipment and then they store two trailers on their front driveway. That results in them parking their pickup trucks and SUVs in front of other people's property. They

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

may also choose to park the trailer in front of me They are amused that I pay the property taxes and they use the space.
The time could be extended to allow for adequate time between outings, however I would not support full half year parking. People would leave them there through the summer, and the above concerns are valid.
The whole season is rather long, in my opinion. Untimely, this would mean there are RVs, tent trailers, etc, parked on many properties throughout the season. Who is going to determine what qualifies as 'poor condition'. This would encourage folks to have other people living in their RVs for the season as well. Personally would be ok with 1week at a time.
There are enough lots for RV's for storage all over the city and surrounding area! Problem Is too many people abuse the 36 hour rule and with zero lot lines you can have a 35ft fifth wheel right butted right up to your house. These are unsightly And take up a lot of room on streets which are narrow, additional parking spaces as people have walk outs and kids with cars! I've had trailers and a fifth wheel for over 35 years and played by the rules unfortunately the few bad apples don't care about there neighbours! Take for example the sign bylaw look at how well that's working there are signs all over the place again!!!
There are few front driveways in Hillhurst, so RVs are parked on the street. What is the city doing to control that today? I don't support making it easier.
There are pro and cons. You have listed the known benefits, however, I think RV'S parked on front driveways can be a safety issue, they are not appealing to look at and they actually should be parked at RV place.
there are some large RVs. Likely need to have a size limit to ensure that they don't obstruct sidewalks, create safety issues, etc.
There are way more problems with RV parking than this. Street parking with RV's in commercial, industrial and business areas for weeks, months and years. These impede traffic, cleanliness and the safety much more than someone parking their RV in their house's driveway.
There aren't any front driveways in my neighbourhood, but if I lived in a neighbourhood with front drive parking, I would not support extending the time RVs could be parked on the driveway. They are large, and unsightly, and block the views down the street.
There needs to be size limits and should not be stored on driveways for more than the 36 hours at a time. There are plenty of properties that have the space in the backs and sides of their houses that are set up for this which I feel is fine. In the front it is obstructive and another thing to draw attention to a street for vehicle break-ins.
There should be no change in the current bylaw. Thirty-six hours is more than enough time to load, unload and/or clean a recreational vehicle. Calgary should continue being a leader rather than following other municipalities in this province. RVs are unsightly (too large) and the City should not be trying to make RV ownership a right rather than a privilege. If we're going to go green, then the City should not be encouraging the use of gas guzzling RVs but discouraging their use.
There should be no limitations to time or season that you are allowed to park your RV etc on your own property. Homeowners already pay property tax, they should be allowed to utilize their space as they wish.
Thèse rvs are often covered with unsightly tarps for the winter months. It hardly seems fair that we have to look at that and it blocked visibility - with small kids this is a big concern We



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

do not have rv. The benefits are single sided for those who have them. And negative impacts for all those that do not. Part of owning and rv is the responsible parking and associated cost. Bylaw makers should consider if they would appreciate a large motor home or boat covered in blue tarp as their view every single day.
These vehicles make it hard to see when driving in local neighborhoods. Children already have enough vehicles to watch out for and this would add another safety issue.
These vehicles should be allowed parking year-round. You claim to be trying to help Calgary citizens lower their expenses, but force us to spend more every year to store/maintain/enjoy the fruits of our labour. If the owners of these vehicles want to take the chance on theft or damage to their belongings on their own property, that's their choice.
They are hideously ugly, in the way and take up too much space.
They are often unsightly, not well maintained and give a terrible look to the neighborhood. Often times they are too long for their driveways and hang out over the sidewalk etc. If I wanted to live near a trailer storage facility I would have done that when I bought a home
They are unsightly and cause a problem with visibility on busy residential streets
They should be allowed to park on there driveway as long as they like it's there property
They should park whenever they want on their front driveway. It is their properties.
They shouldn't be allowed long term on any city residential lot. Ethetics...anywhere on a city residential lot is terrible...huge eyesore!
They tend to obstruct views.
They're ugly. Keep them off of front driveways. There are storage facilities and backyards for a reason.
THIS IS A SANE APPROACH AND SHOULD BE ADOPTED BY CALGARY. STAY OUT OF PEOPLES PERSONAL RIGHTS AND ALLOW THEM TO ENJOY THEIR OWN PROPERTY UNMOLESTED BY CITY BUREAUCRATS. Through a jurisdictional scan of selected municipalities in Canada, we learned that Edmonton, Leduc, Regina, Winnipeg, Grand Prairie, and Red Deer allow seasonal RV parking (i.e., from spring to fall) on front driveways and parking stalls.
This is a section of regulations that the government should not be involved with. If the person wants to park any vichele they own on there property they should be allowed.
this question should have been asked as "what are the benfits and drawback of each of the recreational vehicles ( list above )"? there are obvious benefits and drawbacks of each and they are diffierent form each other. you are treating them the same, boat and fifth wheel are different things people.
This would be acceptable if the vehicle including any attachments are inside the property line of any property.
To an extent, yes. I don't mind people parking some trailers in their driveways for extended periods, as I do understand why.  What would bother me is to have a huge motor home/travel trailer parked there the entire summer, or for months/weeks on end. It would block a lot of light for our home, grass, plants etc., As well as further decrease visibility of cars coming.
Trailers used for construction materials, household goods, livestock, off road vehicles, and waste. The reason these should not be allowed are in direct violation for the reason where it states "Aesthetics: RVs on a property on a can be put of character and scale for a neighborhood and



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON HOW THE COMMUNITY LOOKS. I also disagree that Rvs can be parked on the side of a property. For the same reason.
Undecided. I believe it saves money for RV owners and adds convenience, but the downside is that it looks terrible! In addition to this, it appears that people with garages use them for storage and park their vehicles on the street/in the driveway regardless, so parking an RV in the driveway serves the same lack of aesthetic.
Understand convenienc factors. May long weekend to end of august only
Unsafe and unsightly
Unsightly, unsafe
Unsightly. We have enough issues with people leaving their vehicles parked on the street for long periods of time that are never moved.
Up to 72hrs is reasonable to allow time to load/offload when coming and going from vacation. You shouldn't be able to have people sleeping in it in your driveway otherwise it will be used as an extention of the house.
We are not in support. Safety is our prime concern. Limiting available parking space is our second. Last are the aesthetics.
We are paying a lot of property tax. We should be able to park an RV on our own property we choose. As long as it fits! It makes it easier to when loading/unloading - less time to pick up and drop off unit.
We do not support seasonal front driveway parking for reasons stated above. We find they negatively impact the aesthetics of the street (out of character and negatively impacts how the community looks). It will force more vehicles to park on the street as RVs will likely block access to garage. These factors could also impact the safety of the community, especially for children and seniors.
We don't have driveways in my areas but I would hate to see this become street parking allowed when residents do not have a driveway
We have a bylaw this should be sufficient I just wish this city council would quit wasting time
We have a neighbor who parks a camper on front driveway for the entire year. We pay a mandatory fee to our local residents association for "landscaping enhancements" for the purpose of "beautification" of our community; I suggest that allowing RVs parked in driveways is contrary to the goal of beautification. How will you manage the acceptable condition of the RV? And, there are places to rent for RV storage so why the change to put more vehicles on our residential streets?
We have a shared driveway and when the neighbour's house was rented they parked a large, bus-sized motorhome for the summer, with people living in it. We asked Bylaw to have them moved because it was difficult for us to use our garage, but the owner contacted them and they were granted extra time without us being notified. Several other neighbours complained to Bylaw that it was not appropriate for our neighbourhood. We felt uneasy in our yard all summer and parked on the street, so our car was at risk of theft or vandalism. I feel that Spring to Fall (6 months minimum) is a long time to have an RV in a front driveway. The bylaw must remain that they are not to be parked on the street.
We pay for our property, we should be able to park our RVs on our property. Not everyone can get a corner lot with backyard access to hide their RV. If it's not blocking the sidewalk.
we wanted to buy our own trailer with the same size our car but this bylaw is keeping our plans on doing that since its very expensiveto keep a tiny trailer on storage. in my opinion trailer with size of a 7x7 should be allowed to park in front of homes and street during camping season



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

What difference does it make? You don't enforce the bylaw as it is!
What is seasonal? Are we speaking April to October or June and July? The definition currently is not sufficient. RVs on front driveways are an eyesore and seasonal covers a time of year when a lot of us work hard to keep our communities inviting and attractive. Give to one group, take away from the other. Our district already has problems with safety issues, including car theft. The more you impede sight lines, the easier it is for unsafe activities to blossom. Storage facilities around the city speak to the number of Calgarians who own RVs. If even half of them decided to park their RVs on their front driveways we end up with an eyesore and a very big reduction in community safety v
When rv's are parked to load/unload, it is dangerous trying to back out of our driveway. Residential driveways are often not long enough for the rv to be parked in far enough. This results in dangerous conditions to turn corners and access neighbouring driveways simply because oncoming traffic cannot be seen. If people own an rv, it should be their responsibility for find appropriate parking for it when it is not in use. Their neighbors shouldn't bear the burden of their rv ownership through reduced safety. Also children playing in the neighborhoods will also be blocked from traffic travelling through the neighborhood. Rv parking lots exist for a reason.
Where I live, a growing number of fifth-wheels and Class A motorhomes are increasingly being parked on the streets and alleyways for more than 72hrs. So my issue is if the bylaws in this regards is not being enforced, then how is parking in a private driveway where the RV is blocking the sidewalk going to adequately enforced?
While I prefer a clean and tidy community, it is private property and allowing residents to use their driveway for vehicle storage is better than on the roadway. As long as it is a legal driveway and not a front yard.
While understanding the cost savings and convenience, there sightline and overall 'crowding' issues. Especially in newer communities. This defeats the bylaws requiring a minimum number of parking spaces. It is also not uncommon for units I'd driveways to be used for accommodation and not just parked
Why not.. it's their driveway...as long as it doesn't block the side walk
Why not? If it's on their property, a WELL maintained vehicle and landscape, not encroaching on other homes and neighbors or other city spaces. Let them have seasonal parking.
Why only make it seasonal? Should be the same rules rear round.
Why should we be required to pay EXTRA because we have an RV?
Work trailers exempt. Holiday trailers not! My street would be lined with holiday trailers all summer!
Would consider allowing up to 14 days to allow for consecutive weekend use, or guest accommodation.
Would not support for reasons odd esthetics, blocking sunlight and visibility.
Would NOT support it for the reasons listed, safety. Most streets already has multiple vehicles parked, add multiple RVs would be creating parking disaster. Who wants someone RV parked at their front door. We're very territorial and not always tolerant of others. Recently had a tradesperson give me a quote, added a fee if they couldn't park in front of our residence.
Would rather bot see them parked in the driveway people will move the RV a foot and move it back justifying it has been moved. Most driveways are not long enough for the bigger RVs and they end up over the sidewalk as well. You cannot see well around them. Some of the



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

people park them in their back yard which seems to work well however some park in the back lane which makes it difficult to get around.
Would support year round parking. If it's on one's own property it's their business.
Wouldn't want that for people would keep the vehicle there for many months. Extra weeks would be alright with me...just not long periods.
Yah, makes it more convenient for folks who own RVs
Yea definitely. Why restrict this.
Yea I would. Although I don't own an RV, I understand that retrieving and storing an RV each week is time consuming and takes away from the time that could be spent camping.
Yea, better than people parking on streets
Yea, it is their property that they pay for. As long as it is not unsightly and someone isn't living out of it while on the driveway there is no reason not to allow it
Yea, it's their property. They've paid for it and continue to pay annual ever increasing taxes on it.
Yeah and who cares it's their stuff
Yeah for every season.
Yeah who cares. It doesn't harm anyone it's just busy bodies who have nothing better to do with their time. As long as it does obstruct my view when backing out of my driveway let them park wherever they want
yeah, i dont see a problem with it. Just talk to your neighbours and be nice. its not a big deal.
Yeah. You should be able to store your own stuff on your own property
Year round front driveway parking should be allowed. It's not Karen's business whats parked in her neighbour's driveway.
Year round if they desire
Year round parking on all personal owned property that does not include corner lots where view of oncoming vehicles would be obstructed. Same 5m requirements for parking near stopsigns. Other than that no restrictions.
Year round parking should be allowed if not blocking views of people leaving other driveways
Year round parking should be allowed it's our home and property I'll park what I want on my driveway
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes
Yes People should be able to do their own thing on their own lots.
Yes - as long as it is seasonal as you say. I think it would make it a lot easier for people with RV's trailers etc to pack up and go somewhere, rather than having to store it. As long as it is completely on the driveway, not on the sidewalk and I don't agree with RV's etc on the roads, that is not safe.
Yes - as long as not parked on the roadway. Driveway and back parking pad, fine.
Yes - as that is when residents would travel the province. It's important to encourage tourism and exploration
Yes - because it would be for the season
Yes - convenience and cost-effectiveness
Yes - front driveway is off the road & would not impede safety as much as if it were parked on the road.
Yes - helps people save money from RV storage fees. Less government rules - let the ppl live their lives.
Yes - humans having fun
Yes - I anticipate it would create less safety issues as people are not just doing it for 36 hours but for longer term during the season.
Yes - I think it would be more convenient for families who want to camp then hauling a trailer back and forth to offsite camping every weekend.
Yes - I would support year-round driveway parking. Most people cannot afford a secondary parking spot.
Yes - if it's your property, you should be able to park your rv for any length of time. If your neighbours find it unsightly, that's their problem - how is esthetics even a factor, that's absurd. If you suddenly find red cars unsightly, are you going to tell Toyota to not make red cars? That's how dumb this is. Your property, your choice, as long as you aren't leaking fluids onto your neighbours property. Common sense right? And for those few that abuse this, handle them with your discretion, don't penalize the majority.
yes - If people are using an RV every weekend it is a hassle to have to store it in between trips. Summer is peak RV season, and folks should be able to have well-maintained RV's parked on their property.
Yes - if the RV is on private property, I don't see why not. RV parking is very expensive and a waste of money if it can be parked on the owners land at home.
Yes - If they aren't in the way of neighbours, let them park there longer.
Yes - if you plan to camp on weekends with an RV but need to return to work for the weekdays, it's an incredible inconvenience to have to haul an RV back to storage for 4/5 days in between
yes - it does nothing to the enjoyment of my property. It is their land and they can do as they like for as long as they like. I am OK if they park there year round.
Yes - it is on my property then I do not see why not - I should be allowed to park what I own on my property.
Yes - it sometimes takes longer than 36 hours to prepare for a long trip.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes - it's someone's own property and item and they should be allowed to park it on their own driveway as long as it's not blocking the sidewalk
Yes - it's their driveway. Why should I be concerned about what they are parking there ?
Yes - it's their property who cares
Yes - let people park it there Year round
yes - no good reason why not! ALSO, not all homes have side or rear access for RVs, e.g. if no alley - so allowing front access makes it equitable
Yes -- often the owner requires more time to load/unload items or clean the RV -- either before or after a trip with the RV.
Yes - people already put them in their yard / back alley pad, which is equally unsightly. This will allow people better enjoyment of their RV's and less rush, like more time to pack up before going camping.
Yes - people pay big money to own this things and then hve to pay more money to store them when they could already fit on a property they own. If they fit in a driveway then let them park there. With the cost of things now, people are looking at ways to cut costs and not paying a storage fee for a couple months could go a long way.
Yes - people should be able to use their property as they see fit
yes - people shouds be allowed to have it on their own property
yes should be upto the property owner this is private property
Yes - taking offense at parked RVs because they are unsightly to some Karen/Ken's eyes is not a valid reason. And requiring owners to pay extra for storage when they have a perfectly good driveway is stupid and discriminatory. If there is no obstruction created, there should be no time limit when the vehicle is fully within the bounds of one's personal property.
YES they should be allowed unless they are in SUPER poor condition (super junky /leaking so covered with a tarp with broken windows etc. would be my only no- otherwise it should be YOUR PROPERTY YOUR CHOICE & all year round should be okay too. Not every property has a rear alley & thus front driveway is the only option- why shouldn't all properties be allowed. (Although no living in them & or renting them out causing havoc to your neighbors, having your children have a sleep over here n there fine yes or for a 3 day timeframe for a family visit fine but NO LONG TERM GUESTS LIVING IN THEM unless in your own fenced yard.
Yes - we frequently camp every weeked during the summer. It would be lovely to be able to spend time packing up the trailer, cleaning it, and unpacking it during these times.
Yes As not everyone has a back or side place to put their rv
Yes It will help free up street parking and make life easier for a lot of people.
Yes & I would support year round as well. Many homes do not have side or back areas to park and storage rental is necessary if rule's don't change.
Yes & No - if owners do not take additional street parking with additional vehicles they may have. They should utilize their parking they have (i.e. garage / other side of driveway).
Yes & No. When I get a unit it would be nice to park on my driveway so I don't have to pay lot fees - but I don't want my view blocked from my window and do like a front yard that is not cluttered. If you allow people to park on their driveways, it can easily become trashy

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes , providing there is a limit in the size of the unit parked . There also needs to be a zero tolerance on units being parked on public road, there will be abusers of the change in the bylaw and this needs to be taken into account, in my opinion
Yes , the city should be focusing on other itmes
Yes , we need to encourage people to travel in Canada and support local business in bc and AB. Also people are getting charged enough for basics necessities. They shouldn't have to store their vehicle and a citation for doing so is ridiculous.
Yes . I feel that people pay alot for property. To have to pay storage on someone else's land is a large extra cost.
Yes . In the summer some neighbors get a lot of use out of their RVs. Not a bother when they leave every weekend
Yes . It is their property that they pay taxes on . As long as it is clean and maintained , I have no problem.
Yes . The owner can park on their own property anytime they want
yes .. but only if completely on the residential property and nothing on city streets themselves
Yes / people buy the RVs not a big deal if on their driveway.
Yes a person should be able to park their rv on their property as long as sight lines are not impacted
Yes absolutely I support seasonal parking. I think that it should not be seasonal though, it should be year round. If a recreational vehicle is in good repair and not an eyesore a person should have a right to park in their driveway if it is not obstruction and there is no available parking anywhere else in their property. The property taxes people pay are high and they should be able to use their driveway as they see fit.
YES absolutely if its a front private property of course its private land! The City should work at getting campers off the road ways!
Yes absolutely in support of this. Unless it directly impacts line of sight for intersections and roadways there is 0 reason why this should effect anyone. Unless the recreational vehicle impeded sidewalk traffic.
Yes absolutely, there needs to be a time that people need the freedom to do repairs or mini Reno's on the RV especially tent trailers so think this is very important to give blocks of good time to have it parked at the home
Yes absolutely.
Yes absolutely. It makes no sense to have family arrive for a visit from other provinces and have no place to park their RV during their visit. Being able to park in a front driveway of a family member for over 36 hours makes sense. Also, if you are planning a trip and only have 36 hours to load your RV for the trip between working; it often isn't enough time. The same applies if you arrive home from a trip late on a Sunday and work the rest of the week - how would one manage to get their RV off their driveway. It doesn't make sense.
Yes absolutely. We are former RV owners and strongly support a seasonal (or even all year) allowance of parking within ones own property boundary.
Yes absolutely. Saves gas not having to pickup and drop off at storage everytime you want to use it. Feeling of safety having it on your own property. Saves the cost of storage for a few months of the year and in these tough times everything should be done to help Calgarians be able to continue to afford RV's etc.
Yes absolutely. Because it is my property and i can park whatever i want on it. I dont tell other people what they can/cant have in their living room. This seasonal parking also allows RV



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

users to use their RVs more often. If we have to go to a storage yard every time we want to use it for a weekend its becomes a barrier to use. Im not able to leave friday after work because i have to go get the rv and then pack it before i can leave.
Yes absolutely. It's your house, it's not on the street you should get to park whatever you want. THE PURPOSE OF A DRIVEWAY IS TO PARK OFF THE STREET. People buy houses with off street parking specifically for parking things you can't on the street like unattached trailers, boats, and nice cars.
Yes absolutely. Not everyone has a place to store their rv
Yes all seasons, I think it's ridiculous that if there is room a owner can't park any vehicles of this nature on their property
Yes although this should not include on street parking which many do for the 36 hour period
Yes and for for 12 months of the year. Why? private property. Only caveat, must be on a driveway, not front lawn.
Yes and I'm quite surprised that you aren't allowing it right now. What's the big deal people pay a lot of tax in the city and they should and will park whatever they want on their own driveway does that not make sense?
yes as long as they are parked on thereown property,and are in good running condition,and are registered ,and also have insurance. and are not a sore eye to the people that are see them daily and see them working on them there also should be a limit of one trailer per house hold..
Yes as for the most part this is when this type of units are being used the most.
Yes as it could give more time for the owners to prep and repair the trailers for the season
Yes as it's extremely expensive for storage. People need a bit of a break after Covid and isolation. It's already expensive to go camping why force th to pay for storage on top.
Yes as it's on their residential property they should be able to do as they please as long as it is not blocking a sight line where a pedestrian could be hit.
Yes as it's more convenient for owners to use their RV or boats if they can park it for the summer.
Yes as long as it does not block sidewalk or decrease visibility for drivers.
Yes as long as it does not consume more than 30% of the driveway space
Yes as long as it does not impeded sidewalks and is not being lived in.
Yes as long as it does not obstruct for driving or getting out of a driveway when backing out. Need to protect pedestrians and any other users of a sidewalk or roads
Yes as long as it does the seasonal vehicle or trailer does not cover any of the sidewalk. Ideally it should end a meter before the driveway begins to ensure visibility.
Yes as long as it doesn't cover the side walk. however, rv's and trailers should not be able to park on the street in all school zones. it makes it hard to see kids crossing the street. I am surprised this is allowed to happen.
Yes as long as it doesn't obstruct the road or sidewalk because it's easier for the owner.
Yes as long as it doesn't go on sidewalk
Yes as long as it fits in the driveway
yes as long as it is not block the sidewalk and as long as it is not being lived in.
Yes as long as it is not obstructing view of oncoming traffic when at an intersection.
Yes as long as it is on a driveway.
Yes as long as it is on driveway not street

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes as long as it was either owned by the homeowner it was parked at and it doesn't create visibility issues for those parking or driving on the street. Also, if there is no alley or driveway, there should be restrictions so other neighbours are still able to park in front or near their own homes.
Yes as long as it's on someone own property and not on city street or alleyway
Yes as long as it's on their own property there shouldn't be a time limit on it
Yes as long as it's parked during allowed times and not obstructing the traffic
Yes as long as it's road worthy and not blocking view for corners or sidewalks.
Yes as long as it's in their property and not in the roads. It's a hassle to have to take it back into storage all the time during camping season. Definitely reasonable to be able to have it in your driveway all season.
I don't want them parked in the roads as I have young children and that creates another visual obstacle for drivers.
Yes- as long as rules are actually enforced
Yes as long as sidewalks are kept clear of obstruction
Yes as long as the other bylaw requirements are met as proposed
Yes as long as the parking does not obstruct the road or the sidewalk.
Yes as long as the RV is moved after the season. There are several in our neighborhood which are unsightly and against bylaws it must be enforced
Yes as long as the trailer fits properly on the driveway it does not cause any more issue than a large suv or truck. Many nearby communities allow parking and it seems to be accepted.
Yes as long as the vehicle is currently registered and does not impede sidewalks or road ways.
Yes- as long as the vehicle is not blocking the sidewalk. My neighbours already do this as I live in Bowness (the wild west) where people seem to get away with parking RVs everywhere- all year long.
Yes as long as the vehicles don't encroach on to the sidewalk.
Yes as long as they are not hanging over the sidewalk I don't see them as a bother plus makes it easier on the owners.
Yes as long as they are not obstructing any vehicle traffic
Yes as long as they don't block sidewalk
Yes as long as they fit on the driveway and not on the road
Yes as long as you above conditions are met and As long as it does not include parking on the city street or laneway.
Yes as off the street as long as not hanging onto the sidewalk
Yes as the economy is slowing as it is and it Would be one less thing to worry about
Yes as they are out of the way and on the owner's own property. The property owner should have some autonomy over what happens on their own property.
Yes based on the above conditions.
Yes because everybody should be allowed to park what they purchase on their driveway. We pay enough taxes to you guys anyways
Yes because I believe that it is equivalent to the owners vehicle. By storing it on their driveway it is on their property which they pay for. People shouldn't care how someone's vehicle makes the street look (aesthetically)
yes because if they need to insure and licence their rv its only fair they get the same rules applied as a automobile would have



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes because it being parked on their driveway doesn't hurt anyone else. Yes, parking can be a bit more difficult, but it is better than the RV being parked on the street and if they have room for it then I don't feel they should have to move the RV.
Yes because it is nobody's business what is parked on somebody's property
Yes because it is not an eye sore if everything is maintained correctly
Yes because it is the owners land to do with as they wish. Height restrictions and distance from the curb should be implemented though.
Yes because it is their property so they should be able to park whatever they want on it
Yes because it is very costly to put it in storage.
Yes because it is your own personal property
Yes because it would promote people camping more often as it's easier with quick access to the trailer.
Yes because it's not hurting anyone and when rvs were used during covid to quarantine people it was fine and I didn't notice any negative impact they had while parked for extended periods of time.
Yes because it's on their driveway as long as it doesn't hinder a sidewalk.
Yes because it's their own property who cares about aesthetics?
Yes because it's their personal property they should be allowed to park it there.
Yes because many people use these vehicles often and it is already too restrictive
Yes because paying for storage is a lot, this can save people a lot of money
Yes because people should be allowed to park anything they want on their own driveway as long as it does not go onto the sidewalk.
Yes because RV storage places are expensive, and not everyone can have backyard storage
Yes because the homeowner owns the driveway.
Yes because when it comes to scheduling time around work and other committments to transport an RV or trailer to and from its storage, plus preparing it for its purpose, then cleaning it following it's purpose, 36 hours is not enough, especially when work commitments are thrown in and working around others schedule. I would say a minimum of 72 hours for people to park their RV.
Yes but limited duration and actual inforcement of parking regulations related to blocked sidewalks, wires crossing sidewalk and road encroachment.
Yes but most RVs these days are much larger than in years past and will not fit on most driveways.
Yes but not as a blanket policy. It depends on the size, condition and time frame - 6 months seems like a long time - why not make it completely open then. Some people do camp in winter - so what time limit would there be in "off Season"?
yes but not on public road ways , in their own driveway is fine
Yes but only during the summer season
Yes but only for a specified number of days. Best not to exceed 4 days
yes but only for up to 48 hours
Yes but only in a personal driveway. They block too much when on a street
Yes but why just summer. Many people use there RV in winter to get away.
Yes but with the above caveats in mind also what is the definition of "poor condition"?
Yes depending the on the size. There should be a reasonable distance between the RV and the sidewalk



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes due to convenience and allowing citizens to draw additional income for providing parking services to fellow community members - providing parking met bylaw standards and safety requirements.
Yes during the summer months. What happens now is people simply move their vehicles and then move them back. It is a waste of everyone's time for everyone , including bylaw officers.
Yes easier for the person
Yes except when it's on a corner and could make that intersection dangerous by blocking views for traffic or pedestrians I also would not support it if it meant visitors lived in the RV or rented out for temp accommodation
Yes- firm believer that it's your property to do what you will
Yes for convenience so long as no part or the RV or it's trailer overhang the sidewalk or street.
Yes for convenience.
Yes for RV that will fit the driveway for Spring and Summer seasons, because that is the time you need to enjoy outdoor activities.
Yes for smaller trailers that fit on driveways. Tent trailers and utility trailers etc are low enough and not overly imposing.
Yes for sure. It is way more convenient for the person who owns them and they do not bother be being parked on the street. It's their property and they should be allowed to park their RVs there for extended time.
Yes for the convenience and it gets people doing things maybe more often which will help peoples mental health.
Yes for the summer months July August. I am still concerned with sightlines. We are getting very crowded on some residential streets. The vehicle should not sit for more than a month. It is for convenience and not storage or reducing costs.
Yes for those people who have to have it stored far away it's a lot of extra time and money to have to park it somewhere else.
Yes for units that are small enough to allow for sight lines through properties
Yes from May 15-Sept 15.
Yes having a trailer ready to go is very convenient
Yes however they should only be parked in a driveway and not interfering with the City sideways and should not be on a street where it impacts other vehicles or pedestrians.
Yes I am supportive of allowing RVs to park seasonally on front driveways. It's extremely expensive and cumbersome to pay for offsite storage, and it really doesn't hurt anybody having an Rv on the driveway. Times are tough with high inflation rates, interest rates, and taxes continually being raised. RVs offer an escape from the tough reality.
Yes I approve. As long as the rv doesn't impede on the use of sidewalk. Times of inflation this would allow families to afford family use of rv's.
Yes I consider a driveway as private property and as long as the unit is not unsightly seasonal parking should be allowed
Yes I do support it because as long as it's in the driveway and not on the street who cares?
Yes I do support people parking their RV in their front drives with no time limits
Yes I do support seasonal front driveway parking. Why I support it is because I enjoy people having fun and enjoying life so seeing a recreational vehicle parked in a drive dose not butter me.
Yes I dont see the harm in it and it would keep alleys clear as well
Yes I have no issues with this as long as it fits on the driveway.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I support allowing ALL season parking of a r.v on a private driveway. As long as it is not obstructing the public. (Example. Not blocking sidewalks, views of important intersections or access to public pathways).
Yes I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. It is much more convenient and makes getting away so much easier.
Yes I support as long as it is in good shape and does not block any access of neighbours. I come from NS where this is ok and had no issues. As I only have a front driveway I would not buy one if I cannot park on my own property
Yes i support drive way/street parking of RVs, etc. Camping in provincial and national parks is a hassle and expensive, no need to add to it. It shouldn't be profitable for the people who rent out land to RV storage. RVs do not impact neighbors
Yes I support it aslong as it's on their property
Yes I support it for the season. It's on the person's own property and not on the street. I don't have a front driveway, but understand that people with front driveways may not have parking in the back. Although I support parking on personal driveway, I do not support an extension for on street parking.
Yes I support it from spring to fall. However, not for use, only for loading, cleaning etc.
Yes I support it is affordable and reliable. Let's support our residents ability to have this close to their homes as they plan their spring and summer vacation.
Yes i support it it's people's property after all
Yes I support it, because its people's property and its personal possession. They are allowed to keep it where they please. Its a vehicle and/or a thing they use once and a while for recreation. They're not putting their out house on their drive way and taking a dump in it.
Yes i support it, calgary residents are paying their property taxes and should be able to park their recreational trailers on their driveway or front yard atleast in the spring to fall.
Yes I support it, Why should an owner of an RV have to park it somewhere else then drive it back to their property to pack it up then they go away for the weekend Come back unloaded have to drive it again to another property. I think that is ridiculous. They should be allowed to park it on their driveway as long as they are not obstructing the sidewalk.
Yes I support it. As long as it's not being used by visitors. A lot of people have peace of mind knowing it's safer on their property.
Yes I support it. People pay their taxes and own these properties they should be allowed to park any vehicle they want to on their property.
Yes I support it. What is the difference between large commercial vehicles (ie: plumbers van, welding trucks, delivery cube vans, etc) being parked on a driveway versus a trailer parked on the driveway. The obstruction of sight lines is the same.
Do not allow derelict or re-build projects to remain on driveways.
Yes I support RV parking in the front driveways, they are no more unsightly or limiting the safety than large pickup trucks
Yes I support seasonal front drive parking because the owner of the property should have the right to park on their driveway
Yes I support seasonal front drive way rv parking for 48 hours only
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking, so long as an extension cord does not lay on sidewalk causing a tripping hazard.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. I do not believe it causes an issue.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. I think that 36 hours is too short a period to prepare an rv for a trip considering that working families only have a few hours in the evening to do so. Also if recently returned from a trip and then plan to leave a few days later it would be a hassle to return the rv to offsite location only to have to come back and get it again in a few days.
Yes I support seasonal front driveway parking. This should fall under a property owners right to free enjoyment of their property
yes I Support Seasonal parking as long as they are parking on their own property and not interfering with the streets.
Yes i support sesonal parking, for out of town guests and usage repairs ect.
Yes I support the idea. Why keep taking your RV back and forth to storage when your going to use again in a few days
Yes I support these vehicles being parked on personal property during the summer. Why should front drive properties be disadvantaged over rear drive. My only concern would be RV's parked close to a corner blocking traffic sight lines leading to accidents.
Yes I support. It's not the cities business to determine what happens in a private property. There can be special applications if there is significant neglect but for avger citizen they should be allowed to do this. Is there an tangible, meaningful data that shows impact to home values or quality of life due to RVs parked in front driveways Most that own RVs keep them nice, there are many that own junk cars that could be considered an eye sore that are not subject to this law.
Yes I think it makes it easier for people to use their RV
Yes I think people should be able to keep them on their own property including front driveway as long as cared for.
Yes I think RVs should be allowed to in driveways all year long for as long as they want
Yes I think seasonal parking is appropriate. From early spring to late fall, following the average camping season. This would support campers in alberta and end the need for expensive rv storage during the most active months.
Yes I think the bylaws should allow unlimited parking of RVs seasonally from May till Thanksgiving .
Yes I think they should allow it as long as the side 2k is not blocked at all
Yes I think you should be able to park your RV or trailer in your driveway whenever you like for as long as you like as it is your driveway. As long as it is safely parked and not blocking a sidewalk
Yes I would
Yes I would
Yes I would - it would reduce the number of large vehicles taking up space/blocking my view on the road.
Yes I would absolutely support that. It makes owning and utilizing an RV incredibly challenging not having a space to park it at most homes in the city where it can be accessible and usable.
Yes I would accept seasonal RV parking when the item is in a driveway or safely on a street. Many people go camping on weekends and travelling between storage location, home and campsite is a hassle. Being able to pack, unpack and come and go during a season would be really convenient.
Yes I would allow



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes i would allow front driveway parking because it's none of the cities business what people park on their own land. This is egregious city overreach
Yes I would allow seasonal driveway parking. During the camping season, why not?
Yes I would as it is a reason we have not purchased one. As long as trailers fit on driveway and do not overhang on sidewalks and people do not use lawns to park on. I believe there should be a set likit to how close the trailer can be to sidewalks or road in case of no sidewalks. Also needs to be seasonal, do not want this parking during winter.
Yes I would as trailer storage yards are not always safe and secure. There have hundreds of instances of trailers being stolen from them.
Yes I would be in support as long as they are safely parked (with chocks, not obstructing ightlines or walkways), not attracting unnecessary attention (have wheel or hitch locks to prevent theft when not hitched to a vehicle) and are properly maintained (not unsightly, not attracting vandalism).
Yes I would because I don't feel it impacts Neighbours and I feel that people should be allowed to have their choice on when they want to use it and not. Life if busy why are we getting involved in other peoples lives. And ease of use is important for people
Yes I would because there is not enough storage space in the city and it is difcult for regular users of RVs and trailers to park and store there equipment cheaply.
Yes I would seasonally with restrictions but not permanently or allowing someone living in the RV as a residence.
Yes I would support allowing front driveway parking. For many recreational vehicle owners there is few options of where to park their units without the added expense of storing it at a facility.
Yes I would support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. If the owner is using the RV regularly, returning it to the storage lot after every outing would be onerous; time consuming and a waste of gas.
Yes I would support as long as the property owner agrees
Yes I would support drive way parking, this should be the owners right to own property, and have it at arms reach
Yes I would support it for low density wards with larger lots 50' wide lots. But I would not support it for wards where lots have been subdivided 25' lots as RV can take excessive space and obstruct multiple home entrances when parked out front.
Yes I would support it if the conditions are actually actively and timely enforced e.g. unsightly/home made RVs or those painted with poor taste language or decals. I support this as RV owners without side driveways have been unfairly disadvantaged by having to pay for and store their RVs in expensive lots that are inconvenient to access when one wants to go camping. There should be a restriction on motorhome/RV size for sight lines & don't allow people to use them as a dwelling when on driveway
Yes I would support it PROVIDED the vehicle (bumper to bumper, or bumper to hitch) fit entirely on the driveway and didn't overhang onto the sidewalk, gutter or roadway. No parking on residential front lawns.
yes I would support it, as long as it does NOT infringe on the sidewalk or the roadway. Summer is short and if it would help to have their RV at home why not?
Yes I would support it.
Yes i would support it. We own our properties and we should be able to do what we want on them without the City interfering.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes I would support it. I haven't had to deal with storing a trailer but I assume it would be a hassle to have to pick it up / pack and bring it back after use. If the owner has room on their property they should be able to use it. If owners only had to remove during the winter months they could store it further away and we wouldn't have to have so many unsightly storage yards around / bordering the city. This land could be better used and storage would be cheaper for the owners.
Yes I would support it. People need places to park them and in some cases can't afford a RV parking area outside the city. If the RV is kept in running order and not in a state of disrepair or no operational I believe it should be fine to park on your driveway or park pad for an extended period of time. Even over a winter period. Maybe it has to be moved every 6 months?
Yes I would support it. People should be allowed to park their RVs on their personal property regardless of the front or back. As long as it does not block anyone else's driveway or seriously obstruct the view of a road, the city should not interfere with people's personal property.
Yes I would support it. The fact that you can't park something you own on the property you own is ridiculous. Quit micromanaging the city.
Yes I would support it. This allows home owners to use their property for what they choose.
Yes I would support ppl storing their rv On their driveway. It is a huge waste of petrol to have people drive back and forth to storage lots.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking for the benefits listed above.
Yes I would support seasonal front driveway parking. We have friends with trailers and don't agree with not being able to park a trailer on your property. Personally, I don't find them to be an "eye-sore" and think it would be frustrating to not be allowed to park it in your own driveway.
Yes I would support seasonal front parking. Many families go camping multiple weekends in a row, having to move their trailer off property for only a day or 2 during the week before needing to bring it back at the end of the week can be quite an inconvenience. Many homes in my area do not have rear lane access, eliminating the possibility of rear parking. Trailers parked on the driveway of a homeowners' property during the summer months is no issue. Street parking should remain a 36 hour limit.
Yes I would support seasonal front parking. The three day sis not enough and it will let people enjoy their RV more
Yes I would support seasonal parking between May 15 and September 15. It provides convenience for users and would not be permanent.
Yes I would support seasonal parking. I've seen neighbors struggle commuting back and forth and you have to empty them on storage lot due to theft issues. Also less driving impact on environment.
Yes I would support seasonal RV parking I have owned Rvs in the past and intend on owning one in the future
Yes I would support that as long as the owner is able to keep it on their own property/not assume another street parking stall as their own on top of using one spot for the motor home etc.
Yes I would support this - they are used regularly by the people who own them. As long as they are not blocking anyone else access to their own property, I don't see the issue. It is parked on the owners property and therefore should be allowed to stay. The only obstruction

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

of sightlines is for nosey neighbours who seem to think they have a right to tell everyone else how to live and what to put on their property. There are more important things for the City and Bylaw to be concerned with.
Yes I would support this proposal. It would allow for a going enjoyment for the families that own these leisure vehicles. I don't think it is unreasonable from May 1 to Sept 30.
Yes I would support this. As someone who's family and friends all have travel trailers the convenience of this impacts the amount of time able to spend with family enjoying our great outdoors. If the trailer is closer it's much easier to actually get out and use it.
Yes I would support this. So much more convenient. It is unaffordable to store an RV off-site. I believe I can provide better security if I owned an RV rather than storing it elsewhere.
Yes I would support, and even go as far as saying it should be allowed all year. It is not detrimental to neighbours, and as the world is moving towards a professionally offended mode, we should try to keep values of the old because if someone paid for a property they should have the right to do what they want rather than be imposed upon (within limits) and excuse of eyesore is I believe not just enough.
Yes I would Cost effective, less pollution
Yes I would, it saves money in parking and is more convenient for those that use their RV a lot. As long as it's off the roadway and not bothering neighbours it should be allowed.
Yes I would, people should be able to park and use their property however they see fit
Yes I would, we are a progressive city That is working to promote all of our resources . People who are owners of these vehicles are for the most part , respectful and considerate of their neighbours. We have several neighbours that have RV's and have never had any issue
Yes I would.
Yes I would. As a previous RV owner, we found it very challenging, insecure and expensive to store our RV. Our storage facility was far away and trying to get enough time to load and unload our RV was always stressful. We noted that parking vehicles on the street year round that never move was tolerated by the city, but parking your own travel trailer in your own front driveway was against a bylaw. Seems unfair. Unfortunately we do not have a back lane and could not park in our backyard.
Yes I would. Save people money as most have to rent a storage place for parking.
Yes I would. Although I use a tent when I camp I think the use of RVs and tent trailers are a wonderful way to get more people into our beautiful outdoors! By allowing people to park the trailer in their front driveway the activity becomes even more accessible and will encourage people to get out more. It is also much safer than parking your trailer (which is full of all your possessions during camping season) on an unattended lot in the middle of nowhere.
Yes I would. As a future and potential RV owner, storage is one of the reasons we have yet to purchase any towable recreational vehicle as the cost and convenience is a big factor in our decision to have a lifestyle which would involve any of the listed RVs.
Yes I would. As a prior travel trailer owner, I know how inconvenient it was to pack/unpack your trailer for/from a weekend of camping when you were unable to park your trailer in your driveway for more than 24 hours without someone calling 3-1-1 to tattle on you. I had two small kids at the time and this was a huge inconvenience for us. I pay property taxes to the city every year, & it would have been helpful to be able to park the trailer on MY DRIVEWAY (not bothering or encroaching on anyone)
Yes I would. Because the reasons against it are shallow, petty, and punish those who may not have the resources to hide their RV. There are better ways to encourage people to use

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the deeper part of stalls, backyard, etc. However, I can see the value in putting a size limit on it as there are some genuinely huge units and perhaps a time limit of 1 week would be reasonable. Trailers, tent trailers, boats tend to be smaller and less conspicuous than a full size pickup.
Yes I would. Camping season would be fine from May till end of September
Yes I would. I do not see them as a hindrance or obstruction more so than a large pick up truck.
Yes I would. It doesn't bother me
Yes I would. It's convenient for the owners
Yes I would. Many people use them to hunt, for work and even as a place for people to sleep in. High River allows it seasonal, why can't we.
Yes I would. Time is precious. People waste a lot of time running a trailer back and forth to a storage lot. Our summers are so short...this would allow people more family time.
Yes I would. We pay to have these vehicles and they have insurance yet we are forced to store them elsewhere. This creates a loss of time having to tow it back and forth. These vehicles require cleaning that can take more than 36 hours. The last thing you want to do after driving and cleaning a campsite is to come home and have to clean everything immediately because your neighbors are unkind and have no patience. Utility trailers are allowed to sit in driveways all year long and they can be very unsightly yet they don't have to be moved after 36 hours.
Yes I'm all for allowing front driveway parking as some residents do not have any other option.
Yes if it doesn't obstruct the ability to see an intersection. They should not be on the road as some are so big and you can't see around them. That's unsafe for anyone running around near it.
Yes if not on the sidewalk at all. Provided does not block making turns especially left hand turns. Rules around resident backing out of their driveways when they can't see people walking on the sidewalk. Not sure how they can be regulated but that is the biggest safety issue in my opinion.
Yes if not overly large and doesn't block or obscure views
Yes if safety conditions are met when it comes to visibility issues
Yes if the RV does not impact visibility for cars on busier streets.
Yes if the RV is on their driveway all fine with me. My neighbour parks his on the front of his house on the Street for 2 weeks at a time. A real safety hazard.
Yes if the RV was not more than 20 years old and in good shape - i.e. it is visually appealing and not a rust bucket.
Yes- if the vehicle fits in the driveway and does not hang over the sidewalk, individuals should be able to park them on their property. This includes parking sideways or diagonally across a driveway if it does not fit when parked the normal way
Yes if they are not obtrusive, don't obstruct sightlines and there could be a size limit as a very large RV could be a problem for neighbours
Yes if they are ONLY RVs that are in good shape and not blocking sight lines
Yes if they promise to park on their drive way. Not street.
Yes In a driveway or parking pad
Yes in spring summer it could be longer as that would allow people to take longer and more relaxed departure/leave later than anticipated.....but not winter as people would have more cars on road if the RV is on drive so the snow plows wouldn't be as efficient

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes in this time costs are VIP and families need a break storing
Yes it is fair to owners of RV's as it is their property to park on
Yes it is private property and as long as it is kept tidy , there is nothing wrong with someone using there own property to park their boat or rv
Yes it is the homeowners driveway they should be allowed to park their rv/ trailer on it
Yes it is their property and the decision of whether or not it poses issues is a neighbour to neighbour case by case issue. Blanket policies for all regarding their personal driveway should not be regulated with blanket policy. If it is unsightly or poses safety issues, neighbours should file a complaint if it can't be dealt with in person. Levy higher costs for those who get complaints as a potential solution. But don't burden everyone else.
Yes it just makes life in city more liveable
yes it should be allowed. It's too expensive for people to pay in other parking lots. City is minting money from property taxes. Does not provide proper good services. Snow cleaning on inner roads does not happen, ts a shame. People pay enough to Govts that are only increasing their own salaries and not providing for citizens.
Yes it should because to rent a place that has no guarantee when damages occur is way better to have it installed in your property i.e driveway back property (if any). However I don't agree it should be park on the street after more than 48hrs. There should be a pass visible also by parking authorities to check because you don't want to end up that is being used when it should be in storage. Neighbours can report when these pass isn't displayed. There should also be restrictions i.e size, weight
Yes it would be nice for people to have that convenience and maybe cut cost for storage.
Yes it's my [removed] house
Yes- it's not hurting anyone.
Yes it's owned by the occupant of the house
Yes it's private property and we should be able to decide what to do. My Neighbor parked an old Jeep under a tarp for 16 years on his driveway which is way worse than an RV. Garbage, building material, broken cars etc is a bigger problem when it comes to safety, estetics and sight lines
Yes it's their home they can park what they want as long as someone isn't living in it
Yes- it's your home & you should be allowed to use your own driveway, as long as it does not obstruct the sideways
Yes its better than street parking
Yes it's called Freedom! If it's your property the City should mind it's business!
Yes it's on their property the city should have no right to restrict parking on one's own property
Yes make life simple
yes no issues. driveway is your property. should just make sure its not blocking anything.
Yes no problem
Yes of course. It is a cost effective way to "put up" relatives for the summer months when our taxes and soon to be parking fees are so high we can not afford hotels.
Yes on driveways. It is personal property and rv owners should be allowed to park their unit on their driveway
Yes on the basis that it is not being used for living, ie no generator is running, no hoses or wires connecting to house, etc. I feel as long as it is on the driveway and not hanging onto the sidewalk it should be the owners choice.
Yes on the condition that it is parked on the property it belongs to (not on the edge or grasslines of neighbors) AND that there is clear line of site for driving down the road safely.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes only driveway, not main roads.
Yes only in owners front driveway for convenience, cost and security.
Yes people should be able to park thier RV on thier property if they want to. Stop nickeling and diming everyone just trying to live and get some joy.
yes- personal property on personal property as long as it does not cause a safety issue are not and should not be over legislated
Yes private property
Yes provided the vehicle is contained to the property
Yes provided it doesn't block public access and no one is living in them.
Yes providing off the street.
Yes seasonal parking in the driveway should be allowed as long as there isn't anyone living in it. I don't see what harm it could do. There are bylaws like not blocking the sidewalks etc. That would come into affect of any of the issues that I could think of.
Yes seasonal parking is fine as long as it is not obstructing sidewalks, roads or safety of others
Yes seasonal parking on driveways should be allowed. One exception would be no occupancy of RV while at residence.
Yes so long as it falls within a certain size limit. Some RVs encroach onto public sidewalks and roads or are too tall, blocking views.
Yes so long as it is on a driveway and not the street
Yes so long as sight lines are not obstructed
Yes that would be fine, I see no issue either way aslong as it's infront of your house
Yes The city has been making older neighborhoods higher density .Let us use the land we pay taxes on.
Yes the taxes paid in this city should allow for parking an RV or boat on your driveway.
Yes to a maximum period of 1 week
Yes to front drive parking but no to seasonal street parking.
Yes to save on parking fees elsewhere. Can be parked on driveways indefinitely. As long as they aren't being used to stay in for longer than 16 days in a row
Yes to seasonal and full-time driveway parking. If the vehicle can be just behind the driveway on the side of the house it should also be allowed to be on the driveway. I love rules but this one did not make sense to me and shows huge over reach into the realm of the property owner. The sightline issues from fences and vegetation at many intersections compare to having RVs in driveways and I believe are not overwhelming. Esthetics are subjective and we already have bylaws for refuse and property upkeep so I don't see why the municipality should have an a say into what is on someone's driveway.
yes to seasonal parking on front driveway, for reasons stated above. definitely NO parking for more than 36 hours on street infront of home
Yes under 20 feet
Yes unless it is on a corner or entrance of an alley. These would be obvious obstructions
Yes unless obvious corners and ally way obstructions
Yes we have a very short summer as it is. Let people enjoy they're summers. Families have a hard enough time paying high costs of living and need access to healthy getaways. It would also cut down on pollution as it take a lot more fuel to run back and forth to storage lots.
yes we should allow citizens of this city to park on their own driveway.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes we would support front driveway parking as being previous owners of an RV. It was convenient for the whole family to have the time and less stressful in getting it ready to go places. Storage is also costly and since you already have a property to accommodate one so much money would be saved.
Yes why not? It's private property and looks no worse than a massive lifted truck
Yes with the above conditions
Yes As long as NO camping or subletting the RV.
Yes! Absolutely! People need to disconnect from our already too busy lives. As long as their RV is in good repair, let them keep it at home from spring till fall. Who does it hurt?
Yes! As long as they abide by the conditions. Today while driving we saw an RV parked on a driveway. The area was clean and tidy and there was no encroachment on City property. We also saw a car up on supports, all wheels removed and lying under the car. It seemed extremely unsafe and unsightly. I would rather have the RV parked there, after all the property and the RV belongs to the homeowner.
Yes! Let people do what the hell they want to do on their own property!!! Leave us alone!!!
Yes! All home owners should have the right to park their vehicles, including recreational ones, on their property without restriction as long as they do not block public walkways or pose a danger of some kind to the neighborhood at large (leaky oil or gas lines etc.)
Yes! Because no one sits out front and stares at peoples front yards for more than a minute. There's no difference if it's on the side the front or the back, it's not pretty but who cares!. It's expensive to own and pay to store and should be allowed anywhere on someone's property.
Yes! Because people should be able to do what they want on their own property, within reason. This seems to me within reason. Also, not everyone has a back lane or side parking available to them.
Yes! Driveways are personal properties People should do as they please with it
Yes! Especially with these current harder times, it's nice to have more options for such property. They really don't bother me and are not an eyesore in my opinion. It's nice to see the neighbors enjoying their property!
Yes! I have a young family, and we would love to pursue summer camping with a small RV, but our house has no alley or back access. Our driveway is the only option!
YES! I think if it is on your driveway you should be able to have it there all year long.
Yes! It allows families to have better accommodations for family visits in a more cost effective way
YES! It is crazy that a person can not have their property on their property! Issues of obstructed sightlines may be true but many of these same people would never open their front curtains anyway. This reason is just an excuse to not like something. Safety? How? Esthetics? This is just a personal thing.
Yes! It is not fair to make the thousands of RV owners have to pay for parking somewhere else, it's money they should not have to spend, also it's a security risk. Unless it is blocking a side walk I do not see any issue with a person using their own driveway for their own use like this.
Yes! It's private property and you should not dictate what people can do with their own property.
Yes! My property, mine to do with as I please. Create sensible rules for people such as not encroaching on public land or pathways, not a safety issue etc and then let people do as they please on their own property. I come from the UK where the bylaws that exist in Calgary

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

would never be acceptable. There are far too many rules for people to abide by so that even the most law abiding citizen feels their neighbours are constantly reporting them. It does not great the best community spirit. Do not control the masses because of the poor actions of the few.
Yes! People own these homes and pay taxes. Let people live and enjoy their RV!
Yes! People should be allowed to park whatever they want on their own property.
Yes! RV storage is expensive. Lot owners should be able to use their own property and avoid the cost of storage year round. We need to encourage families to camp and enjoy nature. The RV needs to be on the driveway for packing and unpacking it. The city should stop making rules that make families lives more difficult and more costly.
Yes! They should be able to park their property on their property! As long as it isnt out on the road or blocking the sidewalks
YES! We should be encouraging people to enjoy the outdoors and these vehicles are difficult to use if you cannot park/store them anywhere. Much of the city only has front driveways, and there is no reason to discriminate against those neighbourhoods. Your property; your vehicle. They don't block sightlines any more than a large work van or lifted pickup truck, which a large number of people have on their driveways anyway.
Yes! They don't have any impact on other people and houses.
Yes!! Let people use their property in a way that positively impacts their life! Summer is short, let people have their RV close to home so that they can use it more often
Yes, Small RVs are no worst than large trucks. 36 hours is not enough time if you have to fix something or Reno something on your vehicle. Is the driveways not considered owned property not city property? I do not want a 5th wheel sitting on my street for extended times but smaller RVs to me do not seem to be a problem. I have a person on my street that has so many cars. They park 3 in driveway, it's cluttered and looks horrible. plus on the road. So how is this fair. Repair vans, such as plumbers, cable companies ect Can park. Why not a smaller camper van or tent trailer. As long as peoples properties do not look like a junk yard leave them be. Thanks for listening
Yes, I would support front driveway parking if the RV is in good condition and not leaking fluids. I would say that it could be extended to allow parking in front drive for 96 H. Some families or seniors may take a bit to load and unload when preparing for a long camping trip for example.
Yes, if it fits on your own driveway, then you should be allowed to park anything you want.
Yes, it should be allowed if it's on their property
Yes, previously owned a trailer. Especially with thefts on rise, gives security for owners, easier and safer load/unloading. I would support this , with restrictions ( number of RVs on a property & where they're parked) also clear definitions- regarding visibility/ state of repair/ and occupancy- and how those rules are enforced. Minimum/max distances from property line, sidewalk, corners etc- so rvs that are too large aren't crammed onto a driveway and become a hazard.
Yes, the person who owns the property should have the rights to decide what is parked on their property.
Yes, I would like to see them allowed for the convenience, and cost savings it provides to those using there RV's.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

However having someone use this as temporary housing for the summer months would be a downside and something I would not support.
Yes, 100% it is the home owners driveway and they should be able to do with it as they wish. I support year round RV parking on front driveways.
Yes, 36 hours is generally not enough time
Yes, 36h adds unnecessary hassle to frequent summer campers. On-Prem RV Storage allows RV users to camp more frequently by having an RV always ready. Winterizing/dewinterizing/cleaning/repairs are more easily completed properly when owners don't have to rush. RVs are prone to minor broken parts: driving is like a minor earthquake with all the bumps and shaking. We should be encouraging more physical activity in nature.
Yes, a homeowner should be allowed to park his/her own vehicles anywhere on his/ her property.
Yes, a hundred percent, people should have the liberty to do as they wish with the property.
Yes, absolutely
Yes, absolutely.
Yes, absolutely. People should be allowed to use their property as they see fit, within reason. Parking an RV in the driveway all summer is reasonable. I did it when i lived in a different city.
Yes, absolutely. As the cost of recreation continually increases, including camping costs, seasonal front driveway parking helps keep camping affordable and accessible for anyone who does not have a back lane or other non-front storage space. Camping/outdoor recreation is extremely important for socialization in this "post-covid" world, and general health/wellness. I think the issue of aesthetics really secondary to people's reasonable access to recreation and health.
Yes, absolutely. It is private property, and as long as they are not obstructing roads or sidewalks I see no issues.
Yes, absolutely. It's ridiculous not to be able to store your RV on your own property when you have the space for it just because it's at the front. Especially when you can technically get around it if you own a corner lot, since in that case you can park it down the side of your house if you have space, or even put it at the back, even if there's no fence or anything and it's in plain sight.
Yes, absolutely. Many people don't have rear or side parking. RV storage is expensive and inconvenient. Easier accessibility for maintenance, cleaning, packing, etc.
Yes, allow anytime without limit if on private property. If it's not on street or blocking a sidewalk it's not the city's business what vehicle is parked on a driveway
Yes, allows owners to keep their belonging on their own property without forcing them to pay for storage elsewhere.
Yes, allows the property owners to keep their RVs on their property. It's also helpful if you don't have back lane access or side property large enough to store the RV
Yes, always assuming it's not blocking the view for a another driver backing out of their driveway
Yes, and extended - why should an RV owner also have to own a back yard?
Yes, as a home owner, if I owned an RV that was used seasonally, I would prefer to be able to park it on my own property in between uses (as long as it is meeting other bylaw

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

requirements). This ensures ease of packing/unpacking items in between use, access to be able to care and clean the RV, and also a sense of security by having it where I can see it.
Yes, as a lot of homes only have front drive ways and very small backyards. I think if the RV is well maintained, unobtrusive to walkways and does not obstruct road signage then it would not be an issue.
Yes, as I am for less government regulation and more personal freedom
Yes, as it can be a licensed vehicle/trailer/boat etc and should have the right to park similar to any motor vehicle.
Yes, as it is your private property. Maybe only a bylaw that it must be able to be moved if necessary (not just stationary), but no time restrictions.
Yes, as long as "unsightly" is defined. One person's trash is another's treasure.
yes, as long as access is not restricted. and the trailer is in good order.
yes, as long as are not OVERSIZED (30ft+ motorhome buses or rigs) and would consider units under 30 feet as acceptable with current LICENSED vehicle and not abandoned.
Yes, as long as is in owners' driveway, doesn't impede or project onto sidewalk passage and is in good , usable condition, and people aren't living in it .
Yes, As long as it completely fits on the property driveway, I have no issue
Yes, as long as it does not block the side walk people should be allowed to park in their own drive way. Let people enjoy travel time with their families
Yes, as long as it does not encroach/block sidewalks I don't have a problem with it as cost of living is already so expensive that having to pay storage fees for an RV seems ridiculous.
Yes, as long as it does not hang off the driveway. (obstructing the sidewalk). Otherwise it does not interfere or impact me, so no opposition to it.
Yes, as long as it does not obstruct visuals of the roads/crosswalks, or sidewalks
Yes, as long as it fit on the driveway and did not obstruct the sidewalk/roadway. I would only want it during the summer months not year long.
Yes, as long as it is fully on their own property. I think. Person should be able to park their own vehicles on their own property
Yes, as long as it is on a private driveway. Not on public roads in front of people's houses.
Yes, as long as it is on the driveway and not extending into the sidewalk or street
Yes, as long as it is on the owners property
yes, as long as it is on their private driveway or parking pad
Yes, as long as it is on their property it's fine. Some people camp every weekend and it seems silly they can't park in their driveway during the week
Yes, as long as it is parked safely, size appropriate and not being used for living.
Yes, as long as it isn't blocking line of sight at intersections and as long as it isn't being occupied - i.e. serving as a secondary suite for someone. Money is tight and having an RV shouldn't be a huge burden for a family forced to store it.
Yes, as long as it meets criteria like length, can't stick out on the road, has to be newer than a certain year...
Yes, as long as it's not blocking public nor an eye sore it is fine
Yes, as long as it's not blocking sidewalks or is a hazard. Give people more flexibility on using their property for these types of things.
Yes, as long as it's on their driveway and not on the roads. If the owners are constantly using it weekend to weekend it would just be convenient for them to be able to keep it on their drive way. But it shouldn't block any sidewalks or be taking up parking spots on the road



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, as long as it's on their property. They should be allowed to keep their stuff on their land. Seems unfair to require potential added storage costs just because it's a bit unsightly. Not everyone has other storage options and may not be able to afford to pay for offsite storage. Additionally, some people may camp on back to back weekends but be home on weekdays. Seems silly to let them keep it there Monday, have to store it Tuesday and Wednesday, then let them have it Thursday so they can leave Friday.
Yes, as long as it's doesn't create a blind corner at an intersection.
yes, as long as its on the driveway - not on the street.
Yes, as long as it's on the driveway out of the way of traffic. It's a nice option for people that have family come stay with them during the summer.
Yes, as long as it's within owner's property. Should not be parked in back alley as vehicles need to move safely and freely (i.e. 423 Rundelawn Way NE camper parked in alley since Aug2022).
Yes, as long as long as line of sight is not blocked, and does not impede any traffic.
Yes, as long as no one is actively living in it. It often takes time to transport to and from storage and load a trailer.
Yes, as long as said RV was not blocking the sidewalk or excessive obstruction of sightlines that walking or driving would be unsafe.
Yes, as long as sight lines (not on sidewalk) are maintained.
Yes, as long as sight lines are maintained and not parked on the street the homeowner owns their driveway and therefore should be able to park what they want. As long as it's functioning and in well maintained condition. Junk cars or junk campers etc would not be supported
Yes, as long as sight lines are not obstructed and the item parked is maintained.
Yes, as long as sightlines near intersections and alleyways are kept clear.
Yes, as long as the driveway is big enough to accommodate the RV safely
Yes, as long as the entire unit including hitch are contained within the length of the driveway and do extend onto the sidewalk or road.
Yes, as long as the parked vehicle do not obstruct sidewalk visibility
Yes, as long as the parking does not block the sidewalk
Yes, as long as the RV (including hitch) does not overhang the sidewalk.
Yes, as long as the rv can fit on your driveway and doesn't protrude on to the side walk it is no different than a work van/ lifted trucks etc. especially work trailers.
Yes, as long as the RV does not block the sidewalk it should be allowed to be parked in the driveway.
Yes, As long as the RV does not extend over the sidewalk or onto the road. I think the RV should also be in somewhat nice condition and not an eye sore. You should also not be allowed to stay overnight in them.
Yes, as long as the RV is in good condition and being used it should be allowed. They're not unsightly and don't obstruct views any more than a van or a large truck. It's silly to force people to pay for storage and the time it takes to retrieve and store their RV when they're using it regularly.
Yes, as long as the RV is not unsightly (i.e. poor condition), encroaching on City property, and is meeting other bylaw requirements. This will allow for folks returning from camping trips time to unpack, unload and return the RV to storage while being mindful that other obligations (work, children's activities, school), take priority over moving an RV.
Yes, as long as the RV is off the street.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, as long as the RV is on someone's driveway and not on the road, I think seasonal front driveway parking should be allowed. It doesn't harm anyone if it's on a driveway
Yes, as long as the RV is on the property and NOT on the street, or encroaching the sidewalk. I believe it is important to allow this. As a former RV owner, the 36 hour limit was restrictive and cost extra to find another place to park it.
Yes, as long as the RV is road legal and not falling apart I think it should be allowed also not blocking the sidewalk
Yes, as long as the trailer is in good condition.
Yes, as long as the unit fits completely on the driveway. If it blocks your view, work with your neighbor and ask them to move it to the other side of the driveway please.
Yes, as long as the unit has current registered trailer plates to show it is intended to be used and not just plunked in the driveway. Also, that people are not using it as a living space for more than one overnight. Like your "van lifer" pal can't live in the driveway all Summer. There should be a sight line clause as well since it can create a dangerous area for pedestrians and drivers, Could also be prohibited on main bus routes for any interference in service it could cause.
Yes, as long as the unit it being used (as in, not junky or not functional), then I believe it is fine to park an rv on your own property.
Yes, as long as the vehicle fits on it. There are some people who do this, but the trailer extends to the sidewalk.
Yes, as long as the vehicle is in good repair (not an eye sore), and as long as it's far enough away from sidewalks or roadways that it can not obstruct the view of drivers or pedestrians
Yes, as long as there is no long term impediment to safely walking on the sidewalk.
Yes, as long as there was no obstruction to road traffic.
Yes, as long as there's no obstruction of view for drivers and the vehicles are in good repair.
Yes, as long as they are not causing a concern with sight lines or blocking the sidewalk.
Yes, as long as they aren't hindering the sidewalk or blocking the roadways.
Yes, as long as they aren't obstructing street view. Perhaps there can be size/length restrictions.
Yes, as long as they aren't the size of a bus
Yes, as long as they don't obstruct driving site lines or present a safety hazard
Yes, as long as they don't obstruct the intersections and sidewalks.
Yes, as long as, if guests are living in the trailer while they are holidaying on the driveway, that they obey the laws of Calgary plus they stay on the property where they (and family and pets etc. ) are parked on the driveway.
Yes, as long as they are parking on private property, there are no safety issues, obstructed sight lines (corner lots) or anyone living in the RV.
Yes, as mentioned - we pay taxes and should be allowed to park whatever RV we want on the driveway as long as it's deemed in driveable/ towable condition.
Yes, as most of the items in the ban are seasonal, and it allows people to get out and on their way faster.
Yes, as someone who grew up with a recreational trailer, if it wasn't parked in our driveway (i.e., at a storage facility) we weren't going to use it because it was inconvenient. Extending the parking to seasonal will help Calgarians get out and go camping more often and that has huge mental health benefits!
Yes, as someone who is interesting purchasing a trailer having to worry about neighbors calling 311 while loading or seasonally prepping it is stressful. We had a trailer outside out



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

house last year doing yard work and had to constantly worry about having the trailer parked and the potential ticket even though we were using it within reason and it wasn't blocking the road or other parking spots in front of houses.
Yes, aslong as it doesn't touch sidewalks
Yes, because Calgary is a city that likes to support outdoor recreation and living, camping is part of that. It is really difficult for families to find the time to load their RV within the current time limits. This takes away from enjoyable use of their RV.
Yes, because driving to RV parking and back could be contributing to global warming, as long as they are in good shape and aesthetics and doesn't extend to the sidewalk
Yes, because home owners should be allowwd to use their property as they see fit.
Yes, because if RV owners are parking in private property, it should not be an issue. If RVs are parked on street, then the current public bylaws already mitigate this issue.
Yes, because it allows people to make better use of their RV, storage spaces in the city are very expensive.
Yes, because it encourages domestic travel and an appreciation for our environment. Owning an RV allows people to learn about rural Canada first hand.
yes, because it is reasonable during the summer
Yes, because it isn't on the street like cars that are left on street for months and years.
Yes, because it sounds reasonable to park it in your driveway if it's being used regularly.
Yes, because it will simplify ownership for many people, and help them avoid costly storage fees. Perhaps an upper limit could be instated so as to prevent excessively large trailers or motorhomes from taking up too much space.
Yes, because people need to be able to use their own property to of set currant rental fees as long as they are in good standing
Yes, because RV use and maintenance increases in the summer. It is highly inconvenient to have to run back and forth to storage places every weekend. Families have limited recreational time to begin with. And why should I care if my neighbour parks their vehicle on their property? "It's unsightly!" Don't look at it then. "Makes the neighbourhood look bad!" Yeah, must be a real bad neighbourhood where everyone can afford to buy and maintain \$50000 trailers. People pay tax on their property and they paid for the house. They should be able to do what they want with it. No one complains if a giant lifted truck is parked all year. Why should RVs be different?
Yes, because storage fee's in Calgary are insane.
Yes, because the driveway is part of each owners property and we should be allowed to store our recreational vehicles for however long we want. It is inconvenient to only be allowed to park for 36 hours, and it is also expensive to park in an RV lot. My family and I are not bothered by esthetics or obstructed sightlines, as long as the RVs are parked in driveways. Other cities in Alberta and other provinces do not have this law. It doesn't make sense to have it just in Calgary.
Yes, because they would be used and hopefully well maintained.
yes, because time is of essence. when individuals or a family are able to get away the load and unload their trailer or get it ready for season it can take longer than 36 hours to do this (unreasonable time frame). and risking fines and stress on people is not necessary. of course there will always people who push the envelope, have broken down unsightly units on their property should be discouraged.
Yes, because why not. My property my rights. Mind your own business.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes, because with the cost of inflation its sometimes too expensive to pay for storage or camping for short term. It also allows family members who travel by RV to park at your residence without issue.
Yes, better than on street
Yes, but all year round and not only seasonal. Front driveway parking per se excludes huge RVs, because they do not fit. As long as an RV fits on the front driveway it should be allowed to park there all year around. If it is fine for summer, why not for winter?
Yes, but all year round, not just seasonal. A property owner should be allowed to use their land as they see fit. They own it and paid the taxes on it. It's not the job of government to tell people how to live on their own land.
Yes, but all year round, people pay there property taxes, and should have the right to park whatever they want on there property, matter seasonal or not. Gravel or concrete pad, if it's not blocking traffic or visibility of traffic people shouldn't have to pay to have there property stored at a storage facility.
Yes, but for limited time such as 72 hours.
Yes, but I appreciate the caveats outlined above (not unsightly or encroaching on city property, etc). I would not support seasonal street parking. Although I do not own an RV, I understand how parking it in your driveway in the summer between camping trips (more than 36 hrs) would be more convenient than having to store it offsite.
Yes, but knowing the City of Calgary, you will charge extra fees for the privilege. Money hungry council.
Yes, but must be road legal. limited running of engine/generator.
Yes, but no. Perhaps a slightly longer period is beneficial during those seasons. Again. I think it comes down to length. A large trailer congrads the roads or driveways when it is also more likely that kids or pedestrians are out and about with reduced sightlines
Yes, but not continuously for the entire RV season. I think being able to park for a continuous period of seven (7) days, as long as the entire RV was parked on a driveway or other hard surface, and did not hang over the sidewalk, would be sufficient. Multiple seven day periods should be allowed as long as there is a seven day period between the RV being parked on the driveway.
Yes, but not on the front street, in front of residences
Yes, but not the enormous ones. It should fit easily in driveway.
Yes, but not within a reasonable distance from the corner. Reduced sight lines specifically at a corner are very dangerous. YYC doesn't seem to follow this by-law for regular vehicles, though it should as ANY vehicle parked close to a corner reduces visibility for motorists and greatly reduces safety for pedestrians.
Yes, but only if the bylaw does NOT allow for person(s) to live in the RV. The bylaw needs to make this clear and there needs to be consequences for anyone who wants to use the RV for this purpose - eg. AirBNB, friend or relative accommodation.
Yes, but only if they are parked on the driveways (not front lawns), only during the summer season and only for short periods (and moving them off and back on in the same day as a way of resetting their parking clock should be prohibited). Frequently they are parked in front of homes on the street or in community parking areas (e.g. the parking area in Douglas Glen next to tennis courts) and they should continue to be limited in how long they can be parked there.
Yes, but only on a driveway and not on the street. It makes sense to be able to park RVs on your own property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, but only on drive ways and not roads. Also the trailer has to fit on the driveway and cannot extend onto sidewalk or roadway.
Yes, but should be removed in winter and cannot be used as an accommodation or temporary housing while on property.
Yes, but with clear parameters on the size of the unit being parked and requiring that clear passage on sidewalks and roadways will not be impacted and that the city makes clear any safety issues that must be avoided.
Yes, Calgary should be like other municipalities and allow driveway parking or street parking during the summer season. Calgary should change as times change and adapt to the increase of interest in this family pass time. There should be some restrictions of length and height for large trailers and motorhomes for safety and esthetics. As long as it doesn't impede on sidewalks or block signs, parking in front of owners properties is acceptable and I would support this change.
Yes, conditionally. I would limit the size for reasons of safety, aesthetics, and security
Yes, convenience and ease of use. For those people who only camp in summer the season is short and to have it on your drive would be wonderful (especially with small kids)
Yes, convenience for the home owner to be able to be to enjoy a short notice get away with their family
Yes, convenience, affordable
Yes, convenience
Yes, depending on size for safety (like blocking signage) and esthetics. RV's in driveways or on the street where parking is permitted does not bother me.
Yes, depending on the size. Something like a 5th wheel doesn't fit well in driveways but a smaller rv or trailer should be fine as long as sidewalks and such are useable.
Yes, does not affect me. People should be able to park whatever they want on their property. People buy houses with drive ways to park their vehicles. That is the purpose of a drive way. If someone doesn't like it, move to an area without driveways.
Yes, doesn't bother me
Yes, doesn't bother me.
Yes, don't care about it
Yes, driveways are private property so should be able to use them in this manner.
Yes, during the summer, people will take their RV camping often, allowing it to be parked on our driveways will be easy and convenient to head out and save money and time as opposed to having it stored and needing to go to the yard every single time.
Yes, easier for loading, doesn't bother me unless they encroach sidewalk space
Yes, encouraging people to engage with nature through camping is very beneficial. For many using a trailer is the only way they can. Reducing the amount of trailers being moved back and forth into storage reduces risk of accidents, congestion on road ways and minimizes carbon emissions
Yes, enough of the government overreach, there are much bigger issues to be concerned about
Yes, especially in the summer when packing and un packing vehicles
yes, everyone is going in and out with their RVs. I think it could be worth it so my neighbors have extra time in their summer so that they can just load and unload as needed. It's for the season. shouldn't be an issue.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, folks should be allowed to park their property on their own property if it does not extend past their driveway, appear unsightly, leak fluids into our sewer system, or otherwise impact neighbours and/or lower property values.
Yes, for a defined period of time only (i.e., May 1 to September 30) and only one vehicle at a time
Yes, for anything that fits within the driveway. To reduce unnecessary vehicle traffic transporting RV's to and from storage areas; and the associated fuel consumption.
Yes, for convenience
Yes, for convenience of those who travel every weekend
Yes, for convenience sake and it doesn't bother me to see well maintained RV 's.
Yes, for cost-effectiveness, security and convenience.
Yes, for parking purposes i.e. as long as they're not for living in them. Owners should have the possibility to park their RV on their property / driveway, restricting this seems an unwarranted intervention into usage of private property.
Yes, for people who camp often I think it would be easier for them to keep their RV at home in the summer
Yes, for small trailers, like Tent trailer or foldable trailer. In many cases they are smaller (by height) then pickup truck or minivan so they don't obstruct the view. Also they don't have black water tanks ( no toilet inside) thus no leaks or odour.
Yes, for smaller RVs (less than 30 feet in total length). It is costly and time-consuming to be returning an RV to a storage facility on a weekly basis during the summertime when it is used most weekends. Large units exceeding 30' in overall length should not be allowed seasonal parking. For safety, corner lots should NOT be permitted seasonal parking. The risks of obstructed sight lines are far greater on street corners for pedestrians & cyclists (especially children) & outweigh benefits.
Yes, for smaller RVs, but not for the big motor homes. The smaller vehicles are not really a problem. I find larger vehicles make the street look like a parking lot, and it impacts the sight lines especially when kids are running down driveways and onto the street.
Yes, for smaller trailer/RV's.
Yes, from May long weekend to Sept long weekend
Yes, given the conditions cited. If an RV does not greatly impact the esthetics of the residence, it should be allowed.
Yes, having lived in other major Canadian cities, it's unusual and a bit heavy handed to disallow people to park their property on their own property. I think people can be respectful about it as well, and if parking their RV does not impose hardship on their neighbors, as some of my neighbors have discussed with me, then there should not be any issue. This is a needlessly imposed bylaw that helps no one except the city.
Yes, having the RV close by allows folks to cut down on preparation and take-down time, meaning increased use of the RV. If you need a day on either end of your trip to get the RV to and from storage, cleaned etc. Weekend trips are no longer an option. If families invest in recreational vehicles that increase their well being through increased social, leisure and green time, we should support that.
Yes, having them at ones house makes it easier for them to be used and can act ask extra room for guests.
Yes, homeowners should be allowed to do as they please on their own property provided it does not pose a danger to the public.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, however, some RV owners may not have a front driveway or parking stall and will park it on the street. Could the city develop a cost effective for these rv owners?
Yes, I absolutely would support this. I think if you own a home and your trailer or recreational equipment is in your front driveway, that's not anyone else's business. As long as the trailer/camper isn't falling apart or causing a safety hazard in any way, then yes it should be allowed to park there. Paying bylaw officers to hand out fines/tickets for trailers/campers parked in front driveways is asinine and a complete waste of taxpayer dollars. The money this must cost the city (I.e., bylaw officer pay) can be better allocated to much more effective causes including: proper road cleaning, proper maintenance of trees/cleaning of parks/public garbage receptacles, fixing of city roads, etc
Yes, I actually believe they should be allowed on someones front driveway all year. Storing RVs is costly and inconvenient during the summer season.
yes, I am in favour of people being allowed to park their own vehicles anywhere on their property, and at any time, and for any length of time. why? because anything that brings someone and / or their children joy, is worthwhile. i have no problem seeing RVs in my neighbourhood and I think that it is a silly thing to worry about and spend a bunch of time and money worrying about.
Yes, I believe making life easier for my neighbors is better than making things harder. Aesthetic reasons are inconsequential.
Yes, I believe people have the right to park their RVs in their own property as long as it's not blocking sidewalks.
Yes, I believe people should be able to park any of their vehicles, any time of the year on their own property. In my eyes, if you own the property, you should have the right the use it as you see fit (Excluding zoning of course). Parking in specific Calgary areas is hard to come by, and not allowing people to use their own property to park doesn't support the situation.
Yes, I believe residents should be able to park RVs on their front property all year if they do not impede on: vision (traffic, pedestrians, street lighting), snow removal, or other safety hazards.
Yes, I do not believe the impacts are great enough to out weigh the drawbacks (and I do not understand how letting people park their rvs is an ethics problems)
Yes, I do not see any issues of someone parking their trailers, etc. As long as it doesnt impede any traffic.
Yes, I do not see seasonal Peking on your own property as an issue
Yes, I do support it. If the RV is parked on a driveway, and there is ample clearance for boulevards/sidewalks, there shouldn't be an issue with people parking their vehicles there. Many other commercial and personal vehicles of similar sizes can be parked there, so why not RVs? Just ensure that the length of the RV does not exceed the length of the driveway and impede foot traffic.
Yes, I do support it. It's their property , they can do whatever they want on it
Yes, I don't mind neighbours parking their RVs for longer periods if it saves them some hassle
Yes, I don't see any harm in my neighbors being allowed to park their RVs on their own property. For any amount of time, they can be there all year round, it's not a big. 36 hrs is a bit ridiculous and obviously never enforced from what I've seen.
Yes, I don't see any reason why not. If you are on your own driveway, and not blocking the sidewalk or roadway, then go for it.
Yes, I don't see why it would be an issue if it's in someone's property



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I don't feel that the identified negative impacts are enough to negate the benefits for RV owners. Given the state of so many properties in the city (overgrown and untended lawns, other items being stored on properties, homes themselves not being properly maintained), I don't feel that RV's should be "targeted" as being a concern. If an RV owner doesn't have room to park it on their property, it's not only costly, but very inconvenient to have to retrieve the RV from a storage facility, park it at the residence, and get it all packed up and ready within 36 hours.
Yes, I don't see any justifiable concerns.
Yes, I don't see it as an issue if someone wants to park their RV in the driveway during the summer. It is convenient and would likely be gone off and on all season
Yes, I feel it is the owner's right to park their RV on their own property rather than having to pay to store it elsewhere. Storage locations are not always handy and create a challenge along with additional costs of travelling back and forth if wanting to do any maintenance, cleaning, etc.
Yes, I feel people should not have to pay an extra private parking spot since they have space on their own property. I feel that the esthetics is too subjective, but for the other concerns it could have other rules to make this more under control but this prohibition is too extreme, could at least allow for a season or so.
Yes, I fully support seasonal front driveway parking because I currently am not able to participate in RV activities with my family due to the current bylaws limiting parking time to 36 hours. If it was seasonal I could logistically be able to manage enough time to prepare and use an RV.
Yes, I has to be allowed to park on the driveway.
Yes, I have a front driveway and no alley parking. This is why I support it.
Yes, I have been considering purchasing a trailer for use in the summer months but the difficulty storing it outside of weekends is a major reason why I have not gone ahead.
Yes, I have no issues with seasonal front driveway parking
Yes, I have no problem allowing from spring to fall RV parking. I actually encourage people to park their RV as it avoids a lot of trouble of back and forth driving to the RV storage park every time they need to use their RVs, therefore less vehicles on the roads.
Yes, I have owned several RVs in the past and know that it is much more convenient to access them for cleaning, repairs, and even just hooking on for a weekend away if they are stored on your driveway. Having access to power, even just to cool the refrigerator, is so helpful. It is also difficult to make larger repairs from a storage yard without tools, power, etc. The disruption to neighbours is minimal as long as they don't encroach on city or other's property.
Yes, I have previously owned an RV and experienced the significant challenges in ownership with the very restrictive bylaws for parking at my residence. I agree that limits need to be set so that people don't create unsightly situation that goes on for extended periods of time but a better balance should be set so that the access by the RV owners is increased to a level of usability.
Yes, I purchased a house and that garage pad. Why shouldn't I be able to park whatever I want on it within reason? We're not talking about parking dilapidated vehicles that you mostly see.
Yes, I support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. That's the way should be.
Yes, I support driveway parking. People should use their private property at their own discretion. As long as the RV is in good condition there is no reason for it to be considered to



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

impact 'esthetics' of a neighborhood. Driveways are not allowed to be close to intersections, so the obstructed sightlines/safety also does not make sense as a concern. One perspective should not decide on behalf of another what's allowed. Unnecessary bylaws cost us tax \$\$ to enforce.
Yes, I support it as it made it more convenient to repair, clean, pack, unload, and use in between
Yes, I support it because this will encourage neighbours to use more an rv to get outside as well as if they need to practice minor repairs. This can help to improve neighbours interpersonal relations.
Yes, I support it. The home owner should be allowed to do what they want on their own property. It's no one elses business.
Yes, I support seasonal front driveway parking. Cost of off site storage,, distance and time required to retrieve RV for the weekend and return later, and great inconvenience.
Yes, I support seasonal parking on a driveway.
Yes, I support seasonal parking seems to be a fair compromise for those with and without trailers
Yes, I support seasonal parking. Please don't make it difficult to own and use an rv. Rv's promote healthy, active enjoyment of our beautiful province. Get the government out of petty, restrictive enjoyment of day to day living.
Yes, I support the idea of parking on hard surface. However, this leads to more cars parked on street side. City should allocate passes for private cars for street parking, limiting 2 per house. Charge for additional parking pass
Yes, I support this. This allows folks easier access to their RV for regular weekend use. The season is so short to begin with, allow people to maximize their time to enjoy nature in the summer months.
Also this is far less obtrusive than street parking.
We pay tax on our homes, so should be allowed to use our driveways.
Yes, I support. Makes life easier for Calgarians.
Yes, I think all year round should be allowed.
yes, I think if a person owns a motor home they should be able to park it wherever they want on their property
Yes, I think if it's on your property and not obstructing views or causing danger during the few months of the warm seasons it should not be a concern.
Yes, I think it is fair for people to be able to park their RVs on their property, especially during the months when they are most actively used. It gives people the opportunity to clean them, get ready for future trips, or do Renos.
Yes, I think people should have the right to park their recreational vehicles in their own driveways. I don't like the idea of parking them on the street but have no problem with them being in the owners driveway.
Yes, I think so. As it is, only the extremely privileged few can have RVs - you basically need to own a house with an RV pad.
Yes, I think thinks like camping and outdoor recreation positively support many families' mental health and can support our tourism industry. I fully support this proposal.
Yes, I think we can be more flexible to the needs if RV owners.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>Yes, I will support this idea. But in only case when the RV fits on the drive way and not park near the home on the road or too long and blocked the walkway</p>
<p>Yes, I would allow residents (our friends and neighbours ) to park their RV's on front driveways and parking stalls. This would not remove their continued requirement to meet other bylaws currently in place. One important condition would be that the vehicle is licensed and not simply being stored .</p>
<p>Yes, I would allow seasonal driveway parking for a few reasons: Safety - you can do 'dry runs' near the house to stress-test the appliances and features of the RV while being closer to emergency services and tools for damage control. This can save lives if issues are caught at home and don't stress STARS or rural emergency services. It can handle occasional emergencies like lock-downs, cancelled flights, floods, friend's house burned down. Finally, I'm an ardent supporter of private property rights within reason. Seems to be too much encroachment of governments into private citizens and properties recently.</p>
<p>Yes, I would also support an RV parked in a front driveway year round, as long as it did not block the sidewalk, or other city property. RV lot fees are a huge cost to owners, and if it fits safely in a driveway, owners should be allowed to park in their driveway.</p>
<p>Yes, I would consider getting a trailer and camping more if this option were available. However, I agree to this for RV's that can fit on the park pad and do not extend to street and sidewalk parking.</p>
<p>Yes, i would even extend that to allowing unlimited front driveway parking times. It is your property and what you have on it is your choice. I dont believe the government should have any power to dictate what vehicles you can or cannot have on your property.</p>
<p>Yes, I would like my family to come visit for a few weeks at a time and be able to park their RV while they are staying with us (Staying in our house but being able to park it so they can access their personal belongings, not pay to park it off site and have the security knowing it is safe)</p>
<p>Yes, I would rather in their own driveway then parked on the street taking from visitor parking or forced to pay to keep at a lot.</p>
<p>Yes, I would support front driveway parking because I believe as home owners, people should be allowed to park their own property, on their own property. Especially during seasons it would be used frequently.</p>
<p>Yes, I would support front driveway parking, since a driveway is located on a personal property and parking in such a space would not detract from available street parking for other citizens. I would not support extended street parking due to the impact that would have on available parking spaces for regularly used vehicles.</p>
<p>Yes, I would support it</p>
<p>Yes, I would support it as people should be able to park an RV on their own property regardless if it is the front driveway or in the back. Not everyone has an area behind their home they could park it.</p>
<p>Yes, I would support it to allow enough time to those who need it for set up, preparation, service, cleaning, or taking down their RVs.</p>
<p>Yes, I would support it. I think owners should be allowed to park near their home and it's frequently extremely difficult to follow the three day rule if you're going on a trip close to returning from another.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, I would support it. It seems like government over-reach to not allow it. I mean, it is your property and you pay tax on it. If it isn't impacting anyone, I don't see an issue.
There needs to be some additional rules - you should not be able to live out of it (with room for exceptions). You shouldn't be able to rent the trailer out and have someone live in it on your property. Exceptions would be if someone has a fire and they live in the trailer while they rebuild.
Yes, I would support it. Storage is a costly inconvenience when it comes to RVs and often see a lot of RV damage, theft, or vandalism. As long as RVs are parked safely and not blocking sidewalks/roadways, as is expected of all vehicles, then I don't see any issue with it. If the safety/sight obstruction is the main concern, there are other items that should fall in the category (event tents, commercial vehicles, etc.)
Yes, I would support seasonal differences. Not indefinite parking, but longer times. Up to about 2-3 weeks, perhaps April 1 - Oct 31, If someone is using their RV regularly, there's no reason to pay for storage off their own property. Unsightly RVs should not be given said right.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking for the reasons stated above.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking. I would support year round parking. I believe that a homeowner should be allowed to keep their RV on their property. It's a simple kindness we can extend to those neighbors with RVs.
Yes, I would support seasonal front driveway parking. My house does not have parking out back and I would love to get a trailer some day to take kids out camping in cold weather
Yes, I would support seasonal parking in front driveways. This allows families to prepare for trips in reasonable time.
Yes, i would support seasonal parking. People should be allowed to enjoy there own rv on their own property. They would probably take it out every weekend.
Yes, I would support this. We have a short season for people to enjoy their recreations.
Yes, I would. It adds burden to owners and someone's property is there own business.
Yes, I would. It would reduce the cost for trailer owners. It's an added expense that should not be accrued for people who wish to take part in the outdoors during the camping/summer season.
Yes, I would. Many RV owners already seem to have their vehicles/trailers parked illegally for extended periods of time. It is difficult to enforce the by-law, so why not just extend it? Also, this is about driveways which I believe is private property. If it's not on the road it's not really my problem.
Yes, I would. We don't have any issues with it. No distractions at all.
Yes, if an rv fits in the driveway, people should be allowed to park their rv regardless of its location on the property
Yes, if does not block sidewalks and public property
yes, If I had one, it would be a lot easier to maintain it, and pack it etc. It can also be used for extra guests, and could help homeless people eg" seniors
Yes, if it does not extend onto the sidewalk
Yes, if it is a personal owned/rented property and dies not extend into the sidewalk or street I am in favour of it.
Yes, if it is on a marked driveway, doesn't obstruct the sidewalk in any way, and no power cords are across the sidewalk for any reason. And not if it's occupied. For storage and cleaning/stocking purposes only.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes, if it is on the home owners property then there is nothing wrong
Yes, if it is on their property and not interfering with the sidewalk, there should be no problem at all.
Yes, if it is only on their driveway I don't care how a homeowner chooses to use their property
Yes, if it just being stored. I would not think allowing someone to reside in one for an extended period should be ok. For a short vacation, ie 2 weeks, sure. Just concerned about some residents renting them out as cheap housing.
Yes, if it meets the above requirements. There likely needs to be a 'setback from sidewalk' distance defined. Special rules for corner lots need to be defined to ensure good sight lines at corners
Yes, if it's in their driveway on their property it shouldn't be blocking sight lines to a significant degree.
Yes, if it's not affecting others parking or use of their property, it should be allowed.
Yes, If its on personal property whether owned or rented i dont feel others should be able dictate what one has on thier driveway or property as long as its not a environmental or safety concern such as the unit whatever it may be leaking fluids etc.
Yes, if its on their personal driveway. But not on the street, ad it obstructs the view from safety perspective as kids are on the street. And residential roads dont have that much clearance anymore in newer communities
Yes, If its on your property, you should have that right.
Yes, if it's their driveway, let them park whatever they want there. Don't waste money on this rule that literally nobody cares about.
yes, if not unsightly or overly large. People have a right pleasant enjoyment of their property, including their driveway.
Yes, if on driveway it should be allowed at all times. There should be no limit. Street parking should be limited.
Yes, if people can park a dilapidated car or truck indefinitely rvs should be fine
Yes, if safely parked, ie trailer.
Yes, if someone owns their own property who are you to say what can and cannot be on it...it does not make sense. The only reason could be for neighborhood aesthetics, which is a completely out dated concept that was invented by nosey, bored, stay at home boomer parents/retirees.
Yes, if someone wants to park their RV on their driveway as long as it isn't blocking the road I don't see why it makes much of a difference for anyone else. Sometimes neighbours have ugly lawn ornaments, but since it's their home and they want it there you mind your business and hope they mind theirs when you buy an ugly lawn ornament. And not everyone can afford to park an RV in storage all winter but that shouldn't be a barrier for being able to enjoy the benefits that go with owning an RV, it would be nice if we could make it more accessible for people to enjoy recreation and time away in the great outdoors, not less.
Yes, if the driveway is large enough and the RV fits on it completely. I think people should be allowed to park their vehicles on the designated parking space of their property. This is a privilege that comes with home ownership and should be afforded to people. I feel that forcing people to pay the added expense of storage is unfair if they have space on their property to keep the RV.
Yes, if the RV is completely on the driveway, not parked on the street or obstructing the sidewalk. It doesn't bother me what others might have in their private property. I would be OK with year-round parking under these conditions.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, if the rv is on a driveway or parking stall, not on the street. Parking an RV on a front lawn is not acceptable.
Yes, if these are in good repair and frequently used we should be encouraging people to keep them at home instead of wasting fuel and creating more emissions by traveling to and from storage facilities.
Yes, if they can be parked on other areas of the property why not. it's a short season.
Yes, if you have company visiting, the time allowed is ridiculous. If you own a RV you need more time to clean, pack up, and prepare for travel then the time you currently allow. If it is not blocking the sidewalk any RV should be treated like any other vehicle you have.
Yes, if you own your own home, then you should be allowed to park your RV on your property.
Yes, I'm fine with it.
Yes, individual choice, and we all pay properly taxes, give us choice.
Yes, it allows ease of use and improves the affordability of owning one.
Yes, it does no harm, and those that can use this space shouldn't have to pay for storage.
Yes, it does not affect me for what others do with their property and RV's, I dont see why it should matter if it is parked for less than 36 hours or more, if there has to be a cap, then 1 week seems reasonable, as that gives the owners time to prepare for trips using the RV, as well as sufficient time to unload and prepare the RV for storage after the trip
Yes, it does not bother me
Yes, it doesnt bother me. Let them park on their driveway as long as they like.
Yes, it is a cost effective and it insures that citizens get out into the great outdoors .
Yes, it is a great convenience. For a start it should be all trailers or none. I see many work trailers in and around the neighborhood. They are fine as recreational trailers would be fine.
Yes, it is a lifestyle and a hobby for so many families.
Yes, it is an individuals right to park their RV on their own property for however long they choose
Yes, it is challenging to store RVs year round. Easier for families to load up for camping
Yes, it is easier for people who utilized RVs to be able to utilize them more often if they have access to them for the spring/summer season. There are many people in the city that do this every summer regardless of the bylaw.
Yes, it is not my business what people do with their property. Also, it seems like it would be a barrier to using the recreational vehicle if it was not easily accessible to load and unload, or when you add potential 2+ hours to a camping trip to pick it up and drop it off.
Yes, it is private property
Yes, it is private property and someone should be allowed to park their vehicle on it
Yes, it is private property.
Yes, it is privately owned property. It makes no sense for the city to determine which vehicle can be parked there and where you can park longer on the street !?!
Yes, it is the owners property and they should be allowed to do as pleased with it.
Yes, it is the owners property as long as it is not obstructing sidewalks.
Yes, it is the right thing to do
Yes, it is their driveway to do as they please. Keeps them off of the street, laneway, cul-de-sac.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it is their property, and therefore should be able to park what they please on such property.
Yes, it is your property and your right isn't ?
Yes, it makes Calgary more easy going.
Yes, it makes sense to be able to park on your own driveway an RV.
Yes, it makes sense to limit movement to and from storage locations (more environmentally friendly) and would save people money.
Yes, it makes the use of the vehicle substantially more convenient and is reasonable for an owner to do on their own property.
Yes, it minimizes preparation time to use, reduces environmental impact by reducing travel to and from storage.
Yes, it more convenient for owners and more cost effective as to not have to store the RV elsewhere.
Yes, it seems like a nice thing for people to be able to choose what they want to do with their property. Both their land and the rv. Hopefully everyone can be respectful and cooperate if people have issues with it
yes, it should be allowed to park your own vehicles and RV's on your own property
Yes, it would allow people to utilize their equipment more efficiently.
Yes, it would allow those who use trailers for camping to prep them, including over recurring uses, in a convenient way. I just sold my trailer as I received a bylaw notice each year, each time only from prepping the trailer longer than 36 hours. No reason given other than it was a bylaw infraction. I would trust that a guideline as to what constitutes skyline blocking and aesthetics are considered. Ie I could have a junk car in my driveway, as long as it's registered, no one would complain.
Yes, it would encourage the use of RVs and support more outdoor camping/recreation.
Yes, it's a good compromise
Yes, it's not fair to have to move vehicles off your property. If it's your driveway you should be able to park whatever you want on it. People park massive trucks on it so what's the difference between that and a trailer.
Yes, it's not hurting anyone. If it doesn't encroach on city property then I don't see a problem. That is their property to do with as they fit.
Yes, it's ridiculous that Calgary doesn't allow this. We owned an RV previously and everyone in our cul de sac had an unspoken agreement to not rat each other out like a bunch of a-holes.
Yes, it's the owners/residents' property and as long as the 3 conditions (not unsightly (i.e. poor condition), encroaching on City property, and is meeting other bylaw requirements) have compliance, it seems reasonable to allow for seasonal parking.
Yes, it's their property. As long as there are no sidewalk obstructions it's not my business.
Yes, it's there property and they pay for it as long as there's not people living in it. Owners should be able to park it in/on their drive way as long as it's not in the street
Yes, it's a waste of time and money to drive to storage every week. Bad for environment too
Yes, it's dumb to have people park in a lot for 2 days before taking the rv out again
Yes, it's private property
Yes, it's reasonable. Allows families to safely load/unload RV and convenience of weekend campers. In the summer, daylight hours are longer and now residential neighborhoods have reduced speed limits and schools out for summer, visibility shouldn't be as much of a concern.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it's simple if you rent/own the land you should be allowed to store your personal possessions on that land
Yes, it's the persons own property, and they should have the freedom to park their own RV in their property. As long as it is not unsafe for neighbors, and in good condition (not leaking oil spills etc)
Yes, it's their driveway, so why limit the use of it. It is time consuming and costly to constantly be moving trailers and rv's
Yes, it's their property and it doesn't bother me. Driveway only, not on the street as it impedes parking
Yes, it's their property in front of their property
Yes, let people live their lives. Let grandparents come to visit....
Yes, makes it a lot easier for people to do more camping over the warmer months.
Yes, makes it easier for families to get away. It's also expensive to store at a storage facility where criminals target trailers.
Yes, makes it easier for the owners to use their rv.
Yes, makes it more affordable and convenient to own as RV. And the people own the driveway so they should be able to use how they want.
Yes, makes life easier for those who use them
Yes, makes more sense with preparation and less stress on the family.
Yes, max 48hrs to load and unload.
Yes, maybe for a certain length. Again, ours fits on our driveway. A tent trailer is shorter than a van.... blocks less than a van. We pay for our property. We are good citizens. Our cargo van is allowed. Some people convert siennas or odysseys or cars into travel vehicles... so would they be excluded?
yes, might be purchasing an rv in future
Yes, more affordable and convenient, less traffic to and from storage lots each week
Yes, more and more communities are built with no back ally or space to store an RV. It's unreasonable to penalize people if they have offsite storage and need time to get the RV ready.
Yes, most RV's are owned by a family with children. Giving parents more time to organize and clean their RV is a great asset.
yes, my neighbour does it now, doesn't bother me.
Yes, my wife is a nurse who works nights and I work full time from home. With kids in daycare it would be impossible to get a trailer from a lot, bring it home and pack it up ready to go within a 36 hour window. Having it on our drive way for a week or two is the only way we could clean, pack and prepare to go camping with it.
Yes, no harm to RV on front driveway as long as it fits and does not obstruct the sidewalk. They are allowed in rear parking, backyards and side yards so I don't see why not allowed in front yard. Saves people money on storage as well as long as they have the space
Yes, no issues.
Yes, no more than 96 hours.
Yes, not everyone can afford to store RV in storage lots, nor can they afford to go on fancy vacation to Mexico Or other exotic destinations. Camping is a relatively cheep holiday and is something families can enjoy.
Yes, not only that I think people should be allowed to park an RV on their driveway for as long as they like. It's their property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, nothing wrong with an RV parked on a driveway unless it's a corner house that obstructs the view at an intersection
Yes, of course.
Yes, of course. Only conditions are sight lines and RV is not unsightly.
Yes, often times it's very expensive to store your trailer somewhere else and to be able to access it more readily would be ideal.
Yes, on driveway only. Safety feels compromised when ever vehicles are parked on street. Not enough BYLAW to make sure people are there for short term. It would be very easy for people to park there long term, especially with the lack of places to rent. Unsightly.
Yes, on driveways as it is private property. Not on streets due to visibility concerns.
Yes, on front driveway or on street in front of home. It would be more cost effective and efficient, easier to use & enjoy. If it is about aesthetic concerns, what stops someone complaining about any parked vehicle. RV parking complaints should be case by case basis. True safety concerns or property infringements should be dealt with. However, as a City, we need to get away from supporting complaints from neighbours that are simply looking out their windows and causing trouble. Get a life!
Yes, once again if a neighbour can have a C-Can (there is no by-law) in their front driveway why not a RV, trailer, etc.????
yes, only for summer months
Yes, only if on their property and not using the street to park it.
Yes, Only if the unit is in proper running order and clean. Had to be parked far away from the road, at least one car length. No occupancy allowed while parked, i.e.: cannot be a rental suite.
Yes, our front driveways are part of our property and we should be able to use as we wish, within reason.
Yes, parking an RV in your own driveway should be allowed. As long as it is not blocking the sidewalk. I also think they should be allowed year round. Not just seasonally.
yes, parking an rv on a driveway shouldn't be restricted
Yes, parking on private property should not be regulated as long as the vehicles aren't junkyard or scrap vehicles. Leave the trailers and RVs alone. Prove this is actually needed from past experiences that needed to be changed for safety reasons. What's in my drive way doesn't hurt my neighbours. There is no value to this law being in place unless it's actually shown to save lives. It's a waste of resources and costing people money to transport and pay for storage somewhere else.
yes, people in my neighbourhood already do this, it's not a bother, I suppose because not everyone has one. I think it might improve things in our neighbourhood too, because people park on the street and move them around but functionally they're on the block all summer.
Yes, people need to have it available otherwise it takes more gas, more pollution etc driving it back and forth
Yes, people should be able to best utilize their space. It should be the city to control all aspects!
Yes, people should be able to park an RV in their driveway
Yes, people should be able to park their RVs on their driveway throughout the summer months, but should have them removed and stored away by October 31st.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, people should be allowed to park on or use their property however they want. As long as the vehicle or trailer is not obstructing roads, sidewalks or encroaching onto a neighbours property, I have zero issue with it.
Yes, people should be allowed to park their vehicles on property they own
Yes, people should be allowed to use their own private property.
Yes, please allow seasonal driveway parking. It is a great opportunity to offer visitors to join your family for stay.
Yes, private property is private property. It makes it easier and more affordable for people to have their RVs on site.
Yes, private property should be under the rights of the property owner, plus in these difficult times, RV parking may be the only option for many
Yes, private property. Mind your own business.
Yes, properly kept RVs being convenient for owners is a great benefit for outdoor enthusiasts to take quick trips out on a weekend and enjoy nature.
Yes, property owners should be able to park their vehicles on their own property. This is a wild encroachment on what homeowners can do on their own priority.
Yes, provided sight lines are not obstructed and no part of the RV hangs over the sidewalk/roadway.
Yes, provided sight lines are still relatively clear
Yes, provided the unit is serviceable and habitable, and fits entirely on the front driveway with no part extending onto any public sidewalk, pathway, or road. A 30 cm (1 foot) minimum setback from public sidewalks, pathways, or roads would be preferred.
Yes, provided there is no obstruction of sight lines and no interference with neighbour's access to their own property.
Yes, providing it is on the residence's driveway and or parking stall and it does not impede the public sidewalk
Yes, providing that it is seasonal and not year round storage.
Yes, RVs are not an eyesore and are very handy in an emergency.
Yes, RVs should be allowed to park on the front driveway since the driveway is part of the private property. This does not obstruct view for other drivers and it does not create a safety issue.
Yes, seasonal bylaw parking would be much more convenient for owners as well as more environmentally friendly due to not having to drive their RV to a storage lot, which is usually outside city limits. This would save owners time, gas, money on storage fees and unnecessary pollution from driving back and forth from storage lots during the typical camping season. I believe in the current economic situation, saving money and resources, such as gas, is important to RV owners. The less the RV is required to move or be taken to storage lots, that much less pollution is being put into the environment. Every little bit helps.
Yes, seasonal parking is a good idea as long as there are restrictions to size, etc. Makes it more convenient for owners to do more trips throughout the year.
Yes, seasonal parking makes sense. Also, to ensure pedestrian safety it would be fair to have seasonal speed restrictions in residential areas. For example, you can use playground zone speed limit for the said duration of seasonal RV parking.
Yes, seasonal parking should be allowed as long as the RV fits onto the front driveway and parking stalls.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, seasonal parking should be allowed at a minimum. I pay for this driveway, who are you (the city or a crappy neighbor) to tell me I can't park my rv on it? If it's not extending into the sidewalk, then it's none of the cities business.
Yes, since people utilize campers/motorhomes/trailers more in the summertime (May-November), I do believe that there should not be any restrictions to the amount of time it can be placed on someone's private driveway.
Yes, so long as it does not impede on foot traffic. There is no reason to limit homeowners in such a way.
Yes, so long as it's not obstructing view
Yes, so long as the RV is fully off the street and the sidewalk I support extending the allowance for parking in the front driveway.
Yes, so long as the vehicle fits entirely on the driveway and does not violate the sidewalk in any way.
Yes, so long as the vehicle length is such that it does not occupy the "road allowance"
Yes, so long as there is an unrestricted view for motorists, cyclists and pedestrians.
Yes, so long as they don't negatively impact safety/sight lines, aren't unsightly, and meet other bylaw requirements.
Yes, some communities don't have rear lanes. I don't object to it and would prefer to have the option personally.
Yes, spring, summer and fall, winter makes it difficult for others to find adequate parking while the camper van is taking up parking space.
yes, storage costs are high, allows people extended time to load and unload rv's for quick trips. as long as they don't overhang the sidewalk i think it's ok.
Yes, Storing or visiting only. Must adhere to guidelines or easy to evict.
Yes, strongly support. It's not city property. Hurts no one.
Yes, subject to the conditions described. It's a person's property.
Yes, summer in calgary is short and with work life balance I find it hard to allow only 36 hours. When we rent a rv it takes time to clean and pack. Most times have to do a week before.
Yes, summer use vehicles should be allowed to park in the front driveway
Yes, support seasonal front driveway parking.
Yes, the 36 hour rule is unreasonable. As long as the parked RV is not encroaching on a sidewalk or roadway, parking it on the front driveway should be permitted.
Yes, the benefits far outweigh the impacts, especially when it comes to security. At least you can put a camera on the unit. The city needs to crack down on vandalism and the night time lurking's so we have more of sense of security. Then we might not feel the need so much to keep our valuables on our property such as RV's. FYI, Seasonal parking should be at the very least implemented!
Yes, the City has no business in this decision.
YES, the city should not be allowed to dictate what is in my personal driveway
Yes, the current 36 hours is very restrictive!
Yes, the fact that my neighbours have to put their RVs in storage after camping and then pull it back out is ridiculous. What's on their driveway is not anyone else's concern and does not bother me at all.
Yes, the front driveway is private property. People can park huge trucks and vans, why not a small boat or tent trailer. The rule should be that the RV can not interfere with the sidewalk.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, the future of transport will probably also have battery electric power, so an on board battery with solar on the roof. It could theoretically be home backup power. Not allowing that in a driveway would be a shame.
Yes, the property owners should be allowed to park what they want on their driveway as long as it doesn't infringe on the city bylaws such as blocking sidewalks etc.
Yes, there should be zero restrictions! We bought our property and if me, the home owner wants to park and RV or trailer in a parking spot, paved or gravel, we should have that right! It's the same idea of someone having a car stored in the same space.
Yes, they have the right to be able to load up their gear and have access to their property.
Yes, think it is foolish that people can't park these in their driveway if they fit, it is no different then parking a large vehicle like a truck
Yes, this is the only reason I have not yet purchased one myself
Yes, this would make it more convenient for owners to use their rv without causing inconvenience to others
Yes, to a max length/height
Yes, to allow the packing and unpacking of said vehicle, but not for accommodations for guests staying in the home.
Yes, unless an RV is in disrepair state. Both house and RV are personal properties and should be used as such.
Yes, unless it creates a safety / visibility hazard for traffic.
Yes, unless the city has free parking in a lot for the owners. You pay for your house and property, pay taxes on it. It is your property and no one should tell you what to park in your own driveway. If the neighbours don't like it, buy a house in the country. This is the most ridiculous law ever. This city is beautiful. If it is an old beat down piece of garbage then I agree to get rid of it. If it is someone's camper that is not an eyesore, leave them park it in their yard.
Yes, we pay property tax but we can't even park what we want on our property.
Yes, what do I care what you do with your property. It would save people some money for storage and with the high costs these days every bit helps.
Yes, what is the problem with allowing this, people should be allowed to use their property as they see fit as long as it remains sightly. If a homeowner has the space, let them use it.
Yes, what sort of society is this if we can't park our vehicles on our property. Will all hell break loose if we let people park their vehicles on their property? Try it out and see what happens.
Yes, who gives a [removed] if an RV is in a driveway. As long as it doesn't park perpendicular on a roadway and a block street then it doesn't matter.
Yes, why not! is personal property parked in the personal property area
Yes, why not. I'm sure it is very expensive & a major hassle to drive them with another vehicle to park them in a lot out of the city
Yes, why not?
Yes, why not? It doesn't bother me If the vehicles are in their property,
Yes, why restrict this? It seems like a nonissue and a waste of resources to police this
Yes, with exceptions. For instance, if it is blocking a street preventing thoroughfare then it becomes an issue. But if parked on a driveway or in front of a property with adequate parking space I don't see a problem.
Yes, with inflation increasing costs allowing people to store vehicles on their own property instead of having to pay storage costs will help families.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, with some size restrictions. Property owners should have the right to use their property.
Yes, with strict adherence to following the by-law in size, condition, etc.
Yes, with the prices of everything going up including property taxes we need to give people a chance to have some fun. Extra costs of storage can make that difficult. I'm for allowing RVs to be on people's property as long as they are safely stowed.
Yes, would provide a high level of convenience for RV owners who cannot store their RVs on other parts of their property
YES, year-round and I don't even own one.
Yes, you should be able to store TV's on your own property
Yes, you should be aloud to PARK your vehicle on your own property, which includes your driveway. As long as there is no encroachment onto a sidewalk or roadway. But not to be aloud to inhabit the RV. So no living in the RV or trailer.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes. Less government please. If the rv is on the driveway and not blocking sidewalk, I see no reason why not
Yes. Absolutely. I own a utility trailer that is subject to the same restrictions. It is extremely inconvenient to have such a limited time to park the trailer. Also, I don't have a back lane. I can't park my trailer in a backyard.
Yes. Adds convenience for RV owners, less back and forth RV traffic on our roads.
Yes. An rv owner should be able to park thirir own personal rv on their own property's driveway. This should not be permitted on front lawns or grass areas.
yes. annual parking can be accepted too, given that the vehicle is fully within the parcel, not obstructing sidewalks, not obstructing corner visibility, and public roadways. the RV should not be used as a residence.
Yes. As having an RV in the past, and now looking again for one, I support allowing seasonal driveway parking as long as no negative bearing to pedestrian/sidewalk traffic. RVs are no different to seeing boats on driveways. Esthetics should not be a concern. I see numerous dump trailers parked in residential areas. These are sometimes small companies with no office and sometimes have more than one vehicle/trailer. These create more of a safety issue, and affect the appearance of a street/neighbourhood.
Yes. As long as the rv is on MY property I should be able to do as I please.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. As long as the RV's are not too large (especially in height), and are in good shape.
Yes. As long as the vehicle is fully on your property it is nobody's business and not obstructing view of traffic, it on a corner.
Yes. As long as the vehicle is in the driveway and not protruding onto sidewalk then the property owner should be able to park whatever they want.
Yes. But I think the current restrictions maintain an important balance wherein neighbours/neighbourhoods (via bylaw complaints) are able to determine if a specific situation is causing a problem.
Yes. Camping is great way for friends and families to get away and enjoy the outdoors. Many working folks can only camp weekends, thus are spending alot of unnecessary time, money and gas driving back and forth to storage lots to retrieve and return their campers all summer long. It is wasteful (of gas) and adds to rush hour traffic volumes everywhere throughout the city. If whatever your neighbour parks in their driveway bothers you, you obviously have no real problems in life!
Yes. Convenience of having the RV easily accessible.
Yes. Cost savings, convenience and sense of security.
Yes. Driveways are off the street. A seasonal rule also prevents people from living in them full time.
Yes. Especially smaller RV's, such as Class C, which are only around 25ft, should be allowed to be parked on driveways. They would fit and will not likely create obstruction issues.
Yes. Every year there are consistent break-ins at lots which people have to store them at. It's also an expensive added cost at a time where many are struggling financially. Many cannot afford to go on fancy vacations and camping has become a more cost effective route for pleasure. Spending time outdoors and keeping money in our own economy is something that should be encouraged.
Yes. Everyone is already over-governed, over-regulated and over-taxed.
Yes. Folks should slow down or mirrors installed at rv owners expense. Self contained, no power cords strewn off property across sidewalks, no slide outs.
Yes. For 1 week. Some people can only go out on weekends. This would save on storage fees and emissions in the summer.
Yes. Having owned an RV in the past, I think it is reasonable to allow the flexibility for people to have these units on their property during the season. It would be nice to allow this flexibility to keep it in a maintained state ready for use. It would also save money in ownership.
Yes. Having to park a recreational vehicle on someone else's property/lot does not ensure that it will not be vandalized and/or stolen and then the additional storage fees to park it elsewhere should be a choice not a consequence of having the asset. Proper/Appealing Coverage over the asset while on their property should be recommended.
Yes. I don't think the city should have any say in what is parked in someone's private property. Limit street parking by all means but someone having a tent trailer/boat or whatever parked on their driveway should be allowed.
Yes. I don't think there should be a restriction on them at all unless they are over 25 feet.
Yes. I don't see any harm in having a vehicle you own sitting in your own driveway if it isn't blocking the sidewalk or obstructing your neighbor's walkway. I live on a corner lot, and I have no problem when my neighbor's have their RVs parked beside their house while they prep for their trips. Granted, the road I live in very wide so it doesn't impeded traffic flow.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I have no issue with RVs being parked within a person's yard (front or back) at anytime. I also have no troubles with them being parked on the street in front of a home for up to 72 hours. I also feel this doesn't need to be restricted to particular seasons.
Yes. I it so much more convenient and as long as it doesn't block sidewalks or sight lines it should be allowed.
Yes. I personally do not own an RV however, for those that do, if their RV is parked on their own property i.e. front driveway or parking stall, and is not obstructing city property nor causing safety issues, I don't see why they can't park their RV on their own property. This provides convenience and time savings for families that intend to use their RVs multiple times during the season.
Yes. I support this. People are tired of the city treating it's residents like they have no rights on their residential property.
Yes. I think it should be allowed year round. This is your driveway and it belongs on your property. You should be able to park on your own land without restrictions. Parking is becoming very difficult in city life. Why make it so on personal property.
Yes. I think visitors should be allowed to stay for a short visit. I support them as long as they do not encroach on the sidewalk.
Yes. I would also support year round driveway parking. It is no different then multiple vehicles being parked on a driveway and as long as the units are not obstructing the front public sidewalk, they should be able to park whatever they want on their driveway.
Yes. I would not consider it a safety issue if following other by laws and on the owners property.
Yes. If it is parked in the driveway and does not block the sidewalk. We will purchase an RV this spring and it will be very convenient to park it in the driveway. It is not unsightly.
Yes. If it's someone's own driveway they should be able to park there for as long as they want. Even if it's on the street in front of their house it should be ok. If it's in front of someone else's house they should not park there. If the RV is obstructing traffic, for eg. like at street corners where drivers can't see cars coming that should not be allowed.
Yes. If someone owns a property, they should be able to park their own RV there. The City should not be trying to control private property, unless it is an actual safety issue. For example, violent criminal drug users impacting the safety of the neighbourhood. But regular law-abiding citizens should be able to keep their RV near their home, instead of having to retrieve it from a storage place every time they're going away for the weekend.
Yes. If the RV is on the homeowner's driveway, he or she should have the right to park it there
Yes. If the unit is parked in front of your own home, it should not be an issue at all.
Yes. If you own the driveway you should be able to park any vehicle or trailer, just not live in it.
Yes. It does not matter to me as long as on street parking for reg vehicles is not impacted. IE, the rv is on private property.
Yes. It is of short duration, is on the personal property and out of the way.
yes. It seems like a reasonable thing to allow and the city shouldn't restrict homeowners rights without REALLY good reasons.
Yes. It should be all year allowed as well. This is one way that the City can reduce costs for some residents. As long as the trailer is not encroaching then I am in favour of this.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes. it supports a recreational lifestyle for calgarians. currently, citizens are permitted to park an RV at the back, but in new neighborhoods, this is not allowed. this is kind of discrimination.
Yes. It would increase the likelihood of me purchasing an rv.
Yes. It <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•saves on gas,</li> <li>•reduces congestion on roads driving to &amp; from storage areas</li> <li>• reduces stress on owners &amp; their family</li> <li>• allows for increased vacation time</li> <li>• decreases road rage (less time on useless commuting)</li> </ul> <p>As long as it fits on a driveway without impinging on sidewalks or people having to park their vehicle on the roads.</p>
Yes. It's important to work with neighbors and allow the convenience during the season to load unload when planning their camping trip
Yes. It's not a big deal. Let people be. It's their property
Yes. It's convenient and good for security. It also gives the opportunity for people to have an rv that would otherwise have nowhere to put it aside from renting storage space.
Yes. Most of these units are in good condition. It's the owners property, they cover the property tax.
Yes. Most people are doing it anyway. As long as they move the RVs for the winter.
Yes. On your property you should be allowed all the time without restriction.
Yes. Parking should be allowed all year without restrictions.
Yes. People that own these vehicles pay taxes too
Yes. People usually park for short periods of time while getting an RV ready to go camping for the weekend. People who complain about things like this need to get a life and quit looking out their windows at their neighbors
Yes. Private property should have the ability to use their driveway for this.
Yes. Provided traffic sightlines are not impacted. ie: not blocking the view of signage or other traffic. Also providing they are not occupied for extended periods of 30 days or more.
I agree with the benefits and impacts the city has found.
Yes. Restricting RV parking makes camping extremely inconvenient and more expensive for Calgarians.
Yes. Several neighbors do during the summer. It does not appear as an issue, we are also on a cul de sac.
Yes. Storage facilities can be a long drive away and tend to be ridiculously expensive. For people using their RV each weekend, it's wasteful in time as well as expensive in gas to travel back and forth each week to fetch the RV for loading and then return it following the weekend trip to simply repeat at week's end. So long as the RV fits on the driveway and doesn't sit across a sidewalk it should be allowed to park there for the season.
Yes. Summer ok. It's being used. But should store in winter
Yes. The City is over regulating the use of private property.
Yes. The cost of storage services, and the distance from many communities is prohibitive. If there is no physical impediment to others, then this is strictly aesthetic, and based on current societal norms.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. The homeowner pays taxes and should have the right to park a well kept RV on their property for convenience of packing for trips, occasional use for out of town visitors, and security purposes (I have heard stories of battery theft and vandalism at storage lots). It seems to be a common recreational hobby for many Calgarians who like to enjoy the surrounding regions.
Yes. Their property their business. As long as it doesn't interfere with public, why not
Yes. This would make it so much easier for families!
Yes. We pay so much already in mortgage and taxes to not be able to utilize our own properties.
Yes. What's the difference between some of the huge dump trailers and an RV trailer. And RV lots are uneconomical.
Yes. With the increase in cost for everything, including storage, seasonal front driveway parking would help reduce the cost of ownership allowing Calgarians more flexibility to enjoy the outdoors.
Yes. You own or rent the property. I don't think the city should impede this right of ownership.
Yes. I do not own one of the above but plan to in the near future. I understand the bylaw and personally I object to it. There is no reason why a person cannot park their Rv on their own property during the summer and spring months. The accepted time of 36hrs should be extended for periods outside of stated months. Most objections are, I assume from residents who do not own an Rv.
Yes. Seasonal - while the RV is in use. I do not mind if people use their own property to park their vehicles.
YES. "Camping" has become a reasonable way to vacation and travel. Allowing friends and family to visit and drive as they do so. It makes sense to allow it to have more time on the streets seasonally.
Yes. 1) of people are current with their property taxes they own the driveway they should be able to use it however they like. 2) regarding safety, the speed limit in Calgary was reduced to 40kms/hr unless otherwise posted. This should be enforced and should mitigate accidents in residential areas. 3) regarding aesthetics, this is so subjective. "On man's trash is another man's treasure". Even though I don't personally own a RV, I love seeing them being used as I know this is great for peoples mental health to get out into nature.. we should be encouraging this as we know better mental health has so many good benefits to society. 4) neighbours should mind their own business.
Yes. 36 hours is not long enough for some people to collect their rv from storage, bring it home and load it. Same with unloading. People should be allowed to keep their rvs on their driveways during camping season.
Yes. A
Yes. A driveway is the owner's private property.
Yes. A homeowner should be able to use their property how they see fit.
Yes. A person has a right to park their belongings on their property.
Yes. A property owner should be allowed to use their property as they see fit within reason and this is within reason



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Access to the outdoors is already cost prohibitive for some families. Calgarians could save some money during the summer by not paying lot fees at storage facilities. As long as the RV is not unsightly and is being used for its intended purpose.
yes. aesthetics are a bad reason to ban RV parking on driveways.
Yes. All seasons. No time limits. Freedom. Efficiency. Reduced wasteful emissions. Reduce wasteful expenditures.
Yes. Allow owners to clean/pack/organize their belonging as they need. I support extending the bylaw hours. But, there does need to be a time constraint for those owners who may try to extend their parking
Yes. Allow people to park whatever vehicle they own on their private property; this really shouldn't be a concern. If you want to remove parking that has a far bigger impact on the quality of neighborhoods, then prohibit curb parking.
Yes. Although I do not have a RV it seems unreasonable to not allow owners to park their RVs on THEIR driveways, as long as they fit without taking space of the sidewalk.
Yes. Although I myself do not own an RV, I have no problem with neighbors being able to temporarily park in front of their houses seasonally. Parking should be limited to two weeks as moving regularly could be a hardship for some owners.
Yes. Although we don't have a trailer, my family does. I think at minimum that trailers should be allowed for a week or two at a time. This would allow family that is visiting from out of province to stop, stay, and rest at our home without stress. Also, if you are camping two or three weekends in a row - then it makes it much less work..
Yes. An RV parked in front of someone's home has no impact on those who live nearby or people who drive by. Esthetics are not a valid reason to prevent people from keeping their belongings on their property.
Yes. Appropriate use of private property. And convenience for rv owners.
Yes. As it is private property, I think it should be up to the owner how they would like to use it.
Yes. As long as it doesn't hang over the end of the driveway. Or within 2 feet even, so you can still have a buffer to see (while backing out of your own driveway)
Yes. As long as it fits in your driveway and doesn't interfere with sidewalk use or blocking any roadways.
Yes. As long as it is in good repair and is not being used as an offshoot rental property, meaning it's not being used as an extension of the property itself. For storage and ease of access for the owner. I support this.
Yes. As long as it is well kept, the homeowner should be allowed to use their driveway and property that they purchased.
Yes. As long as it it fully within your driveway and not in the street or sidewalk.
Yes. As long as it's not being used for residence/overnight sleeping accommodations - otherwise it's loud and disruptive. As long as it doesn't need to be running.
Yes. As long as it's not obstructing access to sidewalks etc, why not? More cost effective for owners and encourages more ownership of these items that could potentially mean more revenue into tourism in our own back yard.
Yes. As long as it's on a persons property and not on the street, I see no issue with allowing seasonal parking. This allows ease of use when going on several trips throughout the season
Yes. As long as it's not blocking the sidewalk and the vehicle is roadworthy (i.e. not up on blocks)
Yes. As long as it's not dangerous or causing disruption to the normal operations of the community, I have no issue with it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. As long as no one is using the trailer as living quarters then it makes sense to be able to have access to their RV, avoiding cost and hassle of storing. RV should fit on the driveway of course.
Yes. As long as sidewalks and street parking is not affected, then the owner should be able to park on their driveway
Yes. As long as sidewalks remain unobstructed I see no issues with this.
Yes. As long as the RV does not extend beyond the driveway, and the season is tightly defined (eg. May to Sept. ).
Yes. As long as the RV is not parked on the street, it's all good by me.
Yes. As long as the RV is parked fully within private property lines (i.e. does not encroach on public walkways or roadways or neighboring property), even on the front driveway, and is in a well-maintained condition, seasonal front driveway parking should be allowed. A limit of one RV parked on the front driveway could be implemented.
Yes. As long as the RV isn't a safety hazard or falling apart. Let people use their property.
Yes. as long as the trailer fits in the driveway.
Yes. As long as the unit is not junk just left to sit.
Yes. As long as the vehicle doesn't impede the sidewalk in any way I don't see why you wouldn't be able to park them on your driveway (as you would be able to do today with a truck, for example). Ensure that bylaws are enforced so that no vehicles impede the sidewalk and I don't see any issue with this.
Yes. As long as the vehicle is parked completely on the property and does not obstruct sidewalk or traffic in anyway. Also vehicle must be in useable condition. ie functional not derelict or a right off or anything like that.
Yes. As long as there is strict enforcement to ensure the vehicles do not remain there through the winter.
Yes. As long as they didn't encroach on the city sidewalk or city easement. Keeping these vehicles close to homes during the months they are used makes them safer from harm and also more efficient re travel in them.
Yes. As long as they do not impact the sidewalk. Many people have vehicles that hang into the sidewalk which affects accessibility in the neighborhood.
Yes. As long as they don't extend on to the street I don't see a problem
Yes. As the city expands, places to store RVs are becoming further and further away, and more expensive. With increased theft and crime in Calgary, being able to store property under your own supervision would be beneficial over an unsupervised storage lot
Yes. As with any other road vehicle (car, truck, van etc) owner can park it on their own property for as long as they need. I don't see why it should be any different for RV type vehicles. The current laws are restrictive and don't make much sense. If you can park it on the side or back of your property, you should be allowed to park it in front as well. This is especially true when most new developments only have front driveways and no other option for parking.
Yes. Based on size. If it's the size of a greyhound bus then no. If it's a van, sure. Small is fine and IMO in the owners rights.
Yes. Because even though I don't own RV, I know that it's a hassle pulling it out of storage everytime you're going out on a camping/trip and taking it back, then pulling it out again after a week. So it's understandable to allow them parking on their driveway through the season. But definitely not during Off season





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Because I don't see the big deal about allowing homeowners (or residents) to park whatever they like on their own property within the reasonable guidelines outlined here.
Yes. Because it's your property
Yes. Because it's insured to the owner and registered to the owner but owner cannot store in premise. Also I think it would break up the sight to my nosey neighbours.
Yes. Because it's reasonable and I don't understand why someone with a trailer or camper that they own, can't park on their own driveway.
Yes. Because it's your own property
Yes. Because people should have a right to control what's on their property. If it's not blocking public spaces, then it shouldn't be our business.
Yes. Because property tax is paid.
Yes. Because STOP CONTROLLING PEOPLE TO THIS DETAIL.
YES. Because they paid their taxes!! And it's not your business or anyone's what they do in their yard! Stop the nanny state crap
Yes. Big part of AB culture.
Yes. But 72 hour max
Yes. But it should be year round. Canada is known for its winter sports, and many use their RVs year round.
Yes. Calgary is overly strict. Focus efforts on when the parking creates issues with blocked sight lines or obstructs public space.
Yes. Common sense about the impacts such as safety line of sight, but if on the property and not a hazard there is no issue with seasonal parking
Yes. Convenience
Yes. Convenience. Freedom to use personal property, etc.
Although there are concerns with extended durations of RVs being left on a property I believe it should be allowed.
Perhaps the city could have a permit system, where the property owner could apply online and obtain temporary exception to the 36 hour limit.
Yes. Costs money to store and people need help saving money right now. As long as it doesn't block the sidewalk I'm fine with it.
Yes. Current restrictions smack of NIMBY. As long as sidewalk is not blocked I'm OK with longer parking.
Yes. Don't mind them parked seasonally i.e. May thru September as long as they are not parked in residential areas year round. Moving them to parking facilities during summer months add extra expenses for owners and burns fuel that contributes to carbon emissions.
Yes. Don't restrict people parking on their own driveways
Yes. Driveway is private property and should be used as pleased
Yes. Driveways are privately owned and unless there are safety hazards should not be subject to onerous costly rules due to nimby neighbors
Yes. Everyone that knows someone who stores one in the paid lots that has had it broken in and vandalized. The city does not require these lots to insure these losses. It would be better for the owners to have them available during the open season.
Yes. Existing parking rules already protect against blocked sightlines. There are only benefits, no negative impacts.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Extend to 5 to 7 days. How it looks is one concern, but safety and being able to see pedestrians is more of a concern.
Yes. Facilitating the enjoyment of the camping season
Yes. For cost savings and convenience. I used to live in Regina and reduced visibility due to RV parking was never a problem for me.
Yes. For RV users, it allows them easier access to their RV when preparing for a trip, or unloading and cleaning afterwards. It is more cost effective and environmentally effective than having to tow back and forth to a lot in between trips.
Yes. Fully support it.
Yes. Generally they are licensed and therefore should be allowed to park on driveways - as long as that doesn't push normally operated vehicles to park on the street. I welcome less 'nanny' rules.
Yes. Gives a sense of summer
Yes. Having a time limit is ridiculous
Yes. Having the ability to do Maintenance or pack up and leave easier would allow for people to go more frequently
Yes. Having to fetch the vehicle every weekend is a waste of gas and my neighbours time. There are environmental savings and would support the quality of life of my neighbours. Not to worried about the aesthetics.
Yes. Helps visitors to sleep by home
Yes. Heowner pays their property tax and RV license- should be able to park RV for their convenience.
Yes. Home owners paying absurdly high taxes on their property should be allowed to utilize it and not have additional storage costs to deal with.
Yes. Homeowner pay property taxes and should have some autonomy in their property and it's uses. It's your driveway, park what you want in it. Don't block the sidewalk or the road, but otherwise do as you need.
Yes. Hopefully it removes some of the vehicles from being parked on the street, and it's convenient for RV owners. It would make it easier to park instead of trying to ensure the RV is adjoining the residence on the street if there isn't available parking . It doesn't bother me, I don't find it unsightly. I don't see how it would obstruct views (for safety) on private property.
Yes. How is this different than an F-550 behemoth of a truck or a 40 year rusted out eyesore? I don't have an RV, but if I ever do I want to to park it on my own property, as I would any other vehicle.
Yes. However there should be a caveat regardless that the recreational vehicle does not obstruct sight lines for traffic control devices and that they do not encroach onto city property like the sidewalk.
Yes. However, this could result in RV's encroaching into public sidewalks, which can have an impact on persons with mobility issues and is generally unpleasant for pedestrians. Also, how would damage to sidewalks be mitigated?
Yes. I also support allowing seasonal on the street parking for RVs. People who live in apartments and townhouses may also want to own a RV and these overly restrictive parking rules basically make this very, very difficult and expensive. People should have more freedom in their lives, when it harms no one. Also, having RVs in a neighborhood doesn't diminish it aesthetically.
Yes. I believe current bylaws are too restrictive and do not allow legal owners to use their own property efficiently and force them to pay extra for storage.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I believe that people own there land, it is not my place to tell them what they can do with it.
Yes. I believe that people should be able to keep a vehicle they own on their own property so long as it is not in poor condition or a safety concern.
Yes. I believe those vehicles, as long as not in an abandoned state, should be freely parked anywhere inside a person's property. No restrictions should be put in place.
Yes. I consider it common sense. This is why we pay property taxes. We have a right to make use of our own property as long as it isn't a major obstruction to our neighbors. Someone might think harvesting chickens in their garage is fine, but the smell would be horrendous to the neighbors. Common sense.
Yes. I do not own an RV but it doesn't affect me if a neighbour does.
Yes. I don't have a problem with RVs parked on driveway as long as they don't obscure sidewalks. 36hrs seems unreasonable given that I know some RV owners take out their RV almost every weekend during summer.
Yes. I don't think aesthetics are a valid reason.
Yes. I don't think the city should be trying to take steps to limit or increase the difficulty for people to access the outdoors. This city should be supporting families that are trying to access recreation outside of the city.
Yes. I don't own an fifth wheel or pop up...but it seems like less of a hazard having people constantly driving them in and out of neighborhoods..then letting them sit for a bit. - let out of town friends come visit at your house without getting a ticket
Yes. I don't see any issues with it.
Yes. I don't see it as an issue to the aesthetics and it is a person's property. We are considering owning an RV and it would be convenient to be able to park it in the driveway during camping season. It would definitely make it easier and more relaxing to get away.
Yes. I don't think it's an eye sore. There may need to be limits on size (can't be hanging off of driveways) but being parked on the street shouldn't bother anyone or block sight lines.
Yes. I don't want any restrictions on my own vehicle even though it does not cause the same problems as a large RV.
Yes. I feel like having recreational vehicles easily accessible to people, makes it more accessible for people to enjoy their spare time... Especially in a country where summer recreation is so limited in the time available in our seasons.
Yes. I feel like people should be allowed to use their driveway as they choose as long as it is not a nuisance or unsightly. And as long as the vehicle is unoccupied. I think that occupied recreational vehicles should be limited to the 36 hours currently in place.
Yes. I feel that allowing families to keep their RV close to home would result in families being able to access the parks - outdoor recreation in a more efficient way. Having to go to and from a storage place, not only uses valuable time that families could be doing other family-enriching things and it would cut down on the fuel emissions needed to tow to and from storage facilities.
Yes. I had no idea the current bylaw existed. In my opinion, people should be able to park any vehicle or trailer on their own property for as long as they want as long as it is not obstructing the sidewalk or road.
Yes. I have absolutely no problem with it I have friends and neighbours that have campers. It encourages family unity and positive childhood memories.
Yes. I have lived in a few of the aforementioned cities that allow it and never was it an issue. People should be allowed to park what they want on their property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I have no issue with RVs being parked anywhere on a person's personal property for any length of time as it's their property.
Yes. I have no lane at my house but do have a 100 foot driveway.
Yes. I haven't bought one because I don't want to park it elsewhere. I would constantly be worried about theft and vandalism and added costs to store it. If a person owns their home, they should be allowed to park on their own property without interference or time limits, as long as they aren't doing anything illegal.
Yes. I know families that need to have more time to get their RV organized for travel or on the return
Yes. I support people's right to have their private property stored on their private property.
Yes. I think allowing seasonal parking would help prevent abuse of RV parking. If we are trying to be a "greener" city, why make a person drive outside of the city on thursday to pick up their RV, drive it back into town, pack it up, leave for the weekend, return, unpack the RV, then drive the RV back to storage, then yourself back home? That is ridiculous and wasteful. A quick google shows no RV storage lots inside the ring road..
Yes. I think if you own an RV you should be able to enjoy it and have easy access to it in front of your home.
Yes. I think it is fine as long as it is not parked on the road or obstructing traffic / sidewalks
Yes. I think it is ridiculous that the owner has to move their rv every 36 hrs even if it's fully in their own driveway.
Yes. I think it makes it more convenient for owners. As long as the RV is in maintained condition or being improved.
Yes. I think it would be convenient for RV owners and is not much more insightfully than a lot of vehicles that park long term on driveways
Yes. I think it's absurd people cannot park their own "vehicles" on their own property
Yes. I think it's easier and less stressful to those who own such products!
Yes. I think it's inconvenient for neighbors to have to ferry their RV's and boats back and forth after a weekend excursion and before the next. It doesn't bother me if it stays on their driveway on weekdays during camping and boating seasons.
Secondly, some people ignore the restrictions and there are no consequences so only the self-regulating people are subject to the rules.
Yes. I think June 1 to Sept 30 is a great "season" for parking RVs. After a trip I see my neighbours struggling to clean their RVs before or after a trip in the present 36 hours. It's too short especially when the weather isn't cooperating. As long as the RV fits on the driveway and doesn't go into the sidewalk. I often see extended parking of trailers in neighbourhoods anyhow. What is a common problem is the trailer fits on the driveway, but part of the hitch encroaches the sidewalk.
Yes. I think people should be able to have their RV, trailer, etc on their driveway or parking stall.
Yes. I think people should be able to park whatever they want on their own properties as long as it isn't leeching into the ground harmful substances
Yes. I think property tax payers should be allowed to use their driveway as they wish to park or store any motorized or non-motorized personal or recreational vehicle or any type of trailer in accordance with the above conditions. They pay taxes all year long, so front driveway parking should be allowed all year long too (not just seasonal)! It's no different than parking a car in the driveway every day.

## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. I think that one neighbor's interest in a pretty neighborhood or clear street isn't more important than another's interest in having an RV. The squeaky wheel isn't the better citizen.
Yes. I think that this would allow for more individuals to travel within Alberta, stimulating the local tourism. Right now we don't own an RV because of the by law currently in place, but would if we could seasonally park it at our house. Having to store it elsewhere during the summer is inconvenient as well as costly.
Yes. I used to have one and it was so hard to keep within the timeframes without adding stress in yards that do not have any access to the backyard. It seems like it was all dependant on the people on the street as to who they would call bylaw on.
Yes. I want my neighbours to have that added convenience to do so. It would minimize the amount of 'tattling' occurring and hopefully increase neighbour-relations.
Yes. I would also support allowing RVs to be parked on a privately owned driveway year-round.
Yes. I would not allow street parking.
Yes. I would support allowing rv parking year around. Too many restrictions already infringe on Calgarians lifestyle. Difficult, time consuming & expensive to have to pick up the rv from some rental parking place when we want to go camping.
Yes. I would support seasonal front driveway parking. As someone who has senior parents who camp more, this would save them a lot of time and energy if they can keep their RV on-site longer to prep for trips and when returning from a trip. It will also allow visitors from out of town, to keep their RVs parked on your driveway for longer than just a day.
Yes. I would support this. Our free time is precious and as a convenience and a source of peace of mind this is a fine idea. Of course the street/traffic bylaws regarding parking near intersections, blocking others' driveways, etc should be in okay as well to ensure safety and preserve the rights of other residents as well.
Yes. I would support year long too
Yes. I would support year round front driveway parking, but seasonal would be better than nothing. People should be able to use their property to store their RVs instead of paying money to RV storage lots, which themselves have major esthetical impacts.
Yes. I would support year round. It seems like an over-reach. Folks can have all sorts of weird stuff in their driveways and yards including other large vehicles that block sight lines . Why are we restricting this? I don't personally own one.
Yes. I wouldn't stop there. I would support year round driveway parking to save people from having to pay for storage due to a silly bylaw. That's their property and no one should be able to tell them they can't park their vehicle on their own property. Street parking is different.
Yes. I'd consider having to have a "parking pass" that's maybe \$25 for the season to allow residents to park on their front driveway. The fee would go towards addressing the challenges that have been stated above.
Yes. In my opinion the rules for front driveway parking should be no different than side or rear parking. As long as the RV is entirely on your property (I.e not blocking a sidewalk) then the city should have no say with what vehicles / personal property is stored on one's own lot.
Yes. If I had an RV and used it regularly during the summer I would expect that I would like to park it in my driveway during summer weeks as well. While I don't have an RV I see no season for restricting the use of others who may have one. If a neighbor parked an RV in their driveway seasonally it would not bother me.
Yes. If I own my property, including the RV, why can't I store my RV where I store my car, my bikes, my food, my belongings, etc.? I understand they can be unsightly but if properties can





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

have 5 broken down cars out front because "they're fixing them up" for 7 years, why can't I have something I'm going to use at least once a month?
Yes. If it doesn't interfere with traffic, I don't see why not.
Yes. If it fits on your driveway I should be able to park it there.
Yes. If it is in their own driveway and not causing any traffic issues or otherwise then I don't think it's a problem.
Yes. If it is not an obstruction or safety hazard then the property owner should do what they want.
Yes. If it is not on my property, there is no point not allowing. As long as the owner of the vehicle respect corner distance and garage entry distance allowing proper visibility for those getting in or out of their driveways.
Yes. If it is on your property you should be allowed to park there.
Yes. If it is to live in permanently then no.
Yes. If it keeps the RV off the city street, does not impede viewability for drivers, and they have adequate space to allow for the RV and one homeowner parking spot, I have no issue with owners using their driveway for a unit.
Yes. If it's on a front driveway I consider that private property.
Yes. If it's on someone's personal property and not blocking the sidewalk it is not my business.
Yes. If it's on someone's property, is not unsightly or encroaching on sidewalk it's none of my concern
Yes. If it's on someone's private front driveway, they should be allowed to have it there for as long as they want (especially during camping season, May to September).
Possibly corner houses should have a limit due to the potential safety/visibility issues.
Yes. If the RV is in a front driveway or on a personal assigned parking pad that is owned by that person they should be entitled to do what they want there such as an RV.
Yes. If the RV is on private property it shouldn't be the city's business.
Yes. If you are respectful and the RV is in good condition you should be able to park it on your property and front the whole summer
Yes. If you own the land your driveway is on, you should be able to park whatever you want on it.
Yes. I'm not sure why we let other people dictate how someone uses their property when it doesn't have an effect on them.
Yes. In a driveway but off street should be allowed
Yes. In fact, I believe it should be allowed all year long. I believe private property is no business of anyone, particularly when it doesn't affect anyone else.
YES. In vast majority of cases there is 0 obstruction of sightlines (bureaucratic invention). Property owners have a right to enjoy their property, especially considering ever increasing PROPERTY TAXES.
Yes. Is it not my property?
Yes. It allows for convenient for many people, it will generally not block view for traffic. It may also take trailers off the road making it easier for people to drive past.
Yes. It allows people to better enjoy their RV.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It can be extremely expensive for people to store their recreational vehicles during the winter months. We need to help people save where they can, not force additional costs on people when they have adequate space on their own property
Yes. It does not bother us.
Yes. It doesn't bother anyone and it's your driveway. It saves fuel from having to park at a rental lot and that's good for the environment
Yes. It doesn't bother me and it's their property to park on
Yes. It doesn't bother me to see a trailer or camper in someone's driveway. It's their property. As long as it's on a driveway or pad and not on the lawn
Yes. It doesn't bother me and I can understand the convenience. Storage concerns is definitely a reason we have NOT purchased an RV for our family.
Yes. It doesn't hurt anybody. Saves people from having to take vehicles back and forth to storage sites between weekends for camping.
Yes. It harms no one to park an RV on a driveway. It's absurd to restrict someone parking on their own property.
Yes. It has no effect on me if my neighbor parks their RV on their property. Live and let live. They shouldn't block the sidewalk, roadways
Yes. It is a right of the people to park whatever they want to park on their driveway. The city should not limit it.
Yes. It is convenient for owners to have it there for their ability to go and pack up + it is cost effective (especially in this day and age)
Yes. It is hard sometimes to schedule pick-up, loading and leaving for camp in the 36 hour window. 72 hours would be a good amount
Yes. It is helpful for people who regularly use their RVs throughout the season.
Yes. It is more convenient to have the RV at a residence for the camping season, especially for multiple short trips (i.e. weekend camping, fishing, etc.).
Yes. It is not an issue to me. People should be able to park RV's in their driveways whenever they choose. As long as they don't obstruct sidewalks or traffic. I do not support parking in the street though.
Yes. It is our property and we should be allowed to keep RV on it
Yes. It is silly to have to take a unit back and forth between trips of back to back weekends.
Yes. It is someone's personal property. They can park whatever they wish. If it's parked on the street- they need to comply with city rules on street parking time limits.
YES. It is the homeowners property and should be allowed. It is convenient, cost effective and should not be the city to decide. It really doesn't cause any detriment to anyone.
Yes. It is their private property, and what they choose to store there is up to them and doesn't effect me as a neighbour. To me this is similar to them wanting to have 5 vehicles. Yes there could be spill over parking on the street. but the street is public, and we all have a right to it. so really, it doesn't effect me.
Yes. It is their property and driveway, so RVs should be allowed to be parked.
Street parking should be limited or prohibited.
Yes. It is their property. As long as they are upkept and not unsightly and not huge and do not have people living in them. And as long as it is driveway and not curbside parking.
Yes. It is their property; they should be able to use it as they see fit. RV's are not unsightly.
Yes. It is way more convenient and would save Calgary owners money and time to have access to their RVs.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It just makes sense for all those who use trailers throughout the Spring, Summer and Fall
Yes. It just makes sense. The benefits you have indicated outweigh the impacts, which are frankly trivial and petty. And other cities have set the precedent.
Yes. It just makes sense. Why should the city have control over what I choose to do with my property? My neighbour has (which I don't love!) a car parked on their front grass. I can't complain about that, but I can about an RV? It doesn't make sense.
Yes. It makes it much easier for people to prepare for camping, unpack, clean, prepare for the next trip, when they can park on their own driveway instead of potentially multiple trips to and from storage. That saves in gas and CO2 emissions. Plus, if they're parking in their own property, no one should be able to tell them not to.
yes. it my property and I should be allowed to park anything.
Yes. It prevents poeple from having to go park it elsewhere, where they can't keep an eye on it and make sure it's safe.
Yes. It seems extremely inconvenient for these folks to park it offsite during the camping months.
Yes. It should be up to the homeowner. They pay property tax and should be able to park wherever they like on their property.
Yes. It sounds like a big tax grab to force an unreasonable 36 hours or they pay a storage lot which is another big tax grab. Shame on Calgary for doing that shame
Yes. It sounds like a nice way for Calgarians to get outside. Children and people getting out is great for health and fosters genertaional interests in the great outdoors.
Yes. It waste gas and time to go back-and-forth for storage during camping season. So allow park on driveway Jun-Sep(unlimited hour). Wasted gas causing more pollution and climate changes.
Yes. It would allow more flexibility and guest space.
Yes. It would be more convenient to RV owners
Yes. It would make it MUCH easier for families who want to use their RV every other weekend, if they can keep it on thoer driveway between trips.
Yes. It would make it much easier for someone with an RV to access their vehicle for weekend trips, for instance, if it can be parked at their residence. Having to retrieve it from an RV lot - often outside the city—is time consuming and not conducive to an easy getaway for the weekend.
Yes. It would significantly improve someone's ability to maximize their RV use during the summer months if they do not have to pick it up from a storage lot every time.
Yes. It's a fair thing to do.
Yes. It's an individuals property and they should be free to park whatever they like on it. It's no different on visibility than a large SUV.
Yes. It's better for families.
Yes. It's no different then a large work truck being parked in a driveway and in many cases a truck will take up more space. Punishing people who have RV's is not the solution.
Yes. It's not fair to have to pay for storage for something that would be used often.
Yes. It's not on my property, who cares!
Yes. It's not practical to take the trailer to the storage lot when you use it every weekend.
Yes. It's off the public road and shouldn't bother anybody or be anyone's business!
Yes. It's our property and we should be and to keep our RV there. It's very convenient to have it there for checking on it and RV storage is expensive
Yes. It's someone property, they should be able to park what they want on it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It's the best place to load and unload, even if it takes a week in between trips
Yes. It's the homeowner's property they should be able to keep their RV on it.
Yes. It's the homeowners driveway and pay taxes
Yes. It's the persons property as long as it is not impacting the sidewalks or the street view it should be allowed
Yes. It's their land and the city should stay out of it.
Yes. It's their property and should be able to park on their property. It's not on a public street, their driveways should be able to be used for vehicles (including rv's). And the reason of "esthetics" is unreasonable when there are lots of vehicles that I see in driveways that are in need of repair. And that should continue to be allowed. I also see the "sightline" reason just as bad as anything in a driveway can do that, even a small motor bike. Why be so selective against one (rv's) and not vehicles. WHICH all should be allowed as it's a driveway for vehicles and private property.
Yes. It's their property they ought to be able to park legally owned and licensed trailers and vehicles on their own property.
Yes. It's your driveway. I cant see how they can reduce sight lines more than a large vehicle or tree.
Yes. It's your property. Do with it what you please
Yes. It's your property. I don't see an issue with it.
Yes. It's a vehicle, and if it fits in a driveway the owner should be allowed to park a vehicle of choice. That said, it should not result in decreased street parking availability due to moving other vehicles that sit for an extended period of time on street (stricter enforcement of street parking bylaws).
Yes. It's cost saving and convenient. It also means people will be blocking driveways for shorter time as they won't be loading/unloading as often
Yes. It's costly to store so it would cut back on cost, for people doing repairs often it takes longer than 36hrs, however I believe it should be restricted to a person driveway not on the street. If on the street restricted to maybe a few days
Yes. Its like any other vehicle . Its not bigger than some work vans
Yes. Its on private property not on city streets so who cares
Yes. It's on private property, people should be able to store their things on their property, granted they fit. It just can't block a sidewalk.
Yes. It's only a few months of the year and makes it easier and more affordable to get out into nature and use it.
Yes. Its people's property and they should be free to use it as they see fit. This bylaw was a massive inconvenience growing up when my family had to load/unload everything, get the fridge/freezer to temperature, drain the lines etc all before the time window expired. Let people use their property how they want.
Yes. It's private property. City needs to stay out of our business.
Yes. Its private property. The city shouldn't dictate if the RV is being used regularly throughout the season.
Yes. It's the persons property and they should be able to park their trailers, recreational vehicles, and regular vehicles on their driveway if they want to.
Yes. Its their private property & possessions. If it fits on the driveway & thats where they want to keep it, let them. We let ppl keep 20-30yr old rusted cars w/ flat tires on their driveways.
Yes. It's their property
Yes. It's their property they should be allowed to park whatever they want in their driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. It's their property, and the owner should be able to access or store their RV's wherever they see fit.
Yes. Its their property, in all senses of the word. If they have the space, they should be allowed to park whatever they want on their property.
Yes. It's their property, let them park what they want on their property.
Yes. It's unfair that some people have alleys and most new homes do not. Let's let them park their vehicle instead of paying a fortune outside the city
Yes. It's your property. As long it's not sticking out onto the sidewalk or driveway the owner should be able to park it.
Yes. Leave people alone. Government does not need to be telling people they can't park their rv on there property now. You guys are exhausting. Figure out the mess you've made with snow clearing, garbage collection and downtown parking.
yes. less rural storage. more potential living space, Gitanos Rule!
Yes. Let folks use their own property as they wish and store RVs or whatever they want as they wish. As long as it has nothing but a visual impact on neighbors it's not a problem.
Yes. Let people live
Yes. Let people park their RV's at their homes. I don't get why it's so bad, people can have ugly cars parked in their driveway, to me this isn't any different. Maybe I think it's ugly, but it's not my house.
Yes. Many are not actually seasonal. Many are winterized, making them year round vehicles.
Yes. Many people leave other things that are far more run down and unsightly around their property . Allowing front parking would allow for easier use. It also seems absolutely ridiculous that parking beside or behind the house is allowed but front is considered an unsightly
Yes. Many people store their RV's far from home. It is unreasonable to force them to spend hours traveling to pick up/drop off their RV every time they want to use it.
Yes. Many properties do not have access to side or rear parking.
YES. Money is sparce so having to pay to put an rv somewhere puts us all out. I would never rat on a neighbor for having an rb out because its stupid.
Yes. My brother comes out with his family once a year on a international trip in the summer. He should be allowed to park outside my house for the week he is here with his family
Yes. My neighbor has a RV that's been parked on his driveway for more than 10 years. They use it for a week or 2 during the summer. It is on his driveway, doesn't bother anyone in our cul-de-sac. One of the other neighbors however, have a car parked for 3 years on the street in front of his house. That is annoying because they permanently take up space in the sul-de-sac. Especially when it is garbage day and the trucks have to battle to make their turns. His car is a bother, the RV not
Yes. My only concern would be interference with pedestrians or bikes but as long as the RV is not obstructing the sidewalk I don't see a problem.
Yes. No issues if other bylaws are respected.
Yes. No problem with having an RV in someone's driveway.
Yes. No street parking though.
Yes. Not a concern
Yes. Not being able to park your RV at your home/on your driveway is a huge inconvenience to owners.
Yes. On private property people should be able to park whatever they want.
Yes. Owner should be able to park rv on their property all year long.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Park on your own property whenever you want.
Yes. Parking a personal RV on personal property should be acceptable
Yes. People have should have rights to park Their RV in the driveway for as long as they want it.
Yes. People have the right to park their vehicles on their private property.
Yes. People have to pay too much for everything already. If they own the property, they should be able to park there as long as it's in the driveway and not blocking sidewalks or roads, or the ability to see the road when one is driving past.
Yes. People own their driveways they should be allowed do what they want. Also the cost of property taxes is disgusting, considering this we should be allowed do what we want on our property.
Yes. People own this RV property, as well as their house property, and should be able to access their RVs whenever they wish throughout the RV season. Just because I don't like the look and "clutter" doesn't mean my wants should supercede the owners/others, as it pertains to their own driveway. Street blockage is different. That shoild stay the same. I don't own an RV, likely never will, and won't begrudge my neighbors their fun because they want to park on their own driveway.
Yes. People pay you tax is letting you serve but not control. If it is a private property, then it is not City's business at all. A City can't be so fascist.
Yes. People should be able to pack and unpack. But nothing longer than maybe two days
Yes. People should be able to park their own usable vehicles in their own driveways.
Yes. People should be able to park their property on their property. It makes sense to allow it for longer than 36 hours.
Yes. People should be able to park their rvs wherever they would like on their own property!
Yes. People should be able to park their vehicles anywhere on their own property.
Yes. People should be able to park whatever they want in their driveways. Bureaucrats should not be trying to regulate this. Maybe the city should be more concerned about real problems such as how the the roads have not been cleared at all this winter. The city allows roads to be a litteral skating rink for weeks on end while doing precisely nothing about it.
Yes. People should be able to store what they want on their property.
Yes. People should be allowed to keep their vehicles on their own driveways for as long as they like. It's not my business what their driveway looks like, they bought it, they're paying tax on it, they should be able to store things in it.
Yes. People should be allowed to park a vehicle on their own property. If my neighbour owns and RV that means that they are more likely to be out of town on weekends which means they won't be making as much noise and disturb me.
Yes. People should be allowed to park their own vehicles on their own property. It is costly to find a place to store it and inconvenient.
Yes. People should be allowed to park their vehicle on their property
Yes. People should be allowed to use their driveway for whatever purpose they want. If they want to store their RV on their driveway then so be it, assuming the RV isn't a danger to anyone else.
Yes. People should be allowed to use their property as they see fit as long as it doesn't harm others. Parking on a driveway does not harm others.
Yes. People should be allowed to use their property in ways that work for them.
Yes. People should be permitted to park their own vehicles (recreation or otherwise) on their own property without limitations.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. People should have more freedom to use recreational vehicles. Especially with many new communities having no alley ways, people shouldn't have to worry about paying for storage if they have a drive way. As long as it does not encroach on public streets and sidewalks people should be able to use their driveways as they choose to.
Yes. People sometimes have guests visiting who use an RV and I think this is all right.
Yes. Personal property
Yes. Persons should be able to park their own property on their own property.
Yes. Prices of storage units are way to damn high. You're paying for your property should be able to store on it. I think if they are in a usable state and not "junked" it should be okay.
Yes. PROVIDED that the vehicle/trailer/RV fits entirely on the driveway and doesn't impede the sidewalk or roadway.
Yes. Reducing traffic and emissions from excessive travel to RV storage is good for everyone. Better use of space brings value to properties.
Yes. RV parking is expensive and often located far from where you live. In Canada children are increasingly reliant on digital forms of entertainment. We should be making outdoor activity as easy, accessible and affordable as possible.
Concerns about safety or obstructed sightlines should be addressed via bylaws regarding placement of RV's near street signs, etc. It should be handled the same as with owning any other large vehicle.
Yes. Safer for ownership, less cost to owner. Ease of access. less theft
Yes. Saving time and money for home owners bringing it back and forth. And saving the city money by NOT having to sent parking co trol out for stupid tickets.
Yes. Season is limited and its impact minimal.
Yes. Seems only fair.
Yes. Should your driveway / parking allow / have space.
Yes. Shrinking lot sizes provide less options for alternate parking.
yes. Simply based on logistics or seasonal requirements. Tourists.
Yes. So long as it doesn't obstruct a sidewalk, owners should be able to park their property on their driveways. This is long overdue!
Yes. So long as sight lined are not overly disrupted for road safety it should not be the business of any government what is parked on one's own property.
Yes. So long as the above conditions are met, I'm not opposed to seasonal parking. I don't the sight obstruction as much more impactful than that of large vehicles (trucks and large vans parked on driveways).
Yes. So long as the above requirements are met.
Yes. So many people have RV's/Trailers and not allowing them to park on their own driveways for more than 36 hr's is usually only impacting the homeowners living there or possibly the neighboring street parking. I've seen citizens walk past these vehicles and take pictures just to sent to the city to get them a ticket and lie that the trailer has been there for days. Stop giving these people the ability to do no good because they are bad neighbours and community members. They are just cored.
Yes. So much more convenient and difficult to set up or take down an rv in the currently allotted time period.
Yes. Sometimes it takes longer to load and sometimes it's a nice place for your houseguests to stay.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Spring to Fall like the law in Cochrane. Families come and go camping during these seasons and need to load and unload the campers. I agree with seasonal driveway parking .
YES. Stop wasting City time and taxpayer dollars on RIDICULOUS complaints from NIMBY homeowners.
Yes. Storage can be very expensive.
Yes. Storage is expensive
Yes. Storage is expensive. People need recreation.
Yes. That is private property being parked on privately owned property. The RV's and Boats parked within my ward have not blocked views of drivers, made the area unsafe or caused issues with "esthetics" (the word you're looking for is AESTHETICS) If anything, these vehicles or trailers to transport them, are signs of status and wealth, more money means more taxes being paid. That's good for the government, right?
Yes. That is the owners property. They should have the right to use it as they wish as long as it is not impeding the sidewalk.
Yes. That's personal property and, as long as the sidewalk isn't being block , people should be able to use their property to park what they want
Yes. The city needs to stop infringing on property owners rights. So far as the "rv" is in good repair.
Yes. The city of Calgary has way to many restrictions on what property owners can do on their property. Having rvs already allowed on other parts of your property what difference does it make if it's on the driveway or in the back yard.
Yes. The city should have no say what happens on privately owned property. Far more important things for the city to focus energy and attention on
Yes. The city should stop intruding on the rights of property owners and not interfere in any way with parking of active vehicles on private parking, including for RV's. If the neighbors are so entitled as to have a problem with that - they should go buy an acreage and stop annoying people trying to live their lives. The city is on the WRONG side of this at this time.
Yes. The cost of having these vehicles in storage lots is too high and there is no need for it.
Yes. The cost of storing offsite and the lack of storage facilities is prohibitive. Most RV storage is on the outskirts of the city and requires four trips through city traffic for a weekend of use. There have been batteries stolen from RV's that are in storage lots.
yes. the current law bans a human from keeping their own property unless they are rich. It is a law that punishes only the poor
Yes. The driveway is my property, I should be able to use it as I see fit. I would want easy access to my vehicle and not have to pay someone else to store it.
Yes. The driveway is part of the homes property - as long as the RV is in good repair, they should be able to park it there.
Yes. The entire bylaw surrounding recreational vehicles is outrageous and should be done away with entirely. I think there are significantly more important issues to focus on.
Yes. The front driveway of a home is the property of that homeowner. I don't consider RVs to be any more "unsightly" than seeing any other vehicle parked in someone's driveway. As long as the vehicle isn't obstructing the sidewalk or roadway, there is no problem. Allowing RVs to be parked in front of the home saves time, money, and fuel.
Yes. The idea that they obstruct a sightline is ambiguous. Anything on your property could be included in this. A tree, shrub, bush, fence, etc. This is creating an issue where one doesn't exist

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. The less city interference in the lives of Calgarians (within reason) the better. Especially extended families visiting in the spring, summer, or fall. Some have no recourse but the front yard. Let's give people some well deserved leeway here.
Yes. The northeast in particular has limited alleys, so backyard parking is not always an option for people. If someone is going to be using their RV on a regular basis during the seasonal period, they should not have to continually take it back to storage - that would be a huge hassle for them and I don't think the downsides of having front parking are that big to begin with.
Yes. The other existing bylaws provide sufficient restrictions; eg: not blocking sidewalks.
Yes. The owner of the property should have the right to park on it.
Yes. Their property. Let them park.
Yes. There are many properties in the city that do not include side or rear access. RV owners occupying these properties don't have many options for keeping their RV on the property, possibly leading to parking on grass which is much more damaging. The alternative is to park illegally or to pay year round for storage space.
Yes. There are several issues facing people's time constraints. Allowing access during RV season should be allowed.
Yes. There are so many RVs parked in driveways that are not the subject of any complaint. make it standard for everyone.
Yes. There are some things that should be allowed for ease and quality of life. Too many rules. It's your own property and therefore should be able to have your trailer on your driveway period. I don't have one and will never have one and I believe this.
Yes. There is no good reason that a safely parked RV cannot be parked in front of the owner's house or on their driveway, even if in poor condition if it is useable, for the entire year.
Yes. There is no reason not to. If we follow all of the complainers in society, all reasonable fun activities would be banned.
Yes. There is no reason that tax paying citizens should be prevented from reasonably parking their lawfully registered vehicles and trailers in front of their own residences when commercial and industrial vehicles do so all the time
YES. There should be absolutely NO restrictions on the type of vehicles or equipment a person can keep on their own property/ driveway year round. This should not be seasonal or time restrained. They OWN their property and should be allowed to store any legal equipment on it without problems.
Yes. There should be some accommodation here seasonally. That being said all parts of the tv should be contained within the owner's property and not impede the road or sidewalk in any way.
Yes. They own the property they can park whatever they want
Yes. They should be able to park it all year on their driveways as long as they're not blocking sidewalks.
Yes. thirty six hours is too short, by far. For those working people who use their RV regularly, 36 hours is not enough time before or after a trip to load, unload, and clean an RV.
Yes. This allows families the ability to load and unload for trips, reduces risk of theft at storage locations and reduced carbon foot print from extra driving from home to storage location multiple times a week.
Yes. This is their property and they should be allowed to have a seasonal vehicle/RV parked on it. I moved to Calgary for it's proximity to nature - why interfere with what people move to



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the Calgary for. I lived in Edmonton my whole life before moving to Calgary and never saw RVs on driveways and in front of homes as an issue.
Yes. This would lower the costs for the RV owner as they wouldn't have to pay for storage. As long as the vehicle is not unsightly I don't have a problem with this
Yes. To reduce storage cost and for ease of access. Only exception should be if there is a valid safety concern or significant impact to neighbors property causing damage or significantly impacting their use or enjoyment of property. For example lawn or plant deterioration from blocking sunlight.
Yes. To support families on their recreational experiences.
Yes. Unfortunately, poverty and homelessness is a real issue. For some, that's all they can afford.
Yes. Units that are not unsightly and do not block the view of the traffic should be able to seasonally park making it easier to clean and prepare for trips as well as unpacking and preparing for winter storage.
Yes. Unless they are obstructing walkways, the should be allowed.
Yes. We are avid tent campers and go out at least once a week. I think this should be an option for every camper, whether they use a tent or an RV. If you don't allow RV users to store their "sleeping quarters" at home during the week as we do, that seems unfair to me. Why should folks with RVs have to drive back and forth to a storage yard every week during the summer? After all, it's their property, why shouldn't they be allowed to store their belongings there?
Yes. We are over taxed as it is. Why can't people have access to parking when needed?
Yes. We do not own an RV but rent on more than one occasion during the summer. 36 hours is insufficient for families to prepare and unload and makes the ideal of spending the summer camping with family chaotic and unattainable, when the goal is to get out there and relax. It is honestly one of the main reasons we have never invested in an RV ourselves.
Yes. We do use RVs / trailers from time to time, and such a short parking window barely allows enough time to pack or unpack the vehicle in a lot of cases. Also, this bylaw makes no sense: a raised, ford 3500 with topper is "unsightly" and "obscures sight lines" far more than a tent-trailer or boat trailer (for example).
Yes. We don't need to control or limit what others can do on their properties provided it is being done safely and without polluting
Yes. We have owned travel trailer in the past and having to haul it to storage on a Sunday evening after arriving home late is really inconvenient. Also to then have to haul it home again on a Thursday so that you can cool the fridge down so that you can leave on a Friday is painful, a lot of unnecessary driving and fuel used, not very good for the environment.
Yes. We own our property and should be allowed the freedom to use it as we see fit, including our driveways. It will help save money and time for Calgarians. During this time of hyper inflation and slipping into recession it's finally something that can help our citizens.
Yes. We pay enough for housing no property taxes. People should be able to store their belongings on their property
Yes. We pay enough property taxes - we should be able to do whatever we want on or front of our properties.
Yes. We pay lots of taxes and should be allowed to park rvs in our driveways. Not on the road, but driveway that is your own.
Yes. We pay taxes on the land already and we all have mortgages. Our land we pay taxes on is ours to use!! We need the freedom to do what we want with our paid taxes on the land!!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It is not fair for the city to always intercede with our paid property!! Leave us with our own freedom!
Yes. We should seek to minimize restrictions on people's use of their own private property.
Yes. We used to own a trailer and 36 hour parking limit can prohibit timely loading, unloading and driving it away. With a family and a job, it's difficult to do this. It's so much more enjoyable to remove this restriction which can allow regular seasonal weekend use. I don't find it an eye sore or devaluing to see recreational vehicles parked on residential driveways. We had a neighbour call us in when parked our trailer on the driveway Sunday for the of loading it so we could leave Thursday.
Yes. What property owners choose to put on their property is their prerogative, as long as there are no safety or discrimination concerns.
Yes. When you own a home you should be entitled to some reasonable use of your home. 36 hour restrictions seem far too restrictive. I want my neighbours to enjoy their homes not be regulated at all times.
Yes. Where else are people going to leave their RVs
Yes. Why not be a good neighbour.
Yes. Why not! This should be absolutely allowed without question.
Yes. Why should there be an issue with this? It should be up to the home owner to decide what they use their property for. Storage fees are too high. Plus some need extra space for visitors etc. It is unfair to force someone to pay to store their trailers when they have a driveway to do so
Yes. Why would I care if someone parks their own RV on their own property.
Yes. With our current state of inflation, folks should have the option to save some money in this way. It's their property. I would hope they would keep it somewhat clean and presentable and definitely not blocking sidewalks or other public spaces.
Yes. With the caveat that the vehicle actually fits, in its entirety, on the driveway.
Yes. You should allow individuals to store their belongings on their property. Period. This is not a debate.
Yes. You should be able to park on your own private property like so many other cities can. 36 hours isn't enough time of people use their campers every weekend. That's a lot of extra time and effort and money to move the camper to a storage facility out of town every week, which is the main reason I don't own a camper right now.
Yes. you should be able to park what you want on your own driveway.
Yes. You should be able to store your RV on your property
Yes. You should be allowed to park your RV on your property 24/7/365. It's your property after all!
Yes. I'm a strong believer of personal property rights. If the owner/tenants of a residence feels that the best place to park their RV is their driveway (without violating other regulations or causing unnecessary safety risk, e.g. does not block public sidewalk/paths), I think they should be allowed to do so.  I would however agree that the RV should not be unsightly, or in an unusable condition, or in a manner where it is impossible to move to attend to an emergency, because then it would be like someone keeping their 'waste' on the driveway, and we already have rules about that.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

YES.
People pay property taxes and more. It's their property, they have the right to park or use it like they want, as long as it doesn't endanger others.
Yes.. not street but yes to driveways
yes... cause the bylaw is stupid...we pay taxes for our property...
Yes...its a person's property and I think they should be allowed to park their RV at their home.
Yes.it should be extended.
yes/for some based on size. Also, seasonal/limited months. And, with a permit only. ex. if you park more than 36 hours you need a permit. But, I also would worry that there would be an abuse of "parking" - and use as "Secondary residence." Also, oversized vehicles (that would not fit inside a standard sized garage) should be excluded. This is like permitting people to park their semi cabs in their driveway -- which would be ludicrous.
Yes: all Four seasons, i.e. year-Round.
Yes; for people doing repeat weekend trips, for out of town visitors, for those selling their RV etc, I see no reason they can't be on the street or in driveways. Should also be able to unhook. In busier communities with tight parking, may need to implement some form of parking passes to ensure parking rv in front of a house doesn't result in multiple other vehicles from that home taking more scarce street parking.
Yes; I support seasonal front driveway parking; To reduce cost of storage, to reduce fuel consumption that need for dropping off the RV to the parking place and pick up as needed during season to use it which considerably reduce the environment pollution as there is one more vehicle is required to pick up and drop off the RV to the parking place, people are struggling to keep up their expenses during down time, increasing inflation, increasing mortgage interest rate, increasing fuel cost, etc.
Yes; parking in dedicated lots is outside of city, very expensive and inconvenient. Let people park on their property!
yes; why not, who cares what they look like and storage is expensive
Yes... as long as the RV does not impede the sidewalk or pose a safety risk.
In my neighborhood I see many people parking their RV's for well over 36 hours. So it's not really an issue. I only find it a problem when the trailer is out into the sidewalk/road way.
Yes... camping may be the only affordable means of getting away for a family. With everything else skyrocketing, asking them to pay for storage for their camper/trailer is rude and citizen friendly. I also think it should be allowed throughout the whole year, not just seasonally. What is so unsightly about this? A homeowner's property is their property and they should be entitled to have their camper on it.
Yes.... Minor inconvenience for some, from a viewing out standpoint, but the majority of front drive homes I've witnessed in recent years have small windows as the garage takes up the majority of the house front anyway.
Yes...residents own these vehicles for family vacations and, if parked on their property, it's very reasonable to expect they can. It's convenient to park a regularly used RV on the front drive of your owned property.
Yes-allows owners and visitors reliable, safe access to their RV, reduce costs to owner regarding storage fees and cost of moving RV from storage to home. Plus current parking restrictions (time limit)can be unfair to families hosting out of town guests staying in RV



## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

yes-it would make it cheaper not having to rent a storage space, It is also better for the environment as there is less driving back and forth from the storage space every time you want to take you RV out.

You may as well as the current bylaw is not enforced and I see numerous travel trailers and boats in driveways around my neighborhood.

## QUESTION 5 – Are there additional benefits and/or impacts that are important for The City to understand?

### RV OWNERS - Participants that responded YES they own a recreational vehicle

- My trailer is ~6' to long to fit on my drive. Any amendment to front-drive parking should extend the amount of time an RV/trailer etc can be allowed to be in an alley. 36 hours is far to short for loading/minor repairs. Not 'all summer' though. ~7 days.
- No overnight use. They'll turn in to temporary accommodation.
- No use of generators.
- No banners, commercial advertising on the trailer/vehicle to get around the 'structures' by-law (think 20' RV with pro-life images in a community)
- By allowing long term RV parking, we are reducing the amount of RV travel on roads to and from homes for maintenance, cleaning, loading and unloading
- Camping is a wholesome outdoor activity and should be encouraged. We live in one of the most beautiful provinces in Canada and residents should be encouraged to spend time outdoors.
- Adjusting the bylaw also prevents neighbours from reporting each other, maintaining harmony in communities.
- I'd like to see our city support modest size RV instead of lumping us with the large ones that these bylaws are more targeted at.
- But I do support Safety. If my unit blocked sight lines I wouldn't park it where I do.
- How about supporting GREEN -
- small RVs use less fuel and get a parking break!
- parking them at offsite storage sites takes land out of other use (maybe agricultural)
- fuel gets used to return and pick up your RV from a storage facility
- convenience for the owners
- many people only have front driveways and no access to their back yards
- Good Luck - You have a tricky job and will never be able to make everyone happy.
- Increased ease of participating in outdoor activities with young children.
- With constantly increasing city property taxes it would be nice to have some relief with decreased cost of RV ownership related to expensive storage fees for part of the year.
- You will need to define "unsightly" if that is a criteria you are going to uphold.
- RV traffic is drastically increased by having to move an RV from parking to a house to pack up and then head out of the city to a camping spot.
- With increasing traffic in Calgary, moving RVs only adds to summer traffic and especially affects peak traffic in weekends.
- "Detached trailers and campers cannot be parked on city streets or alleys. RVs, such as motor homes, can be parked on a street immediately adjacent to the owner's residence for a maximum of 36 hours."
- Ward 6 has several new construction neighborhood's where there has been limited access to street parking adjacent our home and immediately in front of our home. We need to be able to unhook from the fifth wheel and park within a reasonable distance from our property for 48 hours.
- . as long as it's not a derelict unit attracting homeless and crime,
- ?
- ?

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

[removed]
[removed] bag neighbours, rentals with 15 families living in them are far more problems than RV's
<p>1) Better than parking trailers and RVs on the road which always happens in the summer</p> <p>2) Allow for easier visiting/networking with friends and family</p> <p>3) More fuel efficient and fewer greenhouse gasses than driving back and forth to the RV parking. We will often do trips on weekends and have to a lot of back and forth to the RV parking lot to get the trailer. It is 20 minutes each way and I know a lot of people where it is longer. Seasonal parking would significantly reduce this.</p>
<p>1. Calgary is promoting being green and helping reduce carbon footprint. With the current bylaw owners have to drive back and forth from the storage to their driveways, 15-20minutes one way. Imagine the fuel spent by all the trucks and SUVs, such waste.</p> <p>2. Resources spent by bylaw to send warning letters to RV owners. Even if the reporter is lying. I have had experience about this. I was told that I went over the maximum hours set by bylaw, but I have video evidence against that. This is waste of city resources, logging the complaint, sending the paper letter. Waste of paper.</p>
24 hours at your residence is more than adequate time to load or unload. I had a neighbour who parked his stuff all over the place until it was towed away and he just let it go to auction. He told us all he couldn't afford the towing and had no insurance. Someone should have called bylaw sooner. I am a rv owner 30 plus years who pays for storage. I respect my neighbours.
24 hours is Long enough to unload/clean/reload an RV, even a 40" quad bunkhouse. Winterizing and dewinterizing does not take more than that amount of time.
36 hours is already too long.
36 hour street parking is not enough time especially for winterizing and de-winterizing. As well as any possible small repairs you may have to make. Even a simple leaky pipe repair can take considerable time to access the area in the trailer, cut out the pipe and make a repair.
36 hours can be very limiting to prepare an RV when people have other commitments such as work, young children or health concerns but I don't see why it can't be returned to storage between trips.
36 hours does not give enough time to ready a motorhome. Therefore more time is more beneficial.
36 hours is definitely not long enough. Please make it 72 hours.
36 hours is hardly enough time to load or unload
36 hours is impractically short time. It often takes a couple of days to clean out your RV and get it packed up. Should be at least 72 hours
36 hours is lots of time to clean up an RV after a trip. Leave it as is.
36 hours is lots of time, although I would support going to 48 or even 72 hours. Leaving them there all summer isn't good, parking is already at a premium on most streets in Tuscany. This would make it worse.
36 hours is not a lot of time especially if you travel a lot you end up moving this trailer much more than you should just because of this restriction, summer months if you have space in your driveway I see no reason to not allow owners to keep on the driveway
36 hours is not always long enough, but many will opt to use their driveway for 6 months to save on storage fees. Will mean increased parking on streets



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

36 hours is not enough time for young and big families to load/unload their trailer. It is also more difficult to go camping with short notice when the working spouse has the truck at work. Sometimes you want to go camping after work but can't because you didn't have enough time to pick up and pack up the trailer. For some, the trailer can be parked an hour away on a storage lot.
36 hours is not enough time to allow for loading/packing time, plus any needed maintenance or repairs, especially when attending work during some of these hours. similarly, for those who need to use street parking during this time, the current time limit is also not long enough.
36 hours is not enough time to have a Rv parked in a stall as it should be at least 72 hours. Also there should be no restrictions for a fishing boat as people retired and have no where else to park on there property except for driveway they want to use often during the fishing season. We park our fishing boat out of town in Bassano and want it at house during fishing season on our driveway
36 hours is not enough time, 48 would be better
36 hours is not long enough, especially if the weather is poor.
36 hours is not long for cleaning, maintenance etc. Should be min 72 hours.
36 hours is not very feasible in many cases. Waste of time and money to adhere to this restriction. I think the safety impacts of seasonal parking are minimal, except in extreme sight line obstruction circumstances. I also think aesthetics is an incredibly weak argument that has no merit being included in a city-wide bylaw.
36 hours is reasonable time frame for street parking
36 hours is simply not enough time to clean and unload a trailer, especially when you have children. Being able to store it seasonally allows people easier access to camp or use it more often, which significantly benefits the Province of AB when people are using Provincial Parks more often. I have occasionally visited people with my trailer and the ability to unhook my truck to do activities/shopping with them would be very helpful.
36 hours is too short of time to do necessary cleaning (annually and then after every outing - which is weekly for us) and repairs and maintenance. Also property taxes increase annually and there should be some benefits to property owners for this type circumstance. Support by the City would be appreciated and respected by tax paying citizens.
36 hours isn't long enough. Example, pick up trailer Wednesday night, pack Thursday night, depart Friday night. 48 hours minimum should be allowed. Return Sunday, unpack Monday, return to lot Tuesday. 48hr minimum. Also times when minor repairs need to be done, they can't always meet the 36 hour limit.
36 hours of parking on the driveway is too short to get ready for camping, packing some repairs etc. Also our street, the paring spots don't have enough room the keep your trailer hitched to the vehicle hence I received a ticket for an un-hitched travel trailer that was in from of my house for 8 hours. Street parking un-hitched should be allowed for 72 hours.
36 hours on a driveway should be extended to at least 48 hours. Really depending on when you park, 36 hours is more like 24 hours as the 36 hour time limit may be reached in the middle of the night so you have to move way before the time is up. 48 hours is more reasonable so that during the second 24 hours the RV can be moved in a more reasonable time of day.
36 hrs is more than enough time to clean a trailer, load or unload. U believe folks are looking to avoid paying for storage. If u want longer stays for things like repairs, apply for permit - can be free but this would then be an exception.
48 hours may be more realistic



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

48 or 72 hours street parking would be more convenient.
6months of storage fees that businesses won't be collecting. That is a con for businesses. Benefit for RVers.
7 days would be a better option to with work schedules Watch for old broken down rv to appear
72 hours
72 hours should be allowed for units to park on the street
72 hours would be beneficial to those of us that have rvs
72 hours would be more reasonable
A 36 hour parking allowance on city street in front of your own property with out being hooked to a vehicle. Not all homes have a front driveway but still need to bring a trailer home and have time to load for camping without pulling the trailer around the city to get groceries and prep for leaving.
A backyard and in front of a house I feel should not look like an industrial parking lot with multiple vehicles at one location. I feel that this also should be enforced with rental properties that have multiple tenants. When there are 4+ vehicles per home at multiple homes on one street, there are less spaces for others to park. Landlords should be restricted to how many vehicles can be at one property. There should not be any vehicles on the street if there is a garage with a driveway. There should also be less vague laws with regards to illegal suites! Enough of the "you can't prove that a person isn't a tenant" stuff. There should be more inspections with less forgiveness!
A benefit is making it easier for families to partake in outdoor adventure without having to worry about an extra monthly storage bill
A benefit would be a reduction of green house gas emissions related to moving your RV back in forth from the storage lot.
A driveway is a driveway. Whether it is occupied by a trailer or a van or a truck makes no difference. RV's should be put away once the season is finished.
A driveway is for parking, an RV SHOULD NOT be excluded just because it's an RV.
A happier tax payer.
A impact which I see coming is that people will rent them out or list them on Air BB when they are not using them. The city does not have the resources to police issues that will arise as is the case at present. Also access to the house, if they are not motorized, can be an egress issue in an emergency.
A large number of motorhome owners are having their catalytic converters stolen from lock RV storage lots. They may be safer at someone's home.
A large portion of the cities demographics travel for work, and an extended period would accommodate the needs of these people as well as short term visitors from out of town. This would also reduce nuisance calls for bylaw officers who are investigating reports. Of people with units in driveways not causing any long-term harm to the community.
A lot of driveways are not long enough to accommodate an RV so must be parked on the road in front of your house. The time could be extended parked on the street for 36 hours connected to a vehicle and not blocking a neighbours driveway and not causing a safety issue. There are times that you need this for repairs or modifications.
A lot of people are already breaking this bylaw with seemingly little to no action taken for those who park longer than 36 hours. Homeowners should be able to use their driveway as they see fit, as long as it doesn't encroach city/public use for sidewalks etc.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A lot of storage lots are way too expensive and the location/access hours are not always convenient. Also chances of theft or being broken into are greater. I think we should be able to utilize our property however we choose in a safe and respectful manner.
A neighbour has parked a large RV in a back yard that they use in the winter so it sits all summer. The adjacent neighbours feel like a building has been put up beside them and affects their enjoyment of their personal space. Height should be a consideration just the same as putting up a permanent structure.
A person can have a multitude of cars and trucks that may or not be road worthy and uninsured and unlicensed, stored on there driveway or front yard. But you can't park a RV.
A pull behind that doesn't have a truck hooked to it should be allowed to be parked for whatever time you choose to extend to...sometimes we bring our trailer home a day or two early to pack up but then have to go to work and the truck is then not attached and many people have been getting tickets for that.
A reasonable time frame could be 48 hours. It allows a little bit longer than the 36 hours currently in place and gives owners time for loading, unloading, cleaning, etc. Considering that within the 36 hours, 24 of those hours could see the owners unavailable to attend to these things as the normal work day is 8 hours. 36 minus 24 leaves 12 hours only for the purposes mentioned. Extending to 48 hours, provides another 12 hours, and I believe would be considered reasonable by most people.
A requirement of more than 36 hours is requested
A review of the bylaw regarding the tow vehicle being required to be connect to the RV/trailer.
A travel trailer should be allowed to be unhooked for a period of time when parked on a front street to allow prepping the trailer for use.
A work truck that is not licensed as a commercial vehicle can park on a city street in front of your home year round is acceptable even if the truck is a 1 ton dolly with a large fifth wheel cargo trailer attached. A large cube van can be parked in the alley behind a private home so long as it is licensed and insured but the same size motorhome cannot? Why, is this an RV issue rather than a vehicle size issue. The city needs to look at what they are trying to achieve and apply the rules based on that principle & not focus on RV's More work vehicles the size of RV's are parking at private homes as businesses send employees home with vehicles to distribute fleets to reduce vandalism & theft
Ability To reduce storage fees means more money in my pocket without the city doing anything.
Storage in front of your house should be on the condition it (RV)is in good shape and not an eye sore. I have seen houses with garbage hobby vehicles being worked on in driveways. How his that allowed but no Rvs?
Above
Absolutely - it is absurd that this is not allowed. Paying exorbitant out of city fees for storage and wasting time into pickups and droffs is ridiculous. It's my property. F right off... if I want to park a trailer, tank, or boat on it, I should have a legal right to do so. Enough of the nanny state. Grow up. It's not 1935.
Absolutely, giving the citizens more freedom. It's ridiculous that there are even bylaws in place dictating how we can use our own property. Unbelievable.
Absolutely. There has to be some common sense applied to this law. Cannot obstruct a sign, must be fully on the driveway and be inline with the home. No sideways parking across the



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

driveway. Must be in good working condition and not a work in progress. Must not be run down and must not be lived in.
Access to storage and / or a tow vehicle can be limiting for some people.
Access when wanting to travel, reduced time to pack, not having them on the street/ hooked to vehicles, less gas used.
Accessibility Peace of mind
Accessibility to your unit at anytime during the camping season, money savings from storage fees and freedom to do as one wishes with their parking on their property, within reason.
Actual penalties for overextending the driveway, parking on the street longer than allowed, parking the trailers outside of the allowed timeframes. If there's no actual oversight like it is currently, people will just do it year round.
Added cost for storage, added time and fuel costs traveling from storage to home to campsite to home and back to storage. It adds up.
Added storage expenses make the use of people's property even more difficult. Government should encourage citizens to use the outdoors (ie camping, rving, etc.), by making it easier, not more expensive.
Additional benefit, allows taxpayers to potentially save storage costs during the summer, which reduces their overall household expenses.
Additional benefits are convenience which is understandable.
Additional benefits are reduction of environmental impact of travel to and from a storage facility where the RV would otherwise have to be stored/parked. Most of these facilities are outside the city requiring long time consuming journeys for many owners while adding to traffic volumes and vehicle emissions.
Additional benefits include promote family activities in camping season and support local campground revenues.
Additional costs for storing a vehicle that is in regular use should not be necessary.
Additional fuel use and the attached carbon footprint when everyone has to keep their recreational vehicles in an RV lot that is usually outside of Calgary. Commuting to get my trailer every weekend and return afterwards emits considerable carbon unnecessarily.
Aesthetics are a big concern. It will be impossible to define and enforce an 'unsightly' clause. There are already some ugly units parked in my neighbourhood, for want of enforcement. Permitting long-term storage will invite extension cords if not water hoses, adding to the unsightliness. It also invites residents to 'rent' their driveways, something which I know for a fact occurs in BC – so you can add noise, fumes and sanitation into the equation. Please don't make things worse than they already are.
RVs sitting in view will be a target for thieves – don't burden the police and insurers to have to deal with these crimes and damages.
Aesthetics, Safety, Environment, Burden of costs, Property devaluation
Affordability for citizens - saving of at least \$750 per year on storage if trailers could be stored on front driveways.
Fairness - many neighbours don't have an issue with people parking their trailers, so park them already. Then there are neighbours who do have an issue and get their neighbours ticketed. Bylaws need to apply equally and fairly. NIMBYism is prevalent in many areas.
Affordability, accessibility, convenience



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Again that is what rv parking facilities are for . If you cant afford to park in one you better sell your trailer. I thought we are trying to keep our neighborhoods looking nice and clean, parking trailers in them isnt helping .
Again, costs. There are many low income residents who camp and can't afford to store their RV elsewhere.
Again, that small class B camper vans are often used for regular transportation and are not in the category as the other RVs listed, and do not cause the same issues with safety or aesthetics that the other ones do.
Agree with increasing the 36 hours or moving to allow seasonal parking as long as sidewalks and visibility for pedestrians, cars not affected.
Agree with the known benefits stated.
Alberta has the most rvs per capita in Canada, and any lifted restrictions on rv parking would benefit the majority of Albertans
Alberta law allows to park in the driveway. Why is Calgary different. As long as it fits. Especially in a culdesac. Where is the harm
All listed covers it all.
All RV owners loves camping. The storage fees puts a damper on this and makes it not affordable any more. The bylaws should be eased to allow home parking. Cost of living just goes up and salaries are cut or no increases. Storage fees are killing us. We need to camp with the kids. Nothing is more important than family time. Ease the restrictions for home parking please
All RVs require maintenance and some extended maintenance activities which require more than 36 hours. You can have cars or trucks in your driveway forever, doing maintenance or customization, no time limits.
All the impacts are in the above.
All the ones listed above. This would be an extremely helpful change!
All though I can park my tv on the side of my house and have on occasion. I believe people would use there RVs more frequently then being parked at a storage facility 1/2 hour or an hour away.
Do not want to see unmaintained RVs or RVs that are not roadworthy.
All though I can park my tv on the side of my house and have on occasion. I believe people would use there RVs more frequently then being parked at a storage facility 1/2 hour or an hour away.
Do not want to see unmaintained RVs or RVs that are not roadworthy.
all units needs to be road worthy and licenced and not used as a shelter for an extended time.
Alleviates time stress on single parents trying to provide their child with healthy family friendly activities. Time is a commodity. Stop assuming all families have time as a luxury. Catching the train to work and back, full time, cooking dinner, and cleaning up after leaves a single parent with 1.5 hrs of free evening time to get/clean/pack an RV. 36 hours is not 36 hours though the life of a single parent. Let's help close the gap and give families time without consequences.
Allow 48 hrs of parking, unhooked from tow vehicle on the street. With a two week vacation it takes days to fully stock a trailer
Allow for all families of all incomes to enjoy mother nature without having so many pricy barriers to enjoy our beautiful province/country.
Allow owners to park on street in front of their homes to allow loading/unloading and cleaning for up to 36 hrs.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Allow people the right to use their property as they wish.
Allow people to park them at the very least in the summer for no set time. It makes for ease or use especially as families are coming and going throughout the summer. One less thing for people to worry or stress about.
Allow people to park trailers on driveway without a restriction. If it doesn't bother anyone than let be.
Allow rvs to be parked on driveways indefinitely! It is private property, it should be my right to park what I want to on my property. Not city's business.
Allowing seasonal parking will minimize the driving on roads in and out of parking lots and minimize accidents. It's a short season and people needs to enjoy it rather than worry about trailers parking.
Allowing a longer parking session on the road (perhaps max seven days) allows a trailer owner to go camping two weekends in a row - they park at home on Sunday when they return, and then leave on Friday again.
Allowing a resident to utilize their own personal property and their residential land as they see fit, as long as it doesn't impact safety or city property.
Allowing all recreational vehicles and trailers to park on front driveways for an increased amount of time (48 hours?) - no problem. But allowing seasonal parking of some of these large units would not be something we support.
Allowing easier recreational parking at home, means allowing people to use their recreational property more often
Allowing family and friends to visit with their trailer as they pass through Calgary, also would also people to have their trailer prepared and ready to leave town without incurring storage costs and the time to travel to pick up and drop off their trailers from storage.
Allowing front driveway parking will minimize RV parking on street, which have high impact on traffic / drivers view.
Allowing limited street parking of the same benefits those in properties without a front drive. Allowing unhitched RVs (travel trailers, tent trailer) to park on streets temporarily like RVs is more equitable to those owners
Allowing more time to prep and safely inspect the trailer.
allowing owners to safely park their vehicle at home during the summer allows them to ensure their vehicle is in safe working order since it would be in close proximity to see if something is visibly wrong or in need of repair. parking at home means less time on the road taking it to/from storage locations before and after use. which in turn means the use of the RV has so much more enjoyment since the unloading/loading and transport takes up significant time, which is not enjoyable.
Allowing parking of Recreational vehicles detracts from a neighborhood such that it could impact resale values and that ultimately impacts property tax so the knock-on effect could be large.
Allowing parking on a driveway will reduce road traffic and therefore road safety and road maintenance
Allowing people to have their rv parked on private property, would reduce the amount of rv's driving or being transported on city streets to and from storage lots after already having the rv at your house
Allowing people to leave quicker, keep cost down and enjoy being outside.
Allowing people to park their small trailers in their driveway would reduce the chaos on Fridays of people going to get their trailers.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Allowing people to use their property as they see fit is good for their emotional wellbeing and general satisfaction. Infringing on that where not reasonably necessary (e.g. parking a vehicle in their driveway) can generate stress, a feeling of arbitrary persecution, and distrust of the authority and community. Happy neighbours are better neighbours.
Allowing RV parking has the benefit of less land used for RV storage
Allowing RV units to park in the driveways of residential homes would allow out of town visitors to visit friends and family in Calgary in a more economical way but it would still result in benefits to the local economy the longer they stay. They would likely purchase food, fuel, supplies, etc. and possibly visit some of the tourist attractions that Calgary has to offer. It could pump up the attendance figures at sports events and The Calgary Stampede.
Allowing RVs on personal property would help people save money and utilize their units more. It's much easier to take a spontaneous camping trip if your unit is right on sight. Plus many families with children could use one less hurdle when planning camping trips.
Allowing RVs to be on driveways actually reduces the RVs parked on the street and around the neighbourhood streets.
Allowing RVs to stay parked seasonally would reduce the amount of time that has to be spent driving RVs from storage, which would reduce overall carbon emissions of people who use RVs.
Allowing seasonal parking could encourage the use of the RV as temporary living arrangements
Allowing seasonal parking in driveways greatly alters the RV storage industry, making it also seasonal. Need to consider size of driveways...must the RV be fully on driveway? Are we allowing people to stay in said RV's overnight? Will new by-laws need to be created to ensure no abuse? If you can park on your driveway, can you park on your front yard?
Allowing seasonal parking of personal RVs in the front, paved driveway of a person's own property would mean a lot to busy parents. We dream of, save for, and purchase these things so we can make memories for our kids. The 36 hour rule is a real challenge sometimes, especially if the family only has one car and they have a busy schedule.
Allowing seasonal parking of RVs in front drives will actually reduce the amount of RV traffic on the streets as owners will not need to regularly travel back and forth to storage locations which improves road safety and also greenhouse gas emissions.
allowing seasonal parking on driveways should be allowed. I agree with limited parking on the street.
Allowing seasonal RV parking on front driveways would enable the benefit of allowing additional sleeping space for summer visiting relatives, particularly if concerned about Covid contact.
Allowing storage at home would save residents money, as well as allowing for easier or more frequent usage. Relaxation and nature is good for mental health.
Allowing Street in our ward would be a nightmare as there is barely street parking .
Allowing the trailer to be parking on the curb without be hooked up. Allows for individuals to go to work and get the trailer packed or unpacked without trying to figure out how to do it or driving the extra half hour every day to the storage area
Allowing this would reduce wear and tear on vehicles not to mention overall emissions by not having to tow the trailer further than needed.
Allowing trailers to be left for maybe week to ten days at a time allows for people to use ongoing week to week trips I believe it should have to move within that time frame.. I don't

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

believe storing over winter should be allowed but summer months should be ok as long as it's not blocking roadways or sidewalks and emergency traffic...
Allows home owners the freedom to use the property they own as necessary. It also is a cost savings, as storing RV's and transporting them unnecessarily to store them, is costly for owners and negatively impacts the environment.
Allows more people to own rvs and encourages them to get out and recreate more as it reduces cost and accessibility
Allows persons to,save money on storage and perhaps spend on tourism
Allows travellers with trailers to visit family/friends when passing through.
Alot of young families camp and it's extremely inconvenient to keep going to and from storage yards every weekend, it also could save storage costs for a few months. People would have more convenient access to spend time outdoors enjoying the camping season.
Already some leave RVs year round on their driveway which I see as a nuisance.
Also who says the people calling in and complaining actually see a trailer there for the days they say? If you're allowed 3 days they shouldn't be calling and complaining!
Also, my property, and I should be allowed to park any licensed, insured operable vehicle I want in the driveway. Many people park old cars that are not operable and they are not required to move them. Parking in a remote lot is expensive 600+ per year. If my taxes were reduced to reflect I would not mind. Also the driving back and forth to the lot increases GHG emissions and wastes fuel.
Although an effort should be made to make it fair to all, I do share some concerns with size and condition of larger units. Also, any time parked on city streets should be minimized to 48hours; street parking is limited in some communities and those without RVs should not suffer. Also, I can see how many would try to live in RVs considering our current economy where housing prices and costs of living are challenging for many.
Although most people can tend to get their RV's off the street within the allotted time, I think it's important to be more lenient for Calgarians in the case where they are unable to do so.
An "RV" is an economical get away for a lot of people and for some income brackets, represents their main means of "vacation". The cost and hassle of parking in a storage lot can make ownership Impractical.
An additional benefit to seasonal parking would be more time to inspect and maintain an RV.
An additional benefit would be less time spent hauling large RV's through right city roads to get to the storage lot each time. Less potential for accidents.
An big benefit would be eliminating a potential source of conflict between neighbours in Calgary communities.
An extension to 48 hours is reasonable. Oftentimes takes some time to unload, clean, do minor repairs. Always nice to prep unit before trips.
An RV can act as temporary isolation housing for individuals within a family that become sick and are unable to effectively isolate from their other family members in the same household. Additionally, shift workers can benefit from having their RV parked in their driveway by providing them with an alternative sleeping location.
An RV in acceptable condition completely on the driveway causes no negative impacts to neighbours.
An RV on site offers a place for famy members form the home to quarantine due to illness.
An RV on site allows for family members in home who do shift work to have a quiet place to

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

sleep. An RV on site allows for more families to access regular camping because they no longer have to consider completely unloading their RV every time they have to take it to storage. This process makes it very difficult to go camping regularly. Camping is extremely beneficial to mental health.
Anything that fits on the driveway seems reasonable but must be in decent shape or under repair..no Cousin Eddy campers sitting for months. And nothing on the street for more than 36 hrs for the sake of visibility (pedestrian safety and security).
Applicable to all City Bylaws, not just parking RVs on driveways... Bylaws Officers should be upholding the bylaws without any individual neighbour having to "rat" on another. A warning should first be given with the offender given a reasonable time to correct the problem/offence! We should also be report to the City, 311 with our identity be known only to the City.
Are you considering allowing this year-round rather than just seasonal? I would not be opposed to allowing this year-round
As a camper I want it to be affordable for people to enjoy this pastime. As a renter, I feel untrusting. We have encouraged landlords to be greedy and unjust to renters in this city. My concern would be that RVs will be rented out as illegal suites because the cost of rent and the cost of living in this city is so high. If the rules regarding storing RVs are relaxed, I would also want to see rent control and clear rules about what is considered a suite or an AirBnB, etc.
As a rv owner, I think the 36 hrs is already a generous amount of time. Any more time will lead to problems and frustrations. I forsee city service difficulties collecting green black blue bins. Relaxing rules could result in free for all
As a taxpayer who owns my own house and owns my travel trailer the city should have no say in what I do on my driveway. Stay out of our business.
As a taxpayer, who pays taxes for the driveway portion of my driveway, the city should not be adding unnecessary rules and restrictions to property owners.
As above if an RV is not to CSA Z240 RV Series Standard or the RVIA NFPA 1192 Standard it could pose a fire and life risk.
As above re esthetics not being a criteria as that is too subjective and is not backed by research to show potential harm
As above, it will benefit Alberta by keeping our tourism dollars closer to home because weekend camping is easier without having to go and pick up our RV. We will be spending our dollars on Alberta campsites rather than RV storage.
As above.
As above. It could also devalue many properties.
as above. and on a case by case basis that people do not set up residence in the RV on the driveway for a lengthy time IE weeks.
As above. Seasonal parking as in other communities should be a right.
As already noted, the sightlines/safety thing is a concern. There could be an impact from neighbours complaining about "unsightly" RVs parked near their homes, which is subjective, and I know some people that won't be happy no matter what (especially those types that start logging complaints *exactly* 36h after a neighbour has parked their RV in their driveway.) The City should be prepared to engage with and educate those people, since it will just lead to conflict otherwise.
As an alternative to unlimited seasonal driveway parking maybe a 72 hour limit, that would allow extra time to load and unload for trips.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As an owner, I could support expanding the time from 36 to 48 hours for a more orderly loading cleaning and unloading.
As an RV owner , sometimes I have to unhook my trailer to prepare for a trip. To have a window that allows me to be able to unhook my trailer on the street without being fined would be helpful.
As an RV owner, 36 hrs is more than enough time to clean and restock an rv. We don't need any more rv's hanging around more than that. Increasing it will open the city up to more people abusing it and parking/clogging the streets and parking up for greater periods and causing greater stress between local residents.
As an RVer I bring my unit home just prior to departing for a trip and again after the trip is complete in order to clean it up and service it. There are times within the year when I have to bring it in additional times in order to finish clean up or other servicing that can't always be accomplished within the 36 hour limit.
As certain homes in different community's have different size lots and width of roads with larger/longer rv,s and trailers this limits the amount of space available to the rest of the community members for parking and decreases the sight vision for drivers to see safely while driving these roads
As cost of living gets to be as high as it is now, how many trailers/RVs will become additional living spaces on the property?
as costs are rising and we cant' afford to have a trailer in storage all the time, it would be nice to be able to use my drive way for a couple weeks at a time, that would save on storage costs for a few months a year
As I said, this is a good thing as long as what is parked on driveways isn't impeding public sidewalks.
As inflation rises, costs of everything has gone up, have some savings on the time and gas it takes to run your RV back and forth to storage would save a lot for most people.
As long as an Rv fits properly on a residential driveway and does not obscure traffic or pedestrians it shouldn't be an issue anymore.
As long as bylaw criteria are adhered to, it's all positive. However, things like "unsightly" and "poor condition" may be subject to a wide array of opinions!
As long as it fits on the person's property and is not unsightly, I support allowing us to park in our own driveway.
As long as it fits on your driveway without blocking peoples pathways and sidewalks.
As long as it is not on the sidewalk so people can walk passed.
as long as it is wholly on your own property (where you pay property taxes) I would be in favour. it cannot stay on a city street for more than 36 hours but if it is wholly contained on your own property then the City should not have control on what is parked there
As long as it's not unsightly, in disrepair, blocking a sidewalk or roadway or sight line of an intersection, or parked indefinitely I have no issues with seasonal parking.
As long as it's on your property it should not be a problem. The neighbors should just suck it up and quit complaining during the camping season.
As long as on private property, there should be no concerns with keeping an RV at a home. No concerns for the city or neighbors.
As long as people parking them are not leaving them to store or deteriorate.
As long as RVs are parked on private property & not blocking any other home owner's access to their space during the spring & summer season, then no time limit should be imposed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As long as the existing bylaws eg. Trailers must be properly attached to an operation tow vehicle.
As long as the on road 36hr rule stays i have no problem.
As long as the RV fits on your driveway pad, and is not an eye sore, what should it matter. It's the homeowner's property.
As long as the RV is not obstructing sidewalks, then is should up to the person who owns the property.
As long as the RV is not unsightly, and not obstructing views or unsafely parked, they should be allowed to be parked on driveways during the summer months.
As long as the RV is off city property it could be allowed on private driveways year round
As long as the rv's don't block the sidewalk, they should be allowed to be parked on home owners driveways. Also street parking should be allowed for up to 3-4 days, as long as it's parked in front of their home and not their neighbour's. Most people work during the day and don't have as much time to prepare their rvs for their trips. Also some camp regularly and need time to work and come home and do their laundry and get groceries which is hard to do in less than 2 days.
As long as the trailer is parked on your driveway not blocking the road or any pathways and is in good condition it is not harming anyone
As long as the trailers in question aren't being used as "residence"
As long as the unit is not blocking site at corners or intersections or impeding the sidewalk they should be allowed on one's own property.
As long as the vehicle is not derelict and does not impede pedestrian flow, where is the issue? Having Easy access to recreational vehicles allows for more frequent use as you can take it out on a weekend without having to worry about access to storage facilities. Most of the storage places do not allow 24 hr access. This allows more use of campsites and parks.
As long as the vehicles don't block the sidewalks.
As long as there is no obstruction to the street or sidewalks, I support this initiative by the City of Calgary.
As long as there no safety risk (trailer tongue sticking out into the sidewalk) and the RV is in presentable shape (not derelict) it would allow more people to enjoy more camping. Having to store an RV offsite can be prohibitive.
As long as these are being used for storage and not having people live in these units then I don't see it being an issue. It's not like viewing the street is a big deal.
As long as they are not unsightly, the benefit for families to have this parking available is huge.
As long as you are not impairing driving on the road I don't see who it harms
As long as you aren't blocking someone's driveway or sidewalks
As long as you move your rv at least for a day away from front street the 48 hours should be reduced.
As long as you stay on your on your property the home owner should have the greatest say as to what is acceptable.
As long as your neighbors are okay and there is no safety hazard you would be allowed to leave the Rv longer. Maybe not permanently but at least a week.
As long as your travel unit isn't a safety hazard, why not let people keep it in their drive way. Storage is expensive.
As long RVs are not parked along the road/street. Property owners should be able to do what they want on their property as long as they are not hindering pedestrians



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As long the RV's are parked on the front driveway and doesn't obstruct traffic, EMS, neighbours I strongly support for RV's to be asked on the front driveway. City should not interfere when RV's are parked on private property.
As mentioned above
As mentioned above we really should be able to leave a RV trailer unconnected for 3-4 days in front of homes on the street. People need their tow vehicles to get to work, or take kids to school. Let's be more reasonable with these bylaws. 3-4 days unconnected should be fine as long as neighbours aren't blocked and the RV is parked safely.
As mentioned by other municipalities, allowing longer temporary storage duration is convenient but at the risk of unsightly esthetics esp if the unit, trailer is unsightly and in disrepair. That said, if unit is under active repair, that may warrant other conditions (longer duration) noting improvements are in progress.
As more people have RVs we need to learn to use them respectfully.
As newer communities have smaller side yards and limited alleys to gain access to rear yards, it seems only fair that allowing seasonal storage would afford all residents the same conveniences during the seasons that they are used, again saving families valuable time and money. All property owners pay tax and should have similar abilities to use their properties if their properties aren't afforded the same accessibility as older neighbourhoods
As our driveway faces a sidewalk, I wholeheartedly endorse not encroaching on city property and keeping those public spaces clear.
As per above
As per my answer. How would you manage street parking on a street with limited parking due to driveways. What would stop people from parking vehicles in the street instead of driveway in order to accommodate parking of the RV Vehicle
As per your 'known impacts' of siteline issues. I believe intruders would be able to now streets with seasonal siteline obstructions. Not in this questionnaire but... It would be beneficial to be able to bring home your unit and be able to disconnect long enough to purchase groceries as pulling a large unit through a grocery store parking lot is not safe and moving a weeks (or more) with of groceries in multiple trips is not always possible or practical.
as pointed out many other communities allow home parking
As someone that has on property side house RV parking, I think given the extra costs and hassle of driving through a very crowded city would help more people be able to easier recreate. As long as you're not a decapitated on slightly RV that is not being used frequently, that I'm all in favor of it. Personally I use my camper year round as I ski in it it sits on top of my truck and I go out at least monthly shoulder season, every other weekend in summer most weekends in winter to snowboard.
As stated above, each time you want to use your trailer you have to go to wherever you store it and then drive back with the trailer you burn quite a bit of fuel unesessarily.
As taxpayers in this great city of Calgary, city council should support these people. A very large percentage of the people in Calgary own RV's. We should support them, we live in a beautiful province that supports camping, the city should support this community of people.
As there are so many people that own them they take up a lot of space so having multiple homes that store their trailers on the driveway for an entire camping season is a lot. Then they are also potentially taking up the street parking for those that may need it as well
As we are getting older the trips to collect and then return our camper to the storage facility are becoming more difficult. Just doing that once per season would be a great benefit.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As with most by -laws, I believe there is only enforcement when someone complains. This sets up neighbours. I would hope that neighbours discuss things prior to making a formal complaint.
Aside from the added expense for owners of these recreational vehicles, offsite storage is a waste of time and fuel and creates extra vehicle emissions.
There is the potential for people to abuse the front parking allowance, e.g., renting driveway space to others for RV storage, storing work trailers when they should be stored at an commercial/industrial site, or using a front driveway as an RV repair shop.
Each dwelling should be allowed only 1 rec. vehicle on the front driveway at a time.
At a time with high inflation, families need cost affective ways to entertain in a safe matter and changing this rule will ease expenses for families. Most storage facilities are quite far as well I also spend almost 1/4 tank of gas for pick up and drop of to storage which is a financial and economical impact
At some point in time access to my side yard maybe restricted and thus forcing me to either pay for storage, which becomes a nuisance for using it both in cost and time, or I might be forced to sell.
Attracts crime
Avoid additional traffic.
Back alley parking of RV and travel trailer should also allow for seasonal, provides the unit allows for good passage of other vehicles. In an alley there is less concern for ligh of sight safety like in roadways.
back alleys get clogged with trailers and it's hard to see and drive through
Back and side yard storage is still okay.
Backyard parking, yes.
Based on my experience, insurance premiums for RV stored at one's property are more expensive than if they were stowed in a secured site.
Basically, if you can't afford to store a camper or an RV then you're living beyond your means.
Batteries require 24-48 hours to charge and refrigerator to get to temperature, as well as loading. 72 hours max would be very helpful vs the current 36
Be family friendly not control freaks
Be more flexible please!! Support people who support outdoors, own a national park pass a kananaskis pass and make them preparation easy.
Be respectful of your neighbors and their parking as well.
Because I can't park my travel trailer in front of my property I'm forced to drive it two a week across town to storage adding to pollution and traffic. I feel this is not necessary and would appreciate seasonal consideration.
Being able to discretely park something like a small boat on the front driveway when there is not access to side yard has minimal practical difference to side yard or other allowed parking. There is also environmental impact of needing to commute repeatedly just to pick up and drop off a small RV like a smaller boat. We would greatly support aligning with other jurisdictions that have a more nuanced approach to this process. Timely access to RV supports family health amidst challenging stressors
Being able to go camping more easily vs having to plan to go get the camper load up go. Unload and return. An extra day or two would ease that stress.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Being able to have access to an affordable way of traveling and family recreation is difficult, having an RV or trailer is one option that many families and couples can enjoy, but adding the extra cost and time of off site storage just adds more challenges and perhaps makes it out of reach for many.
Being able to keep my trailer on my property increases the number of times I can easily access nearby campgrounds for short visits which is a significant reason to live in this city
Being able to keep RV's on-property seasonally reduces the amount of to and from travel time to fetch the trailer before and after trips, allows for extended maintenance periods, and reduces storage burden of the RV.
Being able to leave to RV for a month when we are camping frequently rather than going back and forth to RV storage saves fuel so is reducing RV's carbon footprint
Being able to park seasonally would save us on RV storage fees, approximately \$800/year if we only had to store for the winter. If it was allowed for people to stay in the RV we could invite our family to stay much more often as they live far away and lack of affordable accommodation prevents them from visiting as often. Most importantly, I believe it would be less obvious when we are going out of town for the weekend if we could park for more than 36 hrs, preventing thefts of convenience.
Being able to park your rv in front of your house or sideways on garage pad is very beneficial to rv owners for repairs and prep but sometimes repairs take longer than anticipated the time should be longer
Being able to park your RV on your property gives citizens additional savings (not have to pay to store offsite) and that is important in the economic times we are in.
Being able to park your trailer on your own driveway will save people money not having to store it during the summer months.
Being able to unload and load what you need for a trip without rushing helps to ensure stuff isn't forgotten. I can count many times stuff was forgotten because we could only have our trailer at our home for a night before we left because we had no additional vehicle to attach to it or place it fit but on the road
Being equitable as compared to other cities is relevant.
Being outdoors is beneficial to mental health. It will allow more people to camp.
Being parked 1.5 meters from neighbouring driveways in zero lot line communities is insane. Please look into that. Rational for this bylaw- unobstructed street vision. An RV ie: fifth wheel, motor home would definitely limit street visibility.
Beneficial to the City by receiving less bylaw calls.
Benefit - Reduced travel to storage through city streets by owners trying to adhere to the current bylaw. This would reduce traffic volume on city streets during peak usage periods. Benefit - reduction in bylaw enforcement, allowing officers to enforce more serious infractions. This could have added benefit to the taxpayer by reducing the strain on resources.
Benefit is that land used to rent rv parking stalls on the outskirts of the city could be purchased to build more homes and help with the housing crisis while generating more revenue from property taxes. There are lots of rv parking areas where communities could be built on. Lots!
Benefit is that people may be able to avoid paying for parking elsewhere.
Benefit to the city would be income from permits
Benefit would be not having to retrieve and return your rv from storage everytime you use it.
Benefit would be reduced trailer traffic from pick up and drop offs during the busy summer camping season.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Benefit, people camp!
Benefit: builds goodwill between the city and citizens who are RV owners Possible impact: neighbours who are opposed could end up making complaint call which could mean extra pressure on city resources (bylaw officers, etc.)
Benefit: Lowering our carbon footprint by commuting less to and from storage helps substantially. Right now we are only considering camps within 1 hour from home for this reason. The impact would be that people start to use their drive renovate and restore RV's creating unnecessary noise and mess.
Benefits - easier access to RVs during the camping season means more camping and more travel and more \$\$ into the economy. impacts - people could start living in or renting out the RV. Prohibit the habitation of the RV while in driveways.
Benefits - easier accessibility to improve the quality of life.
Benefits are reducing air pollution to haul back and forth.
Benefits are that people won't have to back into their drive way with the trailer at all. Those taking the risk and having bylaw called on them cause issues with neighbours as that is who called it in.
Benefits are that property owners have the freedom to use their property as they wish as long as it does not create unsightly situations.
Benefits- decreased emissions/traffic from unnecessary trips to pick up rv from storage and bring home to load/prepare for use, (and similarly, decreased cleanup after use). Potential cost savings for Calgarians who are currently required to pay high rental fees for storage lots, sometimes a great distance from home, when they have empty space in their driveway to accommodate the RV.
Benefits I believe would include less cost to the residents of Calgary for storage costs savings on gasoline due to less travel to pick up the trailer from a storage yard. Not every household has side or rear access to park a trailer and this could help with over a thousand dollars a year in saving to the public.
Benefits is not having to pay for storage. Better able to care for rv and maintain. Too much government control in our lives.
Benefits is that 36 hours is difficult given work schedules and getting back and forth from our long term storage. A minimum changed to the bylaw to 72 hours would be very helpful.
Benefits is; not so much back and forth rv traffic on Fridays and Sunday/Monday. Less fuel consumption for unnecessary driving
Benefits of allowing longer term parking of recreational vehicles on front driveway parking will reduce stress and anxiety of having to remove the recreational vehicle off residential streets with the required timeframe. It will also reduce frustration and improve relationships with neighbours who are sticklers for rules.
Benefits of not parking on other people's property and less break in and theft or vandalism
Benefits- saves money for parking rec vehicles, can unload and reload with ease and no regulations, can fix rec vehicles at ease. Impacts? Maybe issues with sight line but then you would need to regulate every car, bus, truck, vehicle that parks on the street
Benefits the citizens who don't have to pay for extra months of storage. G
Benefits to get it ready to go camping and for the impact the City of Calgary cannot in force all their bylaws now

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Benefits to giving a longer time means people can pack up trailer and check for safety issues before leaving on a trip. Being able to park out front for entire summer seems like it could become an issue. Would be nice to have longer than 36 hours. That seems like too short of time.
Benefits, if it were allowed for the season some families could use it as seasonal guest accommodation
Benefits. citizens with trailers would not have to spend their vacation time driving out of the city to drop off/secure/load their trailer, happier citizens. It makes no sense that an RV owner such as myself with a brand new fold down trailer cannot park on their property for reasons of obstructed sightlines or esthetics, when people are allowed to leave 30 year old decaying, rusty vehicles year round, towing trailers for moving/hauling full of garbage, in their driveways year round.
Benefits: Easy access to the trailer. Allows easy prep days before camping. Everyone is still paying for a storage site, they just don't want the back and forth. Especially between back to back trips. Which is why some might be pushing for extending time parked on the street.
Benefits: Using my property as intended. To store and have access to my owned property. I should be able to use my personal property to store anything that I may own
Benefits:: Save gas! Save time! Encourage the kids to help with loading/unloading the trailer. RV can be used for additional sleeping quarters for overnight guests. The benefits far outweigh the only negative, which is Aesthetics.
Better community spirit without this indie over-restriction.
Better for environment if I don't have to drive One hour to pick rv up and bring it home to load.
Better mental health having hobbies and reduced cost of living
Better quality of life for its residents
Better rethink how you build your new communities
Better security, less carbon footprint, less storage cost, easier access for weekends
Better than them being parked on the street
Big trailers running generators is a nuisance for neighbours If the trailer is in poor repair, with "intentions" to fix it, it should not be kept there - it has to be licensed and active Should there be a rule about tarp covering? If thinking about aesthetics, this is a problem.
Blocks sun from yards, creates blind spots from seeing what's happening around you which is a safety concern. Allows people to have other people living in trailers illegally. Eye sore, forces people to use even more street parking.
BREACH OF CONFIDENCE. MY OWN DRIVEWAY I PAY TAXES FOR SHOULD NOT AFFECT ANYONE.
Build the new arena you turkeys. Get off your wallets and make it happen
By allowing residents to park their RVs on their front drives it would encourage owners to maintain their RVs more actively and reduce the need for large unsightly yards of trailers being stored on the cities outskirts where damage to trailers is extremely common and not well monitored.
By allowing spring to fall RV storage on private property, the City may receive negative feedback from RV storage compound operators due to reduced demand. However, in my opinion, the benefits of changing to a spring to fall storage on private property will result in a more satisfied RV owners, which would outweigh any negative responses.
By being able to park an rv in the front area for more than 36 hours it gives you time to charge batteries and allowing you to do general maintenance that you can't do in an rv storage lot.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

by having them easily available you promote more of an outdoor family outings that may be healthier for the family's mental state
By relaxing store at home trailer options you'll save Calgarians money and reduce stress. Reduce trailer break ins that are frequent on lots. Encourage neighbors to engage with each other and maybe go camping together. Bring a better sense of community. Encourage home offices. Reduce traffic and increase safety.
By relaxing the bylaw, enforcement officer time will not be wasted on trivial rv parking issues.
By utilizing a driveway, when available, would help keep street parking open for other vehicles. May to September would be a good seasonal period for these new rules.
Bylaw is there for safety and esthetics. Both are worthwhile goals. Will lose both of these for an entire season
Bylaws are outdated and created when less of the population had an RV. As long as it's on private property or hooked up to a vehicle to move it in an emergency, if parked in a public street in front of the owners residence, then it should be allowed between April and October.
Bylaws would be violated as lots of people would use RV's to house people/relatives and some may even charge rent! This is unacceptable from a residential property value perspective
Calgarians work and it can be hard to get away for the weekend when your rv is parked on a far away storage lot.
Calgary council needs to stop being a dictatorship.
Calgary is close to some of the nicest campgrounds in Canada and keeping this bylaw makes it undesirable reason to live in Calgary.
Calgary is oft considered an outdoorsy Mecca and encouraging the use and ownership of RVs would further bolster Calgary's image as a city friendly to outdoor pursuits and encourage new residents to partake. RVs facilitate access to the mountains which Calgary as a city is associated with.
Calgary should be heald to the same standards as other municipalities. Parking on your own property just makes sense.
Campers should be allowed during summer months and should be moved for winter months. Trailers facilitate healthy recreation, and add resilience in the case of displacement events.
Camping and using RVs for me is a life style and as such it is part of my regular activities, restrictions to part and store my rv contribute to stress and logistics I would encourage less restrictions on how we deal with our RVs
Camping encourages a healthy/active lifestyle.
Camping for a lot of families creates happiness and memories and resets the internal clock ,not allowing or restricting time for recreational vehicles on privately owned property makes for added hours of camping preparation by having to go to a RV lot to pick up the Rv that a lot of people don't have the time for a weekend trip thus avoiding the trip.
Camping is a great way to help create stronger bonds within a family. Is this not what the city should be promoting. Help families increase their work life balance.
Camping is a great way to vacation with our kids for those that can't afford other types of vacations. With the cost of food and gas continually increasing, the extra cost to store trailers is making this once affordable Summer hobby almost out of reach. The break in storage cost for the camping season would help a lot of families.
Camping is a healthy choice for families in numerous ways and would be supported with seasonal front-driveway parking.
Camping is a positive life style choice.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Camping is a quality of life issue for many people, less restrictions on personal use of property is better. It is absurd you can park a commercial style truck on a front driveway and not a tent trailer.
Camping is an affordable way for a family to enjoy our province and keep our tourism dollars. Here the price of campsites have exploded and it's increasingly hard to book. People deserve a little break rather than having to pay for a storage facility on top of everything else that has changed
Camping is great for mental, physical health and recreation. People should be allowed to park on their own property and have access to RVing without traveling to a storage lot far dr home.
Camping is huge for my large family. Having to pay for storage when I have the driveway space doesn't make sense
Camping is one of the last semi affordable things to do in pur province. The provincial government and inflation is making this become less and less feasible for thise of us who dont get annual raises for cost of living. Trailer storage is just another thing bleeding money from us who dont have it
Camping is short season. It is nice to have your RV ready to go there and not have to find a storage far away each time you want to camp. Plus the added cost of paying for storage. Most people camp each weekend. So they need to move their RV because of a couple of days. If they come back on a Sunday and leave again on a Friday that allows them 3 days of storage but they need 5. A pain to move it for those 2 days.
Camping will become out of reach for me if I have to pay storage fees. The kananaskis park pass and the crown camping pass and the cost of fuel already make it challenging.
Camping, though not actually that cheap anymore, is one of the less expensive ways for families to vacation and bond together. Why add the extra stress of towing it back and forth to storage all summer?
Can allow for families to host visitors at their homes which is great for providing an extra room fit large family gatherings during the summer. But could be abused in the form of Airbnb type short term rentals in peoples driveways. How does the city plan on addressing that?
Can be used as guest housing during the summer months. Allows guests with an RV to visit. There is not many places in the city for guests to overnight.
Can save people's time. Can save in storage costs. Can reduce greenhouse emissions.
Can't believe the city is even considering this. There are no benefits to safety, architectural control and overall congestion on residential streets. A whole lot more vehicles will be parked on roadways instead of their driveways.
Can't think of any
cant afford off site storage fees
Can't think of any.
Cases should be judged on an individual basis. Some front driveways do not inhibit anyone's view or may cause a safety hazard. In these situations parking should not be an issue. If a homeowner's driveway is big enough to park their RV without causing any real issues I don't see any problem with parking year round.
Certainly if you have to drive your trailer back and forth to parking outside the City it affects use of land and also driving contributes to higher emissions.
Changing this by-laws without consideration of the needs of the RV owners is irresponsible. Asking owners of RVs to relocate then to a storage yard, incurring additional expenses, is unfair and unreasonable. Those that can afford to store at a lot are, those that don't, cannot pay those storage fees.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Cheaper storage costs if you can leave the RV in your driveway.
Convenience
Cheaper
Convenient
Safe
Children safety. Traffic safety.
Citizens may take this time to work on their vehicles, which could cause unsightlyness.
Citizens want to be able to use their RV when the time and weather suits.
Having to haul the RV back and forth to the storage facility is very time consuming. It takes away time for rest and family vacation.
City also needs to have related rules, for example, minimum clear room to passway.....
City has to many restrictions
City Mayor is too woke! Too many snowflakes on council!
City needs to also not allow side of the house parking if there is no paved/gravel path to get to that side of the house to park.
City NEEDS to stay on top of tickets! E.g. zoned parking. I live on a park with zoned parking and everyone ignored it.
City needs to understand the notion of private property.
City of Calgary not resourced to manage overall control of a change that allows RVs on driveways for extended periods. Little by little neighbourhoods will turn into junkyards as I'm guessing it is hard to have a standard on what is aesthetically pleasing .....and slowly the junk gets parked on driveways. If one can afford an RV then they should accept in a city they will have to find somewhere to store it.
City of Calgary should leave items like this alone. The City of Calgary doesn't need to be involved with every item.
City of Calgary should mind their business and stop ruining people's fun.
City residents should not have to use costly storage options if they don't have to.
City should have no jurisdiction to impede / impound an RV on private property, including the driveway.
No issues with the current limits for road parking limitations as safety and sightlines for drivers can be impeded.
Class B RVs under 20' are often used as daily drivers because they are compact enough to drive comfortably around the city and in parking lots, but provide the owner with flexibility to load and transport large items that don't fit in their other daily driver vehicle such as furniture etc.
Clean neighborhoods not parking lots
Clean up and repair the current infrastructure in some of the older areas. In some of the older areas in the City the alleys are falling apart and are in very bad shape.
clearances for garbage, recycling must be maintained.
Climate change. I(and many others) no longer have to drive 30km everytime I want to camp to get my trailer out of storage when my driveway is more than sufficient.
Cochrane allows parking your trailer in the driveway in the Spring until October I believe. It doesn't harm anyone. Your should be able to use your driveway as you see fit.
Commercial vehicles and trailers are not RVs and should not be allowed.
Common sense



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Community increases as neighbours talk to each other about travel plans and even help each other with basic maintenance
Compaction of soils. Sidewalks and city trees damaged. Shading of adjacent grounds. Acerbated runoff due to hard surfaces. Cost of enforcement.
Compliance of residents . Enjoyment of life
Condition and size should be on top
Condition of unit must be considered. Neighbors don't want eyesores.
Condo owners don't have immediate street parking...how can this be mitigated .. Where can condo owners park for short term parking when not available in their condo area
Congestion of the roads. Can you imagine what McKenzie Towne would look like with the small streets. If anything, extend the hours to 72 hours from 36.
Consider instances where parked trailers could be used as TEMPORARY sleeping accommodations when guests are visiting the residence and there is not adequate sleeping capacity in the home. This instance should be included in bylaw amendments by limiting the period where such temporary accommodations can be utilized (recommend 7 days).
Also need consideration in the bylaw so that RVs remain contained within the lot and adequate parking is maintained with the RV occupying the driveway.
Consider safety of public
Consider size of the RV. Travel trailers that are over 25 ft should not be allowed on property just for it's siz alone. But if less that 25 ft that should be able to fit on majority of driveways.
Consider that any reduction in GHGs from transportation to/from storage could be offset by increased RV usage due to easier accessibility. Clear data is a must in this area. Consider economic impacts on storage yard operators.
Consider the additional carbon emissions of transporting RVS back and forth to storage facility multiple times a season. More efficient to allow,owners to park on driveway.
Consider the issues that could arise from allowing seasonal rv parking that people could start renting out their RV on their driveways in their neighborhoods and the safety issue that could arise from that.
Also the accessibility for emergency crews and the difficulty that our paramedics and firefighters might face having to maneuver around large RV' in an emergency.
Problems with "prowling" at night for petty thieves if the streets are lined with RV's it gives them cover to hide and conceal themselves. As well as break&enters may increase as burglars make note of the houses with RV' parked outside and when they are gone having their houses burglarized.
Consider those who do not have alleys to access back yards.
Considering the popularity of Rving, the rules need to change. Why is the city penalizing residents for not having a side/back yard parking? If it's alright for those residents, why isn't it ok for residents with front drives? It doesn't matter where you live, there is always one grumpy person in the neighborhood. It's my property, I'm not infringing on City property, I should be allowed to park my RV in my driveway. When doing yearly maintenance, you must have access to power, water, and sewer.
Consistency would be nice, as well minimum 36 hours (48 more ideal) street parking and alley parking providing it does not block alley or other dwellings for access to their property.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Construction trailers may help support small independent business owners (e.g. construction / renovation trailers).
Continuing on with the above, owners who respect their neighbours and store their RVs offsite at an RV lot 12 months of the year will get hit with increased fees to offset the lost revenue the RV lot operator is losing with seasonal rentals. Consider increasing the current allotted time to 48 hours from 36. In the spring and fall when I do winterizing on the trailer or get it ready for spring the 36 hours is tight.
Convenience
Convenience and cost factor and the season is very short in Calgary
Convenience for the owner - just as a car, pickup or motorcycle owner can park on the street.
Convenience for the owner. This improves our quality of life as a citizen of Calgary. It's not in anyones way, It's on our property, that we pay taxes for.
Convenience is the big one with leaving the RV for longer durations. Working during the week it is convenient to be able to go out for an hour or two over the course of a few evenings before a trip to prepare. 36 hours is often inadequate.
Convenience is the biggest one in my mind. Allowing detached RV's to temporarily be out front (even for 36 hours) is LESS disruptive to neighbours than being hooked up on the street for 36 hours due to space needs.
Convenience, and more time for the owner. Impact for the City in garbage collection. For neighbors congestion on the streets.
convenience, cost-effectiveness, sense of security. 36 hours is just to sort of time. let us catch to the other Cities and towns. Thank you
Convenience, sustainability less carbon gas emissions with out needing to move these around when not using it.
Convenience, the RV will get used more because it is at home and much easier to prepare for a trip Cost-effectiveness which means no cost to provide off property storage Security, able to watch RV 24/7
Convenience. No parking on lawns or not on concrete pads- it should be concrete- a designated space. It should not block the sidewalk and no one should be living in it - it cannot be used as an Airbnb.
Convince for planning
Convince, Security, maintenance can be complete with out having to move. Allowing more prep time instead of the 36 hours right now
Corner houses with no front drive (or any drive) To allow access to park on road directly beside house for the same time period as front drives. (This acts as our driveway)
Corner lot visibility should be dealt with separately as described above.
Cost !!!! Let people keep their property on their property.
Cost and convenience.
Cost and safety. We recently did a costly Reno to allow parking our small 13 foot trailer in our backyard as payment for storage was costly, far away from where we live and camp, as well as didn't have guaranteed safety. Paying for a lot with active security and cameras was too costly to justify.
Cost effective for people and easier
cost effectiveness never overtook safety in any risk review I have ever been a part of. I think the current allow time is adequate.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Cost effectiveness of not having to pay for storage year round.
Cost for Rv storage is very high.
Cost is a big one. Storage costs are expensive not to mention that the City doesn't have any very good free dumping areas. Even some small towns have at least one for free. Calgary should have 20 for the population of RV users.
Cost of everything had sky rocketed. This will help eliminate that one extra for households. City can make a bylaw stating that any RV parked on a driveway must have a lock on it to deter theft from occurring. This would be an incredible change the city makes to this bylaw.
Cost of gas has skyrocketed. Cost to our environment with the additional hours of wasted driving to pick up and drop off a trailer is ridiculous. Encourage families to make time for their family and visiting our province by making it easier to enjoy! The city also doesn't seem to penalize the companies who use trailers to haul equipment but they sure get bent out of shape to see a small pop up parked on someone's driveway. Allow people to use their property as it was intended!
Cost of living is already high and if park other than my place. it is going to cost me more.
Cost of living is getting very high and for us to be able to keep our motorhome at home in the summer as opposed to storage would save us hundreds of dollars
Cost of living is increasing but you have stupid laws.
Cost of living is insane. Not having to pay extra for storage lots a ton of people and they get to live and have fun
Also environmental benefit saving fuel going back and forth to storage lots
Cost of living is out of control. Allow people to avoid costly 3rd party rv storage.
Cost of living is so high right now. Now we need go pay additional cost to store rv remotely? Also the additional carbon footprint of bring it to a rural storage facility just to bring it back again? This is not rational thinking.
Cost of rv storage in Calgary and surrounding area is very expensive, to be able to have seasonal at home parking would be a cost savings.
Cost of storage
Cost of storage during the camping season unnecessarily being used. Limit green house gas emissions by storing vehicle on driveway instead of transporting RV back and forth to storage and house when packing for a camping trip.
Cost of storage fees
Cost of storage for an RV
Cost of storage is high and often not safe.
Cost of storage is ridiculous. If space is available on someone's property, leave them alone.
Cost of storage, fuel to retrieve vehicles, time spend by owners to do these things on weekends.
Cost of storing a travel trailer are excessive close to Calgary \$90-110 per month.
Cost of storing a vehicle that is within the legal limits to park on the city street is prohibitive and should be allowed street parking within the frontage of the individual's home. Trailers in driveways should have at least 72 hours.
Cost reduction in storage fees and accessibility to property.
Cost saving, convenience , safety and we own the property we should be allowed to park on it!
Cost savings
Cost savings and stress relief for owners



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Cost savings for a family activity. Help promote people to do these activities by providing an easier access to it.
Cost savings for Citizen,
Cost savings for storing, cost savings for gas, time savings picking up and dropping off.
Cost savings for vehicle storage for families in these more difficult times, this is the only recreation option we can afford. The need to maintain our RVs, clean them, repair problems, winterizing, summarizing, packing for long vacations, etc, all take far longer than 36 hours. Having my RV parked on my front driveway, 25' back from the city sidewalk, negatively impacts no one but the Karen's who wander the neighbourhood looking to feel better about themselves by thumbing others to a lower level
Cost savings on the home owner not having to store their RV/trailer gas saving and not having to drive back-and-forth dropping off your trailer in a storage facility. Ease-of-use better for your mental health to get away.
Cost savings to the rug owner because they don't necessarily have to pay for storage
Cost savings! Which we desperately need some relief right now
Cost savings. Convenience. Less time travelling around the city to get your trailer when you really want to just enjoy your time off. Loading and unloading a trailer could take more than 36 hours.
Cost to calfarians to store. My trailer at storage has been broken into. Not safer there.
Cost to owner
Cost to park the vehicle offsite when it would fit on the driveway. Others use it anyway without following the bylaws.
Cost to RV owners to pay private RV lots to store just seems so unnecessary. I understand if someone doesn't have a space but for those that do, it's not really fair.
Cost to storage. Damage from critters in lots. Convenience.
Cost with RV storage, fuel and time to pick up trailer.
Cost! Ease! Reasonableness! Freedom! Enjoyment! Ability to properly protect and maintain your large investments. Everyone loves cleaning out the mice from their rv's every spring.
Cost! It costs \$1000+ per unit per year to store. To cut that burden in half is huge!
COST! It is so expensive already for a family to try and take their kids camping, being able to park in your own driveway would save a ton of money for the summer months.
Cost!! Cost for storage is insane these days. Allowing us to leave our RVS on our driveway allows for self improvement on the trailers as well as helping us cut costs to live.
Cost, convenience, upgrading and fixing up, being able to go when you want to
Cost, security and convenience. Seasonal parking allows us to keep an eye on our expensive trailer that we utilize monthly. It doesn't bother our neighbors, it doesn't encroach on the sidewalk. As long as owners are being respectful of their surroundings, seasonal parking should be accepted.
Cost, security are obvious. Another is preventing weather damage to your rv, meltwater that freezes causes significant structural damage which could compromise the safety of the vehicle.
Cost, time and access
Cost. Storage prices are high and if someone has room in their driveway that does not impede a sidewalk then they should be allowed to park it there.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I am supportive of the 36 hours for on street parking attached to a vehicle. This impedes others so I would disagree with any longer.
Cost-benefits for the owner (reduced storage fees), ease of use (not restricted by ability to retrieve from storage and able to load in advance) which may encourage increased use of campgrounds, and additional insurance coverage because stored at home.
Costs are getting so high, give people a break.
Costs for storage are too high.
Costs of storage for RVs and added inconvenience could actually discourage families from owning and partaking in the camping activity. That means in some cases children miss out on some experiences in life.
Costs to store. Rationale to require moving them.
Cost-savings on off-site storage. Costs are up everywhere, and more people are trying to utilize campgrounds in and around Alberta. Please don't force us to have to store RVs off-site if we can meet reasonable conditions as noted above (not unsightly; not encroaching on City property; etc.).
Could be beneficial to the environment if people have to drive less.
Could be utilized as a self isolation for Covid patients.
COVID is not going away anytime soon, and continues to get worse (more deaths each year, 2022 > 2021 > 2020, with no reason to think 2023 will be different). In addition to providing a quarantine space for residents, travel is a major risk factor (aircraft in particular are poorly ventilated when delayed on the tarmac, for example). Enabling visitors to sleep in a separate space and meet outside for the first couple of days will have significant benefits in reducing the spread of new strains and the long-term consequences (long COVID, increased risk of heart attacks etc). There will be increasing pressure to do this as more people realize the seriousness of repeated COVID infections.
Create a definition for dereliction. If something is buried under a tarp and never used, or is in poor repair, or salvage then it should NOT be allowed on driveways
Crowded street parking is already an issue, this will make it even worse.
Crowded streets with too many vehicles as it is!! Stop encouraging overcrowding!! Make RV owners accountable!! We store ours so we don't burden our neighbors with safety hazard or overcrowding the streets with more cars and RVs.
Crowding of residential streets. Even out lying communities like Coventry do not have enough parking as is and adding seasonal RV parking will just make issues worse. People with RVs should rent RV parking like I do.
Cul de sacs are an issue if people are parking rvs as it impedes traffic. So min should be not in cul de sac or 25m of "bulb" part of cul de sac.
Curb appeal decreases and pedestrian safety is impacted. Please do not allow this to happen.
current bylaw is appropriate.
Current bylaw is ok
Current conditions are unstable and the inflation rates are high, the only affordable vacations that a family maybe able to do is a family camping trip in their own RV, so please don't use the argument that parking a RV in the drive way affects aesthetics. Considering safety and other aspects a RV can be safely parked on the driveway and if necessary apply conditions that make sense like safety measures, parking insurance, notification to the city about such a parking on the property.
Current restrictions are out of date



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Currently a trailer has to have a tow vehicle attached. This is not always practical. Tow vehicle may need to be used for short errands, gassing up etc.
Currently a trailer must be attached to a tow vehicle when parked on roadway. This is not always practical as in case of gassing up or short errands
Currently the rules allow for boats to be parked on street, as long as hooked up to a vehicle. Remove this condition. Even this is detrimental to community, as boats are often large, take up to much space, and have all the drawbacks mentioned above. Going further many landscape companies currently buy corner lots, so they can park many 4-10 company vehicles on street, if there a company they should have a lot to park on, not community streets.
Currently there are RV's parked in the neighborhood along the sides of houses in non designated driveways and they are causing problems for parking in the neighborhood which is already constrained. We have a boat and we store it at a storage facility out of town, our theory is if you can afford to own it you should be able to afford to store it!!
Currently, if your RV, i.e. a Fifth Wheel, is attached to a tow vehicle it can be left on the street adjacent to your home for no more than 36 hrs. I believe that this rule must be amended. In many communities with front drive garages and pie shaped lots, there is not enough footage to park RVs directly adjacent to one's property. In such situations, I believe one should be permitted to park their RV down the street on the side footage of a corner lot or next a green space.
cut emissions by eliminating redundant travel to pick up and drop off RV at storage.
Cuts down on traffic.
Dangerous for kids on bikes, scooter, parked cars .
Decrease in unnecessary transportation picking up/dropping off RV from/to storage facilities. Less traffic congestion at rush hour times.
Decreases street parking for other street residents. Maybe do a 7 day allowance or something in between.
Decreasing carbon footprint constantly moving the trailer or constantly going to the trailer. Cost effective for the owner to be able to do their maintenance at home. As long as it doesn't block public spaces then what is the harm?
Decreasing fuel and road traffic as owners will not need to constantly move these heavy vehicles in and out of storage all summer long. This causes lots of wasted fuel and unnecessary road wear and tear.
Dedicated offsite RV paring is too expensive and very inconvenient. I bough my home to use as I see fit. I expect to be able to park whatever I want on my privately owned parking pad.
Definite cost saving in my case of \$65/month storage fee. My trailer is parked in a secure and gated storage facility and still was broken into so it would be nice to have ot closer to home. Some big units take days to charge the batteries. Definitely save time to just come home, park and unload without then having to spend another hour towing your unit to storage. If other towns can have this policy and no complaints then Calgary can support their citizens and have the same rules.
Definitely make Calgary more user friendly
Definitely will be easier for people.
Despite my support for camper parking, there should be some restrictions around campers parked on a sweeping bend or corners as visibility impacts are certainly a concern.
Determination of roads..leaking fuel and wastewater



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Difficult to get ready to go away when you can only park for 36 hours. Need to maintain it before we go.
Distinguish between driveway and street parking rules.
Do better at ensuring advance notice on street cleaning and stop ticketing as a money grab. Be more courteous.
Do not allow it.
Do not allow this. Increase fines for parking RV's on the street
Do not change the current bylaw
Do NOT extend the trailer parking on driveways for more than 24 hours.
Do not over reach.
Does not just stop on driveways next we have vehicles of all sorts now parked on lawns.
Doesn't make a community look or feel welcoming with RV's all along the front street. No more watching the kids play, waving to neighbours, or seeing the street.
Don't allow this please we have these law in place. Don't fix something if it's not broken.
Don't being changing something that works for years there is more people without recreational vehicles so let's not create war
Don't change the bylaw.
Don't have the added stress of constantly taking and retrieving from storage
Don't let it happen.
Don't let people park rvs or cars on thier lawn and make our neighborhoods look like a south a Alabama holler
Done communities will likely have issues with the aesthetics of the RVs allowed on driveways. At the very least, let each community decide for itself with it's own polls. It is definitely happening already all over the city and I don't know how many people are reporting these vehicles
Don't be a big brother. Let people do what they want on their property.
Don't discount the creative ways in which landlords will use their property to rent out space to others, which is not the intent. Definitely no more than one trailer on a property. Any more and the owner should rent a space for one of them. Fines for non-compliance, fines for renting out the trailer as a dwelling. Driveways do not have dump facilities. Risk of people just dumping their grey or blackwater into City sewers.
Don't have a front driveway and have to park in front of the house winterizing, de-winterizing, cleaning and preparing for trips. Usually need more than 36 hours for the above. We believe 72 hours is more reasonable.
Doubtful
Drastically reduce the feeling that your neighborhood is going to tell on you or be against you. A happier family creates happier communities and happier neighborhoods overall.
Driveway is ok but don't allow street parking
Driveway parking invites people living in driveways in the RV.
Driveway parking will reduce the need to travel to storage sites picking up and dropping off trailer which will reduce carbon emissions
Driveway size and reduced number or residential street parking.adding RV to mix is taking away for parking
Driveway use shouldn't be mandated by the city, it's not shared space
Driveways are for cars. More cars parked on the road is a safety issues





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Driving my RV back and forth is very inconvenient and costs lots in gas etc. My Motorhome is not unsightly.
Driving RVS to and from a storage lot due to a bylaw is not a woke sustainable response for a city that believes there is a climate emergency.
Driving to return and to retrieve RV's from storage yards (which are generally not close) between weekend camping trips entails lots of extra traffic in the city roadways. RV's on the roadways are generally slower moving and obstruct driver's vision so it is much safer to minimize the movement of RV's on the city roads if possible by allowing more extended local parking. This parking also does not interfere with snow clearing if it is spring/summer seasonal.
Due to my disabilities having my Rv in my back yard is easier for me to clean and re stock. This 36 hour limit should be removed. Also as a responsible homeowner my RV is included in my home insurance should anything happen.
Due to rv storage yards being at capacity and forcing Campers to store further away from their location, it is becoming more difficult to meet the bylaws without increased stress. Being a camper for many years, getting groceries, getting the trailer, taking kids to sports, packing the trailer and all after work, is stressful. People have stopped camping because if it, too much work. Camping should be enjoyable. It's stressful enough to get spots to camp. Less stress happier people.
During that season owners are using the RV more so it will be moved away frequently anyways. Easier to maintain an RV out front of your home vs at a storage site.
During these stressful, economic times, it would be nice to see the city give a break to individuals who pay storage fees.
Ease for the homeowners/Recreational vehicle owners. Thanks for your consideration
Ease of access for RV owners with having them on their driveway will encourage owners to get out and use them more, leading to more exercise, increases in quality family time, and ideally an overall improved quality of life, however significant it may be.
Ease of access!
Ease of packing up and getting away quickly for a weekend camping trip will greatly improve people's quality of life. Most people will think again if you have to go pick it up clean it out from mice every time then go out camping. Life is magical and makes everyone a little happier beside a campfire with friends and family.
Ease of use for loading and unloading RV. Not planning pickup times to align with 36hours
Eases cost if living for folks who are paying for off-site, summer storage.
Easier access to driveway parking
Easier and less stressful access to prepping the RV would encourage more use from it. Not having to pay to store it would provide extra funds to utilize on camping trips thereby supporting campgrounds and their nearby attractions and small businesses.
Easier for Mom to get everything packed during the week while dad is away at work etc.
Easier to maintain and use RV's During the summer months.
Easier to pack, maintain and enjoy them and not have to worry about the bylaw or upsetting neighbors. Our relatives have their trailer on driveway in Lethbridge and no one seems to mind.
Easy access to enjoy it as a family
Easy Access to outdoor activities and enhanced family engagement. Other homes are more unsightly due to completely different reasons So this reason seems arbitrary.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Easy to load for trip, cost effective. I see no issues!
Economic impact of parking lots
Economic impact on poorer Calgarians. Camping is expensive, park passes are expensive, storing a trailer is expensive. If we can reduce the storage costs it could potentially save a family \$1200/year.
Education on social etiquette is more effective than bylaws. California had tv commercials where police explained what kind of motorcycle lane splitting was legal. We could have YouTube video ads suggesting that RVs have their place, but if it becomes excessive, this is how you talk to your neighbors politely about it. 30 second spot. Like education on zipper merging, you guys are [removed] the bed with that one too.
Effect on property values.
Encourage driveway maintenance
Living in unit, more residential space, renting it out.
Efficiency, time & \$\$ savings, less stress
Emergency response vehicles could be impaired.
Enables greater access and ease to enjoy our beautiful country
encourage people to do more recreation activities. make people more healthier.
Encouragement to have people take on these activities helps create connections to nature and is a benefit to society.
Encourage citizens to benefit from camping season / outdoor / family time.
Encourages people to be RV owners and explore their provincial camping options
Encouraging visitors to stay within the city keeps money IN our city. (Re: Motorhome)
Energy efficiency/emissions savings
Enforce the laws currently in place. Don't wait for someone to report an illegally parked vehicle just have parking authority enforcing more.
Enforcement is absolutely necessary!
Enforcement of any bylaw's would be nice to see
Enforcement should not have to be on a "taddletail bad neighbour" basis. There is no use having bylaws that are only enforced if someone complains.
Enhancing the quality of life
Ensure commercial trailers are not stored in residential areas. Ensure there is a fine if the trailer encroaches on the sidewalk or is parked in the alley.
Ensure trailers/vehicles do not encroach on the sidewalks. Many trailers are too long for a standard driveway.
Ensuring rv owners do not extend their trailers or rvs on to sidewalks to impact walkers.
ensuring there are rules that an rv cant park in driveway if covering road signs or line of sight around corners.
Ensuring trailers are a couple feet back from sidewalk to ensure visibility
Environment
Environmental and infrastructure - if owners are constantly required to tow their RVs back to storage facilities, this means a larger environmental impact, more traffic, and ultimately, more mileage on the RVs and owner vehicles themselves.
Environmental impact using less fossil fuel dropping off and picking up an rv every week for most people. Our storage lot is put of the city...lots of extra driving every weekend.
Environmental impacts for additional travel and land use.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Environmental. Less pulling of larger vehicles back & forth means less pollution being created.
More time for people to get out and enjoy the outdoors bs stressing to get RV's in/out and ready to go
Less pulling of larger vehicles back & forth means less traffic on the roads
Environmental: not having to drive to retrieve trailer reduces emissions.
Environmentally is would reduce carbon footprint of towing to and from storage lots, multiple times a month if not multiple times per week
Environmentally there would be less of a carbon foot print from transporting the RV back and forth from the storage lot and the resident to unload and load.
Provide an opportunity for family's of low income to camp by saving money on storage fees
Ethics is listed as an impact however to restrict what a land owner can park on their property is a gross and unjust force of government. Under the guide lines the RV in question is not unsightly or a safety risk to neighbouring properties, there should be zero restrictions on what home owner is allowed to do with there privately owned RV and it's location on the privately owned property.
Even enforcement. Some neighbourhood seems to allow it. Others are enforced. Also by not allowing driveway parking I've seen people parking in front lawns which is even worse.
Even having the bylaw extended to 3 or 4 days would be helpful. Seasonal parking is too much but an extended period of 3-7 days instead of the current 36 hours would be great for back-to-back trips or maintenance that requires drying time, or service person availability.
Even if seasonal parking is not approved for the City of Calgary, please consider extending the time allowed for front parking from 36 hours to at least 48 or 72 hours for property tax paying citizens.
Even if you have access to your backyard for parking, you cannot guarantee somebody will not park on the street in front of your access therefore to be able to pull your trailer out days before, and prepare is beneficial.
Even though I own a RV there are ( No benefits ) for our community. I am sure we are trying to make our city and community more beautiful and this is absolutely going in the wrong direction. Leave things as is. The only RV parking that should be allowed is back yard only.
Every time I load up my RV the neighbors always stop and talk about the upcoming weekend and this is good for the community.
Every time we drop off and pick up, or pick up and drop off our trailer, we spend an hour driving. Pulling a trailer is horrible on gas, so reducing the need to do this would save a ton of gas and support Calgary's climate emergency policies.
Everyone that owns an RV still has to have offsite storage for the winter so it's not really a cost saving measure. It's really an enabler for a simple easy get away. On that warm summer weekend the RV can be packed and made ready over a couple weekday evenings, rather than a mad chaotic rush Friday after work!
Everyone who owns a trailer or motor should not park in a driveway they should use a proper storage site
Everything noted in point 4: not visible to the neighbours, do not block the safe view of traffic for pedestrians, cause a sunny adjacent yard to be shaded require advanced approval from the adjacent neighbours on an annual case-by-case basis (it will require a lot of micro managing) otherwise it will be abused and you will end up with veritable junkyards everywhere!
Everything will be easier

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Example, when fixing or cleaning or renovating trailers sometimes it can take longer than 36 hours to finish. You may need parts or other components it's wasteful to have to take to storage somewhere while you wait and then have to go fetch it again.
Excess cost of storage for seniors and low-middle income families. like myself and 3 neighbours
Existing rules should remain, although extending to more hours may be convenient to owners as a middle ground. Long term storage should not be allowed.
Expanding the limits would make the city more accessible for disabled people.
Expense of storage lots , people should have easy summer at home access to their rv s
Extend on street parking times when connected to tow vehicle too. We don't have a drive way large enough and need to park in front of house 24 hours is not enough time to repair anything
Extend the limit to more than 36 hours. It is too short to get everything done in that time period.
Extend the thirty six hours to seventy two hours
Extended stays inside the unit parked on the driveway/backyard could be of concern. With high rent, low vacancy, inflation people may take advantage of the option of offering RV space for people to 'squat'.
Extending it any long means people are going to keep their massive, eye sore, visibility reducing monstrosities on the road from Monday to Friday. I hate everything about this suggestion. Stop fixing things that arnt broken and do something useful with our tax dollars.
Extending past the driveway but not into the driving lane or blocking a sidewalk should be considered.
What is considered too old or unsightly, or is it just if Karen's call and complain.
Extending the 36 hour period to 48 or 72 hours would assist preparation and loading of an RV prior to a trip.
Extending the parking to 48 hours would be adequate. Anymore and people will abuse it.
Extending the time frame from 36 hours would be good, but maybe 72 hours would be more appropriate than seasonal parking. If you have an rv and do not have rear rv parking that's something you have to consider before purchasing.
Extending the time frame of parking an RV on or near your property is absolutely an appropriate decision.
Extending the time limit to at least 48 hours is very important.
Extending the time that people can park on the road from 36 hours to 70 hours. Those that have their vehicles in storage have a little longer to load/unload their trailer when coming and going.
extending the time to 36 hours is a nice gesture for the city to increase. More people now own RV's due to restricted travel during covid (our family included). The hard part for our family is the amount of time in front of our house as we have 9-5 jobs during the week and it's hard to get our RV and get it ready to go with full time weekly jobs.
Extending the times or having a seasonal option where it can be stored on the driveway allows more people to come to the city and visit - spending money - at events like the stampede - especially when camping and lodging are at a premium and are usually sold out.
Extension cords are often run across sidewalks to RV's or boats for charging purposes which causes additional hazards. RV's sometimes are used as an additional housing space for family and/or visitors who tend to live in the units for extended periods of time. This makes it very awkward for neighbours and neighbourhoods and can cause unnecessary friction.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Extra costs for storage
Fair use of my property for my needs without major impact on neighbors.
Family time and family involvement. Teaching my boys how to maintain the trailer in the driveway would be a great opportunity
feel there is no difference in parking a RV on the driveway than a 'auto-project' (ie vehicle that is not driveable). If you go out every weekend it makes sense to be able to park it on the driveway - allows you to unload/clean, but to then drive it back to a storage lot for 1 day, then bring back for the next weekend trip just doesn't make sense in terms of practicality
Feeling like you have the freedom to live in the home you pay extremely high taxes for, on your own terms.
Fewer green house gas emissions as people will not need to travel back and forth to storage lot . It would be a large reduction in carbon footprint of people that rv.
Fewer RVs on roads unnecessarily travelling back and forth to storage yards.
Fewer trips in and out of the community to move the trailer to storage. Being able to park the trailer at home means making it easier for Calgarians to get underway for the weekend and eases the traffic congestion going in and around storage lots.
Financial impact to the homeowners can be huge, bylaw services has enough complaints that they have difficulty attending to abandoned vehicles in my neighborhood within 14 days. Maybe this would take some pressure off...
Since I pay tax for my property I feel that I should be able to keep a vehicle/ or rv that I own on my property. Especially if I am not impeding the visual flow of traffic.
Financial, unless the city is going to reduce property tax if someone opts to store these units (paying for the storage). You're just putting pressure on families to spend more and more. ALSO, "Grand Prairie" is in Texas, "Grande Prairie" is in Alberta. Why are you taking note of a municipality in Texas...?
Financially it is better for your citizens to save time and money at a storage facility
Fire hydrants potentially that they are not obstructed. Best of luck getting this approved!
Focus more on political figures spending tax payer money on lunches and other stuff , wasting money on crap that doesn't benefit 3/4 of the population.
Follow the bylaws and example set by the capital city. They seem to have it right.
For being all about the outdoors, Alberta does a lot to inhibit people from being able to enjoy their recreational vehicles. I think the province needs to be more friendly and encourage people to get outdoors and putting limits on parking RVs isn't going to help. I would love to see Alberta become more of a hotspot for camping and adventure.
For insurance purposes I also think it would be beneficial to keep our trailer near by vs at a storage lot where it can be damaged, broken into, etc. plus it's expensive to store monthly.
For low income families, camping can be an alternative to a costly vacation overseas, and keeps more money being spent on camping supplies locally
For people that dont have rear or side parking, storage of rvs costs thousands of dollars every year, and increases emissions as the large trucks needed to move these now have to make extra trips to storage lots
For people without a driveway the same needs must be met at the curb. I'm a working, widowed mom. I pick up my motorhome when my schedule allows, I conduct inspections and repairs and cleaning before packing. All the while my daughter is asking to sleep in it with friends or my son visits from college and wants the space for privacy. I have positive relationships with my neighbours and have asked for their blessing when parked longer.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

For residents who do not have driveways, consideration should be given to increasing the time an RV can be parked on the street outside your house. I think 72 hours is reasonable. Many of us have to do a 60+ minute round trip to drop off or collect our RVs, which is very inconvenient
For security of the RV. Cost is a big factor as everything is so expensive now.
For some people, having their motorhome/rv at their home during the summer is easier as they can load, unload and not have to keep running to their lots
For the love of Jesus h Christ, use your common sense and not overthink things.
For those of us who bring our units out of storage and park them temporarily in front of our house, I think we should be allowed to unhook from our tow vehicle during the time the unit is parked on the street. Additionally, motor homes should have the same time restrictions as trailers.
For those of us without a front driveway, we need to be able to park out rv in front of the house, not attached to a vehicle for 72 hours for cleaning and loading.
For those RV owners that do not have a driveway or a parking stall, I would support an increase from the current 36 hour time limit to perhaps a 72 to 96 hour time limit.
For those that are parking on their lot or street in front of their house, I believe there should be an amount of time allotted to park such as 14 days for those that cannot park on or in front of their residence for those that work weekends or cannot afford a get away every weekend
For those that don't have front driveways, the City should allow short term street parking (36 hours) for RVS to allow for packing and convenient departure.
For those that don't have side or back parking and driveways not long enough, should allow longer time on road especially when it doesn't interfere with neighbour driveways but won't fit in driveway 23 ft
For those who "dry camp" people use their batteries over the trip and at the end of the trip they come home, unload, then take the rv back to it's storage. This makes it difficult to charge the battery to a full charge thus creating a shorter lifespan for the expensive battery. The ability to have it parked at home allows them to have it plugged in, keeping the battery healthy longer, their fridge to potentially stay on, keeping food longer. More money in electricity for the city to collect on.
For us / our mental health and connecting with nature. We camp all summer and seeing our trailer there waiting for our trip can reduce anxiety
For us, we have a large driveway and our trailer fits easily. Financially, it would help as we would not need to pay for a storage lot on the times when we are done most of the summer and only home for a few days at a time. Huge conveniences and savings.
Forcing people to continually move RVs to storage lots is not good for the environment due to increased gas usage, emissions, additional traffic, etc. Currently the rule seems to only be applied if a neighbour complains. We've walked many neighbourhoods including every street in ours, and see many RVs parked year round. There really is no impact of that.
Forcing people to pay for RV parking because of esthetic reasons is ridiculous. the driveway is the homeowners property and unless the vehicle is obstructing sidewalks or overhanging onto the street there should be no issue
Forcing someone to pay to store a vehicle elsewhere when they have space on their own property is unreasonable and incurs added unnecessary costs to already tight incomes.
Frankly, the statement "with the condition that the RV is not unsightly" is nothing more than an opinion of nosy neighbours who probably spend too much time focusing on things that do not



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

concern them. Likewise, this bylaw is a money-grab for the city that punishes its citizens for having places to go and things to do in the summer.
Freedom
Frees up parking lot space but more importantly there will be less traffic through the city transporting their vehicles back to their home and then back to their parking spot after their trip
Front attached homes and tight property lines make this a huge inconvenience for everyone. Please space homes out more. This make rv parking on street or driveway impossible
Front drive parking is a great idea, but street parking with an RV needs to be reeled in and limited more.
Front driveway parking would cut down on the amount of driving needed to use these rvs, and would therefore cut down on petrol use and emissions. It's small, but every bit counts.
Front driveways should be included in the places you can park some hedges are higher than a RV. Allow neighbors to communicate with each other instead of constantly sending bylaw out.
Front of house, on street parking should be allowed for same period of time
Front or side driveways in Calgary are for parking cars or guess cars not for RV's Calgary is not a campground.
Front yards already cluttered with the three garbage bins.
Front yards are a waste space in many communities. Not a lot of citizens spend time and energy on their front yards.
Fuel used to return and get RV. Traffic in the road.
Fuel, gray and black water and other items could be lost or drained into the storm system creating an environment issue and damage.
Further impacts would be on parking, as it will spill onto street parking in areas where parking is limited.
In our area multiple homes already have 3+ vehicles. This will displace vehicles from a driveway and send it into the already limited street parking.
Garbage, clutter, rodents collect under trailers that are stored in one place for too long - plus my wife hates the sight of my neighbours trailer
Gas, pollution impacts, traffic of moving trailer to and from storage.
Get out of my pocket ! Concentrate on the homeless concentrate on the crime. Why are you trying to take money from me for parking my RV on my property?
Get out of our way!
Getting an RV loaded and prepped for a trip or vacation can often take several days. Parking my RV on the street for loading and prep is not an ideal situation but there is no alternative.
Getting more people out more often will make everyone feel better
Getting outdoors with an RV has saved our families mental health over recent years with Covid. My children have benefited immensely and having an RV on property makes it more accessible to get out to nature.
Give us more time to pack what we need and make sure everything is ready for travelling
Given always increasing city taxes and fees allowing rv storages on properties is a reasonable accommodation as opposed to paying storage fees.
Given the increased prices for everything, having the opportunity to park an RV safely on their driveway will help families save some money on storage and fuel costs.
Given this bylaw is currently only enforced when complaints are made, this would limit workload on bylaw given many complaints come from feuding neighbors not just people



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

naturally in breach of the bylaw.
Please also consider road parking rules for those who live in limited parking areas. Eg cul de sacs, apartments, townhomes or densely packed residential areas.
Go easy on us RV owners unless we are causing others issues.
Going above the 36 hour limit is the right choice because you're talking 1.5 days right now. If you park in your front at 5pm, you've got to move it before 5am 36 hrs. later? That's unreasonable! Especially for older people who are trying to enjoy camping to get ready & pack or unpack, or fix something on the RV that they can't do somewhere else! Life is stressful enough!
Good for owners. As long as they are parked properly.
Great for cost saving methods. You pay for the luxury of a paved drive way. The government shouldn't impact how you use it as long as it's used appropriately and no abandoned unkept rvs. Seasonal only!.
Great to be able to use your property as you wish
Greater quality of life for Calgarian families.
Guess who pays your wages... I think it's time city council was reminded of this.
Habitation of parked units should be defined
Half the trailers in our neighborhood look broken down and are unsightly even in the alleys/backyards, so I think it's best if they're not in the front of homes. They're also huge obstructions for visibility.
Handy. Less time needed to pack up and get out of town for the weekend.
Happier campers!
Happier citizens
Happier citizens!
Happier citizens, it's reasonable.
Happier citizens.
Happier citizens.
Happier constituents and also an environmental impact of not having people grab their RV from their storage site, bringing it home, packing it and then leaving the city again
Happier people
Happier residents so they don't have to spend as much money
happiness and cost savings to residents
Happiness to be able to park it on your own property
Happy citizens. Promote camping and outdoor activities which benefit individuals health and healthier communities
Happy people make for happy communities
Hard to pay thousands a year in storage to have your things broken into
Hard to put it in and out of storage
Hard working, busy people need to quickly access their belongings, in this case their RVs, for weekend getaways and spontaneous travel opportunities. As long as the RV does not become regularly lived in and unsightly, there is no reason why an RV in good condition should be a nuisance to neighbours.
As well, being able to hook up to electricity to keep the fridge running is critical in pre-trip preparations for at least two full days ahead of travel.
Hardship for city workers with congestion causing slower response times for first responders.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Hauling our trailer to RV storage for just a day or two between weekends doesn't make a great deal of sense.
Have an age and size restriction.
Having a lot of trailers in the neighborhood can cause issues for safety also. They can cause people to hide in them or around them. Other items can also pile up like garbage around them.
Having a single trailer on my front drive should not be an issue how long I keep it there. I own the property and I should be allowed to keep my trailer there if I should so choose. I don't have the ability to access the back or side of my property for storage, so why should I be limited to 36hrs on my front drive whe. I don't have any other option
Having a trailer in storage, can be painful with having to go get it, then filling it up, plus the storage places get broken into a lot.
Having an RV at your property facilities camping and recreation. These are activities that are super beneficial in terms of happy and healthy family lifestyle. Anything that can promote this is a good thing.
Having an RV closer means burning less fuel because you don't have to go and get it from a storage yard every time you want to use it.
having an RV parked on your driveway or front property has no affect to other people in the neighborhood. If you can have a truck on a driveway, why not an RV.
having large rvs parked in driveways and on streets is not necessary with all the lots around the city to park in, they are unsightly and a concern for crime
Having more access to the RV and saving money on storage fees for a season would result in us spending those funds at local campgrounds and businesses. This is a huge benefit to small businesses in the Calgary vicinity.
Having more sanidumps available in the city so people don't "accidentally" dump in sewers. And if they do hefty fines.
Having my trailer in my driveway would make it easier for me to pack up the trailer and get out and enjoy our province.
Having my unit on my driveway is very convenient for loading up & heading camping also I can be sure it's not leaking or having any other issues due to weather or vandalism.
Having our RV handy ensures that it is safe and we are able to get it ready to be used in a quick and efficient way so we can enjoy recreating in our beautiful parks at a moments notice. Our storage is a 25 minute drive and is both inconvenient and expensive, the break from having to pay for storage and gas used for collecting our trailer would be gratefully appreciated. We are seniors and camping allows us an opportunity to have affordable trips that greatly enhance our quality of life.
Having said RV on front driveways or on the street in front of a house make break ins easier due to reduced visibility.
Having the ability to put in a parking pad out front of my home, to be used for this purpose would actually help my neighbourhood a lot. There is virtually no street parking in Martindale, as people own 3-4 vehicles. I have to use the back alley currently, as there is no space on the street. This would add value to my home, generating revenue for the city in the form of taxes.
Having the taxpayers getting the most out of their recreational vehicles is a huge benefit to the city. Less grumpy people to stay home and complain !
Having the time to perform repairs. Saving money from not being in year round storage.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Having these vehicles parked inappropriately brings down property value and further uglifies our city which is already spiralling. Pride of ownership should be enough but unfortunately it isn't. There is absolutely no reason for these vehicles aside from for recreational use should be parked in a residential area. Please expand this to include Taxis, Busses, Semi Trucks, construction vehicles, and commercial vehicles.
Having these vehicles parked on city streets causes a lot of resentment between neighbors as it makes limited parking and safety worse in our neighborhoods.
Having this option would allow people to have better access to their trailer/RV during the vacation season. This in the end would allow people to have more vacation time and less stress around their vacations.
Having to go get the trailer out of storage and then pack the trailer for a trip takes more than 36 hours sometimes. Also unpacking the trailer takes time. Longer time would be appreciated.
Having to move an RV back & forth to and from a storage yard for every time the unit is used doubles the amount of RV traffic in a neighbourhood.
Having to store my RV elsewhere my RV was broken into & robbed of all tools, parts & my kids stuff. I'd much prefer to have it outside my home.
Having to store the vehicle during the season is not only inconvenient it adds to our carbon footprint It also discriminates against homeowners who do not have rear or side parking We support the conditions used in other cities
Having your property in front of your house allows you to monitor it yourself rather than parking in in a storage where it could be vandalized ect. Being able to pack and load it anytime saves countless hours driving back and forth to a storage lot. I find it hypocritical that a storage van/ truck /bus can sit in a driveway legally yet a trailer of similar size is forbidden. I agree these units should not be inhabited at any time.
Having your Rv or trailer on your property is easier and safer for owners as well as the cost of storage will be eliminated.
Having your trailer parked nearby may feel more secure, more likely to take it out (spending money on campgrounds). Maybe increase taxes for persons hoping to park on their property? But I think calgarians should be allowed to park their rv on their property.
Hawkwood Uplands has a secured area for RV vehicles. Last summer thieves broke in and stole all the catalytic converters from 8 units. This year we have not seen one motor home parked in this lot due to these thefts because citizens do not think it is safe to leave their units there. Units are far safer on driveways where both us and our neighbors can see what is going on and our cameras video comings and goings.
Health and mental befits
help community to save some money
Help people be able to afford a camper without the expensive cost on storing off season
Helping your constituents save money. Storage is expensive. I pay taxes if like to use my own property
Helps to keep the cost of having a recreational vehicle down. Less issues with theft from an RV storage lot.
Helps with insurance.
Hey, relative or friend, how about you bring your trailer to my place for a couple weeks and we can party and have some campfires in our backyard! That would be great! You don't need to try and find a campsite and we can party at the campfire until 1:00 AM every night!





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Trailer for rent for the summer! Extra income for our family!
Trailer pad for rent! May until end of September! Great spot! Close to all amenities!
High cost of storage parking and very real cost and inconvenience of having to drive to and from storage to home to pack, clean or repair the camper
High tax rates stresses retired people. I pay for storage pad. But need to move rig home if rig is booked in for service. To date 3 days work fine.
Highly beneficial. Allows for people to not have to pay for storage at a facility. Convenience for families and individuals. Please consider year round - no restrictions at all (other than not on the road or blocking visibility). Maybe has to be a certain distance from the sidewalk.
Homeowners must ensure no ice build up, and ensure there isn't a chance it could roll off of their property injuring someone or damaging someone else's property
Homeowners own the property and should be able to park what they want there. Eye sores should be dealt with on a case by case situation as I do see the importance of not looking like a trashy community.
Homes with front yard driveways were not designed for rv parking in mind. This pushes cars to the streets and will further frustrate on street parking. As it is in most neighborhoods finding a spot for a visitor to park (let alone an owner) is very difficult. These rv units could and will be rented out like secondary suites. Rv's can be huge, or old and decrepit, which can take away the visual appeal of a neighborhood. If people want to store rvs at home they should buy correct property
Honestly some people are living in those RV's currently because of rental situations that this province/city have let go unchecked for too long. Would you rather displace some underprivileged people that have no other options, or appease the homeowner that is usually in a much better situation. Thank you for letting this city run rampant with unchecked rental increases, my friends and family in this province are dealing with 15-20% rental increases this year which is criminal in most provinces.
Honestly why would you not allow this. I didn't even know that you were not allowed to do this.
Hours need to be extended for parking at home because it takes alot of time to setup, clean, maintain or repair as well prepping between trips if the unit is not stored at home. Removing personal items are a security/vandal concern when storing the RV at storage sites.
House/lot sizes are getting too small. If the bylaw limits to requiring vehicle attached then it will block Neighbor's driveways.
houses without backyard alley should have RV parking allowed in the front
How about the gas and emissions every time I have to make a 2 hour round trip to a storage yard. What a waste. You want to encourage "green" how about this as a solid first step. Making RV access and storage easier is going to encourage more people to get out and use the outdoors. Injecting money into tourism economy and serious health benefits to having Albertans outside and active. It's a serious no brainer.
How does city Guage what is esthetically acceptable. Our neighbors fences and sheds are already in shambles and when you complain to city you are told nothing they can do about it.
How important camping is to mental health especially during the Pandemic and with rising costs of everything.
How many council members own or are partners in RV storage lots??? Expect more and more people to be living in their RVs on a permanent basis. As long as the sidewalks are clean and at least 36 inch from the edge of the road RVs should be allowed



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

How much more can people pay for monthly storage fees. It's one thing in the fall/winter when it's not being used and an other when we are paying for camp site fees, park passes and outrageous gas prices and inflation with everything.
How to tell it's long enough
Huge class A RVs and converted school buses are an eye site and belong on RV lots outside of the city.
I
I agree at times certain RV's parked in a front driveway can be a bit unsightly and cause sight problems. I believe if we allow parking in front driveways then they should be a few feet back from the sidewalk to allow enough clearance and sight for others. They should not be allowed to come right up to the sidewalk.
I agree having an RV parked out front may take away from the esthetics of the property, but people can park broken down vehicles on their driveways or have uncared for landscaping without any consequences. I don't know that esthetics should be a big consideration given that fact.
I agree having RVs parked on peoples driveways as long as they want is unsightly and a visibility hazard. However 36 hours is too short, please extend that as a happy medium.
I agree that RV's should be in good repair, not abandoned or unusable, or unsightly to be able to park on front driveways.
I agree with the benefits/impacts listed between question 3 and 4
I agree with the current bylaw.
I agree with the impact on visibility, safety, considering that a child could dash out onto the street and not be seen in time especially if the rv is parked up to the sidewalk.
I already pay \$800/year to store my RV. I'm 70 years old and don't have many years left to use it. Convience and adequate time to do clean-up, set-up, packing/unpacking, winterizing etc. are important to me. I understand the needs for bylaws - but they need to be reasonable. 36 hours is not enough time, and there are no other options.
I also believe that it will reduce emissions within the city as it prevents RV owners from having to drive back and forth to park their RV multiple times in a season.
Many driveways are not large enough to store many of the RV's on them so I don't believe it will be a significant challenge for communities.
I also disprove of any bylaw that is only enforced from public complaint. If bylaw officers cannot find and correct offenders than the bylaw should not exist...don't make neighbours the bad guys.
I also don't think you should relax the necessity of having a vehicle hooked up to the trailer when parked on the street.
I also feel the bylaw for street parking adjacent to property should be re-evaluated. We live on a corner lot and would love to have the flexibility to park our trailer on the street for a few days so we can take it out more easily on consecutive weekends.
I also have a fire hydrant in front of house and had to park my fift trailer down the street as there was no parking. It was by a fence out of the way and still connected to my truck. I was ticketed within 24 hours which i think should be extended to no longer than 24 hours to street parking. As long as it's connected to a tow vehicle. Unconnected on public's road should not be allowed ever!!
These are my thoughts as a respected Rv owner



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I also have a small business and work from home as an artist. I often want to use “Betty” our trailer as an extra office space to work in a bit of a different environment and to go to a quiet place for meetings when my three kids are home. I find it utterly frustrating that I cannot use Betty for those purposes. I often need the things that we store in Betty like a larger pot or our warmer blankets etc but then I have to go all the way to the storage place.
I also support allowing street parking, unhitched. I have little frontage and trailer+tow vehicle encroach both side neighbours’ driveways. Trailer alone would fit without encroaching.
I also think that parking the RV on the street not hooked up should be permitted for a period of up to 72 hours to allow for the same reason as driveway parking. I do not believe there should be any changes to back yard or rear parking pads. When parking the trailer in your driveway or on the street, the trailer should be kept tidy and not hinder traffic. Keep slides in.
I am a single mom with 2 kids. Keeping the trailer close makes using it so much easier and I don’t have to drag my kids with me to go pick up or drop off a trailer. If the trailer is parked away from the home it takes triple the time to pick up or drop off.
I am less concerned about rv’s lower than say 1 meter like some tent trailers or boats. It is the big and tall units that block your view.
I am not against setting standards to follow. Impacts being way more visible trailers in communities.
I am not sure how equitably enforcement occurs at present, having previously lived in the vicinity of 2 Calgary addresses which had RVs parked on front driveways year round, presumably without having been reported so no enforcement took place. I would not want to see some people penalised for minor infractions if there is no consistent enforcement city-wide.
I am not sure if there are any additional benefits, other than to RV owners. These changes would make camping on weekends more convenient and enjoyable knowing that the owner does need to take the RV out of storage every time they want to use it. I am a tent trailer owner and I store my trailer in my garage, so this is not a big concern. However, I may purchase a larger trailer if I knew I could keep it out front on my home during the summer.
I am wondering about the bylaw in any regards. Why is it that if you live on a different street and see a trailer on a front driveway for weeks. My complaint will not be excepted because it’s not on your street. We just is that. I could drive around and take 100 + photos and the city won’t except it. If you have a lousy neighbor to bad.
I appreciate there are sightline safety concerns when parked on road but we have already slowed our residential speed limits to 40km.
I believe a benefit would be an increase in the tourism economy for Calgary and surrounding communities. Being an RV friendly city encourages Calgarians to buy RV’s from area businesses including RV supplies, firewood, etc. Calgarians who use RV’s are generally more active, promoting healthier lifestyles which reduce healthcare spending, and as a spin off, also contribute to local business in the form of bicycles sales, hiking equipment sales and so on.
I believe a seasonal Orion may be the way to go.
I believe as long as you aren’t impacting public sidewalks, snow clearing, or garbage pickups etc then you should be able to park your trailer on your driveway for as long as you like. It’s your property.
I believe extending it for longer than 36 hours would be beneficial, or potentially allowing for seasonal storage particularly for those with tent trailers or a-frames that take up less space.
I believe having RVS parked out front takes away the look of the neighborhood.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe hundreds of thousands of Calgarians own recreational vehicles. A quick drive outside the city and you can see hundreds of travel trailers parking compounds. All these RVs will just move into Calgary from the spring to the fall. Let's keep Calgary beautiful and not cluttered RVs in driveways.
I believe increasing the 36hr window for RVs to reside on an owners driveway will help mitigate the increase in catalytic converter thefts, as well RV break ins and theft.
I believe it all depends on the RV and the driveway it is being parked on, i.e. if the RV fully fits on the driveway, does not encroach on the city roadway, and still provides access to the property for fire, etc, then the homeowner should have more allowance to store their property on site. People are allowed chicken coops within city limits, I don't see an RV as being more unsightly to neighbours then those.
I believe its a waste of time and resources to try and police RV parking as long as it is within the private property envelope. If the RV encroaches on sidewalks / Roadways, then they should be moved / adjusted. I also believe there are more severe issues for Bylaw to deal with then RV parking.
I believe that it should be treated like any other vehicle a person owns....as long as it sits on the driveway and doesn't impede people walking on the sidewalk how it is any different than owning large trucks or vans that people have parked either in front of the home on the street !!! People pay taxes, their driveway is part of their property .
I believe that size needs to factor into changes to the bylaws. There is a difference between a 30 foot long, 15 foot tall RV, and a small camper, tent trailer, or boat. I think they pose different situations. I think that Under a certain size - for example, under 20 feet long and under 5 feet high should be allowed on a driveway anytime, without restriction. This would allow owners of small tent trailers, simple fishing boats and trailers, etc, to avoid expensive storage costs. Thank you.
I believe that the current bi-law discriminants against Tax paying citizens and is to inflexible to allow owners to fully use or enjoy their RV at home. Many RV'ers use home time to do important maintenance work. This work can and often does require more than 36hrs to complete. Having the ability to park your RV at home either in the front driveway or on the street allows the owner to have better access and increases security for the owner. This also allows more time to pack the RV.
I believe the 36 hour is perfect. We camp from May- august and have never needed to have it longer than 36 hours at home. We've had trailers for 8 years. Would it be worth considering having a "pass" issued by the city for up to 3 days if doing repairs or major cleaning or showing it as you are selling? If yes it would be great to have an autogenerated letter to print and give to your neighbours so everyone knows you're approved and the timeline
I believe the bylaw creates neighborhood friction. The rules are essentially unfair and busybody neighbors use them to inconvenience otherwise law abiding residents of calgary.
I believe the City should consider implementing at least a 300mm buffer between the edge of subject RV and the sidewalk/propertu line to reduce the potential for incidental contact from passers-by. A hitch end is of particularly concern
I believe the current bylaw is just fine.
I believe the current by-laws sufficiently cover the situation.
I believe there needs to be more enforcement of unsightly trailers. Having both owned a trailer and having had to complain on a neighbour who abuses the rule consistently, in order



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

to get any sort of support from bylaw takes many months and many phone calls to 311. This is a huge strain on our city workers and on the residents of Calgary.
I believe there needs to be verbiage in the new by-law that prevents trailers from blocking or encroaching sidewalks. I also strongly believe there needs to be at least 10m or more standoff from any intersection or crosswalk to ensure pedestrians have a clear visual of oncoming traffic.
I believe there would be less RV movement on the streets as the owner moves it once to take it for use and once when returning with the unit. Right now each owner moves it 2 extra times per use as it needs to go back to storage and or picked up from storage. This contributes to climate change concerns.
I believe there would be significant neighbourhood displeasure of dealing with the restricted access, visibility and esthetics which could lead to hard feelings, confrontations and or graffiti/vandalism of property of vehicles.
I believe I would make every neighborhood look slummy. The prices of home would be impacted there are no benefits to this proposal.
I can certainly see if it is a rundown garbage trailer that does not get used at all, neighbors could get upset at the sight.
I can see few negative impacts to allowing this. Permitting this would drastically reduce the number of calls to 311 from neighbors complaining about RVs being parked on their neighbors driveways.
I can understand how smaller city's allow it, but with the population size of Calgary and proximity to recreational spots, people will definitely abuse front drive parking
I can understand the city telling people they can't have it on the road for a certain length of time but they have no right to tell people who OWN their property what vehicles should or shouldn't be kept on their property!!
I can understand the need to limit RV parking to those sites that do not interfere with traffic flow or visibility. There's also a greater sense of security having your RV on your own property/driveway.
I can't think of any
I can't think of any impacts. I can't see how keeping it on a driveway could cause any harm. The benefit I see is less time travelling on city roads hauling it to and from storage facility.
I can't see any benefit to extending the allowed time frame
I can't think of any.
I could be putting more money into the city and spending it on recreation, instead of paid parking away from home.
I could see a problem with derelict junk RVs that never get used or moved. There would be no financial incentive to deal with it compared with storing at a storage yard where it costs a fee. Maybe the city could allow this parking but under a permit system.
I could see reasonable size restrictions, but what's responsible depends alot on the property/ parking area.  As long as it's fits well within private property and is responsible tidy, it shouldn't matter "rv" or personal vehicle.
If the driveway can have 2 16ft subs back to back why not a 32ft RV?
I could see the benefit of maybe extending it from 36 to 48/72hrs but allowing seasonal parking is just too excessive.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I could take care of my motor home better.
I currently have my neighbor who parks his motorhome on his driveway full time and it drives me crazy. It's a eye sore and makes the neighborhood look junky. I wish he would move it as no one seems to advise him of these city regulations!
I do agree that these units cannot be parked on streets for long periods and if parked individuals property they must fit.
I do believe a longer allowance would be beneficial to RV owners and if an RV can be safely parked on an owners property they should be allowed to do so to avoid parking on the street for extended periods and causing safety issues.
I do believe homeowners should be able to use their driveway as they please (especially in the summer) as long as vehicles are intruding on neighbour access to sidewalks and roadways. I would not support campers/ RV's being left on roadways which may impede access to driveways or roads.
I do believe that this option also provides for homeowners to have a place if there is a need to repair / renovate property and the house isn't habitable during that time.
I do like the when there are no RV's on the road fir driving along residential streets but fir a short period of time, I do wish this was allowed.
I do my own maintenance. This takes time.
I do not agree to have the trailers in driveways year round for people to live in. Which is happening down the road
I do not agree with allowing RV's to remain longer as it will bring down the esthetics of the neighborhood, cause decreases visibility for pedestrians and children causing a big safety concern. As well, people will begin to allow people to stay extended amounts of time in the trailer while it is parked on the driveway causing more congestion and safety concerns.
I do not agree with the recreation trailer being allowed to be parked for the season in a front driveway.
I do not approve of the unit being used to be occupied overnight
I do not have a front driveway so have to use the back lane to load, unload, clean my trailer. I have to disconnect the trailer from the tow vehicle so that I don't block my neighbour's access to their garage. I park the tow vehicle immediately behaving the trailer to indicate to any bylaw officer that it is not possible to leave the tow vehicle connected and not be a nuisance to my neighbours.
I do not support long term example summer months parking for these vehicles. They are unsightly and the size and height wouldn't impact the sunlight my garden would get in the morning.
I do not support seasonal parking, but believe that 36 hours is too short of a time for preparing an RV especially if you are working and plan on heading out on a Friday evening. 36 hours means you have one night to prepare. A 72 hour time period is much more reasonable.
I do not support the use of RV units as expanded temporary living spaces, or expanded home business space on the front property. RV parking must be restricted to parking and servicing only for travel and recreational purposes.
I do not think people should be able to live in the trailer while parked in the driveway. There would need to be guidance to ensure the rv and it's parked area be kept to a high clean standard.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I do not understand why there came to be a law against RV parking in front driveways to begin with. There were no surveys or opinions asked many years ago that I was ever aware of. There was simply, suddenly a law put into place and city wide tickets being issued. I believe allowing RVs to be parked again in front driveways would not be a problem in our city as it never appeared to be a problem in the past. When RVs were allowed in front driveways in the past, I never noticed an overabundance of RVs parked in the neighbourhood. I believe allowing this would show reasonableness on the part of all parties involved.
I do not want to see extended parking on driveways, it limits visibility of oncoming traffic and children plus it clogs up street parking with vehicles
I do not wish to turn our front yards in a residential area into a trailer parking lot. Street parking is already at a premium.
I do think 36 hours is too short of a time to load, unload and clean. I struggle to finish on time.
I do think allowing on-driveway parking may be a cost savings, which encourages more people to camp - which I think is important for lower income families. We feel it's vital for our family, for example. However, the negatives should be addressed too.
I don't feel there is any impact of anything this would save the city money by not having to go out from May -sept getting calls from busy body neighbors who really don't have any argument for why it is on the driveway, if the trailer could fit from to end on driveway and not on angle then who is it hurting, no one....it's like having a van or big truck on the driveway. Please please pass this!! More people would get outside and do some camping and family holidays and just be easier on families
I don't feel Convenience shouldn't be factored in. It will get out of control fast. Most people's trailers don't fit on their driveways anyway
I don't think it's "unsightly" to see my neighbours campers/RV's, it just means they share the love of the outdoors like we do and in a lot of ways it encourages socialization (exp: I see you have your trailer out, where are you going this weekend?)
I don't think so
I don't think so
I don't think there are any specific impacts or benefits to the City its self, but to the people. Things are expensive these days. Camping is a way to relax, and get out in nature. Not having to pay for rv storage (especially for smaller trailers), will make this activity more accessible for many families, and therefore perhaps a benefit to the province, as more campers equal more \$.
I don't understand the downside. My neighbor has a van and two large pickups. Their driveway always has two big vehicles on it and one in front of their house. I have nothing in my driveway unless I have company. My trailer fits - why not park it there where I can easily load it and do any necessary maintenance.
I don't understand why the city is making this so difficult for people to be able to appreciate and use their recreational vehicles
I don't believe any changes are required to side or backlot parking for RVs through the winter
I don't believe we will have a RV parking lot with these rule changes. Allowing spring through fall parking as done in other communities would be wonderful.
I don't know.
I don't own an air conditioner in my home, but my rv does. When it's too warm to sleep in the house, I can use the rv.
I don't see any benefits other than for those that will take advantage of the system.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't see any benefits to my neighborhood in changing the bylaws. Just downsides.
I don't see benefits to residents that follow rules or folks that don't own RV's, that's the price you pay if you don't have the space, pay for storage instead of making the neighbourhood suffer for your laziness, what's next, you going to allow people to empty their sewage into the gutters?
I don't see that there are any benefits other than to the owners of the RVs. Impacts to neighboring residents is vital to being a good neighbor.
I don't think a well maintained RV on my driveway should negatively impact my neighbours.
I don't think having an RV parked on a street in front of your house should be allowed for the entire season. More than 36 hours is ok, but its a slippery slope.
I don't think it should be extended from 36 hours. Most that are parked are permanently there anyways. So the 36 hours is a moot point.
I don't think so. I think the safety argument is a red herring.
I dont think the city should be discussing RV esthetics (ie. How it looks) when they can't even maintain roads, snow, noxious weed and sidewalks properly. Let people park their campers longer then 36hrs. Allowing a bigger window may free up sometime for bylaw. It'll allow owners to repair or pack as necessary.
I don't think there are any impacts for the City. It should be up to city council to make things easier for their residents not more difficult. Not allowing time required for residents to maintain and have a sense of security is not representing its citizens.
I don't understand why this is only limited to parking in driveways, when there are often RVs parked on the city's streets. I have called bylaw and they are useless and don't enforce the rules. Why have rules when they won't be enforce?
I don't want the streets to become lined with RV's where they run power cords to the street and become additional "seasonal residences".
I feel for the most part this is a small minority making a lot of noise about this subject. The majority of residents in Calgary are just fine with this. We should not be making laws based on the few. Majority should rule.
I feel more secure about my possession when it is in my front drive vs in a storage lot
I feel that a RV should also be in good visual condition
I feel that as long as the unit does not obstruct a sidewalk or in the case of no sidewalk poke out into the street you should be able to use your driveway for your rv.
I feel that easier access to the RV vehicle would result in more consistent upkeep and maintenance. Resulting in more road worthy trailers
I feel that it is a waste of bylaw resources and time to be out assessing and administering fines based on RVs parked on the owners own property.
I feel that it is the property of the recreational vehicle owner, and therefore they are entitled to use it as they desire and thus store their recreational vehicle in their front driveway. They own that land.
I feel that it should be 48 hours instead if the 36 it currently is.
I feel that the City could apply a sticker fee to register your personal trail/vehicle keeping business trails out.
I feel the security and illegal housing options are not being taken seriously. Parking for our RV on a lot is 40\$/mo. You just add it in to your ownership costs, much like land tax when you buy a house.
I feel we should be able to park on the city street for long periods



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I found the 36 hr or 48 hr on the road side is too short for some of occasions. Would hope to extend to 72 hrs
I found the current bylaws too restrictive when I lived in a house in Hillhurst with no driveway. It is not always possible to get a trailer loaded or unloaded in the current timeframe.
I fully support RV parking in any space that is on a persons owned or rented property, including parking stalls. I do not support season parking of RVs on public property (I.e. roads, sidewalks, public stalls, etc.). As long as parking of the RV does not interfere with the use of public spaces or another person's property then I do not see the harm in allowing it. Obstructed sight lines and aesthetics are not enough of a concern to prevent an individual from parking an RV on their own property.
I get limiting the time a trailer can be parked on the street in front of a house but if an owner can fit the whole trailer on their property, then they should be allowed regardless of the season.
I get that some people think it depreciates the neighbourhood and they think it depreciates property values. But by allowing this, you put us all in the same boat. I would argue that what depreciates your property value more is an area in your backyard if you laneway access or a side lot for RV parking. You're reducing the landscaped and enjoyable area of your property by doing this. As for obstructive sight lines, most RV's that will fit on a driveway are smaller than a cargo van.
I guess one benefit would be that I could park my boat and trailer on Gondeks driveway if she decides to pass this crap. I think it's important her for the city to understand that she should resign. :)
I guess you wouldn't really know what it's like to live beside someone who parks a RV on their driveway until you do.
I had a utility/work trailer parked in front of my home for 6+mths. It is never used. No work/construction is being conducted from this trailer. Every time I called the city I was told if it was a work trailer and it was attached to a vehicle it could remain indefinitely. The same is not permitted for recreational trailers. It is now parked on a very busy bus route on a corner. Transit route 19: Russet Rd between 8th Ave & Remington RD. It is a safety issue. 2 cars can't pass. Visibility reduced
I have a camper and would really appreciate parking it in front of my house for 5-7 days prior to the camping season beginning so I can complete maintenance and preparation on it.
I have been to towns that allow rvs to sit on driveways and it looks awful.
I have neighbours that own many vehicles. I don't see the difference between a family (multi-generational or a few teenagers/college students) with 4-6 vehicles in their driveway and on the street vs. having a seasonal RV in the driveway.
I have neighbours who parked their trailer on their driveway when they first acquired it. They were out of everyone's way, getting it prepared for the season, and as yet had not been able to secure storage. They had bylaw called on them by a neighbour, which felt ridiculous to me - they were using it in a perfectly reasonable manner (getting it set up) and not obstructing others.
I have never had any sightline issues that impacted my driving due to an RV parked in someone's driveway.
I have not done this but it would be a consideration. Some may have to do small repairs or renos to their rv, it's not easy to do if your having to drive to a storage facility where your rv is and have no electricity to use to try and make repairs. Also, heard about a family whose



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

home burnt down. They chose to live in their rv, while their home was being rebuilt. I believe this should be allowed!
I have seen motorhomes in driveways for months at a time where owners are renovating them, or repairing them. This is not appropriate and having seasonal RV parking invites more of this behaviour and puts the onus on citizens to report on them and follow up that City staff actually deal with them.
I have seen RV's move from peoples driveway to on the street being moved every 24 hours because of some of the rules. Please allow seasonal parking of RV's onto your driveway abiding that they do not approach onto a sidewalk.
I have seen so many units are ARE parked illegally that the city is doing nothing about. *Most* of them are unsightly and would belong in the landfills, except that's not available either. They are a safety concern with the visibility of other vehicles AND pedestrians. I do not believe that seasonal storage is fair.
I have special needs in my household and it would be more convenient if I can park my Motorhome in front of my house during the camping season.
I have stated above the benefits that would be able to keep your recreational vehicle in your driveway during the camping season.
I invite whomever it may concern to cruise the alleyways of our NE communities. The problem is not rv owners and where they are parking there rv's. The problem is people treating there backyard and alleyways like dumps. If the city is concerned about things like "aesthetics" maybe we need to open our eyes a little more and consider using our tax dollars a little wiser. Our communities our becoming dumping grounds for people who live in these neighborhoods. Let's clean it up, not disturb the peace
I just find that as a responsible retired couple, we are very inconvenienced by the existing 36 hour limit. Also, most of the RV's in our neighborhood simply move them from one public roadway to another (while hitched) to extend another time limit beyond 36 hrs. Also, many people simply complain for the sake of complaining as they have nothing better to do, similar to City of Calgary 311 App.
I just wish that there would not be a bylaw that you cannot disconnect your RV from the tow vehicle. It is stupid that this is a rule. Some people only have the one vehicle and if you have to pick up supplies, you have no other choice, but disconnect the trailer from the vehicle.
I know that other provinces have changed the rules successfully and I think many benefits can come from allowing this items to be parked on a front driveway
I know that when I am loading up for the season, I would like to have 3 full days to park it in fron of my home. It takes that long to get it all cleaned and aorted for the season.
I like the convenience of my tent trailer stored on my driveway. Fortunately, we have a rather long driveway that tucks the trailer out of sight. Also, the cost saving not having to store it at an offsite location.
I live at 315 Evanston Way NW. I own my home. The current bylaws help homeowners keep the space on thier streets that they have. I have used them them to report homes parking rvs in thier front driveways in the past. They work, and I believe they should be kept as is.
I live near an elementary school. Average age of kids are 10 and ride bikes regularly. We're having enough problems with the current set up. School parents parking. Uturns and hit and runs ( unreported due to value) are causing enough stess with neighbors and traffic as it is. Please help the current problems before making things worse





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I live on a crescent where a part of the road is not adjacent to houses. It is acceptable and even preferable for neighbours to park their RVs there. There should be some "out of the way" places to park an RV for 36hrs that are not in front of houses.
I own a boat and a large RV, and I think the current bylaw is enough time to bring those items home to service, load, etc. Seasonal parking would create safety hazards for 6 months of the year in some of the communities where the streets are very narrow. I do not think the convenience out ways the risks.
I own a truck camper that takes a significant amount of time to unload/load. Having to commute and load up this camper each time I want to use it is significant. I am also a person who takes great pride in my ownership. Having my camper parked nearby allows me to routinely check up on it and make sure it is in good working order in the off season. This all leads to less consumption as a whole. My camper lasts longer. I use less fuel. I save time. I believe that there should be much less strict limitations on what we can park in front driveways.
I own my land and home
I own my property and feel it should be my right to store what I choose on it as long as it is not impacting my neighbours in a negative way.
I paid a lot of money for my RVs. For it to make sense to own them, they must be easy to access to maintain and plan/prepare for quick trips in the summer. Not all of my trips are planned months in advance like a flying holiday to Europe. The whole point of my truck box camper is to just get up and go when my 10 year old son and I want to just go in an adventure.
Come winter, the camper goes to my parking pad in the back yard and my.boat goes to covered storage out of town.
I park on my side yard and see no reason this can't continue
I pay \$600 per month in property tax. I think it would only be fair to allow me to park my camper in my driveway for the summer months.
I pay for storage of my RV so it doesn't matter to me but I know there are lots of people parking on their front driveway all year long not just 36 hours. It's a good idea to allow driveway parking from spring to fall but not in the winter.
I pay my taxes like all of my neighbours. The bylaw application and patrol/policing is wildly imbalanced which creates animosity amongst neighbours and more headache for the city when the get complaints. Permitting me to park on my property where safe and appropriate is a rational and economic right as a law abiding and tax paying civilian.
I pay over \$1,000 a year to park trailers off my lot. Think about the money you would save your constituents if you were to allow people to park on their own lots.
i pay property tax on all my property so why could i not use my driveway.
I pay property tax, I should allowed to park on my driveway. I don't have access to back alley and I can't park at street.
I pay the community fee of \$325 plus annual taxes to own my property. I keep my lawn, trees, shrubs and beds trimmed and clean. I shovel the snow off the city sidewalk all winter. Explain to me why I cannot park MY vehicle (in this case is a 15' new travel trailer) on MY driveway. This neighbourhood has more vehicles on the driveways than in the garages. Bylaw that. There's vans, trailers, trucks with plows, trucks with trailers here. Bylaw all those. It will be endless.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I personally choose to store my RV at a storage facility and it cost me over \$1000 per year, however for people that can't afford it, this could make the difference between owning an RV or not owning an RV. Owning an RV and spending time in it with your family is an more affordable option for people that allows them to spend quality time together and teach kids good values. I really don't see it as an aesthetic issue. (or at least not nearly as bad as just a rundown house/property and no one regulates that to any real degree - I mean it has to be really bad before anything is done about a rundown house/property)
I question why we have different bylaws for RVs and trailers. When parked on a driveway there isn't much of a difference. However, my trailer isn't allowed to be parked on it except when loading/unloading, but my RV can be on my driveway for 36 hours for the exact same reason?
I recognize that it is difficult to land at a place that works for all, especially given the Facebook comments. We own a very small camper (12 feet) that would be akin to a tent trailer. We keep it on our driveway during the summer and in our garage in the winter. We never park on our street. We have a neighbour with a much larger (longer) camper who parks on the street which can be a pain as we have limited parking. A balance where length and height are considered and it not be a dwelling.
I rent my rv out so it comes and goes all summer then goes to an off site storage facility in the winter.
I see many driveways with multiple vehicles taking up the entire driveway. Using half a driveway for a trailer for a short season does not seem unreasonable.
I see many other trailers. Non working cars sit on property year round. So don't understand why working RV's have restrictions. Of course we are aware of impact with neighbours
I see no benefit to longer park times and only downside risk. I firmly believe an RV should only be parked out front for convenience, to load or unload prior to or after use. The benefits of cost savings and sense of security are false. If you own an RV, cost is not an issue. Concerned about security, park it in a secure storage lot or park it in your fenced back yard People will abuse the benefit, then bylaw officers will have to deal with it.
I see no difference between an empty or full driveway, It not my property and I am not parking there. In order to drive safely you already have to look around corners, as long as RV's don't extend into walkways it shouldn't cause much obstructed sight. I think Seasonal front driveway parking will benefit a majority of Calgarians.
I see no harm to onsite seasonal storage and a huge benefit to cost of storage for RV owners, and less environmental impact driving RVs to and from storage before and after every trip.
I see no impact of having a travel trailer in front of the house during summer season, as it does not generate noise, dust or pollution and in my case it doesn't obstruct any sightlines since there are trees around anyways. I guess the only downside may be additional pedestrian traffic since I own a classic luxury trailer and people come by to see it closer.
I see no issue with extending the period of time an RV is able to be parked to at least a week. It can be hard to find time to prepare for trips.
I see what you did here moving this from the Land Use Bylaw to the Community standards bylaw and then popping this survey for "feedback". It's a nice deflection to say this is what the people want and the City gets to wash their hands of the last 10 years. All I will say is that during the flood 10 years ago, the bylaw was repealed for the summer and chaos did not ensue with trailers parked on driveways and even streets for an extended period. Don't over think this and give in to the minority.
I should be able to park my registered vehicles on my own property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I should be able to park my rv on my driveway when I damn well please. As long as it doesn't stick out onto the sidewalk it shouldn't be a problem. Busy bodies and people with nothing better to do should get a life and mind their own fu**ing business.
I should be allowed to unhook my truck from my tow behind RV to go get gas, ice or even go to work. Maybe Up to 24 hours unhooked from a vehicle can be permitted. Not everyone has 2 vehicles and can't tow a trailer to work or grocery store.
I should not have to be subjected to a messy yard, can you imagine what our communities would look like if everyone parked a vehicle or boat in the front. And where would it stop!
I still think people shouldn't be allowed to sleep in their camper or RV on their driveway
I support extending the time limit from 36 to 48 hours to allow for preparation of an RV, however given the multitude of variables with this category I only support longee term parking of a RV along a side, rear or yard where a unit is contained within the property.
I support RVs staying in driveways from April 15 Nov 15 they cannot impede sidewalks or traffic they cannot be occupied for "living". Guests are fine max 8 nights. It is important for people to have the ability come and stay for weddings, funerals, family gathering. (Calgary Parking Authority can tell if I am 5min late for my meter they should be able to tell if an RV is parked more than 8 days in a row. (Power lines must be elevated to avoid a tripping hazard. No tolerance of leaking grey or black water tanks.
I suppose that there will be some districts that may not be conducive to be able to park an RV (cul-du-sacs, no parking restrictions, crosswalks, etc.)
I think 36 hours is not a lot of time. That should be raised to 48 or 72 hours
I think 36 hours is sufficient time to load up an RV and get it ready for a weekend or a trip. If you extend the time, people will abuse it and before you know it trailers will be there permanently.
I think 36 hours is too restrictive, maybe increase it to 3 days. However I see a lot of travel trailers being left on streets disconnected from the tow unit, this should not be allowed.
I think 48 hours would be beneficial to people without causing undo stress for neighbours.
I think a time limit still makes sense but it should be increased to help trailer owners cut down on the amount of driving and towing needed between camping excursions. It would be more environmentally friendly to not have to go store a trailer every time. They should not be allowed on the road for more than a few days. Front driveways should allow additional time if not in the way of pedestrians.
I think allowing us to park our RVs in our driveway for camping season Will allow families to get out more often. With less stress. long as they're safe and abiding other bylaws. Thanks
I think another impact is how often these vehicles are also on the sidewalk - or a portion of the sidewalk which reduces accessibility for less mobile persons.
I think as long as it's allowed and not unsightly it would greatly help people during this time considering the economy.
I think cost is a big factor. makes no sense to have a place on your property and not be able to use it. Really not very different from having a large pick up parked in a driveway for site.
I think having extended seasonal parking in the city would encourage more crime, more people sleeping for months in the RV's and people would always push the limits of size on their driveways and block sightlines and sidewalks etc. The enforcement of this would be intense.
I think I put it above. Singke people with motorhomes are depending on others for a ride to collect and drop off.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think I've explained the reasons above. RVs have a place at campgrounds and there are enough storage facilities for owners to park their RVs when not in use.
I think if seasonal RV parking has worked in all those other cities it stands to reason that Calgary should update their bylaws. Especially given that due to Covid restrictions so many more people took up camping in various forms of RV's!
I think if smaller than a car that gets parked on driveway then okay. Also consider winter issues
I think if the guidelines above are made clear, the benefits out way the impacts. It should be made clear in the bylaw update that RV hitches should not encroach on sidewalks.
I think in this time where everything costs so much, it would give people the option to save on RV storage fees for part of the year. More money for other activities through the nicer parts of the year
I think in todays economy the impacts are that it would allow residents to save money while still being able to enjoy leisure activities they value. The cost of storing and hauling an RV isn't cheap - and with the state of the economy, it's likely people will be selling their RVs because they can't afford or justify the storage. The benefit and impact here would be happier and healthier residents of Calgary because they're able to save money and still enjoy leisure activities.
Additionally, some people can't afford housing, would like a private space, or are trying to save money (one term camp sites are more than rent). So this would allow people access to affordable living accommodations.
I think is more safe than the people will live from their house to camp then rather do another 50 km to parking lot to take the traile home. And after camping come home, clean the trailer and driv to the parking and back. Specially on the way back home is so busy on hayways that you coming home tiered and after that you have to drive to park the trailer and cme back home.
I think it is important to understand that not all ticketing for parking is fair or equal. Some get away with parking on city property. We have been ticketed for having our RV one to two foot into the back alley but another in the same back alley parked his RV for the whole summer and it never moved. They were never ticketed
I think it makes sense to increase the duration from 36 hours to a week, but many communities already have crowded streets / lack of on street parking, and this would just exacerbate the problem.
I think it should be considered to allow your personal trailer to sit in front of your home without it being hooked up to your vehicle for a 24-48 hour period.
I think it will be difficult to enforce the new regulation, especially what constitutes an RV that is in poor condition. E.g. we have a neighbor around the corner with multiple vehicles in front of his house, sometimes two trailers on front driveway and piles of junk beside his house.
I think it will save the city money for all the nosey neighbors calling in stating their neighbour has their trailer parked to long on their driveway etc. waste of our money having bi law look into all these stupid calls.
I think it would be very supportive towards families who camp as their form of vacation etc. Optically I believe it would be seen as the city supporting their residents in their recreational activities. Similar to the year that all fees to national parks were waived - it's a hand up to help people enjoy life a bit more.
I think it's a compromise. Not the whole summer but a greater time period than 36hours.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think it's a great idea and would make a lot more people happy than it would to not. Can't please everyone but I think it's for the greater good.
I think it's a terrible idea, I moved to the neighborhood I'm In BECAUSE of the bylaw to not have trailers parked there.
I think it's terrible that the city of Calgary tells me what I can put on my driveway. It's my property, but you insist that I have to pay an expense to rent a spot to store my trailer on.
I think it's better in a driveway than on the road. Less driving for bringing the RV to and from storage constantly.
I think it's important for the city to understand the difficult challenge of storing an RV and only being able to bring it home for 36 hours before turning around and driving it out of the city for storage. I still think there needs to be a certain conditions that are outlined above like unsightly and not encroaching on city property. I also don't see much difference in having a work van or large vehicle parked on your driveway or a small trailer.
I think it's important to consider on the trickle down effects this could have with on street parking. There should be a plan to deal with vehicles left in a parking spot for long lengths of time as that's already a problem and this would only amplify the issue.
I think it's really important for mental health here in Canada that people get as much outdoor time as possible during the summer. This includes camping. If people need to find storage for their RV because they don't have a back alley then that's another barrier in the way of them getting outside.
I think it's reasonable to allow the trailer to be in hitched for a short amount of time. To allow the vehicle to be still in use for work while the partner at home readys the RV for travel.
I think most people only need 72 hours at the most to prepare their rv and would really appreciate it. We do pay taxes and would love some flexibility.
I think my reasons above are all the benefits I need to list:
I think parking on a driveway is safer than the road as it is easy to miss pedestrians and children.
I think parking on city streets should be extended as long as the trailer fits in your frontage. Or you get permission from neighbours. I've got a large family and it takes some time to pack up and get ready for trips, especially when working full time.
I think parking/storing trailers and such should be allowed but not the constant use of said items. For instance a person shouldn't be able to camp in the trailer for the duration of the season
I think people should be able to park whatever they want on their driveway, as long as it doesn't obstruct the side walks or anything.
I think people still need to be respectful of their neighbors. They should not block sidewalks or have clutter around their trailers. But would be nice to have some more flexibility for trailer owners as it's a hobby that they love and right now it's challenging to get ready for weekend adventures with your family due to constraints. If we could get seasonal allowance that would be fantastic. Thank you for allowing our voices to be heard.
I think RV owners should be aware where to park, so for example, not parking on a corner where will leave blind spots or park part of the RV in front of part of neighbor's driveway. Benefits: it's nice for the citizens to have more time to pack and clean their RVs, especially it's parked in their own driveway.
I think RVing and recreational items are good for people to have access to, and being forced to rent space in some lot when you have a driveway or parking pad just adds to the expense of recreational items.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think safety could be a consideration. One who works hard every day could save time by having permission to keep it at home. Safety of not have to transport it back and forth those extra times. Often the person is extra tired or is in a rush when meeting an obligation to vacate the RV from their property or to get it home to pack. Prep work could be done in smaller increments during the week and by other members of the family with different timelines. A little bit each day after work and improving the time of day when their recreation starts. Could avoid rush our traffic.
I think size of the unit matters too, too big can make a difference
I think something that isn't enforced enough is RV trailers left on the road not connected to a pull vehicle.
I think street parking for an RV should be 72 hrs.
I think street RV parking should be allowed as long as it does not obstruct city services or private properties
I think that 36hours allows time to pack, clean, etc for a user
I think that added benefits would include the fact that we would have less traffic and less pollution, on the streets of Calgary, if you eliminate the back and forth travel to a parking facility, during the summer months. Sometimes the RV's can be very large and difficult to navigate in city streets, so it would also reduce collisions associated with RV's. I think these benefits are enough to go ahead with a seasonal front driveway allowance for the City Of Calgary.
I think that by allowing people to park trailers at a house you are in turn supporting people enjoying the outdoors and that's never a bad thing.
I think that it could be extended to 48 hrs though.
I think that people may need to park their RV's/trailers in front of their yards for longer periods when there are disability issues, age issues (older people take more time), and repairs/maintenance issues that need to be done (winterizing, cleaning before and after storage). I don't like seeing a lot of RV's parked year round on the driveways as it impairs vision for the neighbor pulling out, attracts thieves, and if the trailer becomes a storage unit it becomes an eyesore!
I think that there should at least be exceptions for those with no back alley/side access to be able to park on the front driveway.
I think that they degrade the overall esthetics of the street. It would also increase the amount of cars parked on the street as people would not have space in their driveways. This is a concern on an already busy street for via ability to drivers.
I think the "impact" of how they affect aesthetics is extremely overvalued by the city. People should be able to park their recreational vehicles on the street if they choose to and I don't think it makes any difference to the community's look
I think the above covers it (ie unsightly and encroaching on other property)
I think the benefit is that lots of Calgarians own RVs. I think that allowing seasonal driveway parking will make people feel better about the taxes they pay on level of services they receive from the city. The vast majority of Rvers are extremely respectful of their neighbors that is an inherent part of the RV lifestyle. I also think this is regularly accepted with the public already. There are several RVs in driveways for the entire summer season and no one seems to mind. I see this as a win
I think the biggest concern is obstructed sightlines for traffic.
I think the city could make some money by owners having to buy permit to park their rv in driveways. I currently pay 980 dollars a year to store it on the outskirts of town. I would



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

prefer to pay 500 a year to the city for the convenience to leave it on my driveway. The city should do this quickly and people will not argue as they will be happy to comply, If you limp in people will just fight city hall why aren't you allowing me to park for free on my own driveway.
I think the city does understand the needs of the people...
I think the city should also understand the reduced carbon footprint that parking on driveways would result in - less towing/driving RVs back and forth from storage lots for only 3 days or less, multiple times per year.
i think the current bylaw 36 hour period should be extended to 72 hours
I think the issue should be about all vehicles beyond the obvious consumer cars and passenger vans. Large work trucks, work trailers, anything really that can obstruct access should be considered. I made sure my RV is very much fitting on my driveway, with no obstructions to my neighbors or to my own garage and driveway access.
I think the more important consideration should be safety sight lines, and setback from a sidewalk or roadway. A corner light might be different from an interior. I don't think that aesthetics should play a role. People are able to many things more aesthetically displeasing.
I think the negatives are pretty minimal. I can see concern for sightlines, but our truck is just as obstructive as our trailer. But definitely keep it to seasonal - not all winter. But spring/summer for sure!
I think the Rv should be insured and registered to prevent abuse of duration
I think the size of an RV should be considered for longer term driveway parking as larger sized RV's obstruct pedestrian views of the street. Sometimes trailer hitches hang over onto sidewalks which can cause injury to children riding bicycles, snow clearing, etc.
I think the time parked out front could be extended by a day. That is plenty and ppl don't even obey that. Most in my own neighbourhood are great but as always ppl take advantage so I think the rules for repeat offenders should be higher.
I think there are to many factors (trees, curves in the road, dense housing ) to mimic other communities.
If it fits on your driveway fine. If it doesn't and take up street space I don't think it is safe.
I think there fine parking on private property
I think they need to be in good condition, they shouldn't be left year round and there should be sound/noise restrictions on them just like a home. Owners who park their RVs in their front driveway need to be respectful and courteous to their neighbours. It should be a privilege and not a right and therefore it can be taken away if abused.
I think they're known.
I think this might open up people to pull into our communities and overnight camp, so how will the city police this impact?
I think this will actually increase crime and theft.
I think this would effect property value and people will leave them all the time
I think trailer must not be wider than your home to park longer.
I think with increasing the density the city will have to do some thing that allows for parking of rvs things on property especially in the front
I think you can define different sets of rules base on the size of RV. Some big one definitely shouldn't be park at drive way. But smaller and shorter one cause no obstacle to anything should be allow.
I think you can extend parking a trailer in front of home for 48hrs vs 36 hrs just to make it a easy number to remember.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>Allowing trailers/RV to be parked in the front of a home for long period of time would eventually make a neighborhood look unsightly. The RV should maybe be covered if it is in driveway if allowed to be there for extended period of time.</p> <p>Not in favor of having RV's parking in front driveways.</p>
<p>I understand in some instances obstruction And safety and unsightly, this may be a problem. But I feel that this should be taken on an a case-by-case basis. I live in a very slow neighbourhood there isn't much traffic and my unit isn't very big. I feel that it impacts no one is it just sits in front of my house which still allows for ample parking of both my vehicles along with my unit.</p>
<p>I understand people want access to their recreational vehicle. However, when you buy one, being aware of bylaws is a necessity. 36 hours is ample time.</p>
<p>I understand some points in having the trailer on the drive way for a short period due to concerns of obstructed sightlines but in cul-de-sac it doesn't impact much. And instead of bylaw wasting time to go out to a house that a trailer has been there for 33 hours is a waste of our tax payers money when we have people going 80 on the main drag that maybe that money can be used to slow down drives not worry about how long a trailer has been on a drive way for</p>
<p>I understand that the bigger RVs could interfere with sight lines and an overall aesthetic to a community. However, as the owner of a small teardrop camper, I feel being unable to park it in the driveway of a house we own and pay property tax on is ludicrous.</p>
<p>I understand the convenience aspect but I have an RV and knew when buying it that storage would be an additional cost.</p>
<p>I understand the convenience but this is applicable to mostly small units as driveways on the front of a house don't support a RV unit over 25' without encroaching the sidewalk. I would support a 48 hour time limit. This seems reasonable to load and maintain without becoming a fixture. 36 hours seems unrealistic and limited to complete this type of activity.</p>
<p>I understand the current bylaw however is is a rushed and stressful timeframe. My storage spot is a long drive from my house so it is not an option to work on my trailer there.</p>
<p>I want my city council focusing on the economy, reducing crime and affordable accessible housing not on these kinds of things</p>
<p>I want people to use their homes as they see fit</p>
<p>I want to be able to ensure my camper is secure and close to keep an eye on. I also would like the convenience of setting up at home!</p>
<p>I want to be able to unhitch my trailer in front of my house on a city street for 24 hours. To allow me to load and get groceries. I pay for proper off-site storage.</p>
<p>I want to enjoy my vacation time, I love weekend camping and we go away most weekends in the summer. Being able to leave it on my drive means I can leave faster after work. Less stressful, less stressful means I'm able to manage my mental health, meaning I'm not taking time off work for sick and able to do my job. I work for ahs</p>
<p>I want to make outdoor living and travelling as accessible for people and possible. This is one way that could make camping a bit easier for people and families!</p>
<p>I worry that these units will be used as rental properties which is unsafe.</p>
<p>I would agree to a maximum of 48 hours.</p>
<p>I would agree to increase parking time from 36 to 48 hours maximum.</p>
<p>I would agree with only certain months during the season, as the appearance of the neighbourhood is affected.</p>
<p>I would appreciate being able to park my trailer on the street for longer than 36 hours.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would avoid buying a house in a neighborhood that has RV vehicles parked on driveways. And be agitated if my neighbors would be allowed to do this to tarnish the appearance of my neighborhood. Not to mention the practical issue of street parking space, which is already scarce. If you can afford a RV either park in backyard if possible or lease a spot.
I would be able to keep my batteries charged. I can keep it cleaned inside and out. I could save on storage fees.
I would be fine if the bylaw changed seasonly but I would also support a longer duration than 36 hours, such as 14 days.
I would be happy with just extending the trailer storage time to 5 days. It allows me to store it on my driveway Sunday to Friday if I'm going camping again. Any longer than that and I think it should be moved as they can be ugly and block sight lines. This allows regular campers to do what they love every weekend and stops people just trying to cut storage fees at everyone else's inconvenience
I would be open to longer hours . . . e.g., perhaps 72 hours or even a week.
I would burn less fuel not having to go back and forth between a storage facility and my home every time i use my holiday trailer.
I would consider and think about that this might be abused where there people store more than one RV on someone's lot. I can understand this being an issue and it needs to be considered for the bylaw.
I would encourage any and all RV owners to remove their battery from their RV when parked if easily accessible due to theft.
I would have these views if i was not an rv owner. I have off street rv parking
I would hope that owners would ensure they didn't host a dumping ground of camping equipment and materials and also had enough common sense not to use the street as a dump station for grey matter.
I would leave on-street parking as is but allow for seasonal parking on residents land. This would alleviate RV's blocking neighbor parking areas or people parking RV's along parks or greenspaces. Seasonal RV parking would also allow additional emergency housing during another flood or civic emergency. Could be handled like a snow day where RV use could be declared ok for set periods of time during emergency.
I would like bylaw enforcement to routinely and randomly patrol communities to ticket those that are in violation.
I would like the 36 hour restriction, on the roadway parking, to be extended because I find that time insufficient to do extensive cleaning and packing. I would suggest 72 hours.
I would like the 36 hours to be extended to at least 48 hours. We park our 5 th wheel in a storage lot. But there are times that we need to make repairs etc on it where we need electricity. If we need to get parts etc it is not always easy to get them and then get the required repairs done in that length of time.
I would like the durations increased (perhaps doubled) for RV parking outside of residences. This allows time to clean/repair and prepare the campers for outings. I do not agree with seasonal parking for larger units.
I would like to be able to park my travel trailer in front of my house, not connected to the tow vehicle, for at least 24 hours to allow for cleaning, loading/unloading, etc. (it doesn't fit in my driveway). Some routine maintenance tasks are difficult when connected to the tow vehicle (e.g. trailer has to be level).



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>I would like to save \$600/yr storage fees to park my trailer in my driveway year round like some of my neighbours. I could better afford the ever increasing taxes.</p> <p>There are yards where this isn't appropriate from a safety stand point.</p> <p>A better idea is perhaps some kind of self renewing permit. Like my dogs license. The city can review the application containing proposed location and size of rv. If the reviewers decide its appropriate and not causing a safety issue then why not. That would be worth \$100/yr.</p>
<p>I would like to see a study or diagrams on how it impacts driving sight lines.</p>
<p>I would like to see the city extend the parking to at least 72 hrs. or more. The city should allow the RV to be able to be parked in the back lane adjacent to the home, which provides easier access to load &amp; unload.</p>
<p>I would like to see the city limit on RV's parked on city streets increased to 48 hours. It is commonplace for owners to bring their RV's home on Wednesday after work to prepare for Friday afternoon departure. Adding 12 hours to the timeline would better match up with the averages person's schedule.</p>
<p>I would limit permission to storage while not in use, and not allow any more that 3-4 days of occupation at a time (extra bedroom for visitors). I would also not allow storage in automobile parking stalls at apartment or condo buildings. Owners should also be subject to serious fines for any leaking or dumping of grey or black water tanks, especially into the storm water system. Parking your RV on your own property and not encroaching on any public sidewalk or road should be allowed anytime.</p>
<p>I would not like to see the city make any changes to the roadside parking restrictions of RVs or to allow any RV to park alongside property that is not the property of the RV owner.</p>
<p>I would not put it past people to being using RV's parked on front driveways as temporary housing for either visitors to the main residence or on 3rd party sites like air bnb (even if this is illegal, you must assume that some people will take advantage and abuse the system)</p> <p>Please do not introduce seasonal or long term parking of RVs in residential areas. It is in the best interests of the people of Calgary to keep the current bylaws as they are.</p>
<p>I would not support street parking for more than 36 hours, it would become an RV parking lot. If people definitely have that front driveway then, yes of course, go for it!</p>
<p>I would only have an issue if the camper is in disrepair, an eyesore or a hazard - improperly parked.</p>
<p>I would prefer to see an extension of the 36 hours to maybe 72 hours. This is a more reasonable timeframe to allow for cleaning, packing, repairs etc that would require temp access to the RV</p>
<p>I would rather have an RV parked on a proper driveway than in a backyard or between houses</p>
<p>i would rather see a RV parked in the driveway that is used periodically for the benefit of the resident than an old broken down car that never moves</p>
<p>I would recommend creating or providing help with storage for RV storage businesses and owners in the hopes of encouraging RV users to park in a storage facility. Alternatively, the city of Calgary could offer a parking pass with a fee structure in the hope of generating income for the city and/or a way to discourage users who abuse or take advantage of the bylaw.</p>
<p>I would suggest a possibke change to the time limit allowed from 36 hours to 48 hours max time.</p>
<p>I would support a change in the current bylaw. Homeowners should be able to utilize fully the property that they own, and not be restricted to use the property to suit their needs.</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would support extending the bylaw to allow for street or front storage to be 48 or 72 hours, but not all summer.
I would support extending the time allowed for street parking during the summer season
I would support extending the time to one week, to better allow owners to perform maintenance, cleaning, etc. I would also support an equal amount of time for RVs to be parked on the street, to also allow owners to perform these tasks.
I would support lane or street parking directly in front of or behind residence of owner for a period of 48 hours and then be moved. While in these locations wheel lock must be installed.
I would think that even if you decide to allow this many RVs will not fit on front driveways.
I would totally appreciate a longer park on the street in front of our property, however. 36 hours to unpack after a trip, clean the unit, perhaps do some laundry for repacking purposes and then move the unit within 36 hours is tight. 48 hours would be appreciated, especially as some trips we come home from we have driven quite a while and are tired. It can be a real push to get it all done and drive it safely to the storage lot. Thanks for the chance to share my thoughts.
I'd appreciate more time for the rv to be adjacent to the property (hooked up to the towing vehicle), in order to do maintenance, cleaning and improvements. I personally enjoy doing projects such as interior painting etc to update my trailer but find it inconvenient with only 36 hours, perhaps increasing it to 48 - 60 hours to allow it for a weekend time frame.
I'd certainly be wary of the impacts already listed, and perhaps security. I could see more people having their trailers stolen with them available in the front. I'd also be cautious that there could be safety issues with them rolling down/around on driveways, and/or falling off the blocks. Finally, I would recommend that you keep the changes to a persons driveway, and not expand it to the front street. If we allow rv parking on the street, it'll cause absolute parking chaos.
I'm concerned with the description of "unsightly." Who will determine that? Many vehicles could fit that description also.
I'm no expert, but it could prevent a lot of people street parking with their travel trailer in tow, just so that they can drive around with it through the week to prevent a ticket.
I'm not sure I would want a trailer parked on a driveway for an entire summer season, but 2-3 weeks would be much better than 36 hours.
I'm sure it is a waste of city bylaw resources to have to attend to complaints of this nature when I know some passive aggressive Neibours try to be the driveway police when the trailers are not really doing any harm on people's driveways. If the city is trying to promote the reduction of carbon emissions, not having to parade these trailers back-and-forth to the storage place would probably help with that.
I'm sure it would definitely frustrate a lot of people if you tell them they can't park their own property on their own driveways
I'm sure there would be impacts as well as benefits but nothing substantial. Perhaps some tourist dollars spent.
I've just about had it with council's intrusive meddling in my life. I am considering moving to the country to get away from your nonsense.
I've owned a trailer for 20+ years, occasionally the 36 hours is a short time frame, but most of the time it just encourages me to get it cleaned and back to storage, if the time we're extended, I would probably get lazy and let my trailer sit for 2 days before I cleaned it and put it away. My vote would be to leave the law as it is.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I'd like to see the the rules on parking on adjacent streets relaxed a bit. Seems silly to have to park 250m down the road, when there is a spot 50m away that is empty and less obtrusive to traffic.
Ideally this gets RVs off of the street, which is a far bigger issue for safety and aesthetics than driveway parking. Hope to see this enforced.
If a "RV" is fully parked on the property including driveways, and not on the city roads it shouldn't be regulated. If a "RV" is parked on city streets or easement it should be regulated to 48-72 hours. This allows for cleaning, loading and unloading and emergency use. (During Covid RV's were used for additional habitation/convalescence.)
If a person has a rv, and many families use RVs now, it is important that they have access to it when the want or need and that access should be on their property; for cleaning, maintenance etc. If you can't have access when you want; it is not worth having an rv. Parking an rv on your property is the same as parking a larger truck ( 3 ton or stake truck) on your property and that is fine throughout the city.
If a rule is necessary, 36 hours is far too short. If you work and want to leave after work Fri, (which describes most owners) you can only pick up the RV and bring it home Thurs after work, then you have to clean it fill the water, and load up groceries and whatever else you need ti be read to leave after work Fri. That makes it a very rushed evening at time that your are trying to head out to relax. 72 hours would be far more reasonable.
If a rv is blocking the sightlines around a corner that is a big problem.
If all RV's are removed from the communities, they would look better, not so crowded and overloaded.
If allowed to park on front drives for longer periods of time, I expect people will take advantage to save a \$100 on summertime storage and those not fully aware of the bylaws will try to leave trailers in place longer than the permissible periods to test how long they can get away with it. I think the bylaw should remain as it is. Perhaps rather than allowing long term summer parking, allowing 60 to 84 hours is more acceptable.
If allowing a massive RV to sit out front and destroy a community look - why then block us from having a wood privacy fence when a forced metal see through look is required based on caveats?
If an rv be allowed, city could generate \$ by charging nominal fee for the service.
If an RV fits on the owners property, front or back, it should be allowed to be stored there year round. You don't even explain why it needs to be seasonal. It's my property and if I have the space I see no reason why I can't store my RV there.
If an RV is longer than your property frontage, it should not be parked for more than 36 hours
If an RV were parked in a front drive way for the summer people might live in them or rent them as temporary housing. Not sure if that would be great? Cousin Eddie shows up for a month or two. :( How would that be handled?
if approved, there could be stipulations regarding the length of the driveway to accommodate the length of the RV unit reducing obstructed sight lines. As well, the RV unit would need to be licensed and operational in the event of needing to be moved - not just deteriorating.
If changed to allow more time , i see this being taken advantage of, RVs will be parking on the street all summer. This would take away site lines and beatification of the community. The change would only be as good as the enforcement.
If cities like Vancouver and Edmonton can manage seasonal parking, Calgary can. If you want the City to make more money, charge a small \$20 a year fee for RV owners the right to seasonally park. Make it make sense

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If climate change is important, we should be doing what we can to make small changes that limit our impact.
If derelict or non functioning units will create an unnecessary eyesore.
If Edmonton people are able to park their seasonal RV's on driveways successfully, so should Calgary. You keep talking about how you want to save the average family money -this is one way of doing it so that we're able to take vacations with our trailers and not pay the cost of storing them in the summer when we're actively using them. In addition I think if you can park your RV in your backyard then what is the difference of parking it in your driveway where vehicles are already being stored.
If everyone parked their trailer on their driveway it would start to look like a trailer.
If I am parking on my own front driveway there shouldn't be any issues, but parking on the street is. I fully support RV trailers parking year round only on driveways
If I choose to have my car parked in front of my house OR if I choose to park my car in my garage and instead park my campervan in front of my house should not matter. I'm not taking any additional parking space. Additionally, I don't have to drive to/from an RV storage site to move my capervan to/from home or moving it every 36 hours, thus increasing emissions and traffic on city streets.
If I made the rules I would allow any drivable rv unit 5 day parking in front of their house. The older we get the longer we need for getting ready and unloading and cleaning. I could easily spread it out over 5 days....it would certainly benefit us seniors.
If I pay taxes I should be allowed to park my RV in my driveway
If if nots unstittly and nothing is delapatarted it should be ok open your scope if your going to let some things being parked and not others that doesn't that's not fair. people need there work trailers parked in a safe place. ect widen your scope. too be fair.
If I'm a home owner I should be able to park what I want on my property.
If it can fit on the road in between two driveways, it should be able to remain parked for longer than 36 hours, unhitched from its tow vehicle.
If it doesn't obstruct or intervene with neighbors, and they don't have objections to having the RV parked on my driveway I don't see it should be an issue having it parked for extended periods of time. I would suggest allowing year round parking should also be considered.
If it fits on the driveway why should this be a reason to not allow home owners to park their RVs for longer.
If it is allowed seasonal you will have teenagers sleeping in the rvs and possibly out on the streets causing vandalism etc. Who determines unsightly as well - some rvs are older and as such have that look.
If it is decided not to allow seasonal, 48 hours would be helpful
If it is on the driveway and not blocking anyones view, why not. It makes a city a home not an irritation
If it is on there property it should be ok
If it is your house and your land that you pay property taxes on, you should be able to keep your items in your land as long as you want.
If it isn't parked on the public roadway, people should be entitled to use their own property as they wish.
If it was easier and more convenient to use RVs, families would use them more often, being active, using parks and services, etc
If it was more convenient we might enjoy the benefits of camping more frequently.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If it's your property only you should be concerned about esthetics. I don't want to see trailers year round but I think anything that can make peoples lives easier right now is better. Lees back and fourth, more time to enjoy vacation and family, less gas costs. I would worry a bit about crime though. But that is at peoples own discretion.
If it's not abused it's a good idea. So far it's not well dealt with in our area. I always watch and people don't care about the rules. I've found that lots with a side driveway that run into the backyard are wonderful. There out of view. We have a old unit on a front driveway on our block, looks a little dumpy, just saying. I dont want to look at it all year long.
If it's only for the summer, then people don't need to get worried about where they will put snow in the winter.
If it's your property and your driveway u should be able to park there as long as u want It's not affecting city parking because it's your own property.
If it's your property and your RV is not causing an impediment to other vehicles, as in blocking sightlines to intersections, I think they should be allowed.
If my driveway is long enough, I would like to park it.
If neighbors are asked for permission we should be able to get a permit showing they're approved the RV parking for any period of time.
If no rear or side lot parking is available the RV may be utilized to house guests for a short term.
If only seasonal is allowed, trailer storage areas will just charge more. Why not allow for year round driveway parking.
If our family goes camping two weekends in a row, we then have to bring our RV to storage in between the weekends. This involves going back on the city roads and drive outside the city to the reasonably priced RV parking. This is multiple extra trips on our city streets that are unnecessary, causing extra wear and tear to the roads and causing extra environmental impact of using a vehicle unnecessarily.
If parked on a city street - then yes a problem. NOT A PROBLEM if parked on my driveway well out of the way.
If parked on private property, the city should not be permitted to restrict use.
If parked too long. It attracts. The homeless
If parking for more than 36 hours is permitted who is to judge what is in "good condition"? Homes are our biggest purchase and to have a neighborhood splattered with trailers on driveways degrades our homes appearances and value. If you can afford a trailer you can afford to store it. If you cannot then your probably shouldn't own a trailer! This coming from a trailer owner who pays for monthly storage!!
If people are allowed to work the "RV" in the driveway ,it will take up parking spaces from other neighbors that need to park on street . Since the house owner that is using their driveway as their RV storage , they would most likely park on the street and take a space because they can't park on their own driveway.
If people are forced to more slowly navigate intersections because of RV's on driveways, this would enhance traffic safety in residential areas by reducing rolling through stop signs at speed.
If people are living/sleeping in their RV's it has a big impact on the neighborhood. If you change the bylaw, please enforce it by patrol. It isn't fair to make neighbors snitch on neighbors. We still want to get along with our neighbors even if we don't want their giant motor home blocking our views and restricting visibility.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If people are that upsettie I wouldn't mind paying like a yearly parking fee for my trailer. But it is still on my property out of the way
If people can squeeze 3+ cars onto their driveways, or widen the concrete driveway to cover the entire front yard, then i should be allowed to have my camper parked for a few days leading up to a famiky camping weekend. The camper is far less unsightly than a 35' wide concrete pad with 4 cars squeezed onto it
If people have a driveway and puts the RV off the street and does not block the view of traffic or pedestrians, may to October parking would be nice for RV owners
If people park rv and motor homes on driveways that all of a sudden leaves no street parking for neighbours since people with rv need to park cars elsewhere. Leaves homes hidden from view which will create more crime
If RV fulle fits in driveway, please, allow for seasonal parking.
If RV is parked on my property I don't require insurance until I use it. Saving taxpayers money
If RV's we're allowed longer on driveways it would create less fitting amongst neighbors, and would force realtors to stop calling bylaw just because they want a quick sell
If RV's, trailers, motorhomes are parked in the driveway, street parking becomes scarce. My neighbour has 5 cars , three in driveway, two on the street, and if adds three more to the street, neighbours will be hiking in to their houses.
If RVers don't have worry about where they will store their rig, it will see an increase in the number of RVs being purchased, which is good for all. Also the 36 hour chess game is lesson in futility to keep people employed and city coffers growing while creating bad will with the very people who bank role them. Make Calgary a RV friendly community.
If RV's are allowed to be parked for longer periods of time, it will lead to many more people living in those RV's. I know that this would still not be allowed, but it is often hard to prove that someone is living in the RV. The definition of "immediately adjacent" is also unclear. Driving through neighborhoods in Red Deer, Edmonton and GP should be an excellent reminder of why campers should be allowed longer than 36 hours.
If RV's are allowed to park in driveways for extended periods of time, will large commercial vehicles and trailers be allowed next? Some RV's are larger than commercial vehicles and trailers, so their owners might justifiably ask for their commercial equipment to be allowed too.
If RV's are allowed to park in front over winter ect. Garbage, debris would be allowed collect under and around them. Also if you drive the back allys around pineridge its unsightly dropping property values etc. Not to mention two vehicles can't pass each other let alone garbage trucks.
If season storage is allowed there is less Additional driving back and forth to the storage lot (less environmental impact).
If seasonal front driveway parking is to be considered, it MUST comply with other bylaws including minimum 3.0M front setback. Under no circumstances should a RV be parked seasonally if a 3.0M front setback cannot be maintained.
If some are very old and unsightly it could effect the views but it's no different than a house
If someone has a trailer parked in their front driveway and a child is riding their bike down the sidewalk if they attempt to pull out of their driveway with a vehilce there is no way that either of them will see eachother. This is a huge safety issue. My fear would be that allowing seasonal parking it will increase the number of RV's, trailers, etc parked on front driveways only increasing the chances of something tragic occuring.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If someone is making a parking pad in their yard and there is a small city tree, it should be free to remove it and not a fee! Help lower costs for calgarians!
If someone parks an RV at a far away place and are home for weekday but need to take the RV out on the weekend. So they have to move it for the week. Which means spending money on gas, adding pollution to the environment, adding more traffic on the road/more chances of getting into accident. Why can't people keep it on their driveway during summer months, as long as it is not unsightly, cause traffic visibility, safety issues to public.
If someone wants to park their RV, boat or fifth-wheel trailer on the street until the weekend, they should be allowed to. This bylaw is outdated and ridiculous.
If someone's house is damaged, they can stay onsite to look after renovations rather than being forced into an expensive hotel offsite that increases insurance costs. Also families can reunite after Covid easier by allowing trailers to park for longer periods. Visiting family tourism is a large portion of tourism income and this would facilitate more families to have out of town relatives visit more often.
If the bylaw is dropped then there should be a reduction in the number of frivolous complaints/administration.
If the citizen can keep their rv at home during the camping season this will save the money (instead of keeping it in storage) and makes it more convenient to use.
If the City changes the bylaw they should enforce it. You shouldn't have to call to report that your neighbor is breaking the law. It makes peaceful living in the community difficult. We have 2 RV's in driveways on our street that have been there for years. One is visually unobtrusive but hosts a family of skunks most years, the other is a great big display of extravagance that blocks views. There is also a camper van that is parked on the street pretty much permanently -taking a parking spot.
IF the city decides to allow seasonal parking of recreational vehicles in the driveway, homeowners should be required to purchase a permit for no less than 25% of their annual property taxes, to help reduce the property taxes of other homeowners who do not own recreational vehicles, or who choose to park them elsewhere. This permit should not be required for temporary parking for packing, unpacking, and winterizing.
If the city doesn't understand us who will come to help us. I have a right to fight for my rights.
If the city is so concerned about climate change, having your rv handy would lessen travel time and fuel usage.
If the City wishes to look like a storage lot then do not implement any bylaw at all - however the city should be enforcing the bylaw with ticketing as opposed to placating the owners of these vehicles. Otherwise why do the police simply not give warnings for everything rather than ticketing - society is trying to be too nice and non confrontational, if something is a rule or bylaw or the law, then enforce it, otherwise the system has collapsed.
If the driveway is spacious, let the rv owner use the space as long as 2 cars can still pass by
If the parking situation of the RV fits the criteria noted in point 4, it is not unreasonable to allow seasonal parking for owners on their own property. There should be some further allowance or bylaw addressing those RV owners that do not have access to their own parking area who must park on the street for loading / unloading, and maintenance of their unit. Consideration should also be given to the neighbourhood structure and individual situations (i.e. is it a cul-de-sac, through street, etc.)
If the RV can be parked on the hard surfaced front drive way combined with the side of the drive way without blocking pedestrian paths, this can also be helpful.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the RV is not impacting the flow of traffic or blocking a street it should be allowed to be parked residential streets with no time limits.
If the rv is not impeding others driving, walking and or use of the road then I do not see an issue.
If the rv isn't bothering or breaking any laws then it should be ok for 3 daya.
If the Rv or Motohome have spaces Park their motorhome as Long as they want at least Don't bord block public community or traffic should be ok
If the time is extended I think people are going to be more tempted to have guests staying in the RV's parked on the driveway which will lead to a whole bunch of other issues like noise & being tempted to empty gray water on the street / alley.
If the unit can be stored on the drive way and not obstruct the road or sidewalk it should be allowed. On street parking could be allowed for 72 hours at a time. This might help reduce the number of units over 30 feet and those monstrous units that block everything as far as sign lines and roadways. Units that are in disrepair (missing windows, siding, doors or wheels) should not be allowed at any time, the unit should be useable, and functions to be moved in emergency.
If the unit could be parked for longer time periods, this means less traffic issues in the immediate area. This would make things simpler for the week end RVers
If the unit fits on the driveway and is in "good" condition allowing us to keep them out all summer would be super beneficial
If the vehicle is not well kept (falling apart, visibly rusted, beyond 20 years old etc) there should be restrictions. Something that's ready to go to the dump, shouldn't be parked out front.
If the whole street has RVs parked on the driveway, it takes away the esthetics of the community. It would be like leaving the black/blue/green bins on the road all the time, makes the neighborhood looks untidy.
If there are complaints of people living or staying for period of time in an RV in a front drive they should be ticketed and removed.
If there is a leak of oil or gas, does this run into the city's drain system? This is another thing that should be considered.
If there is no imminent danger to the public and as long as it is not offensive it should be none of the city's business what I have on my private property.
If there's more openness about rvs on driveways, it will likely reduce the number of calls to bylaw officers.
If they don't already understand the benefits of allowing this, they live in a different world to me
If they live on streets where there isn't enough space for neighbours to park their cars in front then no
If this is allowed, it will encourage camping for guests during summer months in their property. We have ran into this with neighbours, then they start peeing in the middle of the night on your lawn
If time for RV parking is extended there should be enforcement for breaking the rule
If time is a factor why not increase the time to 48 hrs from 36.
If timing was changed to the full spring to fall season thousands of RV's which are presently in storage facilities, would flood driveways in all wards. You have listed the negative consequences above. The RV's maybe less secure on a drive way than in a patrolled storage facility.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If trailers are allowed to be kept in front of your house, it helps reduce unnecessary driving to storage which helps reduce pollution and traffic.
If trailers can be in driveways for longer periods, then less need to be left hooked up to a vehicle on the street. This actually helps the street parking situation.
If we can fit our RV in front of the house and our driveway and side of the house it will be really convenient.
If we can park cars and trucks on the front driveway why not a fishing boat or travel trailer? Who's to say that junker car or work van is any uglier than a well kept boat or trailer?
If we change the bylaw it has to be more black and white. Ie set dates. Like how the province has designed start and end dates for when campgrounds are open/closed
If we need to do repairs for safety on our trailer before storage we shouldn't have to drive it away in fixed just because it's been a day and a half.
If we parked in the driveway it will annoy others and divide our community further. We already have a political divide we don't need another
If we want to encourage a society that takes time to focus on family and rest and rejuvenation, imposing strict limits on rv parking causes more a lot more stress and challenges and just doesn't seem worth it sometimes for all the work that goes into one weekend out of town. Seasonal parking is both supportive of families especially with little children and encouraging of family time.
This might encourage less parking on the roads and unnecessary travel, wear and tear on roads themselves.
If we were allowed to keep our Rv on our property in summer months it would reduce hours of driving / gas/ emissions to and from Storage facilities.
If you allow people to park too long on their front driveways you will receive a lot more complaints.
If you are considering updating definitions, consider updating the Large Vehicle Definition. The current interpretation of "5. (v) tractor, trailer, or tractor trailer combination." is being taken out of context by DP. The word "trailer" is being taken out of context and applied to all trailers. When taken in context, this definition is meant to describe a Semi, the Semi's trailer and and a semi-trailer combination. If all trailers are banned in YYC, "Trailer" should be its own definition.
If you are going to make these tiles the ticketing needs to happen and be consistent
If you can afford a trailer you can afford a place to store it. Plus then the city makes more taxes
If you can afford a trailer you can afford to park it elsewhere
If you can afford a trailer, you can afford to park it off your property. Where does the line get drawn with people staying in the trailer? Everyone will have an excuse that it is temporary, one time, family, etc....Our neighborhood has redevelopment occurring against bylaws, calls have been made with zero enforcement. You legally give an inch for trailers and it will be a mile before anyone cares.
If you can afford an RV, you can afford the storage lots.
If you can afford these trailers, you can afford storage for them or keep the in your rear yards. They should only be allowed on the street or driveway for no more than 72 hours and must be attached on the street.
If you can park in your driveway it eliminates people having them on the road taking up space

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If you cannot be consistent or able to police this, then remove the restriction.
If you can't afford to store it, don't own one
If you don't want to fully allow all season driveway parking then maybe consider permits applied for. That way it's not all driveways but some of us could apply for a permit to have it there longer.
if you dont want more of the older models going to the dump there needs to be a place to do renovations and repairs by RV owners
If you enforce a bylaw then you have to include everyone not just certain homeowners
If you go through with this, Make sure the bylaw states that no one can be living in the RVs, that they have insurance to cover damages to neighbour properties if anything happens and please consider the people living next to them. I personally talk to my neighbours before parking my trailer now, to make sure I'm not inconveniencing them.
If you have a driveway on side of house extending to front street you should be able to park what ever you want to
If you have a leisure vehicle, store it at kne of the many lots that accommodate them, no benefits to allowing people to litter streets with these large vehicles.
If you have rules / bylaws about parking of an RV/trailer then you need to enforce them so it's fair for everyone.
If you have the land to accommodate a trailer, what harm does it do to park one on it. Let's save the acreages for farming and reduce the amount of unnecessary miles driven with an RV adding to GHG's just to park 50km from our homes. Don't allow unsightly premises regardless if it's an RV or some tarped firewood. Other than that, let Calgarians enjoy their wild backyard by allowing reasonable RV use on their own property.
If you let people "park seasonally", they'll be there year round, and people will start living in them. Build outs, decks, and encroachment onto public spaces will be the norm. Give an inch/take a mile...
If you open the door to these types of recreational vehicles, what is stopping people from parking ski-doos, quads, etc.on their property, work vehicles, etc. on their property?
If you own one (as we do) you should accept the responsibility of finding suitable storage. It might not be as convenient to you to not be able to walk out your front door to it any time you want,BUT it is totally inconsiderate of you to inconvenience all of your neighbours for extended periods in exchange for your own convenience (and thrift). It's called being a good neighbour. And that's why these bylaws are in place
If you start impeding the parking of RVs and trailers, you are opening the door to impeding work trailers from parking in home driveways. This will lead to a domino effect that Will harm All Tradespeople in Calgary!
If you want to be green like you say you do then this is part of that solution. Less emissions when you can park at home and not spend an hour driving two and from a storage facility. Also, you're serving a large population who camps that live in the city and have difficulty finding parking let alone afford it now with inflation. Thanks for listening!
If you work a full-time job it is very difficult to only park in front of your house for 24 hrs if you are working the day you leave and having enough time to pack your RV the day before. 24 hrs is not nearly enough. I believe it should be a minimum of 72 hrs or more.
If your unit is on owner's driveway then your able to stock and unload your unit without being rushed. Also if you have to do any repairs on your RV you will have additional time.
I'm an RVer, but I don't believe anything, new or old, 1 month or 20 years, should be allowed to park on the front of a driveway or on the street. Fenced in back lot fine, but not in front of

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

someone's home - that is for any RV, small tent trailers, boats, class C's etc. Next thing, people will start renting them out for extra income in front of their home, or extended family will come live in them for a month at a time. Does not work for me.
Im ok with the current parking on the side and rear lanes. But it would be nice to increase the 36hr time in the summer season months.
I'm sure there would need to be some kind of monitoring so people aren't living in the vehicle year round parked on a driveway
I'm sure your team understands the impacts well
Imagine the greenhouse gases I have to burn, just to tow my trailer up to my father's yard in Lacombe during the off season to find affordable parking! Think of the trees! The whales!
Impact - ensuring that parking on a front driveway does not block access to sidewalks or pathways.
Impact - people overstaying the seasonal parking Benefit- would allow maintenance to be carried out over a longer period than 36 hrs
Impact of extending timeframe; Messy neighbourhoods, blind spots for drivers increasing accidents. 36 hours is perfect
Impact of needing timely response when infractions do occur. Bylaw is already stretched tight. Will this have further impact on their time and resources? Mental health and financial benefits to being able to access RVs and go away for weekends more easily, and not require storage/time/gas/etc to be able to benefit from experiencing more time in the great outdoors.
impact of very high cost of storage
Impact on seniors, cost, time and in convince of frequent moves for no clear safety reasons
impact to the environment less impact on traffic (reduces total trips - often during high traffic periods as people want to get out after work)
Impact will be multiple trailers on the front street for more then 36 hours when we don't have enough parking already.
Impacts - eyesore and safety concern with visibility. Parking availability and hitches sticking out into side walks. Bad idea. People are not responsible.
Impacts if any would be the by-law would need to state the proper procedure to secure the unit from rolling, example wheel chocks etc
Impacts - see above concern re: safety/ sightlines. Allowing RV's to be on property for more than 36 hours may encourage their use as a supplementary dwelling.
Impacts are safety of our citizens. As well it makes our community look trashy and cluttered. I own a travel and it's a luxury not a need to have. Part of that luxury is cost of storing it, and there are many storage facilities close by
Impacts are the fact that RVs are not that nice looking and that the added large size can be a distraction to the neighborhood. Also, by allowing the rv to be parked in a driveway you're taking revenue away from Rv storage facilities who are small business in the community and surrounding areas.
Impacts per above.
Impacts the community safety.
Impacts to neighbours





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Impacts to on street parking. Also traffic concerns as they are backing vehicles in and out of parking spaces. We have a lot of trees on the boulevards. That may get cut down so people can park their rv on lawns.
Impacts: certainly negative visual appeal of a neighborhood with several RVs down the block, devalue property if selling their property, could cause squabbles among neighbors.
Impacts: could lead to (even more) blocking of sidewalks when an owner tries to park their 40' motorhome in their 30' driveway.
Impede views.
Improves residential living standards
In a post-pandemic era, overrun by high prevalence of mental health issues, removing barriers for outdoor activities would increase uptake and help many to develop healthy habits. It has been proven that being in green spaces (e.g. forests) can significantly improve mental health, improve attention, lower stress, improve mood, and reduce risk of psychiatric disorders.
In a time when living is challenging for many due to external monetary factors. Some Calgarians would see this as a bit of a financial win that offsets the increase in property taxes as they no longer have to pay for RV storage.
In addition to the convenience, cost-effectiveness and sense of security it offers owners, it also encourages people to own an RV and spend their money in Alberta rather than go to the US for vacation or buy a vacation home in BC. In these tough economic times, allowing people to park their RV on their driveway for free would really provide some financial relief families need. People should be allowed to park their RV on their driveway for free as it is their property.
In addition to the reasons stated above it is important that there are reasonable rules attached to this. People must know they can't block signs / fire hydrants etc. While we should be inclusive, a massive motorhome probably wouldn't fit on the driveway but if it does then it shouldn't be excluded.
In addition, the definition of side, back and front of a property needs to be revisited especially for corner lots. My lot is rectangular on a corner. Bylaw states that the front of my house is on the narrowest side of the house. So the side of my house ends up being the front. By definition, I can't park my RV on the side of my house because it is the front according to Bylaw. Ludicrous! Why isn't the front automatically where the main entrance is. If you change as above, this becomes academic
In congested, newer communities where driveways typically are barely the length of a car, perhaps there is an argument to be made for restricting the driveway parking of RVs to the off-season only.
In cul de sacs and on snow routes - should not be allowed. at all, ever. Perhaps have a permit application process? If someone knows they need to store it greater than 36 hours, they can apply for a permit.
In extreme heat, we usually use our trailer for AC, as we do not have it in our home
In most cases people already parking for longer than 36 hours - extending the deadline would make it harder for "problem" RVs where people intentionally flaunt the rules/laws.
In my ward many houses don't have driveways which's leads them to be left on the street attached and un attached for longer then 36 hours.
in new residential drive way parking is all there is where will everyone park?

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

in our area there are few front drives they have to be approved on a site basis, most rv owners use their units once or twice a year, myself included, their convenience is not worth the cost to communities
In our area, Midvalley Crescent, RVs are known to park on the Street with side slides open. This is dangerous especially on blind corners and at night as there are no markers on the slides to alert drivers.
In stead of storage fees yearly this could go toward our yearly property taxes. Things are so expensive now this is the last thing people have to enjoy some sort of getaway. And due to needing a bank loan for gas to pull the unit it would be nice not to have to pay storage
In the event this is passed RV owners cannot "rent" the rv out as a source of revenue during "stampede" as an example or running generators to power the rv's appliances ie a/c. If YYC is looking to monitor this then make a high visibility printable permit that is to be put in the window of the rv with an end date of when the time limit is up much like a camping permit
In the inner city parking is increasingly an issue, grown with the city allowing permits for all apartments and rvs make it worse. People should have to park on their lots or off site.
In these hard times of inflation I think giving a break to responsible RV owners is important, so they have the opportunity to save money for the vacations to use the RV, instead of paying costly fees to store it.
In these inflationary times, it would help RV owners have a cost-effective solution for at least partial storage fees. It also makes it so it's more convenient to be able to use your RV, if you don't have to go to an offsite storage yard to retrieve and return it every time you want to use it. The current enforcement model that is complaint driven, is not necessarily fairly applied either.
In this "Climate Emergency" people will use less fuel driving too and from storage facilities outside the city. Letting people enjoy their lives and leisure will lead to a more diverse and vibrant City.
In this area, neighbors are respectful of the parking spaces required by residents and communicate when an RV will be parked on the street/in a driveway. However I believe that setting a limit on how large an RV can be while parked on a residential roadway (not driveway) may be ideal, so sightlines are not overly impeded. Ex: large class A motor home parked on a narrow street vs a 12 ft boat on trailer impact would impact the street very differently.
In this city, there really aren't many homes that have spots to park their RVs/trailers. If they can, I say let them!! As well, the city should look at extending street parking limitations for people like myself who r disabled and need extra time.
Inappropriate domiciles within private property ( living in garages, sheds and RVs ) is becoming a huge problem within the city. This must be prevented. Further relaxing of the bylaws surrounding RVs will only make it easier for persons to live this way. If anything, the current bylaw needs to be more heavily enforced.
-Inconvenience of having to go get your RV from a storage lot, and oftentimes storage lots having limited hours to access your RV - Storage lots often have inadequate security monitoring and are not liable for any damage/theft from the stored RVs -Additional expense when household expenses in other areas are on the rise. Having an RV/camping is a way to make travel and holidaying more accessible. We use our camper year round, during the week and on weekends so the 36 hour limitation is extremely problematic for us.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Increase desire to live here, access to mountains, and much easier for citizens to get there using the RV, without hassle of parking elsewhere.
Increase the allowable time from 36 hours to 48 hours.
Increased dumping of trailer waste stations will probably be needed.
Increased positive perception of municipal taxes, reduced crime rate and volume of thefts for City police to investigate, therefore more time to tackle other crises
Increased potential for theft, vandalism etc
Increased property value: Seasonal parking for RVs can actually increase the value of homes, as it provides a convenient and accessible location for storage. Space utilization: Seasonal parking can help make better use of available space in front of homes, and help to minimize the need for additional parking facilities. Reduced traffic congestion: reduce the need for owners to drive to storage facilities or parks, reducing traffic congestion and improving safety on roads. Community Building: Seasonal parking can create a sense of community among RV owners, and provide an opportunity for residents to share their love of the outdoors and engage in recreational activities together.
Increased safety in the neighbourhood.
Increased street parking for vehicles will make it harder to be safe for pedestrians and people will let their hitches pass the easement into sidewalks.
Increased theft in communities where more RVs will be present, since they're harder to secure than a regular home and contain more valuables than a vehicle. More issues with sidewalks being blocked, forcing pedestrians into the street (extra challenging for wheelchair users, strollers, children, etc) - this is an issue I see often in the summer and have to navigate around with limited mobility.
Inflation is hurting a lot of people right now and owning an RV is an affordable way to enjoy family time and vacations without overspending; however, RV storage prices cut into what could be spent on making memories at a time when money is already tight. Having the option to store an RV at home ensures families can continue camping and vacationing without the added financial stress.
Inflation is through the roof and being able to save money not having to pay to store an RV would definitely help.
Initially good communication and then Enforcement may be required.
Instead of ticketing people, you can use resources for something else if they are allowed.
Is a lifted pick up truck or full size van any different of a view obstruction than an RV that fits in the same driveway? This seems like a ill willed by-law that was invented by someone who didn't like their Neighbour with a trailer. Also, someone in our neighbourhood has had a sea-can in their driveway for 4 years (maybe longer but that's how long we have lived here) but trailers aren't allowed. Seems silly.
Is better and more beneficial to park my boat in my own driveway than on the street, where it takes parking spaces that others can use for parking while visiting the neighborhood.
Is it expensive to own an RV of any kind and having to park it adds to the expense. Homeowner should be able to park on their own property without having to ask the city for permission
Issues with street parking for Large Units due to esthetics and safety.
It actually makes me nervous to think the city might allow this. I have an 8 year old daughter and we have so many issues with safety already, like cars not stopping at crosswalks, cars parking blocking the sidewalk, cars DRIVING on the sidewalk, cars parked at corners and



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

blocking the sidewalk..this would just add one more safety concern. Why don't we work on making our sidewalks safe for our kids instead of creating another problem.
It allows people to easily load their units and keep this aspect of rving from being so stressful
It allows us to have our trailer close and allow us to get it ready when we can. This would make our lives so much easier!
It benefits the owners to not have to travel, sometimes great distances, to pick up their recreational vehicle, particularly for frequent campers.
It can also be hard to see while having our if your driveways with campers in the drive next to you. I think if you showed Calgarians what their streets will look like (say a picture of a congested Grande Prairie neighborhoods with RVs everywhere) they might not be in favor of the convenience.
It can be cost saving for rv owners. If not managed well there could be parking issues in residential areas and possibly safety issues for pedestrians.
It can block visibility on residential streets. It is enticing for theft. It can be dangerous for children if not locked up properly.
It can feel unsafe to store your vehicle due to an increase in thefts. Storing in more secure lots increases costs. Its inconvenient, and it's costly.
It costs money to have to park it elsewhere
It could potentially block sightlines if proper set backs are not met - especially around corners. It becomes an issue as to what is considered "unsightly and unkept" It would increase work loads on an already overworked bylaw team.
It creates peace and harmony. This is our property. We pay very high property taxes . The city owns the sidewalks. Yet owners are responsible. Doesn't make sense. Other provinces the city is responsible for their sidewalks.
it depends on the condition of the unit, no one want an old rusty unit in there line of site all day.
It does offer more security with your vehicle being under camera and in a more public place, as opposed to a dark alley.
It doesn't block vehicle sight. The city need to back off having control on so many thing that's doesn't effect them. Other jurisdictions allow this and people have zero issue with it
It doesn't hurt anybody to have even visible.
It Encourages families to get outdoors and head to our beautiful mountains. The decreased stress on my husband and I would prevent fights due to the current frenzy. So improved mental health of Calgarians. The cost of storage is \$1500/year in our area which is ridiculous.
It feels unfair that an RV can be in a backyard no problem yet someone who does not have access to a back lane must pay significantly to store a vehicle
It frees up city employee time so they can address actual issues rather than mailing out warnings and checking in on such a nonsensical issue. Allows more people to go camping b/c access becomes easier snd more affordable
It frees up street parking for residents, and avoids being an obstacle for moving vehicles to see around, which is safer for pedestrians.
It gets the community more of a watch dog of what is going on around them
It give the people an opportunity to save money and have access to a family friendly activity
It gives owners more flexibility to access there rv without the limitations



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It helps make Calgary a more livable city!!
It in front of my house not someone else save fuel save us money and less carbon tax for the god dam liberal government who a crack head Calgary would do us a favour and save us some money
It is a better carbon foot print keeping RV closer to the home. Less travel back and forth to load unload and prep the vehicles.
It is a cost savings and time savings for people that have units. When they are using them for the camping season they do not need to go and pick it up from storage which in some cases can be an hour outside of city limits.
It is a deterrent to own a RV due to the current bylaws.
It is a difficult topic. It is a benefit to the owner but not to the neighbours.
It is a free country and I own my property. Society is changing and calgary needs to be innovative in this regard.
It is a great and progressive approach in supporting your city if calgary tax paying constituents, without a significant risk or compromise to the general public.
I advocate for personal parking of RVs that fit within the property owners driveway provided they are in safe condition.
It is a HAZARD and promotes criminal activity to go unseen
It is a no wonder that we hear of bylaw officers getting verbally assaulted and sometimes, although unacceptable, physically assaulted while "just doing their job". How about the city minds it's own business when it comes to private property and stop inflicting more taxes (fines) upon us. When parks are well kept, boulevards mowed, city sidewalks cleared and all the streetlights are working then maybe look at what we are doing on our own personal private property.
It is an eye sore to see these extra vehicles and trailers and I agree it poses a safety concern as it makes roadways tight and alleys congested too. As an RV owner, I am not in favour of extending this time and feel the current t 36 hour bylaw should be enforced. We have two RVs on our block that have not moved for months at a time!
It is challenging to find eve storage and can be expensive
It is convenient for the Calgarians to have their RV and trailers in their driveway. Is save cost and time and allow them to fully benefit of their property. It does not negatively affect anyone and benefit the owners.
It is easy to deal with the public when people are happy then when they are upset because they received a warning or a ticket to park in a drive or on the street with an tv.
It is expensive to live here. Taxes go up. This helps save storage money to make living possible.
It is expensive to rent offsite parking.
It is extremely difficult for a trailer or RV owner to be able to enjoy their unit without being able to park it to load or clean it. Parking it on the street for short (no more than 3 or 4 days) is very important to us.
It is extremely expensive to store these types of vehicles these days, not just the rent, but the gas costs of moving the vehicles back and forth further and further out of town,
It is extremely expensive to store these types of vehicles these days, not just the rent, but the gas costs of moving the vehicles back and forth further and further out of town,
It is good for people to have easy access to their RVs





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It is hard for packing and unpacking of trailers prior to camping as well as cleaning purposes afterwards or before hand to not have the ability to have trailers parked on the driveway for a period of time prior to the weekend. Sometimes the trailers need to stay on peoples driveways until they go again camping on the weekend.
It is important to encourage outdoor activities in Alberta and by reducing the cost for homeowners of owning a recreational vehicle (through reducing seasonal parking costs) we are encouraging more outdoor pursuits.
It is inconvenient to move your RV if for example you plan to use it two weekends in a row, it needs cleaning or other repairs that may take longer than 36 hours
It is inefficient and costly to be moving a trailer to and from a storage space when there is room in your driveway.
It is less stressful when you have time to pack your RV without worrying about getting a ticket.
It is more cost effective for people to use their RV at leisure. Storage fees are an added cost to an already expensive life.
It is much safer for some owners to leave or unhook trailer in front of their home to run an errand go to grocery store or fuel up.
It is my property and I should be allowed to park my small trailer on my own driveway during the summer. It may be reasonable to limit trailer size (ie a 36 foot trailer may bit a bit too much for some neighbours) but honestly I should be able to park my trailer. With the advent of 3 garbage bins on most driveways nowadays having a well kept trailer is no more of an eye sore.
It is my understanding that approximately 15% of Canadian households own an RV. Why should the other 85% have to put up with all of the negative impacts of parking an RV on a front driveway.
It is safer for owners to have their rvs near by as they can do repairs, check on electrical or other.
It is SO frustrating to see others being able to park their trailer on their driveways just a street over as they are fortunate not to live near our neighbour. I'm glad for them. I just wish we were allowed the same privelidge. The only way that would happen is if the bylaw was changed to allow driveway parking.
It is unsightly & very inconvenient having RVs / trailers parking on streets. They do need to be off streets much quicker as they consume several parking spots and many owners are very inconsiderate
It is useful if one needs to do repairs on the driveway and not have to worry about the time restrictions and also safer if the unit is parked on the road.
It is very convenient to have the trailer at home in between camping trips.
It is very expensive and hard to find a place to store your trailer these days. I think a more important issue though is new trailer owners who do not understand the street parking bylaws for trailers in the summer and other seasons when they are used, as many people are leaving them unhitched on the street everywhere
It is very expensive and inconvenient to store an RV
It is very expensive to rent a spot to park an RV. The cost savings to be able to park at home are huge, considering the amount of taxes and other expenses we already have to pay.
It is very expensive to store an RV in a off site location. Also, during the season it is much more convenient to have it in hand than in a storage site.
It is very obstructive and people sometime keep it there longer than 36hrs. If extended people will keep it forever and it is difficult to drive around and for kids to play or walk on sidewalks.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

36 hrs should be enough, why people will need more than that. Just bring it 1 day in advance of you trip to pack and un pack.
It keeps families being able to go camping often and enjoy life . By putting the toy in a storage lot then it adds time like 4 hours every time You drop it off and pick it up,(1 outing)
It looks messy and can block views of doorways which could increase crime.
It makes it easier for the R V owners for maintenance,safety,and saves the owners extra cost for storage
It makes it easier to get out camping (convenience). It also would reduce the number of trips of the rv on community streets (each camping trip owner needs to fetch the rv from storage, bring it home to load, leave to go camping, return from camping to unload, and the drive to the storage) as opposed to just going camping and return
It makes it easier to pack for family .don't want to waste a vacation day packing..working during the day then getting kids to sports leave little time for preparing trailer for hauling
It makes no sense that you cannot park in your driveway but you can leave it in front of the house in the streets for longer period
It makes no sense to be allowed to park an RV on the street longer than private property
It makes people's lives better!
It makes RV ownership more feasible for a larger number of people and can have significant quality of life benefits. It also expands the market for RVs so there is a an economic spin off effect.
It may be cost-effective from a gas (and time) perspective to park at your home but if you have a parking lease you're likely paying year round or you lose your spot. You'd have to fact check this but I'd guess simply extending the seasonal parking won't save people their annual parking lease fees. And if it does, the monthly fees are likely to go up to compensate for the loss. Pure speculation, of course.
It may be necessary to have an RV on your driveway for more than 36 hours if you are doing some maintenance on it or if you are Unloading it or preparing it for a trip and weather is not good or other circumstances don't allow for it to be done within 36 hours. I think 5 days would be enough. I don't think seasonal parking should be allowed due to safety and blocking of sight lines.
It may convince people to buy an RV, which would probably enhance Alberta's vacation industries rather than these same people using a condo in BC and spending their vacation dollars outside Alberta.
It may entice people to camp in Alberta more often than go to BC or the USA.
It may make sense to provide guidance for seasonal parking to address issues like visibility. Or maybe seasonal parking is limited to RVs under a certain size.
It may not be a benefit, but the bylaw could be modified to allow people to use their driveway parking as they want as long as it's not bothering anyone else. The current bylaw seems weighted too much on eliminating any possible negative impact to others rather than a balanced approach to allow people to use their driveways responsibly.
It means people won't be parking them on the road taking up valuable street parking space. I know most new communities have almost no street parking options.
It might cause some extra cars to be parked on the street (since the driveway would be occupied).
It more cost efficient to store a tv on your own driveway then storing it in someone's field. It's convenient and if it not bothering people on your street why should the city get involved.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It needs to be a certain distance from the curb so that when pulling out of driveway one can see around it.
When on a lot next to an intersection it needs to be a certain distance from corner to see around a tall trailer
It needs to be stored safely, wood blocks in front of the wheels and insured.
It only is an eyesore when someone is selling their property however I am sure people would move their unit is asked to in order for the sale of a home .
It reduces costs for campers to be able to seasonally store their RV at their home location.
It reduces the storage costs in these difficult times.
It saves a lot of greenhouse gases if you can keep it a bit longer, rather than bringing it back and forth while doing repair work, for example.
It saves on the cost of storage
It saves people money on having to rent a spot somewhere, that may not even be close to them.
It saves space in the city and reduces the need for storage facilities. We have an alley and I would love to park our unit there. It is hardly used and would be nice to have it close.
It saves the owners money on storage fees, owners can come and go at any time to use the RV. RV's have to be registered and insured just like a vehicle. This bylaw makes absolutely no sense. I think the city has greater concerns to take care of than harrassing RV owners.
It seems clear to me that an all out ban on parking RV's is ridiculous. There should be clear guidelines as to distances from property lines, sightlines, height restrictions and esthetics. If those are not met then deal with those individual cases instead of assuming that everyone who owns an RV is going to have an unsightly trailer parked improperly encroaching on neighbors and city property.
It seems the rules are not applied equally depending on the type of rv. We have previously received a letter from the city while a neighbour has had no issues with a tent trailer on their driveway. As well, there are many work vehicles (I'm mainly referring to large vans and other specific work vehicles) that are parked on driveways which equally have the negative impacts outlined on this page. Often these are parked in front of neighbour's houses meaning that they have this imposed on them.
It seems unfair that some Calgary homes, whose community design gives owners the opportunity to have their RVs "at home", while others do not.
It should be built in the building code to design houses and yards to have space to park a rv that doesnt cause a infraction.
It should not be up to the City if you store your RV on your driveway as long as it is not unsightly. Also, given that the City has declared an environmental emergency, wouldn't it make more sense for people to be allowed to store them on their own property rather than driving back and forth constantly to get their RV's outside of the City - not very environmentally friendly all that gas usage...
It should not be used to house tenants or others for more say 3 days in any one month unless in an emergency when this could be extended (ie fire or flood victims etc)
It shouldn't be up to the city to make the decision. It's not their property. We pay property tax, we pay the mortgage. We should be the ones who decide what we park there.
It shouldn't be allowed and you are also infringing on business that store these and would be taking away alot of business and or cause deaths as they are a sight hazard.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It supports outdoor living, gets families back out into nature and promotes traveling and visiting alberta parks which puts money back into the province.
it takes more emissions off of the road when trailers are being pulled back and forth to storage places.
It takes more time to get an RV ready for a vacation than it does for a weekend. It also takes longer to pack than it does to unpack. It is also important to get the fridge cooled off before packing it. You also need to be aware of slides on a RV. Sometimes they have to be extended in order to pack.
It takes much longer then 36hrs to get a travel trailer ready for the season or closed for the season. Not allowing people to store their trailers on their own property, encourages people to move outside the city. I don't see the difference between a travel trailer and renting a house out to 6+ people who all have cars and take up parking on street with all of the vehicles.
It takes time to load and unload a vehicle before and after an outing. During the camping season there is likely to be frequent trips. When there is a rush to make ready, accidents will happen.
It truly is not practical to only have 36hr to (un)load a tent trailer. It needs more time to vent/air out and perform maintenance. Consider a bylaw that requires the tent trailers to be closed while not in maintenance/preparation for use.
It was absolutely ridiculous that the family whose home caught fire could not stay in their camper while their home was being rebuilt. Have some compassion for heavens sake.
It was nice to see the City relax this bylaw throughout Covid- I think that most residents were respectful of the rules. This would be a benefit to travelers coming in for public events like Stampede or festivals. Perhaps extra accommodation would be more acceptable in this instance.
It was used as a quarantine location during Covid.
It will lighten up by law calls and letters being sent out,
It will also stop neighbours from reporting each other based in what they like or don't like. And it's just the right thing to do
It will be hard on the greedy storage providers...and that's a good thing. They have gotten out of hand with this damn by-law basically forcing people to store their holiday trailers because the city said so.
It will be important to ensure that certain areas around parks or green space do not become RV storage yards.
It will be interesting to see if seasonal parking proceeds, whether the local storage lot industry would adapt and offer winter-only pricing. Many currently operate on annual contracts. Secondly, if seasonal parking is allowed, then I would want to see enforcement of the ban in winter. Many RVs and trailers already park permanently in their front driveways in mine and surrounding neighborhoods.
It will decrease property values
It will help with the cost of storage, convince for bigger diy projects that require more time to complete
It will impact already limited parking on residential streets. In my opinion this would be a disaster and make a lot of people mad at their neighbours.
It will increase steet parking which makes neighbourhods less safe for childen and pedestrians.
It will make our residential streets look like storage lots

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It will potentially close some of the storage lots if they lose business for half a year. There is already a lack of storage lots in Calgary.
It will reduce CO2 emissions to not have home owners going back and forth to their RV storage outside of the city if they were able store them at home.
It would allow families the ability to get out camping faster and easier because you are not required to have to worry about picking up/dropping off trailers. I believe it would also help reduce break ins at public storage lots.
It would allow more access for owners. But cant be used as second residence. Parking and loading and no long term 72 hr stays living in the trailer
It would allow us to go camping more often because it saves a lot of time meaning more time to travel and enjoy the RV
It would be a large time and money savings for calgarians who currently need to haul their EC to storage after each weekend.
It would be good if RV parking regulations are more widely publicized. We had only learnt about the rules only after we got the RV. Would be useful if the dealers have to warn new buyers about possible issues with parking.
It would be good to allow more than 36hrs on street parking too. Even 48hrs would allow enough time to get home from work and leave for the trip
It would be ideal if many more of us could have back or side RV parking. It is expensive and inconvenient to park on storage lots. Having more time to load and unload the RV and to occasionally use it as additional guest space is ideal. I do not agree with people parking and living for extended stays. Not all would do this but those that would take advantage of it are usually the ones you want to deter from doing so.
It would be more convenient for individuals but would overall bring down neighborhoods.
It would be nice if there are trips close together to park the trailer at the house for up to a week or two rather than waste time and gas moving it back and forth from a storage lot, with the increased price be of gas this can really add up
It would be nice to be able to have a trailer unhooked for a period of time out front. Having it connected to a tow vehicle takes up more space than if it could be unhooked during the 36hr front street parking.
It would be nice to extend the time an RV can be parked on the street in front of your residence as well. Ideally without having to be connected to a tow vehicle. Our RV does not fit in a driveway, we are very rushed. Again, not an entire season, but perhaps 5-7 days
It would be nice to have more time to load up or clean out and clean up trailer I think 4 to 5 days is plenty
It would be nice to know where the review was initiated – standard practice reviews every X years, communities, individuals, city council, RV rental lot owners, Bylaw officers, .....?
It would be nice to see the 36 hour window for street-parking extended to detached trailers as well. Not all homes have driveways to store such for even short periods. Owners try and get around the bylaw by leaving the trailer attached to the tow vehicle, and often for longer that the 36 hour time window.
It would be one less thing families have to worry about when getting ready or planning a camping trip. Plus, easy access to your trailer means you are more likely to take your family on a much-needed camp and get away
It would be unsightly and could quite possibly create other problems such as travellers deciding they can now park and stay overnight in their rvs. Living next to a park could then be more attractive to such people and if they have animals, who would clean up?





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It would be very convenient for the owner. We used be extremely stressed out before and after each camping trip to make sure that we meet times lines. Sometimes sightings are affected when turning. But that is no different than a work truck or large van.
It would cut down on the number of 311 calls during the specified allowable timeline for RV storage at a residence. This would allow By-law officers to attend to other more urgent matters.
It would encourage more Calgarians to camp and enjoy Alberta's nature. Potentially less theft from RV yards.
It would free up street parking for a lot of people.
It would help a lot of people save money in the camping months with not having to pay storage fees. And with everything being so expensive now a days that's huge for a lot of people.
It would help Calgarians save money.
It would help people's budgets, not having to pay storage fees for their RVs over the summer, when not in use.
It would help reduce the financial burden of having to pay for storage.
It would help with my bills.
It would improve quality of life for those who like to camp with minimal impact on others.
It would make for happier residents as we wouldn't have to be doing the extra traveling back and forth from storage. Decreasing traffic and emissions.
It would make sense to possibly extend the 36 hour limit to period 48, but certainly NOT the entire season!
it would promote access to the outdoors and easy with the busy schedules of families
It would save money, which is important these days as "The City" appears to think we homeowners have bottomless pockets to pay for all sorts of [removed] that "The City" deems necessary these days. Too bad "The City" couldn't concentrate on simple things like...road repairs and clearing snow.
It would save people a lot of time and money if they didn't have to store their trailer in winter or if they could even have it parked on their driveway for half the year when they are using it for trips
It would save people money on storage costs and they'd have more to spend on family enrichment activities
It would save persons money from not renting .
It would save us a ton of money and time!!
It wouldn't appear fair for a residence with a driveway to seasonally park an RV while a property without a driveway (or one over length) could not. This change would only benefit a certain number of residents. Also, we are already seeing an increase in auto related break-ins and I believe we would see a spike in incidents as thieves would be drawn to the RVs and therefore the neighborhoods.
It wouldn't be so bad if current bylaws were consistently followed. For example, we received a warning when we had our trailer on the street for only 24 hours, with our truck attached, yet neighbours have their motorhome parked in their driveway, hanging over the sidewalk year round and have never received any notices.
It your property as In mine not yours.
It's a benefit to everyone to encourage people to get out into the outdoors and remove unnecessary impediments to this action



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It's a huge inconvenience to have to move it.
It's a lot less pressure reload and clean out an RV if you have a bit more time to do that 72 hours would work for me.
It's a property/vehicle we have purchased, it's our summer home and we have belongs in it, we take pride in taking care of it and use it frequently. It is very convenient to have it close by to use, maintain and see that it is secure. Saves on time with our family as there ready to go, gas and payments for storage.
It's actually better for my neighbours if I can keep it in driveway, as backing up trailers is difficult/time consuming and often creates traffic jams on the street while attempting.
Just make sure the bylaw states you need _____ open distance on end of driveway (so as to not block sight lines of oncoming traffic)
It's all about freedom and allowing people to live their lives peacefully and enjoying the great outdoors because work is stressful enough. I also take no issue to allowing trailers and boats on driveways all year round
It's already listed but I want to emphasize Security of our property. Rv and campers are expensive. I currently store mine off site and am always concerned about its security as well security of its contents. It's not unreasonable for city to accommodate owners where reasonable.
It's convenient, there is a sense of security, and also cheaper! Storing a trailer is pricey.
It's convenient. Storage is costly and it can add a lot of time and gas expense to move the trailer before and after using it. Summers are short and weekends away would be far more feasible if you didn't have to rush the trailer back to storage.
It's cost effective for RV owners to be able to store their equipment at their homes.
It's costly to store an RV offsite and given the amounts we pay in property tax, the already high costs of living in Calgary, and these inflationary times, it makes no sense to add further costs to residents.
It's far more important for the well-being of our society to encourage people to maintain their property, rather than restrict what is parked on or near it. It's well documented that neighborhoods that are not derelict maintain lower rates of crime. Start working to improve the quality of life through community improvement and stop worrying about people parking rvs and boats.
It's good for people's souls to make decisions on their own. Please just stop.
It's hard enough to book a campsite these days and the costs just keep increasing every year. The added expense and inconvenience of having to retrieve and store your unit just add to the burden
It's hard to find affordable storage for your travel. Being able to park in your drive in the spring/ summer helps with bills.
It's important to support rv owners, as for lots of people, this is the cheapest form of family holiday they can reasonably afford. Sometimes cleaning an RV out after arriving home from a long drive with little ones takes more than 36 hours to complete.
It's just seasonal. Let the rv users store their rv in there driveways.
It's mainly convenience for the resident this could change how storage companies operate it's a hit to their bottom line if storage is only for 6 mos
It's much more easier to have the trailer at your home during the duration of summer camping season.
It's not your home. Mind your business and let them park on their own property year round.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It's our driveways
It's personal property. Why can't it be used as such on your own land/property
It's safer to have your RV outside of your house
It's sometimes difficult to pack and unpack and clean out in a short amount of time
It's the owners land.
It's time to update the bylaw. Other cities have already made the change.
It's very inconvenient to load and work on your Rv in such a short period of time
It's your property
It's a huge expense for summer storage.
Its a rule that should be updated. Effort should be spend dealing with bigger issues them people parking their RVs in their driveways. Like people who drive 90km down my street. The RVs may slow them down.
Its a stay cation for most Alberta's these days and for Calgary to allow seasonal parking on your own driveway helps Calgarians to quickly load and go to go explore Alberta. In addition many park these types of vehicles on roads that often time cause a safety Hazzard on a driveway can eliminate that Hazzard
It's a waste of gas to drive it to and from a storage lot all summer, especially for weekend use.
It's also better to have them parked where you can keep an eye on them on your property somebody's already tried to steal mine from secure storage
It's also important to know that, where I live, I dont have street parking even remotely close to my house. I live in a cul de sac that is surrounded by roads that have no parking zones. that makes it impossible to charge my camper without being on my driveway and cleaning my camper when I have little kids is impossible if its too far from my house. Please, please, please improve the rules to benefit us for the Spring - Fall season so we can enjoy the great outdoors in Canada!!
It's cheaper for me to have it in my driveway. Calgary is getting to expensive to live in.
It's convenient to have the RV at home, particularly for those that do a lot of camping.
It's expensive to store RVs.
It's hard enough to have people maintain a clean and tidy yard, without adding extra detracting trailers or boats.
It's hard for people to get their trailer from a storage lot and pack and then go camping and come home to unpack quickly and take it back to storage. Extending the time would make it more convenient.
It's important for one's family to have the convenience of having their RV on THEIR property. Also, for security purposes, so it can be monitored. Today, 700 catalytic converters were seized (1.4 million) by police and it's very likely a number of these were from RV units. There are far more pressing issues such as yards that aren't properly cared for over the summer months. Taxpayers should be able to enjoy their RVs without adding extra burdens (financial & inconvenience).
Its important rules are enforced. Rules must be clear. RV's should fit on a driveway, not obstruct sidewalks.
It's important to keep RVs as designated for storage and parking, and not living in. Lots of discouragement and fines should apply to keep people from turning them into rentals or drug flops, or free camping
It's my property why can I not park my RV on it
It's nice to have your trailer safe on your own property where you can watch it and not pay a fee to store it.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It's possible that if the on-driveway time was extended (spring to fall, for example) there would be reduced on-street RV parking. That would actually improve visibility for drivers on the road and increase overall safety.
It's safer to keep the trailer at your home rather than going back and forth to the storage site repeatedly especially if you're camping back to back weekends or only have a few days between trips. People are busy and it would be more convenient and would save time if the trailer could be parked at the home for longer. Save emissions from driving and less trailers on the road as often.
Just another money grab
Just because you don't own a trailer, doesn't mean you should make it harder for others.
Just calms potential conflicts by allowing - addressing neighbors who want their preferences to law over others
Just cause a neighbor complains doesn't mean they are right. An RV is not an eye sore.
Just convenience.
Just do it
Just let people park where they want to park.
Just like current property upkeep bylaws, there can be a reporting system in place for clearly broken down, leaking (thinking grey/black water), or dereliction of front drive parked vehicles.
Just reduces the stress of preparing for a trip. I could see short term allowances for emergencies where extra rooming is required. Otherwise, storage is something buyers should be considering when purchasing RVs.
Just the limited parking this would create with seasonal road parking.
Just to have patience over the summer months with travel trailer/ boat owners.
Just what I said above about wasted trips.
Karen's are all over they want to stop any fun by any resident.
Keep bylaw as is
Keep our city livable, let property owners who pay taxes for the roadways park whatever they want on them as long as it does t obstruct the view fir TRAFFIC purposes
Keep out of your citizens business. Provide police, fire etc. Pick up the garbage, clean the roads. Stop wasting our money on things you have no business in.
Keep RV parking rules the way they are
Keep rvs out of the neighbourhood, nothing to steal=less thieves
Keep the city beautiful. If we wanted to live in a trailer park - we would move to a trailer park. Keep the eye sore trailers out of the city.
Keep the rules for parking on city roads the same
Keep them off of front drive ways and front streets as much as possible. I don't want to look at large units parked in front drives all season - and I don't want others to have to look at ours all season either.
Keeping it on the driveway allows it to be plugged in so the lighting and fridge works.
Keeping the area clean of vehicles that do not move on the street and are just left there. Should be a time that they have to be moved .So that city could make the proper cleanups they need to do ,
Keeping the trailers away from roads in residential areas other than taking away or returning would be of value
Keeps it off the street



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Keeps RVs from parking on street and possibly obstructing or intruding on street parking for other residents and those without driveways.
Keeps RV's off of Calgary roads unnecessarily making trips back and forth to storage facilities.
Keeps them off the street and frees up parking for others
Kids can have a picnic-party or pretend-camp for a limited time and very little cost. My family can "escape" to a public recreation area easily and without intense planning and extra driving to RV storage, and help support tourism and responsible recreation locally. Mental health is maintained more effectively by more short trips than by fewer long trips, which can't easily be rescheduled if something goes sideways (as things do on occasion).
Kids riding bikes and playing will be harder to see with RV's more permanently parked in residential areas
Kindly make it illegal to park and work on broken down vehicles in residential areas. The noise and smell reduce my ability to enjoy my property. And since my neighbor's garage and driveway are full with half dismantled vehicle they then use all available on street parking and/ park halfway on their driveway and halfway on the street making it more difficult for me to back out of my driveway.
Kindness
Lack of enforcement around issues could lead to temporary trailer parks.
Lack of residential parking. If people park thier RVs on thier driveways they will require more on street parking for their other vehicles.
Lack of residential parking. Lack of prompt action on RV 36 hr offenders. Lack of enforcement
Large RVs can block sunlight to a neighbours property. They can obstruct visibility which is most dangerous for children.
large RV's could be an issue as they tend to take up a lot of room.
Large RVs look terrible sitting in a community. Out of town guests living in them should not be allowed.
Large RVs would cause people to try to park these units on property's not designed for easy access. This is why most people would prefer to have these RVs in storage yards designed for storage of RVs or parked in campgrounds. Parking in most residential areas is hard enough to find so RVs left on the streets whilst waiting for your driveway to become cleared of a vehicle to free up parking your RV. The space on the street now would be taken up by the vehicle that was on your driveway.
Large RVs, Fifth wheels and long trailers are significantly larger than other defined RVs and likely need a reduced time. 36 hours seems short though.
Large units and or poor condition do effect the look and view of a community I agree. Ext pickup trucks are on average 19.8ft long. An average small trailer is that length or smaller; a tent trailer is only 16ft in length and 4ft in height when compacted. Yet this is not permitted. Allow small trailers as long as they are under certain size, condition and not unsightly messy. Large units allow 72 hours.
Large units obstruct the view of drivers and pedestrians. I agree that there should be a unit length and height related to the measurement of the driveway limitation.
Last year our truck broke down and we had to leave our RV in front of our house until we could get a family member to help. We felt like we were going to be penalized for having our trailer parked out front for a few extra day. The current 36 hour limit is really not enough time given unexpected things come up which make it difficult sometimes to time the pick up and drop off of the RV with in that 36 hours.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Leaking of waste from the rv
Leave a good thing alone
Leave property owners alone and let us own and use our property.
Leave the citizens alone
Leave us alone and find efficiencies in the city
Leave us alone regarding rvs, and backyard chickens
Leave well enough alone
Leaving an RV unhooked on a residential street is currently not allowed. The time limit that applied to leaving a RV hooked up to a vehicle should be applied to an unhooked RV. This allows families to use their tow vehicle for a short duration without towing the RV around. The typical routine is to return on a Sunday, unload the camper, then return it to the storage yard on Monday evening. Allowing the RV to be unhooked for less than 24hours would be beneficial.
legally I could put my rv in front of my house for specified hours and because of its size it makes it impossible for two cars and two cyclists to go down the road. Then the city could make our road a one way with cycle lane That would be efficient. Changes to road patterns here already force me to drive through a couple of playground zones to my house. I don't care about the speed but forcing traffic to drive through playground zones increases risk of car kid interaction.
Length of the RV. Massive RVs could be an issue, but small is okay.
Leniencies or case by case exemptions to some of the rules would be nice. We have an rent trailer but our driveway is too steep to park the trailer on. Bylaw rules prohibit the trailer being on the street without a vehicle attached, but the combined length of our vehicle and trailer make it impossible to park on our street without blocking neighbors driveways. Given that there are lots of inoperable vehicles parked on the streets, it never made sense why a secured but unhooked RV isn't allowed
Less back and forth moving RVs between home and storage facility means less traffic on roads and less use of fuel, meaning less green-house gas emissions.
Less calls to bylaw, leaving the officers available for other, perhaps more dangerous infractions....like pouring oil down the sewers, junk yards blowing garbage around the neighborhood, etc. I already pay for my property use through taxes...I really shouldn't have to pay to store my rv...I wouldn't have to pay to store a vehicle in my yard permanently...no matter what shape it's in or for how long. I have neighbors who put their mini van in the front yard, on the grass, for the whole winter.
Less carbon footprint and trailer traffic having to pick up and parking the RV at a lot every time you go camping
Less commuting to pickup/drop off RV at storage lot causing less city pollution
Less complaints for bylaw to have to investigate, which saves time and money. It will save gas with less trips to storage areas, saving families money and better for environment.
Less cost on family's for storage. Less time lost in retrieving trailers, easier to keep an eye on them with security cameras.
Less driving and cost in gas retrieving the RV for weekend trips
Less driving around to RV storage = less pollution.
Less driving to and from storage facility, greener solutions. Especially if you go camping every weekend.
Less driving to storage, enviro impact, etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Less driving to/from trailer storage (emissions/ roadway congestion).
There should be enforcement of trailers which impact sidewalk use or are in poor condition.
Less emissions from driving back and forth to storage.
Less emissions taking it back and forth.
Less environmental impact / emissions from vehicles. Less need for large RV storage facilities
Less environmental impact with towing to to a private property and leaving it there, than to a storage lot multiple kilometers away from the house.
Less fuel burned driving to and from the storage facility on a weekly basis; easier on my wallet and on the environment.
Less fuel burnt bringing an rv back and forth multiple times.
Less fuel consumed because less trips back and forth. Less traffic congestion with less trips back and forth. Less feeling of the city being a pain in the butt. If you don't have Karen as your neighbor, then this is happening already. If you have kind neighbors, you can park on your driveway no problem. The bylaw just opens it up to crappy neighbors that complain and creates discord.
Less fuel wasted taking RV to and from storage for back to back multiple weekend trips, better for environment
Less gas being used to do the round trips of picking up and dropping off the trailer. #gogreen
Less gas burned, storage savings, security!
Less ghg pollution for driving back to storage. Less use of acreages and other useful land for storage of recreational vehicles. This land could be put to higher and better use.
Less hassle for owner with low impact to others if unit is not overhanging on road or causing issues.
Less on street parking or RV I. The current 36 hour window.
Less people rushing with trailers after work on Thursdays.
Less pollution commuting back and forth to a facility to pick up a camper every 2 weekends. More disposable income for individuals to spend in the economy and not on gas/trailer rent.
Less pollution from vehicles driving back and forth between home and storage lots. Reduce ps unnecessary traffic on city roads and highways.
Less pollution, I guess due to less trips to storage. Less money spent on storage fees in the summer.
Less risks of criminals scan the street for trailers so they can break in on the next day. As currently facilitated by the bylaw time requirements. Even the lived experience for people with trailers who live on streets with people who phone bylaw to cause problems and abuse the system. Less government over reach. A more fair and balanced city.
Less road traffic before and after weekend
Less road travel in the city going back and forth to storage. And just keeping it simple for ppl with RV's. Reduces the 311 calls from nosy neighbours who are no way impacted by it being on your property.
Less road usage
Less rules and regs the better... reduce the red tape!
Less rv complaints to investigate saving time and money, more time to clean and or pack between trips. Less gasoline is used as multiple trips to and from storage areas are no longer necessary during camping season.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Less rv traffic on the roads because dropping off and picking up at storage lots. Extra parking time would allow for groceries to be purchased and loaded in the city rather than at a town along the way.
Less RV traffic to and from storage spaces, and therefore less emissions
Less rvs driving within city limits to storage facilities.
Less space needed for rv storage facilities. Its a waste of space to have acres and acres used for rv parking. It also means less traffic back and forth to an rv storage place. Someone living in the inner parts of the city might have to drive an hour or more every week or 2 just to get their rv.
Less stress on 311 from pedantic neighbors.
Less stress on families having to rush to get trailer off the street after camping.
Less stress on the RV owner. Camping has become so popular and booking sites is competitive and stressful already. Let's give folks time to prepare their RV for their trip and post trip without the additional stress of a tight time limit.
Less stress on those trying to get ready for camping less road rage.
Less time on the road shuttling rv back and forth to storage lot.
Less tired drivers leaving for holidays. As well you have time to maintain the rv. Rv lots don't allow you to do repairs on site (unless it's their guy).
Less traffic
Less traffic and pollution regarding constantly transporting the vehicles to and from their storage lots.
Less traffic going to and from storage yard
Less traffic going to storage areas in and out of the city cutting down on traffic for long weekends
Less traffic on the weekends, piece of mind for Rv owners. I think it could be an issue if people were living in the rv rather than just parking it. And potential for some folks to drain the waste tanks to the streets may be of concern.
Less traffic.
Less traffic. Smaller carbon footprint from less trips to storage yards.
Less trailer traffic from people moving them between their homes and paid storage sites in between camping trips. Less fuel used and less environmental impact, plus any time moving a trailer about the city can be dangerous and is unnecessary if more time is allowed for the vehicle to be left in a driveway.
Less trailers on the road during pick up and drop off from the lot=smaller chances for the traffic accidents.
Less travel for storage
Less travel on the roads (wear and tear) if people don't have to go back and forth to trailer parks. Improving quality of life for calgarians as they won't spend as much money on gas and maybe provided more opportunities for trips.
Less travel time and expense to pickup and dropoff RV between trips.
Less travel to and from the storage means less carbon emission. Saving money from storage fee
Less unnecessary large vehicle movements.
Less waste of city resources for bylaw officers having to come by to first issue a warning and then a ticket.
Lessen restrictions on rv parking
Let people do what they want with property they own.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Let people live their lives without coming up with more regulations and rules
Let people park their trailers in their driveways.
Let people park where they want to park for as long as they need to
Let people use the small space that is theirs for what they want.
Let the family enjoy their campers or rec vehicles near the comfort of their homes. My kids love to pretend camping by playing in our camper any time they like. Its like their second home. Please let us keep our campers in our frontyard driveways for longer periods or months 🙏.
Let them park out front for season
Let us freely use what little land we own.
Let's keep our city and neighborhood clean from any buses, RV and large corporation vehicles. It's safety and comfortable of our neighbours!
Letting me use my owned property the way myself the owner wants to
Like all rules, there will be abusers. Don't give them an opportunity to stretch this inch into a mile.
Like I mentioned previously, camping is a healthy hobby that the city should be encouraging. Being outdoors, spending time with loved ones, being away from screens etc are a few benefits of camping. The price of everything is increasing, and things that used to be free aren't anymore (for example, visiting Kananaskis). Paying to store a camper year round adds up, it would make a big difference to be able to store on a driveway in the summer months. Throw the people of Calgary a friggen bone here!
Like stated above: Small RVs, like camper-vans, tent trailers, travel trailers or boats. could & should be allowed to be kept for long time on private driveways. Provided that they are not in very bad, unsightly state of disrepair & looking like "rust buckets." Large RVs need more than 36 hours to get ready for the planned trips.
Like to know what is driving this proposal? Would be nice also if our councillor was someone you could approach and trust.
Limited and expensive rv parking lots, inability to maintain units
Limited parking in communities without side or alley roads means that RVs which can fit in a driveway but are currently required to be moved, take up limited street space. Some larger RV owners are blocked from even loading theirs temporarily and given the requirement to only park in front of their own homes, this is sometimes impossible.
Limited trailer storage in city. Commuting outside city to pick up and drive home to load up etc. Leaves more of an ecological footprint in the first place. Most people who own them are respectful of neighbours. Seasonal trailer driveway parking should be supported
Limiting the ability to park seasonally has a climate impact of additional usage to and from storage lots. Many people need to travel 20-40 kms to find a storage facility. That could potentially reduce that families carbon footprint dramatically over the course of the camping season
Line of sight is crucial,
Loading up and doing maintenance on rv's are important and it is more convenient to do it at your residence.
Logistics of parking in comparison to your home. Likely less trailers simply "commuting"
Long trailers may need to be restricted as sometimes the large ones can be full half a block with the truck attached
Longer periods of parking will reduce the number of RVs travelling on city roads (because they don't need to be moved out of and into the city to be compliant with the 36hr window)



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

longer than 36 hours would be helpful. 48-72 hours makes more sense for loading and unloading
Look at Chestermere. There are a ton of trailers parked on driveways there all summer without any impacts to their neighbour's. Calgary has people that complain even if a trailer owner parks in front of their own house or their own driveway instead of negatively impacting their neighbour's.
Look at the aesthetics of our City. There is already a mess with what is "the natural look", do nothing for weeds/dandelions and now allowing vehicles like rv's, trailers and boats parked in neighbourhoods? Don't do this as it will make our neighbourhoods look terrible. Have you seen how many RV storage lots there are? Those vehicles will now be on driveways and street fronts. The storage lot businesses will also be hurt! Do we have enough Bylaw enforcement to enforce this new bylaw. We cannot do it now! Look in your own neighbourhood and see what a mess this will cause. I say NO to this bylaw as I would prefer to keep our neighbourhoods somewhat attractive. Will happen anyway so why ask!
Lots of lots do not accommodate the size of RV most may wish to park. This is a terrible idea, 0 benefits to the city and taxpayers. Extending the time to prep and pack on property is the only discussion.
Lower carbon emissions from having to go pick up/drop off trailer at storage.
Lower cost for citizens, less burden for those who camp.
Lower cost of storage for rv owners if possible
Lower property appeal
lowers property value
Lowers property value. Turns a neighborhood into a trailer park.
M8nd your own business.
Major power outages allow people to have access to cooking facilities and battery power. Loading and unloading can be easier. Many neighborhoods have no alleys or access to back yard. And are penalized in that regard.
Make easier for vets / seniors at least.
Make it as simple and clear as possible! Some do not care about parking on front lawn!! No one monitors & enforces the current law now so what difference will all this make? Uncomfortable for neighbour to have to tell on neighbour. (FYI My own is parked out of town.) Many neighbourhoods do not have enough room to park cars, let alone RVs or other toys.
Make sure adequate site lines to stop lines and crosswalks. Make sure people don't abuse an extended stay buy having someone rent their rv. I use my fifth wheel almost every weekend in the summer. Rain, sleet or snow, once I am hooked on in the spring, the truck is usually hooked all summer. Adjusting the bylaw would be beneficial for some, there needs to be some rules. Parking an rv on the front pad could be an eyesore if never moved. Think it through & come up with a fair usable bylaw.
Make sure there is reasonably priced and convenient RV storage near by.
Make the parking longer and responsibility for the home owner. Heavier fines for those who abuse the privilege.
Make this a category on the 311 app
Makes it so much easier to prep/maintain/repair.
Makes life easier, should not be illegal to park my property on my property. Live and let live.
Makes me very upset that I can't currently park it there.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Makes our city on par with most other cities. Promotes healthy living people who rv use our parks in the province. As said earlier aesthetics are an opinion, and not a majority opinion so why are we choosing to limit this.
Makes the city friendly
Making Calgary more friendly. Enough rules please. People are going nuts and word spread fast
Making camping easier by allowing home storage means that more families can get out and enjoy this activity. However. There should be rules about people living in them on the driveway. Worry that some may use this as a means to rent them out and have people living in them. That should not be the intent
Making camping more convenient helps Calgarians choose vacation options closer to home vs. flying on a jet somewhere. This reduces our carbon footprint and keeps money in our local economies.
Making easier for rv parking will encourage rv ownership and promote great family outdoor activities giving positive family life styles. That will also boosting all businesses related to tourism industry and rv products and services.
Making easier for RV parking will encourage RV ownership, boosting local,provincial,etc businesses.
Making it easier to own an RV promotes the well being of its citizens as more can enjoy the outdoors to relax and unwind.
Making it seasonal is a good idea. Don't want the neighborhood to look like a trailer storage facility all year round.
Making RV parking easier will promote local camping activites, adding family values and local businesses.
Making sure anything parked out front is roadworthy. Concerns in neighborhoods with limited street parking should be talked into consideration (ie should have to prove you reside at residence it is parked in front of)
Making sure that the view isn't obstructed from neighbors view.
Making things too restrictive makes the city less appealing.
Making this change would hopefully lessen costs for and demands on the bylaw department.
Making this seasonal I assume you mean summer. I do a lot of winter camping and fishing. Sounds like you would be discriminating against those of us who works all summer and play in the winter. These changes should be for allowing year round parking on my own property. My unit is fairly new. While determines if its unsightly or run down. That's a fairly subjective thing.
Many of Calgary's streets are narrow. Allowing a recreational vehicle stay longer on street will cause traffic movement problems.
Many cities do not have this bylaw and there are no issues. People need time to prepare for the weekend and after their trip. Having to transport your trailer to and from storage is a nuisance and takes away time from my family.
Many folks need their front space on their owned property for rv parking. Even more so in areas where there is lots of apartments because parking on the street may not be an option
Many homes do not have front driveways and RVs are parked on the road. This creates tripping hazards when they hook them up to water and electricity. It also reduces available parking and impedes sight lines.
Many houses, mine included, have no alley or side access to our house, so this force our tent trailer on to the street, where we would we take up another persons parking space, have to



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

move it every 36hrs, and be more visible and in people's way. It's much more ideal to have trailers confined to peoples driveways.
Many lots in the city have front driveways that can fit a trailer or RV. If the city forces these people to park them in a storage lot it will actually cause twice as much RV traffic in the residential areas as for every single trip people will have to retrieve their RV, bring it home, load it, then leave. The same thing would happen when they get home. It would park at their home while they unload it, then they would have to take it back to storage. This isn't just a waste of peoples time and money for storage, but it causes more traffic which decreases safety in the neighbourhood.
Many of today's RVs won't safely fit on a driveway. Could you continue with complaint-based enforcement of the current rules? I have seen people store longer in front yards without issue because it made sense there and neighbors did not have concerns. Neighbors should not be forced to live with reduced sight lines, etc, so those that own RVs can avoid a \$60/month storage fee and the time to take it to storage. It's part of the cost of owning one.
Many other cities and towns allow this, Calgarys 36 hour rule is ridiculous on private property like a driveway or pad.
Many other communities in Alberta allow storage 1 week prior to May long weekend until 1 week after Sept long weekend. As long as vehicles are not impeding public property then it shouldn't matter what is parked on our own property.
Many owners would like to pick up their unit the day before their trip (and return their unit to storage the day after). For units that are too large for their front driveway, it would be nice to be allowed to have their unit on the street for up to 36 hours so they can get groceries, cool the fridge on shore power, do repairs, pack, prepare for before or clean up after their trip, etc.
Many people already do this year round as no access to park on expensive property due to cities poor planning. Enforcement may lead to many extra costs for home owners in an already expensive place to live. Home owners pay to own the land and should have the right to park their own things on their own property. If all home owners had equal access to their back and side yards then ok but since they don't. Those who don't are being discriminated against and shouldn't be.
Many people can only afford this type of recreation in today's world. Having to pay an additional \$60+/mth for storage is not sustainable for those of us who are retired or on lower incomes. I understand not parking on the street but if it is on my own property and not causing any safety issues then the city should direct it's attention elsewhere to more pressing items. People need to stop being so foo-foo about everything. If it is not an unsightly unit then I do not see an issue.
Many people do store their RVs year round in their driveways already in suburban areas. It falls to enforcement by complaints. Level the playing field and allow all residents to store rvs and trailers and boats in their driveway year round. Trying to control this is overreach on property rights.
Many people need time to pack and if they are working full time, 36 hours doesn't allow for this.
Many people store RV's elsewhere over winter. If anything, at minimum I believe summer parking is a must- the summer season can be so short here and it would be great for families to maximize their time together in the summer months. Thanks :)

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Many people who have access to their backyards, are not with formal driveways, therefore other people may park on the side street in front of their back yard access, and are trapped in their backyards!
Many people who RV promote a healthy lifestyle for their children such as enjoying nature, hiking, biking and general outdoor socialization without screen time. This should be encouraged.
Many people would test their neighbours' tolerance and extend the period to well before and after the season (I see RVs parked in front driveways for weeks or months in my neighbourhood, some even permanently year round). Since those bylaws are not enforced unless someone complain, this just encourages people to report their neighbours which creates tensions between neighbours. Please don't add to that problem.
Many RV storage facilities are located outside of the city's limits. Allowing city property owners to realize these savings will mean that other city businesses will be supported instead.
Many RV storage lots are fully occupied, especially in summer. The nearest storage facility with vacancy was in Crossfield, AB.
Many storage yards areas are outside the city. The fees paid are to businesses who do not pay business tax to the City.
Many streets are already crowded with street parking for many reasons (illegal suites, illegal rv parking, etc) this will only compound the issue. I would love to see the 36 hour rule extended back to 48 hours. To pick up our trailer on a Thursday after work to go camping on a Friday doesn't leave much time to load/prep for the weekend. Getting the trailer on a Wednesday after work means it has to be moved by Friday noon, before we are done work.
Many vehicles today (sprinter type vans) which are motor homes by any definition are used daily if not weekly. Permitting vehicles is another solution. I would be willing to pay a fee that ensures my vehicle is registered and insured. I use my rv all year for skiing and travel in the winter being able to park it on my owned property would only make sense.
Many, many younger people have both parents working, and having sufficient time to get home from work, pick up the kids, then having to rush out to pick up a recreational vehicle for two nights of family camping time (instead of TV or computer time) is difficult...let's not make our leisure time more complicated than it should be. Alberta is a wonderful place to explore and get kids out there!
Massive financial benefit to let families park RV's and trailers on their own driveway, And the benefit of less truck emissions as people have to travel to store their RV's away from their own home which is ridiculous.
May avoid people parking on the street unhitched; May avoid people parking in lane ways;
May promote people parking multiple unused vehicles
May reduce neighbourhood strife. We have a camera and we had a complaint after 24 hours even though the bylaw is 36. May reduce administrative burden in city. Promotes fairness across city as some neighbours complain and others don't and have RVs parked in the summer. Fair bylaw would be to ensure it does not interfere with sidewalk in any manner (must fit in driveway, no cord across sidewalk). Regular cleanliness & nuisance bylaws can continue to apply. Allowed near Calgary - consistency.
Maybe if there is no or very limited street parking this makes sense for that reason only.
The city should reduce arbitrary rules and red tape.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Parking Year round on your own property is reasonable for maintenance weekend trips etc.
If camping back to back weekends, running it out to storage every week is very onerous and an unnecessary cost for storage and gas.
Mental health is also important and not having families extra stressed about rushing to move RVs constantly can help that to some minor degree.
Mental health. We used our RV much more when we lived in Grande Prairie than we do here due to the inconvenience to off site storage.
Also with costs rising on everything, camping is the most affordable thing we can do As a family.
Mind your business. Eliminate extra tax bylaws.
Minimize excess commuting between rv storage facilities reducing emissions and traffic. Families and residents would utilize their rvs more regularly due to convenience and ease. This more spending would occur in local communities in preparation for trips such as repairs, maintenance, groceries, liquor, and other revenue generating items
Minimize visitor parking in our driveway but there is sufficient parking on the street.
Money being spent for RV storage now has to be spent on increased city taxes. As a senior living on a pension, there are few things we can afford and allowing RV's to park in driveways would allow people to afford some pleasure and quality of life. Although, this will land on deaf ears, it would be nice to have someone in Calgary show some compassion! Maybe one day all the Calgary's entitled city councilors and managers will realize not everyone has an endless amount of money for them to waste.
Money saving, risk of theft reduced
More and more rural farm land is being used for RV parking / city needs more RV camp grounds and rec area's
More available street parking if RV's are on driveways.
More environmentally friendly to keep at the residence during a season, instead of driving back and forth from a storage every couple days
More face time with my big investment would be nice.
More locations of sani dumps should be available for RV owners, like at the sewage water treatment plants for instance.
More money in the Calgary taxpayers pocket
More negative impacts come to mind than benefits, IE: Black & grey water discharge, propane incidents, criminal prowlings brought into the community, parking & waste removal issues, gypsy/squatter camping arrives just to name a few. And all of these issues then need bylaw officers to rectify, costing more tax dollars for a group that should have known the ramifications of purchasing an RV in the first place. All I can say is NIMBY & not in my city.
More people are financially struggling and their only vacation is now to camp instead of hotel it which they can't afford
More people would camp if RV storage requirements were less restrictive.
More people would own RV vehicles if they were allowed to park year-round on their own property. There is a huge inconvenience to have to set up a tent trailer to clean it out; then put it down; take it to a RV storage and drive back and forth every time you want to use it. Lots of families winter camp and that's even more of an inconvenience; let alone being harmful to the

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

environment driving back and forth. RV storage is wasted land that could be used for community living close to the city!!
More people would use their RV's if they were able to keep them in their driveways. Ease of packing and unpacking as well as cleaning and maintenance would be more convenient.
More people would want to own RV and go camping. Health benefits would be unmeasurable.
More strict enforcement and faster action against complaints. It take multiple calls for trailer issues to be resolved which can span months.
More than 36 hours is often needed by time you get your trailer to your home, service it and prepare it for your trip. At the very least the 36 hours should be extended.
More work for bylaws to prevent use as illegal suites.
Morr available parking space. Safer driving (less badly parked trailers on the road). Less pressure on bylaw to constantly check for unhooked trsilers on the road. Less pressure for bylaw to ticket people parking a trailer on their own property. Less stress for homeowners trying to find proper storage for their trailer.
Most families are respectful. We feel the support of the city is important to our camping families.
Most impactful reason to allow for parking of RVs during spring to fall would be the decreased traffic that it would cause within the city. Owners could leave directly from their homes to their destinations without having to drive through the city to pick up the RV and haul it back once they return. Also greatly reduces carbon footprint for added travel. Catalytic convertor theft is common in RV lots due to lack of security. Theft would be reduced if RVs were parked on private driveways.
Most likely, the lions share of feedback on this survey will come from existing RV owners advocating for relaxation to the bylaws. We love our RV, but have no desire to deal with them all over driveways throughout the community. The City should not lose sight of the fact that the vast (silent) majority of Calgarians do not own RV's, but would be negatively impacted from a safety perspective by changes that allow for seasonal RV parking.
Most new neighborhoods do not have alleys/back access, so the rule is unfair to people with front garage homes.
Most of the RV owners has another storage place for it. Parking in the front would be only for the benefit of getting ready in and out of the camping trip. Sometimes we have to work the next day and didn't have time to move the RV out so I believe 72-96 hrs should be plenty.
Most people can't afford additional parking outside of their own property so I am all for leaving Calgarians to conduct their private property the way they see fit
Most people just want to use their asset conveniently & respectfully
Most people like to take their RV's out weekends leaving Friday right after work and bringing their RV home the day before to pack it/plug it in, safety check it. Not all Calgarians have the luxury of owning a house with a front driveway, not all have the luxury of owning multiple vehicles, so they end up having to "detach" the tow vehicle from the RV to go to work. As soon as that happens (despite being in the allotted time frame) they can be given a ticket. THIS IS A PROBLEM
Most people who own RV's are responsible citizens and look after their units and park accordingly on their front driveways so not to inpeed on sidewalks. Also if residents do not have to travel back and forth to storage lots the carbon footprint would be reduced fro excess





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

travel during the season and bylaw wouldn't have to waste time because a neighbor has a beef with you and yet another neighbor can have their unit all year and no ticket same street.
Most persons who have these large trailers park them out front to the extent where a 25' truck and a 30' trailer take up the entire lot frontage, roadway or driveway. I can't imagine looking at this for the whole summer. It would be like living in a trailer park. RVs parked interfere with transit, waste recycling trucks, fire, EMS units. Front attached garage driveways are rarely long enough for the average rv trailer. A small sprinter van fits but a 30' long travel trailer 12' tall does not. Many exterior vinyl clad houses do not have fire separation for a trailer parked next to the house. This may be a fire code breach for temporary storage and presents additional fire /access hazard.
Most residential streets don't have the capacity to handle cars let alone adding trailers staying longer. People take advantage of this enough as it is.
As a trailer owner, we pull up the night before, do what we need to, and leave. There is no need to stay longer and in fact may encourage crime in the community and the potential for people staying in their trailers on the streets.
Most RV owners are very responsible and respectful to their neighbours and are not a problem.
Most the newer neighborhoods don't have back alley access so there is no real alternative to store an RV beside a storage lot which can be inconvenient to get to, pricey and not as secure as parking in your own driveway
Most times the recreational vehicle is being used every weekend and it makes it more difficult for the owners to go back and forth to the RV lots. And there has been more thefts at these lots and I think that the RV would be better protected on our own property
Most trailers do not fit on driveways, many owners leave there trailer with the hitch encroaching the sidewalk. Seasonal storage increased to likelihood of long term sidewalk interference.
Most who store rv's in the front of property are encroaching on sidewalk and for sure on city property, as most don't realize half of front drives actually belong to the city.
Moving an RV back and forth from one parking area to another increases carbon emissions and more unnecessary traffic. Encouraging green policies would be a benefit to the city.
Moving trailers around a lot on the streets adds to congestion and safety concerns. Why move them needlessly?
moving trailers, rv units multiple times seasonally adds to the environment load that everyone wants to reduce
Much depends on context of the RV in question. For example: My 2019 sport tent trailer is on my hard driveway, 6 feet back from the front sidewalk. It is in a nook - my neighbour uphill and downhill cannot see my tent trailer. Due to living on a hill, I do not have access to a side placement, nor do I have a back alley. My neighbours often stop to say they like my sport tent trailer. It hides my three garbage and recycle bins.
Much less gas used when getting ready to leave and putting the motorhome away in storage. Currently we have to drive our car out to Crossfield then drive the unit back to Calgary. We did 7 separate camping trips last year so this back and forth used up a lot fuel in two vehicles. The carbon footprint took a beating.
Much more environmentally friendly if campers are allowed to keep their trailer on the driveway during summer months not having to spend more fuel towing to/from storage yards that are often quite far away. Being environmentally conscious is the goal.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Must be licensed, in good repair. Notwithstanding seasonal parking what criteria will bylaw be able to cite a perceived infraction and will owner be able to provide rebuttals to substantiate their claim it's not actually a problem..
My boat is only 6' wide, and I once had it parked in my back alley where it wasn't obstructing vehicles. I received a parking ticket from Bylaw after a neighbour must of complained, and I felt a warning would have sufficed. I would like to have the opportunity to park it on my driveway during the few months that I use it each summer
My concern is that our catalytic converter was stolen while our motorhome was parked on our driveway overnight. More stringent rules on thefts and resale of catalytic converters would be very helpful.
My driveway i pay taxes i should be able to park any vehicle on my pad. Motor vehicle or recreational vehicles
My driveway, my choice.
my driveway, my rules.
My family spends every other weekend camping in spring, summer, and fall months. This activity promotes a healthy lifestyle for young families, and should not be discouraged by silly bylaws. It's a huge inconvenience, expense & waste of time for me, to transport my RV to an approved storage lot during the camping season, when I have an adequate parking pad right in front of my house. It can also be difficult servicing an RV in 36 hours, when you're waiting for parts to arrive.
My house =my driveway. If I have to pay ridiculous property tax, I should be able to park what I want in my driveway.
My husband works out of town. If we have work done on our trailer and it is out front for more than a few days we get a ticket. It may be our there for 4-5 days. But not all summer long! We respect our neighbours and only do this if we just.
My land as long as it isn't a eye sore what is the problem
My needs would be met if I could park out front on the street for a week at a time.
Start / end of season maintenance so I can run to appointments and back.
Charge up and pack for bigger trips.
My neighborhood is great looking without rv parking
My opinion is that the units would have to be in good condition, not longer than the property's driveway, limit of one unit per household/driveway, and Zero visual obstructions for vehicle drivers.
My parents are seniors and have a camper. They are suuuper paranoid about keeping their camper on the drive for a couple of days to clean and unpack when they get home from a trip. Makes it very stressful for them. City and neighbours should mind their own business.
My preference is to keep the bye-law AS IS
my property
My property is my property on my land.
My property my business! Lower my taxes to cover additional storage charges! Literally this takes food off my table. Are holiday trailers only for the wealthy now? Hard enough just paying property taxes and feeding the family. Council needs to change this for year round parking rights.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

My property not the City of Calgary. I pay my taxes. Mind your own business and worry about more important things.
My property, my business.
My RV is contained within a van body. Technically an RV by City definition but if it was just a passenger van it could be permanently in front. So what is the difference? Its the same vehicle. I suggest Class B be allowed permanently. The RV industry classifies RV's into Class A, B, C, travel trailers, and slid ins. Consider in bylaw and prescribe rules for each. No long storage of Class A, Class C (due to size), Travel Trailers by length, and no slide ins unless on a truck. Class B's ok.
My tent trailer is white, covered by snow in the winter, and blends completely into my driveway. Why make people pay for winter storage when that's the least obstructive time to park it there?
My travel trailer does not fit on our front drive, and we are happy to make sure that the trailer is out of here in 36 hours. I would like to see this extended to 48 hours especially if you are considering extending the parking on front drive pads. I would also like to see a better clarification of whether campers can be parked on the street and not be moved for months at a time. When I have phoned to complain I was told campers are not classified as an RV because they can be used for work.
My travel trailer is smaller and better looking than most pickup trucks (that are very popular among Albertans). Why should I not be allowed to park it on my property?
My understanding is that enforcement for driveway storage is "complaint based". We know people who's neighbours complained repeatedly and these people actually ended up selling their trailer because of the storage reason I mentioned above. But right around the corner, where no one seemed bothered by it, we're three homes that stored their RVs on the driveway Year round. It doesn't seem right that some homes will be targeted while others have no issues simply because of where they're located
My unit does not impede vision or look run down, so does not take away from the area. If my trailer is to be parked for any long period I do take it back to storage lot which is 40 minutes away. So allowing units on personal property for summer months is a good idea as long it does not obstruct site lines.
N/!
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/a

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

na
NA
Na
Na
NA
Na
Na
Na
NA
Need more flexibility
Need to ensure said streets are wide enough. What about also allowing rv parking in school parking lots during summer
need to follow other cities etc like Airdrie that allow RVs on front driveways through the camping season....example May through oct. after that time period they must be moved within 48 hours.
Need to understand why you feel the need to make things more difficult for RV owners just because there are some disproportionately vocal objectors
Needless wear and tear on RV's to and from storage.
Needs to be rules around renovations on the street. Small repairs like vents or taps ok but new siding or major changes not good. safety hazard and makes the neighbourhood look like a repair shop. Also, not service vehicles attached to the RV.
Negative impacts
Neighborhood appearance is important Cost is storage is inexpensive relative the cost of the trailer I don't want my or any neighbourhood littered with rvs
Neighborhoods are too busy/crowded already. Everyone else should not have to look at a multitude of recreational vehicles all over their neighborhood. All someone would have to do is move their unit once every few days and they could literally park in their front drive all spring and summer. This is very unfair to expect everyone to put up with.
Neighborhoods could become unsightly, and this could cause problems between neighbors.
Neighbors should not have to look at people's recreational equipment.
Neighbors simply just calling in regards to everything, there is no harm in having an RV sitting for up to a week. Not having access to side parking or back parking makes it difficult to take items in and out and makes it time consuming, we are not harming anyone by taking much needed time to unpack/pack the kids/family
Neighbour does not use garage, said he will rent out his driveway to RV owners and he will park his car on street if current bylaw changes.
Neighbour relations? I guess this could be good or bad. Currently when someone receives a bylaw letter to move a unit, everyone wonders which neighbour ratted them out and it creates distrust. But on the other hand people who want to be upset with their neighbour for something will still find a way I guess!
Neighbourhood aesthetics should be a low concern - there are worse problems in neighbourhoods, including unkempt lawns and unused vehicles that sit and leak oil, etc. Anything that encourages getting outdoors, in nature, should be encouraged.
Never in driveway always blocking cars and or sidewalk







# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No



March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No benefits and drawbacks are many. Residential areas are no place for RVs - they are meant to be homes away from home/ they need to be stored at locations other than front driveways! We keep ours in a garage
No benefits if you have money for rv you have a spot already reserved all year round so let's not complicate matters
No benefits- Impacts, safety, we need to have standards and controls in communities around this issue. There will be alot more vehicle parking on the street if there is less parking on the driveway. Visually not appealing. Safety issue if more vehicles parked on the road.
No benefits only impacts - look at all the garbage laying around the community's? Dog crap everywhere. Now you want to turn Calgary into a trailer park?
No benefits that I can see
No benefits to change current bylaw
No benefits to changing bylaw in my opinion. Impacts if changed would be the streets could be unsafe for children riding off a driveway on their bikes, scooters. They would have less visibility of oncoming traffic.
No benefits to city-only benefits rv owners who are too cheap to pay for storage.
NO BENEFITS to letting people park trailers of any kind in a residential area. Will cause safety and security concerns.
No benefits.
No benefits. With more vehicles on street increased safety risk and confliction
No changes to the definition of RV or current timelines of up to 36 hours is necessary.
No city needs to be RV FREINDLY
No Comment
No comment
No flat tires And in good condition not broken windows etc
No I see the purpose to cleaning up streets and such .
No I think it would be great save people on storage
No I would just like to leave it on my driveway for a few days at the beginning and end of the season for setting it up. I agree with the 36 hour rule for parking on the street but on my driveway it should be ok as it's not taking away anything from street parking
No impacts some people can't afford storage or can't even get storage
no impacts.
No it's an eye sore. Maybe if you can't police the homeless situation you shouldn't police the rv situation
No longer having to drive it to and from storage facilities at a cost to store and the carbon footprint to move all the time.
No negative impacts. Positive in that it is much easier to prepair the trailer for a trip without the very restrictive timeline.
No one wants to live in an area cluttered by rv's and trailers, especially if they don't own one themselves. Prevents people from living in them all summer and eliminating riff-raff in the area.
No out of pocket costs to store the vehicle. Convenience. Fewer concerns regarding theft or damage to property.
No power cords allow across the sidewalk



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No renting it out as additional revenue .
No side affects as long as the neighbors are okay
No stop over reaching your authority
No that I would know
No they are covered
No unless you are on a snow route you should be able to park all year round
No It's a home owners property and unless the property becomes unsightly, the City should have no input.
No, I am not in favor of changing the bylaws. It would not serve the majority of the community
No, I think you have captured the known benefits adequately.
No, I think you have it, convience, cost savings on rental and picking up/dropping off the trailer in gas and time. I can see security for most people but here, over the summer, my cars are checked by weekly for access as seen on a security camera.
No, parking your RV in your driveway or yard is not hurting anybody.
No, people whine and complain too much.
No, thank you for reconsidering this!
No, this matter does not impact the city of Calgary at all. Having a time limit on this just gives the city more power than they should have to dictate what property owners can and cannot do with their OWN property.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
no.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
no.
No.
NO. But consider the cost to those who have no rear driveway. If is prohibitive and amounts to a hidden tax.
No. I don't see this as a pressing issue.
No. Thanks for asking for different perspectives, not just RV owners.
No. If it's not directly on city property it does not affect them.
No. People purchase an RV understanding the rules and regulations that apply. There are plenty of secure RV storage areas around the city to assist.
No. Stop with interfering in people's life's.
No. This is just another tax grab for greedy politicians. Get out of our lives.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No... let people have their rvs on their driveways!! It's such a stupid bylaw!!
None
None
None
None
none
NONE
None
None at this time.
None that I am aware of.
None that I can think of
None that I can think of
None that I can think of
None that I can think of.
None thay i can think of
None to add. The benefits and impacts listed are spot on. Hats off to the city for considering this change to the bylaws.
None.
None.
None.
None. Again it's in my driveway it doesn't affect anyone else.
NONE. If you own a recreational vehicle it should be stored at a facility and not on the street.
None. If people can afford to pay lots of dollars to purchase RV's , I'm sure they have enough money to pay to have them safely stored out of their driveway.
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope . Stay out of peoples business and worry about city stuff that needs more priorities. This isn't a problem, your bringing it up is a problem
Nope.
Nope.. but please let us keep the 36 hour rule that as long as our trailer is connected to our truck, we can have it on a city street. This rule is super convenient. We are able to take our trailer out of storage after with and drive it to our home, load it leave it on the street over night and leave in the morning. Thank you for this!!
Normal people are not going camping every 3 days. We have jobs. We get out when we can, and should have immediate access when we want to go. My driveway is my property. It should be nobody's business what I park there. A big, obnoxious, flag-covered truck can sit indefinitely, so why can't my benign 25' RV? This is an absolutely ridiculous and senseless rule that sounds like something out of a nightmare HOA situation. Should never have even been introduced.
Nosy neighbors and too crowded spaces. If the trailers belong to condo people who don't have a space they should not leave it to street parking. Only driveway parking should be consider. Not sidewalk and back alley parking too.
Nosy neighbors need to learn how to police their own space and leave others alone. If it's not harming them or their property then leave it alone.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not
Not all homes have driveways. What are the limits on street parking? What are the safety concerns during a wind event?
Not all RVs are equal. A 12 ft tent trailer is different than a 40 Ft motorhome. In interest of fairness I would treat all the same.
Not all RVs are in good shape. Road worthy is a subjective term and sometimes roadworthy trailers are those with 4 working tires (and even that is sometimes suspect). There is no inspection which makes them "roadworthy". Also, seasonal parking will lead to abuses where people do not remove their RVs on a timely basis
Not all RV's are temporary so I'd update your definition.
Not all RV's that are parked are simply being stored. An RV, much like a home or vehicle, can sometimes require repairs or even full renovations that can easily take longer than 36 hours and a lot of storage places won't let you do that on their site.
Not allowing for parking can affect a decision to have an rv. Generally rvs enhance quality of life.
Not at the moment
Not at this time
Not at this time.
Not being able to use a driveway causes undue financial hardship on owners because they have to rent space for storage. It also causes more travel to/from the storage yard and therefore more carbon emissions.
Not being allowed to get the pulling vehicle away from trailers creates longer spaces to be taken by the RV's
Not blocking the sidewalk or on the street for more than 36 hours.
Not every RV owner has a front driveway
Not everyone can afford a storage facility or has a garage. No one should be denied a rv because someone else doesn't like to look at it..
Not everyone can afford parking for RVs. Everyone deserves the privilege to have an RV regardless of income. The city is asking residents to pay extra to have that privilege. That's wrong. If the vehicle is parked on private property, the homeowner has a right to have anything on that property as long as there aren't concerns from neighbours. Any offence that involves paying fines should identify the accuser. That is Federal law, and its not being adhered to in Calgary.
Not everyone can afford the high rv storage fees
Not everyone can afford to park their RV's off site. I know what your thinking if you can afford an RV you should be able to afford off site parking...not everyone has a expensive RV!
Not everyone can afford to pay for offsite storage, especially with the current cost of living and interest rate increases.
Not everyone can afford to pay for storage every month especially with rates going up like everything else (food,electricity,amenities)
Not everyone has a brand new, looks good rv. It's probably the opposite and they are an eye sore for everyone that has to look at it. People done buy houses in residential communities to live in an rv park.
Not everyone has a front driveway and does not have access to side or back yard parking either so this should also apply to parking directly in front of the owners property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not everyone has a front driveway suitable to allow short-term parking for RV vehicles to allow for packing/ unloading or brief stays. So adjacent street parking is required to facilitate this. Sometimes this requires detaching the tow vehicle. Since detached trailers and campers cannot be parked on city streets having a brief period to enable this would also be recommended.
Not everyone has access to a back parking spot or front driveway, I think that 24 hr parking on the road, unhitched from your vehicle should be allowed. Having it so the trailer needs to be hooked up to the vehicle just takes up more road space
Not everyone has access to a backyard to park vehicles. Storage for an rv is expensive.
Not everyone has an alley way or a place to park it behind the house. If you are renting you also will have a very hard time altering the property in order to do so. By not letting people park in the driveway you are severely impacting how inclusive the activity is.
Not everyone has the ability to take extra time off work to pick up load and go. Same for unloading and returning it to storage.
Not from my perspective
Not having to park an RV out of town is good for the environment (pollution) and encourages folks to get out and enjoy the outdoors.
Not having to rent RV storage can help with the insane cost of living right now
Not having to tow back and forth to storage at the end of every weekend saves gas and is better environmentally.
Not having to tow to the rv storage reduces the number of rv's on the road. If we have back to back weekends of camping, we are forced to drive it across the city rather than just parking it on our driveway. Keeping it at home reduces the chances of accidents during transport. It also reduces emissions from needlessly having to tow. I think setting a period (may-sept) is important as people should their driveways open for personal vehicle use during the winter to help with snow removal services.
Not having to travel to go and get it all the time is a benefit in itself. And being able to have it there and slowly pack so that people don't seem like they're in a rush. Or if something happens when they get home they don't have to panic to get it unpacked and taken back they have time and they don't have to worry.
Not just front driveway. It should be allowed in front of your residence. Not everyone has a front garage home.
Not overly. We have an RV and we have a storage spot for it, we don't mind it's not very expensive and keeps the neighborhood nice looking. However, all over the city, people are already using their front driveway as yearly storage and the city doesn't do anything about it, but is willing to give us a ticket if we go over 24 hours on our driveway. To us this is ludicrous and to top it off the city is spending more money just to do this survey. Why not enforce the bylaws that are in place now?
not really an issue for me. i can load and unload my trailer in front of my home along the street (too long for driveway). i wouldn't mind longer allowances in case i needed to do repairs
Not really.
Not related... I'm in Tuscany. I don't know my ward.
My neighbour drives a huge Mercedes van. It is 19', my trailer is 20'. That's not much difference in size but he can park year round. I have full insurance on my trailer also.
Not sure



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not sure
Not sure
not sure
Not sure I just know that if I pay for my property it shouldn't be up to others what I park or store on it as long as it isn't a hazard to anyone. No one should be looking in my house so it shouldn't matter what I have in the driveway as it doesn't block anyones vision to the road and also if allowed in a driveway doesn't block the road for the 2-3 days it takes to clean and pack taking up additional road parking that is already in high demand
not sure, we are brand new RV owners
Not sure.
Not that I am aware of.
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of. Thank you for asking our thoughts
Not that I see
Not that I'm aware of
Not that I'm aware of.
not unless the city wants these to become temporary tiny homes!
Noted above.
Noted above. RV parking on front drives allows for better management of RV security and the use of the unit. A large expense that many people are forced to pay a rental spot yearly to park but struggle to be able to get out and use between getting off work, picking up the RV, getting it ready and then loading. It takes that time that people can't afford to provide during the week
Nothing aside from the obvious which you have already pointed out above.
Nothing I can think of at this moment.
Observation for damage from water or pests.
obstructed sightlines blocks view safety for children and pets esthetics cluttered look to neighborhood
Obstructed view of driveways in playground school zones is unsafe.
Obstruction guidelines are really important for pedestrians and drivers in the area. It's fine to have rules but you must have the bylaw enforcement support or parking I suppose. Please make the rules clear with no exemptions. Some times RV parking issues come between neighbours and clarity is important.
Of course since I'm NOT allowed to have it in my front driveway I have to park it across the street from my house but then I'm disturbing my other neighbours loading and unloading not to mention having to walk 1 blk to load and unload it. Not safe having to do so
Of course, there's the issue of people squatting in the trailer but I believe those are far fewer than people who legally own their own trailer. Besides, those people are breaking other bylaws anyways and we can use them to get people to move. The responsible RV owners should be allowed to park Infront of their house and on their private property. In addition, RV



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

parking on the side of some ones house is totally fine, as long as the RV is in good condition and safe. It is their own property on their own private property after all!
Offsite storage cost savings.
Safety and GHG emissions related to not relocating RVs excessively.
Often more time is needed if minor repairs and maintenance is required on the RV. Often this cannot be done at an RV storage site because power and water is not available.
Often people pull their RV's out of storage and park them on City streets on a Sunday with plans to leave on Thursday/Friday night. This makes for a more efficient getaway on the weekend. An extension to allow RV's to be parked on City property for 96 hours would seem prudent given the short camping season in Alberta.
Older neighborhoods with larger lots are easily able to accommodate small and medium size RVs without the same concerns. Additionally to promote healthy lifestyles the City should be encouraging and supporting its residents who wish to partake in an active outdoor lifestyle.
On my own driveway or yard no issue. On street then city should have limits
On street , 36 hour limit,is not sufficient at the start and end of the season to get ready. We pay for offsite storage but could use more time on street.
Once front driveways are occupied by RVs, cars and trucks normally occupying that space will need to park on the street. Street parking is currently very limited in most areas due to each house having a driveway. Finally, this change would have a decidedly adverse economic impact on RV storage businesses who have invested in facilities that will see much less usage in the summer if RVs are allowed to park on front driveways in residential areas.
One impact that I can think of is that if someone already has several vehicles parked on the road or in their driveway adding an RV to that could make it difficult for neighbours to park, etc. I'm not sure how to address that though.
One major benefit would be that Bylaw would not be inundated with calls from neighbors that there is an RV parked on a driveway for more the allotted time. This would definitely free up Bylaw officers time for more important matters.
One of the potential benefits is that people won't park their RV on streets illegally for long hours or few days as they will now have flexibility to park on their driveway.
One thing is some rvs on driveways are on top of the sidewalk with the a frame this would have to be clear if this is allowed or not
One thing that would help many owners is allowing the rv to be parked in front of your house for 48 hrs as we always find the 36 hour limit hard to manage with working full time. It takes time to load up an rv and do any minor maintenance. Additionally it would be helpful with such tiny city lots if the rv could be unhooked from the tow vehicle while parked in front of your house.
One thing the City needs to be aware of is the constant follow up by the by law officers responding to complaints by a single individual "because he does not like it". It wastes a lot of manpower and time and costs to send these letters.
Only impact is if they stick out on the sidewalks when people walk by and hit their legs on the hitch or rolling on a slop from not being chocked properly resulting in damage or injuries.
Only impacts - we have enough problems in the city. Why introduce more? Keep the trailer parked designated lots - keep the city beautiful.
Only living in it with exception permit. Eg house burned and getting fixed
Only one r/v or one boat on the front driveway and any one time . Not both.
On-street parking in many Calgary communities is sparse. Allowing RVs to park for longer than 36 hours on city streets is a detriment to those who rely on street parking, especially



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

where they reside.
If citizens can afford to own a RV, they can pay for RV storage.
other cities allow parking in the driveway
Other municipalities have adopted this great idea.
Other people's property values and enjoyment should not be diminished to allow for extended recreational vehicle parking. DO NOT extend the permitted parking timeframe.
Other than the time limit of 36 hours being too short for purposes of maintenance I think the rules on trailers are good.
Our camping season is so short here in Alberta. If a trailer actually fits on somebody's driveway and doesn't encroach on the road or or sidewalk, it seems fair to let people park their trailers there for a few months of the year.
Our families can utilize our camping trailers more often; because they are readily available at home.
Our neighbors do not object to our parking of our rv on the driveway so for us there seem to be no negative impacts, only benefits.
Our neighbour has an RV that is always parked longer than the by-law allowance. Increasing the maximum length of time an RV is allowed, will likely see RV's staying longer. As well, often it's not just the RV that becomes an obstruction issue, but the power cords used with them, the trailer hookups that will obviously be facing the road that become a hazard, I've seen RV batteries left laying on the ground, and the biggest concern is how many vehicles will try to remain hooked up to their RV
Our neighbours have never complained about our camper style motorhome, and are always interested in knowing about our trips. It would be sad if a blanket rule prohibiting parking were to limit our access to our camper.
Our next door neighbours have had a boat sitting in the front driveway , for 3 years. It has NEVER been out of the driveway. They don't use it; saving it for when they retire... It is an eyesore. We have not complained because we don't want to cause any problems with our neighbours. I would give 36 hours, 3 days is enough time to move any trailer, RV or boat.
Our RV is parked in High River. It's not super convenient but I like that I can see down the street and know my kids are able to play safely. I'd be uncomfortable with not being able to see down the street. They also bring zero curb appeal. Half the people can't even mow their lawns or shovel snow. How can they look after their RV
Our streets and back alleys just don't have the capacity for more vbehicles without endangering residents. You need to include recreational vehicles such as quads/side by sides, off road vehicles and motorcycles and their trailers in planning. Many secondary vehicles such as off road trucks are parked on the street. Alberta has many secondary/trailer parking facilities that are reasonably priced that accomodate these vehicles. Our streets just weren't designed for this many vehicles.
Our streets are clogged as it is. Very few have actual driveways and there's little street parking. I can only imagine the horror of more trailers on streets
Our trailer does not fit on our driveway but rather in front of it, along the road.
Our truck would be burning less gas and therefore fewer emissions if we didn't have to drive back and forth to the storage lot all summer.
Outdoor recreation/adventure is one of the best reasons to live in Calgary. Having an accessible/ affordable RV or trailer is the gateway to fun for our family and we are being



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

forced to add more fees and time to this hobby with no home parking. This rule has us looking at purchasing a new home outside of Calgary to avoid this ridiculous law.
Over all the impact of trailers on driveways is minimal. Only some houses have front driveways, of those only some own trailers, of which only some would be parking there trailers on the driveway. The 36 Hours is in fact not 36 hours of useable time. If you return from camping late Sunday night, by the time you remove sleep, work, household activities and kids activities from the 36 hours, you may be down to 5-6 hours to clean and repair the trailer.
Although I believe my private property should be able to site on my private property, without interference, I will conceded that being able to park from beginning April to end of October would work. The extended time allows for repairs.
Over crowding of streets that already have limited parking availability results. Additionally, there are far too many property owners currently replacing the grass and vegetation in front of their homes with concrete to provide for parking. It results in a neighbour hood that is aesthetically unappealing. In addition, the roadways become narrower when you have additional vehicles parked and overcrowding becomes a safety concern.
Over the past two years my motor home was often used when people had to socially isolate. It was parked frequently in the alley and in front of my house. Luckily no one complained. Covid may have fallen out of fashion but people will continue to get sick and they need somewhere to go.
Also there's a difference between someone living in an RV in the alley or a front driveway and parking there for a week or so when a family member is visiting. The latter should be allowed.
Overall appearance and esthetics would be greatly reduced, and this may result in lower overall property values, a lot of RV's are not very pleasing to the eye.
Overall, beneficial to have longer than 36 hours of parking time.
Owned property should be allowed on owned property!
Owners have invested a large amount of money to own and operate their RV's. It is an amazing family opportunity that benefits every age group. To be able to park the RV in the front driveway would benefit the families monetarily over the camping season but more importantly, less stressful for unloading, cleaning and preparing for the next trip. Local RV storage is very costly and not always secure. The storage lots available are increasingly further out from the city adding time and more fuel costs.
Owners should be able to keep their property(campers etc) on their property. Especially when its insured/ licensed. Especially in the summer when campers are used regularly.
Owners should not be forced to move RV to a storage facility and pay monthly storage fees in the summer, we pay enough in city taxes.
Owners will also have time to do required maintenance to their RV without rushing to get it done within the time limitations.
Ownership of a trailer depending on its size may force you pay for a lot to store it. I pay \$850.00 for mine but my neighbour parks his in the driveway because it's small enough to do so and one of his vehicle impedes onto the road because it sticks as the two cannot be parked end to end.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

ownership of RV is getting high, we are paying extra money for parking space, and they are not giving any security. so again my humbly request to city, please allowing us to park RV on our driveway. Thanks
Owning a RV Is a choice. Cost associated with owning a RV is your choice. 36 hours is more then enough time to prepare for camping as most of these RVs are fully equipped with most of the essentials. You are mostly loading grocery's and clothing last minute. Allowing seasonal some what long term use on the drive way will attract nothing but more phone calls to 311.
Owning an RV encourages outdoor activity and family time. Modern vehicles are not an eyesore but reasonable restrictions are needed to support safe parking and community appearance.
Paid parking spots might be far away from residence making it more difficult to take the trailer to park it. Especially if the next trip is only a week away.
Parked trailers on street, while hitched, should be exlcuded from any restrictions.
Parking a trailer on MY property has no impact on road visibility. Parking my trailer on the road definitely impacts road visibility. The current policy is actually more dangerous to public safety (36 hours on the road vs left in my driveway), and is discriminatory against those homeowners with front driveway only homes vs those with back lanes.
Parking an RV in front of the home should also be a consideration. It is not feasible to prepare and perform all required tasks for trip preparation within the 24-36 hours. Additionally, having to be tethered to the tow vehicle does not allow for any incidental tasks that require driving with the tow vehicle, without taking the trailer with you.
Parking an RV on a front driveway creates an unattractive aesthetic in a neighbourhood. It's just a real trashy look. It also creates dangerous situations when backing out of a driveway as the RV can block sight lines. Also, when RV owners park on their driveways it increases the potential for conflict with neighbours over the issues that I have listed. Seriously, when people purchase an RV, they should develop a storage plan that does not impact their neighbours.
parking any functional, plated, and insured motorized RV should be allowed on city streets like any other vehicle
Parking close to home saves environment. It takes us 20 minutes driving to RV Storage and double to 40 minutes back and forth. It relieves traffic congestion and reduces road accident.
Parking enforcement officers can be utilized for actual parking offences. Also for property owners with an RV it allows them to leave the RV loaded and fridge plugged in saving time on weekly camping trips. In a day when everyone is complaining about climate change, is it not better to park at home for the season as opposed to the extra emissions from driving to the storage facility and back (up to 1.5hours away for some) twice for each trip?
Parking from May to September is probably sufficient.
Parking impacts, pedestrian safety impacts.
Parking impacts. Safety concern due to obstructed sight lines.
Parking in a lot and paying for these is a huge inconvenience. They want less driving and pollution control. I have to drive now 3 extra times to pick up my trailer to drop it off at the lot.
Parking in alleyways should not be allowed for any vehicle. Alleys are already too congested making it hard to navigate.
Parking in front of homes that are within a cul de sac does not limit visibility. The size of the RV and length of the parking need to be considered. As some parking pads are long enough to provide no visibility restrictions.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Parking in front of the RV owners home is fine, but not parking in front of others homes. Allowing the trailer to be parked at the front of the house, on private property should also be allowed.
Parking in my back yard should be my right. The park is behind my house and if there is a time limit to drive my rv to my yard I would be very happy + other people . Laws are made but nothing moves after 6 oclock in this neighborhood
Parking is at a bare minimum as it is for households with more than 2 vehicles. Cars are already lined up and down the street some not moving for weeks at a time. To allow RV parking on a driveway for a season April 1 to October 31 now limiting driveway parking for other vehicles.
Parking issues
PARKING--- its usually the peoplewho abuse parking who do this. Keep the bylaw going
Parking large RVs on the street should not be allowed.
Parking my RV on my driveway is not any kind of violation and a complaint from an unfriendly neighbor should grant any action taken against the owner
Parking of the RV must not delay traffic flow for more than a limited time. Consideration of the area's parking must be considered. Will parking the RV potentially cause damage to other vehicles on the street? Is there enough room to manoeuvre into the driveway and will the process of parking the vehicle delay/stop traffic for an unreasonable amount of time?
Parking on a front driveway is safer (for the vehicle) than parking on the side or back of the property, making it less likely to be the target of break-in, vandalism, theft of catalytic convertors, etc.. A well-maintained small RV parked on the driveway should not be considered any more aesthetically offensive than an F-350 pickup with dual wheels and a cap. Similarly, a small pop-up tent trailer or a small boat on a trailer with an appropriate and well-maintained cover are equally inoffensive.
Parking on a private driveway I support. I do not support parking your RV on the street or in the back alley.
Parking on driveways would mean less RVs on road going to and from storage sites. Safer. Our RV is parked in our garage when not in use. I would say the RV should be well maintained. An old dilapidated car is also an eyesore.
Parking on the street in front of property should not be allowed.
Parking on the street is a great concern for the city to understand
Parking on the street!/driveway will likely see people plugging them in and also using them as secondary homes. Plus break-ins are an issue already adding more personal items on the road will only add to it. And lastly I can barely fit down some streets as it is and now you want to add bigger wider vehicles. No thank you.
Parking RV's for long periods on our streets leaves not enough room for cars and other visitors. They are so long they partially block driveways and there is no street parking for cars. I would NOT recommend RV's being parked longer than they already are.
Parking RVs on front driveway really impacts sight Lines for neighbors as well as limiting use of driveways for parking vehicles which then take up street space.
Parking such large vehicle in the driveway, will cause vehicles previously parked in driveways to be now parked on the street causing parking issues in the neighborhood. If you think about motor homes and campers, they are large and might take up 2 spaces in the driveway thus displacing 2 vehicles to the street. With people living in campers in driveways, could they're be more fires since they are close proximity to homes and people will cook in the campers, will they're be issues with people dumping untreated camper waste into sewers? If people



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

live in campers, there will be additional load on electrical grid and additional vehicles in neighborhoods and compounded street parking issues.
Parking these types of vehicles on the front street could be a safety hazard. Kids playing on the street could hide behind or play in between.
Parking would be nuts if you allowed this and how long before people start living in them? Bad idea thru and thru. Basement suites are bad enough, the renter's already cause enough parking problems.
Parking your trailer outside your house saves a lot of money for the owner.
Parking, safety, community right to sunlight, congestion. There is significant negative impacts associated with this user ease as the sole benefit.
Part of the cost of ownership of an rv is proper storage. I pay for private storage for this reason. Maybe may storage more affordable or city run storage lots as an alternative
Paying for RV parking can be expensive and it not a needed cost if you have your own parking pad or stall. It's a waste of people's money to make them pay this cost.
Paying for storage for a unit can get very expensive. People want to camp and many this is the only way they can have some sort of vacation or a quick weekend get during the spring, summer and into fall. There are many people that use crown land camping which is very economical, but not if they have to pull there camper out of a storage site anytime they want to use it including in the winter or late fall. This can cost lots of money and crown land they cannot leave the trailers.
Peace of mind for residents knowing all laws and bylaws aren't against us and we still have certain unique freedoms. Calgary has come a long way these last few years with the parks allowing social gatherings including open alcohol and bonfires. Way to go. Let's kick Montreal or Hamiltons butts and get some musical artists out there live more often like the Mulberry Street Porch in Band in Hamilton. Kudos
Peace of mind. More engagement of Calgarians with each other, as people might be more apt to talk to their neighbours "oh, hey! Nice trailer. What are some of your favourite sites?" with common interests as a starting point.
Pedestrian safety, although you don't consider that already. Do you wonder why people leave Calgary for Airdrie.
People allowed to keep "rv's" on their own property save hundreds to thousands in storage prices. This is extremely important given the absolute need of our city government to continue to charge us more and more to live in property we own!
People already are doing this practice without it being legal. The camping season is extremely short in Calgary, the convenience for RV owners is huge and the inconvenience to non-RV owners is a very short period of time. The by-law aline with other cities.
I do not live in a cul de sac but believe that changing of these rules could be a real annoyance to both non RV owners and RV owners. I think only front parking on a driveway should be allowed and road parking prohibited altogether.
People already push the time limits, block sidewalks and other small issues.
People and families will be more encouraged to own a travel trailer and tourism and outdoor activities will have a positive impact.
People are already parking and living in travel trailers on the street and take advantage of extended parking times which exceed the allowable time.
People are generally responsible. Some bad seeds would cause problems but that's what bylaws are for.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People are losing their homes with this liberal government. If people have to move into my trailer in order for me to help them get back on their feet- the option shouldn't have a time restraint
People are spread so thin these days financially and to store a trailer is easily \$75-\$100+ a month. To save them that money is huge for some. I get having a trailer is a bit of a luxury but to some it's far more affordable than taking their family of 4 on a vacation that involves flying and/or paying for hotels.
People are struggling financially. Renting additional space isn't always an option. The City takes too much and wants to keep taking.
People are struggling with the cost of living. Making people pay for storage of their rv when they have space is horrible. I don't like the look of my neighbors trampoline, but it's their yard. Why do they have a say about what I park in mine?
People break the Rv bylaw and other parking bylaws (parking in crosswalk, parking on the corner, blocking driveways, parking in front of fire hydrant, parking in bus zone, etc) all the time. There is no fear to break the bylaws. Extending the Rv parking bylaw will make more of a safety hazard for visibility of pedestrians, further encourage abuse of the parking time limit and petty crime.
people buy houses in the new areas because they are cheaper ..they can buy a house in a maturer area with a back lane and have a place to park the rv if they want to keep the rv at there house ...I for one do not want to be next door to a person that has two vehicles and a tow vehicle and a trailer and then have them parking infront of my house all the time ..I also do not want to spend more tax moey for by law calls to inforce new bilaws.it could also open up areas that people live in the rv on a driveway for the summer
People can barely afford to live as it is, why force them to pay for storage.
People can barely afford to live in the city with our costs of fuel being higher then most other cities in our province, property tax rates, you have to drive quite far to get to a lot of things since we keep expanding, it would be nice to save citizens a monthly fee for the summer months.
People cannot afford to park there trailers else where and if they have the personal space then why should they have to?
People cannot be living in the rv parked on the driveway (for more than 1 week - should accommodate short term guests).
People can't all afford storage and some people don't have room in their garage. Saves on gas pollution from driving to different sites to pick up your trailer. Tent trailers are small and don't block visibility
People do it anyways, it reduces neighbors calling bylaw on one another. It's my property, why can't I park what I want on it.
People do not follow bylaws very well as it is. Trailers are quite frequently longer than driveways and stick out into the sidewalk and sometimes even the road. Having the 36 hour time limit is the only thing keeping people somewhat is check. People leave their rvs out far longer than their 36 hr time limit anyways. If this is lifted then it will create even more dangerous situations for navigating strollers / children and pets around these obstacles with zero sightlines.
People don't always take care of their property as it is let alone adding another eye sore to the land.
People don't have money anymore. Rvs provide relatively cheap vacations for mid to low income families. And when you can't afford gas parking it in the driveway so your kids can



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

pretend camp/have a sleepover, or so family can visit and have a place to stay makes our city more livable and enjoyable. Leave the rvs alone.
People don't abide by the current law, giving them more doesn't help. Enforce more. No reason to have an RV out for multiple days.
Will allow people to start taking up more side street parking and leaving unattended vehicles.
People don't anyways so this would be a great way to save on bylaw officer costs.
People driving their RV's back and forth from storage presents a greater risk than storing in a driveway!
People have a thread of their freedom back by doing what they want with their own property.
People have stayed in trailers on driveways. This can lead to further congestion on the street plus running furnaces and AC units can add to street noise. Letting trailers be parked on driveways for months at a time may lead to more people staying in trailers on driveways.
People living in the RVs while they are parked on property.
People may also use them as guest spaces to sleep in during the season when family or friends visit. This increases the likelihood of gas appliances in the trailer being used, and raising the risk of fire and property damage.
People may despise city council just a smidge less
People may try to use RV for family/friends or rental during peak City events.
People need a place to park them on the street if they have no driveway to park them after all we pay our taxes for our property as well as shovel snow of the city sidewalks that's your sidewalks you don't pay us for it
People need access to rv to clean it, do any maintenance and to pack it up to travel. We park in the back alley behind the house to pack and unpack it. We do not unhook the truck and are usually done within 24 hours. We do not block access to anyone's back yard or garage.
People need all the help they can get
People need sufficient time to bring their trailer home, clean it and load up for a trip while still working 8-10 hours a day. Only having the trailer home for 36 hours doesn't provide a lot of time while working full time, so I would support increasing the time to a longer period but not for the who RV season.
People need time to prep for vacations and more time would let everyone enjoy getting ready for your trip. It takes a lot of packing and more time is needed. This would have less stress for everyone. Thanks .
People need to "recreate". Let's make it as accessible as possible.
People not driving quickly to meet deadlines. Improved traffic flow reduced road rage. Happier children because mom and dad aren't stressed out.
People pay a huge amount of residential property tax in this city (something that needs to be corrected), and should be allowed to park their RVs on their private property year round without the municipal government saying otherwise.
People pay for a plot of land and then taxes. Let them actually USE their property.
People pay hard earned money for their properties. What they park on their driveway should be up to them and not the city.
People potentially residing in them. Cables and cords across sidewalks etc.
People renovate and work on their trailers and you should be allowed to have it parked on your driveway all year! Only if it's blocking the view of traffic it should be moved to a different spot.
People require a place to load, charge batteries, plug in the power for refrigerators 24 hours, source and fill water tanks. Camping is a vacation which is the most economical for families.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Limiting this access would limit their opportunity to do things as a family. This is more important than ever - lessons learned from the pandemic.
People save money allowing for additional spending in neighborhood businesses, roads are not be driven in when not needed, sustainability
People should be able to store their boats and RV's on their own property.
People should be allowed to park their recreational vehicles on their driveways as they are not commercial and it is private property. Offsite parking is expensive and should not be required for smaller rvs campers and trailers. Aesthetics should not be regulated by city bylaws.
People should be allowed to use there property as there property within reason, having a small camper trailer is not an issues, a mammoth motorcoach is a different conversation as it obstructs views. Also only ticketing people who had someone complain is unacceptable, someone want to be petty and you get singled out, that's not ok, especially when your neighbour's can do what ever cause no one called them in
People should have the right to have their belongings on their property provided it doesn't interfere with their neighbours and is, by definition not unsightly.
People should have the right to park their rv at home.
People should have the right to use and store their property at their residence as they see fit.
People should know trailer parking is temporary. We have a travel trailer for camping. We pick it up from storage the night before, load it up, go to work the next day and then leave right after work. 36 hours is plenty of time to have it in front of the house.
People should not camp or have guests living in RVs on their property.
People should not have to pay to store a trailer or RV if they have a driveway front or back on the property. People that park on the lawns, well that's trailer trash and should not be allowed cars or trailers.
People shouldn't be allowed to do whatever they want, whenever they want. Just because 1% of Calgarians owns RVs and constantly break the rules, doesn't make the rule bad. The 36 hour window should be removed and no RV parking should be allowed at all. RVs should be where they belong, in designated parking spots and not on the street. If your backyard can't accommodate the vehicle, it should be parked at a storage facility.
People that complain about RV's on driveways are the same people that complain about everything. They'll find something else to complain about your new expanded bylaw. Benefits would be happier RV owners who don't have to put as much money out for storage so they have more left for their higher taxes which shoukd allow them to put what they want on their driveway
People want this so they do not have to pay for a storage site, or be inconvenienced having to go pick it up, or drop it off. If they are using their front driveway for their RV, that means they are parking vehicles on the street. which street parking is hard to find as it is, especially in neighborhoods of front attached driveways.
People want to enjoy the country side but it has to be convenient and affordable if you have to rent a spot to much money. All I have is on street or laneway.
People who own RVs spend a lot of time driving to their storage areas, hooking up their RV, and driving back home to pack up. If we have space to park it on our driveways, we should be able to do so seasonally. I wouldn't want to keep it on our driveway year round, but having quick access to it during the spring/summer would be welcomed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People who want an RV to be parked on their property should live in homes that can accommodate them under current bylaws. Neighborhoods should not look like storage facilities for these items.
People will always take advantage of lifting the limitations. I pay a lot of money for my home and to be in a nice neighborhood and I would hate to see trailers taking over and making it look like a camp ground.
People will be happier and less depressed
People will be living in them next.
People will get out and enjoy nature more if you make it easier for people to have things they own at their house
People will own these vehicles no matter what, by restricting the front parking all you're doing is encouraging people to find parking elsewhere likely bothering the community around them
People will park large trailers on driveways that will extend out into parking/travel lanes for an extended period, thereby increasing a chance of accidents. It's safer if it's limited to 36 hours.
People will park trailers that don't fit on their property (drives way ) and hitch will hang onto the sidewalk causing a hazard for everyone!
People will start living in RVs parked on driveways.
People will start living in them
People will start renting them out on the side as an attachment to their home.
People will take advantage and use their RVs as AirBnb's or dump sewage on the street or run air conditioning at all hours. I can't see *any* benefits other than pacifying the entitled few who can't plan their RV trips or who believe they should be able to use an RV as a guest house in their front yard. Please don't allow it. 48 hours is even too long.
People will take advantage. Blocking other cars and driveways
People will try and abuse it. Clear rules and firm policies.
People with multiple offenses should be ticketed differently.
People with RVs want more Street time and people without RVs are opposed it's like that for all different issues.
People without driveways and wither RVs should not have a vote. This whole question is Numbyism at its worst.
People without driveways are parking (and storing for long stretches of time) their rvs on already crowded residential streets that have limited parking. No enforcement of these repeat offenders, until a citizen reports to 311. Can't Parking Enforcement do regular patrols?
People won't park on the road, which happens frequently right now. This will leave roads safer and create better visibility.
People would be able to use the property that the own.
People would be happier to have camper close by. Less arguments with neighbours.
People would be more active if they could have a place to store their vehicles. I realize that some folks don't use recreation vehicles, but one of the perks of living in this great city is being so close to the mountains and having recreation sites near by. Storage facilities are for the most part, far outside the city limits. They are costly and inconvenient to have to go back and forth to clean and prep for a trip or a day outing. We own the vehicle, register it and care for it but can't park it on our own property. It's like owning a pet and having it stored off site. Ever seen the Macleod trail full of RV traffic it's b/c all of those are going back and forth to storage.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People would have more money as they wouldn't need to pay for off-site storage. Rich people would get upset that their neighbor's lot doesn't look as pretty and complain to the city because they have nothing better to do.
People's mental health
Peoples livelihoods and the cost of living are important. This improves both.
Peoples time dragging their RVs constantly to and from storage areas.
Per the above, street parking is already an issue in newer communities. Allowing RV/Trailer parking will only worsen that. Surely the city has bigger priorities than this.
Perhaps a negative impact to rv storage facilities. Otherwise cost savings for residents.
Perhaps change the 36 hour limit to 48 hours, and only if the RV is owned by the property owner and it is not being rented out or lived in.
Perhaps change the provision to 48 hours and make it enforceable. Raise fines for non compliance and enforce it.
Perhaps if people are encouraged to park on their own driveway there will be less clutter on the street which is constantly used and may result in less blockage. Our block has had to make several complaints with a trailer being parked on the street for longer than 36 hours resulting in our area being blocked or causing near misses with kids trying to cross the street.
Perhaps we could move to a seasonal permit and treat each situation as unique. The current bylaw seems controlling and unfair.
Permanently leaving RVs may be unsightly, but during camping season it would be very beneficial to be allowed park it longer
Permit must be issued by the city with a seasonal fee. It will allow the city review the RV side, house location available space and based in this info permit shall be issues or denied
Personal property should be for the use and enjoyment of the owners. As long as there is not a safety issue then parking of an rv should be allowed. A Terri le looking vehicle is as much of an eyesore or more than an RV. Unsure what the concern is with a RV versus a vehicle.
Personally if I bring our trailer home we advise our neighbors and make sure there is parking available for us and respectful to the rule no longer than a day. There has been times where we needed more than 72 hours to get a repair complete or cleaning etc. Option to purchase a pass to keep it longer should be considered. Also we never unhitch the unit and leave it. Others do and I feel a special permit should be required to do that.
Personally, I am not sure why people want to park their trailers or RVs at their residences. The risk of crime and vandalism is too high. We have left our trailer in front of our house twice in 3 years. The second time a trailer battery was stolen. There's also the concern of security for your personal residence. If your trailer is typically parked at your home and then suddenly isn't there, it's advertising to burglars that you are away and likely the house is unattended.
Please allow RV's to be parked and detached from a vehicle if parked on street. (72 hour time limit). RV must have lock on hitch.
Please allow tent trailers on front driveways for free, year round. Having to pay to park a tent trailer is expensive and not affordable for many families.
Please also consider people who don't have driveways! Our house does not have a driveway (the garage goes straight onto the alley) and we have a camper that we would like to park in front of our house. It's very difficult to get it in and out of our backyard and being able to park it for 24-48 hours (without a car attached... because we still need to use our car) would be a huge help. The current bylaw does not allow any detached parking at all.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Please also extend on street parking at the same time. I have no issues paying for offsite storage but I don't have a driveway. I find that 36 hours parking on the street is not enough time to load the trailer for a trip - especially if it's the first or last time out for the season.
Please also extend the amount of time an RV can be on the street. 36 hours is not always long enough to get ready for a trip with people working full time. Extending to 72 hours or more would be great. Maybe set up a system that you can email neighbours to have them consent to allow a street parked RV to be there for longer.
Please consider extending the time allowed to park an RV in front of your house (on the road, for those of us without driveways). Even increasing to 48 hrs seasonally would be beneficial. Thank you
Please consider my suggestion for the City to provide a subsidy, tax benefit, etc. for RV owners who pay for storage of their RV.
Please consider the definition of seasonal use as this may vary considerably from individual to individual, I use my camper most of the year on a regular basis (April - November)
Please do extend road parking! We don't have a driveway and while we'd love to put one in, it's costly and also doesn't appear the city will allow it.
Please do not allow street parking. Trailers should only be allowed on driveways.
Please do not allow this
Please do not allow this! It's such a safety concern on many levels
Please don't let people do this. If anything extend the time frame to 4 days MAX.
Please extend the current length of time from 36 hours to 72 hours. It makes it much easier to prepare for trips.
Please keep the aesthetic of no recreational vehicles the way it is. PLEASE!!!!!!
Please let us park out RV in our driveway if it fits without covering any sidewalk. We should be allowed to park a small RV 20 feet in a personal driveway without any problem.
Please reconsider the restriction of detached towed travel trailers not being allowed to park on streets/alleys adjacent to the house - we require up to 72 hrs of parking (not blocking driveways, sidewalks, etc.) for the exact same reasons. It's impossible to do trip preparation/maintenance without this allowance.
Please rescind the requirement for the RV to be hooked up to a vehicle during the 36 hour on street period. With only one vehicle in a household, you can't leave the trailer to get supplies.
Please review that not all camper vans are merely recreational but because of the van size could also be a mode of daily transportation. Width and length should be contributing factor to a final grouping
Please see above
Please see answers to question 4. 144 hours (6 days) should be the allowable maximum.
Please specify if the rv needs to be a certain distance from frontage of property.
Please stop wasting my tax dollars on regulating this very easy decision
Policing. There will be trailers on sidewalks everywhere. And people living and renting out their trailers on their driveways. VRBO style. Don't make this decision without considering all angles.
Pollution: most RV owners have to put their unit in storage when not in use. So considering the location of these storage locations we drive 30 mins to go pick it up, then 30 minutes back. This is essentially 2 hours of drive time that can be eliminated.
Poorer sight lines for security in the neighbourhood — increased risk of catalytic converters being stolen.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Possible collisions when driving to and from storage. Ware and tare on the vehicles.
Environmental impact. Time savings.
Possible increase in small animal problems if an rv has food left in it.
Possible increase in vandalism and theft.
Possibly extend to 72 hours so can park pack clean prior to leaving for a weekend
Possibly there could be an application for an extended time frame due to maintenance that is required on an RV
Potential increase in the use of RVs as secondary living suits due to increased parking allotments.
Potential negative impact: For those that leave their RVs on their property for the entire season, it is more obvious when they are not home, which potentially increases the risk for home break-ins.
Potential site for increase in thefts and in the case of a live in camper as site for parties.
Potentially reduce trailer traffic on long weekends and busy periods in the summer because it spreads out the time that people have to move trailers back to storage.
Preparations and repairs on trailers can sometime take some time. Longer than the 36 hours. Parking at storage yards are at a premium and sometimes are not even available.
Preparing for a trip takes time especially the first time out.. lots of other cities allow for rv parking on the drive way why not calgary
Preparing your RV for travel and especially for traveling safely takes a fair bit of time and can require many tools that are in your home shop or garage. I suspect that most people who "pick up" their RV from a storage center may not always spend the time that is required to make sure they get the RV safely home.
Private property is private. The city needs to mind its own business and fox their own issues like the violence on transit and stop worrying about what happens on home owners property.
Private property is what you make it. Having a clean and undamaged RV parked on your driveway is by no means unsightly or obstructs visibility. There are properties with multiple vehicles in disrepair on their property, both driveway and lawn, yet they are allowed. With cost of living increases, allowing RVs to be parked seasonal and work trailers year round (in good repair) should be allowed. Come on City, lighten up, we are all taxpayers.
Privately owned RV on private property should be allowed year round.
Probably
Promotes family time. We usually sate 1h one way to storage facility. Easier access would be great.
Promoting exploration of our province and use of campground areas
Property owners have the right to use their property.
Property ownership should matter.
Property rights.
Property value
Property value is the biggest flag. Followed by over crowding on already busy streets from the RVs themselves or vehicles that no longer have space to park in the driveway because their RV is there.
Property value/respect for neighbours in the community. People purchase homes based on certain zoning and city bylaws. Making these changes can effect significant numbers of people and possibly their property value or their ability to sell a home. If people can afford a trailer then they should be able to afford a place to store it
Property values and neighbourhood safety.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Property values suffer with too many RVs parked too long.
Property values would definitely be affected if a large RV is parked on a neighbor driveway.
Provide regulated storage facilities.
Provided that any rv unit is not parked in such a fashion as to be deemed unsafe, and given that there are so many owned units in the City, we believe that the longer the time frame people have to park on their property, the better.
Provides equal opportunity for residents who do not have access to a back or side parking.
Providing the RV is not an eyesore (old & not well maintained) and doesn't have people residing in it year round, I think city dwellers would find this to be a great benefit.
Prowling, crime could go up, more to steal from.
Quality of life - mental health.
Quality of life for the whole family to enjoy the rv experience
Quality of life, camping is sooo good for the soul. Let's make the entire process a bit easier for people.
Quick, mini trips would be easier. More camping trips improves health and wellness. I don't even have a driveway but one day hope so am supporting this now.
Quit empowering those who want to their views to be the only view and supporting them through targeted enforcement and harassment.
Quit over taking people's freedom
Quit raising taxes this is to "woke"
Quit regulating us to death. Worry about real issues.
Quite frankly I think the current bylaw is reasonable. While I would like to park my boat on my driveway for longer than 36 hours, this time window strikes a balance.
R.v stays safe in front of the owner and it can be enjoyed
Rather than having seasonal parking, consider extending the 36 hours to a cap of 72 hours. That would allow time for cleaning, loading, and unloading as well as to make any repairs or adjustments that need to be made to the RV.
recommend a limit to the length of RV that can be parked on the driveway and have a bylaw that the vehicle must be a meter or half meter from the sidewalk. ensure that plenty of room for people to walk round the vehicle safely to get to the front door. There could also be additional requirements that both trailer and tow vehicle must comfortably fit on driveway, Help reduce on street parking. For families the time saved not driving to and from storage, allow for easier loading for trips
Recreation and vacation is good for mental health, that is proven. Camping -in it's various forms- is vacation, relaxation, recreation, getting outdoors, which is good for mental health, which is good for a community. The best time to this activity is from May long weekend to September long weekend. Calgarians, who have the space on their personal property front driveway, should be able to keep their vehicles there for the camping 'season' as long as the 'vehicle' is in working order.
Recreation is some people's livelihood . This would cause many to have no choice but to put them up for sale , and some to even send to junkyard . Some people are even down on their luck and have to live in them , that would create a rise in homelessness, because some people like to live in them as their home , and found cheap storage/living quarters to stay off the streets . I personally have talked to people in towns with seasonal bylaws for r.v parking , and they say it creates more of a nuisance having to store them , especially in places like Cochrane where many of their population is people who enjoy recreation, so the neighbors could care less about them .

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Recreational vehicles parked on the streets are already parking longer than they should, filling up the few parking spots on our streets. They make the roads into single lane and difficult for the school busses and traffic to get by. My neighborhood, starting May long weekend, has many recreational vehicles blocking alleys and public roads. They remain there for the whole summer, except for two or three weekend that they are used. It's dangerous and unsightly until September.
reduce cost and expense to store in a rural storage facility
Reduce emissions
Reduce RV traffic on roads and needless waste of time and GHG having to move RV every 36 hours. Let by law officers focus on other issues. Reduce neighbour to neighbour conflicts as I have had neighbours accuse me to calling by law enforcement in the past when they left their RV trailer parked on street not attached to vehicle.
Reduce the amount of RV storage lots around the city. Storage lots are targets for thieves and take take up large amounts of space.
Reduce the cost of storage. I don't think so are any impacts. If someone using it to live, ticket them.
Reduced carbon as reduced driving
REDUCED CARBON EMISSIONS, less traffic on the roads to get the RV and come back home
Reduced carbon footprint from traveling to storage lot
Reduced carbon footprint given that you don't have to drive to and from the storage lots which are on the outskirts of the city.
Reduced fuel use to go prepare/maintain RV. Reduce fuel use to go get an rv, bring home and prep before an outing and return after an outing. Easier to show and sell rv. All the garbage bins (blue, black, green) are a bigger eyesore.
Reduced GHG emissions and traffic congestion (during peak weekend rush hours) from avoided travel to/from RV storage facilities.
Reduced greenhouse emissions, reduced complaints
Reduced parking is a key concern if a vehicle that would normally use driveway is now on street. Most of the listed cities that allow RVs are not as focused on density or active in reducing parking. Guests or tenants using the RV may present a concern, but no more than parking in yards.
Reduced storage costs
Reduced travel back and forth to storage facility in Sylvan Lake.
Reduced travel time, benefiting the reduction in fuel costs and consumption.
Reduces emissions of citizens having to make many more trips to and from storage areas. Could increase street parking issues in dense areas.
Reduces expenses during trying times, more time to pack and unpack, fewer complaints made and many more
Reduces on-street residential parking opportunities for non-RV owners
Reduces property values due to lack of enforcement for dereliction as well folks will be living out of them for the summer in front of the house. Happens now and you have limited resources to enforce. Folks will be rebuilding them in front of the house like they do cars. What a constant mess. Pity anyone caught with such a neighbour
Reduces visibility with all the forced street parking making neighborhoods unsafe for children to play

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Reducing traffic, increasing mental health of calgarians by reducing stresses added to allow favourite past times to be easier.
Reducing trips to storage reduces greenhouse gases. Other considerations-the complaints in Royal Oak about RVs are almost all esthetic, NIMBY, type complaints. But an RV is just a different type of vehicle - vehicles (of all shapes, quality) are already everywhere...I'd go at least 10 days of parking on driveway/street. Preferably most of the summer months. Starting Sept 36 hr max through winter.
Reducing visibility in areas that already have low visibility means increasing the potential for collisions. Leaving trailers on property also invites Prowlers to try and access these, just like parked cars, inviting more issues into the neighborhood.
Reduction in green house gas due to people having to move there rvs less often
Reduction of traffic, and trailers travelling to and from storage during the camping season
Regarding the esthetics, this can be a problem with non-RVs or other things that some people park/place in their yards. It is incorrect to assume all RVs are unsightly. Sometimes they may be in better visual condition than some people's houses and yards.
REGISTERED VEHICLES, TRAILERS OR MOTOR VEHICLES with valid registration and insurance should be allowed on public and private road ways with no time restrictions.
Regulations are important, but needless regulations that are not addressing a problems take away from the desirability of a city.
Relieves stress. Allows appropriate use of owned land (front driveway).
Remaining there for a season may promote using it for temporary accommodation with unexpected guests.
Removing unnecessary rules is a good step forward.
Rent a RV spot or storage like most people do
Rental space is expensive if you own an rv and it is not parked on the street or alley parking and since the vehicle needs to be registered just like your vehicle you should be allowed to park it on your property. Previously we had a neighbor who had parked their damaged car on their driveway put a tarp over it and it was fine yet we couldn't park our 17 ft brand new trailer on our driveway how does that make sense
Rented storage spaces are just another expense that not everyone can afford. Also a well maintained RV parked in a front driveway is far less unattractive than a broken down passenger vehicle or truck that is allow to park on their front driveway. Then what about all the unsightly garbage and recycle bins that people have stored in the front of their house
repairs to RVs and trailers completed on the driveway can often take a whole weekend or weeks. those that can't afford to have a dealership do the repairs must keep it on the driveway to complete these repairs and maintenance.
Reply to question to alanlove950@gmail.com
Residential space is costly. It's assumed when purchasing a home that you can use your property to your advantage. Unless safety is an issue, seasonal parking should be permitted.
Residential street parking should be increased to 48 hours with no hook up required, thus is mostly for the convenience of getting ready for a trip then returning.
Residents shouldn't be allowed to store RVs on side or backyards as well, the RVs are just as ugly over half a fence as they are in front of the house. Sightlines are already obstructed by lack of care for trees, parked trucks, etc. using that as an excuse for front yards doesn't add. Any restrictions applied through bylaw should come with an enforcement obligation. Asking residents to interpret all of the bylaws and then anger neighbors by trying to "nicely" suggest to follow them doesn't work.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Residents shouldn't have to spend extra money on parking if they are reasonable and respectful of the neighbours.
residents will take of this as I've seen in airdrie
Restricting big RVs makes sense. But the "one size for all" current bylaw doesn't make sense, is too restrictive. Smaller trailers of all types (camping, cargo, snowmobile, landscaping etc) should be allowed to park in residential driveways as long as they're not unsafe or blocking sight lines. Possible savings for small home based businesses. Some home insurance can cover storm damage to RV parked in driveway. No expensive offsite storage = big \$\$ savings for small camper owners.
Restrictions on huge RVs maybe more appropriate. It should also be considered whether it is a problem if people live on driveways and the impacts of this. A short stay by family or friends may not be a problem, but someone renting it to a long term resident is probably an issue.
Retired couples might have small even or b plus vans that they can store and have easy access to there rv. As long as the rv is nice and clean and not an eyesore, I would love to have an rv stored on my driveway to have easy access to travel
Rising costs of living right now. Being able to park your recreation vehicles or large work trucks and not having to pay for storage would be beneficial for absolutely everyone.
run off from the trailers, people using them since they are sitting on the driveway , power and water cord impacts
RV activities are part of Alberta and Canadian culture. The city must reasonable accomodations to support these activities.
RV air conditioning units and generators are very noisy. I hunk limiting their usage is appropriate
RV are not pleasant things to look at in neighbourhoods.
RV driveway parking allows for more spontaneous camping trips - I rely on my husband to pick up the trailer and if it's already in my drive, I can get it ready to go asap
RV in driveway should be allowed in camping season
Rv is not a secondary living place for family and visitors at your residence. 36 hrs to do your business before taking on a trip or returning to off site storage is sufficient
RV Length restrictions, not impacting neighborhoods access.
RV must be in good condition and not unsightly in condition and not used for additional living quarters to the residence. Grey and Black tanks are not permitted to be discharged at the residence or property. Power may be plugged into home.
Rv owners like myself have invested in storage options so that time our RV is in front of the home is limited. Others need to do the same.
RV owners should also be allowed to park on nearby streets for 44h or 36h if their house doesn't allow for temporary parking due to location. It is a bad policy that as an RV owner I am unable to park temporarily my RV to load and unload legally on adjacent street. That is due to my home backing onto green space and front of the house not having sufficient space. I pay taxes which include Roads just like anyone on our street but I am unable to legally enjoy same benefits that my neighbors have.
Rv owners should have time to pack or unpack, but not impede the safety or view of other residents.
RV owners who live in cul de sacs with little front yard frontage are not allowed to park even a motorhome on an island.
This rule could be relaxed somewhat to accommodate their needs for the 3 day parking limit. I

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

would not support an unattached trailer or 5th wheel though as the need to move them quickly is much more difficult
RV ownership is a big business within Calgary, providing a more supportive environment for their use would assist the industry.
RV ownership is a privilege, not a right. If you can't afford suitable storage, you can't afford to own an RV. No sympathy for whiners.
Rv parking extension to more than 48hrs would be great
RV parking in the driveway may increase demand on street parking in residential neighbourhoods. People may park their vehicle on street so that access is not blocked by the parked RV.
RV parking is limited and can be expensive. This cost is essentially a monopoly that Calgarians must pay more than other cities. Additionally if one has easy access to their RV the probability of going camping is greater since it's more accessible. Seniors also would benefit since accessing ones RV would be better with longer term parking.
Rv parking is not available in most communities so we see more rv's been driven to and from storage during rv season
Rv parking is so expensive. Bilaw enforcement only happens if someone complains, so uneven enforcement.
RV Parking on driveways is happening anyway and only becomes a concern when neighbours complain so it should be allowed.
RV parking on the driveway would benefit us in many ways. 1st, we pay nearly 1 K a year for RV storage and is a financial burden for a new family. 2nd, it is using up land that could otherwise be used or developed in other ways- these owners of "RV storage lots" are taking advantage of the laws in calgary (they know we can't park on our own property, and take advantage). 3rd, it would increase our quality of life by knowing our investment is safe, and it allow us to use our RV more often.
RV public storage in the NW is being squeezed out by ring road development and those places that are left are getting expensive
RV security. RVs in storage lots seem susceptible to theft of unit or catalytic converters.
RV should have a limit when parking on the street (36 hours) but a seasonal limit to parking off the street ie on a driveway
RV should not be parked in a manner that would overlap the sidewalk, if one exists in front of the residence.
RV should not be used for the purpose of housing or short term rental, no Air BnB! Must be in working order and not cause a visual obstruction for cars or pedestrians.
Rv storage areas and expensive and often prone to theft. If people have suitable park on there people Property let them use it
RV storage centers costs can be detrimental to an RV owner. Those without a place on their property to house one will always have to pay those, but I see no reason why someone who is already paying hefty taxes for their property should also be burdened further with an additional cost.
RV storage cost money every month and its a huge pain to run back and forth to RV storage to collect a camper. Easily \$80 per month, so for four month \$320 a year. This equates to 10-15% premium on what most people would pay on property tax for the right to use their front driveway on their private property to store property on their own liking for what I would



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

describe a very trivial reasons. Calgary should follow other cities lead and relax the regulations.
RV storage facilities MAY be impacted for the camping season. However, I do not know how much of an impact that could be. Most RV storage facilities are in rural locations and not convenient if the RV owner is taking their RV out regularly.
RV storage is expensive and limited. Some people have no choice but to park on their driveways.
Rv storage is expensive, and complicated in Calgary, usually a log distance from our home. Packing and repairs can sometimes take more than 36 hours. Also most already keep their rv in their driveway as most are tolerant, but a small group dislike this and cause problems .
RV storage is relatively expensive ranging from 50-100 dollars monthly and often comes with restrictions surrounding maintenance. As such, if work was required that took more than 36 hours, it would be impossible to complete. RVing helps my family with special needs people experience the great outdoors in a way that would otherwise be impossible.
RV storage lots are expensive, like city taxes, and are seldom nearby the owner's residence (requiring more gas to be used to transport the RV)
RV storage lots are typically expensive, and can be difficult to secure a spot. Restricting driveway usage to 36 hours is inconvenient. Smaller cities have no restrictions on RV storage on your own property.
Rv storage yards are very expensive, a lot of people can't afford them on top of everything else.
RV vehicles or old cars that sit on front driveways impact the esthetics of the neighbourhoods and property value of nearby homes. We have tried to address them by reporting to 311, with no success.
RV's are unsightly, take up a lot of room and are a safety concern. They belong in storage facilities or in designated parking pads at the rear of the property. The current rules are working and should be expanded to include utility trailers
RV's can not be used as a rental opportunity.
Rv's in driveways are very convenient and typically not a problem. If it doesn't bother your neighbours, is not unsightly or blocking sidewalks and views then I don't see the harm in it and if it make La it easier for families to head out camping at a moments notice then it promotes family time and healthy outdoor living too.
Rv's over 20' should have some restrictions on length of stay. Smaller Rv's should have none.
RV's parked on the street in front of homes create congestion on narrow residential roads. RV's parked on driveways create a safety issue due to decreased visibility when backing out of garages and driveways and make it hard to see pedestrians on the sidewalk. Also having my view from my front door impeded, makes me unable to see what is going on around my house and can contribute to increased crime by creating an opportunity for criminal activity to go undetected. This puts all of us at risk.
RV's parked too close to a corner and trailers not attached to tow vehicles
RV's should be allowed on the street( in front of homeowners house) for 1 week to allow for preparation to go on vacation
RV's should be allowed, those who complain have too much time on their hands
Rv's should not block public sidewalks when parked on driveways.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVing generates revenue for local businesses and increases usage of our provincial parks. Camping is an affordable outdoor activity for families which is a much greener alternative to vacations requiring flights.
RVing is a family friendly activity. While some may say if you can afford an RV you should be able to afford storage but that's not necessarily true. An older RV may be bought and paid for at a reasonable price but adding storage to the cost can be prohibitive. We should be encouraging family activities and not limit it to low income families.
Rving is a relatively affordable pastime that is very good for kids to get outside and play with other kids out in the "wild" storing the rv is very expensive and inconvenient so leaving it in the driveway would help with the bills.
RVing is a very Albertan activity and a big part of our fabric of life. It should not be blatantly and zealously restricted.
RVs and boats should be in good working order as to prevent eye sores
RV's are an important part of families who participate in that activity. So positive enforcement should be encouraged.
RVs are an important part of our summer in Alberta. With rising costs for storage and maintenance, an extension in the time allowed to park in driveways will help.
RVs are expensive to purchase and expensive to store. They bring families together and help build memories. They are useful if guests are coming over and you need additional room for them to sleep. I feel that the city should allow people to utilize their residence to secure and keep their belongings, including their RV. As long as certain regulations are respected like not blocking a sidewalk or leaks from the gray/black water tanks. Having the unit at home allows for easy access, quicker preparation for a camping trip, cost savings and additional sleeping for guests. I think it is a great idea to allow law abiding taxpayers to use their driveways.
RVs are not an essential service. People need to consider their access to parking and storage before they purchase.
RV's are rarely maintained well externally. They are built quick and cheap and sunfade rather quickly. Having RV's parked all around your neighborhood brings it down in appearance and also creates so many blind spots when navigating the streets.
Rvs aren't unsightly....junky yards and back alleys are
RV's can be better protected and maintained if allowed to remain on the owners property throughout the camping season. The camping season should include April 1 through October 31.
RVs can offer good temporary living for a multitude of reasons. The law needs to be reviewed so people who need temporary accommodation for fair and just reason can do so. This winter a family who lost his house, forced to stay in a trailer, was kicked off his own property while repairs took place.... This was not right.
RVs cannot be in the way of pedestrians and traffic
RVs could be occupied by visiting friends and family. We have visited family in other cities with our van. We have also used our van to accommodate extra people at our home for a family event. 36 hours is way too short of a time to get ready for a longer trip, or to work around busy schedules.
RVs do limit visibility and can be a hazard, especially near corners. Further, there is limited street parking and unrestricted parking all summer may cause big problems in some areas. Not sure if I support unlimited parking but extending the 36hr limit to a week would be really helpful for many.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVs encourage active lifestyle and access to outdoor environments. We should facilitate easier use and access to RVs for those who have them.
RVs in residential neighborhoods must be well kept, cannot attract mice, etc. Cannot overhang sidewalks. RVs of certain size limits should be allowed year round in driveways.
RVs look awful in a driveway, I'm happy to park mine at a storage facility.
RVs parked in offsite parking location are unattended and often become damaged or targets for thieves. These lots are often located 30 to 60 minutes from the city and therefore consume excessive time and fuel to accommodate.
Rv's parked on driveways devalue whole neighborhoods
RV'S parked on private driveways do not interfere with the view of traffic any more than many of the trees in our neighbourhoods. When citizens pay for property and their ongoing taxes they should be permitted to use the property to their advantage as much as possible.
RVs parked on the streets in front of homes is far more dangerous.
RV's set up to be functional 'in place' can (and often are) rented out as AirBNB / short term occupancies - this could cause issues for permanent residents and create an unintended use of the property. RV's in storage may have reduced insurance - it's not entirely clear whether that would cover the risks of being stored on owner's property adequately.
RVs should be allowed to be parked on the owners driveway for at least 72 hours as long as the RV is parked safely, and on an approved parking space/hard surfaced driveway. This would align to other Alberta municipalities (Edmonton for example).
RVs should be fresh and well maintained. No decrepit RVs should be allowed in driveways long term. RVs should not be allow in driveways post camping season.
RV's should not be kept for, or as rental units. That scenario could lead to a lack of available neighborhood street parking due to the additional vehicles that would coincide with additional residencies. On street parking is already at premium in some neighborhoods. I would be Ok with short term RV parking of friends or family members while visiting and utilising the use of an RV (1-2 weeks).
RVs should not extend over public sidewalk. Inflation and costs are high so we should provide Calgarians some flexibility re parking on Driveway.
RVs tend to be much larger the most vehicles and will cover sidewalks and in some cases take up important parking spaces.
RV's that are too large and imposing or in poor condition should be disallowed as they may compromise the esthetics of a street/neighbourhood. The convenience over a season seems like a good idea. I'd appreciate the easier access, cost savings and wouldn't mind looking at other RV's in adjacent properties for a few months.
RV's that can be parked on driveways without obstructing sidewalks, streets and sightlines are no more unsightly than RV's parked in ally's, backyards or side yards. These vehicles support healthy active lifestyles of their owners and contribute to the mental health of Calgarians. Realistically if a vehicle can fit on a driveway without obstructing the sidewalk it is no worse than a tall hedge or large tree.
Safer
Safer - do not have to transport even back and forth from storage to home when use. As sometimes this is weekly during peak usage season. Save gas for owner from lesser trips back and forth from storage lot. When want/need to do repairs safer and more convenient when at own property when parts required.
Safer for owners to move rvs





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Safer to allow rvs picked up during hours of less traffic and not after work during rush hour
Safety
safety
Safety and curb appeal,
Safety and economical, I will totally support extending it and let it be seasonal
Safety and esthetics need to be addressed on a case-by-case basis - not one size fits all.
Safety and line of sight for children playing on or near the street. Making sure people aren't using a trailer parked on the street as an air b and b.
Safety and line of site
Safety concerns. Impede neighbour interaction. No one wants to look at campers and trailers in their neighbour all summer. Leave as is.
Safety convince gas savings better for environment
Safety for neighbor driving out of their driveway for sight lines.
Safety for pedestrians
Safety is a main issue and I would prefer to enter and back out of my front garage without y sightlines being blocked by parked RVs.
Also with driveways being occupied by RVs, it will lead to additional on-street parking issues.
Safety is a real issue by size of trailer RV
Safety is of course #1 as long as the trailer is not effecting anything from a safety point of view ( like blind spots, obstructing emergency exits exits. I don't see it as a problem.
Safety of a long term blocking of line of sight on some curved roads.
Safety of residents in proximity of RV due to obstruction of view and security for homes.
Safety of your RV on your own driveway, able to do regular maintenance of the RV rather than going to a storage area to winterize.
Safety primarily. Esthetics and nimbyism should not be invoked. This smacks of the sort of HOA fiasco one sees in the States.
Safety would become a problem for kids and pedestrians for visibility, people will stretch it to exceed duration as they already do, ... limiting parking even further , very bad idea
Safety, carbon reduction, security, money...
Safety, safety and safety, plus I do not want to see trailers and all instead of kids playing.
Safety, Safety, Safety
Safety.
Safety. Already there are issues with break-ins/theft, a nice RV will only increase that and if you can't see down the street because an RV is blocking the view, it just adds to the problem. Please consider this very carefully.
Safety. Adds blind spots for children, pedestrians and traffic.
Same as above
Same as above
Same as above
Save city resources, rather than checking on complaints that don't really affect the neighborhood
Save me money not spending over \$50/month on storage costs, which increase year over year.
Save people money and time



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

save the environment ( less driving for the people who have to drive 2 hours to pick up their trailer from storage depots) As long as the vehicle fits in the driveway and doesn't block the sidewalk, its no different than parking your tall, large service van in your driveway.
Save wasting bylaw officers time.
Saves money and gets them off of residential streets
Saves money for owners and reduces bylaw complains
Saves money not having to rent storage space
Saves money --people can spend that money elsewhere on something that can help the economy. Paying a storage place \$75/month doesn't do much to stimulate the economy.
Saves some people money. Camping & travelling in our trailer is good for mental health.
Saves tax payer money for enforcement
Saving calgarians money by allowing them to park on their driveways is a great incentive that I'm sure a lot of people would love to have.
Saving in fuel
Saving money, less transportation, less wear and tear on the roads and vehicles.
Saving people money for the cost of storage lots, able to have the RV at home to maintain it properly. Less insurance payouts for vandalism and thefts from RVs at storage lots.
Savings of time and gas from taking the unit back and forth from the storage facility
Seasonal Bylaw would help eliminate these calls over the summer, and put effort and attention responding to other areas needing to be addressed. A hard rule that should also be instilled is that people can't live in the RV out front. Park it all you want - but it's not appropriate for additional people to dwell and cause chaos. With the high cost of living, many are moving into trailers... a seasonal could result in many squatting on driveways.
Seasonal eve parking is very expensive and we pay a lot in property taxes already and it keeps going up like crazy
We should be able to park on our own driveway for the season Not all year
Seasonal front driveway parking for those using the RV on a regular basis reduces the cost and logistics of using the vehicle during the summer camping season and fosters the use to enjoy the benefits of living in AB
Seasonal front driveway parking would definitely make it easier for many people to enjoy their RV's. if it is not a safety issue, why not allow it. There will always be people who object to everything that isn't perfectly manicured.
Seasonal front driveway timeline extensions beyond 36 hours could encourage an increase in homeless occupancy, increased crime, and increased inner city density. These negative externalities are the direct result of increased parking allowances for RVs. Also, does the city have enough tow trucks to accommodate the rise in parking offences?
Seasonal parking allows families to not have to stress that there neighbours will call bylaw low cost seasonal permits from the city would eliminate any complaints or disagreements
Seasonal parking in general, should not allow for any trailer, boat, etc, to have more than 1 jackstand. Must have tires! Seen a boat on a trailer but trailer had no tires (possible repair, took up to 1 week). Limit this due to safety and repair time less than 1 week. Concerning as tilted driveway and weight of boat. On 3 Jack lifts?? How??
Seasonal parking is great but will it be actually enforced? Currently it's not and unless someone reports it nothing is done.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Seasonal parking lowers greenhouse gas emissions as RV owners don't have to bring their rigs from home to storage, then back again multiple times a year. This will also have a small traffic impact as RVs won't need to be moved continuously. As stated several municipalities have instituted seasonal parking and it hasn't ruined those cities.
Seasonal parking will encourage people to become RV'ers to explore Alberta Parks and bring travellers to the city. Calgary will be labelled RV friendly. We can't let Edmonton win!
Seasonal parking would encourage some to live in RVs during this time period. Whether it be visitors, family members (kids/teenagers) or someone who needs a place to crash or without affordable housing. It can also become a party central or hangout for kids and teenagers. And although there are Bylaws in place for this, the onus should not be on Residents who come across these incidents to report them and fear stress, anxiety or retribution from those committing the infractions. As well, some neighborhoods are not equipped for this and RVs would be jammed/crammed onto small driveways or side yards where barely any room exists and will impact areas with lower price points more than higher.
Seasonal parking would make it more affordable for lower income families to enjoy rving with less money spent on storage . Also so much time is wasted going back and forth to RV storage sites .
seasonal storage lots for trailers are extremely expensive. allowing seasonal storage is one way to lessen the financial burden on calgarians, even if it's minimal.
Seasonally parked RVs will lead to use as storage units or extra lodgings for extended periods. Seasonal parking will also lead to owners overextending the limits.
Second parking lot means more environment pollution. 1 litre diesel =3.1kg carbon dioxide, 1 litre gasoline =2.3kg carbon dioxide.
Securing our investment and our cost low.
Security and economical
Security and theft of said vehicles
Security as well. It's a comfort to know your vehicle worth many thousands of dollars is safe within view. Especially during a busy summer season that is so short in Calgary.
Security is a large one and ease of access if my trailer is parked offsite I have no visibility into it's condition and I have to load everything into a vehicle to take to the trailer
Security is a major factor.
Security is one. RV storage sites are common targets for thieves and breakins are common. If aesthetics are an issue then who determines what looks acceptable and what does not? An old car that someone wants to fix up can sit on a driveway for years and be a massive eyesore, but because it's not an RV it does not violate any bylaws.
Security is the big one, I totally agree that RV's should be in good state of repair, even if that means having to take your RV to an inspection once a year to ensure roadworthiness. That would also free up bylaw officers as well. It could be a sticker or something clearly visible on front of RV to indicate this has been done.
security of all residents reductions in site lines cause security concerns, lots of places for people to hide when they are breaking into your vehicle
Security of looking after your RV in your property. Convenience. Greenhouse gas reduction, as you don't have to drive back and forth to storage multiple times. The 36 hour street limit should also be eliminated, or at least increased, for the same reasons.
Security of the unit and cost saved storing as well as the convenience of having the unit 'at home'

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Security, convenience, cost effectiveness, and if the rv is in good condition and not a bother to neighbouring properties then the city should not have say what is stored on your properties. I have many friends who border properties in the city with junk, old non running cars, rotten rv's, etc. in back yards that the city does nothing about. If you can't park in the front, you should not be discriminated against if you can't park on the side or rear.
Security, cost and reduced GHG emissions associated with travel to and from offsite storage (our RV has a 5.9L engine!!)
Security, people have had RVs stolen from storage lots, insurance costs, I do not understand how safety is included as a negative, esthetics..a little ambiguous mean spirited really.
Security.
See #4. Not al RVs, trailers etc are esthetically pleasing; there will be junk etc parked
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above comment.
See above.
See above. Consider allowing additional time to park on street in front of a residence to allow more time to load the RV
See above. Either let people park their property ON their property or decrease property taxes for those who need to pay extra to store their RV.
See above
See comment for question 4.
See my answer for number 4.
See previous answer
See the above
Set up time for a trip may take 1-2 days depending
Several fifth wheel owners, when parking their trailer on the driveway, extend the hitch into the street. This is a problem. Further, parking on the driveway results in more cars on the street, so longer term causes parking issues.
Short term parking on public streets should be allowed, not all homeowners have a front driveway. This creates extreme issues in trying to load and prepare for a camping trip. Even 12 hours would allow proper time for a family to pack up before a trip and not have to make several trips to an RV storage lot.
Short term RV parking of a week or two while families are in preparation to go camping or winterizing RV could be considered; but more then that would allow inconsiderate folks to take advantage of the bylaw and possibly park year long, like our neighbor. The bylaw is only enforced if a neighbor complains about it; thus, the neighbor that files the complaint could possibly face retaliation from the neighbor who does not care about City Bylaws. A slippery slope could possibly be created.
Shortage of (temporary) housing will be abated by use of RVs
should allow 24 hours on streets
Should allow road parking without being hooked up to a vehicle. It would be nice to unhook the trailer as we get ready but still have access to our truck being driven if needed.
Should also allow longer time periods for parking on road in front of house



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Should be allowed on the street in front of house. Not all have a driveway
Should be allowed to park for 48 or 72 Hours instead of 36 hours.
Should I be investing in a RV storage facility? Buy 80 acres and store everyone's rv?
Should make a clearance of 2 foot for hitch to be away from sidewalk.
Shuttling RVs around to manage time restrictions is a significant time investment, inconvenience, and increases risk of traffic incidents.
Side street parking for more than the allotted time also
Sight lines along street
Sight lines and public safety.
Sightlines safety when exiting driveway with RV parked. Seeing pedestrians is very challenging when RV is parked. Also under whether theft increases when RVs are stored and when the RV is moved.
Sightlines. Is the most important. And we don't want people living in them.
Similar objectives of safety concerns can be regulated with bylaws around size of vehicle and sightlines. The ability to park a recreational vehicle on personal property should not be a concern for Council or regulated with overly restrictive bylaws.
Simply increasing the time allowed to a day or two longer would be more than reasonable for RV owners to allow planning, maintenance and packing for trips. Please don't allow all summer!
Since I pay so much for property tax, I should be allowed to park there. Also, keeps RV's off the main road, while person is getting ready to travel with it.
Since most storage is located outside of the city it is a huge time and also use of resources (fuel) to constantly take and get units out of storage.
Since not everyone's RV fits on their driveway, I feel the City should also consider extending the current 36 hour limit for RV parking on the street in front of one's home. When preparing for or returning from a long holiday it would be beneficial to have more than 1 day to pack, unpack, clean, etc. Perhaps up to 72 hours would be reasonable.
Since the bylaws are not enforced consistently, the current by-laws are causing conflict between neighbors. When we moved my neighbor let us know there has been almost fist fights between neighbors on this issue previously. Clarifying and making the enforcement consistent would remove this conflict.
Since the city has declared a climate emergency then consideration should be given to those who own RV's to allow them to park their vehicles on the streets in between trips rather than drive them back and forth to the parking spots which may be twenty or thirty KM each way
Since this bylaw is not actively enforced (reported by neighbors anomalously), it comes down to who your neighbors are. On our street, someone does not like the sight of RVs but on the street directly behind us, more than 75% of the residents have some sort of RV on their driveway. Since we have no control on who lives on the block, it's just not fair for some residents to be targeted.
Since we are in a "climate emergency", maybe we could be a bit more flexible so we don't have to shuttle our RV's back and forth to our storage facilities during camping season.
Since we have a large driveway we are not impacting the view or blocking the vision of vehicular traffic. Thanks for the survey and seriously considering seasonal parking for all us avid campers especially us retired ones.
Sited the benefits for me but for the public land use by storing at my property less land is needed for storage, also less use of vehicle/pollution to run back and fourth to RV Storage sites to pick up RV.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Size matters. Smaller rv should not be in the same category as a 40' bus
Size of driveway, no side walk on the side of the street the vehicle is parked, neighbour hood and amount of street parking available
Size of the unit.
Size of unit and maybe size as compared to size of property. I've seen lots of RV's that never get used and they start to look pretty tattered after a while.
Size of units and the clear definition of "Seasonal"
Size. There are monster campers out there that represent a hazard to visibility when driving.
Sloping driveways and roadways can create a hazard if the trailer is not properly blocked. The bylaw should require tires be properly chocked or face a fine.
Small Van only 19, long
Smaller communities with less rules would be appealing.... and cheaper. Why not just move and let Calgary figure it out without my tax dollars?
Smaller rv's do not block views anymore than a big truck.
So long as it doesn't protrude over a sidewalk, I think you can park what you want, where you want on your property
So long as it is clearly defined what is, and is not, allowed, and it can actually be enforced, I support a change. If there is no plan to actually change/ enforce peoples' behavior, I would suggest that the city move on to other items of more importance such as our homelessness problem and our opioid crisis.
So long as trailers and/or RVs are in good condition and not an eyesore or blocking access to sidewalks and driveways, I see only benefits.
So many but I would say family growth. So many of us have fond memories of CB amping of the lake as children. The inconvenience of having to rush to the storage yard, get the RV home then pack in a made dash make it very unattractive to busy families. Having to park longer or habit seasonal makes it much easier to keep it ready to use.
Some are well maintained as they are costly investments. Others are just out right junk. As a neighbor who is a conscious property owner, you wouldn't want it parked next to your yard.
Some communities have high population density with too many vehicles for the street parking available. Add in a bunch of RVs and street parking will be even more challenging. There needs to be a balance between convenience and freedom to use your property as you see fit, and accessible parking for all. People also want to maximize their vacay time, not come back a day early to empty their RV. There is cost savings to families if they don't have to pay for RV storage all summer.
Some communities may start looking like trailer parks with people running airbnb on their driveways.
Some CPA officers don't understand the difference between an alley roadway and a private parking pad. My alley is a no parking alley. Due to a nuisance neighbour complaint officer 16919 trespassed onto my property in 2016 to put a tag on my motor home. They obviously need more training.
Some families need an outlet to recharge. Making camping easier and more accessible for quick trips is important.
Some folks camp every weekend of the summer - driving back and forth, in and out of storage, is a sizeable inconvenience and cost. Also, the City should be supportive of residents in their recreational pursuits. I see few downsides to allowing g folks to store rvs on their drives in camping season.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

NOTE- camping season starts in April or May and runs into October for many, it isn't just a summer activity.
Some home owners don't have alleys or side yard access, so loading and unloading is tedious and time restraints and requirement to have to have a spare vehicle to keep it attached is also a issue. Our doesn't fit in our parking pad , so we have to park on the street in front of our house. Should be able to keep it there all summer if its not blocking anyone's property or sidewalks and is safe and is in front of your own house. There may be some streets that are to narrow or to populated to allow that but maybe there needs to be some leeway.
Some houses don't have an appropriate front driveway, the bylaw should also consider allowing street parking for 72 hours for RVs during the travel season
Some mature trees and housing structure obstruct sightlines more than RV's. When space is a premium, sometimes it would be nice to use available space in the front. There are new safety features in cars and houses to counter act safety and/or sense of vulnerability. I strongly believe the definition of RV shouldn't be so encompassing. A height and length restriction may be more pertinent. Also, our summer season is so short parking in the front for 2 - 4 months is a minor part of a year.
Some might be living in the trailers during this time which adds to the safety concerns in the communities
Some neighbors have expanded their garage pads to cover all grass on their front property. Why can't recreational vehicle be parked on grass if on our property. Also current bylaws aren't enforced as recreational vehicles- motor homes, tent trailers are on some owners front driveways all year
Some neighbors like to complain for the heck of complaining. Look at every case independently.
Some nieghbourhoods will be impacted a bit worse due to the increase in number of units on and around the streets. I think it will be a wash when looked at the entire city. Camping is a big part of the Alberta culture and it should be easy and accessible, not have barriers in place.
Some of us don't have drive ways what about extending the parking limit to 72 hrs in the street
Some of us that like to camp and have trailers that have disability's need a little more time to load and unload clean etc.
Some owners rent the trailer/RV out when not in use. Access to is key to the success, saves a lot of time and reduces a lot of hassle.
Some people are parking these vehicles on their front lawns not driveways. This should also be addressed.
Some people are still struggling and having such a difficult time affording storage
Some people can't afford to camp if they have to pay for a parking spot or storage. This stops some from enjoying the outdoors due to financial issues.
Some people can't or don't want to pay to store their rv's and they may not have an other place to park them.
Some people get very agitated by RV's parked in driveways - I get it - but there has to be some give and take - extending the time a/o allowing seasonal parking just makes sense.
Some people have terrible neighbours who call bylaw out of spite to get back at people. This happened to my parents for years. They'd barely get their trailer on the driveway and a neighbour who was mad at them would call bylaw out.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Some people in our community are already storing their RV on their driveway, usually tent trailers. Either they don't know the bylaws or don't care. Either way the neighbourhood does not appear to mind because this has been going on for years without complaints (as far as I know). It would be nice for these people to be able to store their RV on their property for at least part of the year without having to worry about a ticket.
Some people like to renovate/repair their units on their free time. As long as the area is kept clean and neat, this should be allowed. Many years ago I had a water line let go and soak the belly of the trailer. I had to remove all this soaked material and replace it. The 36 hour time limit was not enough to complete the work (got it done in a week).
Some people need to travel as far as an hour to access their RV storage spot, so if a family was using their RV frequently, it represents a significant amount of additional traffic and emissions to not be able to store it at home during the camping season.
Some people tarp their units that further adds to unsightliness. Tarp units should only be allowed when there is roof or water damage awaiting repair (that can take many months for servicing to be completed. Alternatively "rig and boat covers" made to cover those types of units look much better and may want to be allowed.
Some people that go out every weekend, it is alot of extra gas/emissions going to storage unit frequently
Some people try to park an oversized RV on a garage pad that is not long enough to hold the RV. Consequently the RV may overlap over sidewalks or roads.
Some RVs like VW vans and sprinter vans are small enough to be used as commuters so they should not fall into RV definition. They should be excluded from these parking restrictions.
Some RVs on driveways, alleys and backyards are being rented out in this tight rental market. Problem will get worse if bylaws relaxed.
Some smaller motorhomes are regular vehicles. They just happen to be dual purpose as they have convertible sleeping space
Some streets are already congested with vehicle allowing RV's to park in driveways make it worst!
Some times parts for maintenance take a while to arrive. Similar to above, more convenient to have it here than in storage until maintenance can be completed.
Some trailers parked in residential streets would cause loss of visibility while looking for kids and it is a eye sore for the neighborhood that could cause tension between the community
Some type of permit people could buy to do this or maybe to park a trailer on the street that might actually be safer than on a driveway. There might be less kms driven in the city with trailers if those are parked at home affecting congestion and traffic safety. You may see thousands more RVs at people's houses if they don't have to pay for storage elsewhere for approximately half a year each year. There might be consideration of temporary city lots for trailer parking.
Some wards have more RV owners per capita. Given that, those wards will be most likely to want permanent parking allowed and will also be the ones that will have very cluttered street sight lines. I think around 25% of my neighbours have some kind of RV. I'd rather see the trees than a bunch of rvs in various states of condition. I also think if there is rear parking and the vehicle does not obstruct the backlane, then the vehicle should be able to park there indefinitely. I say that as someone who doesn't have one.
Some yards/driveways are long and have plenty of clearance from the street. Would be nice to not have one policy for the entire city but based on size of lot.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Sometimes 36 hours isn't enough time to do maintenance or repairs on a trailer.
Sometimes 36 hours isn't enough time for us to have our trailer on the street and if we go two weekends in a row, why can't we leave it on the street for 5 days between? It's in front of my property, not in front of anyone else's, not bothering or impeding anyone else. Makes people slow down in the community as well.
Sometimes I feel that the limitations the City is constantly changing and implementing makes the citizens feel like they have no control of their life, getting less but paying more. Can be frustrating.
Sometimes it is hard to do maintenance on an RV in 36 hr. For example we had to get a tire fixed and the weather was very bad. So we felt it was unsafe to move the RV and also to do the work. It was fixed and moved as soon as the weather cleared up.
Sometimes it's hard to park in the back yard as some of us have smaller back yards than from yards.
Sometimes it's just timing ability to get the RV vs when you are leaving.
Sometimes the rv lots gates can be broken or wet so you cannot get in
Sometimes you just cannot move an RV in 36 hours to clean it out, winterize, de-winterize, pack it up. 48 hours (especially when we work full time) is a much better time line.
Sometimes you need more time to load after work and to do repairs
Sooner or later people will be living in them full time creating sanitation issues electrical cords & water hoses crossing sidewalks (tripping hazard) noise from generators/heaters. The neighborhood will become a homeless shelter/tent city. The benefits mentioned are only for the RV owner. Non-owners should also have benefits of a safe, secure & aesthetically pleasing neighborhood. Show me even one benefit for a non-RV owner to have an RV parked next door for 4-6 months of the year. Ridiculous
Sooner or later, people will be living in them full time creating sanitation issues, electrical cords & water hoses crossing sidewalks(tripping hazard), noise from generators/heaters. The neighborhood will become a homeless refuge/tent city. The benefits mentioned are only for the RV owner. Non-owners should also have benefits of a safe, secure and aesthetically pleasing neighborhood. Show me even one benefit for a non-RV owner to have an RV parked next door for 4-6 months of the year.
Space in case of evacuation. Generators should not be allowed since they will likely have shore power.
Start and leave from home or move away to a town that does accommodate
start enforcing the current bi-laws. they are a lot of issues in Glamorgan including tent structures to cover vehicles
Stop being so dang picky about everything.
Stop building houses so close together and allowing multiple families to live in residences and this wouldn't be an issue. Or find some land in that community where you can place an RV storage area for the residents.
Stop building houses with no back yard access, all hosting should have an alley.
Stop coming up with bylaws and laws that only cause harm, and no good.
Stop overreaching.
Stop over-regulating EVERYTHING
Stop punishing people for not having alleys
Stop telling people how to live their lives, the people that have RV are not the ones complaining about everything its all the people who have no hobbies and are bored with their



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

lives.
There is no reason why people should not be able to park their RV in front of their house during camping season making cleaning, packing and planning way easier.
Stop telling people what they can and can't do on their property. The cost of off site storage is huge and damages during the off season from break ins at rv storage facilities is high.
Stop upsetting the people that pay your salaries
Stop wasting money on things that aren't broken!!!!!!
Stop worrying about homeowners start looking after roads. Fix streets ,street lights
Stops wasting bylaw officers time so they can do productive things.
Storage areas are broke into daily and not always a better option, some families use them for guests at home and or other reasons if not wear does it stop what about food trucks, or extra vehicles. if it fits the personal drive away and not on side walk or street mind your own business and allow the owner to manage their own driveway!
Storage cost savings.
Storage costs are extremely costly and this would assist Calgarians with affordability.
Storage costs are getting out of control, one year of storage cost could go towards a entire season of family camping
Storage costs are very high around the city. Forcing people to store trailers at these facilittues not only increases costs on owners, but tranphic congestion as well as every trip to use the trailer involves bringing it both into and out of the city to the storage facility.
Storage facilities are less secure than parking at my home. If a break in occurs at home I will notice immediately and can check personal security cameras.
Storage facilities are often not near the home. Makes it hard for working families to go on quick weekends getaways.
Storage facilities for any R.V are grossly expensive within/close to the city.
All these facilities do not allow any kind of repairs or service. And we can't do the necessary maintenance at home due to the restrictions and 36 hour bylaws within the city.
We live in a small 3 bedroom home with 2 teenagers, if any family wants to come for a visit they can't stay with us, but allowing RV's would give some families extra room to have grandparents stay close for a visit.
Storage facilities will suffer an economic impact if RV's are allowed to long term park on driveways. It is very economical to store your RV in a proper secure facility and keeps the esthetics of a neighborhood visually appealing. There is no doubt that some residents will use the RV for living accommodations and possibly rent the RV for income violating rental bylaws.
Storage fees are expensive. Some people may be able to get a brak on storage fees for the camping season if allowed to keep their trailer/rv on their driveway. This might allow more people to get out camping more if they can free up 3 months worth of storage fees.
Storage fees are high and everything helps.
Storage is costly. As long as the RV fits in the driveway, doesn't affect safety and is not unsightly, I don't see why RVs can't be parked in driveways year around. There are several people on our street that do already and in other neighborhoods as well. As safety/unsightliness is not an issue, I haven't complained although I have had to put mine in storage because someone did.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Storage is expensive . There are mice and crazy lefts in storage lots. I have no alley and never will and no backyard access but I pay insane taxes just like my neighbours who have no yard restrictions. I should be allowed to do what they do if possible in my own way
Storage is expensive and inconvenient. Rving is a more affordable way for families to take vacations. By making storage and access to your RV more accessible, and cheaper, it allows families to be able to travel even more.
Storage is the price of ownership and not the responsibility of their neighbours.
Storage lot cost savings for owners during RV Season.
Storage lots do not allow for maintenance therefore owners must use space at home for minor repairs and setup.
Storage of junk and vehicles in disrepair should not be allowed.
Storage of RV is costly. If someone has room on their property why not have it there? So much more convenient.
Storage prices keep increasing and there is not enough storage available for rv's in or near the city
Storage spaces are a big expense that is unnecessary. My driveway can have any motor vehicle, so why not a trailer?
Storage spaces are very expensive, its hard to fill the water tank if you can't be next to your house.
Storing an RV in a lot is expensive, traveling is expensive, camping is an excellent option for families. But not being able to store your own RV/trailer at your home is extremely limiting for the majority of families.
Storing an RV offsite causes twice as much RV frequency in and out of communities. This increases safety risk two fold since a moving RV is more dangerous than a parked one.
Storing RV's at home would save people money and lower environmental impacts of having to retrieve and relocate RV's to rural storage facilities.
Storing such items is expensive. And it's nice to have it easily accessible. I know people on my street who take them camping every weekend. What a pain to have to go back and forth to storage that may be put of the way
Street cleaning will be impacted. The City can't even get vehicles moved. Garbage, compost and recycling collection will be impacted. Access to the bins will be impeded and bins will be have to be relocated to where there are no parked vehicles. What about the additional time that will be required for bin emptying, due to parked vehicles? This will lead to increased run time for trucks and have a negative impact on the Mayor's Climate Emergency plan.
Street parking in front of your owned/rented property can be challenging. Allowing trailers and motorhomes longer than 36 hours limits parking for visitors. Current street parking bylaw enables people to play a game resulting in a motorhome owner to theoretically park 5 out of a rolling 7 days in front of thier own property avoiding being ticketed by bylaw. Modify the bylaw to a 36 hours maximum per week! Trailers over 5 feet in height must be parked 20 feet away from an intersection.
Street parking is already an issue in our cul de sac. Need adequate street parking.
Street parking is one thing. If people want to park their rv's anywhere on their property, that is fine.
Street parking of RVs limits the parking spaces for people living in the area, or getting deliveries or visitors. It is already an abused benefit and will be further abused if street parking is extended.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Street parking should also be allowed, but only with a trailer physically attached to a vehicle. Trailers should let me left on the street without being attached for emergency reasons. The city needs to be reasonable with parking, considering the changes in trailer ownership in the last 5 years.
Street parking should be extended for those without driveways
Street parking should be included in this new bylaw for more than 36 hrs. Street parking should be allowed without having the RV attached to a truck.
Street parking. More theft. Turns into yearly parking. People already take advantage.
Street storage leads to people living in the trailer while parked on the street this should not be allowed
Suggest 48 hours from 36, example, I pick up my trailer Thursday after work and sometimes need Friday and early Saturday to prep and usually leave Saturday noonish ! I have never understood any person using their property to store a tailer or RV, it is unsightly and very inconsiderate to the neighbor's.
Summer storage is expensive
Summer time people travel and visit, hence a week or two could be nice
Supports more outdoor lifestyle and allows owners to do extended work/maintenance.
Sure, if you're going to enforce people to pay for RV storage, then make it affordable! People go camping to get away from the stressors of life not to add to it! Everywhere you turn there's another section of government taking from us! A trip to the dump is outrageously overpriced and is the reason why you have some dumping it off in the fields! We get garbage picked up every 2nd week but pay a ridiculous amount in property tax! To even buy a home in a decent neighborhood is just stupid
Take a drive to the NE of Calgary and contrast that with the SW. They are like 2 different worlds when it comes to Trailers yet somehow, one group seems to make it work while the others pick up the phone and call 311 anonymously. You want to fix this problem, take away the anonymity when making a 311 complaint for Community Standards bylaws. If they Karen's want to complain, let them put their name and address on the complaint letter. You could probably cut the Bylaw staff in half after.
Take up less street parking. Not having the RV attached to a tow vehicle parked on the road for allowed time period.
Takes vehicles off the driveways and parking pads/ Stall and puts them in the streets. Street cleaning in the spring and early summer would be affected.
Taking a trailer back and forth to storage is hard work. There are many steps to get a trailer ready and safely hooked up and unhooked; and parked safely and properly in storage or on the front driveway. To do this 2 more extra times for every camping trip definitely detracts from the enjoyment and increases general risks and annoyance particularly in the local neighbourhood where traffic can be busy
taking the trailer back to storage every week causes unnecessary traffic in the city and causes more pollution.
Tax payers will feel more equal and rv owners are extremely respectful.
Taxes are so expensive I should be able to use my property as I see fit
Taxpaying citizens should not be punished or bullied by city officials for simply enjoying the right to property for a day longer than presently allowed.
Tell all the nosey neighbors to mind their own business, seriously this idea is a waste of time, energy, and money. All of which are at a premium

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Tell the mayor to mind her own business. Maybe she could spend tax payer money on more concerning issues.
Temporary need more bylaw officers to police 4-5 days permits.
Temporary parking could maybe extended from 36 hours to 7 days.
Tent trailers can be seen over the top and don't obstruct any views of properly folded and stored, so therefore could be allowed yearly allowance for front driveways. I don't think forcing storage fees for a tent trailer should be necessary since you can see over and around them unlike the high sided full size trailers that can obstruct quite a bit of space.
Tent trailers should not be included since they fold down.
Thank you for asking for my input.
That is they're land not the city's. As long as side walk is not blocked
That many moter homes are shorter than many passenger trucks.
That people should be able to park their property in their own driveway if it it not obstructing anything for as long as they wish, it is their home and their driveway.
That separete monthly parking is very expensive for rv owners
That we are not rich but we want to enjoy summer with our kids and camping is awesome for that but the downside of it is the freaking RV parking!!! I hate it so much you don't even know.. we can not buy an ancrage to have ou rv at home because it is too expensive but we are stock with tiny home with tiny parkings spot with tiny land.. seriously is there a day where the builders will stop building those kind of houses. We want houses with more land so we can actually do something with it..
The "Known impacts" can be dealt with other already existing bylaws.
The 36 hour limit is too short. Too many people have legit reasons for wanting/needng more than a 36 hour limit. For example, visitors using an RV as additional beds for out of town visitors. I suggest that 4 to 7 days maximum be considered.
The 36 hour limit is very restrictive. It would be more appropriate at 48 to 72 hours. It totally depends on your neighbors as well. Some get away with it year round while others have overly intrusive neighbors who choose to be a nuisance without just cause.
The 36 hour limit should be extended to 72 hours as the current limit barely allows for proper and complete loading or unloading of the RV.
The 36 hour time limit is too limited. 48 to 72 hour limitations are more realistic parameters for street parking for those without parking stalls/front driveways AND residing in neighbourhoods of single family dwellings.
The 36hr limit needs to extent further. Also some homes have 2x sidewalk ramps making it impossible to park in front of your own home. A temporary deviation of blocking one ramp should be allowed as it still allows the use of the other ramp
The ability to be able to fill tanks, plug in refrigerators and ensure your RV is in road worthy shape is more easily done in your own driveway
The ability to enjoy this beautiful province we live in without the inconvenience of bylaw regulations impacting the availability of the vehicles we work hard to finance and enjoy.
The ability to have seasonal would greatly improve the quality of camping time we would have. It would reduce the carbon emissions because of less travel as well as repair and maintainance costs. In my case that is 2 hours of travel every week I want to go camping.
The ability to park on one's driveway would benefit owners considerably given the cost of storage of an RV as well.
The additional benefit to seasonal front driveway parking is that it's not in anyone's way. There are more places to park on the street for vehicles if RVs can park in driveways. Also I

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

support extending the 36 hour street parking for RVs to 42hrs to allow more time to load and unload contents for the RVs.
The additional costs associated to the home owner
The allowing of vehicles to be parked in the front of a home no matter where the are parked, creates an eyesore and safety issue for many neighbourhoods. The city has allowed many secondary suites in neighbourhoods where there is limited parking to start, and allowing more vehicles to be parked in the driveways is forcing more vehicles to park on streets without having the clearance for 2 vehicles to safely pass in opposite directions already. NO, NO, NO to allowing extended parking.
The amount it costs to store a trailer for a few days is getting ridiculous and very high some rent them out to people going camping
The amount of wasted time/\$ driving to and from a trailer storage yard is huge. Parking on your driveway is much safer and cost effective.
The benefit for the RV owner is not having to pay rent for storage off site and better security if it's parked in front of your property. As long as it's not blocking traffic flow, not causing visibility issues or blocking a driveway.
The benefit is it promotes community and outdoor recreation.
The benefit is less burden for rv owners. It also keeps them off the road slightly more as you don't have to move them to/from storage as much, which significantly reduces carbon emissions.
The city needs to also look into potential access to street parking. Not all homeowners have drive ways or back parking. What are they supposed to do? If I want to work on my travel trailer I need to leave it hooked up to my truck or risk a ticket for parking it on a city street. This is incredibly unfair to those of us who only have street parking.
The benefit is less people will be moving out of Calgary to Cochrane and Airdie and Okotoks. Many neighbors I know have moved out of Calgary and now live in Cochrane and Airdrie because they can park their small trailer and boat on their own driveway there. Lots of tent trailers and campers are smaller and shorter then most trucks! If you allow a large truck in driveways which are even longer then you have to also allow small trailers and small boats to be parked in driveways.
The benefit is mostly the convenience to load and prepare for trips and to unload and clean on return.
The benefit is you have less RV traffic moving in and out of the city between storage yards and residences. This will reduce environmental impact if they don't have to transit as much between storage lots and residences. Another benefit is greater homeowner satisfaction as homeowners are able to use their own private properties as they see fit. It would be nice to see freedoms expanded for once instead of restricted.
The benefit to families is that they can get out and enjoy their camper or rv etc without having to go pick it up it makes camping or travel just a little bit easier
The benefit would be up to two less trips a week to the storage lot. Remember our Climate Change policy. One concern would be a large RV parked right to the sidewalk. Sight lines would be affected, causing a safety issue. If the owner has a double driveway, they couldn't see pedestrians coming until they are occupying the sidewalk. On our property, I shouldn't park in front of the green Enmax transformer box adjacent to our driveway, blocking access for them in an emergency.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The benefits are allowing families or individuals to have ease to participate in an activity of their choice. An RV on a driveway or parked in a yard for a week or 2 or even 2 months during the main season isn't any more unsightly than what people put in yards or driveways now. It is nice to see the city looking at ways to be more inclusive on this.
The benefits are great as this will save calgarians hundreds if not thousands of dollars throughout the year.
The benefits are huge, especially in a time of increasing costs for families. The city should be encouraging such healthy, balanced lifestyles that owning a camper promotes. Having to store a camper off-site is a waste of time, money, space, has etc.
The benefits are less pollution, less people in the roads getting their trailers that could cause accidents. Having a trailer in the drive way DOES NOT increase theft.
The benefits are related with people well being and ability to perform outdoor activities.
The benefits are that RV owners can have more time for packing, maintenance, etc. Seasonal parking would also provide a way to save money on trailer storage. It would also provide security for the RV.
The benefits are to the tax paying home owners. The griping jealous neighbours will [removed] about anything they can.
The benefits help the environment, city and residents, saving time, money, resources and reducing stress. Residents will spend less time moving units, save associated fuel and vehicle costs, cease worrying about complaining neighbors. The city saves enforcement costs and can redeploy these resources to more value added work. The environment wins on all fronts - less driving by residents and city worker means less emissions.
The benefits of rv-ing are captured well in the preamble to the survey. As an rv owner, having easy access to our unit is very convenient and cost effective. We are at the end of a cul-de-sac with a driveway long enough to keep our unit close to our garage therefore eliminating any potential obstruction for any drivers . Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on this matter. Keeping our fingers crossed that the bylaw is amended to allow rv owners to park their rvs on their driveways.
The benefits to owners would be very substantial as it would allow them to get their RVs ready for the season as well as do any minor work required, saving them having to travel to storage areas, which in some cases are located a great distance away.
The benefits to RV'ers are vast and I feel like the impacts to non-RV'ers are minimal if at all.  Better security, maintenance, less time spent packing/unpacking, less time spent transporting, less fuel, more time spent camping, no storage fees, etc.  The benefits economically are great as well. People can spend more time RVing which will drive interest and sales. Better security and maintenance will result in less insurance claims making RVing more accessible for Calgarians. I could add more!
The biggest benefit for the City to understand is that people are taking part in this survey on good faith that the city is listening and there will be results to the positive, not just another City lead poll producing nothing but time and money wasted.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the biggest one is economics. It is extremely expensive to store RV's. Our daughter could never afford to store her little tent trailer she and the kids use. We probably could not afford to store our 5th wheel either. We have friends and family in the same situation.
The bylaw for parking on the road shouldn't be changed and should be enforced more vigorously.
The bylaw needs to state if the RV, excluding a motor home, needs to be attached to a vehicle.
The bylaw stating that my trailer must remain connected to my truck is ridiculous. This bylaw means that to be in compliance with the law, I have to tow my trailer to Safeway or co-op and block 10 parking stalls when I buy my food and supplies for the camping trip. Not to mention the inconvenience of having to drive through a fully loaded parking lot with my trailer attached.
The campsites are usually fully booked so having a week maximum for RV parking would help
The city administration needs to realize they are not to use this seasonal parking as an excuse to insist a fee be used to allow this.
The City already does a poor job of enforcing the existing RV bylaws and don't even ticket offenders until a third visit and it's up to the person reporting to continue to report!! If you allow seasonal RV parking, people will just leave them there year round as no one will enforce it.
The city and its elected officials need to understand that they are here to serve, not dictate. People of calgary want to park THIER recreation vehicles on THIER property like in most other parts of the province.
The city can and should use its resources to combat crime, maintain our roads, and provide social programs for those in need. Dictating what can be stored on your front driveway accomplishes none of these.
The City claims to promote health and well being, which camping and exploring our mountainous backyard can support. However, the rules that are in place for RV owners are not equal and cause a significant amount of hassle for those who do not have properties with side or backyard parking pads.
The city controls everything, lengthen the time and leave people alone & we're Not interested in fluoride in our bodies either!
The City could charge a parking permit that could be physically attached to the RV. Sticker with year so it is visible that the owner is following protocol. Permit would be based on a price per foot and not exceed \$200.
The city could implement small fee for extra parking. But I think 1 week is very reasonable to park without any penalty.
The city could look at licensing your list of vehicles if people want to store them on roads.
The city should also look at making people who park on roads have a parking licence too.
The city could spend more time enforcing meaningful concerns.
The City declared a "climate emergency", which i do NOT agree with but they did, so it will save consuming petrol to take your RV to storage and back. Thus reducing polution to the atmosphere.
The city has allowed many housing developments without backlane access, so front-yard parking is the only option for many homeowners. It's overreaching to tell property owners that they can't store their own vehicles on their own land.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The City has no business restricting use of private property except for operational or safety concerns. RV owners should be able to park vehicles on their property for use when needed.
The city has no business telling me I can't park my trailer on my driveway, on land that I own and pay taxes as a result of owning. I can park a car / truck or a cargo trailer on my driveway but the city wants me to have to park my camper trailer in paid parking outside the city. Shame on anyone that thinks that's a good idea.
I can appreciate not allowing parking for extended periods on the municipal road allowance / road area because of the detrimental impact on sight lines.
The city has to many rules and needs to get out of the way of peoples life's
the city is barking up the wrong tree, properties with non operational vehicles should be a concern. also the cleanliness of peoples back alleys should be addressed.
The City is just driving up costs if I have to bring my 5th wheel to my home twice, with a 48 hour waiting period in between, to get it ready for a trip. I often travel to the Yukon and Arizona, and it takes more than 36 hours to get it ready.
The city is moving towards zero lot lines so the parking at side of yards is impossible and most back yards are inaccessible due to the small lot size the city and developers are driving towards.
I also believe that on street parking should be increased to 72 hours as long as the units are not being camped in.
The city is perpetuating a class system by requiring RV storage. Think about it, you need a large amount of additional land in your back yard etc. OR to pay around \$1000/year for storage. This puts RV ownership out of the reach of many people. For the rest of us it adds unnecessary costs and complicates trips. Furthermore, repeated trips to and from storage yards contribute to emissions and wear on tow vehicles.
The city is unable to enforce additional bylaws. Hell you can't even enforce what you already have. I'd rather you go after blacked out windows on cars whose drivers you cannot see. Go after dog owners who leave feces all over the off leash park across the street from me
The city meddles far too much in things they should stay out of. Homeowners should have the ability (within clear limits) of having their assets close to their homes for the very short season we get here in Calgary. I'm not including HOA's limitations in this- that's a whole separate discussion- but the city doesn't need to be micromanaging something that is just generally a non-issue, except for grumpy neighbours with nothing better to do.
The city must understand that 36 hours limit is ridiculous and not fair.
The city must understand that when people have to remove them in between use, they are on the road more often than if they can keep them in their yard. This affects traffic and road use unnecessarily. Also it is not always possible to get ready for a trip in only 36 hrs.
The city needs to be aware that people will abuse the privilege or push limits as far as possible. My biggest concern is the blocking or partial blocking of sidewalks and roads which is already happening.
The city needs to be more sympathetic to RVers by allowing them Street parking to learn inconvenience.
The City needs to be practical. 36 hours is not long enough - an example of this - my neighbour has a tent trailer that got very wet while camping, she had to dry the 'tent part' out before folding it down & returning it to storage but got ticketed for having it drying in front of her house.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The City needs to look beyond RVs and consider commercial vehicle restrictions since they have the same impact such as obstructed sightlines, safety, and esthetics. Yet these commercial vehicles of a certain size can park on the street without the same restrictions.
The city needs to move towards being a place that gives its residents the opportunity to enjoy life rather than be controlling and arrogant.
The City needs to NOT try and appeal to EVERYBODY. With parking already a issue inner city just for cars, adding one more thing to the issue is just going to create more work for City Bylaw folks that can barely function as it is. I know, as I've had to phone in several landlords that don't care about their snow removal and it takes Bylaw officers a long time to check and do anything about it.
The city needs to reduce some bylaws and be respectful of peoples property rights. I feel the city has too many bylaws.
The city needs to stop looks at ways to full it's coffers with punitive measures and instead creates innovative ways to generate income by producing services that people can both afford and will want to use.
The city needs to stop telling citizens what they can park on private property.
The city needs to understand that 36 hours is not enough time to pick your trailer up from storage , park it at your house . Go through the the trailer for maintaining and safety . Loading of supplies If you pick up on Wednesday after work and get it secured at your house . Work on it and load Thursday after work . Then leave after work on Friday for the weekend you need a minimum of 48 hours .
The city needs to understand that a bylaw needs to include the entire segment of vehicles considered as "recreational ". There should not be exceptions to the rule based on size. This is no different than storage of any vehicle on a front driveway, is to protect the integrity of the neighbourhood and enhance the values of the property, while maintaining tax levels for the city. The front elevations of a residential property should not be utilized for short term storage of any kind, including RV's. It is the responsibility of the city to protect a homeowners investment, and to establish bylaws that reflect this. As a responsible RV owner, I see no reason to change the current bylaw.
The city needs to understand that people have recreational activities that they enjoy doing with family and friends and need to be able to live without the city always taking control and taking things away from home owners that pay taxes every year which are always going up!!! Let people enjoy themselves without the city always putting a damper on everything. Having an RV at one's home does not hurt anyone.
The city needs to understand that RV storage by facilities around the city is almost always charged annually, not monthly, which would make the seasonal storage law a minor benefit, but would result in low fiscal savings for RV owners. I believe the city should consider allowing permitted RV parking in front driveways year-round at a nominal fee of ~\$150-250. This would produce a net fiscal benefit to both the owner of the RV and the city, with temporary RV parking of up to 7 days unpermitted (to allow for visitors/guests).
The city of Calgary could consider a seasonal licensing fee for an owner to park an RV, trailer, boat, etc, on the residential roadway. This would be similar to a dog or cat owner having an annual licence for their pet. It is a away to offset the additional use of the roadway for extended seasonal parking.
The City of Calgary is an excellent city but it needs to be more flexible. Parking an RV properly and safely on a home owners driveway only benefits those who want to spend more



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

time with their families. Perhaps the city can offer a summer permit at a reasonable cost ?? I am sure there are many citizens that would be interested.
The City ought to allow designated onstreet RV parking, whereby travel trailers do not need to be hitched to a vehicle, as long as the wheels are chocked and safety precautions are adhered to
The city plans every new neighborhood so tight than when people park on both sides of a street only a single vehicle can drive through at a time. RVs make this even tighter. Maybe the city should plan for additional footage on residential streets and stop letting the home builders and de be so greedy.
The city recently reduced the speed limit on residential streets which should reduce the likelihood of incidents near parked RV's on residential streets.
The city should allow all RV year round to park
The City should allow people to use their properties to park and store their RVs. The City should focus on the garbage bins sitting on the City streets spreading garbage making our City very dirty.
The city should allow RV units to park in the alley away from fast moving traffic. There should be regulations as to the size of the RV. Class A motorhomes should not be allowed on the street, or the driveway or in the alley.Units must be moved out of alley on garbage pickup days.
The City should be enforcing the current bylaws. I am a realtor and my buyer clients did not buy a house they were interested in because the neighbor had an RV parked in the driveway and it appeared to be a permanent parking spot for it. It was covered with a tarp which made it even more of an eyesore. I have been paying for years to storage my RV in a legal spot.
The City should concentrate on more important issues - like reducing spending and not interfering in our lives.
The city should extend or allow the time to park owners rv in their driveway or if they have inside fence area where they can park their rv within spring to fall to help with cost and provide convenience really. Thanks
the city should not be adding additional barriers to folks who want to get out in nature with their travel trailers, if the trailer is parked in their driveway it provides more security for the unit and makes it easier to pack up and head out.
The city should not be involved with a homeowners parking in own driveways . This is just a moneygrab by the city to fine anyone
The city should stop catering to the nimby crowd and spend our tax funds on issues that matter to all Calgarians
The city should try to clean and winterize a trailer in 36 hours!!!
The City should understand that in the past century they have allowed the desecration and degradation of natural spaces causing the loss of ecosystem services by bending over to land developers to generate more tax revenue, however these developers are not paying for externalized costs such as loss of wetlands, biodiversity and ghg pollution etc . Focus on that instead of someones camper in their front yard.
The city should understand that it's a big burden to try to get a motorhome or RV out of storage, then pack it up, and depart. 36 hours of street parking leaves little time for folks to pack/unpack their RVs. When the city chooses to densify by subdividing every property there is no longer room at the side or rear of a property to store an RV. The city should stop enforcing RV parking restrictions in such a harsh way. RVs are a way for Canadian families



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

to enjoy the outdoors together, and RV owning Calgarians shouldn't be treated as criminals by their Council or Bylaws.
The city talks a lot about a climate emergency but this bylaw forces owners to move their RVs far more often than necessary burning fossil fuels and adding the problem.
The city wants to reduce pollution and vehicles on the road ... so having the owners to keep their RV at home helps with these objectives.
The city will get less calls about parking violations and can spend more time on areas where it matters (at least in those seasons.)
The city would not have the additional burden of enforcing the 36 hour bylaw
The City/Mayor needs to stop allowing the building of residential communities from being jammed together with zero lot lines, narrow roadways before this can even be considered. Make the lots bigger so RV driveways can be allotted for along the side of the houses. That's the only option that would make this feasible. Otherwise, the City needs to get in the business of buidling RV lots in communities that are in the business area of the community, not the residential areas. NONE of the cities mentioned above are even close to the size of Calgary, what a stupid idea!!!
The Community Development Plans would need to accommodate for this decision. Typical Renfrew homes do not have this space available.
The concern is that neighbors turn their front property
The condition and or age of the rv should meet a minimum requirement.
The condition of the RVs, utility trailers etc Should be taken into consideration when allowing front drive parking however people will complain that it wouldn't be fair. One person may have a newer model RV and another may buy an RV etc that is old and needs refurbishing which may be done while parked in the driveway for the season from may til September if Calgary bylaws are changed from current. I think the laws should remain unchanged and also be enforced which is not currently happening.
The condition that the RV is not unsightly is also important.
The convenience factor is huge during the summer season, being able to leave my trailer parked safely on my front driveway in between multiple trips would be very helpful. Not sure there is much difference in aesthetics between a trailer and a large van or pickup parked on a driveway. *Key factor would be that the trailer, like any vehicle, cannot intrude into the sidewalk area. Also that this is not about adding a permanent housing unit on the driveway.
The convenience of parking my camper at my house is important to me. It allows me quick access to unload from a camping trip, time for cleaning the unit, keeps it close for the next camping trip, allows loading gear for the next trip.
The cost of having bylaw enforce people parking trailers in their own driveways - this could free up bylaw for more pertinent matters.
The cost of living is extreme and it helps families out by having the option to have it on their property for the season.
The cost of living is high right now. If other cities are doing it, why can't we?
The cost of parking a RV has become very expensive and storage companies are charging silly high prices. You can't always load clean and repair RV in 36 hours
The cost of RV storage is very expensive only because of RV being more popular in the past. Please include boats in this decision. We have a place in BC that we go visit every 2 weeks. I do not have any option to bring it back and work on it because it would sit in my driveway for 2 weeks which is illegal with the current bylaw.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The cost of storage for trailers is outrageous, then if we have to also spend the time and GAS driving to the storage unit in order to pack up our trailer to go camping every weekend of the summer, is very wasteful. We live in such a beautiful part of the country, being so close to the mountains - we should make it easier for our residents to spend more time doing the things that rejuvenate us!
The cost of storing a RV is alot . The RV is already a big investment now having to pay to park it elsewhere, and gas to pick it up and the return, plus time factor
The cost of storing an RV is an added expense to those of us that have the sufficient space on our driveway and having to pick it up/drop it off after weekends away is an added stress. It will be extremely beneficial and a positive change to allow those with RVs to store them on their property. I have seen many in my neighbourhood do this over the summer months and have ZERO issue with it!
The cost savings for owners would be substantial and allow owners to utilize their RVs more conveniently. Less fuel usage/pollution/traffic when storage commutes are reduced.
The cost that each individual has to pay to keep there own property! It is already expensive enough to own with out the added cost to store to !
The cost to store a trailer and the time it takes to pick up one's trailer is no longer affordable for most families/individuals. If the concerns are obstructed sightlines, esthetics and safety I'd imagine then the city would need to also include many other things such as lawn ornaments, seasonal decorations, etc.
The cost to store the trailer is a lot. We pay property taxes and would like to be able to keep our property on our property.
The cost to the RV owner to store the RV at a park is significant. savings on bylaw officers enforcing a ridiculous law. if you get a bylaw ticket, then you can only look to blame your neighbors... I am pretty sure one of my neighbors, called in after 48 hours... I haven't spoken to them since... and I blame it on your petty bylaw. Allowing petty jealous neighbors the ability to tattle for no good reason.
The cost, time and fuel of keeping RV's in storage facilities is significant when looked at on a city wide basis.
The current allowed times are too short. More time with an RV/trailer at home gives some families better time to prepare for trips/holidays.
The current bylaw as it stands in fine! Yes there could be sometimes it would be nice to have more time for maintenance, maybe that could be accommodated by a permit process.
The current bylaw discourages people from having RV's and using them on a regular basis. This is not fair or just and negatively impacts health and wellness of the population. Allowing people to park RV's on their driveways during from spring to fall is a reasonable compromise for those of us that don't have alternate access on our property to store the RV and must store it offsite at a lot far away from home.
The current bylaw doesn't allow sufficient time to prepare a trailer for use, and doesn't allow for any time to do internal work.
The current bylaw is a good one to maintain!
The current bylaw is fine and should be left as is.
The current bylaw is not enforced. There are numerous trailers in people,s front drive ways in our neighbourhood. Either enforce the current laws or revise them to reflect current policy/practice.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The current bylaw is only enforced if someone complains. The level of compliance is different between neighbourhoods and within neighbourhoods. The ability to park an RV on personal property should not be governed by the property having back alley access.
The current bylaw is overly restrictive and is ineffective at controlling parking due to limited enforcement based on complaints. Reframing the bylaw to focus on whether the trailer is blocking pedestrian or vehicle traffic, or is creating other hazards while parked would allow enforcement linked with mobility and safety outcomes.
The current bylaw isn't being consistently enforced. It's therefore just a tool for neighbors to make life difficult for neighbors. If you're not going to enforce a bylaw for everyone, it shouldn't exist.
The current bylaw of 1.5 days (36 hours) on the street needs to be extended. If you plan a weekend trip, pick up the RV Thurs night after work, & park it outside it'll be 9pm. You can't pack/make noise at night (9pm - 8am) & work Fri until 6pm so have 3 hours to pack Fri night. The bylaw requires you leave by 9am Sat. Your campsite check in is 2 or 4pm. If you're 2-3 hours away & have to leave at 9am, you have nowhere to go. A minimum of 3 -5 days (72-120 hours) is much more reasonable.
The current economic climate in Calgary is very difficult for families to afford the basics. Anything that the city can do to make it easier it should do. Allowing people to have their Rv/trailer at home will make life easier for many individuals.
The current law discourages RV use and thus negatively impacts individual health and mental well being, because the City of Calgary is discouraging people from getting outdoors and being active. Allowing seasonal parking will encourage people to be more active and have positive health effects for the community.
The current restriction is just too short to prep for a trip and clean thoroughly.
The current restriction of 36 hours is adequate and reasonable.
The current rules are not egalitarian for all Calgarians. It is expensive to store off site. I also spend so much time and gas driving back and forth to/from storage. Anything to loosen the rules would be welcome.
The current system benefits RV lots, however, letting those Calgarians who have a spot to park on their driveway benefits them. I am not saying this to be self serving, as although I am an RV owner, mine would still not fit in my driveway.
The current time allocation of 36 hours does not allow ample time for families to properly prepare the RV for travel and cleaning time.
The danger here is people trying to save money and park in the summer on their property and then trying to get storage in the fall. Best would be to ease things for convenience (totally support, there isn't always enough time to get the rv, clean and pack it before a trip in less than 36 hours) without making it attractive to actually give up their storage space. A blanket summer allowance is risky, but easing the time limit would be much better.
The driveways are side by side and backing out is dangerous at the best of time, not to mention if you need to be on the street before you can see past the rv and if there are any cars coming. Also with the park across the street, the need to see small children coming will be impeded by the large object parked beside my driveway and I will not be able to see any body until I am over the sidewalk. Also a lot of the driveways are not long enough to hold the rv, and they hang over the sidewalk.
The existing bylaw is perfectly fine.
The existing rules are fair and I'm not sure why the city would change it. We already have neighbors that park their trailer on the street unhitched for a week and all their pop outs over



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

the sidewalk etc. The City appears to be just attempting to ease the existing rules which already aren't being properly enforced. We don't pay high taxes to 'live in a trailer park'
The extra emissions from driving to and from storage.
The extra vehicles that are improperly parked in front of the RVs are not addressed. The power cords crossing sidewalks.
The financial expenses forced upon private citizens in relation to their private property is not the city's right. The ascetic and courtesy of the neighbors must be held up at the same time.
The financial impacts to RV owners of off parking and storage as well as the security and easy owner accessibility of thier RV"s.
The financial Burden of paying upwards of 80-100\$/month to store it somewhere else. Plus the added time to go and get it bring it home. Rush to load it then leave then the same routine when you come home from Your trip. I would be nice to come home on a Sunday night and be able to clean and wash it the following Saturday without having to go back and forth to storage
The freedom to keep your camper with you in a neighbourhood is that of being home, everything you own in one place. Having our camper out back means we can go on weekends easily year round. Seasonal parking kind of makes sense, but we have our camper in large part so we can take our dog to the ski hill and overnight affordably. Perhaps permits for winter/summer camping might make Seasonal more suited to the owner's needs. I live in Bowness in part because the plethora of campers means I'm here with likeminded people. This may not suit an aesthetic such as Cougar Ridge or Mackenzie, but I know people with fancy 5th wheels in both neighborhoods also. Calgarians love mountains and their campers
THE FRONT STREET IS CONGESTED WITH RV'S, COMMERICAL SERVICE VEHICLES, CONTRACTOR SUPPLIES, DUMPSTERS, TO LIST A FEW
The general look of an uncluttered neighborhood is far more appealing to residents and visitors.
The government benefits because then people will buy rv's which means more tax revenu. And filling up gas will also line government coffers with more money. People will be happier because they didn't have more freedom stripped from them. Y'all screwed us over enough over the plandemic
The government is too involved in our business ,
The house that has an RV parked on its driveway has just lost a parking space for their vehicle. The road parking will now become scarce on that street.
The houses are too close, visibility would not allow for seeing children on bikes, skateboards and scooters.
The impact I see is someone renting out their RV as housing. Or dumping into the storm drains. Perhaps a pilot program to see if these turn out to be issues.
The impact is some trailer are just to big for the driveway.
The impacts are parking on the streets, people living in Rvs, and having to look at an RV all spring and summer. These are large vehicles that should not be in neighborhoods for long periods of time.
The large trailers make it difficult for the garbage collectors as the bins no longer fit on the curb, the hitch often sticks onto the road making it a hazard for drivers and pedestrian alike, not to mention road cleaning.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The last thing we want is for the whole city to turn into a trailer park every spring. Only to be returned to normal in the fall.
The length of the RV should be limited to the length of a person's driveway only (the parked RV should not extend onto the sidewalk).
The length of time it takes us to go get our motorhome from storage (then still pack it) and return it as well is restrictive to our ability to use and enjoy it as we want to. People with back alley access are able to utilize their alley/yard space how they want without complaint, but for those of us without an alley it's not fair to put restrictive rules on us. In a world concerned with equality, it doesn't appear we are held to the same standards as homeowners with back alley access.
The less amount of time your have families driving an RV through the city to reach their destination the better There is no detrimental effect to allowing families to reasonably park an RV at their residence to which they pay taxes to this city, province and country
The list of RV types in this survey did not include Class B Camper Vans. Having a category such as Motorhomes is too vague. It should be broken down to Class A, B or C motorhomes.
The lowering of stress when having to go get the trailer, load it, unload it and take it back to storage in the 36 hours is not reasonable in such a short season that we have. We want more families living, exploring and making memories by making it more convenient. Many communities do not have access to a back alley or side storage as this was not allotted for when the communities were being developed and for this reason we need to see an adjustment to this bylaw.
The majority of people do not find RVs unsightly, and restricting parking on private property makes enjoying a quality of life more difficult for residents, who have to pay extra for parking, as well as find it, and many lots are full or expensive. You could probably even have people register them for a \$5 annual fee. It's a bit of a money grab, but still cheaper and easier than having to haul to and from a storage lot!
The mayor and the counsellors do not understand the needs of the citizens who pay their wages. All they want to do is raise taxes and tax fun. It is my property I'm going to park my RV on here whether you like it or not. Tough [removed]
The neighbourhood already have issues with old cars and other itmes left on driveways so its not going to help the situation.
The new districts as is hardly have any front yard just driveways and a patch of grass between neighbors. If everyone parks their trailer in their driveway all sight of the neighborhood is blocked and looks like a campground. I've actually seen this happen in Harvest Hills. If you get company there is no where to park as everyone is out of the street for lack of garage/driveway parking.
The newer communities have such limited parking access now. Let's allow that for vehicles, not recreational trailers. Yes, loading is great and maybe a little more time but as far as seasonal is concerned I think you should store them
The one impact that needs to be considered and closely monitored is making sure that RV/Boats do not impede safe movement of those with assistive devices, wheelchairs, walkers, canes etc. it is moody at to ensure sidewalk and neighbourhoods remain as accessible as possible, as we already have many boundaries in place we can not allow more to be created. I believe this should directly stated in the bylaw. This could include no cords hanging across walkways and sidewalk, and nothing laying across sidewalks/walkways etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The only benefit is to the owner, as an owner I park my trailer longer enough to load it up then move it out.
The only impact I think would be a problem is if people live in the trailer or rv. That should not be allowed. It is for the purpose of travel.
The only issues I have are if people are storing garbage rvs of any kind on the property to make your value go down.
The only issues I see is irritating 5he neighbors that don't own trailers and understand this frustration.
The only place this should matter is on corners where you need to see around the corner for driving safety.
The optics of allowing those very few owners that have the ability to store their trailer in their back yard or side of their house is, in no other word, unfair. The esthetics of trailer storage in a backyard is just as bad as someone storing it on their driveway, and I say this from living next to a neighbour how stored their trailer in the backyard. Property storage should be all or nothing without exceptions for a lucky few.
Thanks for your time.
The parking on our street is already limited as it is. My next-door neighbour owns nine vehicles and parks them all on the street. We have renters all over (next door, behind and in front of us) with multiple cars. Parking is a huge issue. If you were to add trailers into that mix with more cars parking on the street, fights would break out. Plus, it is an eye sore. I did not pay \$700k for a trailer park. Hard NO!
The parking time could be increased for 48hs, but the whole season will create a chaos in our parking stalls and common areas (between 2 homes).
The plan doesn't have to be perfect. Implement and do a look back at the end of the year. Implement the learnings.
The present driveway rules are not enforced enough
The price of both permanent and temporary housing is absolutely out of control in Canada. If someone has permission to park on a private driveway, why would The City feel the need to interfere?
Also there is no easy alternative within the city. RV storage is at the outskirts. Public transit won't work. Now you need multiple people to shuttle vehicles if you ever need 36 hours to repair, pack, or otherwise use your own RV on your own property. Major restriction only to please the "esthetics" of nosy neighbours.
The price of living and storage is to expensive
the reduced stress would result in safer drivers on the road during an exit of the city.
The residential streets in our area are completely plugged with cars, trucks, etc. on a daily basis. We have minimal visibility at intersections now, having RVs instead would be no different.
The restrictions make it almost impossible for some people to make proper use of trailers. Storage lots are not always near by - I didn't use my trailer for several years due to the inability to work on it in my own driveway.
The resulting effect of less infringements on the basic rights (property rights) of the citizens of calgary by overzealous city council's will benefit us all!!
The right to have our vehicle at home please
The rising cost of living in general,small pleasures with family have become unaffordable.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The rising cost to store an rv is insane. Minimum 60 dollars a month. Plus people work on their RVS in the summer. Any owner will know they require a lot of maintenance.
The rule that a trailer must not be left unhooked on the road. Add that into the 36to 48 hour rule please. What if the hauling vehicle is in for repairs. It is frustrating to have to haul it back into place if you are just back for a day but you need the hauling vehicle to pick things up or go to work.
The rules should remain in place. Many Calgary roads are too narrow for RVs to be parked on in the first place, and most residences already struggle to have parking for our own vehicles on the street.
The run down RV sitting too long or people living in the RV
The RV and trailers really obstruct the view when parked on road and driveways. allowing for seasonal parking would really cause a safety hazard. Not all homes are close to parks and kids do play on the driveways during summer. please think of your kids playing on driveways and the drivers cannot see your kids due to an RV or trailer on the driveway.
The RV must not encroach the city sidewalk
The RV must not obstruct public walkways or create a hazard to the neighbourhood. The RV must not be in a state of continuous repair and must be actively utilized. Grey and black water tanks must be emptied before parking in a driveway, and the RV must be licensed. As currently regulated, the RV must not be used as living quarters while parked in a driveway and the RV must belong to the home owner of the address where it is parked. Maximum one RV per address.
The RV needs to be registered/insured and in good working order.
the RV should be in a road worthy condition and properly secured so it won't roll off the driveway during strong wind or severe weather.
The rv should fit in the driveway and not block the city sidewalk Sewer shall not be discharged into city storm drains
The RV should not be used as living quarters while it is parked. IE: renting it out. However exceptions could be made for those doing renovations or having fire damage repaired. A free permit may be required just to keep it within reason.
The same issues of RVs being a safety hazard and being ugly are no different in the summer so seasonal spots are not appropriate. A slight increase in time to 48 hours parked out front would be ok. That would be plenty of time. If it takes someone longer then that to clean or load they are lazy and/or unorganized.
The self proclaimed vanity police in neighborhoods really need to get a life. Buy a home in a gated community where everything is controlled and boring for you. Site lines are a lame excuse. Who ever has enough cash can obliterate site lines when ever they want. 36 hours is reasonable to park on a municipal street but your own property there should be no restriction.
The sight lines are already horrible in this city with people parking on the streets and not their driveways, it's already so dangerous for kids to bike or walk. So many people camp in Calgary there would be trailers and campers in most driveways
The street parking that is available in the newer neighborhoods is already limited by the lot sizes and having RV's parked seasonally would affect the ambiance, and crowd the neighborhood. Most households have several automobiles and already overflow their driveways and park on the street.
The streets in this town are already too congested with people parking vehicles, campers, boats and other junk they don't use on residential streets. We also don't want to encourage

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

urban camping. 36 hours is more than enough time to load your RV/camper if you feel the need to do that in town. What this comes down to is people being too lazy or too cheap to store it properly. As a camper owner, I want my family and I to have clear sight lines at local intersections, so we are not run over.
The streets will be littered with RV's. Calgary has a huge concentration of RV's and we need to keep them in storage lots or properly stored on your own property
The summer season is when folks are out in their yards and walking the streets, don't need added clutter, obstructions, they are an eyesore, it's just liking having a huge shed parked in a front area which are not allowed.
The three situations we faced that really made the 36 hour rule a burden for us are as follows: 1. We needed to do some repairs on the trailer between weekends that required some time 2. We had a death in the family and moving the trailer was not a priority 3. Our truck broke down and we were unable to move the trailer.
The time and cost that is required to move and store an RV is considerably higher than the City realizes which can cause RV ownership to be extremely challenging. A consider number of people choose RV's and camping as a more cost effective way of taking vacation and having family time but these restrictions can make that increasingly more challenging.
The time and resources dedicated to enforcing this bylaw could be better used elsewhere. It also does nothing for neighbors relations when I get a visit from bylaw (never been ticketed) and now I wonder who won't just talk to me.
The time it saves family's to be able to load and un load every week if it is allowed to stay in a driveway for the duration of the season. It is also more cost effective then to have to pay for storage when the rv/trailer is not in use during the season. Or trades being able to unhook a trailer for a short amount of time if thier vehicle needs work.
The time your trailer can sit in front of your property on the street should be extended longer than 36 hours. It takes longer than that in some cases to pack, unpack or maintain your unit. More flexibility is required.
The timeframe should be from the week before May Long weekend to the week after Labour day. IE: 2 Mondays before May 25 to the Second Monday in September.
The timeline of 36 hours needs to be extended. It is not sufficient time to clean, load and unload a trailer in that timeframe. Especially if it's raining, if you have small children that you can't be out of the house for long periods of time trying to clean a trailer. It should be at least 72-96 hours (3/4 days). It is not always the best option to have a storage place either. Our trailer got stolen out of a paid storage lot. There needs to be more flexibility in the by laws for all RV parking spots.
The trailer area needs to be free from debris and anything but the trailer.
The trailer must be in working order, not be an eye sore. Must be in good condition. Must be stored long term in the winter. This should only be a seasonal thing.
the unit would be secured in in site of the owners.the unit should not impede the public sidewalk.
The units should be in good/safe condition. Road worthy and registered.
The unloading time currently should a minimum be 72 hours is not everyone is able-bodied and not everyone is the household is the RV driver. For seasonal parking - Added security and convenience for RV'er.
The vast majority of driveways, when filled with an RV, have no impact on sight lines. The esthetic value of not seeing someone else's RV is not something I would consider an impact



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

in any way; it seems ridiculous that someone's maintained vehicle is allowed on a driveway, but a maintained vehicle with a bed inside is forbidden.
The vehicle is registered so it should be allowed on the road just like any other vehicle why is there an exception for these types of vehicles? obstructed sightlines - I can name a few spots in the City that have obstructed sightlines due to various objects (fence, sound barriers, hedges, trees, etc.) Safety - I would like a more detailed explanation on this. I would feel safer for my unit to be on my property versus a storage yard. esthetics - who are we trying to look pretty for?
The vehicles will be parked within our property boundary without inconveniencing the general public.
The way I look at is the city should have no say, if it clean and tidy. I do pay my property taxes for property that I suppose to own. If I can't keep my trailer in my front drive way during the camping season then lower my taxes so that I have money to store my trailer during this time and pay for my gas and time that it takes me to go and get my trailer. Also pay for my counseling because camping and getting out of the city during the same a cheap man's holiday and break from the city that helps to refresh and decompress and helps our mental health.
The winter storage lot I use for my RV is an hour away from my house. There are no affordable options close by. I camp around 5 or 6 times per year and retrieving my RV from storage every time I want to use it would be extremely time consuming and cause unnecessary emissions. The number of slower moving RVs on the roadways would increase as well as the wear and tear on them and the tpw vehicles. RVs are person property parked on personal property. No time limits should be in place.
-Theft will increase as RV batteries and boating accessories will be stolen if RV left on driveway. Dont need another reason for theft in neighbourhoods. Units will be LESS secure on driveways even with security cameras. - some may not be properly sealed and mice will get into RV. Mouse poison used will harm pets in neighbourhood. -visibility to back out of a driveway will be hugely impaired by parked RVs in driveways, - RVs that are "too long" will hang out their hitches onto street. -Storing an RV offsite is part of responsible ownership; part of the cost of owning RV. Don't cater to people who buy and then don't want to spend on storage.
Their is already limited street parking why would this in any capacity even be considered. This would ruin some neighbourhoods just to accommodate those who have an rv.
Then you may have folks wanting to rent their rv out for Stampede week or more, like a driveway airbnb. Just leave it alone, and when I report an infraction on the app, with a photograph, please act timely.
There
There already appears to be little to no enforcement of the RV rules for driveways in my ward, there are also a large number of unsightly enclosed cargo trailers that contractors have in driveways year round at their properties. Travel Trailers and RVs for the most part look better.
There also needs to be a dept that is in charge of investigating complaints. Every time I have made a complaint, NOTHING has been done.
There are absolutely zero impact on anyone else if it is on their property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

there are additional costs associated to parking at a storage lot that many Calgarians cannot afford with the rise in property taxes and the general cost of living. i feel like there are bigger problems of concern rather than policing an RV parked on private property.
There are already a number of people with boats, tent trailers, utility trailers permanently parked in driveways without ramification. The benefit is convenience but I don't believe the city owes people that. You have the chance to park your unit to load up the night before. We have made it work for the past 16 years - we pay for storage - part of the cost of owning an RV - and I have no intention of parking our RV on our driveway. You say seasonal - you are just going to get people never moving their units. Wait until kids are riding bikes and neither the kids nor the vehicle drivers can see around big RV units parked everywhere - a huge safety issue.
There are already limited parking in communities central to downtown. RV parking would add an additional burden.
There are communities that don't have front driveways. RV owners should be granted some leniency when it comes to the length of time their RV can be parked on the street in front of their home. I know it's a parking nuisance for neighbours but it's also a nuisance for the RV owner who might be returning from a vacation on a Sunday evening, returning to work Monday, and not have time to unload etc within a short amount of time. Maybe a free but approved permit for an allotted amount of time.
There are costs & burdens to storing RV's in one of the storage lots outside the city. Requires a trip out into the country to pick it up and bring it home to prep for a weekend of camping. On returning from camping, it must be unloaded, cleaned, and taken back out into the country for storage until the next weekend. A LOT of miles being driven in & out of the city and on the highway solely for storage, which is over & above the cost involved.
There are enough rules, if no-one is being hurt then why not makes people's lives simpler
There are enough vehicles "misplaced" on our streets due to higher density living. The city does not monitor proper parking adherence as is. Seasonal front driveway parking creates blind spots, impedes walkways due to over reach, and in many cases, for older run-down models, creates an eyesore in the community.
There are environments benefits to minimizing the driving time spent moving a trailer to and from storage. It would be much more environmentally friendly to allow trailers to stay on the street or a driveway for extended periods in the summer months.
There are far more concerning obstacles in yards that are poor esthetically, my only concern would be safety and that long term people do not occupy as a residence
there are great environmental impacts as it would reduce 4 unnecessary trips to an rv storage lot, each time you wanted to take your trailer out. each trip would take excess gas and pollution.
There are know benefits. If you cannot afford an rv parking facility then you mite as well sell your rv. This is exactly why they have these facilities, to keep them out of the neighborhoods. Make the rules stricter and inforce them. Thank you .
There are lots of storage lots located outside the city limits. Costs are reasonable and they're easy to get to.
There are many car prowlings in our neighborhood so there will similarly be increase in break ins and theft from trailers. Also release of deleterious substances into sewer system may increase.
There are many commercial cargo trailers being parked on front driveways in our community that should also be considered under the same bylaw as RVs due to the same issues. We



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

also had a truck camper parked next door for 6 years without any recourse. The truck was 1971 and the camper in the bed of the truck was moulding and caving in. There was no recourse as it was considered a truck and allowed on the front driveway. Thankfully the owner eventually took care of it after 6 years.
There are many neighborhoods where there is no back or side access to a property for a vehicle. People with sufficient driveway space should not be forced to pay for private parking or storage. RV ownership tends to keep tourism money in the province.
There are many new neighbourhoods where alleys do not exist. I do understand those RV owners being frustrated they have to store their RV at a distance from home. This issue can be resolved only for the neighbourhoods yet to be built, by reintroducing the alleys for rear garages, and even storage. The city is partly at fault for this issue by allowing houses to be so much closer together with the elimination of alleys.
There are many people who do not follow the current bylaw anyway so we should remove the bylaw
There are many rv and boat owners on my street. If they were all allowed to park there, they would reduce Visibility and limit parking. There is also the concern that some may choose to live on have others live in the rvs.
There are many who don't play by the existing rules already and for those rules to be relaxed further will just lead to more aggravation between neighbours. It's frustrating to see people thumb their noses at the rules for an RV that in some cases doesn't move for weeks on end or even months. My thought has always been if you don't have a way is storing according to the rules or don't want to pay for storage elsewhere, then you shouldn't own one. It's just that simple.
There are more important things to worry about. This would take one item off the bylaw officer's list of time-wasting concerns.
There are no benefits to have RV's park on residential neighborhoods
There are no benefits to having campers on driveways or streets If one has visitors coming with a camper , arrangements at near by campgrounds should be made
There are no benefits to this. If people can't afford RV storage, then they can't afford an RV.
There are no impacts for the city... what's it going to change other then save people money, and allow them to pack their rv when they want and have it their all the time. Convince is huge with being able to have their rv parked at there house for loaded and unloading. It makes it easier to get projects done on the rv it's not a full day event to go pick it up hurry and scramble to get done in 36 hours and take it back to storage.
There are no impacts.
There are numerous rv storage facilities available for the purpose of storing these units when not in use which would not affect the aesthetic of any neighborhood. Who would be responsible to determine what is an acceptable condition versus unsightly condition of trailer. Is it fair to possibly penalize home owners on corner lots where a trailer parked in the driveway may reduce or impede visibility of motorists, cyclists and pedestrians?
There are only benefits. Don't have to pay for storage, easier to pack for a trip.
There are people that have their trailer parked on their driveways year round because no-one complains. The only time you get a ticket is if a neighbor complains even though the officers drive by and see other trailers on driveways. If you aren't allowed to park on the driveway, it should be the same for everyone. If you get rid of the bylaw and allow people to park on their driveways, it would be fair for all.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are places for these types of vehicles to park elsewhere therefore they should be parking them there or get rid of them.
There are plenty of RV STORAGE LOTS.
There are some properties that do not have back laneway access nor do they have space beside the home to park their RV. These properties contribute to taxes and should be permitted this luxury.
There are storage places
There are times that a camper must be on the street because the owner doesn't have their back or side parking, due to unforeseen circumstances.
There are times when 36 hours is in-sufficient time to provide maintenance, cleaning, loading and un-loading. or there may be a longer than 36 hour window between camping sites - they are difficult to book and I don't want to have to un-load my RV, move it to the storage place, only to have to move it back and re-load within 72 hours.
I don't see any mention of RV's being parked for a specific time in a back alley - is there a different time frame for that?
There are too many people breaking the bylaws now. In my neighborhood there are a minimum of 30 people parking indefinitely in driveways or backalleys. The only thing that should change is enforcement.
There are too many people interfering in business that does not involve them simply to stir up the pot. As a tax payer I am appalled the council is wasting money better spent elsewhere on something that should really be a non-issue if the said vehicles are not impeding sidewalks or line of sight of the roadway then leave things be people are already stressed enough why add even more stress again deal with REAL ISSUES affecting our city which I suspect this really does not ...do better council!!
There are too many whiney jerks that would rather use certain bylaws to piss off their neighbours for the 36hr limit to be anything other than misused.
There aren't benefits other than a minimum time to load and unload . Otherwise the impact is negative to neighbors.
There could be an economic benefit for those needing to gas up and/or groceries prior to leaving the city.
Negative impact could be increase calls to 311 with complaints from neighbours.
There could be lawsuits if accidents happen if visibility is blocked.
There does not appear to be any concern from our neighbours. Unmaintained or derelict vehicles would be unwanted and should be removed.
There has been a surge in RV purchases. Many places have limited parking as it is. allowing rv parking will exacerbate the problem. Calgary is a big city with many areas to consider. Allowing this for a small segment of rv owners (of which I am an owner) will affect a lot of people.
There have to be rules against allowing anyone to live long-term in the trailer while it is parked out front, but still allow weekend visitors, for example, to sleep in it while visiting the household.
There is a benefit for times where we are camping back to back weekends as it would be nice to leave it on the driveway instead of taking it back and forth to the storage lot of only to bring it back a few days later. With that said, even if there was a change to "seasonal", we would still ensure the trailer is turned around quickly and back at the lot out of respect to our neighbours.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is a big difference in people living in them daily (they are usually the eye sores, not taken care of) and visitors using them as a place to spend the night while they are out visiting around our city.
There is a cost savings for people and also an environmental benefit as people do not need to drive extra to get their RV.
There is a difference to allowing seasonal front driveway parking and street parking adjacent from the owner's residence. If the front driveway of the house has sufficient room for the RV unit then I believe seasonal parking shouldn't be an issue. With the case of street parking I feel the 36 hour rule is fair.
There is a financial cost and time lost by storing a vehicle somewhere else.
there is a large cost associated with RV Storage. Some people have the option to store on their property and others don't. This will give more people the opportunity to save and provide more options on houses to potentially buy if they want to store their RV.
There is a large expense involved in storing a trailer off an owner's property during the camping season. Money not spent on storage (which usually occurs outside of City limits) could be spent within the City.
There is a positive environmental impact with owners requiring fewer trips to/from storage facilities.
There is a risk of children running out from between trailers onto roadway.
there is a sense of security to having my own camper close to my own home. it allows emergency use of services like washrooms or isolating sleeping quarters within my own property.
There is a significant cost to storing an RV off site that can make this type of recreational pursuit prohibitive. Allowing property owners to use their existing space would make this more feasible.
There is a substantial cost to store an RV and have to take it in and out to go camping. Most notably is the time cost. We are a young family who want to take our little guys camping alot in the summer. With little kids time is so limited and having to drive to get the RV adds so much time and steals it from parenting time. Being able to have the tent trailer on the road would really be helpful.
There is absolutely no benefit to this for the city, UNLESS you charge a fee. I think \$60/month will be enough and must be purchased per season. You will end up with policing and bylaw costs if you move forward, no question.
There is absolutely no solid reason for it not to be allowed which is why I believe this should be reconsidered
There is also a difference depending on the size of the units. Small trailers or don't obscure vision or run out over sidewalks. Longer ones can.
There is also an environmental benefit as owners would not have to drive their RVs to and from storage yards which are typically outside the City - the City has a climate emergency declared. It would also be good if the space directly in front of the driveway (2.5 m of the road) was included in the consideration for the 36hr period. Not all driveways are long enough to fit RVs, so the ability to leave the RV on the road in front of the driveway unhooked for 24-36 hrs would be beneficial.
There is an environmental benefit, as it means there is less driving the recreational vehicle to a storage site and then back for each camping trip or usage.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is an impact to us to not having our RV here. We used to use the fridge in summer for extra storage and to cool down in airconditioning. It's convenient to have it close as we can work on things that need repair. It is stressful to come home from camping and have to empty everything out and go park it again only to have to return because we missed somethings. We parked on the street near a little park and lost our catalytic converter to thieves.
There is an unequivocal definition between the bylaws that leads to a lot of confusion. Is my van an RV or a passenger car because it was built for that purpose?
There is No additional benefits. If allowed to park a full season some will try to keep it there all year. Smaller units maybe seasonally, but larger ones no. Then how do you determine as ideal "size" to be allowed opens up another problem. Just extend the hours a little longer in my opinion.
There is no bad impact on having the rv parked in your own driveway if no blocking the sidewalk
There is no benefit for the city.
There is no benefit to a community.
There is no benefit to extent parking time.
There is no impact/harm in allowing RV parking on front drives.
There is no need to change the bylaw. If you can afford a rv, boat etc you can afford to store it at a storage facility.
There is no reasonable impact that should prevent someone parking their owned property, on owned or rented property in anyway.
There is no sidewalk on our side in front of our house.
There is no way bylaws, who are already overloaded, should have to deal with this situation. People spent a lot of money on their units and can afford to put them in a RV Storage lot. The City needs only one solution nobody parks any units on the street (including businesses) or on their driveways. Just say no,a rule is a rule, and no extenuating circumstances, no warnings, put ticket in mailbox/or on unit. If it is not moved by a date they will be fined and towed away. UNITS IN BACKYARDS OK
There is not enough space on city street to allow for longer then 48 hours.
There is so much ugly stuff that can be parked on a driveway already so why not allow a trailer for a bit more time than currently allowed. Not do sure about seasonal but if neighbour's are on with it, why not allow extended parking.
There is space for two vehicles to park in front of my home. My car and small camper van do not take up more than that space. Since I use the camper van off and on all year, it would be very inconvenient for me to have to park it elsewhere and I see no reason to do so. My neighbours are not in inconvenienced at all. Nor is their safety impacted. My van is clean and well maintained and is not the eyesore that some dirty, beat up cars are.
There is the risk that people will create rental units or live in their units if they are parked for longer periods.
There may be a need to address use of RV as long term "guest house" or additional lodging.
There needs to be rules around keeping it secured so it is safe.
There physically isn't the same for all RV owners to do this given how close houses are together. It is ugly, dangerous for drivers and children. Pleas, please, do not do this!!
There should also be a window where it can be safely parked in the street unhooked. As long as it is not obstructing anything. A 12 hour window i think is very appropriate.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There should also be an allowance for people to leave an rv unattached to a vehicle in front of their house for a period of up to 48 hours - many owners work and only have one vehicle but would like to load and prep their rv for a getaway. Why does it have to be attached to a vehicle?
There should be a distinction and time frame between parking on a front driveway and parking on the street.
There should be a length and height limit to the size of the units that can be parked in driveways. No overhanging units into streets. No bus type motor homes on driveways. No parking of rv's/boats on streets longer than 36 hours.
There should be a three day rule that a trailer can be detached from the tow vehicle and parked on the street. Right now you cannot leave a trailer detached at all. In the camping season, you often have to go get the trailer and then do some shopping to fill it. Rather than have to tow the trailer around shopping parking lots, it would be much safer to leave it temporarily detached from the tow vehicle so this could be done. Most of us have to put our RVs in storage lots some distance from our residence so no possible to bring items to the storage lot to load up. Thanks
There should be an allowance for people to unpin from their trailer when it's parked on the street in front of a house.
Having an addition to the city parking app that allows a RV owner to log when they start the parking in front of a house would be great
There should be an allowed short term on street parking for an unhitched trailer, even for a few hours. Not everyone has a driveway or the luxury of multiple vehicles to allow one to remain hitched. To have my trailer tent parked on the street briefly and have our vehicle free for last minute errands would be beneficial. It also impacts neighbours by reducing the amount of available on street parking because I need room for the trailer + vehicle for the whole time we are preparing for our trip.
There should be consideration for allowing rvs to be unhooked during a new longer parking time while in front of your home. Only allow this while the trailer is directly in front of your home. This will allow a greener environment because it means less towing back and forth to the trailer storage while planning a trip, or doing maintenance.
There should be considerations around the condition of the recreational vehicle. Perhaps vehicles over a certain age or in poor condition should not be allowed. This will limit the esthetic implications of the vehicle.
There should be no restrictions for private property. If its their driveway they should be able to use it how they want
There should not be winter storage for RVs on roads.
There still needs to be rules, obviously. A 36ft 5th wheel toy hauler shouldn't be allowed to be parked on the street. But if it fits in your driveway without blocking the sidewalk then it should be allowed.
There will always be the one neighbour that doesn't want/ like how it looks & complains about things. As long as it is not blocking other driveways, by bylaw standards then what is the issue? Happier residents make for a happier city, & we ALL need some of that about now.
There will be an increase in insurance claims for theft and vandalism to RVs parked in driveways.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There would be an economic impact to trailer storage facilities. Maybe extending the length of time an RV can be parked at the front of a house to 72 hours or a bit more would help RV owners.
There would be greater value in addressing problems faced in this city rather than evading issues and concentrating on trivia like RVs and minimum costs for paper bags. If our Mayor ever left her left leaning ivory tower she might see the mental health and homeless issues, the appalling road conditions, the endless traffic, the lack if planning and awful public transport system... But no, 50c paper bags and RV parking. Be proud Calgary....
There would be less Rv traffic if people could just bring them home and Park rather than unloading and then hauled back through the neighborhood again to a lot.
There would be less RV traffic in the neighbourhoods with not having to bring the RV back and forth from storage before and after every trip.
there would be less traffic congestion on weekends with RV owners making less trips to/from RV storage sites
There would be less traffic in and out of the neighbourhood by not having to pick up the RV from storage, parking at the house to load and then going on the trip. Only to complete the reverse when putting away.
There would need to be clear communication to the public around the seasonal access conditions, such as the RV is not unsightly (i.e. poor condition), encroaching on City property, and is meeting other bylaw requirements. As well as clearly setting the expectation for "Seasonal" start/end dates.
There's already challenges finding residential parking. If all the neighbour's have their RVs parked for days, where are the vehicles supposed to go. Having an RV is a privilege and therefore the inconvenience of having only 36 hrs was understood when you purchased it. It's a choice, why make everyone else suffer for their choices.
These are people's homes. It is really hard when u camp every weekend to run your trailer back and forth to a storage facility
These changes should not affect those vehicle that are parked in peoples yards and not obstructing walkways, sidewalks or drivers views.They should not be allowed to park in alleys.
These RV are used as dwellings at times. Also, sewage is allowed to run from RV onto street. They also obscure sight lines for driving and obstruct view from neighboring properties. General, an eyesore.
These RV's, campers etc should definitely be in working condition and not just junk being stored as an eyesore. They also definitely shouldn't be impeding any sidewalk space.
These RVs can be large and cause major sight line and visibility problems, especially around corners and at intersections. To address these concerns, restrictions or limitations could be added (e.g. no parking within 50 m of intersection); however, I do understand this would require additional education and enforcement. Importantly, the street is ultimately public right-of-way that is granted to citizens for use so the decision must reflect what is best for all.
These should be property rights of the owners of the property.. no restrictions. i don't see how this affects the neighbors negatively.. in any way... It's no different than if they park a 1 ton dually in front of their home.
These vehicles could become illegal suites.
These vehicles may provide the homeowners only means of transport for domestic and work use.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

They also block sidewalks
They are garbage collectors and allow people to have others living in their driveways
They are not an eye sore or in the way which some neighbours believe. They encourage family time and get-togethers, and once they are on the road and away, they are out of sight. I think a 7-day rule would be more applicable from April 15 - October 15, and then encourage a winter storage solution, so they are off the property in the non-used months.
They can provide supplemental 'guest' accommodations to a home owner opening the door for more people who could not otherwise visit from out of town because of hotel costs.
They could charge a small fee to park the rv seasonally to generate a bit of extra income towards the city (\$10 seasonal pass).
They don't know each situation, maybe a separation of parents but still needing to be there for kids, a trailer used for storage while doing a renovation, use of a container on your property should be at homeowners discretion
They limit the place for other vehicles to park (cars).
They should be helping city residents to make our lives easier not the other way around. Some storage are far from residence driving back and forth is tedious
They should not be people living in parked rv's
They shouldn't be lived in, they're not guest houses.
Think green, less trips to and from storage hauling/driving an RV would equal less fuel use and pollution. If the RV doesn't interfere with neighbors property or city property, why is this an issue?
Thirty six hours is definitely not enough time to prepare a RV and load it. Also when you go on a trip and then return for a week or so before going on another trip, it does not make any sense to have to take the RV back to its storage location.
This adds to a community the feeling of love, as long as its not 40feet long and interferes with emergency vehicles or mail delivery or has overgrown weeds around it leave the tax payers alone
This bylaw encourages anonymous reporting of citizens on each other rather than having a conversation. Crazy.
This change may increase the number of people that camp, which would bring a boost to the economy in multiple ways.
A potential drawback I can see would be the possibility of people using the time on the driveway to wash the RV. (An actoin which may potentially violate a separate bylaw in itself).
This city has way too many silly little bylaws that it worries about way too much and does not put nearly enough resources on the huge problem of drug use and crime and overdoses on public transit.
This could also be used to help alieviate some of the current housing crisis. As with a properlypermitted RV temporary housing could be arranged or the use of tiny homes on some properties. My parents home has a long front to side drive that could be used to park an RV or tiny home year round to use as either a rental/caregiver cottage.
This decision will allign us with other jurisdictions.
This doesn't even effect me as my RV is stored and used out of town. I agree that any chance the city has to remove restrictive rules that adversely affect residence, the better.
This is a free country,1
This is a good savings for a lot of families. If they're are able to park their unit at home for free it could save them up to \$500 per year.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This is a slippery slope that would potentially lead to abuse and require enhanced enforcement.
This is a terrible idea. If everyone can park their trailer or RV at their home,, communities will just turn into city campsites. If your going to do it, at least charge the equivalent of what one would pay at an RV storage park.
This is an area of our lives the city doesn't need to control.
This is an important family component to camp with loved ones.
This is important for families to have their RV parked on their property. The costs are high to park else where. When your RV is close it is easy to clean, load camping belongings, and people would probably use it more.
This is important. We're Canadians. We like to camp. Everything is getting more expensive. Trailer storage included. This change makes it easier for Calgarians to pursue healthy, outdoor activities using the resources they already own.
Furthermore - there is a Carbon-use offset here. If someone can store their RV at their house for the summer - it saves that person the fuel usage to drive to and from their RV storage lot every weekend.
This is just another cash grab from the city so you can hand out more finds.
This is low hanging fruit and is really only applicable to a small minority. Our of 60 homes in my cul-de-sac this option would be applicable to 3 homes only
This is not a nanny state, but out of private lives
This is private property and I can leave my vehicle that fits on my driveway, why not an RV?
This is supposed to be a free country
This is way too Draconian when we want these vehicles to travel with
This issues is the reason I am looking to move out of Calgary. The Las is to restrictive and costs me extra for equipment. But if I want chicken's that are annoying that's allowed. Well that's not my choice but my rv that is quiet isn't allowed. Not sure who made the rules but they are horrible.
This may cause issues with people living in RVs in neighborhoods, thereby affecting the property values, putting children at risk of being easily taken and hidden in an RV. This also may attract homeless people looking for a place to sleep for the night - this is not a safe idea. What a waste of money this engagement is, please use our tax dollars more wisely than this.
This may give many familes, single people doesn't matter who really the extra time without the stress of having to get it home and removing in such a short period of time. Honestly life is so busy for MANY people, always in a rush. Myself having a tent trailer, I need to open it up, clean from in between camping, also depending on weather, i cannot close my tent trailer sometimes within 36 hours, if its raining I need to wait 24 HOURS to dry before take down, just to avoid mold.
This may impact traffic (heavier). Many people store their RVs out of the city, and don't even need to bring them in.
This might free up some street parking too (for those periods of time that units are allowed to be on the street). Also overall environmental impact + cost. Every visit to pick up or work on our unit involves a half hour x 2 of driving our truck.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This program should be kept to spring - fall parking only. Winter storage should still be off-site or in a backyard as per the current bylaw,
This review doesn't appear to do much for homeowners living in the inner-city, where the majority of homes do not have front driveways. Current bylaw states the RV must be parked adjacent to the owners property. In many cases this is nearly impossible, due to the number of vehicles competing for street space. As long as the parked RV complies with the time limit I believe you should be allowed to park it anywhere (or within sight, or within 100m of your home). I believe the 36 hour rule should be extended to at least 48 hours. It should not be legal for people to be sleeping in their RV if parked on the street, but I think this should be OK if parked on your driveway.
This RV parking issue is a perfect example of government overreach and is will prevail as long as Canada does not have property rights in its Charter- only a codicil which can be withdrawn by any majority government.
This saves on time and cost for families to be able to go camping and enjoy our amazing province and country.
This should be about families being together. Respect the neighborhood, don't keep decrepit RVs. If it's on your driveway and not encroaching streets or sidewalks it should be treated like a large van or truck.
This survey says convenience - I say takes away convenience for me. My neighbor 30 trailer means I cannot have friends, family, professional trades people with tools and parts, deliveries conveniently park in front of my house. Cost-effectiveness - consider the price of RVs, camping spots, etc... \$700--\$800/year parking is quite insignificant and people know this before they buy one. Sense of security - really? The city promotes walking, cycling etc and say we need to lower speed limits in residential areas for safety and then you are thinking it is ok to park a 30" trailer on the road or driveway to inhibit clear views. This also takes away my rights to keep the open view in my neighborhood
This will allow for more family recreation during our short summer months. Storage is quite expensive and security is poor. Packing your RV each weekend is very difficult for folks working a Monday to Friday shift.
This will help multiple citizens with not only cost benefit but the ability to plan and vacation at their leisure
This will impact the way communities look in a negative way, but the city should have less control with what people do on private property, regardless of the size of the property
This will improve Calgarians lives and encourage recreational hobbies in the summer time. Please do this initiative!!
This will not really change a lot as I see a lot of trailers parked for a long time. I drive a school bus so see many residential driveways with trailers which are parked there starting in April/May and do not leave until October. The system is abused right now and such a change would legitimize the abuse. If you had to register to park it for a longer time it would make it easier to enforce and maybe raise awareness of the rules.
This would add a lot more flexibility, and reduce the carbon footprint of hauling trailer to storage for a few days and hauling it back!
This would allow more people to afford camping.
This would allow people to get home from camping and have a few days to unpack, clean etc.
This would allow us to go camping more readily and improve our family's quality of life and mental health!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This would also benefit The City by not having to send out Bylaw all of the time and can allow them to focus on other Bylaw areas.
This would be a reasonable accommodation balancing the private interests of landowners with community impacts that are more significant outside of camping season.
This would be easier for people that get home from holidays so that they can empty and clean there trailer to get it ready for there next trip instead of back and forth to get it from storage.
This would definitely help my family with our tiny trailer that does not encroach on the sidewalk or neighbour's property. It would save on gas driving it to and from storage allowing us to get out and enjoy the outdoors more as there would be far less hassle picking up and dropping off at storage.
This would minimize the giant ugly RV lots surrounding the city. It would also encourage people to explore our province and get outside. Many people do not go camping or RVing because they don't have somewhere to store an RV or they cannot afford the storage.
This would reduce conflict between neighbours.
This would reduce number of facilities needed to store trailers and make the potential for repurposing land possible with purchasing it from owners.
This would reduce the amount of RVs being towed all over town back and forth from the trailer storage lots.
This would reduce the carbon footprint of driving RV back and forth to the RV storage lot
This would reduce the number of trips to and from the storage yard (which is a fair distance away). This would reduce GHG emissions as well as allow for better time utilization with family.
This would save many Calgarians time and money having access to their trailers in their driveway, with literally no impact to anyone else...
This would save time, hassle and burning fuel for RV owners, not having to return and pick-up their RV from storage between every trip. If the city is apprehensive to make it seasonal, at least extend the time to 1 week, to make it easier for people who plan to camp consecutive weekends.
This would severely impact our visibility to the street and with neighborhoods with front attached driveways backing out into the street this is a major impact.
Those that have no access to their property have three options with regard to RV ownership. (A) Just don't own an RV and be deprived of the enjoyment of that recreational activity. (B) Pay other people to store your RV. (B) Move to where you can park your RV on your property We pay taxes on our properties just like those that have access. Why are we not allowed the same privileges? Why does our money have to go to strangers to help them pay their taxes? In my situation, when I step out my front door, I see a hulking RV directly across the street. I see it from my garage door, I stare at it when I sit out on my front deck. It's always there. But it's legal because it's in their backyard??
Though I know it is not always appealing to all eyes it is a very expense investment and to be able to have it at you home to work on it and take care of it that would be very nice - I pay so much taxes that I think I should be able to park my tv on my property!
Thousands of Calgarian's own trailers - everyone I talk to finds it very frustrating that we cannot park on our driveways, our own private land, for more than 36 hours especially when camping frequently throughout the season.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

through this allowance there is cost savings for users who dont have to rent spaces elsewhere for the summer. There is also significant time saving for the city who no longer have to deal with these petty complaints. This encourages people to get out and enjoy the province by removing one less barrier / challenge when getting ready to go camping! Thanks!
Time is precious and sometimes you need more time to load/unload/clean throughout the week so being able to have the trailer on your property is very useful.
Time saved sending bylaw officers for offences. Friendlier, more recreation-friendly approach. Many moving to rockyview county to be able to park their rvs
Time should be focused elsewhere, this is a waste of taxpayer dollars to engage and discuss and review.
Time to park, load up, clean, maintenance etc
Times are tough and folks need a break.
To afford the annual parking fees, we use a rural storage facility located 15m east of Calgary. There is a negative environmental impact in driving to and from this location.
To have RV in view during summer stops burglaries and damage to RVs.
to have to drive your rv through town to park. pay monthly can be a deterrent to rv ownership. as long as the rv owners are responsible- not to let any dumping of grey/black water.
To me By laws are designed to allow and opportunity for enforcement of politeness and respect for neighbours and the neighborhood. No changes are needed.
To own a RV is a big investment and to store a RV is costly to say the least.If one is to have a proper driveway as described in the Bylaw and meets the requirements as set out,then i see no reason as to why RVs cannot occupy privately owned property.Now in saying this, all cases must be investigated individually based on there own situation and appropriate action taken in each case.Most RVs will not fit on a drive way to begin with, which is what should be considered first and foremost,however those that do and meet the requirements of the Bylaw should be allowed .
To summarize, RV's are unsightly and pose sight line dangers and so should not be parked in front yards for a whole season. Also, for those unable to park it in their driveway due to the size, they should be able to park it on the street unhooked from the towing vehicle for at least 48 hours.
Today in Calgary many families own RV's RVowneship
RV ownership has grown in Calgary since last time the RV parking bylaw was reviewed. Today I honestly believe the RV bylaw needs to be changed and give RV owners more time to get the RV ready for camping.
Too many of these large trailers are parking in front driveways blocking the view and also encroaching on the sidewalks with the goosenecks or long front hitches. Backyard parking only, or secure a location to park exceeding the 36 hours. Be respectful of others, some of these are nothing more than houses on wheels.
Tough enough now for bylaw to enforce...don't want to make it tougher for them. Also...sadly....many homes are not kept up as it is.....why allow more for "clutter" making the community an eyesore.
Tourist season especially would allow more people to visit the City.
Traffic safety, community connection, aesthetics are all negatively impacted if RVs are allowed for more than 36 hours. If someone can afford an RV, they can afford a storage location.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Traffic safety. Many people I know, including myself, rush to pack and unpack, clean, get to storage. It means I'm usually driving it to storage after an 18+ hr day, in the dark. It means I am rushing home from work every time I go camping (almost weekly!) and paying less attention to my driving while I go through a checklist in my head of what I need to do to leave for camping that night. I miss work to do so most weeks. also, family would visit more and I would stay in the city if they did.
Trailer storage areas are usually far from residences so additional travel and emissions burned to retrieve and bring it home before leaving on a trip. Owners are more inclined to head out camping if there is less of a hassle to pack up.
Trailer storage, while an understood cost of RV ownership, is getting more expensive and further from the city. 36 hours is not always adequate to get ready or unpack an RV.
Trailers are almost never taking up lines of sight
Trailers can be a nuisance and eye sure in most cases. I've only ever lived in one neighborhood where trailers were used and received respectfully, and that was because almost every house had one and the street would be chaos if people weren't considerate and understanding.
Trailers force more street parking as driveways are used up.
Trailers in driveways need to have maximum lengths based on distance to sidewalk or street.
Trailers need to be hooked up to a vehicle when on streets and alleys and trailers and rvs can't be parked in alleys where neighbours have garages because that limits access to garages.
Trailers need to be plugged in for a period of time so that their fridge can be activated so there isn't food spoilage. Good rv owners would clean the trailer out (interior and exterior after each trip) a longer time period would be appreciated. I believe, if the RV can safely fit on your driveway and not obstruct the sidewalk, then there shouldn't be a reason for a short time limit.
Trailers parked on side streets and not main roads, have less of an impact on traffic.
Trailers should be allowed not to be attached to a vehicle for the 36 hre
Trailers should not be allowed to protrude on to streets or sidewalks and trailers that are not useable should not be allowed. We do not have side or back access and are being unfairly treated for choosing a location that does not waste land for back allies. Back allies that are often an eyesore, require maintenance. There is no good reason not to allow trailers on driveways. Being able to store trailers close to home would reduce expensive storage fees, provide security and access.
Trailers should not impeded the sidewalk at all.
Trailers/RVs are cheaper way to stay for most families who cant always afford hotels. Disadvantages for city is enforcing Bylaws if people decide to stay in the RV/Trailers in neighborhoods if allowed seasonal parking.
Travel and outdoor life should be encouraged not penalized by ticketing the Rv for being on private property.
travel time to get trailer just to not be allowed to park it on your own property is ridiculous.
Travel trailers should be allowed to park on road for 48 hrs. Lots are not long enough to support vehicle and trailer. How do you load your trailer blocking neighbors.
Travel trailers that provide living spaces are expensive and there is little savings to owners as they must pay for storage off season regardless. Convenience is also a small factor if we allow for up to 72 hours parking it would help. Our streets are not sufficient to offset



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

additional parking requirements if travel trailers are consuming parking spots. Increased vehicles on the street increases risks to children and homeowners with reduced visibility.
Treating people EQUALLY is an important construct to follow. I can see how some people find RVs in front of their neighbours house an eye sore. And if you give people an inch they will take a mile. In the spirit of equality, I called bylaw on several trailers parked in front of peoples houses for long periods of time. I never wanted to leave my phone number, but AS A CITIZEN OF CALGARY, felt I should be able to inform the City. Nothing was done, and the RVs stayed where they were.
Trivial complaints by neighbours for issues like this should be discouraged. We had a complaint when our tent trailer was 48 hours on our driveway. It was a waste of the bylaw officer's time to issue us a notice.
Truthful I do not want to see RVs and the like in residential areas. Some roads are to narrow for these things. I leave mine at a storage lot, and only pick up and drop off there. People can bring their stuff to the storage lot and do not need it at home.
Two weeks on your own driveway should be than acceptable.
Typically trailers are now much longer then years prior. Trucks are also larger, so streets become even more congested.
Uh, the simple fact that I own my property and the city does not. Sure you have an easement, but I own everything else. You don't get to decide what I park where and when.
Ultimately this will also affect the small business owners that provide rv storage. Also some won't adhere to the season as defined.
Under current bylaws, I can leave my travel trailer in front of my home for up to 36 hours, AS LONG AS IT IS ATTACHED TO MY TOW VEHICLE. If I have an RV / motorhome, it can stay parked out there, no problem. But a trailer without a tow vehicle is treated differently - no idea why. I lose the use of my tow vehicle for errands, unless I want to haul my trailer around with it. Harmonize the rules for both regular RVs and trailers. The requirement to leave my tow vehicle attached is senseless.
Under the current rule, enforcement is often based on complaints by other neighbors who apply their complaints selectively well ignoring others on the same streets. If enforcement is to happen it should be consistent, not selective.
Understand I will use my property as I choose
Understand that these are our properties that we pay ever-increasing taxes on, and we should be allowed to park on them.
Understand the economic environment we all are living in. The cost of RV storage has increased as well as fuel costs to haul back and forth. There is also an environmental impact in terms of the fuel not being consumed and reduction of emissions.
Understanding the safety concern in front driveways with children running onto street but this hazard always exists even with cars or SUV'S
Unhooked trailers shouldn't get tickets immediately. Our truck is our only vehicle so if we need an emergency part for it or the trailer we need to briefly unhook to obtain it . I think there should be a 3-5 hour time limit
Unknown.
Unless there is a serious safety concern with the placement of the RV blocking the view for safe driving there is absolutely no reason why a home owner can be told that they can not park their RV on their own driveway. Calgary taxes are definitely not cheap and I know for my family it costs us another \$700.00 a year (plus other costs) just to store our RV. We do not go on exotic trips overseas as all our money is tied up in camping with our RV and this ridiculous



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Calgary policy certainly makes it far less accessible and enjoyable. I know many people have the same feelings as we do. Please do the right thing and allow year round RV parking on any driveway where it is safe to do so. Thank You
Unsanitary conditions. Theft/crime.
Unightly streets with trailers cluttering sight lines
Unsure
Until we design neighbourhoods to accommodate seasonal rv parking with longer driveways and safer sight lines, we should stick with current restrictions.
Use of street parking may be an issue but driveway access is different.
Use the Parkplus system to manage and monitor RV parking time/length. For example if RVs are allowed to be parked for 90 days from spring to fall, owners can activate RV parking tailored to their needs. Could help balance with impacts such as esthetics.
Allow RVs of a certain length (and condition) to be parked seasonally (spring to fall) without limits. Prospective buyers can then make an informed purchase decision.
Using land for storing trailers along with the emissions and cost of transporting them back and forth between trips is wasteful.
Using the driveway for storage causes more street parking for the cars.
Using this equipment will mean spending money in service shops, maintaining them. There fore helping the economy.
Vancouver appears not to restrict RV parking when I have been out there.
VANS SHOULD NOT COME UNDER THE SAME CATEGORY AS MOTORHOMES Because of one complaint we can not be parked in our driveway. One disgruntled person, jealous that they don't have anything nice calls in, and we have to move our van to a storage lot. One of the biggest problems with the RV bylaws is that its not the same for everyone. As a retired City of Calgary employee and a 45 year resident of Calgary Alberta I regret having to say that I'm embarrassed and ashamed of what we have become. Communities of envy,resentment, enviousness, and covetousness. In closing my family strongly believes that vans should not be in same class as motorhomes, truck campers, travel trailers, etc.
VANS SHOULD NOT COME UNDER THE SAME CATEGORY AS MOTORHOMES Because of one complaint we can not be parked in our driveway. One disgruntled person, jealous that they don't have anything nice calls in, and we have to move our van to a storage lot. One of the biggest problems with the RV bylaws is that its not the same for everyone. As a retired City of Calgary employee and a 45 year resident of Calgary Alberta I regret having to say that I'm embarrassed and ashamed of what we have become. Communities of envy,resentment, enviousness, and covetousness. In closing my family strongly believes that vans should not be in same class as motorhomes, truck campers, travel trailers, etc.
Vehicle in poor condition should require covering or not allowed at all.
Vehicle needs to be safely stored so that it will not role away. Should be allowed on street, and hitched to vehicle only.
Vehicles parked on roads and driveways are just as much as a nuisance of rv's; city should stop discriminating and enable people to enjoy their rv's without harassing them and making them drive long distances and put their possessions at risk in storage yards. The storage yards are way more unsightly all around the city than rvs parked in driveways would be.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

vehicles should be able to be parked in the back alley for the same 36 hours, as long as parked partially on the owner's property and vehicles (including garbage/recycling) are able to very easily pass.
Vehicles/ trailers must be within the boundary of the private driveway.
Very little positive impact for the city. Perhaps the ability to sell parking permits for these RVs. Negative impact could be cost of policing. People think these RVs are safer in their own front yards. However, there will be so many break ins and thefts. They are much safer in a gated, locked, guarded storage facility.
Very needed
visibility is an issue, for those residences that have limited parking in front of their own house end up parking in front of other houses to keep their RV in their driveway limiting access to own residences.
Visibility. Other vehicles get parked on street if RV is in driveway. Becomes extra clustered.
Visual impairments to pedestrians and drivers at crosswalk/Bus stop areas could be concerning. Though if a detached trailer(no vehicle) would be acceptable parked roadside on corner lots, that could also be beneficial.
Visual pollution, obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics are my biggest concerns.
Waste of taxpayers money time agitating people
Water penetration is a major issue for most Rv's and being able to inspect my RV after a heavy rainfall right away on my driveway is very important.
Watercraft often do not cause any issues but are banned as per the bylaw. This makes no sense.
We all pay taxes.
We all understand what Friday afternoon traffic does. Allowing people to expand the way they can structure their weekends. Get out of town a day earlier? Stay at the campground a day later. If people don't have the clamps on them in terms of what the usual structure and parameters on what they are allowed to do. It will promote people to get more creative with the weekends away they can have. I believe lowering the standard, grab a camper Thursday, sit in endless traffic all Friday afternoon.
We allow oversize trucks to park on driveways, how is a motorhome any different??
We already have so many other costs to pay for on a daily. If there is a spot on my property that can safely park my RV of any sorts why should i have to pay somewhere else to park it
We already have tons of people parking their cars on the street because their garages are full of junk. Now we will have RV as well. Some people collect junk and now we will have to look at it. I didn't move into a house to look at a RV. Also, you should really find out how many people own RVs. If you drive any direction out of town you will see hundreds of RV parked in these lots. Now they will be parked on all these driveways.
We already pay so much taxes and the inflation is through the roof. Paying for storage is additional expense that is not needed if the RV can fit in the driveway. Its our property, we should be able to park in our property
We always place our RV in the back yard. I think extending the time to park an RV in front of your home should be extended.
We are all busy with work and most of us don't have the ability to park on our property full time as a by product we have to use season parking facilities. With some additional flexibility it would permit more use of these vehicles which is great for families to spend quality time building relationships and enjoying our landscape.
We are an outdoor enthusiast city, yet we have storage facilities charge huge costs.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We are currently contemplating if we can continue to own a camper that we have to pay to store for more months of the year then we can use it. We are a 1 vehicle family with a double car garage and a double driveway. We have more then enough space to store it on our driveway without overhanging the sidewalk. We are not taking away from public street parking and feel like we should be able to use our own driveway as we please
We are health care workers and have had Covid multiple times. It's nice to isolate safeley
We are okay with people who have RV parking in the back yard. As we do not, we pay to park our rv at a rv storage facility
We are retired, so we use our RV in mid week. We are usually home for several days on the weekend. As a result, 36 hours is totally unreasonable for driveway parking. We need to be able to park over the weekend.
We are seniors and park our trailer in front of our home to unpack and clean. This takes us a couple of days to do.
we are seniors and the hassle of moving the unit from the backyard to the front every time we want to use the unit would be a challenge - if we had to do that we would not use it as much and so might as well sell it - thereby depriving us of our enjoyment of our investment
We are surprised a time change for how long RV's being parked in residential areas is even being considered. Why fix something that's not broken? If you can afford an RV you can afford to lease a storage spot like we do. Let's keep our neighbourhoods uncluttered from even more parked vehicles, and looking like the residential areas they are intended to be rather than RV sales lots, or overcrowded areas for squatters that can't find accommodation.
We as Calgarians pay a lot of money for our homes, in my opinion I feel people would not like to look at a trailer of any kind constantly. They take away from the neighborhood. Thanks!
We as homeowners should be aloud to park on our property as long as it's not obstructing sideways or roadways, as long as we wish.
We as RV owners are trying to keep costs down and not pay storage fees and be able to enjoy our rights on our property with responsible ownership including insurance for having our RV in the back yard. But front seasonal RV parking on our drive pad will benefit all campers with less impact in the alleys for removing and replacing the RV every weekend.
We camp most weekends from March through October we pay \$80 a month for storage plus the extra time going to pick up and drop off is a hassle
We camp often and frequently in the summer months, my husband has to take time off work to pick up and drop off our travel-trailer which is parked 25 minutes away. We have three kids and him leaving to pick up and drop off the trailer can cause stress in our family.
We can't park our camper at home since we have no driveway and minimal garage parking so we have to leave it with a relative an hour out of the city. I find that ridiculous and there should be other options available at home.
We currently have the by law however there is an apparent disregard for the restriction and this does not seem to be enforced. I have even noticed a couple of locations where RVs parked seemingly on an extended time frame and quite obviously being used as a dwelling, including wind turbines assembled! Noted on more than one occasion at same place thus no enforcement seems to be in place.
We currently keep our recreational vehicle at another city, if we bring it to our home in Calgary for servicing, sale etc we have no dedicated storage here.
We do not have a front driveway but park on the street in front of our house and 36 hours can be very quick turn around to clean it out and get ready for the next trip. I would appreciate longer street parking as well





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We do not have access to a backplane or any other place to put an rv. If we could leave it on the driveway it is safer and would lead to less thefts that have been steadily increasing for rv owners. It is sometimes difficult to do repairs and general maintenance within the strict 36 hour timeline. Two years ago we had substantial hail damage to our unit which had to be fixed so we could go camping. We got a warning letter from the city because we had to do the repairs on a skylight and a window, let it dry and pack up prior to a scheduled camping trip. We own the property and we pay taxes but we can't do reasonable repairs and pack up? This is ridiculous.
We do not have room to park our trailer on our driveway but I would love to be able to have it there for the summer. If you have maintenance that needs to be done, cleaning, packing, unpacking.
We do not need it to be a dumping ground.
We do not want parking of any RVs on the street for more than 36 hours.
We do our own maintenance on our RV. For example, replacing brakes, washing/waxing. With working full time, we've had to tow the RV to the storage lot on Sunday night then retrieve it again on Saturday morning to continue that work. Tricky timing when you are working on something that can impact the RVs ability to travel, such as brakes.
We don't have a driveway or spot in our yard we can park. A day and 1/2 to park on the street in front of our house or in the alley to load and clean the trailer is not enough time. 72 - 96 hours is more reasonable. It also is not reasonable that the towing vehicle stay attached as that vehicle is our day to day vehicle. Please include any changes to the alley bi-law.
We don't have a backyard accessible and we do not have a curb walkway so we are either blocking traffic or putting it on our driveway.
We don't have a front driveway and our street has very limited parking due zero lot lines and the houses that have front driveways can't even park in front of their home since there is not enough space between the homes. The homes that have the front driveways don't have any back lanes so absolutely no where else to park.
We enjoy the strict standard the city currently has in place. This could also lead to increase thefts and vagrants roaming neighbourhoods, people living in RVs year round in their driveways, influx of unwanted drifters to live in vacant houses and driveways. If you're going to allow this devalue of property then the city needs to reassess DECREASING our property assessment values for this kind of decision. Selling a house in summer will decrease the value of houses well under the assessment.
We feel that these large vehicles block our site lines, giving thieves cover to prowl our cars which is on the increase, but has a noticeable decrease when the trailers are stored away from homes.
We store our trailer, bring it home to clean and pack, then return it to storage when we're done in a safe location, not an inconvenience at all and the cost is very reasonable.
We find 36 hours difficult especially if we are trying to do repairs to the motor home.
We had a tough enough time during covid, let the people park their trailers on their driveways year round. It won't hurt any of you to have a heart for a change.
We have a lot more issues in the City of Calgary. First off the amount of city street lamps that are burnt out. Your worries about the RVs if there licensed, have insurance then what the issue. Talk to you neighbors. I think the City should be a little more concerned about the Tents that have popped up all over. Get with the program
We have a nearby neighbor that sets up a tent city in her backyard with people drifting in and out. This is allowed by bylaw but is a nuisance to the neighborhood with multiple unhoused

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

people now mulling about. We have seen crime spike in recent years. I can see people taking advantage of seasonal front driveway parking as a new source of income, renting out trailer space whether or not it's by the books.
We have a smaller trailer so it doesn't take up as much space. I can see it being an issue if someone has a 30' trailer. It's honestly a convenience thing for people who go out almost every weekend.
We have family that lives out of town and our house can not accommodate for everyone, it would be beneficial if they could have their trailers parked on our driveway for additional sleeping arrangements for a weekend.
We have five kids and they love hanging out in the trailer. We don't always have time to go out camping but the kids love camping at home.
We have found that good communication with neighbours helps prevent issues. Encourage citizens to know and build relationships with neighbours.
We have natures playground in our backyard. Our any city should allow this, it should be ours we have one in our neighbourhood that I think has someone living in it during the summer
We have people who park atv or trailers on the street and not in front of their own home , they park and limit visibility at corners, holiday trailers do need some time to load or unload but ATV and their trailers do not require that
We have someone who leaves there trailer on the driveway for years now.. it doesn't effect anyone. It helps them with there family outings having little children being able to clean and pack up while kids napping and such. Allows families more family time
We have the ability to pay for off site storage. And we use that as our primary storage site. Increased flexibility in the length of parking on site for loading and unloading would be helpful. We are a one driver family. Pick up and return requires us to leave our primary vehicle at the storage lot. This is inconvenient but we have no choice. Driveway seasonal parking would be helpful but we choose not to use it. Other people may. I think the city should consider it
We live in a small house so we have used our trailer as a guest room when family visit from out of town.
We live in the best camping province in the country, let us be able to explore and enjoy it. Most people are struggling because of the constant year round expense of the trailer but also to store it all year long. Lives are busy and people only get 2 days off to enjoy, with not having your trailer right there to be accessed your losing precious time. Important mental health time.
We need to get all these units off the streets- street parking should not be allowed. Whether hitched to a vehicle or not this is a huge safety issue. NEEDS to be eliminated and ENFORCED.
We need to pick up and park our RV earlier when a spot becomes available beside our house. Which is sometimes more than 36 hours.
We now pay more property taxes than ever and those of us with space should be able to use said space to store our vacation vehicles. Also it allows for easy / safer maintenance
We once parked our trailer on the side street of our old neighborhood and got a ticket. Given what I read above, we can park on the side streets?
We pay for the property, we should be able to park whatever we want on our driveways
We pay property tax but seems you like to tellus what to do or busy body neighbour's
We pay property taxes so should be able to park our recreation vehicles on our property at anytime
We pay taxes after all I store 3 of your garbage bins.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We pay taxes and if our neighbors are ok with that, then we should have the choice to keep our trailer for a longer period if it is on our property.
We pay taxes for our property so it should be our decision what we do on it. Parking on our own property makes it easier to check and it would be less prone to vandals.
We pay the property taxes, we should be allowed to store our trailers on our own property to load, unload, for convenience etc. be able to have your fridge plugged in and running and packing your items as you go is much easier
We pay to store our RV at a storage facility. There are times when you need to bring it home for a period to allow for service, maintenance, winterization etc. and 36 hours is not always sufficient depending on weather and your time constraints. Therefore we do agree that the current time limit of 36 hours for street and front driveway parking is too short.
We should allow seasonal trailer parking because it's my property and shouldn't be subject to any [removed] bylaws.
We should be doing things to encourage good outdoor activities like camping, not making the process more difficult.
We should consider the benefits of reducing carbon emission and potential revenue by issuing parking permits for RV parking.
We should not have to take our trailer back to storage every week. Sometimes we go camping every weekend
We specifically purchased this property before the by-laws were consolidated; using our RV 12 months of the years regularly without issues. Our property was not grandfathered. It would save CityofCalgary \$ in enforcement. Our community is a wonderful friendly neighbourhood. It changed when one individual, chose not to talk to/with neighbours, but report them via 311. In fact, sent several complaints straight to an council member whose actions had a city employee removed or redeployed (bad reflection on all of us). Neighbourhood harassment is not something any Calgary Community deserves. We and city council, should embrace our communities and what surrounds us. Add Stampede RV easing.
We store our Motorhome at an RV storage lot, have to go get it, bring it home, load it, fill with water etc. , (often after you get home from work), which doesn't leave you much time to get to your campsite and get set up before the campgrounds are full! Especially if you weren't able to get a spot reserved before the campgrounds are even open !
We the property owners pay taxes. Why should we also have to pay for anything else cause of a certain few. My property
We use our motorhome constantly during the 5 months of nice weather. Every time we need to keep the unit on the driveway for more than a day and a half we have to drive it out to the storage lot, waste of time and money. We repeat this process constantly throughout the year. We are retired so we do not camp on the weekends. We would like to keep the unit on the driveway until the week starts again for sure.
We used one or more, most weekends. It would be nice to not have to pay monthly parking and the lost time going to retrieve these units from a lot.
We want our children to be active and connecting with the land. What better way to do that than camping. Not having the huge job of packing and unpacking would most likely encourage RV owners to use their units more frequently, allowing opportunities for children to disconnect with tech and reconnect with Mother Nature. The obvious benefit would be spending more quality time with your family!
We want to keep our city safe and that is our pedestrians and drivers. Also we want our city to be beautiful and not look like a storage facility

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We would get much more use out of our RV if it was more readily available on our property. Our area of our neighbourhood doesn't have laneways so it is impossible to store in our back yard. As long as the RV is in good condition, it should be allowed to be stored on a front driveway.
We would LOVE to be able to park our trailer on the street and detach our truck while loading and unloading, even if it was for
We would really really appreciate keeping our trailer on our driveway in the warmer months. It would save us so much time and gas driving to and from storage every weekend.
We'll definitely a "greener" alternative keeping the RV on the driveway. Otherwise, taking the RV to storage back and forth through the season adds to GHG emissions. Could argue also reduces potential for vehicle accidents with reduced frequency on road going back and forth to storage. Increases vehicle safety/reliability allowing owners to properly maintain their RV.
We're an outdoor adventure city at the foot of the Rockies. We're a camping city. Let's be that.
Wedge issue between neighbors. Unlikely that city would properly enforce the regulation which adds to skepticism and cynicism.
Well being - less stress especially on families with small children and limited time to prepare for their camping holidays & weekends
Well since we live in a nice beautiful city that's so close to the mountains and awesome parks for camping it would be harsh to keep limiting peoples enjoyment of their camper and the beautys of our province and neighbouring locations .. A "reasonable" amount of time on your driveway should be allowed
We're already drowning in bureaucratic red tape and unnecessary fines for completely harmless behaviours. Leave citizens who want nothing more than to house their own property on their own property alone and get to work doing something useful.
We're getting into the realm of government overreach to an extreme point.
What about extending the time that a travel Trailer can be hooked up on the street? We don't have a driveway and have to park in the street. Extending that timeframe would be beneficial to us. Hooked up to vehicle
What about when an owner has family visit and they need space for accommodation.
What happens if people begin to live out of the RVs parked on driveways?
What I park on my private property is none of your business. Stop trying to impose on us.
What is impact of HOA on bylaw? Does city bylaw over-ride HOA rule or visa versa? This should be clearly stated in the bylaw.
What is noted above is correct, nothing else to add.
What is the cost to the city to enforce? I know in my area I have to notify the city authorities up to 5-6 times every year of infractions. Not fair to people who do not own rv to gaze at neighboring homes blocking line of sights
What is the difference is I can put it on grass beside a driveway or house? We do not have that option but have a longer driveway we can use.
What's on a person's property should not be a issue as long as not blin a corner ect. 24ft and Smaller travel and tent trailers are fine .
Whatever the decision is, it should be enforced. I find that the current laws are not enforced and people with large trailers park on the street for weeks or end up hanging over side walks or even sometimes into the street
Whatever you do, at least don't increase enforcement. Trailers and boats living on peoples driveways only bothers select few people most often.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Whatever you set the limit to you actually need to enforce it. The current enforcement of community standards bylaws is not adequate and, without enforcement, it doesn't matter what you set the hourly limit to.
What's the impact for pedestrians and ability to see when cars are backing out of driveways - this is a safety issue. Have you considered climate emergency?
When allowing an rv to park on a driveway it provides added security and safety for the owner and the contents of the rv.
When citizens have simple freedoms to use their little piece of earth in ways that bring them quality of life while still respecting city bylaws and neighbors, we can only win. Let's create more happy campers and less disgruntled citizens!
When I have repairs to do to my rv I can rarely get them completed within the 36 hour time limit. So I end up creating WAY more emissions driving in and out of the city to storage lots for service to occur.
When i owned an RV i bought a home with rv parking at the side ... when you change the rules you will devalue homes because a neighbor parks huge rv across the lot and increases street parking demands... especially when many neighbors have rv fillong driveways.
When my kids were young circumstances prevented us from getting away and being able to camp out in the driveway with them still gave them the experience and the fun of camping.
When one is going on or coming back from Vacation, 36 hours is not enough time to allow full packing up or removing. 48 hours would be more appropriate.
When parking is not allowed longer than 3 days it means extra trips/towing to the storage yard which is costly for RV owners and bad for the environment.
When reading bylaws i did not understand why side of house is ok but not driveway. IMO it looks more attractive if someone has their RV in front of their home than on the side.
when rv is parked at residence it is easier to watch it as some storage lots have had break ins and vandalism
When RV's are stored on driveways, vehicles that would normally park there are now on the street, creating extra parking traffic.
When the property owner/renter resides at the property, front driveway on the property should be allowed
When these are left unattended there is a very large potential for rodents to get into them. As well if they are parked on the street other vehicles are displaced and it creates a neighbor issue. Also people will be living in it.
When we chose our current home, we made the choice to have a legal place to park our trailer. Everyone has the right to make choices, if you want to park your RV at home choose accordingly, don't expect everyone else to accommodate your decision. If people have RVs in their driveways, they park their vehicles on the street, add in the proliferation of secondary suites and parking becomes a nightmare.
when we park the trailer on the driveway. we now park the truck on the street, in front of our house.
When you are trying to get ready to go out on a big trip it can be a lot of work. Storage for the trailer is a long drive. I think it would be a benefit to the environment if we could have it on our driveway
When your RV is parked at your residence. You can do maintenance, charge batteries, engage the fridge/freezer before departing. Furthermore, it adds a level of comfort knowing it's secure.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

When you're planning a big trip or doing repairs it's not always easy to fit into the 36hr time frame. Especially when you're working during the day and have only evenings to get things done.
Whereas we understand that RVs on the street or front driveway may impair visibility, this concern does not seem to be applicable to private vehicles. For example, our neighbour has a Mercedes van which can be parked on a front driveway or street. But if we had a Mercedes motorhome, our parking would be restricted. So, it appears that there are inconsistencies in the reasoning of the rules.
While everyone is on a greener path in society. This could reduce some carbon footprint by not endlessly hauling your travel trailer back and forth from a storage facility.
While I fully support the allowance of an rv on a driveway for a full season, we have to be mindful of the length. We can not block sidewalks. This should allow margin of safety for blinds spots for the neighbors backing out of driveways. If there is no sidewalk, maybe and additional caviate to create a distance from the street to hitch equal to those driveways with a sidewalk.
While motorhomes are not necessarily "greenhouse" friendly, the additional commuting between storage site and residence to prepare for an outing can increase greenhouse emissions, as well as increasing local neighbourhood traffic..
While those owners that do not have side or back alley access to store their trailers OR CHOOSE NOT TO USE THAT ACCESS find it easier to load and unload their trailer from the fronts of their houses or their driveways, it is not fair to those of us who abide by the bylaw and or bought houses that allowed for us to store our trailers on site without causing street problems. In our case the house we purchased in 2000 HAD to have the option to add a trailer gate to our backyard..
While we are privileged to have this RV, the truth is that it is an important tool in our logistics with work and where we need to be when. We are fortunate to have offsite storage and still need some flexibility in how we use it locally.
Who cares. Stop being a [removed]
Who could afford it nowadays
Who is going to determine whether or not an RV is unsightly? I have seen RV's in Lethbridge parked across the front yard. It look's awful.
Who will be enforcing this as right now it is only enforced if anyone calls
Who would decide if an rv is "unsightly". If this is considered, cars and other vehicles that are unsightly shouldn't be allowed either. There may also be a concern about rv owners allowing people to live in their rvs, this should not be allowed
Why can contractors park massive storage trailers in the drive way? Or have six cars in the driveway and street. Most rv owners are very responsible to protect investment.
Why can't rv be parked at back of house. It is actually safer in some communities
Why do other neighbours get to control what a property owner can and cannot do on their property as long as it's not harmful to them or the environment?
Why do RV owners have to pay storage if they allready pay taxes on their property
Why do the bylaws need to be changed. They have worked well for many years. Just to make it more confident for some people but very disruptive and in some cases dangerous for people who live in the community. I believe having RV's perked in driveways or on streets will make for more accidents with pedestrians. The city just lowered the speed limit in Calgary to keep pedestrians safer and having RV's parked for extended periods of time will make it more dangerous. Seems like now the opposite



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Why should I pay for RV parking, spend time and money on gas to get my RV to and from said parking when I have a perfectly good driveway? Plus, how can I maintain and device my RV at a parking site outside the city? It's just ridiculous!!
Why should I pay property taxes, and a mortgage to not be able to use my land the way I see fit but parking a trailer on my driveway.
Why would I need anyone's permission to park MY vehicle on MY property?
Why would you even entertain this idea?? Give an inch, they will take a mile. This Cory is going downhill at every turn.
Why would you limit the time it can sit on the driveway? If there is room, why should the rv have to be stored in the winter months? Why can it not sit on the driveway year round? If it is not blocking the view of anyone driving the street and is not an eyesore, why can it not be parked on your driveway that is bought and paid for by me?
will eliminate people moving RVs around a neighborhood and taking up parking for other people.
Will encourage more families to camp and spend money in Alberta
Will help decrease traffic and carbon footprint by not having to move trailer back and forth from storage and home
Will impact storage industry. Most likely prices will rise due to less RV's being stored year round.
Will lead to more on-street parking due to less space in driveway and or blocking garage. This will result in congestion on roads.
Will lessen fuel usage within the city and help with climate change.
Will RV's parked all summer give an extra target for thieves to target? How many people actually use their RV every weekend? These are the only people who will benefit from a bylaw change. This change will only benefit the minority, but be an eyesore for the majority.
With a recent hike in Fuel, housing, insurance, grocery's, property taxes, land use fees, camping and storage fees.. it's getting to the point that the average lower and middle class family's can not afford to enjoy the out doors for more then a day trip. Parking a motor home or trailer in your own driveway vs a storage lot can easily save \$600 alone for just the parking, not including the fuel and time saved traveling to storage yards. This can also help many people to complete maintenance.
With certain jobs in shift work it makes pick up and drop off of trailers difficult. Have more time on driveways and or street would be beneficial.
With consideration of a sizeable investment in this unit, I like to be able to use it when I want and not go thru the process of having to find a ride to the storage yard. I love the idea that I can check on it very easily.
With everything going up. Saving a bit from RV parking fees will be helpful. We own the driveway where we park our trailer, home owners/renters should have that layway of using it to park their recreational vehicles every summer months
With growing costs for everything, requiring people to store off site is just one more unneeded expense.
With high prices and inflation costs, using recreational vehicles is more cost effective and family driven than being able to afford airfare or hotels.
With inflating costs everywhere saving hundreds a year on parking is huge
With inflation being what it is, why cause additional expenses?
With inflation let's make a few things easier

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

With less restrictions, people would get out more and do/spend more with these vehicles, adding back to the city economy
With regard to the safety and sightlines issue, maybe require that intersection visibility is not reasonably limited by rv parking on corner driveways?
With rising cost of RV storage a viable option for parking on our property should be considered.
With rising costs right now, saving money anywhere you can - being able to park in front of your home should most definatly be allowed as well as in driveway
With seasonal parking, there should probably be limits around people staying in the units while RV parked on front driveways/parking stalls for longer than, say, a week. The flexibility to have guests stay in an RV for a short period would likely be useful to some owners, but having it as a seasonal living unit might become problematic.
A change in the by-law would hopefully free up city employees to address more important matters than RV parking.
With the city looking to implement more climate consciousness in their decision making, reducing emissions by requiring residents to drive often significant distances to affordable RV storage facilities outside city limits (ours is a 25 min drive) then the fuel used for many back and forth journeys during camping season make zero sense. Your new proposal is a much better solution.
With the cost of everything rising, this is one thing to help fellow Calgarians
With the cost of living so high, camping is still one of the cheapest forms of holiday so to have to pay to Store it all summer or even all the time is added stress, and money, people need a break, they need to get to their destinations earlier at the end of the work Week! The benefits are not so stressed people at work after a weekend away, they can just park the trailer on the drive and unload and load up all week to go Again !
With the current bylaws it is causing RV owners to pollute more by having to tow their RV around way more than needed. Cause the City of Calgary to be less Green.
With the growing population of homelessness and rent increases, I could see people, allowing others to live in their driveways during the summer. The new Airbnb. We already do not have enough bylaw officers to maintain the city bar laws as is. Adding to the workload is not ideal. And I'm not paying more taxes to cover these officers.
With the high real estate market, being abke to afford a home that offers more than a cookie cutter home and property is financially unachievable for most Calgarians.
With the housing crunch you will be encouraging more people to permanently live out of their campers on friends driveways etc .. without the 36hr bylaw you will have no way of dealing with that or detecting it. Plus it blocks up road space and is hard to see pedestrians and children in these residential areas.
With the increase in thefts of auto parts (catalytic convertors) I think an owner should get to protect their vehicle up close vs it going to a storage yard
With the lack of parking due to lots of homes with suits the number of cars from some households are 4 to 6 cars. There is already a lack of parking available. Once everyone starts leaving their trailers and RVs in the streets there will be no parking. Also CRACK down on the people who park on our street with their work vehicles but don't live here.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

With the over-abundance of overreaching, unhelpful rules in the city; it would be excellent to see some movement towards personal liberty. There is an astonishing movement in the other direction, which is helpful to no one but those financially benefiting from ticketing.
With the rate of inflation & current mental health states of Calgarian's, I believe we should be trying to make life easier for the middle class. The cost of storing recreational vehicles is expensive. The cost of camping is one of the least expensive holidays available. It would be much more affordable and convenient to just keep a small camping unit on your driveway. This would enable more Calgarian's to participate in an activity that supports mental health.
With the rise of inflation and costs of living, the city should make it easier and less expensive for people to enjoy what little free time they have. Rv parking is expensive and time consuming.
With the rising price of inflation and the increase theft of RVs stored at storage facilities, being able to use the front driveway for storage is allowing for a better financial solution for residents and security of one's property. Allowing the use of a front driveway storage would also allow more Calgarians the ability to own a travel trailer and use it to explore and enjoy some of the greatest camping experiences Canada has to offer, right here in Alberta.
With tv allowed to be parked in the driveway over the summer months allows people to get out of the city more often than they might do before
Won't waste money on bylaw officers being called regarding RVs parked on public streets.
Work for people not against.
Working families would not be rushed.
Worn out best up trekkers or rvs unsightly
Would allow guests with RV's to visit (temporarily) and stay on one's property. Would suggest a 2 week limit on this.
Would definitely help street parking issues in many areas
Would ease the GHG impact, by reducing unnecessary trips back and forth to the storage yard.
Would greatly benefit owners who use their RV frequently. Impacts: storage facilities may lose spring/summer storage fees, insurance for storing on property could be impacted.
Would help some people with some savings
Would help with gas emissions when trucks/suv doesn't have to consistently be on the road.
Would it hinder EMS or fire in any way having driveways unavailable? I don't know. I'm picturing Rv's lined up in driveways in an already tight neighborhood and firefighters battling a blaze. If it doesn't impact the work of EMS, Fire or Police, then the "unsightly" might be the other impact.
Would like the street scape to remain, and not look like a campground or trailer park.
Would like to see the 36 hr on road parking extended to at least 48-72 hr.
Would like to see the street parking time allowance raised to 48 hours and remove the restriction for the tow vehicle to be attached at all times.
Would provide a major cost savings.
Would rather allow slightly longer street parking.
Would save money on storage and wear and tear on the even for moving it so much. This also would save fuel and would slow for families to enjoy their rv more often.
Y s
Ya stop overreaching your boundaries and telling us what we can and can not do on OUR properties

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Ya. The city needs to understand people can do what they want in their property. If you own the land it's your choice. As long as it's not dilapidated or abandoned, blocking viewpoints for safety. It's fine.
People that just leave a dilapidated rv that doesn't drive and just sits there should be fined though. M
Yeah stop bringing all these useless bylaws. What's the difference having it hidden in your backyard to having it parked in your front driveway. Besides if it looks bad to look at.
Yeah you do not pay MY taxes I DO!!!!!! What happens on MY property is MY business. If I want to park my RV On my driveway that is my decision.
Yeah, don't bite the hand that feeds you. People pay property taxes. If you're collecting that then you should have zero say if someone can park an rv in their own driveway
Yeah, if people call to complain it's out of jealousy and should not be a city issue, unless it is an eye sore, or falling apart!
Yeah, let me do with my property what I choose. Not only will I save money on storage which can then help me elsewhere, I don't have to waste time transporting to and from. Let me keep my trailer on my front drive.
Yeah. Having access to camping is required for mental health. We already have high camping fees and park usage fees, this would make it even less accessible.
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
yes
Yes - enforce the current bylaws. There are a number of RVS in my community that are parked year round. Also - storage cubes should have a cap time. These large shipping containers are worse eyesore and view blockers than trailers. My Neighbour has had one installed since last July. Surely a time limit of 4-6 month via permit is reasonable?
Yes - restrictions that do not effect safety should be few and far between.
Yes - saves fuel costs by not having to run back and forth to storage, which could also be an argument that we are reducing our carbon footprint by not travelling back and forth from storage. Also, there has been an increase in issues at some storage facilities - we can keep an eye on our trailer with our front cameras to make sure nothing is broken/taken away.
Yes , benifit of cost saving on storage fees , easy access if you need to pack the trailer or get something out of it
Yes , if a person has a big truck sometimes that truck is standing on the driveway and blocking the view and not nice to look at but it is ok as far as it is just a vehicle. But RV parked on the driveway gets concerns. With RV before camping you need to fill up water as lots of campgrounds do not provide water. I'm for the RV or boat parked on the driveway versus old vehicles ( sometimes without a tires). At least I know that RV will be moved vs all the other scrap that people have on dry ways
Yes absolutely leave homeowners alone. If a person is renting a property and they are paying the landlord and the landlord has given them permission to park what they want on their driveway, then it should be no business of the Cities
Yes as I said above.





## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes because storage is expensive and if RV owners can save a bit of money then that would be greatly appreciated. Thank you for considering it.
yes here at home there are two cameras watching it at all times . and I can leave it plugged in .
Yes I have my family that visit us and stay a few days they travel.
Yes if you were allowed to leave your trailer on your driveway more people would partake in camping I feel. You eliminate expensive storage fees and now have people camping more and keeping money in Alberta instead of flying off somewhere. You also strengthen the family unit, by getting more people in nature and away from technology which has taken over so many lives. If it fits on your driveway and does not go onto the road there should not be a problem with having it on your property.
Yes it makes front yards look cluttered, restricts visibility and safety of children crossing the streets.
Yes it saves people money not having to pay for the summer months storage fees. And saves on gas from not having to bring it back and forth from the storage lot to your house to load and unload it for trips.
Yes many vandals and thieves target RVs parked on your property.
Yes so people do not have to spend the time and gas in driving over to pick up their RV/Campers at the lots. They can just hook it up to their vehicles whenever and be on their way. If people are able to park clunkers on their driveways, they should be able to park their RV/campers on the driveway as long as it FITS. If it extends beyond the property line then that's where they can't y'know? I have a 17' Boler and it's harmless being on the driveway until someone snatched me out
yes stick out of peoples buisness on there properties,go mind the city properties and maintain them liked you were hired to do so,not stick your nose in our owned properties,when is the new election cant wait
Yes storage is expensive, inconvenient and parking is very difficult near our homes.
Yes tent trailers allow my neighbor or my guests to park in front of my lawn and drive way without worry about interfering with each other. Though due to the bylaw and since construction is almost done we will be moving it to family storage.
Yes the city needs to allow us time to pack our trailers and load our trucks with firewood, bikes etc. a couple days before our trips. It's very difficult to go to work and back home when I need my truck as I can't leave it hooked up for 2 days before our trips. I really hope the City actually talks to folks like us to really understand the limitations these by-laws have on us.
Yes there should be a grace period for parking on the street unhooked. As many people use their daily driver to get to and from work but we like to pick up our trailer/fifth wheels the night before to get it ready. You should be able to be unhooked for 24 hours to accommodate such needs.
Yes this would encourage more camping and time with family.
Yes to seasonal if: it fits on the hard surface, is in good repair and mobile condition (no flat tires), and of course UNOCCUPIED. No commercial vehicles, eg, food vendor trailer, bobcat on trailer, etc. Airdrie allows a lot of commercial vehicles and it's a mess.
Yes we want children teens to play outdoors we try to push it but when you have to travel far to load up your camper it's not worth the headaches at most parts
Yes with massive tax and living costs you are lucky people can afford to live in this province let alone own a recreational vehicle or trailer. They should be able to store it at their residence. If you are forced to store it off site it may become a thing of the past before long.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

YES! Keep your business with "your" business. If someones property is well maintained and tidy , leave them alone, let them park their trailer, it is their property. It's upsetting to know that the city feels that they can dictate what people do with their property.
Yes! Since we are in a declared climate emergency the city should allow residents the option, where possible, to save all those trips back and forth to storage lots, most of which are outside city limits. Less traffic, less pollution, less stress for all residents, not just rv owners.
Yes! This is a matter that is taking up unnecessary time and attention when we have much more critical matters on our hands like health wait times and icu capacity. Do better.
Yes! You need to consider the size of the unit. Longer units affect neighbours, views, safety of children crossing and playing in the neighbourhood. Small units have none of these impacts and should be allowed on a long term basis.
Yes, if rv owners were allowed to keep their rv longer on the driveway during camping season this would increase the convenience for maintenance and repair. Unnecessarily moving the trailer to storage only to go and pick it up in a couple of days. This would also help in reducing traffic and reduce GHG emissions. Most rv owners will store their rv in storage if they are not going to use for long time during camping season.
Yes, too be able to fix our RV over the weekend, now then 36 hours
Yes, as mentioned preciously assorted people choose mobile living and also assorted homeless people also choose that means to have a home ... consideration should be given to this in allowing parking in any reasonable location that is suitable, ie: street parking or a rented pad, yard or driveway. In case councillors are unaware, there does seem to be a shortage of affordable housing and also a huge homeless population within the city. RV parking could alleviate a lot of that.
Yes, both my wife and I work full time Monday to Friday. Our free time is on weekends. If we want to utilize our RV on a weekend, there is not enough time with 36 allowable parking hours to get it ready to use. I should be able to park my RV on one weekend and outfit it for a trip the following weekend.
Yes, census and quantities, some communities wont have enough space for the amount of ownership that exist.
Yes, changing this is waste of time and money on the City's part. People do not use their RV's in the winter so they do not clean them out for the next camping trip. A better use of funds would be to have by-law visit neighbourhoods to make sure the By-Law is observed.
Yes, consider reduced traffic and potential accidents everytime rv owners have to come and go home and back to rv storage. With less trips this lowers emissions too. Thank you
Yes, cost to homeowners.
Yes, do something with homeless and drug users instead of grabbing money from work class.
Yes, forcing people to perpetually move RVs wastes fuel, emits GHGs and puts strain on streets
Yes, get off our backs
Yes, greenhouse gas savings associated with not having to haul a trailer back and forth between storage.
Yes, having our trailer in our driveway during season helps to eliminate storage costs as well as having it available to load and get ready for camping trips.
Yes, I would consider RV parking during the summer only if it fits on the property no parking on the road or in stalls.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, it will allow people to access their RV when they want to, and to keep an eye on it to keep it safe. It will also save people time and money
Yes, it would prevent folks having to rush and get their rv on the Friday to get out of town on the weekend or back on the Sunday and make the roads safer for everyone. The stress to be done work rush and get the rv and the kids and get out of town to a camp site and be set up before dark likely contributes to unsafe driving.
Yes, less RV traffic within the city streets during the summer months
Yes, mind your own business. If I own a property, I should be allowed to use driveway parking for an RV anytime.
Yes, more people would like to get into camping however they can not afford to pay to store there trailer but could have it parked on their drive way
Yes, most people camp every weekend so taking the trailer to and from the storage is my reasonable
Yes, not everyone in the neighbourhood likes RVs parked on their streets for a long time. But, for 3 to 7 days, I think everyone should be able to put up with it. Long-term storage on your property is OK, as long as it is at the side (front of the RV that is even with the front of your house) or back of your house, and not on your front driveway or on the street in front of your house.
Yes, people parking junk RV units or not using there units on a regular bases should be required to remove them and units should be registered and insured.
Yes, quit micromanaging everyone's day to day lives bylaw surely has better things to do then enable the nimbys who are calling in these generally ridiculous complaints. Derelict vehicles all over the city get to sit in driveways all year round but an r.v that gets regularly used only gets 36 hrs. Lol
Yes, safety and looks It's bad enough that everybody leaves the garbage bins out front and this is another thing that should be put inside or behind her house
Yes, the City of Calgary needs to lighten up on all these bylaws and restrictions. We're great people who live in a great city so let's make it easier for the residents to enjoy. Thanks
Yes, The city should not fine or cause any more hardship to people who have their trailers setup nearby their house while waiting for repairs, such as extensive fire or flood damage.
There was a recent story about some neighbours calling in a trailer that had been parked out front of somebody's house while their house got repaired from a massive fire.. Those situations should be exempt from this program / allowed year round.
Yes, the City should understand it is not their job to police private property (driveway). The City does not enforce this rule, it is only based on complaints, which encourages non-friendly non-neighbor behavior, contrary to the City's expressed intent. The City ignores the problem, unless someone complains. This tells you, it's not really a problem or bi-law, it's a few people who complain about their nieghbours.
Yes, the less restrictions placed on tax payers, the better the interaction with the local governance.
yes, the parking authority should not have to be called out for enforcement - if they see an infraction, they should issue the ticket - I have seen trailer hitches sticking over the sidewalk, trailers being in driveways for weeks on end, and neighbours should not have to phone to complain.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, there are impacts. Where would people park their RVs? There are inconveniences and parking costs. If the neighbourhood has complaints, they can be factored in those considerations.
YES, there are so many important issues that you should be considering, like lowering taxes, eliminating this stupid climate emergency b.s., wasting money on bike lanes, etc. and start listening to us instead of coming up with more ridiculous rules and regulations. Stay out of our lives for change.
Yes, there is not space to accommodate rvs on the road especially if they need to be unhooked so people can go to work. We pay alot of money for our property and should be able to park whatever we want on them. Its overstepping
Yes, this would greatly reduce the nuisance calls from bad neighbours calling 311 to report RV's that have been parked on private property, even if it is less than 36 hours.
Yes, try not to regulate any tiny detail of our lives!
Yes, try to understand that RV owner's need to park their units to load and unload. But also, need to be able to park at or nearby their own property for extended period of time. Same as a family with too many cars that are spread all over the road for parking spots.
Yes, wear & tear on roads for unnecessary travel to & from storage facilities. Plus the unnecessary environmental impact for the tree huggers out there.
Yes, you will have a happy tax payer that can dispose of his property as it was designated (aka driveway).
Yes, big time.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes.
Yes. By allowing residential RV parking there is increased quality of life and balanced home/work life. Camping is a huge stress relief in these hard times. Would be more financially feasible decreasing the amount of money spent on gas and RV storage fees.
Yes. I pay taxes and a mortgage. I should be able to park my work trailer or my camper or my boat on MY property.
Yes. People own their own property for a reason, so they can chose how they want to live. Telling people what they can and cant park on their own property is municipal over reach. Let people park what they want, where they want, when they want and remove one more ridiculous by-law your officers have to waste time and money trying to enforce.
Yes. We all pay very high property taxes. Don't try to control what we can and can't do on our own property. If you want to control our properties then you should take partial ownership of the land and let me live there tax free as the property appreciates for you
yes. Why only seasonal? Trailers are equally beautiful in the winter.
Yes. As an RV owner who is a first responder shift worker, and who's RV affordable storage in the SE of the city, having longer to park my trailer outside of my home to prepare for a trip, and to unload after the trip, would be a huge benefit and convenience for me. My storage yard is 30 minutes drive from my home and it is often not financially or timely to return it to storage following a trip and before I start work the following morning.
Yes. By-Law enforcement should take into account whether there is an actual safety issue, before following an arbitrary time limit. As stated above a min. of 3 TT's thru the summer and we are all parked in front drive w/o causing any safety issues. Some neighbourhoods are not as well planned and in these, where safety is more critical, then the residents in those areas



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

should be made more conscientious of their surroundings or by-law enforcement d/t the local safety issue.
Yes. If it's not blocking any neighbours or breaking any other bylaws it should be atleast be considered at the very least being able to park in a driveway for the camping season. It would be a huge cost saver as well as a time saver having to grab our trailer each time from the storage lot. Please please consider changing this bylaw!!!!!!
Yes. It cannot be lived in. In other words not be used as an additional residence on the property. Otherwise I can see rentals happening.
Yes. It is a reduce of greenhouse gases as you don't have to transport your RV back and forth as often. It aligns with councils climate emergency declaration.
Yes. It will save trips to storage lots which will minimize fuel used going back and forth weekly.
Yes. Making it easier for citizens.
Yes. Parking at trailer spots can be very overpriced. Parking at home would allow for those spots to be more reasonably priced (as there are more available) and also allow more people to get/maintain their trailers properly.
Yes. People who own smaller homes are discriminated against with the bylaw change as is. My driveway is too short for a standard motor home and the space on the street in front of my townhouse is also too small to park. We have to pay for storage as a result, even though there's lots of free street parking 50m from our house. We've been ticketed for parking 50m away from our house. I think it's obvious if someone is living in their rig, and that's the only time to bust them. (Or provide space!)
Yes. RVs are great for family experience and bonding and storage may restrict that !
Yes. Same as above.
Yes. Supports green initiatives, less gas to move trailer from home to storage. Completely on our own driveway, not blocking others.
Yes. That's easy to say something is a hazard when you're in the comfort of a home but for some people is either the streets or the vehicle they got RV or not. I think this should be considered when making any bylaw. What are the implications on the people that are not housed in the city?
Yes. The city currently rules like they own my property and I am only a renter. Ownership should convey some rights to use my property with fewer restrictions.
Yes. The condition of the RV will be arguable and subjective. Also I think the problem will arise if people start living in them, particularly with the tight rental market in Calgary. Its a headache waiting to happen
Yes. There's a lot of planning that goes into a camping trip. Sometimes if the trailer isn't here it's harder to prepare for a trip
yes. tourism is one. many people have out of town guests who show up in an rv. let them park on front drives or the street.
Yes. Visually and aesthetically having RVs parked in front of all the houses looks awful. There are storage places for people to use. We all have to do it. Myself included. They block the sun from the grass. They create animosity amongst neighbors. I also feel that it's disappointing that people are purchasing 700000 dollar homes or more expensive and then their neighbors are able to make their backyards or the side of their houses RV storage year round. To purchase a beautiful home and then stare out the window all year to a huge RV. I think that law should be changed as well. You should not be able to park in your back yards or or the side yards. It's not fair to others.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. What's the difference between a car and a tent trailer parked in a private driveway?!?
This bylaw is absolutely ridiculous
Yes.RVs are an eye sore in a community. They aren't policed enough as it is and I'm tired or arguing with neighbours that store the dilapidated RV year round on their property. Enforcement of current bylaws is packing.
Yes: although it's best to make by-laws easy to understand, it makes little-to-no sense to have this broad a definition of RVs.
Yes... sometimes RV's need to be fixed and cannot be towed away because you are waiting for parts. Sometimes owners can only work to do repairs after work. It's for convenience.
Yes.....with the cost of everything rising so dramatically including property taxes, we would benefit by saving 75 bucks a month in storage fees. \$ 900 bucks a year goes a long way.
You are a city council, not an HOA. Please keep your policy off our property.
You can't keep the heavy trucks out of the community so you won't enforce this too.
You could also do regular maintenance like you do with your truck or car like cleaning, checking tires and minor repairs.
You could extend from 36 hours to 48. People already violate the 36 hours. If you extend to seasonal you will have people parking trailers in front of their homes all year. Which some I'm ward 11 already do. As a trailer owner I did not buy my house to live in a trailer park.
You definition of unsightly is a loose definition and is subject to eye of the beholder. And there is no better secure storage than at an approved storage facility. Also your extension of time line has no set end time. This would cause hardship to all neighbours with a camper parked in the adjacent years blocking views and traffic. The city has allows such small yards to be zoned for construction there is no practical room for this long term parking.
You have to enforce the bylaw. Do not allow exceptions, it has to be all or nothing
You have to own one to understand the stress involved in preparing your RV for travel in 36 hours which isn't much considering nighttime and sleeping
You keep charging me more for property tax. You could save me some cash letting me use my own driveway.
You made backyards SO SMALL you can't park anything in them if you want a deck!
You must have more important issues to contend with than peoples recreational vehicles.
You need the trailer to be plugged in to cool the fridge before you go away. It takes time to prep a trip and young families don't have the time to get a trailer from a storage place for a weekend get a way.
You need to stop controlling law abiding tax paying residents of your city. There are infinitely more important things the city and it's residents should be worried about other than RV parking. If there vehicle is on there property leave them alone.
You need to understand the needs and convenience to RV owners. Gas is saved by not driving back and forth to a place you park it over the winter. Most people look after the appearance of their RV and it is no more unsightly than parking any other vehicles in your front yard. Why restrict it when it is just a longer vehicle.
You save on storage fees. Keep my batteries charged. I can keep my trailer cleaned inside.
You shouldn't have a restriction that trailers need to be hooked up to a vehicle while parked on the road. Just have the wheels chocked. Sometimes you need to unhook your truck to go to work on the day before you leave for vacation (can't pull my trailer downtown and have a place to park it). Also, extending the timeline from 36 hours to 3 days would be more



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

convenient. Allow for you to bring it home on Wednesday ( in case you are busy and have other plans Thursday night) and leave on Friday
You sometimes need extra time to clean and prepare for a trip, would be nice to do that in your own driveway or in front of your own house.
You will be saving some people money for storage fees
You will likely want to ensure that any street parking permitted is adjacent or within a maximum area to the registered owner's address. Maybe something as simple as the vehicle is registered to a Calgary address. Otherwise you'll have campervans parking in Mount Royal and Mission during Stampede.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-You will thwart/interfere in the business of several local RV Parks</li> <li>-Neighbour disputes will escalate; 1 person's RV is another's eyesore; "unsightly/poor condition" interpretive; will result in plethora of complaints/increased work for 311/bylaw</li> <li>-Why are we modelling Winnipeg, Regina, Edmonton, etc? Not exactly civic ideals; &amp; have lower population/less properties</li> <li>-Consider environmental issues? oil/gas discharge; sewage spill; propane leak</li> <li>-Added risk of fire/explosion with considerably more gas/propane filled vehicles in community? Who is liable? Will you require RVs be insured?</li> <li>-How will you manage those who turn their property into an RV Park for \$? How many RVs/driveway? Per property?</li> </ul>
You're all a bunch of idiots for even bringing this garbage up
You're crooks.
You'd need to CLEARLY define "unsightly" otherwise you'd be flooded with NIMBY calls from elitist snobs.
Your RV bylaw comparison cities should be expanded to include cities that Calgary is competing with for businesses and talent. Look at Toronto, Montreal, Vancouver, New York, Chicago..... not Red Deer and Winnipeg
You've already covered it.
Zero benefits for most people, except for thrives patrolling the neighborhoods to steal things from trailers, or from criminals looking for a house to break into.
Zero benefits, only downsides. Community congestion, pedestrian safety.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

## QUESTION 5 – Are there additional benefits and/or impacts that are important for The City to understand?

### Participants that responded NO they don't own a recreational vehicle

- blocked sunshine
- restricted vision
- unsightly yards
- as the neighborhoods age and are used for rental property less care is taken with the properties.

- let kids try camping at home with the safety of parents and home being right there.
- stop being so intense on policing everything, let people enjoy .

- owners need to be reminded they chose to own these, they need to pay storage.
- there needs to be enforcement. And timely enforcement.
- you need to create more rules around these, not less. And make the consequences enforceable.

Residents ignore the rules already,

?

'Camper' is non-specific. There are large and that are used for both 'camping' and as daily drivers. I don't believe insurance recognizes these as recreational vehicles, yet if they were a secondary vehicle use primarily for recreation they would fall under this bylaw. This would be challenging to define and enforce.

1) Driveways in our area are short. Many trailers extend right up to/over sidewalk. 2) Van conversion (class B+) could be accepted (eg. Roadtrek type) since similar to van. 3) We saw increase in crime & same time residents noticed RVs parked on road where no house nearby and could be used to house criminals (to come/go undetected to RV on street at night). No one knew for sure if local or transient (opens door for more crime ops). Don't enable this parking/longer stays 4) Aesthetics 5) Safety.

1,current bylaw is good for order of RV parking and storage! Otherwise will seriously impact the quality of whole Calgary city and reputation! Also impact the business of RV parking storage business ! Then obviously impact residential convenience of RV long term parking and storage! That is to say! Some residents will have some convenience and save a bit of money, but cause majority , community and city many impacts!

1. Sight lines
2. Possible environmental contamination

24-36hrs are reasonable for packing, and unpacking, or if you are going again soon. Longer allows people to "camp" on the driveways, not acceptable

311 calls, response time, respect, we have owned a RV, and we're fully respectful of the current bylaws

36 hour city street parking adjacent to home should be reduced to 24 hours. I live in West Hillhurst, and the community is quickly becoming densified with new infill homes, which is drastically reducing the number of street parking spaces.

36 hour threshold seems reasonable as long as there are enforcement resources to identify violators



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

36 hours feels adequate considering they still need to be stored somewhere a majority of the time.
36 hours is a reasonable time limit to allow RVs to park.
36 hours is enough time to prepare for a trip with an RV. If people can afford an RV and the fuel to use it they can afford to store it in one of the many storage lots in and around Calgary.
36 hours is more than enough time to load and unload for a trip. We have worked so hard to build communities that are safe and walkable, having RVs parked out front seasonally would destroy the hard work we've put into our neighborhood already and pose serious safety concerns for pedestrians and vehicle collisions. They also could create tensions between neighbors further eroding the sense of community the city has worked so hard to create.
36 hours is more than enough time to pack an RV, or unload and clean it when you get back from camp. Do it in your driveway at all times, they don't belong on street parking ever for any reason. Either it fits in your drive or get a smaller one. Half the time the driveways aren't far enough apart to even fit an RV in between driveways so then he blocks his drive with the RV and parks all his cars on the street because he can't get to his garage
36 hours is more than enough to pack and unload, fill it with water and charge it. There is no other need to have it parked longer. If those that want it longer for convenience sake. I say it becomes an inconvenience to others if it was to be allowed the whole summer. There are those that park them on the street and again I would not want to see a trailer parked for weeks on end.
36 hours is plenty - our neighbor has RV parked 365 days a year
36 hours is plenty of time to load, unload or clean a trailer. In today's market where rent is high, it's too easy for someone to live in an RV on a driveway. That's not fair to anyone in the neighbourhood. You can make it a rule that no one can live in it, but people will take advantage it and you'll see it on Air B&B and other sites.
36 hours is plenty of time to unload and ready a trailer for transport to storage, or to load and ready for transport on a trip.
36 hours is plenty. I realize that not everyone has multiple vehicles to be able to leave one attached. It requires planning ahead, but 36 hours is plenty to get ready in.
36 hours is short, even if it's not seasonal, 36 hours is not sufficient time for people to organize and prepare for trips and that time should be extended.
36 hours is too short of a window.
36 hours really is a tight turn around period. I would support up to 1 week if there is no support for seasonal.
36 hrs should be enough time to unload the RV, as defined above, as well as move it to appropriate storage. RV owners need to take these issues into consideration when purchasing. Quite frankly, the 36 hours is bogus since the city would not intervene after 36 hrs, it takes bylaw officers quite a while to enforce bylaws, so RV owners can effectively park more than 36 hrs in front of their property. It likely takes two weeks or more for bylaw officers to enforce after a complaint is made.
48-72 hours would be reasonable to have a vehicle like this parked for visiting or packing/unpacking purposes. Storage can be obtained at private facilities or in backyards, etc.
5th wheels are tall enough to impact the light travel, parking in ones driveway shouldn't impact neighbors.
72 hours allows more time to unpack and pack and seems reasonable
A 48 hour limit seems reasonable when prepping to go away or arriving back home to unload.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A big problem is kids getting hurt and trailers usually obstructing the sidewalk.
a bunch of karen's trying to tell other people what they can / cannot do, nobody wants to listen to a karen.... you have a rv and driveway to park it on, it should be able to stay there as long as you want it too.
A collection of RV trailers on front driveways all summer decreases curb appeal, and leads to more cars being parked on the street, this causes a safety issue since cars not block driveways ( because these people park less than 1.5 meters from the neighboring driveway , and only allows for one car to pass at a time. This is very unsafe.
A few that come to mind: 1) diminished sight lines/visibility 2) increase in theft 3) further reduction in on street parking (if not from the RV the homeowners requirement to now park a vehicle on the street)
A friend having their RV around kept me from being homeless for a couple days. I highly reccomend it. Homelessness kills in a city like calgary and as costs go up the danger of any of us becoming homeless looms closer
A good number of city streets already have a large number of vehicles being parked on the streets. For ward 4 as more infills are built the density of the local areas are increasing causing a significant increase in number of vehicles. Adding RVs and trailers will make this worse.
A huge impact that we have witnessed is the safety of having large rvs parked on driveways and streets. When leaving a driveway with an rv parked on it or beside it, visibility is greatly reduced and can make it dangerous for children/pedestrians.
A lot of residents in our neighborhood already have several vehicles taking up their driveways and street parking. If you allow an RV to fill their driveway it will put even more of a burden on street parking which is already crowded.
A lot more needs to be done to fine people who either break or completely disregard the time limits on storing an RV on their driveway or on the street. Bylaw needs to investigate quicker on these complaints. More also needs to be done to fine people when the RV is sitting on the driveway idling for hours on end and late into the evening and night.
A lot of driveways are not long enough for a large potion of RV's. Like the one parked in my neighbourhood all the time that overhangs the sidewalk. Walking on the sidewalk near it you cannot see who is coming or if anyone is pulling out of driveways on the other side.
A lot of land gets used up for rv storage lots that could be used for other things.
A lot of residential roads in Calgary are too narrow for emergency vehicles to drive on when an RV is parked on them.
I would prefer if they park in abandoned parking lots. Or in parking lots that are adjacent to buildings that are empty and closed so they aren't a hazard to pedestrains and other drivers.
A major major concern is even when the RV is parked in a driveway. A 2 stall drive is very dangerous when they park next to it...but cannot see traffic but more importantly pedestrians.
A majority of citizens are Not RV owners.
A maximum of 3-5 days. Otherwise just welcomes increased criminal activity (theft, B&E, vandalism) to these less secure vehicles. Adds to already congested street parking problems.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

A neighbour had a run down trailer in his backyard for a long while, it was an eyesore, and was broken into a couple of times so it became a bit of a safety issue for neighbours as well due to vandals being in the area.
A pleasant environment in which to reside is important for mental well-being.
A property owner ought be free to park their vehicles in their driveways.
A seasonal bylaw as opposed to 36 hours would be more inclusive and allow a wider diversity to families such as mine to participate. My home is a single working parent with limited time and logistically we are not currently able to move, prepare and utilize an RV so that activity is out of our reach. Also the yearly cost of storage as opposed to just off season makes it less affordable.
A seasonal parking permission would lead to more use of RV's as temporary living spaces for people regardless of whether it is legal. A period of 48 hours is sufficient for loading, unloading and cleaning.
A short time frame to load and prep a holiday RV is all that is required they should not be integrated into a residential community
A single RV meeting good condition requirements and not breaching encroachment conditions should not reduce curb appeal. I think it would be a perk to home ownership in the City to have driveway parking for an RV, but just 1 RV per driveway. This is fair to keep curb and neighborhood appeal intact. If people can park multiple cars on their driveways, why couldn't an appropriate RV be included? Homeowners should not take up extra street spots unfairly just to park their RV on their driveway.
A supply of serviced RVs in the city could also provide short term access for emergency housing in critical situations.
A variety of commercial vehicles park on the street inhibiting access to the mailboxes
A vehicle that can be moved by starting it up, would not be in the same category. That vehicle could be moved by any driver if needed. Trailers that can't be moved are an issue when left for days. If you want to park your trailer on your property, you want to live on an acreage, not in a city of a million people.
Absolutely no benefits whatsoever. If people can afford an RV, they can afford to store it off site when not in use. Our residential areas are not, and should not be, parking lots for large, unsightly vehicles.
Absolutely opposed to extension please
Absolutely ridiculous that the ByLaw officers pass by these unghastly RVs parked in front driveways and do nothing unless a complaint is filed. Why do we pay these huge taxes and ByLaw can't do their jobs. Disgusting!
Added RV/trailer traffic in already crowded neighborhoods is a nuisance and a hazard on roads already crowded with parked vehicles. They increase the risk for children playing on or near roads. This thinking benefits RV owners at the expense of everyone who does not own one. There are designated storage facilities available to owners. The cost of storage is real part of ownership of an RV and it isn't fair to eliminate that cost for owners at the expense of neighbors.
Adding more cars to already busy streets, so people can store their recreation vehicles in their driveways, would undoubtedly cause a lot of tension between neighbours.
If people can afford these vehicles, they can afford to properly store them.
Additional benefit is that the current bylaw is well understood, and is policed by the City.
Changing the bylaw will create tension between neighbours who don't appreciate all the toys

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>parked in their neighbourhood.</p> <p>Thanks for the opportunity to have our opinions heard.</p> <p>Please leave the Bylaw as it currently stands.</p>
<p>Additional details around winterization and the use of tarps should be considered to limit the negative impact to esthetics this can have.</p>
<p>Additional impact is decreased home security for all if rv's are allowed to block neighbors' views of prowling activities in the neighborhood</p>
<p>Additional impacts are that if a driveway has an RV in it for an extended period of time, and many homes have more than one car, then the second car will be parked on the street increasing the congestion in the neighborhood. This could have a ripple effect that has neighborhoods crammed with cars, trucks and RVs everywhere. I also worry about people living in these RVs in a front driveways for months at a time.</p>
<p>Additional negatives include removing business/income from RV storage facilities and potential neighborhood discord. I live on a small quiet street and if someone breaks the bylaw and I need to report it for safety reasons (as a mother to young children), our neighbors will easily suspect who reported the infraction. As we are close to our neighbors, it would cause conflict that need not be if the rules remain consistent. 36 hours is sufficient to load, clean etc an RV. Thank you!</p>
<p>Aesthetically I would rather trailers be in driveways vs other parts of the property.</p>
<p>Affordable place for visiting family and friends to stay, close to the people they're visiting. A safe place to live while completing large renovation projects. A fun place for kids to spend some nights as an affordable way to "camp". There is too much government oversight in this city on matters that don't concern government of any level.</p>
<p>Again I would highlight the large risk of these spaces being used for illegal housing (which they still can be in the current bylaw is they are stored elsewhere on the property) but with a lot of work for the city to deal with complaints in this regard.</p>
<p>Again my property I should be able to decide what is in my yard and driveway. Street parking is fine as long As signage is not blocked and pedestrians and other drivers can clearly see oncoming traffic and pedestrians. If I'm paying almost \$3000 a year in property taxes and our family has paid for 50 years I think we've earned the right to park what we want in our driveway</p>
<p>again NO!!! this should not ever be allowed another reason why as it will only create more vandalism to the RVs and attract homeless people as others as it is easy for them to break into them when they are on the streets and not on private lands, PLEASE do not allow this and make the rules more Strick then they currently are. i don't need more theft in my area and will then have to worry about my house and vehicle. if i could afford to own a RV or trailer then i could afford proper storage!</p>
<p>Again, potential for RVs to be used as temporary accommodation, noise issues re generators/air conditioning units running at night, sighting issues.</p>
<p>Again, recreational vehicles are meant for recreation or travel neither of which happen in residential neighbourhoods. People who own such RVs, should park them out of sight or at a storage facility when they are not in use.</p>
<p>Again, vehicle prowling are a nightly occurrence, theft and vandalism, fire hazard! What Gondek has done to this city is Disgusting! She's ruined it! Crime is rampant everywhere! She stopped short of declaring Calgary a sanctuary city!</p>
<p>Again... Recreational Vehicle owners are probably more wealthy than the typical Calgarian. They should not be complaining about convenience of storage when they should be able to</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

afford proper storage or even hire someone to transport it from storage to their property for the appropriate amount of time at their property. I would agree that 36 hours (1.5 days) is a bit restrictive and would be willing to up that to 48 (2 days) or even 60 hours (2.5 days) but no more than 2 overnight periods.
Agree with the stated impacts.
All city hall wants to do is find a way to charge homeowners more taxes in the form of escalating fees. They don't know how to stop spending, so they're finding more creative ways to gouge the residents. Cut spending and lower taxes!!!! Do the effing job you were elected for.
All must be licensed.
All of the units sitting driveways are having a derogatory affect on the neighborhood.
All the cities cited as allowing seasonal parking, like Edmonton and Grand Prairie, are also well known for something else: being ugly! Every city that allows seasonal parking is an unsightly city. Calgary should never aspire to be any of those cities. Ever.
All things have unintended consequences. I wouldn't want a fleet of 5th-wheel RVs clogging up my residential street and I believe I'd be happier with them in a driveway. However if suddenly the population of my little street doubled because the RVs were long term living spaces rather than visiting or seasonal storage, I might have a different opinion all together. If it were one? Wouldn't matter. If it were numerous? It's a problem. No idea how you allocate that.
All you need to do is take a short drive out to Chestermere and see what an ugly eye sore all the trailers and motorhomes and campers,boats etc, leave your eyes with.I'm sure there are better things for the City to dedicate their time and resources to other than catering to the few people that want to park RV'S on their front driveways and pads.
allow RV can park in front on road max 2 or 3 days . 3 would be better. need more time to load RV getting ready to go camping.
Allowing vehicles I described above degrades the look of a neighbourhood first by the size of the vehicles they do not fit into residential areas and should be confined to industrial areas. unlike Rvs unless they are run down they are less obtrusive to look at. Because they are personal use vehicles and not commercial
Allowing 1 week rather than 36 hours RV parking would be reasonable. This allows people to pack and prepare for a trip over the course of the previous week. Spring to fall is too long.
Allowing 24 hours is more than enough time for people to get stuff done. There is a man on our street that leaves his motor home and boat on the street. He gets away with it by moving the vehicles every 48 hours. I now see it's supposed to be 36. These are the people who shouldn't be allowed to park any trailer on the street ever.
allowing everyone to park huge 5th wheels that may or may not encroach on sidewalk due to their size will create issues as every week or every couple of days, you will undoubtedly have a person blocking the road because they will attach their huge pickup trucks to the 5th wheel and leaving it there while they "pack" or whatever. it would cause unnecessary conflict in neighborhoods.
Allowing home owners freedom to park their trailers on their drive gives those without alternative means to park will allow more Calgarians access to the leisure they provide.
Allowing long term parking also strongly encourages these to be used long term (ie: guest "camping" on the driveway. When this happens, the neighborhood and city are more often than not treated like ni-rent and unpatrolled campground. We get noise pollution, sometimes rowdiness, no respect for families or surrounding properties, and the people doing this have a

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

sense that they have the right to be living on the driveway in their trailer, which in turn creates very standoffish and potentially dangerous scenarios when any community members try to talk to them about it.
Allowing owners to keep their RV in their driveway allows them to make full use of it, to help deter vandalism and theft (keep a closer eye on it), and to detect maintenance issues and fix them in a timely manner (e.g. roof leaks).
Allowing parking of these vehicles will displace vehicles to the street adding congestion the roads and pose safety issues, obstruction etc. Today people drive up unload and go park their trailers off site some park on the street overnight but many don't even bring their trailers to their houses at all. Allowing seasonal parking would just cause a whole bunch of congestion and safety issues in my opinion.
Allowing people to dictate what they can and cannot do on their own property is absurd, especially for esthetic reasons. All safety issues considered, this should be a non-issue.
Allowing people to park at home would save them costs and inconvenience of parking off site. And I don't own an RV. Just saying it would not bother me if my neighbour parked one in their own property
Allowing people to park hitched/unhitched in the road will mean so many more trucks and trailers taking up street parking from spring to fall. Blocking houses and in front of house parking. People in my community own trailers and rvs and will see this as an opportunity to park them year round.
Allowing people to park their RVs on driveways is inconsiderate to neighbors.
Allowing people to store what they want on their property ads to the personal liberty of those living within alberta. Exceptionally large motor homes or boats are my only concern as they can impact neighbour's negatively, passing a law limiting their size might be nessesary but as for the other items listed like truck campers, trailers, tent trailers etc. These items should be allowed without restriction.
Allowing people to use their own property as they believe it's best for them, I don't think it's an issue if people park their own rv and can easily access it whenever they want/need.
Allowing residents to park their RV and travel trailers out front during the summer for longer periods of time reduces trips to pick up RVs and therefore reduces the consumption of fossil fuels and the creation of greenhouse gasses.
Allowing RV parking in city will encourage people to use the RV for vacationing and/or living in the driveway or street. Is the city ready to deal with situations where people are "camping" out on driveways? This is a Pandora's Box better left closed. Will front driveway parked RVs begin to show up on sites such as Airbnb?
Allowing RV parking would likely benefit your little climate emergency plan as people would no longer have to drive a vehicle to pick up the motorhome, drive two vehicles back. Then repeat when they return from camping. Then repeat every time they camp.
Allowing RV's extended time allows for people to treat it as a temporary camp site. This depreciates the community value
Allowing rv's etc to be parked on driveways lowers the value of our homes. Absolutely hate the idea of having to look at a neighbours rv or trailer!
Allowing RVs to be parked on front driveway pads is just the first step. RVs will be parked on streets exceeding the current time limits. The City should hold the line and enforce current bylaws which have achieved the right balance between RV owners and their neighbours.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Allowing RVs to park on city streets could create the Same problems Vancouver has. People living in their trailers etc in neighbourhoods and the police being overwhelmed with trying to get them to move.
Allowing RVs to park on front driveways will also present an easy opportunity for irresponsible property owners to add-on a form of illegal suite to their property with all the resulting negative societal and community impacts from such suites. Stipulating a seasonality to the parking would be ignored by many owners.
Allowing RVs to remain in driveways will degrade the aesthetics of the neighbourhood and potentially deter prospective buyers from the area, thus devaluing our properties.
Allowing RV's will result in more by-laws complaints.
Allowing this will enable the residents to purchase more campers/rv's and enjoy the gorgeous areas around this beautiful city
Allows families more time to enjoy vs spending time going back and forth to storage yards
Already much of the street is taken up by parked cars, I can't imagine how much worse it'll be with RVs too now. Also it impacts sightlines when driving which is not good for pedestrians or encouraging kids to be outside. Leave the rules as is.
Already we have people camping in RVs on our street. This will only make it worse
Also a safety concern when pulling out from my driveway on to the street. RV blocks oncoming traffic.
Also if they allow this there will be less parking on the streets for people. Because people don't use their garages to park their vehicles in now and they park on their driveway. So if they put their rv in the driveway they will have to park on the street and as of right now there is limited parking on the street for people.
Also reduces the market value if the neighbour who is selling their property. Most do not want to live next to that.
also what about all the storage facilities that would be impacted because of this.
Also, I know from personal experience tolerance for "unsightly" is subjective and therefore the burden of enforcement is put on the affected neighbor/s to make the complaint and this can create bad feelings between neighbors- why would we create this situation? If people can afford an RV they can afford to secure seasonal parking somewhere other than their front residential driveways.
Also, some owners do not park the RVs in their driveways and usually tend to leave it on the main streets for more than 12 hours.
Also, the City could set up an RV - Trailer parking and earn monthly income.
Although I do support the change in the bylaw, there should be some restrictions for large recreational vehicles. Length of RVs and height should be limited for safety of sidewalk and signage. RV owners say that the 36 hour time limit is also unreasonable and inconvenient. This should be changed to 2 or 3 days should the seasonal parking is not considered.
Although, my comments are not directly related to front driveways, should RV owners choose to illegally park on the roadway along the park, reporting this infraction would cause dissension among neighbours and also increased cost to the the City for enforcement. I believe 36 hours is a reasonable time to allow for packing/unpacking the RV. I believe that when someone purchases a RV, they must also factor in legal parking and/or storage. Also, I would assume "seasonal" would defined by dates. Would the City be checking all the streets to ensure the RVs were moved? I doubt it.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

An additional impact is the eye sore and possible environmental concerns. Some people have old, dirty, oil leaking rec vehicles (and some have cars like this) and forcing other neighbours to have to look at it, walk around it, smell it, etc., and then allowing them to stay longer seems problematic to me. If everyone had clean, well maintained rec vehicles, I'd support it. But that's not realistic at all with no way to enforce.
An additional impact to consider is parking. When people park their RVs they lose a driveway space and generally a garage space if the vehicles are regularly taken out and they can't be parked in front of an RV. Having RVs parked on driveways for extended periods will mean many more vehicles parked out on the streets which will cause an issue for parking as well, especially on cul-de-sacs where people tend to start parking unsafely and hanging out into the street when parking is limited.
An education by City to explain present rules for storage within setbacks with diagrams.
An eyesore is hard to say what what is esthetically looking depends on each person. I agree that the tv cannot be over a sidewalk or parked on road for more than 36 hours. A trailer if someone is visiting should be able to be parked on a road for 36 hours.
An RV is a vehicle that any Albertan can licence and own, in a city the size of Calgary with limited parking especially in newer subdivisions it's ridiculous to further aggravate a tax paying citizen's right to park in their own driveway
An RV is no better or worse than a redneck truck for blocking visibility. Let people park RV's in their driveway as long as it's not blocking the sidewalk. The city needs to quit controlling people's lives for such a ridiculous bylaw which forces you to spend unnecessary funds at a lot which has a high risk of theft as I've known friends who got their RV's stolen at a storage lot. These monthly funds should stay in the residents pocket and not cause financial hardship.
An RV, similar with a pick-up truck full of stuff SHOULD be allowed to be parked on front driveway. For an RV is not only loading/unloading, but also light maintenance, cleaning that needs to be done, and I don't see anything wrong on doing it on your OWN driveway.
Another consideration is back alley/lane visibility. Many entrances and exits are nestled between house and even further reduced visibility is q significant hazard. I would rather see restrictions added to side and back parking - especially when rv's are parked at length in back alley/lanes. They are of a size that restricts the clearance for vehicles driving through.
Any benefit is convenience only and should not out weigh rights of others in community
Any extended time is an invitation for abuse, and it will happen, creating tension and fights between neighbours. It will also reduce the appeal of homes on such streets, reducing home/ neighbourhood enjoyment, home values and make to difficult for home owners wanting to sell. I have owned many RV's in the past and understood that having an offsite RV stall was the required when I purchased them.
Anything that can support Calgarians spending more time outdoors should be considered.
Anything that makes it easier for people to participate in outdoor recreation is probably beneficial to both mental and physical health.
Apart from being a sight Hazard for other drivers .
Are there different bylaws regarding living in the RV while parked? Is it okay if the RV is behind a fence? Safety issues if the RV is on blocks. Look at safety for children, drivers, and neighbours. Fire regulations?
Are these RVs going to be used as summer dwellings for visitors? Will this lead to the dumping of raw sewage? How loud will it be if they run their air conditioners?



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Arguments between neighbours might occur over the large vehicles blocking sidewalks, roads, view
As a city we need to be open to people with different interests and hobbies, and allow for greater freedom on our properties.
as a non RV owner there are no positives in extending the seasonal parking for me and I would be against it. I already have to put up with other things neighbors do that I don't like.
As a previous owner of several RV's I know that seasonally convenient access and storage enables people to make better use of their unit. Unfortunately, many people will try to park an oversized RV on their front driveway, resulting in angle parking and hitches extending into public space. There is no sense passing revisions to make things more convenient for RV owners unless you also plan to hire more Bylaw Officers to enforce the new standards when complaints are received. A complaint phoned in to 311 must be investigated within 48 hours of receipt, and a follow-up inspection to ensure compliance should be conducted 30 days after. Repeat offenders should be fined heavily \$1,000 or more.
as a whole, their should be a governmental level of programs and encouragement for people to live healthier lives. Nothing is more healthier then spending time in the outdoors. active people cost the country less money on healthcare services...we should encourage all kinds of healthy lifestyles. Allowing people to park their RV's at their home would encourage more people to entertain the idea of buy RV's. More taxes for the province.
As a young person struggling to support themselves I have considered living in an RV or van to survive. The biggest hurdle is finding places to park. If the city would allow more public shopping centers overnight it would alleviate parking on residential streets. I think if your RV fits on your driveway, passes a safety inspection (assuming this would mean its not falling apart) AND doesn't block view points it should be okay.
As above
As above
As above
As above, it is less secure leaving an RV parked in front of a home for an entire season as they can obstruct automatic lights and security cameras, and they are easier to break into. Spring-fall is prime time for selling and/or purchasing a home and allowing full time seasonal RV parking could hinder selling/buying. RVs create larger shadows and would encroach on the already short growing season, gardens, and other areas of personal and neighbouring property. Not environmentally friendly.
As above... lowering property values because of all the RVs parked on the street. Some people will actually live in th RV's on the street for several days/weeks if you allow them to be parked more than the current rules allow and open it up to longer periods.
As far as I am concerned if a property is owned, the homeowner is welcome to do whatever they like on their property which should not be interfered with by the city.
As I said above, this will make on street parking worse in my neighborhood. I don't have a driveway, the houses on the opposite side of the street do. But we already have co start issues with them, or their guests, taking up all the space on our side of the street. I know some of them have RVs and if they could park them there all summer, it would make the street parking situation even worse
As I said, smaller RVs like tent trailers aren't really a problem. Larger vehicles should have to be at least 1.5 meters back from the sidewalk or the street so that sight lines down the street when driving are maintained.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As in answer to 4. above this will create increased parking in the street and hinder site lines when driving.
As long as everyone respects and accepts that this would be a privilege otherwise this should not be implemented.
As long as it does not obstruct the fire department access if needed
As long as it doesn't block the sidewalk or add blindspots why should it matter what people park on their property that they pay for. Considering taxes are going up cause of "property assesment" that are bogus. To restrict and Tax is ridiculous.
As long as it fits in their driveway, doesn't stick out and no one is living in it.
As long as it is not used as a full time residence, and it's not overhanging the property line, why have any restrictions at all? Who cares if you have a tent trailer on your driveway in January?
As long as it isn't obstructing the sidewalk and people aren't living/staying in it it shouldn't matter. It should have to be in a reasonable state of repair, registered.
As long as it stays within the confines of the private property and does not hinder driving sight lines or cover the sidewalk then they should be allowed to park there.
As long as safety isn't impacted
As long as said vehicle is not 'an eyesore' or in bad repair
As long as sidewalks/public roads are not obstructed, people should be able to park on their property
As long as the person is not plugging there RV to people's houses from the outside plug in and not hurting the sidewalks or roads then allow people to park there then. As long as there "Respectful" and not doing any damages then let them park on the street.
As long as the recreation vehicle is not unsightly
As long as the RV is not unsightly, the owner should be allowed seasonal front driveway parking.
As long as there are not blocked sight lines.
As long as they are parked on private driveways, not on public streets and don't obstruct sidewalks.
As long as this is a may to sept thing and rv's are put away for the winter, I don't see why anyone should prevent their neighbours from having an rv/trailer on their driveway
As many residential streets are already crowded as it is with cars and people not utilizing their garages, I think it's even more important to ensure that RVs are not allowed to contribute to the parking congestion
As many streets in Calgary are becoming narrower there should be a restriction that RV's can not be parked on a street where the street is not wide enough to allow vehicles to safely pass each other going in different directions at the same time.
As mentioned above this would impact the city by causing more cars to park on the streets creating larger neighbourhood congestion. It would also invite people to use longer term hookups and impact the use of power grids and water supplies or create issues with loud generator use within a neighbourhood. It would turn every cul-de-sac into a free campsite.
As mentioned above. Additional benefits are less pollution from less driving time to and from storage lots.
As most garages built in the last 20 -30 years are front drive garages there is already a dearth of parking on suburban streets, allowing home owners or renters to park their RV,s on driveways would only exacerbate the problem



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As noted above, having RVs parked over the course of the winter can impact how snow collects on neighbouring yards.
As per the above, aesthetics, safety and integrity. People who own trailers should be able to pay for storage. Please note that most HOA communities prohibited trailers on driveways for a reason, people don't want them.
As rents increase and cost of living remains high, if the rules around these vehicles are relaxed, I think it's important to understand that more significant staff (and therefore funding) will be needed to enforce / manage issues that will come up as a result, especially as people are living in vans/trailers/RVs more commonly as an alternative.
As residents we should not have to live in the country to store our hard earned recreational toy .
As seen in other cities across the country, RV's have become affordable homes to live in year round. Having a RV parked in residential areas for this reason is unacceptable. Designated areas ( commercial/ industrial) should be set up specifically for this purpose.
As someone originally from BC, the stereotype there is that Albertans love their toys! Let the Albertans park their toys!
As someone who stores their seasonal vehicle in rented space, I see no benefit to extending the 36 hour bylaw.
As soon as you allow this, they will be parked on streets for extended periods of time which will plug up the parking on already busy street parking. You give an inch and they will take a mile.
As stated above
As stated above
As the city becomes more dense, there is no room for these in neighbourhoods
As we have no bylaws preventing residents from leaving their black, blue and green bins on their lawns or driveways our streets already look like back alleys! We certainly don't need semi-permanent RVs to add to the ugliness..
As we saw through the pandemic, people are doing more local vacations and connecting with their families more. The ability to have a visiting relative park on your own driveway is reasonable. I have experienced this in the past and its been frustrating to navigate that they need to go park their RV somewhere else while staying with us.
As with the change of the speed limits a few years ago, why make any changes if you don't have enough officers to enforce? Should not be relying on the citizens to issue a complaint. A lot of us do not want that hassle as next to impossible to get through on 311.
Aside from an eye sore, and vision impairment for driving ( people who shouldn't be driving) and the home these vehicles become to mice, raccoons, squirrels and in our area bobcats, the ruling is gonna be abused and should not be allowed!
Aside from safety factors, I think a neighbourhood full of rvs has a transient and uncared feel to it, not an area one would take pride in.
Back alleys will not be crowded, less crime as far trailers being vandalized or stolen.
Ban use of them as a dwelling when on driveways or people will be renting the RVs out as dwellings (Airbnb or even regular rental homes). Please consider banning major repair work such as engine repair or bodywork & also ban draining of the RV (gray or black water) while in driveway. Mandatory anti theft devices like wheel immobilizer/locks to discourage potential increase in theft.
Battery backup for homes in RV's, it's coming.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Because they are parked more than used, our neighbourhood feels like a campground all summer. Not a nice view.
Becomes a blind spot to the house and may increase theft and break ins.
Before long someone will be living in it
Being able to access/use a utility trailer, for example, allows residents to make dump runs, landscape, etc. Also encourages people to get out and go camping, enjoy the outdoors which can lead to improved mental health.
Being completely unable to see through an RV in a driveway is a HUGE concern. But then, people parking their RV on the street and opening their levers over sidewalks AND into the street are also terribly unsafe and inconsiderate.
Being reasonable and using common sense. If an owner's recreational unit is not useable or is being parked for extended times then it needs to be removed.
Benefit - reduces emissions of having to drive back and forth to storage facilities to bring the RV to the house to load and unload before weekend trips. Neighbours need to be neighbourly and support each other. I may think your jacked up truck is an ugly monstrosity but if it fits on your property, it's none of my business.
Benefit - They can be used as additional housing for low income friends/family or summer workers. Impacts - they can be targets for crime
Benefit: Can save people money and time rather than paying to store it somewhere in/outside the city
Benefits - increased value in properties with parking Impacts - reduced street parking. Occupation of RVs as opposed to parking.
Benefits - people don't have to pay extra for offsite storage and may be able to afford a nicer RV or go camping more often - which is a health benefit. No negative impacts that I can think of.
Benefits- allow people to stay who might not have a place to live. Seems how our leaders except Danielle Smith, have created an absolute mess
Benefits are during the season it allows more prep time and less traffic of trailers being driven to homes days before. Negative impacts could be more crime or breakins
Benefits are purely for RV owners, in that it becomes convenient to store, maintain, or transition their equipment. There are added risks, such as theft.
Benefits are saving money for homeowners on storage fees, but also the possibility of someone breaking in to sleep or steal is a real worry. With tent trailers, they would need to be opened to sleep or rummage through, which makes them a little less worrisome.
benefits include easy access to use throughout the year, but if the RV is not used for a period of 5 years the city should be able to request its removal from the front of the residence
Benefits is the security risk posed by having obstructed views of the front entrance of a home. This is especially the case with extremely large RV's
Benefits to accommodating RV's in the various quadrants of the city would be to attract visitors/tourists/family reunions. Maybe develop some RV parking where there is open space yet i.e. in NE Calgary between the city limits and Cross Iron Mills. A drawback would be as mentioned above, people using it for affordable housing by parking a RV in the city permanently.
Benefits to the RV owner and city residents: limits drives from and to the RV storage area during busy season, reduces time, reduces pollution in the city due to less commute in the city.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Benefits would be financial and sense of security over property.
Benefits would be people using their rv more often, adding parks canada revenue.
Benefits: Autonomy
Impacts: potentially environmental from leaks or spills
Benefits: convenience for people wanting to use their RVs. They've paid good money for them, let them use it without having to pay year-round storage for it.
Cons: unsightly if it's ill-maintained, could leak fluids, improper dumping of waste. These cons are all things that are or should already be bylaws for anything on anyone's property.
Benefits: Shows that local government still allows people to continue parking their PERSONAL and PRIVATE property on their PRIVATE PROPERTY.
Negative impact: local government overreach.
Benefits; more family time, cost
Impacts; more prowling, squatters, encroachment onto road ways.
Benifit for out of town guests during stampede and other events.
Benifit its citizens who can have access to their recreational vehicle at no extra costs. It is helpful in times of inflation to have a happy people. They would love the new bylaws.
Besides safety risk, I think leaving them parked on streets and driveways promotes "street living" where its not appropriate. They are abandoned for long periods of time..s now and gravel accumulates around them. This is such a vehicle centric city it's pathetic.
Besides the visual impact which is detrimental to our neighbourhood, these large vehicles block sight lines and create a safety hazard for our community for both drivers and pedestrians and children.
Better in the driveway than on the street - parked in front of other houses
Better quality of life; enjoy summer while it's here!
Better use of space, less land is taken up with storage facilities.
Big RVs, etc block light and visibility which increases risk in neighborhoods
Blind spots in the neighborhood cost more accidents where kids play. Kids never really get outside anymore and then nice weather we have in Calgary it's so short-lived. It's a complete road hazard to have these big vehicles park where normal cars are meant to park.
Block views, encourage long parking stays where parking is difficult, encourage people to stay in them. Etc
Block visibility in residential areas where little kids ride their bikes and play creating hazards. Similarly for elders and people with mobility restrictions
Blocked sidewalk, tripping hasards, easy for thieves to "blend in" as we don't know who belongs and who doesn't. Adds a danger to kids that play in the area, they will be hard to see behind these vehicles parked and kids will run out. Overall a very and honestly stupid idea. There are plenty of RV and trailer parks for people to safely store their RV's. Don't chang the current system, it is NOT broken.
Blocking of public parking as RVs tend to be too big for private driveways leading to more overall street parking spots being taken up by residents exacerbating already congested streets.
Blocking people's ability to park their regular vehicle because of these monstrosities is not okay. Tighten Restrictions not loosen them
Blocking sidewalks
Blocking the neighbour's view, unsightly trailers and motorhomes, engine leaking fluids on the street. Noise and fumes from trailers and motorhomes.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Blocking view is dangerous and children should be able to cross the street without having to edge their way around a large vehicle to see if traffic is coming.
Blocking you view when driving on the street. If lots of people have RV and park on road, making the road narrow. Things like snow , street cleaning and garbage pick all become harder for you the city to do. Would it be a seasonal time line? I like how it is now. Hope you don't change it.
boat or RV taxed to owners if owned due to cleaning reasons and waste to environment.
Brings down value of property. Looks trashy.
Build housing with backyard or back alley access rather than restricting permissible activities.
Burning less fuel transporting back and forth from storage
Busses need to get by. The bus schedule needs to be more than every 50 mins. Before Covid it was about every 20-30 mins. Kids need it to go to school.
By allowing RVs to remain on a driveway for months, then it also moves the vehicle(s) that would have been there to the street, which is not ideal.
By allowing seasonal RV parking there could be negative effects to business that store these vehicles - both in the short and long term.
By allowing storage on the driveway, it allows the owner to more safely pack their trailer prior to a trip. It means not having to block the street or walk on it to get into the trailer.
By unreasonably forcing owners to store their RV somewhere other than their own property you would be adding unnecessary storage cost and inconvenience for no gain.
Bylaw enforcement of time span and permits
Bylaw is clear on not having cars on front lawns etc... this will just increase friction between neighbors. And once again only serves a tiny portion of the community, not everyone. Please stop and consider that.
Bylaw is useless. We had people with an unhitched 5th wheel and people living in it on the street for 8 months and they would not come out. The lady said we can't come out they might have a gun. Like wtf bylaw???
Bylaw Officers are already unable to manage garbage, weed/lawn and snow/shovelling complaints and the on-street camper rules are already abused so I would expect the issues to increase exponentially May through September.
Bylaw should be made and in place where vehicles are parked on streets for more than 48 and at this time city is not in ticketing this vehicles. And visitors are visiting they have to park 2 blocks away to get to my home to visit for couple of hours. Not acceptable.
Bylaw shouldn't have to wait for a complaint. They should just drive around and document, time and return and ticket.
Bylaws are currently not enforced by the City as things stand; changing bylaws to become even more lax with regulations will make the neighbourhood more unsightly/unsafe for residents - pedestrians and drivers. If people can afford an RV, they should take into account the cost of RV storage upon purchasing.
Bylaws in place now are enforced so why waste money on putting in New bylaws that wouldn't be enforced anyway
Bylaws seem to be up for interpretation and this will lead to issues with neighbors and needing to call bylaw to resolve. We already have a nextdoor neighbor who parks his 40ft RV out front on street for 3 days before they leave for a trip which makes parking a headache.
Calgarians are already having issues with lack of parking. This will only amplify it!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Calgarians love their RV's, and I think the city should support this by making it easier to store and use during the season.
Calgary already does not police bylaws enough. Changing RV bylaws means I'll not only have my neighbors trailer with his CAT parked in the two hour parking (blocking the communal mail boxes) for months on end as it has been, but I'll get to deal with their RVs blocking mail boxes and sight lines as well.
Calgary already provides an enormous amount of free on-street parking for cars, trucks, and RV's (temporarily). Frankly I object to the leniency and subsidy we provide to those who own vehicles. Let's not extend that even further.
Calgary has so much to offer families. It is a great way to allow other families an opportunity to enjoy Calgary while visiting family.
Calgary is a free land, not a communist city.
Calgary is great for being walkable. Allowing for extended RV parking is unsightly and helps the minority. I do not believe changing this is beneficial for the sake of safety, traffic flow and solves a problem that doesn't exist.
The homeowners that have tucked their RVs in their backyard should not be punished for being clever. Most do not have driveways long enough for their rv, this would invite extensions into sidewalks and blind spots for pedestrian and vehicular traffic.
This community already suffers with 'uni-driveways', hard scapes front yards that are used for parking & the lack of driveway clearing and drainage issues created by such homeowners. Please do not change this rule.
Calgary is seen as a clean city. A lot has to do with the fact we don't allow RV's to sit around. Every town I go to that allows this, I really notice how junky it looks.
Calgary should be focusing on how to make the city more enjoyable and leverage being so close to the mountains.
Calgary signed an \$87B climate strategy. That is some serious money. If you (the City) is truly serious about this strategy, then you'd start looking at unnecessary emissions. The reasons for not storing an RV in a front driveway are weak at best. Think about the amount of wasted emissions RV owners cause when hauling an RV to storage and back all the time.
Calgary's location and outdoor opportunities are a major attraction factor for living here. RV are part of that vibe!
Campers can deliver items to their trailer in a storage lot if they need extra time.
camping is a way of life for some people it's all they get during this Covid stuff and to have big brother clamping down on you is not really cool. Let them park whatever they want on their driveways throughout the whole year not just seasonal. You need to stay out of peoples business.
Camping provides a more affordable options for families to take time away, get into the outdoors, and bring economic activity to the places they visit. Don't create more barriers and costs by forcing people to find storage if they have a driveway that will do.
Can block sun when vehicles are large ruining gardens, grass and even trees
Can impact parking if people keep their RVs in the drive way but put all their parked vehicles out on the street.
Can increase crime- more places to hide and easy to break into so brings in target.
Can't see any other benefits or impacts.
Can't see getting out of driveway with neighs large trailer parked at the edge of my driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Can't think of any.
Cant have ppl living in driveways. Having cords on the sidewalk.
Cant say! Dont know
Cash grab Calgary
Cause more street parking and crowding
Certainly on our street we do not need longer RV parking times. I am not sure whether my issue is with the RV owners or the City of Calgary for not enforcing parking regulations. We have mentioned this to our neighbors and they laugh. We hate to be the ones always calling the City about this but it is an issue when there are four RVs on your road and two are always parked illegally. Thank you for listening
Cheaper for RV owners.
CHILDREN VISIBILITY: Royal Oak encourages kids to walk to school. I and my kids feel safe when walking as there is easy visibility. If tall vehicles parked on driveways for months at end (now most driveways are empty during day) difficult to have same visibility.
OBSCURED SIGHT LINES: My neighbours security camera footage was used by police as evidence to gain a conviction.
Cities and city councils need to realize they can't dictate what people do on their own property.
Citizen freedom, is most important.
Citizens already use both alleys and public road in front of residence for long term/permanent parking.
City by-laws exist to protect the rights and safety of ALL Calgarians - not just those who are financially fortunate enough to own vehicles used only for recreation.
City can not keep up with RV parking violations already
City council is wasting everyone's time with surveys like this.
City doesn't need to regulate everything my driveway belongs to me
City initiatives should focus on improving the quality of life for all Calgarians and that should supersede the entitlements of people who own or rent expensive recreational vehicles. Parking them in the street does nothing to improve life in the city.
City is already an open sewer that gets worse every day.
City needs to reduce taxes, and do a better job what they are paid for.
City officer are very smart. If they are allowed to park RV even though survey result are opposite they will implement. This is just a formality.
City residents should not be allowed to convert their front yard into a path or additional driveway to house or drive their RV into their backyard. Rv should not be allowed to be parked on city streets without a car attached or be park for longer than 48 hours.
City should allow seasonal parking of the RV on property driveway without encroachment to the public walk way and public road with proper guide line to maintain the esthetics and cleanliness of the property considering public safety as well. The owner has purchase the property to use as they need their property with lest restriction from the city the reasonable way with list impact to the neighbor and community keeping consideration of safety of all at all the time.
City should not intrude on private property, and private property rights. Do away with this bylaw
City should stop to micro-managed this simple stuff. Waste of ressources.
City streets and neighbourhoods are for people - not their third and fourth vehicles.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Climate change strategy. Less trips back and forth to storage for the owners.
Commercial storage lots would suffer financially
commercial truck with trailer park on residential street is safety hazard and is obstruction for emergency vehicle it is the same with RV. Some residential street is too narrow
Common courtesy to the neighbours. That goes out the window. It should not be allowed more than the time allotted.
Common sense needs to be used. If someone has a broken down RV that sits for months on end - then bylaw would need to intervene. A clean RV. tent trailer etc that families use for weekend get aways on the driveway for several months to me is no issue. Many of my neighbours have RV's and driving it out to storage and back every weekend all summer to take the kids on an adventure is ludicrous. Everything has to be removed for safety - don't want to entice burglars at the storage area so let them leave the RV on the driveway ready to go Friday after work. It's only for a few months. Get families off their phones/tablets and have a healthy adventure.
Communities are already cluttered with too many vehicles.
Community aesthetics are important.
Community safety is most definitely a benefit
Complete obstruction to the residential streets with children around the could end up a real danger
concern is with parking in a way that obstructs sightlines and encroaches on public areas such as sidewalk
Concerned about people abusing RV parking.
Consider boat storage in this bylaw definition. Boat storage is as equally unappealing as RV's are.
Consider existing pedestrian accidents statistics related to visibility concerns related to large vehicles.
Consider how to avoid or enforce vehicles that overhang sidewalks
Consider individuals with disabilities
Consider lengthening the 36 hour timeframe to 48 hours but not allowing seasonal parking.
Consider refining the definition to exclude eyesores: tarps, trash, no tires/immovable, etc.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-consider safety of kids in the neighbouring yards</li> <li>-restrict size of the rv</li> <li>- consider sun obstruction</li> <li>-restricting street parking of RVs, this would be a benefit of allowing front driveway parking.</li> <li>- avoid discriminating against people who only have front driveways, vs those who have front side and back</li> </ul>
Consider summer driveway parking (ie: May-September Labour Day weekend), winter storage requirements/ return to current restrictions, easier for snow clearing etc.
Consider that it's people's property and they should be allowed to have a trailer in front of it for more than 36 hours
Consider the effects of this 20 years down the road as this city becomes more dense. With the lenience to extend duration of this parking category you will be potentially putting more pressure on street parking due to a lack of education of RV owners. "Oh! I thought I could park on the street for the summer! I didn't know." Pleading ignorance. This may be a narrow minded example, but it isn't in the interest of the community, it's in the interest of the vehicle owner to approve this bylaw.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Consider whether it's owned by the property owner or not. I don't want someone parking their holiday trailer, RV or tent trailer, for that matter, in front of my house and then living in it. Some areas are more congested than others and people need to be respectful of their neighbours and I think it's common courtesy to park in front of their own home, not someone else's, no matter what vehicle they have.
Consideration and courtesy to neighbours. Please don't allow RVs to park all season in front driveways. Keep the 36 hour time limit
considerations "with the condition that the RV is not unsightly" this is subjective and thus will cause problems of re-enforcement
Convenience and cost effectiveness should never trump safety.
Convenience and cost savings for those who own RVs should not take precedence over safety for drivers and pedestrians whose sight is blocked by RVs in driveways or residential streets
Convenience and safety for people who own them
Convenience aside, it is not very green to take your unit back and forth to storage.
Convenience is a big factor for owners. We're currently looking for a small RV or trailer. But esthetics seems like it would be harder to regulate. I have seen RV's in my neighborhood plugged in and even some with hoses. I don't want my neighborhood to become an RV/trailer park.
Convenience, increased mental health, decreased cost of off-site storage!
Corner lots are a special case in this issue. There are examples in our neighbourhood of large RVs parked on one street side of corner lots and they are an eye sore. In one case the RV is parked on the street that is the address of the house but this is considered the side yard. It is enormous and quite homely and is there every day all day, all year.
Cost and convenience
Cost effectiveness & convenient for whom, certainly not non-RV owners. It only benefits RV owners/the City. Not all neighborhoods are created equal & can be painted with the same bylaw brush & would not for the most part, affect high-end areas...it's a non-issue. However, in others, RVs are a real problem. Many neighborhoods are already heavily occupied by RVs & by RVs that are illegally parked, especially in certain neighborhoods & cause undue stress & anxiety to those who are forced to report the infractions. Changing the bylaw won't fix this, it will just frustrate non-RV owners further as they will no longer be able to do anything about it, essentially giving all the power to RV owners.
Cost for one, we pay high enough taxes we should be able to park what we want on our driveway as long as it's not obstructing public property.
Cost of living is rising and permitting RVs to park on driveways could assist in families housing more members on their property without doubling up in existing living space.
Cost of not having to store it for the majority of the year
Cost of parking recreational vehicles off property can be prohibitive for many.
Cost of safe storage. The city is becoming big brother for the few people that whine about their neighbours. The city is trying to become a condo board and tell people what they can and cannot own. The city has bigger problems than RV parking to worry about. How about spending time and resources on something more worthy? It's unbelievable that the city is even wasting time on this issue. If it's not on the street or sidewalk the RV parking is not an issue.
Cost of storage, distance to storage locations, theft/damage.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Cost of storage. Allow the neighbors to see what you own. Ease of preparation for camping. Allowing RV parking in yards would reduce the ability for Calgary Parking Authoritarians to be dicks
Cost of storage. Freedom. Increased ability for lower income people to be able to do things that having an RV would allow, so a richer life.
Cost savings for owners. Safer and easier to work on units.
Cost savings for parking
Cost savings for summer camping families could be passed on to community stores / restaurants. Storage fees are high in the area so parking for free during the summer is a financial benefit.
Cost. It's so expensive to store rv's and if a family can mitigate that cost through seasonal parking on residential driveways I'm fine with that. It all adds up
Costing citizens useless fines for a victimless act like storage location helps no one.
Costs tax payer money to enforce a ridiculous law
Could extend to 48 hours but not longer.
Could increase crime in neighborhoods as more RV's being parked outside, or car prowlings.
Could likely increase crime in communities. And would there be limits on number of RVs in driveways? If every house in a neighbourhood had an RV or Boat the neighbourhood would become unsightly very fast.
Could result in fewer trips to an RV storage facility, reducing the number of kilometers driven.
Could the city provide areas closer to residential areas that RV's etc could be stored safely at a modest cost?
Council should be prepared for individuals living in RVs parked in front driveways should they allowed to park for > 36 hours. I experienced a RV being parked in a neighboring rental property full time. The RV furnace was being run in the winter to make it habitable. Canada is experiencing a housing crises, one can easily foresee Calgary landlords allowing renters to live in RV's in front driveways and using the water, power and facilities from the rental property. If this occurs it has a host of implications including safety and emissions. Given the shorter length of driveways in newer communities, RV's parked full time in them, may lead to a sense of disconnectedness between neighbors.
Create a public parking space owned by the city for RV users.
Crime and accidents may increase since neighborhood visibility is worse. People and pets have increased chance of accident due to bad visibility Stop looking at this and finish green line
Crime impact for people that own the vehicles
Crime is on the rise in my area, especially crime involving theft from vehicles. The RVs could be the target of such crime, and their occupation of limited space could create cover for more crime.
Criminal activity will increase inside RVs or have more vehicle prowling, and increase in catalytic converter theft. The RVs could be used as rental housing which could hurt the property value of the communities. Unsafe walking in the evenings, or on quiet streets, criminals could be lurking beside or behind the RVs and attack people walking by. Increase in accidents in side streets from blocked streets with RVs in driveways and on streets.
Criminals see that the RV is gone so break ins may increase.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Crowding, increased power consumption, risk of overwhelming the grid.
current bylaw is fine
Current bylaw seems difficult to enforce. Subjective changes will make it more so. The impacts listed outweigh the benefits from a larger community perspective. (why should the rest of the community have to stare at RVs longer than 36 hours?
Current legislation is accurate, please stop reviewing is working, perhaps review what is not like snow removal
Current timescale is more than adequate
Currently it's not consistently based enforcement. It's based on individual complaints. You're trusting individuals to be smart enough to read the bylaws and submit complaints. Allowing rv parking during seasonal months frees up bylaw services to follow up on (maybe?) more serious complaints.
Currently there is no enforcement of bylaws. Our neighbor parks his tent trailer on his driveway year round.
Cut the red tape
Dangerous
Dangers for children crossing the road with oversized vehicles parked (RV's)
Decrease in property values and theft that will follow from these vehicles.
Deer Run is overrun with these parked on roads/driveways year round - illegal currently. More lax rules will only make it worse. If you can afford to own/operate expensive toys, you can afford to store them properly! Ownership percentage-wise is so low why reduce the quality of life of the majority? So people can save a few bucks on a luxury item? Typical city of Calgary: Short-sighted and out of touch, appealing to a very small but selfish segment of the population first.
Definitely agree with the concern about obstructed sightlines and safety. I would also comment on those who park their RV on the road/street. Along with slide outs and cables/hoses that cross the sidewalk or extend beyond their driveway.
Definitely no street parking there is already too much congestion
Denying front driveway parking could increase street parking, which would be worse. There would need to be an increase in bylaw ticketing to ensure trailers are not kept beyond the 36 hour limits.
devalues property's and makes front yards of community's look like junk yards, rules are not followed now as there is minimal enforcement
difficult to back out of driveways - vision issues if RV blocking line of sight - safety issue - especially with children running by constantly
Do NO allow RVs in front yards or streets
Do not allow cords in plug ins!
Do not allow seasonal parking!!!!
Do not allow this. It's the first steps towards turning our streets into San Francisco or Vancouver where people are living in RVs parked on city streets. This is a horrible idea
Do not believe it harms. More benefits than negatives. Especially if a family goes every week they should be able to park on their driveway during the week between trips.
Do not block public walkways.
Do not SNC level in for city project. Project history of corruption and poor management.
Do not want this!



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

do the job as bylaws presently reads and not wait for complaints
Does the other jurisdictions indicate increased crime with these vehicles parked where its easier to get at them? What about noise issues?
Doing this only encourages more people to pave their entire front face of their property with concrete, because it is now implied to be used for parking. Then after this, how is it going to be enforced? Seeing how slow Calgary Parking Authority is in responding to neighborhood issues, you're only creating problems for people. We know someone who's neighbor is already bullying them, this is just another thing for them to harass them with.
Don't cater to the tin-hat haters
Don't change the rules for a minority that negatively affects the majority, people who don't own large, eyesore RVs
Don't reduce peoples pride in their neighbourhoods by making them uglier.
Don't support long parking in residential
Don't think so.
Don't want my community to look like a RV park.
Don't allow citizens to store there 30 year old trailers on driveways, streets. They current bylaws are fine please enforce them.
Don't clutter the streets and sidewalks. Safety hazard for seeing around
Dont do it. Why even discuss.
Don't make calgary a bad place to live with silly bylaws
Doubt it.
Draining of fluids from these campers/ rv's onto driveways/ streets. They are big and bulky and take away from the already limited natural beauty of our communities. I also believe it will drive off or attract wildlife from food left in the campers for long periods and lose the balance of humans and wildlife in our communities.
Drive ways are for cars only and it would be nice if people used them and not the street, Trailers should in proper rv parks and all other types of trailers
Driver obstruction
Driveway parking of seasonal vehicles does not improve residential safety and esthetics so why the change?
Driveway parking SHOULD be allowed in Spring/Summer/Fall PROVIDED that the vehicle fits completely on the driveway. NO encroachment on the sidewalk, or crosswalks/traffic signs. NO lawn parking.
Driveways are not meant for storage. They are for parking vehicles.
Drop in resale value. Drop in pride of ownership. Frustration amongst neighbour's due to lack of parking (see above question).
Due to high density regular street parking is already difficult without adding extended rv street parking
Dumping rv sewers t.o store drains. Clutter up neighborhood.
During the summer I find huge motor homes and camper trailers parked on the city roads. Especially during stampede. This is an absolute hazard.
Ease of use for RVs in that preparation for trips can be spread out, cost savings for storage during the season.
Ease of use. Self care is important. The benefits of financial effectiveness to park on your own property to use a recreational item would benefit many peoples mental health.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Environmental benefits saving people from needing to drive multiple larger trips to store and pick up their RVs.
Economy : family
Edmonton allows front parking for RV. Why not Calgary?
Effect on on street parking - more cars parked on street due to RVs in driveway. Safety concern as less visibility if tall large RVs in driveways. Concern re property value, and neighborhood relations. Blocking sidewalks from oversized RVs. RVs never moving and remaining on driveway permanently.
Emergency access to the residence if required.
Emergency vehicle parking and quick access and sight of a home for first responders.
Increased prowling and squatting in rec vehicles.
Emissions for people walking on sidewalks, encroaching on sidewalks. Danger for drivers in those homes to pull out of their own driveway and not see pedestrians.
Encouraging people to get outdoors. We won't buy an RV because of the storage difficulties.
Enforce the bylaws
Enforcement of blocked sight lines would be beneficial.
Enforcement of existing laws and bylaws is non existent
Enforcement of people who park their RV's and trailers close to a corner that blocks sight lines for oncoming traffic. What about people who park their cars on a corner? Have seen a half ton truck constantly parks on the bend of a corner of a cul-du-sac so makes sight lines difficult and makes it a tight squeeze to get around especially during a heavy snow fall. And on sight lines - the City planting shrubs on a main road where a side street has to enter and not trimming them is a hazard!
Enforcement of the present bylaw. Presently the only alternative is to cause tension between neighbors by speaking to them. We can't maintain & take pride in our home when we can't care for our front yard, take deliveries, have environmental or esthetic concerns, or have our children safely play in the front yard.
Enforcement of the rules is key. If you allow people to park on their own property, enforcing the rules will become more difficult (e.g. proving the vehicle has been parked beyond the allowed time limit will become harder since the enforcement office cannot step onto the owner's property to chalk the tires). Ensure you include allowances for City staff to enforce the rules.
Enforcement since spotty. Have seen trailers parked right up to stop sign, and RV's parked in driveways for longer duration than 36h
Enforcing the bylaw with regards to blocking sidewalks.
Enjoyment of nature, safety, emissions
Environmental impacts. I've witnessed my own neighbours leave their RV on the street leaking who knows what on to the street and into our storm drains. Not all RV owners are responsible.
Environmentally, it is wasteful to require that RVs move to and from storage, often a significant distance away, multiple times during the camping season. Sightlines for safety at corners, intersections and hidden driveways should not be impacted by long term RV parking, which will affect some RV owners, unfortunately.
Especially in the ne, people tend to take advantage. Wish parking control was out more often than constantly having to call 311.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Esthetics are important. People didn't buy homes in a trailer storage area, let the people who own RV's store them at a storage location.
esthetics as well
Esthetics is one, but likelihood of using common parking spaces for personal Cars due to driveway being blocked is very possible.
Esthetics. You definitely know if a town/city allows RV parking on the front driveway. You can't see the homes because all you see are RV's. Unsightly.
Perhaps homeowners who do not have an RV will use this as a source of income if they rent out their driveway and charge less then RV storage companies.
Even if and when there is an infraction of the bylaw, there can be issues of retribution to neighbours by the individual causing the in fracture. I believe commercial vehicles should be included and if someone wants to park their commercial vehicle on their property that they use the process of a change of use, as in the cases of working from home or developing a second suite in the home
Even if in back lane it should be on concrete not gravel. These are heavy and they sink and you get water polling which affects the neighborhood in the lanes. People don't listen or care about others around them. They don't read your bylaws or know them... noise, animal, etc. some just don't give a toss. I feel sorry for you all and wish you all the best of luck to try and make people happy! :0)
Even though it may be an eyesore for some having RV's on residential properties, it is currently an eyesore seeing hundred of RVs parked on a lot just outside the city. There is also the security issue of those RVS on lots. Safer to have them stored on your own property. Finally, there is already people who store their RV on thier driveway which the City currently can't enforce.
Even though living in an RV on a front driveway is not allowed, if the bylaw changes, it is with certainty that this will occur. Will it actually save the City money if bylaw officers have to now enforce this rule throughout the City?? The convenience of a small fraction of the residents should not outweigh the impact on the majority of Calgarians.
Every neighbourhood is different those neighbourhoods with a high number of RV owners would be impacted differently.
Every PROPERTY TAX BILL should state the latest level of the CITY DEBT and INTEREST PAYABLE and also a graph of the debt history. Start worrying about OVERSPENDING by these socialite Marxist mayors.
Everyone is struggling financially right now. Give citizens a break and let them keep their camper / trailer on their own property!
Everyone should not get an option on the aesthetics of someone owning a trailer or not.
Everything is getting way too expensive. People parking on their own driveways or pads shouldn't be an issue with the only exception being that they shouldn't block sidewalks and pathways.
Everything is so expensive and already being nickeled and dimed for all aspects of life. What's the harm to cut people a break if it makes their lives a little easier and doesn't affect their immediate surrounding neighbors
Excessive parking required for suites and other dwellings already impact streets. The recreation vehicle will require houses with multiple vehicles to park on the street as well making it more congested.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Excessive regulation leads to abuse of the system in the form of vexatious complaints. Fewer regulations would discourage these and free up resources to deal with more serious issues that truly jeopardise public health and safety. This more efficient approach would save the city money. Small complaints should require a nominal fee from the complainant as well as the submission of a petition. One person's opinion should not dictate the actions of a whole neighbourhood.
Existing regulation of extended (permanent) parking on side of property needs clarification and/or enforcement where RV is partially on side and extends to front of property
Extend it to 3 days if you must. Allowing seasonal driveway storage would be a mistake.
Extend the time all you want, just make a clear amendment that you can't park them within "x" of a corner. (Look at other jurisdictions for safe parking distance to a corner, I think Toronto was 15m?)
Extend this to school busses parked outside drivers houses.
Extended parking in driveways encourages social gatherings which bring noise, open drinking, general degradation of a neighborhood.
Extending the limit to 48 hours seems reasonable (2 nights) for someone to park and clean/pack their trailer, but relaxing the rules all together on where people can store their trailer seems unfair to those of us who don't own RVs.
Eyesores, possible extension cords on sidewalks
Families would do more camping and enjoy the outdoor spaces more if the device they use is more accessible and
Feeling good
Fewer plots of land that could be used for affordable housing being bought up for RV parking is a big benefit. Fewer RV parking lot slum lords is always a benefit.
Financially it would be easier on people.
Finding alternative trailer/rv storage can become expensive and hard to find a safe and secure location. Land owners should be allowed to do as they please on their private driveway.
Finding storage for RV's can be difficult and expensive. Being able to store one on one's own property has many advantages.
Fines for breaking RV parking bylaws could increase City funds.
Fire hazards with propane tanks. Possible theft.
Fire hazards, leaks and damage caused by hail and storms, damage to pavements, sidewalks, curbs. We all pay taxes to keep properties and city property in good shape. Don't turn our neighbourhoods into RV parking lots.
Fire the Mayor and Council and replace them with competent people
First and foremost is safety, aesthetics, and congested street parking.
Fitting an RV on property should fit well within the property lines. Otherwise the storage of the property should be considered invalid.
Fix the pot holes in the roads
Flushing of the systems into the road drains is a definite issue. Size of the trailers is a big one.
Focus on real issues - traffic and crime, for example.
Folks tend to push the limits and try to get away with parking in their driveways past the 36 hour limit for convenience and financial reasons. Extending the limit for a longer length of time will only promote more rule breaking and be very frustrating for those in the immediate area.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Folks that live in RVs. Is this allowed?
For a city that is claiming to need to reduce CO2 footprint it does not make sense to add all the additional movement of RV's to and from storage locations when people want to use their units. Every move is additional CO2
For areas without driveways, you should also increase street parking duration (attached to tow vehicle)
For financial reasons, in this time of economic crisis, it makes total sense to park your trailer at home.
For people that use them often, I'm sure it's convient to park on your own driveway
For some people, especially low income or very large families, being able to put an unexpected guest in the RV can be the difference between keeping and losing important social connections. It can mean the ability for a family member to come help out during an emergency. Being able to stay in the RV for a few days can be the ability to quarantine your family's only breadwinner to make sure they can still work while the rest of the family is sick.
For successive trips, the RV should not have to be relocated between trips.
For sure it's more convenient for RV owners and saves money to not have to store. But those are expenses related to owning an RV, which are known, or should be known, if you choose to purchase one.
For sure, visibility for seeing past the parked unit is a concern. Maybe traffic cones alerting oncoming traffic of the parked unit so at least the oncoming vehicle pulling out of their driveway can be alerted.
For the vans and tent trailers, they truly do not take up more space that a 1/2 tonne truck, so I do not agree with the current bi-law impacting these. There are many pleasureways and roadtreks in our neighbourhood that remain on the driveway year round and this should be permitted. Tent trailers as well, provided they are in good repair, these should not be a bother to anyone.
For those if us that do not own an RV they are unsightly, t=but this is secondary to safety & and parking issues.
For those of us who choose not to own an RV, our voice should be considered as important as those who do.
Forcing people to figure out parking for seasonal vehicles is a huge inconvenience and unfair. So many of these vehicles get vandalized when placed in long term parking and it isn't a good situation for someone who has paid their hard earned money for the vehicle
Forcing people to park their RVs or trailers outside of Calgary or in a rented space is unreasonable.
Forcing RV owners to rent additional parking will increase their costs of owning RV.
FREEDOM
Freedom for individuals are important
Freedom for people who work hard to pay for their homes.
Freedom of rights. If I own my driveway my ownership of my driveway should be respected by the City.
Freedom to put what you want on your own property is important
Freedom!
Freedom. Efficiency. Reduced wasteful emissions. Reduce wasteful expenditures.
Frequent use of the rv during summer months sometimes requires time at home to prepare / unpack etc. Driving back and forth between storage lot is costly.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Front driver good as it removes where length allows these RVs to be off the street which takes up already limited parking.
Front parking blocks adjacent driveway views.
Front parking will negatively affect the "look" of the city and make it seem less desirable.
Front sightlines of properties must be protected for security reasons. One should be able to look down a street and see the houses. Allowing RVs to block these sight lines is a safety and security issue.
Another issue is the use of RVs for accommodations. We have a property in our community with a person living in an illegally parked RV for over three years now. The City refuses to enforce 1P2007 to have the RV relocated, and does not have a bylaw preventing someone from using an RV as a secondary suite. I understood that the City was starting to move in the direction of having a new bylaw to restrict people living in RV's. This relaxation of parking restrictions a move in the wrong direction.
Fuel savings and greenhouse emission cutting as people don't need to make 2 additional trips to and from their storage facility to get and take back their trailer for loading and unloading. Less traffic in the roads for this as well.
Furthermore, crime may increase with people trying to break in to trailers.
Garages are used for storage. Most 2 car garages have at most 2 car. As secondary suites are added and legalized, on street parking for primary cars is vital, RVs taking up driveway space (and blocking garage use) will make the problem worse. 24 hours is enough RV parking time, reduce it.
Generator use in particular should be addressed and should not be allowed at any time when an RV is parked on a residential driveway due to noise as well as negative environmental impact.
Genuinely think the city should have no say in how long an RV sits in a driveway (so long as it is in sound condition)
Get the bylaw enforcement people out on the streets. It seems to me that citizens need to call in complaints to get any action. Don't these city employees see what is going on when they drive around?
Get tougher with parking
Give an inch, take a mile - this level of leniency and flexibility will be abused.
Give citizens a break! We are exhausted from being over taxed and over managed.
Give citizens an inch and they take a mile.
Give people freedom!
Give people more flexibility on using their own property.
Given many properties do not have enough space alongside the house, parking at the rear is the only option - why not just allow people to park in the front for the season.. or even throughout the year. The only issue I am concerned about is if the vehicle is broken down and should be at the dump, or if there are people living in it year round.
Given the divisive tone of Council I believe we need to be inclusive from all stand points. We WANT people to get outside etc so let's support that.
Given the size of some of these RVs, the size of the streets, and the fact that many neighbourhood s already have packed streets of cars parked in general, this is not something the city should adopt. I would say this even if I had an RV. If someone can't afford storage, then they never should have purchased it in the first place.
Giving residents the freedom to park their own vehicles and RVs on their own property should be a no brainer. Forcing RV owners to pay a storage lots is unnecessary.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Government overreach is out of control. The fact that this is even a debate that's on the table is a perfect example of the Government being power hungry. Mind your own business.
Great negative impact to safety and curb appeal
Happier people. A rare sighting these days in this city.
happier public
Happiness of citizens.
Happy camper happy citizen
Hard to see around large obstacles. Limited parking availability.
Hard to see around when driving. Plus if you let them park on the street they could just leave them there. How about snow removal!!! Street cleaning! I think if you give a person an inch they seem to take a mile.
Hard to see past, children playing, enjoy your street.
Hauling RVs to and from storage between trips is using more fuel than necessary which in turn expands carbon footprint because in general, RVs are hauled by large trucks that use a lot of fuel
have a vetted list of storage parks.
Have more bylaw officers giving tickets. The increased revenue will cover the cost of increased officers.
Have you ever rented a trailer/motorhome? You can't do it in 36 hours or less
Having a RV, trailer, boat etc on the driveway for weeks or months is not good for the neighborhood. I think it devalues our property. Having something big on the driveway blocks out the scenery and our pleasure to see outside.
Having an RV parked in a driveway or on the street impacts the available street parking available, which can have some negative effects.
Having been able to deal with your bylaw department on a similar issue I was informed that enforcement is all complaint driven on an individual case basis. This allows one individual to target a single location they simply don't like. According to the two city bylaw employees I was able to talk to (one on the phone and a supervisor on our street) there could be multiple properties on the street contravening the bylaw but only the one in the complaint is acted on even if they are all visible
Having family and friends able to stay in a cost effective way goes a long way for all the citizens mental health to feel connected.
Having it parked for a longer period could reduce the back and forth needed to haul to/from storage before/after loading/unloading and thereby reduce accidents due to user error (improve safety).
Having less restrictions for home owners surrounding reasonable things like having your camper/trailer or boat in your own front driveway will cause less strife between neighbours.
Having lived beside two neighbours at two separate addresses who owned huge recreational vehicles, we experienced firsthand how unpleasant it was looking out the front living room window and seeing them parked. Sometimes they would leave after allotted permissible time, then return a day later.
Having lived previously in a new build starter community of copper field, street parking was at an absolute premium causing many many fights between Neighbours. This can also be said for a lot of communities. If you allow RV parking for long periods, it's going to allow houses to park their RV on their driveway taking away a spot for them to park and perhaps even two if blocking a garage. Now they will park their cars on the street throughout the season drastically increasing street parking.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Having multiple RV parked on a city block is not aesthetically pleasing.
Having RVs, Trailers, Campers in the driveway brings down the value of homes in the neighborhood.
Having seasonal parking could/would encourage RV owners to have others living in RVs during this time period...whether it be visitors or family members (i.e. kids/teenagers) or someone who needs a place to crash. It can also become a place for family members (i.e. kids/teenagers) to hang out/party. Yes, there are bylaws for these types of incidents, however, it puts undue stress and anxiety on residents who come across these issues and are then forced to report them, which in turn will cause further anxiety and stress and potential abuse/retribution from the home in violation.
Having smaller lots having people park their RV's on their driveway or street is a safety issue, people already drive too fast now there will be vehicles further blocking views, way too dangerous plus clutters the neighborhood.
Having the capability to store my rv on the drive way also helps with the cost of storage fees. The price of living is high enough as it is. The rv allows for cheaper vacations in our great province but i cannot afford one because i cant store it on my driveway i have to pay for storage fee the entire time.
Having the RV closer to the home would likely prevent theft and other incidents vs. having them park on a road further away from their home.
Having these stored off property promotes storage facilities businesses.
Having to drive to and from a RV lot every week adds to more traffic on the roads and uses more gas for the home owner.
Having to park your vehicle + travel trailer on a roadway I feel is more dangerous than if it is parked in a driveway. This requirement to be able to bring your trailer to your home to pack etc, is more of an obstacle for cars having to pass on a roadway as it obstructs sight lines worse than if the trailer was parked on a property in the driveway.
He also parks his RV almost right in front of the community mailbox which restricts neighbors to only walking to the mailbox as opposed to pulling up on their way home. Anyone that has limited mobility, such as at my home, have difficulties collecting our mail and navigating around his large RV.
Health and wellness are the largest benefits for families that have and use these items.
Healthy! Active! Outdoors! Mental health!
Help the citizens
Helping out Calgarians, this could only benefit the people of this city.
Higher theft and break ins on RV's my family member works at an RV storage lot. There's continued theft, even with high end security cameras, fences, etc.
Hire more bilaw officers. They can't do their jobs already when information is handed to them on a silver platter.
Homeless will start camping out
Homeowner who own RV need to have a place to store the RV which does not include the street . front lawn or front driveway. Once the RV is on the site then people will be renting it out on VRBO or other rental web site. RVs can be stored in the backyard or at storage facilities not in the back alley either. As a past RV owner that is what we did.
Homeowners do not always have easy access to alternative parking for large vehicles. For instance, I only have access to my front driveway and garage. I do not have a back alley, not is my backyard able to fit a large vehicle. I do not own an RV, but it is important to me that I am able to use my property to responsibly store my possessions, and I think that arguments



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

of aesthetics are petty and should not prevent homeowners from using their own property in a reasonable manner. Storing an RV on a driveway, even for an extended length of time, is a perfectly reasonable use of ones private property.
Honestly, I feel like people are haters, they call bylaw over RVs being parked for more than 36 hours are just mad that they don't have one, there is ZERO impact on why you can't have your property parked on your property, it is Ridiculous!
Horrible idea!
Houses are closer together, driveways are shorter. RV parking on driveways in such communities create dangerous situations for drivers and pedestrians.
Housing is already an issue. Ability to also "live" in an RV will be possible and still doesn't address root cause issues. The existing impacts will become more prevalent due to "opinions" when at the moment 36 hours is a hard rule that does not allow for interpretation. Making Bylaws jobs harder with vague rules means more problems but onto neighbors.
Housing is an increasing issue. Seasonal parking could lead to people inhabiting their vehicles or renting them out. This could lead to pressure on city infrastructure and increased needs for garbage or bathroom facilities.
Housing shortage
How about increasing the time to spend on the road to 48 hours up from 36.
How about let people live their lives and park their vehicles in front of their homes. The city consistently wants higher density, but clamps down on real people living their lives. Maybe consider making the space in front of your house your own spot instead of this stupid anyone can park there BS. I used to be on a CA board. Nothing annoyed people more than parking issues. But the city in their high minded, paternalistic attitude dismisses concerns out of hand. Then the city proceeds to approve higher and higher density without requiring parking. It's all well and good to decide we all need to walk less, but then the city does nothing to support it Makes people mad, but it's not that hard
How about worrying about crime and hookers in residential areas?
How it would impact a neighbors sight lines and ho2 that would impact property values.
How long before people rent these out as summer-long rentals parked in their driveway?
How many of the applicable RVs are registered to Calgarians? Local licensed RV Storage lots have been crammed full to the fences, all year. Now my neighborhood will be used as an RV Storage lot. Are the municipalities that allow seasonal parking dealing with solving the "known impacts"?
How neighbors may be affected by large motorhomes and 5th wheel trailers sitting on front driveways in newer communities.
How will the city address the issue of "stealth" camping vehicles such as tradesmen vans and cargo trailers? Our neighbourhood has a number of cargo trailers parked overnight and on weekends on the street adjacent to back alleys. They block sight lines making it dangerous to walk, especially with young children.
How would the city monitor these vehicles to ensure they are not being used for secondary housing
I
I our opinion there are no benefits to changing the bylaw. There are enough RV storage lots surrounding the outskirts of the city.
I absolutely do NOT want to reside next to one of these monstrosities! Surely "community standards" must consider neighbours in the vicinity. Plus, these gas guzzlers aren't exactly environmentally friendly; why facilitate their use when we're supposed to be more "green

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

oriented"???! Is the City really genuinely committed to lessen our carbon footprint? Actions speak louder than politically correct platitudes; make changes that count for our future!
I agree that safety should be the utmost priority so in my opinion, RV's should only be allowed to park long term on a drive way and NOT on street parking. My biggest concern and issue with RV's is that they take up all of the street parking in residential areas and it becomes challenging to pass safely in these tight areas. They should have to be parked on a driveway, front, back or side of the property but not on the street .
I agree with conditions as explained above.
I agree with the esthetics comment. In past years, Alberta had the highest RV ownership numbers in Canada, and ownership saw a spike with the pandemic. The city is for everyone's enjoyment in our short summers, and seeing numerous large vehicles on our side streets would negatively impact my enjoyment.
I almost hit a kid on a bike as he drove on the sidewalk and behind a large truck in my neighbours driveway. When a large vehicle is parked all the way up to the sidewalk it is impossible to see anything. I am still grateful that moment did not end in the death of a child. I was inching back but not much else I could do outside of finding a second person to direct me. So many driveways are short and the large vehicles park up to or over the sidewalk. This is a problem for trucks and vans too.
I already paid \$200 month in property taxes which is low for Calgary plus\$1000 monthly mortgage why should I or anyone else pay another \$400 or more a year to park my/their RV or boAt somewhere else
I already see people use their driveways to store utility trailers - so why not an RV. The distinction would be that it cannot block a sidewalk and cannot go onto the road (for driveways that do not have a sidewalk at the end)
I also am concerned from a safety perspective as Calgary is focused on increasing the density of our neighborhoods to meet the future demands of population growth. We used to live in a zero lot line home and if there were RV's parked on neighboring driveways it would have been difficult to safely enter and exit our driveway with cars flying up and down the street.
At the end of the day, these are recreational vehicles and a privilege to have in our communities at all, they are not a right or in most cases a necessity for living. Every homeowner has the right to preserve the value of their home and property by ensuring their neighborhood is esthetically pleasing to the eye.
I also believe if you allow seasonal parking on a drive way, it will take away from smaller storage businesses through out the city and rural areas. Paying a storage fee is nominal and a choice that one would factor in when purchasing above vehicles.
I also have issue with my few neighbors who have created back gates and now drive there tv over the public sidewalk and boulevard ruining the grass and obstructing walking on the public sidewalk as the pull it in and out of there lot.
I also think you need to look at the rules and regulations around tiny homes
I am an elderly citizen who loves to get out and camp and hike and I think that is important in a province like Alberta to encourage everyone to get out there and recreational vehicles is one way that people can do this.
I am concerned about leniency given for rvs parked on roads for long periods of time or in front of properties without consequences



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I am concerned of the fire hazard that this poses when an RV is parked so close to my home. I feel 36 hours is more than sufficient
I am concerned that people would use them as housing. Letting people either live in or use as vacation accommodation
I am concerned that there may be injuries or deaths caused by the obscured sight lines that I have described and hope The City takes this into account over the convenience of RV owners
I am concerned with how many people use the street as their almost permanent parking spot. This causes congestion, especially in winter. I do not read the info above to suggest that people can park RV's on the street itself but if anyone were to suggest that, I would be strongly opposed. Thank you.
I am disappointed that the survey is only limited to 700 characters as they does not give enough room to articulate any pros/cons in detail. It only benefits those who are in favor as they would have very little to say other than that they are in support of the change. It should also be mentioned that not only is there "convenience & cost effectiveness" for the RV owner, this is true for the City as well in that they no longer need to deal with complaints. This, above all, should NOT play a part in the decision. There are many more non RV owners than owners in Calgary and therefore as a majority, should not be forced to endure driveways littered with RVs for the majority of the year.
I am more concerned about parking of RVs on the street and the impact it has on the ability for other neighbours to park their own vehicles. In some areas the streets are already narrow and these vehicles take a lot of room.
I am not sure if there already is, but if not, there needs to be a bylaw disallowing short term rentals (e.g. Air BnB's) in people's RVs while on their property.
I am ok extending the current bylaw but not by much.
I am ok if the city extends the duration from 36 to 72 hours but NOT ok beyond that.
I am sure that impacts on the allowable time a unit is allowed to be parked will vary from Ward to Ward depending upon things like, how hilly the area is, width of the roads and speed limits on the roads and intersecting roads but rules cannot be created Ward by Ward so one size must all.
I am tired of the city continuing to allow Calgarians to junk up everything. I do not want to look at RVs all summer parked all over the place.
I am worried that seasonal could turn into year round without enforcement or monitoring. With the city consider a small fee based permit for such parking?
I believe a cluttered, unsightly neighbourhood affects property values and saleability in a negative way.
I believe Calgary has a good balance with existing regulations. I do believe that there can be extenuating circumstances that may allow for permits to be issued for exemptions on a temporary basis; such as the family trying to rebuild their partially burned home while trying to live in their rv on their driveway. Admittedly, I do not know all of the details of their predicament, nor do I know the family involved.
I believe I have covered all my concerns.
I believe if we give in on this matter, some people may feel they are entitled to keep their RV on site all year round. I feel this would then cause animosity between neighbours. From my experience it is part of good neighbourly behaviour to park offsite year round. What will happen to businesses relying on these vehicles?



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I believe if you give in to this pressure and allow more RVs in neighborhoods for longer periods, these owners will take advantage and soon the streets will be full of RVs because the owners will stop paying the \$\$ to store them offsite. This is already happening and when I have called to make a complaint, the person that answers at the phone gives me attitude and then allows them to move the RV off the street and then park their RV in their front driveway indefinitely.
I believe it also is a bit of an eye sore in the community when someone has the opportunity to leave their RV on the front of their driveway. I think the time allowed in the current bylaw is sufficient time. The RV's now seem to be getting large in scale then years past another thing to consider.
I believe it is more important that RV's not be allowed to park on city streets / alleyways. Safety issues - creates blind spots, not to mention esthetics
I believe it would lower property values should one want/need to sell their home. If people purchase one of the above listed items they should consider the cost of storage fees .
I believe it's will drive house values down.
I believe most homeowners want their street or avenue to suggest pride of owner. Large RV's restrict visibility
I believe parking should be prohibited longer than 36 hours. For the safety, convenience, and humane reasons I mentioned above.
I believe residents should be allowed to do as they see fit on their private property, as long as they don't hurt others.
I believe smaller units deserve the exemption. They typically fit neatly on a driveway without impeding sight lines. These units are not as tall as longer units and are often owned by lower-income families who are more affected by the time and cost constraints of the current 36 hour limit.
I believe that RV owners should lease space in an appropriate storage facility to store their vehicle(s). It's fine to park on a driveway in order to load or unload the vehicle; however, it is NOT necessary to be there overnight! Get it done & get it out of there a.s.a.p.!!!
I believe that RVs stored at home create an opportunity for theft in neighborhoods. And we should want to do everything possible to minimize bringing criminal activity into our neighborhoods.
I believe that there are far more important things that our law enforcement could be doing with their time, for one, start by managing what happens on the land you own. People that park on corners are parking illegally, and it causes fire trucks to delay in their response time.
I believe that what I said above that the municipal government should not decide what legal items an owner can store on their own property is germane to this argument. I also assume there is a reason why the storage of RVs is not allowed year round but, I would like to hear the reasoning for this as well.
I believe the known impacts and benefits listed cover most of my thoughts.
I believe this is a good bylaw
I believe this should be allowed in the suburbs but not in urban and near urban areas
I believe we need to relax the belief that the city should regulate everything. Let people live their lives as they see fit. It is not the neighbor's business if i park an RV in my driveway and leave it there indefinitely.
I bought in a residential area for a reason. I did not buy to look at an rv lot, or commercial/construction lot! If you are going to allow rv parking then you should designate



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

parking spots in front of your own property!! This is not the case, even though I pay taxes and must maintain the sidewalk that these people drive on!
I can appreciate the city wanting to update its rules to reflect an up to date approach but in this case the rules and standards are reasonable and should not change unless they are going to get tougher. If someone can't get there RV ready to go in 36 hours prior to the weekend or a long holiday ,there's something wrong with how there going about it.
I can put a sea can, large metal trash bin or giant awning to protect my cars from hail on my drive way. All are large and potentially block vision. Like trees, giant community entry signs and other features it's up to the driver to be aware of hazards and visual issues. What are we talking about 1000 rvs at a time? 10000? Across all neighbourhoods? As long as it's in my driveway and not blocking a public street there should be no problem. The concern is for 30 to 40 ft trailers that can't fit
I can see how sightlines might be a concern, but I think the benefits outweigh the risks. I grew up in Calgary with a camping family, and seasonal parking allowances would help RVs be more realistic and accessible for a wider range of people.
I can see neighbors complaining of the noise and sight.
I can see no benefit to allowing this. Inner city streets need to be safe and have parking, not for storage. If someone wants to own recreational vehicles it's their responsibility to store them, not the city to accommodate them, risking safety.
I can understand the frustration of people with a short time limit to take care of their rv's however, that is part of the deal when they purchase one and everyone else shouldn't have to look at them all summer long. Perhaps consider increasing the time allowed to 48 hours? But please don't extend it to include all summer long
I can't afford to have my house or car broken into, but if a family can afford a huge RV then I feel like they should be able to afford the cost of storing it off sight when not in use.
I cannot imagine there are any benefits to Calgarians as a whole. These things are not ecologically friendly and pose high safety risks for others. If they are allowed to become constant, there will likely be increased wear and tear on the roads, and demands for roadway expansion.
I cannot think of any.
I can't see a significant benefit or impact
I can't stress enough how unsafe it is for those of us attempting to back out safely from our driveways without having a humungous RV blocking our line of sight. My neighbour had a long utility trailer parked in their driveway and I was literally totally stressed out while inching down my driveway to try and make sure I didn't hit an oncoming vehicle or a walking or running pedestrian or bicyclist or child. Please leave the by-law the way it is instead of catering to those people who have RVs.
I consider this a major safety issue and important enough to be an election issue when considering which candidate to support
I could see the RV being an eyesore to some homeowners but frankly it's not their property... You should be able to do what you want with what you own.
I didn't buy a house in this area for it to turn into an RV parking lot every camping season. In addition, when I was younger, I had friends who basically would live out back in their parents' RVs during the summer. I think you need to consider that the longer RVs are permitted on driveways, the more likely it is that people will start residing in them. I don't want that either. Cooking, toiletry, water... it will be like the movie 'Christmas Vacation', but not funny.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I dislike the look of the street with recreational vehicles continuously parked in driveways and in the streets
I do agree that a lineup of RVs along a street can be unsightly in the community. And I do think there should be a minimum clearance from the sidewalk or curb depending on the driveway, to reduce obstructions for drivers and pedestrians on the street.
I do have concerns about the size of the RV and sight lines, as well as whether there will be any auxiliary cords or hoses encroaching on sidewalks.
I do not believe one person's needs should be placed over a full neighbourhood's. Having an RV is fun but not an entitlement. Having an RV comes with responsibilities to their neighbours. Three days is enough time to unpack/pack and clean. Why do they need more time than the three days? If storage is an issue then alternative storage locations could be found. Can you imagine living in a neighbourhood where a lot of your neighbours have RVs and what that would look like?
I do not believe that the city has enough bylaw officers to adequately enforce bylaws as it is. If you give people more freedom to park RV's in communities for extended periods of time, they will leave them in areas where they are unsightly and unsafe and I do not have confidence in the city's ability to enforce the associated bylaws that would discourage said infractions. 36 hours should be plenty of time for anyone to load, unload and clean an RV before returning it to a storage lot.
I do not see a benefit to this at all. A lot more vehicles around. Many, many people do not use their garages for their vehicles. The garage is often used for storage. So would this mean a third vehicle in the driveway for many homes?
I do not see any benefit to the City of Calgary. There is no cost effectiveness to the City. It is more reasonable that City By-Law will see increased work volume due to complaints, because people are people and complaints are potentially a negative impact for the City of Calgary.
I do not see any benefits in changing the bylaw.
I do not support temporary, seasonal or long term parking of RV as defined above on the street in front of owner's residence or in front of a neighbour's home. These vehicles obstruct sight lines, hard to see children dart out and take up so much room on the street not allowing access to your own home for your vehicle or your visitors. My neighbourhood street which I live on should not be used for storage of RV.
I do not support trailers on driveways due to obstructed sightlines for drivers and pedestrians.
I do not want my neighbour parking a large rv in their front driveway. Some can be extremely large and can abstract the view from our window or for drivers. It is unsightly to look onto an extra home on wheel in your neighbour's front yard. How can you then manage people potentially renting these out or living in them?
I do not want people camping on the driveway of my neighbour making noise. If it's too expensive to store your RV at a storage facility then you probably shouldn't own an RV in the first place.
I do not want to be looking out my front window a freaking RV. It's ugly it ruined my view which I paid a premium for. If this happens I will stop paying my over priced property tax.
I do think the 36 hours is short for busy families to load and unload. I also think it should be ok for people driving one to come and visit a home for more than one day.
I do understand the 36 hour rule, but if the owners of the RV are avid campers and go every weekend they might struggle with having to park it elsewhere for 4 days only to have to go collect it again before loading up and heading out again. I think parking it on the street is

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

almost more obstructive in some cases than in their own driveway. For the summer months, I think it is okay to have RVs parked in the driveway with no time limit, as long as it is not blocking any traffic signs or obstructing the sidewalk.
I don't believe so.
I don't care what other people do on their property.
I don't currently own an RV, but have in the past. The cost of long term storage can be a deciding factor preventing people from buying an RV to enjoy our wonderful province to its fullest.
I don't mind people for parking up to a week but half a year seems too much
I don't see a benefit in extending the period of parking
I don't think changing the law to help with the cost of storage is beneficial. If people can afford an rv (at the rv show at the bmo centre this year, rv's were between 40K and more than 500K. Rv owners can afford \$100 per month to store it. Maybe increase the fee if people are living in the rv while parked on the front driveway. Having easier access to their RV's, people may choose to get out more which is good for their mental and physical health
I don't think so.
I don't think so. I think the City's done a decent job with the existing restrictions. Enforcement might be an issue though but I understand that workload might be a problem and more serious byelaw issues are dealt with first.
I don't think there is any benefits to this. The bylaws are in place for a reason and I don't believe they should be changed.
I don't understand how Calgary is compared to any of the cities listed above that have significantly less population. Can't even compare!!! Congestion is already a problem on residential streets.
I don't understand why this is even an issue. Again you own your driveway you should be able to park any vehicle boat or trailer. Just not live in it, obstruct a sidewalk or park on the street for a length of time. The economy and tax increase bring a factor for expensive off site storage that shouldn't be required if you have the space. How is the front yards different than parking on the side or back yard.
I don't want an old run down "RV" parked all summer right beside my driveway. 36 hours is plenty to clean unload or reload it for camping. If it is longer you will have people living in them on driveways!
I don't want my back gate blocked by an RV. I would not be able to put out my garbage bins. There are no benefits to RV parking in city neighbourhoods. If someone can afford to purchase a RV, that person can afford to store it out of sight and not in a neighbourhood.
I don't want random rvs parked on the street. We're already struggling with area safety. I live adjacent to a park we don't need overnight parking on the road
I don't care if people find it "unsightly" - it's a legal item to own and should be permitted to be kept anywhere on private property. Stop with the overreach
I don't feel an RV parked on a driveway lowers anybody's (neighbors included) property value in any capacity. The only drawback I can see is it hindering visibility down the road. However street parking of cars offers the same drawback and is legal.
I don't feel there is any additional benefits. We have no issues with RV, trailers, etc. park on front driveways as long as they are not hanging into the street its on. We think the City needs to look at ALL areas of "units" in front driveways.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I don't own an RV but I think the city is hugely infringing on the rights of people. This is a "Karen" type complaint likely influenced by someone in politics that has a vendetta towards an RV owner. Just think about this, what about all those junk cars that sit dead on drive ways for years, what is the difference between those and RVs. You can make this type of subjective bylaws city will be sued and us tax payers lose money...less subjective, pointless bylaws please. Use your time wisely...
I don't see any benefit of our neighbour parking his travel trailer in front of his home on the street (this is not on a driveway or pad) for extended time. Last summer his trailer was set up on the street for over 3 weeks. Parking is always a premier in the area
I don't see any direct benefits to the City and I think that trailers are safer in storage lots rather than on the driveway where people can easily break in as they pass by
I don't see why people can't live in a camper or trailer that is on the property. So long as it is not disturbing the neighbours or unsightly.
I don't think so
I dont think so, reasons for the 36 hr permit still are valid and appropriate
I don't want my street becoming a RV parking lot.
I don't want to wake up have my morning coffee seeing my neighbors properties littered with recreational vehicles.
I expect this survey will be disproportionately submitted by rec-vehicle owners pushing for the bylaw change, i.e. the vocal minority.
I fail to see how encouraging more use of gas-guzzling RVs etc aligns with any climate change initiatives in the city. If extended parking is allowed, it should be stipulated that the RVs etc cannot be occupied overnight. Otherwise this will be used to end-run city zoning and short-term accommodation rules and will lead to increased noise complaints.
I feel a trailer can be left un attached to a vehicle if it is wheel chocked properly on level ground . Avoids the larger space requirements on the street does 36 or more hours .most driveway do not have enough space between openings to fit a tow vehicle and trailer .
I feel if it RV parking is more accessible it could make people to be more interested in having their own an could investigate more traveling and tourism as well as happiness for people, it also can help people to use more the RVs during the cold seasons, since their vehicles will be more accessible to a weekend trip for example.
I feel if the city has more important issues to deal with right now. If you are going to force people to store their trailers at storage facilities there needs to be more accountability at these facilities to take care of your RV. I have a friend who put their RV in storage in the city and the next spring went to pick it up and it had been stolen. This happened within the last 2 years.
I feel it would get out of hand and become an eyesore to the community
I feel like owning an RV comes with the expectation that the owner finds a suitable place to park it. A residential driveway is not the place for such a large vehicle.
I feel that allowing recreational RVs to park seasonally is an invitation to thieves. There are already enough auto break ins that occur and we do not need to provide the means for more illegal behavior.
I feel that if an RV can fit on your driveway. Not impede the sidewalk or road ways. Then it's your property and parking any thing on it is your right.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I feel that if you own a RV, park it in a RV lot. There are numerous ones available at a reasonable cost and have 24 hour security. I did own a RV years ago and did park it in a RV lot.
I feel that RVs parked in the front of house will bring property values down. I love the look of my street and RVs will ruin my investment.
I feel that the cost and convenience of storage of recreational vehicles needs to be considered when purchasing. The inability to afford the extra costs shouldn't be the problem of the neighbours. There are lots of storage options around Calgary. The time in front of, or on the property, should be to accomodate servicing, loading, unloading, etc. Not storage.
I feel that the current by law is good. Gives people enough time to load and go. There is no reason to store yourRV on the streets of Calgary.
I feel that there is no reason to have people take their RV, 50-100 out of town. And then go pick it up again to just use it. This is extra carbon onto the atmosphere. But the RV must be in their driveway.
I feel that very small trailers/boats would be acceptable to park on properties as long as they are no taller than an average car or truck. Anything larger shouldn't be stored in front of homes in the city.
I feel that we should be thinking about our neighbours and not just the rv owners.
I feel the current restrictions on street parking large motorhomes should remain as they can cause great visual obstructions. I worry about children at play, pedestrians, and cyclists.
I find large motorhomes to be an obstruction when parked near intersecting streets. Also when trying to leave a driveway with a large vehicle parked on an adjacent property. There should also be a ban on people living in RV's parked in front driveways.
I fully support seasonal parking, it would allow and make using the beautiful nature we have here in Alberta more accessible for families.
I fully support the 36 hour rule to pack, unpack, clean etc but just leaving in street or driveway all summer is not being a good neighbour. Imagine looking out your front window every day and all you see is a big RV - not to mention pedestrian and driving safety issues.
I get owning a RV can be challenging, but a full seasonal allowance would hinder and impede the people who don't own one. Increase the time to 48 or 72 hours but a full seasonal would cause issues.
I have a bigger concern with some of the vehicles, cars and trucks parked on the street the restricts the ability to make a safe right turn just down the street. And that several parents picking up their kids are parked too close to the yield or stop sign making it hard to see the kids getting on or off the bus rather than RVs parked on peoples driveways. One neighbour has theirs parked year round as they use it year round.
I have a neighbour who parks his RV in his driveway regularly. I have no problem with this. I am concerned that the tongue of the trailer/RV is projecting out into the street and could become a hazard.
I have a neighbour whose RV lives in his back yard full time. It is an eyesore and it's now my view. Not to mention the access point to "park" it is on a hill, for the whole year because they rarely use it. It's comedic watching them try to park it, navigating the pickup truck they tow it with along the narrow laneway and the fences they doge to back this down into the yard. Go too far, given it's on a hill, he backs blind into one fence. Pull forward the wrong way, he slams into another.
I have an example of where the current rule seems silly. My neighbor on the left is actually the last house in a cul-de-sac. He has a parking pad for his RV in back yard, but because of





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

his location, it is actually on the front street, ie. right next door to me and the front of my house. Seems unfair that he can park his rv but I can't.
I have concerns about potential increase in theft in the neighbourhoods. If trailers are parked in driveways it will also spill into increased car prowling and perhaps into yards and homes.
I have found that many owners allow their RVs over the sidewalk which causes problems for people driving on the road (visibility) as well as for people walking (safety, etc.) so extending the timeframe a RV can be parked would extend those issues with, I am assuming, no increase in enforcement or changes to how the vehicles are parked at a given location.
I have friends who would like to use their trailer on their driveway for when guests visit. It seems like a logical option and a good reason for extending the Bi-law. I wonder if there is a happy medium like 5 days? If people take their RV out every weekend, this will improve convenience for them. But also balance out for people who do not want to look at their neighbours boat (that literally never actually leaves the driveway).
I have had horse trailers , industrial trucks, business trucks, trucks with utility trailers, pickups with smelly dump materials in their box, parked outside my back yard , for extended periods of time, with no recourse. Unfair. I would propose that we adopt bylaw restrictions similar to those enacted in Ottawa - BY-LAW 2017-301. Climate change is real . Why would we make it easier for people to to own more than 2 vehicles per household or own a gas guzzling RV? Instead of encouraging people to park in the streets, we should be requiring them to park their vehicles, all of them, in their garages and driveways all of the time.
I have heard of numerous break ins and thefts of RVs at RV storage locations which drives up cost of insurance. I believe having personal RV parked/stored on owners property will reduce theft and break ins and stop driving insurance fees up over time. Plus, I believe, having quick and easy access to RV will increase families doing more spontaneous things together and/or help families save money instead of lining the pockets of land owners who charge to park/store RV on their property.
I have neighbours who park their RV/trailers in our area which has limited parking and blind corners. 36 h is too long when you have areas where there is no front drives and limited parking.
I have no issues with RV's being parked in Peoples back yards, if there is no lane/back ayllle then the RV's need to be parked at an off site storage compound.
I have no problem with boats or recreational vehicles parked for less than a week, but sometimes said vehicles/boats/trailers/etc. are older and become eye sores.
I have not reported my neighbours whom already take advantage of the in place bylaws, wanting to be respectful and considerate of my fellow neighbours. Do I now get to look forward to my neighbourhood further looking like a travel trailer storage facility? So disappointing. If you own these vehicles, pay to store them properly, in appropriate commercial storage settings.
I have noticed owners of Recreational Vehicles stored on their property also have commercial vehicles, commercial equipment and 3-5 vehicles parked around the property. You store a recreation vehicle in a driveway, then you limit access to the garage. If you need more space then move to a
I have previously owned RV's and plan to buy another. You do so with the understanding that you will need to keep it in trailer storage. 2 days is plenty of time to load and unload for a camping trip, there's no reason to change it.
I have seen these large units sit on the road for weeks on end with no apparent consequences. I can imagine RV owners would abuse relaxing the rules by moving their

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

equipment from driveway to road and back and forth. Most of the units I see won't fit on a front driveway anyway so they will end up on the road taking up valuable parking space for storage. Give an inch and they will take a mile so leave the rules tight.
I hope the city will be in favour of the majority who don't want to be inconvenienced.
I hope the decision makers here understand the limitations of a self-selected survey.
I hope this would promote people using their recreational vehicles more, improving mental health.
I hope this would reduce the huge number of storage yards in and close to the city. Aside from how bad they look they have a negative effect on the environment and animal movement. There will be some cases when the RV is preventing safe visibility but that should be handled on a case by case basis.
I think it would benefit owners to have a RV for guests to use when visiting or family to isolate if sick that is parked on their property, however this should not be used as a rental / AirBnB.
I imagine it will sometimes be annoying with big campers being warmed up and noise but the plus is your neighbours will likely be off camping a lot and you can be noisy then:). There are a lot of people who park campers behind their house in there yards in my area. It has never been an issue even though I hate big campers.
I intend to purchase a tent trailer, which was a factor when deciding to purchase the house I live in now, to have enough space and access to store it behind the house line.
I just do t think it has anything to with with safety issues and seems to be more of a conflict of interest with neighbours not liking that vehicle parked in a persons drive. I see many homes with cars and trucks that have been parked for months but that seems to be ok ?
I just don't think streets should turn into 14 campers in a line of driveways. I also don't think we need to be unnecessarily dickish to people that camp constantly or even often. I'm not a camper so I am not sure why 36 hours is not enough, except for the constant campers that would be a painful back and forth.
I just know currently there are people who think it's ok to park their family's or friend's RVs in my neighbourhood. I'm close to Nose Hill park and it's not a free campsite. It's a horrible idea
I just think it makes it difficult to see children playing and potentially running out onto the streets. Many RVs at more than 10 feet high and 20 feet long so it just impairs visibility to keep kids safe.
I just think these people are selfish, self indulgent and wasting money for their short term pleasures
I just wanted to add that off site storage comes with owning one of these vehicle/trailers and owners simply need to account for that on their own.
I know of a neighbor collecting rent by having someone park their RV in their Driveway. So Thats not what we want in our communities.
I know street parking is public, but as a long term, tax paying Calgary home owner I should be allowed designated parking in front of my house; allowing a small amount of people seasonal parking will create more parking problems. The city does a poor job of enforcing it's bylaws; I have reported legitimate concerns as per city regulations, and have been told by city by law officers that nothing can be done, even though there is a definite breaking of a bylaw that should have been dealt with.
I like the 36 hour rule as it is. It makes people move their vehicle/trailer and not leave it there to rot with a flat tire.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I live in a laned home. When someone parks their trailer on our street it significantly impacts parking. Parking on a dedicated parking pad is fine, in front of a garage is okay short term as long as the sidewalk or road isn't blocked in any way.
I live near a traffic collector and witness many negative interactions between pedestrians, other vehicles, and RVs late evening Sundays. I can't think of any benefits to our community from extending the time for parking recreational vehicles - the benefits accrue to a very small number of people in the area, at the expense of others. If an owner can't empty their RV within 36 hours, then get home earlier to unload and relocate it. Or buy a spot in an RV park and pay the service fees.
I live on a street in millrise where a neighbor parks his rv on street across from my house I cannot back out of my driveway because of lack of room. The rv is unsightly and a mess. There is also a camper van parked on his driveway which is unsightly and never moves
I moved to Monterey Park 32 years ago. It was a nice subdivision. But the lack of enforcement of the LUB is making it become more like Dover.
I only see the negatives.
I paid a lot of money for my home. I don't want to stare at an RV out front.
I pay fees to the home owners association to make my neighbourhood look good, these large vehicles in driveways will deter from that.
I pay my taxes, you should not be telling me what I can park on my own land
I pay taxes for the house I already pay for an inflated mortgage on. As far as I'm concerned, this house and everything inside my property line, is mine to do as I please. Same goes for my neighbors and their neighbors and everyone else. A person who is paying a mortgage and city taxes should not be forced to also pay extra to store their rv somewhere else for anytime of the year. As for "sight lines", do a better job planning the city's residential neighborhoods if that's a problem.
I pay taxes.....my neighbour pays taxes...driveways are for passenger vehicles. Not RV units and the other units noted above. If you cannot afford to properly store these units....I should not have to be forced to look at it, try to see traffic around it to see if safe for me to back out. Do not support this change at all!
I personally live in a condo so this doesn't affect me, however, I feel that people need to get outdoors and experience nature to destress and support their mental health. Having easier storage and access to your camping vehicle would improve lives. It's a kind and supportive thing to do.
I really believe it's a big safety concern having the seasonal vehicles parked in driveway or on the streets for longer than 36 hours
I really think it is unsightly, it creates a big of a security risk (i.e. blocks the view) and reduces the esthetics of a neighbourhood. I can literally see 4 RV's from my drive in the summer (all the time) and more when a couple of the neighbours have their's home to load. I can't image 6-7 parked on the street all the time. Personally - I think we should give a little more time, BUT we should also enforce the rules (i.e. it is unfair that some respect the rules and their neighbours and others don't). I don't want to be the person that calls bylaw on my neighbour - but I'm tempted (it looks awful in my opinion).
I really think it would benefit owners to be able to park RV's on their own property or even in front of their homes on the street. If it is causing drivers to not see traffic then it should not be done.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I recommend keeping the current limitations to parking on the street. Does The City actually check how long an RV is parked on city streets? Our neighbours have their RV on the street for a good portion of the Summer. An eyesore for our area.
I see hoses and cords crossing sidewalks and many people don't realize that mobility impaired people like my neighbor a few doors down have to avoid those paths when trying to use sidewalks which should be free of hazards.
I see no benefit just another headache. There will be nuisance everyday for sure. If the city can make rv parking lots nearby to be used by homeowners near their common wards that will be additional revenue for the city and also be convenient for owners of recreational thirdwheels
I see no benefit to allowing these vehicles an extended stay on driveways or parking pads. Most travellers load and go and do not need 4 months to do so.
I see no benefit to anyone who doesn't own an RV to expand on RV parking time limits. The benefit to the small amount of owners does not outweigh the cost to everyone else.
I see no benefit to the general public, allowing rv owners to park their rvs on their driveway at anytime. The cost to store and the inconveniences of owning an rv should be considered at the time of purchase. The safety of their neighbours should not be negotiated or expected to provide cost savings and convenience to the rv owners.
I see so many children running and playing hide-and-seek around these RVS, and they just run out of nowhere onto the street where cars are driving up, and a few accidents have already happened in my neighbourhood in the summer due to these RVs being parked in front for several days.
I strongly oppose the concept of parking RVs on front driveways due to the potential for abuse.
I support allowing trailers hooked up to vehicles being parked for 72 hours, 36 is too short of a period to get trailer and load/unload before or after trips and still work.
I support the existing 36 hour time permitted.
I sure hope that you have not already made up your minds and are just doing this to tick a box. That is what happened with the pet bylaw. I am not interested in doing what other cities do. You will probably hear from a lot more rv owners than people like me. So please consider the silent majority in your decisions.
I suspect there would be less traffic within the city to and from RV storage facilities which is a benefit to all using the roadways, and more environmentally friendly.
We also need to be aware that this initiative of allowing folks to have their RV at home will reduce their costs for storage fees and fuel. Folks could use all the help they can get. This will also free up a significant amount of time for people, and allowing more time to enjoy recreation in the short summer is a huge benefit, especially for mental health.
Please allow people to use their driveways for RV parking!
I take pride and look after my home and yard and am respectful of my neighbors, even those who are not repectful back. I think the bylaws give people time to load and upload there gear. If my neighbor had a guest and parked for a week I would not mind but having a bylaw of 36 hrs keeps people in line. They push it, my neighbor left there's for 3 weeks.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Many of us were getting perturbed we lived on a bike route and vision was restricted and it was dangerous. This causes animosity amongst neighbors Let's keep our city beautiful, safe and friendly. There are enough problems on the world
I take pride in my neighbour hood. I don't want a 30 foot tv parked in the driveway beside me. Traffic concern, fire truck and ambulance concerns. Not required.
I think 36 hours to pack or unpack the RV is plenty reducing further traffic or human accidents.
I think 36 hrs is also to long to park RV etc on driveway. I used to own one and 24 hrs is plenty to load or unload or clean
I think accessibility for disabled individuals doesn't come up enough. RV owners are not supposed to block access, but they often do
I think anyone that hinders this bylaw is being selfish. Rv lifestyle is a large part of calgarian leisure. I do not own an rv and I can understand that tc owners would like the flexibility of using their own driveway as they please.
I think as long as it's not a safety issue such as visibility then it shouldn't matter
I think clarity is needed in the case of smaller vehicles that are used as recreational vehicles. It seems unfair to allow a cargo or passenger van full parking privileges but the same vehicle which happens to have a bed and a stove inside but takes up the same space is restricted.
I think driveways filled with RV would be an enticement for crime in a community. By blocking visual and allowing individuals to proceed unnoticed.
I think each community should decide for itself what they want to allow or not allow. At the end of the day it's our property and we should be able to do with it as we please so long as it aligns with the community standards.
I think having extra vehicles parked all over the place decreases visibility in general and provides opporutunity for prowlers.
I think I really it would be a bad idea to extend the amount of time RVs can be parked in driveway and parking stalls. It will make our communities look worse.
I think i would rent out my parking stall to a car or RV camper for the season if this bylaw is changed to allow seasonal parking. good idea - i support this change!
I think it encourages neighbourly attitudes instead of big brother. It will make neighbours relax and prevent silly bylaw infractions of people complaining because they have nothing better to do
I think it is important for the city to extend the parking time allowed as it is the owner's property and shouldn't impact the city.
I think it is important to add clarity on the rules around trailer parking when attached to vehicles and trailers for home based businesses. Businesses should not be allowed to park multiple trailers on residential streets even if they move them on a regular basis. A limit of one trailer per household should be included.
I think it is necessary to maintain our neighbourhoods safety and cleanliness and this motion would restrict this objective. Also, the impact on the small business owners that run RV storage lots would be affected. I believe, as an owner in the past and having to store my RV, that proper storage is a part of the cost of ownership for RV owners.
I think it is reasonable for people to keep their RVs during summer for convenience of use. Suggest the dates are prescriptive eg May 1 to Sept 30 rather than spring to fall.
I think it might also encourage more theft in the area too. People keep valuables in their RVs like tvs and game consoles, fishing gear, guns, bikes, quads and sport equipment, etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think it should be limited to 1 per property, and the owner of the RV needs to live on-site. In other words - I don't think we should allow people to rent out their driveways over the summer for RV parking.
I think it will be crucial should the bylaw change that owners understand through ads/pamphlets that on street parking is NOT included. On street parking is also a concern (meaning too many people going beyond the 36 hours).
I think it would allow bylaw to focus on more legitimate complaints that they are currently ignoring or not handling in an urgent manner
I think it would attract crime to neighborhoods. Trailers are tempting targets for thieves to break into. They also advertise when your home is unoccupied, making it a more tempting target.
I think it would be beneficial to homeowners if it were allowed, as the owner of a property you should be allowed to do as you please as long it is not breaking any laws. The main negative is forcing those who own rvs to either park them in an unsafe place or make them purchase storage which isn't an actual solution.
I think it would be more flexible to load, unload, and clean etc.. on your schedule and not have to be worried about rushing to get it done before your camper/trailer has to be parked elsewhere. It would also save time at the storage facility as there would not be a rush on Friday afternoon's to pick up trailers and could be more spread out over the week.
I think it would help owners financially but also a great option for visiting relatives etc
I think it's important for people to be able to access there RV from there home for security, economical reason.
I think it's important for the city to understand that pointless bylaws like this just lower everyone's quality of life
I think it's important to allow folks the ability to have their vehicles where they can access them. Having them on their own property should be fine anytime, front or back. Lots of folks would rather have the space in their yards for other things, and would likely not keep them there permanently.
I think it's important to consider if a neighbour has a window or door that overlooks a space another neighbour is storing an RV on their property.
I think it's important to define a fine line between home ownership and RV. I strongly believe if we allow such law to pass it will be used to provide permanent home accommodations and become as extension to existing homes
I think it's important to firmly establish a time limit and enforce it. Perhaps a displayed permit for RV parking attached to a residential address to ensure that people aren't parking their RVs outside other people's homes for many days at a time.
I think its a good idea, but there will be unintended consequences if not carefully addressed. There are some areas / roads that this won't work on (example - Killarney). A trailer could also become a black market rental.
I think its great for RV users to be able to park their "rigs" in the driveway as long as it doesn't become a fixture (needs to move at least once every 3 or 4 weeks). Sidewalks must be kept FULLY clear.
I think its important that the city promote getting out of the city in the summer time, it's a beautiful province! On top of that by reducing the ease of access for RV ownership, you should see a decrease in congestion in the summer. Especially during long weekends when people use RV's most which benefits everyone in the long run. To me RV's aren't really an eyesore, but I also grew up around them. I do understand why people think they can be. The



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

other impact may be more calls to 311 about RV's blocking intersection sight lines which could lead to an increase in 311 calls to investigate them
I think leave the bylaw as is.
I think making sure full enforcement of moving it off the driveway by a certain date in the fall.
I think my only additional thought would be that rear parking is allowed long term so there are options for people with RV if there are circumstances requiring longer parking, and maybe an extension on the 36hrs to say 5 days may be better to consider. Or requiring a permit to park seasonally at the very least.
I think neighbourhoods are created without RV storage on front driveways. The large vehicles in front of houses for several months reduce the appearance of the house it is parked in front of as well as the direct neighbours, and the street as vehicles drive by.
I think parking and just the beauty of the community are being effected. RV's are not usually the most slightly things, so it can make the street less child friendly, less aesthetically appealing, and less parking friendly.
I think part of the sale for an RV (new or used) should include a signed agreement about the new owners understanding the RV bylaws so they have no excuse when they get warned/ticketed.
I think people are aware of the responsibilities of ownership and convenience should not trump other tax payers. Who also determines "unsightly"? This is vague and without definitions is not enforceable.
I think people should be allowed to park anything in there drive way. Something that is falling apart and not insured should be removed.
I think people who may not have the resources to house their RV separately from their property should not be systemically prevented from ownership. This bylaw seems archaic and strikes me (ironically) as a NIMBY issue. People's resources and home set up should not be barriers to ownership of RVs.
I think people will start renting them on air bnb or other online sites. I'm sure you have thought of this.
I think people will start taking advantage of the rule and we will see move RV's parked in places they should not. On our street, it would have a huge impact as the 3 homes are all close together and already create a bazaars with traffic and impact site lines.
I think people will, especially during stampede, be tempted to turn these in to Airbnb. Having them be Airbnb adds stress to a neighborhood and can impact safety.
I think perhaps it would reduce the amount of land used for rv storage that would be possibly used for further infrastructure/housing/etc.
I think responsible RV owners already expedite the process of cleaning, loading, etc and if they are parked longer than 36 hours their neighbours are unlikely to mind despite the bylaw. Removing or significantly extending the bylaw will just create abuse by irresponsible RV owners and see people leave RV's on driveways long term to fall into disrepair and become negatives for properties surrounding them.
I think RV owners are responsible for the added cost of the current guidelines and I don't think risking children's or anyone else's safety is worth the risk of added convenience for owners. The other issue is that it encourages more people to own an RV which are horrible for the environment in terms of emissions and waste. We should be trying to discourage this type of ownership not promote it.
I think RV owners should be allowed to park their RV s in their driveways for a season.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think so, there is additional benefits and/or impacts that are important for the city to consider the safety of the public at large.
I think that full seasonal allows for increases in crime from people prowling RVs or noticing that RVs that are normally parked in a driveway are gone.
Additional rules for places with like cul-de-sacs and near intersections should be thought about if considering seasonal front driveway rv parking.
I think that if they are allowed to be parked for a longer than what is allowed people will just start to leave them there permanently. What would stop someone from living out of a RV on a front driveway? If the vehicle / trailer is no longer in use how would the City or anyone know that it is not a permanent fixture? If it is an extra large trailer or motor home, would there be a size limitation as they could be block the sidewalk. This would impact already congested parking conditions.
I think that it is important for the Bylaw to specifically address multi purpose vehicle camper vans and van conversions under a certain length (sprinter vans, cargo vans that are similar in length to a pickup truck or contractor/small business vans).
I think that large cube trucks and or any other such vehicles that restrict the use of main streets and restrict vision should not be allowed to park as well
I think that seasonal front driveway parking is going to cause more bad feelings between neighbors. At the moment, at least those without RV's know that having an RV parked on a driveway or street is short term. Neighborhood harmony should be considered.
I think that smaller boats, and tent trailers should be allowed year round on the property
I think that the time you're allowed should be longer than 36 hours. You should have 48 hours.
I think that these items are large and the main concern is safety. Residents need to be fully aware of this parking issue so they are not misusing this bylaw. As seen in my area they are unsightly and also people are storing parts and pieces and garbage in front of these. I do not see any benefits to allowing permanent parking of these units. Also residents need to be aware of what the front of their property is. Please include drawings in the bylaws to indicate the city's intent so there is no confusion.
I think that this amendment to the bylaw would allow for more individuals to travel within Alberta, stimulating the local tourism. Right now we don't own an RV because of the by law currently in place, but would if we could seasonally park it at our house. Having to store it elsewhere during the summer is inconvenient as well as costly.
I think the 36 hour rule is good enough for families to plan, load, unload and move their RVs off streets
I think the city has to be more diligent in enforcing the bylaw for long term parking for RV's in residential areas. I see too many RV's or boats parked in neighbors driveways. It always seems that I am the one who is contacting 311 and filing a complaint.
I think the city is in the right direction in this project.
I think the city is unnecessarily punitive in regards to RV parking.
I think the city should strongly consider that this change would only benefit wealthy people who can afford RVs. It would have zero benefits (along with many disbenefits) for their lower-income neighbors who can't afford these luxury items. And the benefits for the RV owners that you list here (convenience, saving money, feeling safer) sound like nice-to-haves rather than must-haves.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

As a parent, I worry deeply about the safety implications of having these vehicles obstructing sightlines in residential neighborhoods.
I think the current law works in terms of loading and unloading and should be should be kept as is.
I think the issue in my community is storing the RV on the street for the winter. I have noticed a few in my community that have been parked on the street for the entire winter.
I think the main one is convenience.
I think the more the City can get out of people's lives where there is little harm done to others (a neighbor having a trailer on their driveway will not harm anyone) the better. There are enough rules - if we can relax some and move on all the better.
I think the only concern is making sure sight lines are kept. Otherwise it's all wins.
I think the parking situation with most neighborhoods is already stretched because of suites and multiple renters. Adding leisure vehicles is a terrible idea. And the next thing you know Airbnb rentals for those RVs are happening in peoples yards.
I think the pros and cons captured above are accurate. One more I would add, is the RVs often overlap the driveway due to length.
I think the right have safe sidewalks and clean lanes while driving anything with wheels trumps permitting owners to take advantage of land space for overpriced earth killing toys.
I think the RVs should be put away to where they belong. People are already bending rules on 36 hours, we just think they are being lazy.
I think there is an abundance of RV storage options in Calgary/surrounding area and having an appropriate place to store it comes with ownership.
I think there would be a tendency for these vehicles to be "lived in" if they could be parked for longer than 2 days. It did happen in my cul-de-sac last summer. Didn't want to complain, because I thought these people had no place else to live.
I think they can make a neighbourhood look unsightly, especially if in poor condition.
I think this council is completely out of touch with what the people want and need. We don't need Jody Gondek complaining about Sean chu every chance she gets. Get over it Jody he was elected. What's wrong with people parking trailers on their driveways I would buy one if you let me But you guys like to give out tickets to the taxpayers instead so Jody can have native art on the walls of her chambers. What a complete waste of money
I think this is happening already and would save on by-law enforcement costs, allowing those resources to be used for other more serious issues.
I think this is just going to add to neighborhood conflicts. Other jurisdictions with seasonal parking also see RVs spring up on AirBNB. No thanks.
I think this would encourage more people to get an RV and explore the outdoors. I think it's a fantastic update to the by law.
I think times are changing. More kids and families are making use of the outdoors. Bike riding .walking , and having these vehicles parked and blocking site lines is concerning . I live in the university heights area and with all the construction and the constant parking violations,not to mention several u of c students " renting" full houses illegally , the last thing we need is more opportunities for make shift accommodations and more parking issues .
I think we should be more lax to people with a motor home as their only form of housing; safety permitting of course.
I think we should continue to limit street & alley parking as the RVs can cause safety and congestion issues.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I think with a lot of theft issues with storing elsewhere it would give people piece of mind to have it home with them
I truly believe that "the City" does not give a rat's ass if there are additional benefits and or impacts that are important for "The City" to understand. The only thing you understand is the ALTAR OF THE MINORITY WOKE, and this survey will be dismissed and you will insert your own agenda. For MY common sense take, see my answer above!!!!!!!!!!
I understand it's inconvenient for RV owners to park their vehicles, but this is just another aspect to consider when buying an RV. The cost (figurative and literal) have to be taken into consideration before buying an RV and I do not think that it's reasonable to change the by laws because the current by-laws are "restrictive" by some.
I understand people wanting to park their trailers etc in their driveways, (it would save them money) we used to have a trailer. The street parking is the issue. We have a few neighbors that have too many "toys" and currently take advantage of parking them on the street as well (and not in front of their own house.
I understand that having more leeway time to drive your RV to its storage would be advantageous. However, you have decided to own one, so why should I shoulder the consequences of your decision?
I understand that it's costly for people to store their RV's, but if that's the cost of owning an RV, that should be factored into ownership. Kind of like having insurance.
I understand that these things can be eyesores. But, so are a lot of things. Why dont we have a bylaw saying all children's toys must be picked up from the front lawn at night? That looks unsightly too. But, we live in large city. We need to learn to live with micro-annoyances instead of enabling widespread NIMBY-ism
I understand the benefits but the risks far outweigh the benefits. Some streets could have multiple RV's on it which could impact street appeal for those trying to sell their home. Visitors coming with their RV's are more tempted to park on friends' driveway and stay for free, instead of camping in an RV lot. Again onus is on neighbours to report on neighbours. If you choose to have an RV you use storage lots. RV's are not necessities they are recreation vehicles.
I understand the convenience for the owners but the safety concern far outweighs any benefit.
I understand the convenience of having your RV stored on your driveway, but I don't think it's fair to the surrounding community members and neighbors when this can have negative affects on everyone.
I understand the desire for such vehicles to be parked on owners properties (we have friends with such vehicles and wouldn't want them unduly inconvenienced), but residential areas are to crowded and tight for large vehicles to be parked either on the street or in the front driveway. Residential areas were never set up to properly house such large vehicles.
i understand the need to keep things safe but honestly a camper or an even on someone's driveway should not bother people. It's never been a concern for me.
I understand the point of convenience, we don't personally own a trailer, but we share one with family. They and we have always used totes to pack things in and out quickly for cleaning or restocking. People wanting to have their trailers close at hand should buy their property accordingly. There are yards with gates specifically for trailer or boat storage. People have long known that the cost of storing a trailer is part of owning one, just like registration or maintenance.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I used to be an RV owner and we always made sure we lived in an area that had an alley so rv could be parked in back yard. I understand not all areas have alleys and may have to park in front but I still believe they should only be there for a short time frame. Maybe extend a couple days longer but must be enforced.
I walk a lot so if someone is parking an RV etc... In their driveway, it must not block pedestrians on the sidewalk.
I was a previous RV owner and understand the challenges associated with only being able to park for a short period to load and unload. Having said that safety issues trump the inconvenience issue.
I was an RV owner, so I know the challenges of owning one. I would support an increase from 36 hours to perhaps 48.
I wish someone would address the sea can storage units left in driveways for months or years. I feed sorry for neighbors left to look at these large ugly boxes. They're ugly and block the view of neighbors backing out from their driveways. Not to mention the resale value of the neighbors home with a permanent structure left to rust next door.
I wonder if RVs filled with food might attract more wildlife - but I'm not sure if that is a thing
I wonder if the presence of RV vehicles and their contents will attract thieves to the neighbour hood.
I worked in land development in Calagary. The first lots that sold were corner lots that were legally allowed to have RV legal storage. What has changed?
I worry that some owners will use this as an opportunity to use the trailer as a "extra" bedroom and have people living in the driveway. Sure allow your kids to have a Saturday sleepover in it but never should it be allowed to have people staying in it for extended periods of time.
I would allow for up to 2 days for loading and unloading.
I would also be concerned about people living in them for the summer, perhaps as short term rentals.
I would also like to see a one week on street parking for connected RV
I would also limit rear and side parking time limits
I would ask that the city maintain the provision for not keeping RVs on the street, as they take up more space in residential neighborhoods and everyone should be able to have equal access to parking on the road.
I would be in favour of increasing the allowable time to 48hrs
I would be upset if both my neighbors parked RV's on each side of my house for 6 months. They would block my view of the whole street out of my front window. Only took it out for two weeks during the summer and maybe 3 long weekends. Other than that they just sit there. 2nd, RV storage lots would loose 6 months of payments or they turn around and charge by the year.
I would be willing for the 36 hour to be extended to 48-72 hours, but no further on any permanent basis.
I would like to emphasize that these vehicles should be expressly banned while road cleaning or other services are happening. It is especially problematic to have these extra vehicles around while trying to clear snow, or ice, while salting and de-icing is taking place, and while the roads are being cleaned or cleared of gravel in the spring.
I would like to know how often the existing By-Law is enforced? If the By-Law "ain't broke, don't break it", especially if we can't enforce the existing one.
I would like to know why the change in the by-laws.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I would like to see a bylaw that will not allow old rusted not running vehicles kept on lawns and in back and front and side yards These are more of a concern than RVs Make the neighbourhood less desirable
I would limit the time someone could live in an RV parked in front of the property. As in- don't use RVs as an Airbnb etc
I would not buy a home next to this type of eyesore, but feel that I am now stuck here because I can't move. We bought our house before he moved next door.
I would not like to see extension to current bylaw. I would prefer less than 24 hours
I would not object to the length of stay to 72 hours in front of the persons residence.
I would not support the parking of these vehicles to be on front streets, in back alleys or the ability of a property owner to "rent" out or "lend" their driveway for someone else to park the RV on. SEASONAL to be relevant to the usage of these vehicles (July & August).
I would not want the value of my property to be lowered by doing this. It would be a safety issue not to mention more invitation for theft and breaking in to the vehicle.
I would not want to have trailers parked all up/down my street lowering the values of home and impacting the normal view when driving in or backing out from drive ways. I would support a maximum 12hrs instead of the current 36hrs. They do not need to be parking any trailers longer than 12hrs for cleaning or loading/unloading as bylaw says
I would object to allowing a homeowner to rent out RV parking on their driveway to a third party
I would okay with a little bit more time, maybe 48 hours instead of 36.
I would only support RV parking on residential streets if the owners had to pay for a per day parking permit from the city with a maximum period of 36 hours.
I would prefer driveway parking to road parking which can present a safety issue when driving or walking
I would prefer to see RV's and trailers stored on the owners property instead of on the street. An individual in my neighbourhood stores to equipment trailers on the street next to his house and this is poor use of public space. I would much rather the city force him to store his trailers on his own property.
I would support 48 hours like a street rule. I think the general aesthetics and feel of a neighborhood are negatively affected when people begin to use their driveway as a long term Parking. Safety and general controls are also a concern.
I would support increasing the length of stay to 72 hours instead of 36.
I would support increasing the length of time an RV can be parked out front to 48 hours. Perhaps RV's could be placed on front driveways for seasonal storage on residential roads that are not main/bus route roads.
I would support increasing the time limit from 36 hrs to 7 days to facilitate minor repairs to the vehicle.
I would suspect that there could be increased tension between neighbours about someone parking an RV in front of their house every summer going forward. I'm not sure of any upside for those of us without an RV. Can you?
I've seen some Rv,s parked in driveways where the hitch is almost 1/2 way into the sidewalk.
I/we pay a lot of money, I know the homeowners of RV's do as well, but it is their choice to own one. If they want one, they can pay for the rental to store it, but not near my property that I own and pay handsomely for.
I'd be up for extending the 36 hrs to maybe 48 or 72? But a whole season thing is unreasonable.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

I'm not against changing the bylaw to allow parking of RVs on private property as long as the rules are respected. Problem is that people often don't follow the rules, and enforcement currently is lacking to the point that some are choosing to do whatever they want or choosing to blatantly break the rules. My worries are if the bylaws are simply changed it may end up increasing neighbourhood conflicts.
I'm not against it if the vehicle is not on a front driveway, and is fully in the rear yard, but these things on a front drive with in a no lane neighbourhood effects street parking as invariably the owners have additional vehicles that have to park somewhere, potentially affecting neighbour relations.
I'm supportive of the 36 hour time limit but wouldn't be in favour of seasonal parking in the driveway. Side, rear or offsite parking is more appropriate for a long term. This affects community parking as well as reduces visibility in the neighborhood
I'm sure it's more convenient for folks to have extended time, but at what cost! Stop cluttering and contesting our communities. Keep sightlines open
I've had a a RV in front that people lived in for several months and trespassed in my yard and their dogs used my lawn . I had the police out several times and always a warning. BS
I've noticed some people park their trailer for longer than 36 hours. Can there be stricter bylaws where they need to move the vehicle for at least 24 hours before coming back because a lot only move it for maybe 5-10 minutes if any then park back at the same spot for another 36 hours because they have "moved it"
Iced streets from run off during chinooks as the snow will never be purposely cleaned off. Wild animal dens under neath such as skunks, possible coyotes, bobcats. Hazard to pets
I'd like to not see our properties turn into the Griswolds from National Lampoons Christmas vacation and have a huge RV "Tenement on wheels" parked on the driveway. I would like to enjoy a morning coffee looking out the window without seeing an [removed] in a bathrobe emptying his chemical toilet [removed] into the sewer.
I'd like to see more enforcement of the current bylaw. I live in an area where there seem to be many people ignoring the rules & never see any officers enforcing compliance.
I'd prefer a recreational vehicle to be parked on a driveway rather than in a street
I'd rather they use their driveway than the street and take up every public parking spot on the street. But I think people will use it like an out building and have guests stay in it. If you can't inforce the rules about it then don't allow it.
I'd sell seasonal pass for a fee (about \$20/mo) and use money to provide additional safety in the residential areas so residents without RV get some benefits in return for allowing residents with RV to park their units conveniently in front of their homes.
I'd support an extension to the 36hr rule to MAX of 3 days. The benefits listed without merit: cost of RV, gasoline and camping fees are significant - if you can afford an RV, you can afford storage; an RV is more secure in a storage lot than on the front drive. I would urge the City to leave the bylaw as written.
Idling gas/diesel engines & generators associated with RVs reduce city air quality
If a family wants to park an RV/boat on their driveway for 3 seasons (potentially 9 months!), then they should be required to get a permit and pay for the privilege of doing so. Further, permission should have to be granted by nearby neighbours who would be most impacted by the RV. It completely ruins the aesthetic of a community to become a trailer park. Just because blue collar communities like Leduc and Grande Prairie allow it, does not mean a metropolis like Calgary should follow suit.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If a homeowner wants to park an RV on their property it should be allowed as long as it is fully on their property. What is the functional difference of parking an RV vs something like a car on a driveway if its not encroaching on city property? The concern for pedestrian/cyclist safety seems a bit ridiculous, there are many locations in the city where public property/fences/greenery block sight lines at much higher risk locations than in the middle of a residential street.
If a homeowners rv, perhaps a permit to park seasonally. Like a 1 time seasonal fee priced lower than storage fees would ever be.
If allowed to park for longer than the allowed time it would increase more safety concerns with pedestrians
If allowed to park in existing paved driveway the RV must not block a window, door or patio of the adjacent neighbour's home.
If allowed, anything parked on a driveway must NOT be allowed to cross a sidewalk and or intrude into street
If allowed, it will really in less maintenance of the vehicles. At least when you have to park it elsewhere, you know it's running properly. But if it needs maintenance, some people will just let it sit forever in their driveway
If an individual fails to adhere to all safety practices when parking a 5th wheel or any other recreational vehicle.
If an RV is occupied, sewage becomes a concern. Perhaps an occupancy permit could be issued (much like a fire permit) that stipulates conditions. Cost is 50% refundable if conditions are all met. If conditions not met, no refund and fines imposed to cover the cost of rectifying.
Could be tied to property taxes.
If anyone wants to have an RV then they need to no impact their neighbours.
If driveways are being used for Rec vehicles street parking may become an issue.
If ever access is permitted than there should a strict fine on using it as a living space, perhaps between 500-1000.
If everyone in the neighborhood parked an RV on their front driveway the area would look like a caravan park. Some of the campers (I am thinking of the Mercedes) are the size of a small bus. Can you park buses on your driveway?
If everyone on your block has a fifth wheel parked on there front driveway what a disaster our city will become. Our house are setback during construction for visual view and esthetics. When you bought the rec. vehicle you knew you would have to store it!
If families have an RV that is taking up their parking space, ensure they park additional vehicles in front of their own homes.
If I owned a camper, I would want to have it on my driveway for a couple of days before a trip to prepare it, and a couple of days after to unpack and clean. 36 hours is ridiculous.
If I wanted to live in a trailer park, I would not have spent so much money on a home that the City taxes me for handsomely. Please do not change the bylaws.
If it does not interfere with the sidewalk or other peoples driveways it should be allowed
If it's on private property and safety is maintained there shouldn't be a restriction. Those that care likely can afford the space to not have it there as that brings inequality in itself.
If it's on private property it should be okay. Parking on public road should be allowed if bylaw can issue some sort of permit - saying the space is safe and not intrusive to drivers or pedestrian safety
If it's on someone's property they pay the taxes let them have the say.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If it's on your property, you choice
If it's your driveway you should be allowed to Year long.
If it's safe and completely on their property the city should stay out of it
If kept on the property, people stay in them and lights and extra noise comes from the motor home. Teenagers have friends over and stay in them sometimes causing extra noise. If the motor home had no one living or staying the night, I don't have an objection. ( for short periods of time.)
If one RV is allowed, how is it decided how many other RV type vehicles in one yard. And why pit neighbours against each other if there is a disagreement.
If our neighbours had a big motorhome, it would definitely obscure the sidewalk and street, creating a safety hazard. But if I had a small RV, I might want to put it on the driveway so can support that.
If people are allowed to park their RV/recreational vehicle on their own property I think this would be a great use of space to keep parked RV's off the street that take up so much room and are hard to see around. They are much more of a safety concern on their street than in a drive way on private property. Many neighbourhoods care very deeply about esthetics already and RV parking shouldn't be one of them. I think there are many other things like fallen crab apples covering sidewalks that are much more of an eyesore. Or those people that keep their garbage bins on the street for days. In the end we have bigger things to care about than what someone parks in their driveway.
If people are using RVs as temporary accommodation in their driveway, I am concerned with waste and waste water generated by such usage.
If people can afford a huge RV, then they can afford to pay for parking, or develop their own backyard. In this day of climate change and concern over CO2 release, I do not think we should be encouraging RVs anywhere.
If people can afford an RV , they can afford or supply storage for it . Also I can see people renting out their driveways for RV's. For people to park them if they don't have a driveway , or visitors to Calgary . This change to allow it would only be beneficial to a small percentage of Calgarians and more of a detriment to the majority.
If people can afford these RVs then they should be able to afford long term parking.
If people can afford to have a recreational vehicle like a motorhome or boat, they can afford to store it elsewhere and bring it home only when it's in use. In other cities that don't have this bylaw they are unsightly and most of these vehicles are used for less than 15% of the year.
If people can afford to have an RV, they should be able to afford storing it at an appropriate and safe location. 36 hours could be increased to 2 full days (48 hours) but longer than that is unreasonable.
If people can have rvs parked full-time or seasonally on their driveways, people will start renting out the space, and likelihood of theft increases
If people can park their RV's without obstructing flow of traffic or blocking sidewalks I have no problem with residential parking of RV's. But in new communities with narrow roads and shorter lots and this shorter driveways this is not very feasible.
If people choose to own an RV, either having parking in the rear or paying for storage is just part of the costs of owning it and it is a luxury that many cannot afford at all.
If people could leave their RVs in driveways for months at a time, they would likely park cars in the street. Some neighbourhoods already have parking issues, and this might lead to further safety and visibility issues.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If people have a driveway or parking surface large enough to accommodate their RV. They should be allowed to park on it for as long as they want providing it doesn't interfere with sidewalks regular maintenance things like that. A lot of these new disgusting over crowded ticky-tacky communities no longer have back alleys, allowing people access to their backyard where most people would park there RV.
If people have RVs or recreational vehicles then they can store them in paid lots. The infrastructure exists for easy storage and it should not be brought in to residential areas. Even people parking RVs in their back/side lawns is a huge issue and eyesore for all. Keep the city clean and safe.
If people park their RV in their driveway, where will they park their cars. Most places have very limited parking and usually full since each house now has multiple vehicles, this will cause on street parking issues.
If people want these things, they can pay to store them elsewhere. City streets don't need to become an RV storage lot.
If people want these vehicles they can park them in the back or in storage lots, the rest of the neighbours should not be forced to look at them all winter, we want our views!!!! Not these lumps of metal, we want trees with snow of them, we want to see kids playing on the street, having these things parked block everything!! I lived on a corner lot across from a Horizon Village for awhile and they all thought they could park along my street and leave there for weeks, I started calling constantly as I don't want to look at lumps of metal I want to see my neighbours' flowers or in the winter snow. In the winter as much as sun as possible and these things block the sun.
If people want to own RVs they should be responsible for paying to store them, safely.
If permitted, more revenue for the City.
If property owners want to park recreational vehicles on their property, this should be allowed.
If property taxes are paid, people should be able to use their property how they see fit.
If RV parking is allowed on a driveway then street parking will get worse.
If RV parking is allowed seasonally for those with driveways, those without driveways/parking stalls will think it unfair and park wherever they can. Additionally, RV owners are in the minority. If seasonal parking is allowed the majority of Calgarians will have to accommodate the minority. There are already many parking issues in the city. Allowing seasonal parking for RVs will compound those issues.
If RV parking is allowed, there will always be some one to stretch the limits. Example: Parking to many items. Using street parking for their toys or big trucks to transport their toys. There are many, many safe storage lots for people to store their RV's, boats, campers etc. I think the whole proposal is a bad one and should be really thought through carefully.
If RV seasonal parking is allowed, then people will be parking their cars on the street instead of in their garages or driveways. It's increasingly unsafe for my children to ride bikes, scooters, etc, or even play basketball on the street if there are numerous cars parked on the street instead of on their property.
If RV vehicles are permitted to park in front driveways where are the usual driveway vehicles going to park? On the street of course where there is limited parking available currently in some wards.
If RVs are allowed on the front of a property for a significant amount of time, they will surely be used for living. This is not something I want in my neighbourhood. Let us enjoy the grass, gardens and trees.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If RV's are allowed to be parked on the driveways, there will be that many more cars parked on the road. Safety should be the main issue here, not convenience. If people can afford RV's then they can afford to pay to park them in a safe storage facility.
If RVs are park on a private driveway, that is private property already paying taxes, I don't see the harm of supporting recreational choices for citizens of this city.
If RVs are parked in driveways for the majority of the year (spring, summer, fall) that means that regular vehicles can no longer park in the driveway or in the garage. All these vehicles will have to park on the street. Street parking is already very limited and creates more safety issues for children and other pedestrians, as well as hit and run situations with narrowed streets.
RVs would be plugged in all the time. This creates additional drain on an already taxed electrical grid.
Not all RV owners will follow the rules and I could see some people using their RV as a living space or "renting" out their driveway and electricity to friends or family.
If RV's are parked off the road and not obstructing views for traffic safety, people should be allowed to have an RV parked on the driveway all year. A tent trailer would be the same as having a vehicle parked on a driveway. Why is there a need for any restrictions at all if the RV is off the road?
If RVs are parked on the street, there should be no electrical cables or hoses crossing sidewalks and creating a tripping hazard. Also, enforcement needs to be quicker; current bylaw enforcement is a joke; if RV parking enforcement is handled like the sidewalk snow removal bylaw, abuse will be rampant and ineffective, especially for constant violators.
If safety, health or other issues are a real, not perceived concern, the address those issues as they arise. The city should focus on important matters like equity, diversification, economy etc. not ticketing neighbours if their RV is in their driveway for a weekend. Get your priorities straight.
If seasonal front drive parking were allowed, there would almost certainly be an increase in on-street parking that extended beyond the current 36 hour limit.
If seasonal parking is allowed there will be more vehicles parked on the street. This could impact driving lanes and parking availability of residents beyond the owner. Further clarification may also be required on street parking.
If seasonal parking of RVs in driveways is allowed it would result in increased street parking at a time of year when streets are already somewhat difficult to navigate due to limited snow clearing and ice build up. Unless Calgary is willing to increase snow removal funding in order to clear every residential street to the pavement, they shouldn't be increasing street parking by allowing seasonal RV parking.
If someone can afford a trailer they can afford to park in a designated spot. When you invest in an asset like this, owners of RV understand their rules. The last couple of years RV sales have sky rocketed, along with places to park RVs if it is free on the streets, this will negatively impact these businesses selling parking. I'd laugh at the complaints that will arise from people fighting over premium street parking.
If someone is parked on their property they should be allowed to do do
If someone is purchasing an RV then they are responsible for thinking through the full consequences of ownership. This means that they need to take into consideration how they are going to store it etc.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If someone needed to load the RV for an extended trip, they may need additional time over the 36 hrs. to prep the vehicle.
If someone parks an RV in their driveway and loses access to their garage they will park all their other vehicles in front of their neighbours driveways etc.
If someone parks their RV in front of their neighbours home for an extended period of time, it's going to be grounds for trouble. You can't assume everyone is going to be respectful of others. Most Neighbourhoods without back alleys, and where there's multi family dwellings, have high volumes of vehicles on the street, by allowing RVs to park, even spring to fall, is making the situation worse. Wise to increase by law officers and police to deal with angry neighbours.
If someone uses their trailer/rv often then it would be convenient to have it close. As long as it doesn't block my driveway, I have no issues
If storage of an rv is allowed on people's front driveway, it would cut down on the carbon footprint as the owners would not need to drive bank & forth to the storage area every time they need it.
If the city allowed this it would be extra work to police/resources in case of certain people renting them out and having people live in them during the summer months.
If the city decides not to allow the driveway parking long-term, would it be possible to arrange for a permit to be purchased by a home owner who wants to have a visitor able to park his/her RV (for a designated length of time) while they are visiting in Calgary? We were allowed to park on a friend's driveway when we went to the EXPO in Vancouver years ago. I don't know if a city permit was purchased by our friend at that time or not. Maybe more than one permit could be purchased each year by the owner of the driveway, depending upon how many out-of-town visitors the owner had. The RV could either be that of the driveway owner (extra bedroom space for visitors) or that of the visitor.
If the city has to police this, it will cost tax payer money unless the RV owner pays for a permit for the few months it is parked on their property. You've taken away our permit street parking because we can't afford a house with a garage, but now will pander to those that can afford an RV. Awesome.
If the city of Calgary is considering changing the bylaw to allow unlimited parking of recreational vehicles on front driveways then they should have the owners of these vehicles apply for permits to park on their property after proving that they do not impede any neighbor's ability to exit their driveway safely.
If the City passes this law, the City is opening a can of worms. If the City permitted longer times, I guareentee that within a few years the people owning these big ugly vehicles will be coming back to the City for a further extension. In other words, if you give an inch, they will eventually take a mile.
If the front drive is only long enough for one car deep there is no ability to see around these large vehicles as we pull out. Especially as street parked vehicles but up to the driveway, we are forced to back into on coming traffic. Obviously full visibility is an issue given this reality.
If the generator on an RV is running it is a noise problem.
If the Mayor and council are serious about the climate crisis, they would discourage the use of recreational vehicles. Recreational vehicles are not a necessity but rather a luxury.
If the owner can afford to purchase an RV, they should be able to afford to rent a spot to store it out of the community. Front streets are overrun with cars from illegal suites, garbage & recycle bins and now the city wants to add RV's? enough already!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If the RV is being used for housing then the more the merrier but otherwise it takes away from community connectivity.
If the rv is to close to the sidewalk, could be unsafe for the neighbours who walk around, so should be a minimum clearance distance from the rv to the sidewalk. This also happens with vehicles, but sometimes people does not care about others.
If the RV parking bylaw changes hopefully the city has enough staff to enforce the rules and deal with those who do not comply. Eg RV extends onto city sidewalks because it is too long for the driveway.
If the vehicle is broken down and unusable it should be gotten rid of not stored in a driveway.
If the vehicle is parked on private property and not posing a danger site line issues, it should be allowed to be here for longer periods of time
If there's pushback on seasonal parking perhaps consider a longer window (eg 48 hours or 72 hours) as a compromise? I don't own an RV nor am I planning to get one, but I'm not all that bothered by the aesthetics of temporary or seasonal RV parking on driveways.
If these are on driveways, it further pushes cars to street park, which causes more congestion on roadways
If these items on driveways are causing a safety issue there should be a way to report and have them swiftly dealt with. Someone getting hurt because your toys are too big for your driveway should never happen
if they are allowed to park those vehicles in the drive ways for longer, there is way less street parking for everyone else.
If they are parked on a front pad, often times then, the residents cars are parked on the street, further adding to congestion and making parking for others more difficult than it already is.
If they can afford a trailer or 5th wheel they can afford to store it properly!
If they r allowed in the driveway that eliminates any possible obstruction on the street, even for a short time
If they start allowing RV parking people will start pushing the limits with what they park on the street if their driveways are full of RV. Too many people already don't use their garages and cause a lot of eye sore and congestion in communities
If this encourages people to travel/camp in Alberta for shorter durations it should be encouraged
If this is allowed- those who own an RV too large for their driveway should be allowed extended street or alley times.... 36 hours, while managing kids, groceries, other shopping, laundry, testing of equipment and normal jobs etc- It can be very stressful and limited to properly and safely load or unload and "lock up " he trailer while ensuring safety is chawks , propane etc .
If this is passed, then parking RVs on public streets longer than 8 hours should result in a very, VERY expensive fine.
If this is passed, what are consequences of obstruction by an RV
If visibility is a concern, it should not be based solely on the existing definition, but rather given a quantifiable value such as height, length, distance from the street, and even sight lines. Although sight lines would require a bit more work to enforce, it is undeniable that a hard top tent trailer is far less obstructive to view than a large motor home or 5th wheel. I would support keeping existing rules for unkept and unsightly RVs.
If visitors bring an RV, may they stay in it for short term?

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

If we actually want our communities to be walkable, the hazards described above make it challenging for anyone who has mobility issues, using a stroller, has young children etc to navigate safely around RVs that block sidewalks.
If we allow this people could rent out RVs in front of their properties on air bnb. It could also entice people to purchase a RV and set up a summer rental unit on the street. How would the City prevent this once approved?
If you allow people to park on property you will hear more negative incidents and your bylaw team will be wasting time and energy. ( burn out)
If you allow seasonal parking people are going to live in those campers on the driveway. It happens every time regardless of what bylaws you have in place. I fully appreciate that it would be easier for people to store their vehicles at home but it has to great of an impact on neighbourhoods and your would also be impacting livelihood of people who have RV rental places.
If you allow trailers, then business trailers are next. I did buy a home on a street to look like I live in a trailer or boat storage lot.
If you are going to purchase an RV plans for storage etc and costs need to be considered as part of a decision to purchase an RV.
If you buy an RV you have to factor in cost of storage, it's not fair to your neighbours to take up all the street parking.
If you can afford a RV or boat you can afford storage. If you are going to make the suburbs look like a traler park then reduce property taxes to zero.
If you can afford a RV, pay to park it
If you can afford a trailer then you can afford to park it in a designated lots when it's not in use.
If you can afford an RV you can afford the seasonal parking.
If you can afford an RV you can afford to park it in an RV lot
If you can afford an RV, consider also the price to keep them stored.
If you can afford an RV, my feeling is that you can afford storing it in a lot. With regard to the RV being unsightly, that would be a subjective opinion, and I think a lot of time would be spent by bylaw in deciding what is and isn't unsightly.
If you can afford an RV, you can afford storage. Unhappy homeowners with front driveways can move to a house with backyard access if it's that important to them. Everyone must be courteous to their neighbours and long RV's over 20' are not pleasant to look at in a rural setting for long periods of time.
If you can afford an RV, you can afford to store it.
If you can afford to have an RV, you can afford to park in an appropriate location.
If you can afford to own or rent a vehicle purely for recreational purposes, then you can also manage to pay for its proper parking somewhere other than the driveway or street.
If you can drop that money on a travel trailer you can pay for storage. Impacts - cords over sidewalks, popping out/opening trailer on street/over sidewalk causing safety concern. Keep in mind it's extremely costly/near impossible to enforce - can't go around towing RV's off front driveways ... or issue \$250 tickets that get challenged and cost tax payers thousands to recoup \$125 of which province takes most. Create a parking tag style penalty as well or don't bother :-)
If you can't park a vehicle without a plate on your driveway, you shouldn't be able to park an RV. People aren't smart enough! Leave the by law as is, or extend it to 48/54 hours. But



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>please don't pass this! Parking is usually at a premium in most neighborhoods, what happens when you add even more vehicles to the equation year round?</p>
<p>Think of a snow day, when you can't park on the street for the plows? You know how those side streets fill up already? Imagine how many more cars will be on the side streets when every third house has an RV on their driveway.</p>
<p>If you can't park it in your driveway then you are more likely going to block the public street while you are loading up for the weekend</p>
<p>If you do this, then you had better have by-law officers who are going to attend to every complaint in a quick fashion like 12 hours. You are allowing the RV owners to make our street an eye sore and we already have that with small business owner's parking their vehicles everywhere. You want a parking lot for a residential street then develop areas that can be used as that for people who own RV's so they can put up with their mess.</p>
<p>If you don't already know you shouldn't be asking</p>
<p>If you don't want people to park in their own driveways, pls provide free offsite RV parking. Or mind your business and let people park in own driveways</p>
<p>If you every household that owned an RV park in front of there home. The city would look ugly out of control.</p>
<p>If you own a trailer consider the cost of storage. You're neighbours do not want to look at your trailer or have to maneuver around it because you are to lazy to take it back to storage.</p>
<p>If you own one of these vehicles you must pay to park it wherever you take it mostly, so why should we have to look at them for months on end?</p>
<p>If you own the land you should have some freedom to do what you want within reason.</p>
<p>If you own your home, you should have rights to store your owner rv/trailer. Life is so expensive &amp; it will save people money from Not using storage</p>
<p>If you partake in the use of a recreational vehicle, you should consider storage cost. In part of that hobby. The community should not suffer</p>
<p>If you put walls between properties will RVs then lifestyle suffers. Why maintain a property when it is flanked with 20 foot metals boxes used only a few weeks a year</p>
<p>If you receive a complaint do not respond as if an offence has indeed occurred. Please investigate so that both complainer and victim of the complainer are thoroughly outed as to motives.</p>
<p>If you want to own an RV, as I once did, proper storage of the unit is part of the cost of ownership. An RV owner's inability to properly store the unit should not have precedence over the safety (visibility) and the neighbourhood's aesthetics that affect everyone.</p>
<p>If, by-laws are put in place and are not enforced, then this survey is a waste of time.</p>
<p>Illegal suites, multifamily dwellings, home business with multiple vehicles....the city needs to control the existing situation before adding more to the mix.</p>
<p>Illegal use of RVS as shelters needs to be considered.</p>
<p>I'm not sure how a parking stall is defined, but I think street parking should be limited for RVs.</p>
<p>I'm not sure where else they can store them... maybe the city should have a lot for them or something.</p>
<p>I'm not sure.</p>
<p>Imagine if YYC opened up the plaza @ city hall to RV parking. All types welcome, boats and trailers, 40' 70's model RV's, 60's Jetson style travel trailers. You get the idea, but would you enjoy the view?</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Impact how about some sort of preservation of trees and lawns you know things of beauty
Impact if RV owners use street parking for long periods of time when they don't reside at the house they're parked at or know the homeowners of the house they're parking in front of. (Using it as a camping spot)
Impact is openness of neighborhood, less interest for new home buyer with a RV next to his/her house
Impact of changing bylaw is that owners will park the RVs on their driveways and then park their cars on the street. When it snows it makes the street impassable. Some streets are too narrow for double parking of cars. Please keep the current bylaw. It works well and doesn't need tweaking or changing. Thank you
Impact of shade produced by vehicle on neighbour yards and gardens
Impact on available street parking
Impact on street image.
Impact on street parking. Leave as is.
Impact on the area and view being obstructed due to parking of RV and other big vehicles.
Impact to the look of a community, storage of other things above and beyond will happen. People will keep even if not using ever. People living in camping on drive ways
Impact would be those that don't follow rules and allow their unit to encroach sidewalk or the road.
Impact. Community standards
Impact: RVs continued to be parked year round on driveways despite current regulations...will only grow with changed regulations.
Impact: SAFETY over convenience! RVs in my neighborhood are not parked safely and could pose a hazard to children/others if the lumber that is placed to secure them, moves. Too many unsafe practices! Also could be dangerous as some driveways have a significant slope to them. There needs to be a bylaw that clearly outlines the safety aspect of parking RVs on driveways. Not all driveways are flat and any kind of a slope creates a parking hazard - the NW of Calgary has many sloped driveways.
Impacts - Property values are negatively impacted if your neighborhood looks like an R.V. storage or parking area.
Impacts - Safety of the persons living in the neighbourhood (especially children who play near the property). Vision issues caused by the size of the RV.
Impacts - spill over parking on the public street. but it is public, so this is not a major impact. benefit - folks can plan ahead and live their lives easier. they can get their RVs ready earlier to enjoy their weekend easier. That's a win in my books!
Impacts are also, parking on City Streets are already full this will lead to more issues with parking on some areas and the line of sight for drivers will be poor.
Impacts are congested roadways and safety for both pedestrians crossing the streets between parked vehicles as well as vehicles backing out of driveways.
Impacts are less visibility of the street view. The large vehicles will shade plant life and trees. Some neighbours may take advantage and have people staying/living in these vehicles all summer.
Impacts are substantial. The only benefits that there would be would be to the RV owner and as tax payers we should not be subject to dealing with the view of these obstructions or the uncleanness but most of all we struggle in my ward to find a spot for a visitor to park when you do have a visitor let alone giving these RV and huge vehicle owners more reason to take



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

up our residential streets. I was an RV owner and I would have never put my neighbors through this. Our streets are cluttered!
Impacts for the city is the police service will now have to add even more to their workload looking for stolen vehicle parts and persons breaking in.
Impacts- if people have unlimited rv parking in their driveways through spring/summer, more owners will be keeping their rv in their driveway, creating ongoing blockages of sidewalks during busy pedestrian and child scooter/trike use times.
Impacts include creating unwanted shade, impeding access to other driveways, reducing community walkability, potential misuse as living quarters.
Impacts of view of children, pedestrians, should be of main concern.
Impacts Safety when RV's obstruct
Impacts the kids most who love to walk to neighbours houses, play and bike with friends without having parents to be worrying.
Impacts to businesses that provide storage services.
Impacts to consider are how to prevent RV owners from parking on the street who ignore city bylaws. No RV should be allowed to park on the street at any time.
Impacts to neighbours light. Could cause excessive shade to front yards block windows of home if driveway is close.
Impacts visibility for drivers and pedestrians and impacts sidewalks
Impacts would be where do household owners then park? On the streets causing further eyesore and frustrations to neighbour's. I believe it could also increase the number of break ins in particular areas I, which ultimately could impact insurance costs for all home owners in a particular area. I personally would worry about my child's safety having numbers of RVs passing through residential areas, visibility on toad merges, speeds, dangers in reversing large vehicles like these. And to have this for 6mths from Spring to Fall causes great anxiety from a home owner and parent.
Impacts: electrical cords are tripping hazards. Why should us neighbours have to listen to endless hours of partying and additional noise from individuals using their travel trailer as housing through these months? Who is this convenient for - the people who own RVs - now they don't have to pay storage fees - not my problem- they bought they can pay for it - not me and my family. RVs do not belong in residential neighborhoods!
Impacts: obstructed views, roadways and sidewalks Not all residents will adhere t the rules. I can easily list 2-3 addresses in Kincora that have trailers in their driveways that encroach on the street.
Impacts: this opens the door for other types of junk to be stored on front driveways in plain view of the neighbourhood.
Impaired vision of hazards, unsightliness, potential increased break-ins, depreciation of neighbourhood.
Improved community aesthetics by not allowing extended RV parking on residential properties
In addition to safety concerns, RVs on lawns reduce the aesthetics in a neighborhood as well as the overall enjoyment and safety of other reside of the community. RVs parking on streets would also negatively impact businesses that cater to storing /parking RVs.
In addition to the above listed items with people using their driveways for RV parking that means that there vehicles will be parked on the street. Thereby taking parking from people who may not have a parking pad or driveway.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

In an age of eco-consciousness and high gas prices it is incredible naive to expect RV owners to make multiple trips for each camping outing to bring trailer from storage to home to load, and the back to home to unload before returning to long term storage.
In certain neighborhoods, citizens use their RV as an extra room for hosting guests or having family gatherings. Citizens should continue to enjoy that freedom and privilege as long as they are being respectful to their neighbors and not causing safety concerns.
In communities like Chaparral Valley, the city and developers were greedy. Zero lot lines, no front yards and nowhere to pile snow. Adding trailers or RVs to these already limited spaces would be detrimental to this community.
In cul-de-sac, one RV will take all the drive space.
In instances of corner driveways where visibility of vehicle or pedestrian traffic is impeded, the bylaws may need some adjusting
In many areas of Calgary there is not enough parking already for every day vehicles, by using their driveways for rec vehicles that ends up taking away even more street parking.
In my area of Garrison Green, we have a lot of one-way streets in the community and very few homes with driveways because it's a heritage home community. Thus, lots of campers are parked along one-way roads, or by the parks. It might be nice to have a designated RV temporary parking area, like a snow route, within a community that is shared/communal. For example, like a 20-60ft zone where people could leave a camper for 36 hours, maybe near a wide space like a park.
In my case it provides a barrier from the kids playing on the street in front of the house, (I'm on a cul de sac).
In my community we have long front driveways that go to the back of our property and for RV's to be parked on those I don't think that harms the sight lines or community in anyway
In my community, there have been several incidents of vehicle thefts recently. I believe that having recreational vehicles parked on driveways and therefore visible from the street would attract more crime to our area.
In my experience, residents are not abiding by the bylaws as they are now and as such perhaps the issues should include bylaw enforcement if bylaws are to be changed (which I am not in favour of due to the safety implications).
In my neighborhood people leave cars and vans for weeks & months on the road and it is a problem finding parking. I can't imagine now that RV's could be taking these spots now too.
In my ward I find the bylaw as it is has not been enforced as. Rv and trailers just park on the street or front yards year round.
In our area, the majority of the RV's would need to be housed on city property since most driveways are not long enough. What will you do for policing areas such as these? Why would we not require RV owners to be responsible for their property, that is by renting a designated parking space somewhere. It was their choice to purchase the RV, knowing full well the requirements for a rented parking space at the time they purchased the unit.
In our neighbourhood (hidden valley) a lot of RVs and camper vans are left on the roads and side lanes for long periods of time (weeks+) in the summer. They block the roads and access to our back lane and fender benders have occurred due to blocked sightlines. If parking was allowed on driveways for longer maybe some of these issues would be avoided. Better enforcement is definitely needed in the suburbs for parking of RVs and vehicles.
In short my preference is to not allow driveway parking through the summer months as some of the RVs don't stay on the parking pad as most homes here have at least 2 cars.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

In short, it cuts down on over-regulation. If it's an unsightly issue it can be dealt with via other bylaw methods
In small culdesacs it is hard to see around these
In some cases, some folks have family or friends visit and they actually stay in the RV while parked. So if you allow the parking to be longer it is possible people will be staying in them longer in these cases. Noise parties etc.
In some communities, on street parking is at a premium.
In summer people should be able to keep them on their driveways. I live next to a house with a large cargo trailer parked in the drive 24/7. It's annoying. Snow blows over it and drifts all over my driveway. Then the residents are parking all over the street so also a bit annoying
In the comparisons to other municipalities; all of the other communities listed are not cities I would consider living in. It's not comparing premier cities like Vancouver, Toronto or Montreal.
In this atmosphere, it is very difficult/unsafe to report neighbors for infractions, as it causes a neighborhood war and the people who are reported on are prone to lash out and retribute and blame the neighbor who filed the complaint (instead of taking responsibility for being in the wrong) Please make the bylaw strong enough that it is not the burden of the neighbors (neighbors of the RV owners) to enforce/report infractions. Too many vehicles everywhere already - don't add to the problem.
In this neighborhood, motorhomes, trailers for the most part are unsightly and are not operational. We have one next door to us that has been parked for approx. 9 months and had to be towed there. This takes away from the esthetics of our property.
In times of financial turmoil and stress, The city's support of recreational vehicles contributing to positive mental health would be a great plus to the public. One less thing for people To worry about being fined when they are trying to enjoy some healthy work:life balance in the ownership of recreational vehicles.
Increase congestion in already congested areas. Decrease enjoyment of property by those who use their front yards. Decrease sight lines creating very unsafe conditions for children to play outside or ride bikes and scooters. Will see RV owners paving over grassed areas so they can park their RV in front as well as 1, 2 vehicles creating parking lots If the proposed ammendment is accepted by council, a permit should be required to park your RV on your driveway. Cost should be significant, \$300.
Increase crime activities having those vehicles parked out day and night for days after days.
Increase in traffic and noise. Leads to poor aesthetics for the community.
Increase of crime.. into neighbourhods that have these type of vehicles .. trailers - not interested to have more foot traffic of those that look for quick theft from parked recreational vehicles etc.
Increased crime and theft from the rvs that are now parked all over instead of in secure storage.
Increased crime Decreased neighbourhood watch Poor aesthetics
Increased crime, impact on youth and overall negative impact to social cultural community.
Increased criminal activity and increasingly ugly neighbourhods are not what Calgary needs.
Increased local storage will keep a lot more RVs off city streets given a decrease in "weekend shuffle" as aome owners don't have to coordinate with off-site storage.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Increased potential theft and break ins.
Increased property values.
Increased recreational time in alberta.
Increased risk of criminal activity. Car prowling's are a regular occurrence and RVs are easy targets. Increased opportunities draws the thieves.
Increased traffic of RVs. Site lines around turns. People renting out RVs as Airbnbs. The list of downsides to the community is endless. If you want to park an Rv at your house, living in the country is a good choice
Increases Seasonal rv use will negatively impact street parking availability in neighbourhoods if the RV is being used by 'guests' long term
Increasing the allowed length opens our communities up to people parking them and renting them out as air b'n'b beds
Inflation, help families
Internally engage with water and bylaw who manage many complaints about improper disposal.
Interpretation of this law and setting out strict guidelines have to be considered. What are some of the issues other municipalities are experiencing and how will these be considered in any updates to our current bylaws. What additional resources will be put in place to uphold the changes? Back allies and front driveways are already littered with cars and recycle/garbage/compost bins.
Is encouraging the use of RVs in line with The City's environmental plan?
Is sewage and leakage a concern?
Is there a way to ensure that only a vehicle registered to the owner of a property can be parked there? In our area, absentee landlords frequently charge extra for renting the garage (even when it is supposed to be for the use of a secondary suite tenant). They will likely also be happy to rent out their driveways for recreational vehicles, especially since they don't live there. Also there should be max one camping unit! Nobody should be allowed to park multiple units. Too unsightly.
Is this being considered by the city to make more money by charging additional 'fees/permits' - I don't agree with this. It would never get properly 'patrolled'. If you want to see what it can end up looking like, have a look at Crowsnest Pass and Lumsden in Regina - it looks like a junkyard. Do they allow this in Vancouver and Toronto? We live on a curve/hill which is dangerous and difficult to see around the corner especially with large vehicles parked and blocking your view.
Is this really the way you want to address homelessness? Please don't be ignorant of how this bylaw change could be leveraged by property owners and people who already live in RVs as their home. The public is not consenting to permanent residences on their neighbors' properties.
Isn't there more important things the City/Council should be focused on than this? Is there a list of items that residents could access that the City/Council are looking at and how are they prioritizing these items?
Issue tickets where warranted
It affects the standards of the community. It makes the neighbourhood look cluttered and junky.
It allows it to be more convenient + cost effective and I think if allowed we will see a higher number of Calgarians going to the outdoors for these reasons.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It also allows for any visitors you have in the summer and for stampede to have a free place to stay. In these tough economic times it is important to support families.
It also can lead to noise complaints if people are staying in them, as they aren't very sound proof. In my experience, they tend to be burgled more often as they may hold more valuables than a car would.
It also has a viewing impact negatively when selling a home. It devalues a neighborhood.
It benefits people who frequently camp and may just be wanting to keep their RV on their driveway for more than 36 hours at a time in between camping trips
It binders driver's ability to see children on the property.
It blocks our view of the street all summer. Tired of it as it is.
It can be very upsetting for the neighbor of an RV owner who's view gets blocked from the RV! I am undecided on this issue.
It causes hard feelings between neighbors. In a former house the RV owner parked it to get ready for his vacation, another neighbor was selling his house got so mad, he took malicious action. My son living in Lethbridge. His neighbor parked his RV between their houses and partly on my son's property. The Motorhome totally blocked light and vision. The city said you talk to the neighbor to resolve this. After several years my son + family ended up moving from this new neighborhood. Unpleasant!
It causes more of a challenge to keep kids safe with cars driving down the street adding blind spots
It cost to store vehicles and if it's only at a home temporarily it should be alloud for a longer duration.
it costs me \$50.00 a month to store my boat elsewhere because the City does not allow me to keep it on my property. I can park an ugly beat up old car on my lot, but not a beautiful \$50K boat. That makes no sense.
It could even be used as a secondary suite or air bnb
It could potentially have associated environmental benefits and roadway benefits (Instead of having to take the trailer home after camping to empty it and then drive it back to somewhere for storage)
It creates a hazard that sometimes there are children darting in and out from these RV's.If the RV is on the driveway, this displaces their vehicles and they end up on the street and taking spaces that should be used by homeowners.
It does not impact the city to have RV's on personal property.
It doesn't affect me to have neighbors park their RVs on their driveway. But that also means that our streets are lined with more cars, parked in a manor that doesn't allow safe visibility around corners. (If their driveway is filled with an RV - they park regular vehicles in the street). I have also encountered times when people have broken down or not in use trailers on their driveway that just look horrible, making our street look like a dump yard. And then it's up to me to call in to bylaw to take care of it. I don't want to tattle on my neighbors so perhaps there is a permit or something that people could have, where it's not up to me to have to call in.
It doesn't make sense for someone who is going to use their RV successive weekends to have to take it back to storage. However, it MUST NOT be in front of neighbors homes either. Allowing a more lenient time period means that RV owners have to be courteous to their neighbors, but you can't create a bylaw for that...
It downgrades the look & feel and creates unsafe roadways. It also blurs the lines between RVs and large trucks that park on the street for weeks. In my opinion, cars should not be allowed to park on the streets at all

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It impedes the ability for drivers to see children playing on their driveway. also for home owners backing out of their driveway.
It is a city style of living. Maybe there should be open several (3-5) RV parking lots in the city to accommodate temporary/seasonal/year around need of RV owners in their part of city. These parking lots should be easily accessible, very reasonably priced, safe, ...
It is a disgrace that we pay property tax, and must maintain our sidewalks, yet we are not entitled to have a designated parking spot in front of our own homes because of others that choose either not park in their garages, or use there driveways for rvs and commercial vehicles.
It is also an opportunity for more vandalism. And, there are people who would use them for accommodation. Then there is the issue of water hoses and electrical cords.
It is amusing to see how your impacts are community oriented, but your benefits are entirely based on self interest. Very poorly presented.
It is an eye sore. There has to be a parking spot for these RV's outside of residential areas.
it is bad enough that people use their garages not for cars, but for the collection of waste and garbage they accumulate over the years. Not allowing cars, RV etc on the street full time when resident has a garage should be the next step to freeing up millions in donations to families in need.
It is challenging to balance the look of neighbourhoods with the need for more housing to meet the needs of growing populations in our cities. Old neighbourhoods have a very different look from the new, more dense, neighbourhoods.
It is easier for criminals to hide around, easier for rodents to get in.
It is extremely unsightly and does not promote community when large RVs block sight lines across neighbours who can be your "eyes and ears".
It is important that if this is approved, as mentioned in the pretext, that the RVs are not blocking sidewalks (as sometimes we have encountered this in the neighbourhood), which makes it difficult or unsafe for folks to pass, especially with young children, wheelchairs, walkers, or strollers. It is important for folks to know that the road in front of their house is not their property, thus the RV cannot park there the whole season.
It is important that it this be limited to an appropriate amount of time only to accommodate packing and unpacking for the season in order that the said vehicle is not used for accommodation for guests while parked in the front driveway.
It is important to ensure the one week time frame so as to not become temporary housing especially on public roadways. But it should not become so restrictive that people can not park a unit in their own backyard where it does not impact neighborhood.
It is important to explain what "front driveway" includes. Is this on public roadways or private property. Some roads within the city cannot handle a wide vehicle or trailer being parked there and still allow for two vehicles to pass one another on the road way comfortably. I think that parking a large vehicle on a roadway should be limited to a timeframe to "pack" the trailer or boat etc... However if we are talking about private property please allow people to just move freely and do with their land as they please. Look up what an average parking pad costs monthly for these RV owners. With price gouging everywhere perhaps this is one we can leave alone and allow people to do as they please
It is looks unsightly and causes issues with parking.
It is not always the residence.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It is one thing to have a unhappy neighbour complain about the rv. It is another for the neighbour to anonymously have the right to force one to move the rv. The owner has no right to appeal or to consult with immediate neighbours. That is ridiculous.
It is simple safety for children and seniors should over-ride convenience, cost-effectiveness, and sense of security for RV owners.
It is the owner's choice to leave their RV in their driveway. It doesn't block the view. I think it should be allowed as some of my neighbors already leave their RV in their driveway. I really doesn't impact the view, the look of the neighborhood. As long as it is not on municipal property.
It is their property, as long as it does not physically damage another's property let them do what they want. Not the city's job to inflict restrictions on private property.
It is too congested in some neighborhoods already, crime would increase as people would be breaking into these vehicles. eye sore.
It is very costly to store these vehicles and if you have the room, why not.
It is very inconvenient to have RV only for 36 hours in case if you do any repairs or maintenance. Also RV parkings are crowded, expensive and not safe in many cases.
it is very stressful see the streets full of cars parked in a neighborhood with narrow roads
It keeps the Karen's at bay for 3 days so that's a positive. The owner simply needs to move it for one day/go camping with it on the weekend, come home and park it again. There is an argument that it should be parked for 48 hours so people come home late Sunday and leave Thursday for camping.
It looks like [removed]
It looks unsightly. Tired of the idling noise and pollution when owner charges batteries or whatever he does. Owning an RV means storage, it's part of the package just as owning a boat is, need storage at a marina. They can be used on a drive as a 'hotel' - that has happened in our area too.
It makes it easier for trailer owners to have people stay with them. A nightmare for bylaws to police
It makes the city look junky!!
It may be wise to consider a free application to park RV so that there is a process that it can also be challenged in some situations depending on how it impacts neighbors and community. Might be good to have community associations involved in deciding locally as communities are developed differently and would be impacted differently.
It may decrease the availability of street parking if RVs are taking up driveway space and the household's other vehicles are then parking on the street.
It means more people can camp, and use their RVs without onerous costs of storage. More enjoyment of using it for pleasure if it is closeby. and a handy place for short term guests.
It might be a signal to thieves when the rv is gone that the house is empty.
It only benefits the RV holder but harmful to the majority of communities who are pedestrian or driver
It promotes more theft, it's ugly and mostly it can be dangerous with visibility- especially in the winter when snow on top of units makes it even harder and more unsafe.
It really comes down to safety in the area, there are already a high concern with catalytic converters being stolen, and if there are any RV's in the area with them, it will cause possibly multiple thefts for them in the area. As I don't believe the new current by-law of registering them is useful at all.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It seems people will do whatever they want unless rules are clearly defined enforced and warnings/fines if the rules are not followed.
It seems reasonable in Summer months to allow RV parking, but not year round
It seems very reasonable to allow RV parking in a home's driveway - it is on the owner's property, not City property.
It should be paid for the RV parking and not leave the RV the whole Summer to save some dollars.
It should not be parked permanently.
It starts with an RV then other things creep on to the driveway.
It will clog up residential streets even more, making two lane roads down to single lane.
It will congest the roads and reduce visibility. With busy city like Calgary it can lead to increased accidents.
It will give more families the opportunity to enjoy the outdoors
It will help keep truck connected RV'S from sitting in streets
It will help lots of families. Seasonal parking is being used in many places and it works well. The city should consider doing something positive for families to enjoy our short season.
It will impact by-law in enforcing any changes to the law. I believe fines for non-compliance should be well documented, and high enough to pay for any extra staff required to enforce.
It will make our homes and streets look worse. There are plenty of storage options.
It will promote people living in RV's in driveways
It will save families costs of storage.
It would allow people to make more use of the trailer while reducing some of the storage costs.
It would allow people to save the cost of storage.
It would allow people to stay in them temporarily.
It would also be better if the city allowed a short grace period for dropped trailers on the street so that people can get them ready on a Thursday evening and still use their vehicle to go to work the next day and then come home and leave. Not all lots have room to leave a truck and trailer hooked up
It would be detrimental if they were parked on the street as they take up more space than cars. Also difficult when there are several (3-4) cars to one house and they park all over.
It would be great if the city would stop wasting money on stupid initiatives and kept property taxes lower
It would be great if we could avoid turning residential areas in to trailer storage lots. 3 days is plenty to load or unload a trailer.
It would be important that the large RV or recreational vehicle does not extend beyond the driveway and into the street for extended amounts of time. I have seen 5th wheels parked in driveways pushed right up against the home with about 1 metre overhanging into the street. The street itself was a cul-de-sac.
It would be more beneficial for people to be able to keep campers, rvs, trailers etc on their driveways for extended periods of time all-year-round, and not just seasonally. For instance, why should someone with an ATV trailer (primarily used spring to fall) be allowed to keep their trailer on their driveway for longer periods of time (i.e "seasonally from spring to fall"), whereas someone with a snowmobile trailer (primarily used in winter) wouldn't be able to. Functionally, a snowmobile trailer does the same thing as an ATV trailer and should therefore be subject to the same rules.
It would be nice for ppl to access there RVs more readily in front of there house



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It would be nice to give rv folks a little more time than the current 36hiurs, maybe 48-72?
It would certainly be a good benefit for family travelling by RV to be able to park at your home.
It would ease the cost burden on families who want to go camping. RV storage is expensive and unnecessary. Let people use their own property! There would be fewer miles driven going to retrieve and return the RV from the storage location between camping weekends. I don't own an RV and don't care if my neighbours have one in their driveway year round. Leave them alone! Let families enjoy camping without making it more difficult for them!
it would eliminate trailers from being parked on the street hooked up to vehicles!
It would encourage more citizens to contemplate the the RV lifestyle and get outdoors and experience our country in a more meaningful way
It would encourages living in trailers.
It would help those who own RVs
It would make street parking even worse. It also makes it more obvious when someone is on holiday which could increase break ins.
It would negatively impact public safety for no public benefit
It would possibly create fire hazards due to people plugging in extension cords to charge/power RVs if they were allowed to park for long periods of time. If people used them as temporary residency when family or friends are visiting, it would also create more issues.
It would reduce camper traffic on the inner city roads for the retrieval and return trips to and from the storage lots. Reduced travel = reduce traffic volumes = reduced gas emissions = win win
It would save people money on parking and time going back and forth.
It wouldn't work in Southview or Forest Lawn. We already have people trying to live in them all year in our community. Citizens here are always trying to push the limit and don't understand bylaws. It is also unsightly.
It's a city so treat it as such. Not a RV park.
It's a fairer rule to allow seasonal RV parking as not everyone has side or back parking available on their property. Have you looked into who is being inconvenienced/harmed by a front RV parking restriction and who isn't to ensure this policy isn't racist, ageist, sexist, etc? If seasonal parking works well elsewhere it will also work well here, and respect personal freedom and property.
It's a residential neighborhood so keep it that way please
It's a safety issue as people will then start parking on the street and it will block people's view of children
It's a slippery slope to allow this sort of thing. Not only is it a Safety issue with obstructed views, and how long would it be before people were living in the rv's? The opportunity to make income from a recreational toy would soon see people renting out the units to recoup some of their cost. How would the city prevent this type of thing from happening? They can't prevent illegal secondary suites as it is.
It's an additional invitation to crime. We already have a big problem with driveway break-ins - which increases pressure on CPS & raises insurance rates. Get them into secured places and out of neighbourhoods. We have lived in many restricted covenant neighbourhoods in the US. Good rules make good neighbourhoods & protect property values.
It's an eye soar, we have had ppl live in them and dump black water in sewer,
It's an eye sore to see RV parked on driveway all year not being used.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It's an eyesore and limits vision of what becoming cars.
It's annoying that there is no roadway because everyone parks their RV on the driveway.
It's better than being parked on the front streets for weeks on end! We want people out enjoying the outdoors!
It's completely obstructive
It's convenient and time saving to be able to keep them on the driveway and you can attend to damages right away instead of waiting and not knowing.
It's dangerous
It's dangerous and ugly!
You want an RV, store it like everyone else
It's hard enough to find parking and our streets are narrow in newer suburbs and then try to add putting out bins twice a week that they won't pick up if the bins are too close to vehicles
It's important that people don't park junk on their driveways and these RVs are actually useable
It's just not safe. There should be a very short time-frame where they can park to get in, but not more than a couple of hours. What a nightmare for all of us to have this giant thing blocking the entire view. People also wash them and charge them, which is an added layer of danger for our kids.
Thank you
It's not fair to have people pay to park their items off of their property that they own
It's one level above homelessness. Y'all crazy.
It's providing a greater opportunity for environmental concerns with leaking gas, sewage. You will encourage out of town families to come live in the trailer and disrupt the neighborhood.
Vehicles normally parked in the driveway will now be on the street congesting the streets even more than what they are.
It's simply a safety thing - plain and simple. Yes it will look bad, but if you start blocking people's visibility for getting safely out of their own driveway because their neighbour parks an RV and is allowed to do so, I think this is a dangerous consideration by the city. Please do not change this law. To note, maybe change the existing bylaw from 36 hours to 48 hours - but do not change it to be permanent.
It's their property. There are members of our community that park their vehicles in yards or driveways. Doesn't hurt or bother anyone. Let this go and get on with important issues.
It's unsightly...just pay for storage and create jobs.
It's very expensive to store an rv. Especially if it's being used a lot, keeping it at home just makes sense
It's a huge cost savings to families & may offer them more security for protecting a large investment, as well as making it more accessible to use their RV more easily.
It's a person's property, their drive way, the city needs to mind it's own business. This bylaw as it is looks like over-reach.
It's a private cost, people who can afford a trailer can pay. Plus I bet increases the chance of hail damage and insurance claims and that makes it worse for everyone in the city of calgary
Its a safety thing mostly, but as a homeowner I don't want to stare at a rv all the time.
It's always a few bad apples ruining the bunch. Small, or measured changes allowing a "little bit more" is likely the way to go. Or, a... 72 hour permit can be purchased for a nominal fee (for example) in those busier seasons

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

It's an inconvenience to every one in the area. Forced to look at and work around these oversized vehicles. And in many places, they will take up way too much parking
It's not a good idea. People don't follow the 36 hour rule as it is. It will be unsightly and unsafe.
It's not safe. Also our view would be blocked and that's not right. I like to look out my windows and see things. Not recreational vehicles. Not safe at all to back out of your driveway because you can't see what's coming.
It's probably rather pricy to pay to store them. As long as they're not blocking your neighbour's access or impacting their ability to use their property, I would say even longer than what is proposed is reasonable.
It's ridiculous that we don't already allow people to park on their own property.
It seems if there is an RV parking pad in the rear of the home it seems to work better, Driveway parking is usually abused, 36 hours is fine for prepping and loading a camper for a trip
It's their property, and as long as it isn't inviting pests, it isn't bothering anyone. Leave citizens alone and remove the ridiculous invasive bylaws.
It's time to stop subsidizing automobile ownership, this is just another step in doing the opposite. Street parking of these vehicles should also be reviewed and current bylaw timeframes reduced significantly as well.
It's unappealing for people especially in nice neighbourhoods
I've almost been hit too many times by cars as I was cycling or walking because I've been dodging parked vehicles on roads. RV parking isn't as problematic as "normal" cars and trucks. Calgaryans just have too many cars!
Just because you bought an RV without considering where to park doesn't mean we have to endure it all year long except for the 2 weeks you're actually going to use it.
Just because you can doesn't mean that you should. Ownership of one's property must consider its impact on neighbours. Your rights end at the tip of my nose. Community standards are the balancing of individual and collective rights.
Just don't allow it! They should be required to store these not in a residential area!
just don't change it. it's a dumb idea.
Just don't do it. They can find open lots throughout Calgary.
Just go to smaller rural communities and see what they look like! More trailers parked on roads than on drive ways. People will park in front of theirs or others home to save on parking compound charges. If they move it a little bylaw will never be able to stop the problem. Hold strong and keep the bylaws as they are now! They work for the majority of owners who own these vehicles.
just let people do what they need to do if it doesn't hurt anyone.
Just like it is important to have a clean yard, we need to ensure that RVs, etc do not turn neighbourhoods into garbage areas.
Just maintain a bylaw that is fair and equitable to all.
Just say no to this ridiculous proposal
The streets are too congested with normal cars already
The city streets and driveways are much too small for RV parking

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Don't have an RV if you can't abide by the rules in place
Use your RV where it is meant to be used - go camping, fishing, boating, on a road trip
Residential streets and communities will become super ugly if RVs are allowed to stay more than 36 hours
Vehemently opposed to this
Just sightlines.
Just so long as they are not on the road - their own driveway is fine. We all pay way too much in taxes for this to be a concern. It is their own property on their own property.
Just that the bylaw is fine as it is. 36 hours should be enough time for ppl to unload and clean their vehicles and then move it to an appropriate place to store their RV. If it is changed at all, perhaps making it 48 hours, but don't allow season parking.
Just the esthetics of it. Monstrosities of RVs littered everywhere makes neighbourhoods look bad. The function of an RV is not to sit on a driveway.
Just treat all quadrants and wards the same. It's obvious that all Calgary communities are not treated equally. Some communities are targeted with notices to remove RVs from driveways and lanes and some are not. I understand a bylaw officer will only issue a warning if a neighbor has complained.
Just use common sense.
Just what I mentioned above.
Thank you
Karen's are a bigger concern for the wellbeing of the city and its citizens than rvs
Keep bylaw as is DONT try and fix. Something that's not broken
Keep it same
Keep it the way it is, why are you thinking about changing this?
Keep limits as is. People already abuse the timeline so don't extend it!
Keep neighborhoods not looking like parking lots.
Keep our neighbourhoods safe and beautiful.
Keep the bylaw unchanged
Keep the large vehicles parked in a large lot. There is a lot of green space in each community that can be converted to RV storage. I'm sure community would get volunteers to look after it if their property was on it. Another source of income for the city like parking lots
keep the no camping rule, safety shouldn't be compromised, no blocking others' driveways or access, aesthetic concerns are just "karen-y"
Keep the side/back property RV parking.
Keep the trailer park out of our residential zones.
Keeping a trailer at your house means you're not paying rent at an out of town RV parking facility. This is \$60-150/month a family can spend within Calgary and not have it leak out to the distant municipalities.
keeping one's [removed] at home
Keeping residential areas neat, tidy and uncluttered is a big enough job without adding more vehicles and recreational items into the mix. Let's keep our city as neat and tidy as we can.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We all live close to one another and appreciate seeing up and down the street as best we can. Which these days is not easy given the limited parking everywhere.
Kids like to "camp out" in the driveway sometimes, so letting families keep their RV in their own driveway will facilitate more fun times ahead for those kids! Won't somebody think of the children?? (Sorry, couldn't resist, but it's also true)
Kids playing especially kids with disabilities. Don't do it
Kids playing on the streets between all the excess vehicles will cause a lot of problems
Knock on effect of more vehicles parking on the road with full driveways.
Lack of alley ways in several areas leave owners with no other place to park. Hence, driveways and on the road in front their homes should allowed.
This should be absolutely allowed without question.
Lack of Bylaws to oversee complaints should ever be unsightly or unscrupulous people living in every.
Lack of enforcement for sure. There will be those who park on streets - guaranteed and who will enforce their removal?
Lack of parking already with new zoning leading to more density
Land value, a property that has an adjacent neighbour that has an RV parked out front for that sessional period would indirectly have a negative impact on someone trying to sell their property.
Large metal dumpsters should not be allowed to sit on driveways for months/years on end.
Large rec vehicles (bus sized motor homes or 5th wheels) should NOT be allowed to park on minor residential (ie non bus route) streets over 24hours. Such large vehicles significantly impede sightlines and space for regular vehicle movement on such small streets. Parking of these oversized vehicles on small roadways create significant hazards particularly for pedestrian/vehicle interactions.
large rv are ugly and deteriorate the ambience of a community.
Large RVs look out-of-place when stored in front yards in residential areas. They break up the flow of the streetscape. Maintenance may also occur when parked for an extended period of time, and this activity always seems inappropriate in residential areas. It always seems to make a property less attractive.
Large vehicles are a danger to the community, hard enough to reverse out of driveway with speeders already. Blocking view of the road is hazardous. Also the only convenience is to the owners of said vehicles while the residents struggle to stay safe! Please do not allow any extensions!
larger trailers on our street are often parked in front of homes with electrical cords running across the sidewalk as well as steps to enter the trailer encroaching onto the sidewalk
Last year, a family across the St from me on Everridge Drive, had another entire family, living for MONTHS in the travel trailer parked on their driveway. Parking is already at a premium, with several vehicles, for the residents of the house, the driveway & garage parking spots were lost due the rv, as well the several vehicles belonging to the rv dwellers. The lg RV, made it hard to see oncoming vehicles due to a slight curve in the rd @ this location, dangerous, especially for kids on bikes
Law should be equal and fair for all similar size vehicles . School busses are not considered as obstruction to signs or safety . I feel like its not fair.
Leaking from a variety of systems such as oil or Grey water



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

leaking oil and gas is not good for the environment
leaking sewage out of RV's into water system because of poorly educated individuals, blocking side walks with extended hitch even if it is 1 night it is to much
Leaking vehicles into the storm sewer and reduced driveway clearing leading to litres runoff and icy patches
leave people alone and stop looking for new ways of charging people extra for basic rights. we live in alberta, surrounded by world class outdoors. alot of people camp/have trailers so they can enjoy our surroundings. the fact that this is even a question gives reason to why people are fed up with government overstep. just to get more money out of people? bug off please and thank you.
Leave the bylaw alone!!!
Leave the by-law as is. Enforcement costs need to be evaluated.
Leave the bylaw the way it is.
Leave well enough alone.
Leaving people alone and focus on helping the underserved.
Less accidents with less hauls to and from storage areas.
Less back and forth driving of RV's to storage locations, less driving means less Greenhouse Gas and other emissions, less environmental impact.
Less back and forth if you need to drive to storage if you don't have back or side parking
Less CO2 emissions would be generated if allowed to park in front driveway seasonally due to not having to commute back and fourth to storage facilities.
Less driving back and forth to remote storage means less traffic and less pollution i expect
Less government regulations equals good government
Less Nanny state please
Less offsite land use if able to park and RV on a driveway.
Less parked vehicles on the street would be preferred for safety and ability to have guest vehicles park on the street as RVs will take up at least 2 parking spots for prolonged times. Our street has limited parking.
Less parking available. Plus I would be concerned that people would be living in them of renting out trailers as Air B&B's
Less pedestrian accidents
Less pollution, less wear and tear on roads and vehicles back and forth from storage yards.
Less rules and bylaws pls.
Less rules please
Less rules to enforce and waste resources on
Less street parking. Encouraging people to live in RVs on properties. Obstruction of oncoming traffic
Less stress
Less time commuting to and from storage lots, Better security when it's on your property then stored which equals less break ins/ thefts and less insurance claims. Allow more people to run small business and have one less thing to worry about if they need to park a small cargo trailer.
Less towing of trailers on city streets.
Less traffic for owners to get and return these RV's. That results in less emissions and road congestion.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Less traffic from having to have 2 cars pick up one vehicle, and more environmentally conscious.
Less travelling between storage area and home. This can be a large distance and if you travel often you have to make this trip many times a summer - it is 4 trips each time you want to take your RV out for a weekend.
Less wasted space for RV parking lots. Literally land that isnt being used as a productive business. Companies that are just land grabs make limit land for future generations and should be frowned upon.
Let neighbours work stuff out like adults - "Conerns" are a catch all word for balderdash.
Let people do as they please with their own property.
Let people enjoy their purchases. Making people drive to a parking lot every weekend to get their RV is a nuisance and should against your so-called "climate emergency".
Let people fo what they want on their own property.
Let people park on street
Let's keep our city cleaned and safe for everyone.
Let's keep our communities free of campers/recreation vehicles so we can enjoy the beauties that our city has to offer. When one purchases one they know they must store it elsewhere and the cost should be factored into the operating costs as well as the time to travel to and from their storage unit. allowing them seasonally will just create neighbouring issues. Lastly, our summers are short enough and to sit outside looking at your neighbours rv is not a pleasant sight.
Let's make Calgary great again! Last summer people In my neighbourhood were living in their trailers in the driveway. Nothing like another room to rent out. Mr and My kids walking on sidewalks have been so close to being ran over because of the visibility issue they cause! 36hrs is more than enough time to load/unload your recreational vehicle!
Let's make it easy for our citizens to access their rv's. Always having to find storage in between use is a pain for most owners. Let's be a good neighbour city
Let's try to keep our neighbourhoods look tidy and not like a junk yard.
Letting residents do what they want on private driveways should be a right. Focus on something else like all the bylaws that are broken with construction sites, parking cars on lawns, parking backwards on streets, not shoveling sidewalks, etc
Life is already expensive enough. Save everyone the money of having to go back and forth to their storage location
Limited parking already, permit parking inner city is already limited ... unless they have a parking pad, after 36 hrs the RV can go to storage
Long as it's kept clean and safe and not blocking any important areas. Don't see a problem.
Long term storage on front driveways are an eyesore, impact a neighborhood aesthetic, can be a target of crime, and reduce visibility in neighborhoods creating an unsafe environment.
Longer term RV parking on driveways negatively impact neighborhoods.
Look at Chestermere how cramped it is with rvs it is very ugly.
Look at Portland, San Fran, even Canmore. RV's are used by Homeless. This project will suffer "feature creep" & Homeless RV's will move on to back alleys. City will not have the will or desire to tow them away, just like Portland. Garbage and other mess accumulates around RV's too. Who is pushing this agenda? Did a huge number of YYC citizens notice a lack of RV's around and decided we want more? If you put this through, YYCC needs to hire more By-law enforcers to handle the complaints.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Look, sometimes people have too much time on their hands and they like to complain about what everyone else is doing.
Looks bad
Looks horrible and obstructs views for vehicles backing out of their driveways. Not in favor of this at all!
Loosen the leash
Loosing time with driving to the RV park and back.
Loss of security as home sight lines can be obscured by larger type vehicles such as motorhomes and boats parked on driveways. This not only impacts the homeowner whose property is on but also neighboring homes.
Lots now 40 ft or 30 ft lot frontage, double driveways. Households with RVs often have multiple vehicles. Garage only used for storage and street parking needed for their 4 vehicles. Busier in suburbs than downtown. Seriously. RVs also now on street, Resident ignores what others think because it's "temporary". City restricts basketball nets in cul de sacs? Sadly misdirected. Don't create more of problem, it will only increase frustration.
Lots of negative impacts, can't think of a positive benefit. It's important to keep neighborhoods safe with clean site lines. Additional RVs & vehicles everywhere = more car prowling. I'd ask you the same question, what possible benefit would it have to neighbour's who don't own an Rv? I'd like to build a ten foot wall around my house for a sense of security, can I do that? No I can't, and for good reason. Don't you have more important issues to attend to, like the Climate Emergency?
Lots of people already neglect to maintain their property as is, long/overgrown yards, junk strewn about backyards, unshoveled sidewalk. The last thing we need is to have someones run down camper parked outfront all summer.
many communities lack the parking space for additional vehicles on the street. with campers parked in driveways all summer this could lead to additional vehicles needing street parking in areas that already lack space.
Lots of people enjoy Calgary because we are near fabulous scenery, locations and experiences that are in the area for camping trips. As long as trailers and RVs are maintained and parked correctly seasonal parking should be allowed.
Lots of people have RV's, but some are old and look like they belong in a junk yard, not out front of their house. I would be concerned that it would lower the value in my neighborhood.
Lots of them....
City council must be replaced
Lots....do not allow this!!!!!!
Low income housing options a huge benefit
Low income housing options are highly sought right now, this will drastically increase the prevalence of short term rentals and people living in their RVs on someone's property. Impacts will definitely increase breakin and theft in communities versus storage yards. Current bylaw isn't enforced, how will any new bylaw infractions be enforced?
Main concern is blocking sidewalks
Mainly line-of-sight obstructions near intersections
Maintaining curb appeal is important to homeowners and neighbors. Storage fees are part of the purchase for Inner City residents!!!!
Major benefit: people can enjoy their lives.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Make a clear definition of "poor condition" as it can be subjectif.
Make it easier for people to enjoy our short summers. Not everyone can afford second homes.
Make sure sidewalks aren't blocked and RVs are not taking up street parking.
make sure that all owners are in consideration of others users in the area. The surrounding area should have adequate parking for others not just for a few households. if parking is limited then these RV should be asked to limit their parking in the area.
Make sure that the RV will fit the driveway and will not go beyond the property to obstruct public pathway or roads. If you allow the seasonal parking it is beneficial to the economy, more people will rent or buy RV.
Make sure that there is not an added fee to people who choose to keep their RV's at home for the summer, this is not a taxable item.
Make sure the bylaw is enforceable. At this time there are RV's, trailers, boats etc parked all winter in driveways in my cul-de-sac. Not enforced at all. So is it really necessary to alter a bylaw that many are not following anyway.
Make sure they are not allowed on city roadways by townhouse complexes as they block our views and shouldn't be parked there.
Make sure those who own RVs do not become the prominent voice. Advertise this survey more on the news. I found it on Reddit
Make this bylaw simple and time/cost affective to enforce. Make 311 automatically push a letter, and have the caller call in after 7 days if the vehicle isn't moved to initiate an attend scene for the bylaw unit.
Makes it easy to service the unit and do interior maintenance
Makes it far safer to back out of driveways when RVs are parked in a driveway rather than on the street as they block far less visibility.
Makes people happier if they can park their recreational vehicles. More time spent with family if they do not have to travel to grab their trailers before and after.
Makes the city neighbourhoods look unpleasant, and there are safety issues when they obstruct sight lines
Making different types of housing more accessible. Property owners who currently rent out parking spaces could be allowed to rent those spaces to RV owners on-season, allowing them access to clean water and electricity.
Making it easier to be able to make quick trips, or have guests etc. The current bylaws are ridiculous.
Making it easier, by allowing parking for longer, will encourage more outdoor activities
Management of this issue might fit under the 'good neighbour' bylaw.
Mandate onsite parking.
Many homes all ready break the rules if the rules are relaxed I suspect that the vehicles will be parked all year long and it will be difficult to get them removed.
Many homes especially in newer subdivisions do not have alley ways or wide enough access to their backyard for an RV to pass so they are currently completely excluded from owning one unless they can afford to store it off-site.
Many leave rvs unhitched. Hazard for fire fighters
Many neighbourhood's driveways are not big enough to accommodate rv's and it will also add in electric cables as trip hazards and clutter to our streets. Our cul de sac doesn't have additional space for RV's to be parked for long periods of time

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Many of the city's neighborhoods do not have back lane access to park RV's in back yards. In addition, there is little space between properties, which makes parking RV's on the side of a home impossible. Residents without access to back lanes and sides of properties should not be unfairly penalized for parking RV's on front driveways beyond 36 hours.
Many of the RV's parked on driveways are not parked legally and encroach on the city sidewalk or street
Many people already exceed the 36 hours and these vehicles are parked on roadways...not driveways. Most of the RVs in my neighborhood are too large for a driveway. We should be enforcing the bylaws that are currently on the books.
Many people don't have rear or side parking. RV storage is expensive and inconvenient. It is a person's property and usually insured under their home insurance and people should be able to keep their expensive possessions on their own property.
Many people don't understand that the streets are public space. Parking is first come first served. RV parking on street or front driveways for part of the year, in a safe manner seems like a reasonable adjustment.
Many people park rv's and vehicles and block sidewalks or park them in the street for extended periods, this is both a safety issue and a nuisance. If a property has a driveway and the even fits I believe it would help to alleviate this issue.
Many people who have these are inconsiderate to others. I don't want it parked in front of my house and I certainly don't want them parked too close to corners of intersections. No monitoring happens.
Many protrude only the sidewalk. We just don't have the room especially with the higher density areas.
Many reduce stress of many RV owners. It can be a lot of work to get ready to use. Everyone needs more time these days:
Many residential roads are very difficult to navigate due to the lack of space when RV's are allowed in the neighborhood. Many do not follow the 36 hour rule.
Many residents do not have consideration to their neighbours and already we have a lot of discord. Increasing the parking for RVs will not help with existing issues
Many residents who have recreational vehicles own multiple. Additional considerations should be taken for these circumstances. I.e. If you have a boat and an RV, additional stipulations should be made about how, when and where both can be parked.
Many RV owners say that the 36 hour limit is inconvenient and too short of a time period. Even a new time extension of 48 or 72 hours should be considered if seasonal front parking is not accepted by Council. Having a Council consider revising an outdated bylaw is encouraging and a step in the right direction in making this a great city.
Many RV owners use their vehicles once or twice a season. The inconvenience for the couple of times they vacation with their vehicle would not balance with inconvenience of homeowners in the neighborhood having to put up with the view for the majority of the season.
Many RV's are targeted at outside lots, parking them on or near your own property is necessary for property protection.
Many RVs are used as add on accommodation for prolonged periods. These individuals do not pay taxes and use the infrastructure. This will also promote homeowners to "rent out" RV space in front of their homes. Again more impact on using infrastructure (electricity and water) and not paying taxes, like I have to for my home.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Many rvs parked in driveways could potentially attract more crime as they're easier to break in.
Many streets have limited parking to begin with. They can obstruct views which makes crossing a road dangerous- especially near Crosswalks. Very dangerous for children crossing roads for school buses etc. People will break the rules parking too close to hydrants, intersections and obstructing driveways. Bylaw officers will be overrun with complaints. It is not worth the list of negatives
May improve more people accessing Alberta parks and campgrounds by having RV in sight and mind.
maybe add a recreation vehicle surcharge for those who are in support of this and reduce the property assessments for those who house values are impacted.
Maybe if my neighbour who has a 20 year old RV and has run out of money can now park it "seasonally" and rent it out, causing all sorts of other problems. It's a great place for the teenagers to get high, drunk and copulate. What is the City thinking?
Maybe it's wise to make life a little bit difficult for RV owners since they are an environmental disaster.
Maybe the City should start charging people who use their homes as their work place and business storage. That way the City could get extra money that they need for various projects.
Maybe there are other solutions -- for example, making school parking lots available for seasonal RV parking during July - August or increasing the length of stay in a driveway from 36 hours to a few days; if some vehicles are allowed for longer periods, then a size restriction should be in place. Also permits, with revenue going to the local community association for local projects (beautification, benches, art).
Maybe there's a restriction based on the length or height of the RV
Maybe with special permits. But that would be a case by case scenario. Everyone thinks it doesn't bothers anyone but 9 times outta 10 it does.
Mental health deteriorates when you are surrounded by vehicles. I dont want to live in an rv storage yard. The benefit is only for those who own such a vehicle. There are storage yards for such a purpose.
Mentioned above.
Mentioned above. I do not support this move.
Messy looking neighborhoods. Blocked sight lines. For example, my neighbors kitchen window would be blocked by driveway parking. Not to mention that the RV owners would have to park their 2 commercial vehicles and 3 private vehicles on the street. And they never park in front of their own house to begin with.
Might add to street parking congestion in areas with already limited parking.
Mindful of people living in RVs of seasonal passes allow
Money saved on storage fees can be reinvested into actually enjoying their recreational vehicle and putting more money in provincial parks.
Street parking of RVs/trailers attached to vehicles should be heavily limited.
More attention is need for people parking on or too close to aprons/approaches impeding vision and increasing the chances of accidents.
More Bylaw Enforcement on the trailer parking and the space they take up even on the street. Lets keep the space we have and leave the parking to RV Lots where trailers belong...



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

More bylaw work to see if vehicles are 'unsightly' and make sure they are removed at the end of the season. 36 hours is lots of time.
More enforcement is needed
More impacts than none. It would be more beneficial to develop RV parking lots in communities, rather than allow them to park in driveways or parking stalls. Increase the bylaw to let RVs park on the street for 48 hours instead, rather than all summer on driveways or parking stalls. Consider those that don't own any of these additional vehicles and those that choose to enjoy an active lifestyle.
More people can enjoy the city. Save money on other accommodations.
More people may consider getting an RV as they could store it on their own land instead of hauling it to and from a storage facility each week. More people buying RVs means more money into Calgary. The vendor/vendor employees make money, then you have the sales tax and road registration that benefits us all.
More people would be open to paying for a permit on their own lot vs paying a storage fee where they could supervise their own rv and be solely responsible for it.
More RV parking is going to take away green areas, trees and vegetation. This goes against the city's intent to create a greener city and encourages RV owners to pave more areas to store their RV.
Most homes only have one parking space adjacent to their property. If RV owners were to park their RV on their driveways it would result in more cars being parked in the streets increasing risks for cyclists. Some owners have parked their RVs with the hitch partly blocking the sidewalk last summer. This caused pedestrians and young cyclist to have to detour on the road with vehicle traffic. RV owners know the bylaw rules when they purchased their RVs and the reasons why there has been a long standing bylaw restricting street parking remains, so why change it?..
Most likely. But I am also a strong believer in being able to use out property (within reason of course) as we see fit. Keeping in mind being a good neighbour. After all, if we are allowed to raise bees and chickens in our yards (both very impactful on our neighbours) parking an RV should also be allowed for an extended period of time.
Most of them are parked on the street.
Most owners end up parking and blocking the streets. Same with legal basement owners. If you cannot fit a vehicle in uour driveway or garage then you should be parking it somewhere else. How can my neighbors tenant who lives in the basement have 2 cars and none of the cars are parked in their driveway but constantly obstruct my own driveway. Neighbors have rights too
Most people are camping on the weekends so it should be as a min extended to account for that if not longer.
Most people don't move their RV after 36 hrs. It moves for an hour and moves back. Stays there all season.
Most residents in .y neighborhood don't let you park in front of their house so having bigger vehicles to take up all the spots will make it .ore difficult to find parking
Most RVs are longer than driveways and end up encroaching on sidewalks.
Most RVs today are longer than their residential driveways so owners tend to park their units on the street when they are loading or unloading. How does the city address this issue?
Most seem to need power - so an extension cord is run over the sidewalk which is another bylaw infraction. These would need to be followed up by neighbours which could stress relationships if they have to deal with these vehicles.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Most streets in ranchlands (Ranchview crescent) is overrun with broken down RVs and motor homes and other trailers lining the street and peoples driveways is like I live in a junkyard. My pride of ownership has decreased. For out of town guests- I always have to explain "it's an alberta thing- you can park whatever you want-wherever you want. Who is monitoring the 48 hours.? In my street months without moving! I test fate every am -can't see around the corner due to RV blocking my sight line!
Most wards the front street is just garages any way. Many older have room. Inner city a bit trickier but many micro climates.
Motor homes and boats in particular can be very large. Perhaps a size restriction could be imposed to lessen impact.
Motor vehicles should be allowed to be parked in peoples backyards in areas that will not obstruct sightlines or create a hazard for those driving past them. Parking rec /large vehicles on front driveways/infront of homes or on the road obstructs the view of those trying to back out of their driveway, drive past them, blocks sightlines around corners, etc creating hazards and should not be permitted. They create pedestrian hazards as well as people try to navigate around them.
Moving vehicles from driveways to street parking to accommodate RVs is going to have a huge impact on neighborhood street parking and safety issues. There are plenty of RV lots for people to store RV's or their garage, backyard etc. There is currently a housing issue and we don't want people living in RVs either. Theft is another concern with trailers not that difficult to steal. Give owners more time at there residence but not seasonal RV storage.
Much better then blocking crowded, skinny streets.
Municipal government more often than not, creates an 'us against them' mentality. When asked, the average person might not agree that the governing officials have our best interest at heart. If I had notoriety and available funds, I wouldn't hesitate to run for office. I care.
My biggest worry is children running around and cars not seeing past the recreational vehicles
My concern is that you might end up having people living in these units in the driveway. This would bring down property values.
My concern is the things in driveways (rvs and vehicles) NOT OBSTRUCT the sidewalk at all.
My cul-de-sac is already full of parked cars for the residents. RV's take up that already limited space. Why should those RV owners impact everyone else?
My driveway is my property. I should be able to park whatever I want there for however long I want
My experience with these "consultations" or "engaging with citizens " on matters like this are simply so the City can check off a box. The peoples opinions don't really matter and the City has already decided what they are going to do. There are many examples of this being the case.
My family has an RV and we go on trips. We are able to maximize our planning (to lessen impact on our neighbors) by loading/leaving within the same day.
Based on what I have observed in my neighborhood RV's and trailers are dangerous to have parked on our residential roads due to the obstruction of traffic.
My family takes great pride in our property, are prompt to pay our taxes and ensure we provide feedback to make the City of Calgary a better place for all residents. We have to look out our kitchen window every day to see our neighbors rusted out trailers on their back alley parking pad, which have not moved in the 5 years we've lived here. The City must enforce



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

some guidelines to ensure we do not have to suffer for others slovenly behavior. The cost of storing a trailer should be accounted for when a resident purchases a recreational vehicle.
My neighbors will occasionally park their RV's, boats on the street and it is a safety and parking concern. They use a lot of space and can cause issues with visibility and navigation of cars on the road.
My neighbour already has more cars than fits in front of their property. Therefore when they have their trailer out in the summer they constantly park in front of other homes. Although there is no law against that it means others have to park further from their home. It can lead to conflicts with neighbours. Densification will make this a lot worse.
My neighbour has large trailer and he follows the current rules without problems (i.e. parks it for a day or so as needed to pack/unpack it). I have no issues with that, but it would be much different if it was parked for 4-6 months. I see no point in changing the rules right now and cluttering up neighbourhoods with massive RVs.
My neighbour is unable to access his back yard for RV storage. If he could he would pave it and store as many vehicles as he had room for. I mourn for other citizens who live beside that. I will end up with trailers or displaced vehicles in front of my property and that is a detriment to my life and a blight to the value of my property. Part of owning an RV should continue to include the cost, in money and convenience, of storing it in a place that people can choose to not live beside.
My neighbour parks his on the street across from his home alongside the park. Cords run across the street and it feels like a safety concern for kids at the park. It is unsightly and annoying.
My neighbourhood is looking run down with rvs and busses on driveways.
My only concern is if the RV is obstructing my view while driving. I've had the problem with big trucks blocking my view when trying to pull out into a street. If it doesn't obstruct visibility, then I don't have a problem with it.
My only concern is that any RV Unit as defined above should be in Road Worthy and in Working Order. Owning a RV is expensive enough with the cost of everything these days and a RV provides Families with Rest and Relaxation. Not allowing Calgary Tax Payers / Home Owners the ability to store their RV on their Property would be a slap in the face and would most certainly only lead to families not being able to afford to own a RV. Do the right thing and allow RVs to be stored on the property.
My opinion is that if people can afford an RV they should park it somewhere that does not endanger their neighbours.
My RV-using neighbours are highly respectful of current city bylaws, and good parking compliance makes for good neighbours. RV-clogged parking makes for "parking rage", blocked driveways, and seriously impaired sight lines navigating parking congestion.
My street has only front driveways and I don't want to see RVs all summer on our street.
My worry is that people could be living in them while they are parked, which isn't ideal.
MYOB
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/a
N/A
N/A



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

N/A
n/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
Na
NA
Na
NA
Na
NA
Narrowing of streets to one lane if RVs are parked on both sides. Destroying site lines of neighbours (instead of looking at a home, we are looking at side panels of large bus-like vehicles for extended times. Easier for crimes to be committed when people can't keep an eye on each others homes.
Need more street parking
Need to consider site lines with large RVs on corners and in playground zones.
Need to discourage people actually "living" in RV's in residential areas.
Need to make sure people aren't blocking public sidewalks when parking RVs in a driveway.
Negative impact - some can start staying/living in the RVs either by owner or rented out. some RVs are also too huge that it obstructs the sightlines and becomes safety issue. Current by-laws are already in place and must be kept. All RV owners (new or old) knows this by-law before purchasing one.
Negative impacts include transient populations permanently living on roadways in the suburbs, and we've all seen how difficult it is for city councils to get the political will to move them. You only have to look at Canmore, which now has permanent populations of camper vans and RVs that are unsightly and dangerous.
Negative impacts! Obstructs street views and looks horrible. Then everyone with a driveway will park their cars on the street because their driveway is full.
Negative impacts: Safety hazard, neighbourhood esthetics, NOISE, NUISANCE, and health issue from gas fumes coming into our home when repairs are undertaken while engine is running. Impacts the use of my driveway when his RV is on his gravel driveway as it is immediately adjacent to my driveway, making it hard to open my car doors when I park in the driveway.
neighbor interactions negatively impacted, increased vandalism/theft, possible increase in homelessness, increase in garbage/debris pile up, decrease in parking access
Neighborhood esthetics





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Neighborhoods and home owners appreciate pleasant line of sight allowing parents to keep an eye on children large vehicles front parked will impede line if sight, create blind spots and impede personal communication between neighbors.
Neighborhoods are already to cluttered with the additional vehicles from the RC-1 zoning allowing secondary suites despite the required parking space. I don't want to look out my front window and see an RV parked all summer. The current 36 hr. limit is sufficient with a little planning. Making RV ownership easier and cheaper should not come at the expense of your neighbors.
Neighborhoods should not be storage yards. People will start living in RVs parked on driveways.
Neighborhoods would no longer have a pleasant look to them, streets would be filled with vehicles all season a for safety purposes this is a bad idea. Fire trucks may not have room to get to areas if streets are overcrowded with vehicles. Ambulances also may have issues. This is a no brainer to not allow seasonal parking, I'm disappointed it's even being reviewed and considered.
Neighboring driveways close together or side by side could result in someone not being able to open a vehicle door. So minimum side clearance of 3-4 feet? I have had an RV (truck camper) in storage that was lifted/moved by wind and damaged nearby vehicles, fencing and private property. I would not want a neighbors truck camper stored/parked near my house.
Neighbors must then park their vehicles on the street - and the likelihood increases that they will park in front of my home. Using a front driveway for 2 RVs (e.g., boat & camper) means they will park 1 or 2 vehicles on the street. While not illegal to park in front of someone else's home, it is not neighborly / considerate to do this semi-permanently (April (?) to October (??)) which impacts MY convenience / sense of security when I can't park my vehicle in front of my property.
Neighbourhoods are encouraged to maintain their properties in an aesthetically pleasing manner. Unreasonable RV parking simply leads to the optics of clutter.
Neighbourhoods are where people live not where people should be parking monstrous vehicles.
Neighbourhoods dont need extra crap all over. Keep it neat and clean.
Neighbours can be annoyed if it impinges their view.
Next you know, it will be ok to store used mattresses from the RV in the driveway too. When I purchased my current property 5-6 years ago, Calgary Parking Authority investigated the situation and found nothing wrong with the fact that a "full size" and "very tired" old converted school bus was parked in a cul de sac, ( unsafe for emergency vehicle access. When the city becomes too lenient, there is always a rebel who creates problems and decreased property values for those around him.
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
NO



March 15, 2023

Page 1021 of 1115



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No
No
No
No
No
No
No
No
no
No
No
No
No
No
No - do not extend this time, it will create further headaches in areas where parking is already challenged due to people parking on their driveways rather than in their garages.
No , I agree and prefer existing bylaw
no ...just get rid of it...
No additional benefits
No additional benefits.
No additional benefits. If you can afford an RV and travel fees with it you can afford and account for storage fees as well. Or purchase a property with side or rear allotment. If this is allowed then it leads to boats etc being allowed and more toys like ATVs. Some streets have more trailers than cars and already are issues leading up to long weekends. Hazards for line of sight with kids exiting cul de sacs on bicycles etc.
No airbnbs allowed. One night stay only with people inside. Still have a licence plate (road safe if the brakes fail).
No because it should never have been the city business in the first place. People property and should be for their use and what ever they want as long as it's not gonna hurt someone or blocking public use other then that mind your own business
No benefit especially to the majority of the community members who do not own these vehicles. If you can afford the RV, boat etc than you certainly can afford the storage costs.
No benefit for large RV's or trailers as these are owned by people with the means to pay for proper off property storage. Minor benefit for small RV's and trailers (normal van/truck size) in reducing storage costs for those less able to pay for off-property storage. There are also dangers associated with pull-outs when it comes to extending into traffic or sidewalks causing people to swerve or be forced to walk on busy roadways.
No benefit to changing the present bylaw
No benefit to extending over 36 hours. Additional homes should not be parked in existing ones.
No benefit to non rv owners & only more frustration. To be clear, our neighbour has an rv & is very respectful to the bylaw & I'd have no issue for them; however, others on our street feel entitled to use the road in front of the green space to park their rv. We even had someone on another street park their motor home there one summer & bylaw ticketed it but it wasn't moved for weeks. We chose to live in front of a green space for the view of the park not an rv.
No benefit.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No benefit. Current bylaws are sufficient and should remain.
No benefits
No benefits
No benefits
No benefits - leave the laws as is. I don't need these huge vehicles obstructing my view and potentially parking in front of or on the side of my house for long periods of time. Keep our city streets clean and unobstructed
No benefits at all allowing large vehicles in residential streets
No benefits at all, except to the rich selfish people. It is selfish of people who own recreational vehicles to think that it is okay to impact their neighbors with them. It is a hobby, not a priority. Should not make a person's hobby a neighbourhood problem. It would make our city look terrible. I can't imagine how bad a street with 2 or 3 of these would look - decrease property values for certain.
No benefits at all. Bad impacts: the road is too tight for a big rig to park. RV owners take up the space for vehicles to park. RV owners allow visitors to sleep in their RV's that's parked on the street. U know this when u see the electrical wire on the sidewalk, rv is hooked up on the outside plug of their house.
No benefits but added congestion with large vehicles on the street and impacts parking.
NO benefits except convenience for people who own ... impacts on community and Neighbor relationships will be greatly impacted / more arguments/police calls / parking calls
No benefits except convenience for RV owner to access their vehicle. Unsightly, potentially occupied for extended periods of time with no recourse from neighbors causing noise, increased refuse, and decreased enjoyment of neighbors property. Potential exists for rental of driveways for longterm stay with no recourse for neighbors
No benefits for extension of time.
NO Benefits for the City at all. The only benefit is in the RV owner's pocket saving the park rent. The big con, will be disappointed neighbours, fighting each other. Another con is crime increase due to these vehicles having stuff that thieves will find attractive to steal.
No benefits from my perspective By extending and allowing trailers and motorbikes to park on the driveway all summer it only Brings the campground to the urban residential neighbourhoods
No benefits I can see.
No benefits I suppose you would tax the owners for this so called benefit. There are areas to park these vehicles and trailers that doesn't cost that much. Parking in your back yard is ok but not on street side
No benefits only cons
No benefits that I can see
No benefits that I can see.
No benefits that's for sure
No benefits to non owners like myself only the RV owners. I should not have to worry about my safety and neighborhood aesthetics. There are many businesses in and around Calgary who have invested in RV storage facilities. Allowing for seasonal parking would take away half of their revenue!! Not fair to them either!!!
No benefits to non-rv owners.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No benefits to the neighbors. Only benefit is the owner might save on storage fees while the neighbors suffer. I can certainly see neighbors arguing if a large/eyesore is parked on their neighbors driveway.
No benefits to this whatsoever. Please do not amend the bylaw to allow this. We already have a neighbour storing their RV in their backyard and it is awful.
No benefits whatsoever.
No benefits! Isn't this explained in the broken window scenario? Also, last time I checked, the limit for parking on a driveway was 24 hours, not 36.
No benefits, except for RV owners
No benefits, keep the times down to 48 hours or less.
no benefits, will change fabric of our communities
No benefits. If individuals can afford No benefits to the neighbourhood. See reasons outlined above. Such vehicles should be stored in a designated RV storage area
No benefits. Yes impacts as mentioned above. We absolutely do not want recreational vehicles parked on our streets.
No benefits. If someone owns an RV they should understand the rules before they buy and not complain
No benefits. Impacts would include more bylaw enforcement requirements from the parking and bylaw enforcement group and they are unable to deal with all the complaints now. I made a complaint a number of times last summer regarding an illegally parked vehicle and nothing was done about it.
NO BENEFITS. Just because some people own an RV why do all the other people around them need to see their junk. Owners are a minority therefore should NOT infringe upon others rights for a NICE LOOKING COMMUNITY.
No benefits. Massive issues. Impact in that your will litter communities with vehicles. You can't enforce. We are a white color city and not a blue color. I want strong rules and regulations. We want the bylaw to be reduced to 24 hours and enforced. Your adding to much stress to the community. Hens, multi dwellings etc.. It obstructs sightlines, safety issue, massive esthetics concern.
No benefits. Only terrible visual impacts and more likelihood of negative neighbour interactions. Let's face it, the people who would park their RV seasonally with end up leaving it year round and they will park their usual 5 vehicles on the street and choke off parking for others.
No benefits. Yes, park it there for loading and unloading, but that's all. We don't need the neighbourhood looking like a trailer park.
No Benicia to this. Don't really see why you are considering this with the list of problems listed above from other municipalities that allowed this.
No driveway parking there is enough other spots for people to park for the sake of going camping 3 times a year why cause neighborhood hassles
No further comments here
No i am just concerned that these vehicle block sight lines and present a danger for pedestrians cyclists and motorists
No I think the three listed benefits really cover the main reasons!
no impact
Just allow parking but make sure the visibility of riders is not lost
no impacts by changing these rules



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No impacts. People who own RVs might be happier though which would be nice
No it allows people with rvs to just not have to have proper storage for them
No it's is another tax grab there already is a bylaw why bring it up again. The city wants a strong yes so you can go send Bulawayo out a write a ticket le another tax grab. This city makes me sick
No just do not allow
No negative impacts.
No no no
No opinion
No other city has this restriction
No parking no parking
No person should have to pay someone else to house their RV if they have room to park it safely in their driveway. It's ridiculous.
No problem extending the time but not to all summer. If you chose to own one of these vehicles then you need to arrange a place to store it, you cant just park it all summer and use it once or twice.
No regulation will work without enforcement and reminders that the sidewalk (the ENTIRE sidewalk) is common property (city property) and so the trailer/RV must fit entirely on the person's property. And that the back alley is also not private property so enough space must be left so people can easily get by. If you can afford to have a trailer/RV, it is your responsibility to have a proper storage location. I worry that when people are allowed to have RVs in their driveway, they consider the sidewalk part of their driveway.
No that I am thinking at this time
No this is a change that only benefits someone who owns an RV. If someone owns an RV on a corner lot and parks it on their driveway and an accident occurs due to the intersection being obstructed the owner of the RV should be liable
No understanding for this. Sometimes is not enough space for vehicles especially visitors parking is limited and rv's is ridiculous idea
NO!
No,
No, it is simply a law that does not make any sense.
No, 36 hours max.
No, but they should not block sidewalks
No, City should allow their citizens to enjoy their life. Not only sucking their money.
No, current rules are good enough
No, I think people should be allowed to park what they would like in their driveway
No, just want to keep it the same
No, keep the bylaw the same.
No, may be city bosses own RV and they want to change the bylaw . Allowing RV vehicles seasonally will create more issues than help.
No, none. 36 hours is enough time for people to pack their trailers and go camping. It will come a massive headache for home owners.
No, the current bylaws are perfect



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No, the street parking is my main issue, other than how unsightly it makes our streets. This overflow of cars parked on the streets mean they're parked close to the street corners which causes a safety issue for everyone.
No, they are never there for a long time.
No, this only benefits RV owners at the cost of everyone else.
No, try enforcing the rules today.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No.
No. This would simply provide convenience to RV owners, with nothing but increased safety issues for everyone else in the community.
No. But I still think people should be allowed to park their rv etc as long as they want. Even permanently as long as someone isn't living in it , they aren't renting it out (Airbnb), and it isn't taking parking away on the street or impeding a sidewalk they should be allowed to park as long as they wish!
No. Don't permit these.
No. I am opposed to allowing RV's to be parked on driveways any time.
No. I think safety in our neighborhoods is paramount and a large majority of us take pride in the way our homes appear and look. Having these parked in front of the homes along the street should NOT be considered an option.
No. It's the owners property, covered under their insurance. No concerns to the city.
No. My property so stay out of my business
No. The time limit should remain 36 hours.
No. There are plenty of lots for storage around the city, if they are not in use they should be stored properly
No. There is no enforcement when people push beyond limit of bylaw & only addressed when neighbour snitches on neighbour.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

No. These are massive vehicles that would be unsightly. Given the cost of housing, I think people might feel inclined to rent them out and live in them year round. This would cause more disturbances more vehicles. The rvs might also dump and cause noise running generators and more fumes.
No. This bylaw, when enforced, is one that makes Calgary better than those other cities Don't lower us to those others that choose to have seasonal parking. Just because others do it doesn't make it better. Our bylaw helps keep Calgary better.
No.the city should worry more about the condition of the roads and worry about cleaning the snow in the winter
Nobody should be able to park these campers on the roads and stay in them for more than 24hours.
Nobody should live inside?
Noise and pollution bylaws. Maybe make some city money from parking permits, not to be used in Quebec!
Noise from large RVs, buses. Fumes (diesel and gas) in the neighborhood. Parking issues as they take up so much space.
Noise parking reduce safety in the streets by blocking sight lines most locations will not be able to park such vehicles on driveway without over hanging the tow hitch over the side walk. Lack of enforcement by violators. If you purchase such a vehicle the stowage when not in use needs to be factored 36 hrs is too long! Reduce it to 24 hrs!
Noise pollution from RV's has been a known issue on my street. The large RV's would park for the 36 hours outside my residence and have their engine running for hours on end while inside the RV. It was a moderate disturbance for the unit of townhomes we live in, especially when windows are open.
Noise pollution from RVs. There is enough noise pollution from pick up trucks and motor bikes that having big RVs would make even worse
NOISE, GARBAGE, MUSIC, VISIBILITY, TAKING AWAY BUSINESS FROM TRAILER STORAGE BUSINESSES, MORE CALLS TO POLICE, MAKE PEOPLE MORE MAD IN THIS SHORT FUSE SOCIETY, NOT VOTE FOR THE PEOPLE WANTING TO IMPLEMENT THIS DUMB BYLAW. NO BENIFITS AT ALL.
none
none
None
None
none
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
none I can think of
None in my opinion.
None of these RVs etc are necessities. They are extras. If people have resources to purchase a boat or RV, then appropriate storage elsewhere is not an unfair burden.
None that i can think of, i think this would be a great move.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

None that I can think of.
None that I can think of.
None that I haven't covered above
None that I know of.
None, this is an easy one to allow.
None.
None.
None.
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope
Nope, let people do whatever they want
Nope.
Nope.
Nope. I said it all.
Nope. Just mind your own business!
Not all neighborhoods are the same. RV are massive vehicles. If I wanted RV's and campers parked in each driveway I would live in RV park. Don't let these vehicles pollute our neighborhoods. We all should be allowed to enjoy our neighborhoods.
Not all owners can afford the high yearly fees, so I can understand the need/want to utilize their own space. Impacts can be disgruntled neighbours and disputes.
Not all RVs are alike so we can't compare it all in one statement. Some are really bad looking and some may be very new. Some smaller tents trailer but others 5th wheelers are super long like 36' long. Either way they can become eye sore if it is parked in an extended period of time. Front yard is not a camp ground.
Not allowing above stated parking also removes visual obstructions, fire hazards and congestion
Not being a recreational vehicle owner myself, I can't say exactly the impacts would be but I support my neighbours that choose to have one parked in their driveway.
Not being able to park at your residence greatly increases the RV traffic in the neighbourhood all season long! Not good for traffics flows and more wear on our roads.
Not being prudes
Not enough bylaw/city involvement to help with people who don't follow the rules so more regulation and enforcement is needed not less.
Not enough street parking as it is.
Not everyone has a long enough driveway. It would be sad to look down a street and just see back ends of RV's
Not everyone has an accessible yard. Restricting parking for smaller units means that only those with sufficient wealth can afford to own them.
Not everyone owns a home anymore. With rising costs of housing it is sometimes more affordable to live in a converted van/motor home etc. Some folks even choose to live that way as a retirement plan. Are they not allowed to live near family occasionally as they travel because they can only park for 36 hours. Seems petty to me. As long as the RV is a reasonable size and isn't parked "permanently" like ALL the time 24/7/365. It should be allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Not everyone takes care to block boat trailers to ensure there is no rolling
Not from me
Not having to worry about extra rules, especially if the RV is from visiting family, will make people less stressed out, and we need that.
Not many driveways can fit a full RV and they often poke into the street. They can also block the sun onto a neighbours front garden.
Not parked on grass but on pad.
Not sure
not sure if it's a plus or not but may have people living in them in the driveway, which could be an issue
Not sure if there are really any further impacts beyond safety that need to be considered.
Not sure who initiated these changes but the City should remember the common good of the usually silent majority who seem to think the current bylaw is just fine. Too many downsides to allowing extended parking of RVs on front driveways and adjacent streets. On our street we already have parking and access issues, we do not need larger vehicles just sitting there taking up space so owners find it convenient for the occasional weekend outing.
Not that I am aware of.
not that I can think of
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of
Not that I can think of at this time.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I can think of.
Not that I know
Nothing comes to mind.
Nothing comes to mind.
Nothing else that I can think of.
Nothing good. More conflicts between neighbours for sure!
Nothing new to add.
Nothing other than stated above.
Nothing other than what I stated above.
Numerous RV storage facilities exist within and surrounding the city. Storage fees are part of the cost of ownership. If you want/have an RV, either use a storage facility or buy/rent a property that can accommodate it in the backyard.
Obscuring sight lines for neighborhoods traffic. Blocking view of neighbours ability to look out their windows. Who wants to look at an RV blocking a nice view of their neighborhood while looking out the window?
Obstructed sight lines for RV's parked on corner lots (pedestrians crossing, cars pulling out) would be the biggest concern.
Obstructed sight lines.
Obstructed sightlines, safety and esthetics are important issues. The feel and look of a community are important for setting a sense of community. This isn't about liberty and people can do what they want with their front yards. This is about what kind of community do we want to experience. I do not support extending this to seasonal parking.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

obstructed sightlines, safety, esthetics, are the 3 issues you have and yet there are trees and signs all over Calgary that are a negative impact ! I also support parking on any street without any sign rules for a min. of 24hrs due to the state of the economy/housing/etc. . Lots of people are down and out right now and making rules to screw up more peoples lives should be against the law !!!
Obstruction of view and unsightly.
Obvious benefits to the RV owner, but negative benefits to the neighbourhood, and neighbourhood safety.
Obviously someone is lobbying to get this bylaw changed. Just understand that those wanting this are in a vast minority. We live in a small cul'd'sac...long term RV parking would totally destroy the "feel" of our neighbourhood.
Of course, if the City approves seasonal parking in residential driveways it will impact the RV storage businesses by reducing their revenue. Could put some companies out of business. Another negative impact that could happen with spring to fall seasonal parking is rental of the RVs as Air BnB or monthly or even weekly rental accommodation. Since the Calgary vacancy rate is so low some RV owners will rent out their RVs for people to live in, even if its parked in their driveway. I expect they will find renters as many people are desperate for cheap housing. Imagine what that would do to your property value if you lived next door.
Off site storage is expensive and inconvenient. At the very least you should be permitted to park on your driveway through the season you use it.
Off site storage is expensive and less secure (more subject to theft) in my opinion. Utility trailers are frequently associated with a persons work/livelihood, yet 36 hours means it can't be parked on the driveway over the weekend.
Often neighbours in our area leave their RV trailers parked in the street all summer long without any consequences. This is not acceptable.
Often they are plugged in and have water hoses running to them while they are being prepped for weekend away which cause the sidewalks to be difficult to navigate - strollers, bikes and wheelchairs divert to the road way when this happens which is not safe. Extending the time period will extend the time that the sidewalk is not usable for most of the residence using the sidewalk
Oil leaks , sump dumps, trash and in some cases over staying your welcome!
Older neighborhoods have more parking as they are developed with back alleys and require lots of parking. Having newer subdivisions with fewer alleys and more people with disposable income living in newer communities, we will have a real backlog of RV's and trailers on streets. I think it is good to have the time limits. Limits prevent many accidents caused by low visibility.
On my street alone, there is a neighbor that street parks all his work trucks and trailers and another who street parks all his student driving vehicles. I think we need more restrictions on vehicles per household or the added RV extension would be out of control in our neighborhood.
On my street we had a person running a hot tub repair business from his front yard. Junky trailers were often parked at the front, including on the street. It went on for years in spite of braking bylaws and citizen complaints. This is the type of thing that the city just seems unable or unwilling to do anything about. Allowing seasonal parking is just inviting problems. If we were going to follow Edm. and Wpg, then making council should finally ban fire pits. Talk about air pollution!

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

On my street, people sometimes park their RVs on the street when family comes to visit, which I don't mind at all. However, if people were to park their RVs out front and use them as Air B'nBs, I would definitely have a problem with that. Is there a way to ensure that doesn't happen?
On our street, not many driveways allow for driveway parking, however we have a lot of people not living in the area parking on it for days on end causing residents to not have our own parking in front of our homes. One neighbor allowed someone working in Ft Mac to leave his vehicle for 12 days at a time while he went to work. Person was from another town. Not fair to us.
Once again, another bylaw that requires residents to complain. The city bylaws are never preventive or proactive and always reactive.
One impact that I could foresee is people knowing that when the RV is away, homeowners are away. Without seasonal parking this is not as much of a concern. However, if RV's are allowed on front drives longer than 36 hours (48/72hrs) potential thieves may not be able to gauge if the travel is about to begin or already completed.
One neighbour stores his huge RV on his driveway. It juts out onto the sidewalk and then he parks his enormous truck right in front of it. We have to go out onto the road to pass the house. He also has the audacity to place bright green 'slow' signs into the road. Imagine what the neighbourhood would look like if all RV owners kept their trailers on their drives. 36 hours is too much.
One of my neighbours has a very large fifth wheel. Several weekends during the summer my driveway is totally blocked while he is packing up and hitching the fifth wheel onto his truck. Because of the congestion of vehicles parked on the street due to RVs in driveways, I have to park far away from my home and then later go and retrieve my vehicle. RVs are a lot of work. I used to own one. I purchased my home in an upscale neighbourhood and not an RV parking lot.
One person owning an RV and parking it in a residential neighbourhood shouldn't affect everyone who lives in that neighbourhood. It affects property value, aesthetics and can be a safety concern. My street has limited parking already. Putting RV's in driveways would just compound the problem.
One question. Why isn't on street parking limitations discussed or part of this survey? There are many issues with overstaying the bylaw limitations.
One risk is that someone uses their RV as a residence for extended stays by family or friends or even as an Air BNB rental. This should not be allowed.
One thing I would be okay with is extending the time an RV can park on a street from 36 hours to 48 hours. This feels like a reasonable accommodation. I would also like to see clarification that RVs cannot park in an alley. I've seen this happen and I'm not sure how the garbage and recycling vehicles got through.
Only 36 hrs should be allowed. That provides RV owners enough time to prepare for a arc trip while not impacting those who choose not to RV
Only as above- we have had neighbours park their RV beside or behind their homes - I don't see any issues with it
Only benefit is if the city charges a significant fee to the RV owners.
Only DRIVEable vehicles should be allowed on front DRIVEways in residential areas for long term storage. Trailers, boats, etc should not be allowed for extended periods of time.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Only negative impact I really see is people exploiting this, could have people parking massive rvs blocking intersection views or using it as a money scheme, ie renting out thier camper on the driveway during select events
Only poor people will feel more poor and of course communities will look very ugly.
Only RV owners would benefit from a change in by laws and it would be at the expense of homeowners who don't. I don't want our neighbourhood looking like an RV park and I don't want more vehicles parking on the street to allow for RV on driveways.
Opening the door to allow seasonal storage will lead to abuse of the limit rules, causing the above impacts to be year round issues.
Other concerns relate to the heath issues resulting from RV users draining their water and sewer water onto driveways and into our sewer system. Also the use of electrical power cables laying across sidewalks while the RV's are being charged. Allowing the extended time that RV's are allowed to parking on driveways will just increase the possibility of someone getting injured due to having no other choice but to walk on the road.
Other negative impacts; RVs lived in or even rented, RVs being targeted by vandals therefore increasing crime in the neighbourhood both to RV owners and non owners. On a final note bylaws in The City of Calgary often are not enforced for example power cords over or on city property, dogs off leash, dogs in city parks not designated as off leash, noise by laws especially excessive vehicle noise and these are just a few. Maybe its time to hire more Bylaw Enforcement Officers.
Other than the fact that its OUR property and therefore, I don't see why we can't park our RVs on it for a longer period of time.
Other than those mentioned, I wonder if the RVs would be less secure in driveways. Our community has already had RVs rummaged through, or things stolen when they are parked in the back. With an increased amount of RVs parking in the community (even if parked in front of the home), I'd wonder if there'd be more likelihood of damage and/or theft.
Other than your items above and what I've related, no, but THANKS FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY!!!
Our area has an RV storage lot within 10 minutes of the community so find it not necessary to have these vehicles parked in the neighborhood all summer.
Our children's safety come before convenience for some owners
Our city supports you and your mode of vacation.
Our community roads, especially in the newer communities are already so narrow. The hazard that allowing trailers to be parked on driveways, then forcing more people to park on the streets will make the roads so much more hazardous than they already are. Usually to pass an oncoming car you need to find a space to pull into between parked cars to allow someone to pass. That will be near impossible if this is law was to change
Our community was designed with a more restrictive covenant than the city bylaws which does not allow trailers of any sort, recreational or commercial, to be parked in front of any domicile for more than 24 hours. This should imply that this community, and likely many others, have no interest in any sort of vehicles parked for extended periods of time.
Our current bylaws have worked well. One thought is the potential usage of these units as temporary housing, raising other concerns and issues.
Our homes are our biggest investments. Please help us protect them.
Our neighbour also parks his boat for months on his driveway. It looks awful and when there are boats and RVs everywhere you can't see well at all. I believe further enforcement, not



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

relaxing rules is what is needed. There seems to be no consequences for this behaviour and it makes our street feel very junky and awful for months at a time.
Our neighbourhood has enough unsightly vehicles parked in driveways (work trucks, etc.) RV's would add to the aesthetics of the community and make it worse. Our neighbourhood will end up looking like a large parking lot.
Our property is our proper, pay enough taxes to be told what to do
Our property should be able to be used freely. If a neighbor considers something unattractive, that's a personal preference. I may not like how they decorate their yard, but that does not matter, as it is their property. We are wasting land for storage, time for bylaw officers, money for fees and storage, tickets etc. This bylaw is ridiculous and I was surprised to learn it existed to begin with.
Our residential neighborhoods already have every type of vehicle parked on the streets. There should be specific lots/areas for RV owners to park. And what about boats. Are they allowed to be parked on residential property. ? They should not be allowed either.
Our street already has limited parking and RVs are parked too often on the streets for days/weeks at a time with not regard for neighbours.
Our street has one sidewalk. I'm sure there will be rules that rv's cannot go over the sidewalk however if RV Owners don't follow the rules, some of us will be the 'nasty' neighbour that complains to the city so turning neighbours against each other.
Our streets are really narrow and limited parking is available, could you permit them in an alley way but not the roads ?
Our summer season is so short, if it saves a family money or time to park in their driveway they should be allowed.
Outdoor lifestyle is a major part of what makes Calgary Calgary. It should be encouraged, not punished
Over 25% of Albertas own RVs, and that stat is from 2014 and I would bet that's closer to 30-40% after the pandemic, so there are A LOT of people that stand to benefit from a better bylaw that allows RV owners to store RV on their own properties.
Overall ambiance of the neighbourhood would be impacted.
Overcrowded residential streets with regular passenger vehicles will now be dealing with this as an added issue Street parking will become more congested as RVs will be on drives taking away vehicle useage of the driveway - yet another idea from the city that will affect the large majority for the appeasement of those who can afford an RV
Overhanging the sidewalk is a big problem. A lot of trailers in my area have the hitch sticking out onto the sidewalk, which can be especially dangerous in the dark if you don't see it and trip over the stupid thing.
Overly congested streets create poor visibilitiy and safety issues for children
Oversized vehicles make me feel unsafe since they block street view
Owners of RV etc should continue to store their units at appropriate storage facilities and let residential areas be that, residential! I am concerned about property value should the byLaw change.
Owners of RVs need to plan and budget for storage. It is not a neighborhood responsibility to accommodate additional parking that will be required when people park RVs on their driveways and displace passenger vehicles. The majority of people do not own RVs and shouldn't have to accommodate them - let RV owners find a solution that doesn't adversely impact their neighbours.
Owners rinsing waste tanks in driveways.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Owners will likely treat them as an extra bedroom for visitors, leading to running generators etc. Also worsening street parking issues
Owners will park their RV down a side street and alleys trying to avoid the bylaw, it that only leads to increased break ins and opportunities for crime when they are out of plain sight. Allowing owners to park them on or in front of their property reduces this issues.
Owners would not have to go back and forth to get their trailer/motor home every time they wanted to use it.
Ownership of an RV, being a seasonal vehicle, comes with the cost of needing to store it. Having it in a driveway for street, for 36 hours is fair, allows owners to load, unload, clean, prepare, repair, etc if required.
Owning a RV has certain costs and restrictions associated with it. Owners need to take responsibility for that and you need to enforce it.
Owning a RV or boat is a luxury not a necessity. If you want to buy one need to factor in storage offsite or on your property.
Owning an RV is a privilege that also requires maintenance and storage; owners are responsible for that. Neighbours do not want to look at a bulky RV vehicle out their front window. Not all RV owners have a front driveway so there will be cries of unfairness from them regarding storage fees.
Parked motor homes etc attract children and increase liability to home owners
Parked on driveways allows abuse vs when parked on street; long-term visitors etc overstaying (and the negative resulting waste/health concerns) - easier to monitor when parking on street for max 3 days. There are tons of parking lots where RV's can be safely stored without adding even more vehicles to our streets, making neighbourhoods evenly uglier, and adding to runoff issues during heavy rains (because owners will then cement over even MORE ground for their RV now as well as all their cars)
Parked RVs detract from the overall look and feel of a neighbourhood.
Parking a large vehicle in a residential area will degrade the atmosphere of a residential area. Kids and pedestrians can be put into a dangerous situation as these large vehicles will block the view of oncoming traffic. The city of Calgary is already dropping the income level of downtown Calgary, they should not make changes that will drop the residential property values if they expect to shift more property taxes to the residential areas.
Parking an RV in your own backyard is fine, but definitely not in the front just simply for safety concerns.
Parking an RV to an approved offsite location is part of RV ownership. Residents who choose to not own an RV should not be impacted in favor of RV owners convenience.
Parking enforcement complaints are very tedious process, there is no online reporting tool and many times there is extended wait time for call and for officer to attend a compalint as they considered as non urgent.
Parking expensive unoccupied RVs on residential properties invites more property crime.
Parking for cars would be limited. So the owner may have to park their car on the street. Not a lot of street parking as it is.
Parking in alley ways makes visibility limited especially for kids who may play in the back.
Parking in becomes living space for family etc. there are more than one or two places in the Neighbour hood where and rv is a living place for a family member with additional cords and cables across side walk etc. again limiting visibility and parking

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Parking in one's own driveway, no matter the vehicle, should have no regulations attached unless it causes safety issues (such as blocking the view of an intersection). While unsightly at times, residents should be able to use their own properties as they see fit.
Parking in residential areas is already a big problem. This would make it worse.
Parking in residential areas is already over capacity. High density housing with restrictive parking forces residents to overflow on to streets for available parking areas. This would make it worse. Summer air flow would be impacted, neighbours would have less airflow with a giant metal box outside their home, and could need a/c. More costs. Also... moving to electric vehicles, this blocks plug ins for vehicle access. Why make it harder for electric vehicles to plug in? Extending from 36 hours to 48 could help... now THAT is reasonable.
Parking in some residential areas are hard so on street parking of RV's takes away parking for cars for residents but off street/front driveway parking is ok
Parking in the area is already an issue. These oversized vehicles would add to the problem.
Parking in ward 8, specifically the streets around Marda loop are not permitted for resident parking only and are frequently used by employees and customers of Marda loop businesses, leaving very little parking for residents at certain times of day. Increasing the number of hours that rv parking would be allowed on the street would only add to the headaches already experienced by other residents of this area.
Parking is already a problem in higher density areas and rv parking on pads is going to push more cars onto the streets for parking as the owners of the properties no longer have room for their cars on their pads.
Parking is already an issue. Legal RV parking would only add to the problem.
Parking is at a premium already in this city. When driveways are full of RV's the other vehicles are parked on the streets. Please don't create any more parking problems in our neighbourhoods.
Parking is limited here.
Parking is limited out front it also can block vision. There is a trailer in our neighborhood that parks right by a crosswalk and you can't see around it
Parking is main concern, in that parking stalls on parcels should be for passenger vehicles.
Parking is really bad in our ward and streets are narrow cause enough issues with driving or passing if a unit is on the back of a vehicle it makes these issues so much worse and dangerous.
Parking issues, sight lines, danger to children walking around or in between the RV's , it makes our streets look like RV parking lots.
Parking issues.
Parking large vehicles on a driveway would make the neighborhood feel more closed in. They would decrease neighbour interaction. They would block sunlight. My front yard landscaping would not survive the shade from a trailer all season. Most people only use their trailer a couple times in the season so it would sit there most of the time. I think 36 hours is more than enough time to have the trailer for prep before a trip and clean up afterwards.
Parking of RVs in driveways would eliminate driveway space thus creating more need for street parking for vehicles. There is no room for this and will create animosity amongst neighbors. Anyone who owns an RV should have to find another storage space other than a residential area.
Parking of RVs in front drive's isn't just problematic for corner sight lines for traffic. They can also impact sight lines for other residences resulting in potential security concerns for neighbours. Additionally, bylaw enforcement is rarely proactive due to workload and redress

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

for unsightly or unsafe situations will likely not occur until complaints are formally lodged. This puts neighbours in sometimes unsafe positions with those who have to live around people - potentially in fear of retaliatory behaviour.
Parking of these vehicles should not hinder neighbors.
Parking on both sides of the street allowed, however it results in single lane throughput and oversized vehicles only increase the risk of collision due to restricted lane access.
Parking on residential streets can be a nightmare at the best of times. Throw in RV parking and it will just make it worse. It will make the street look horrible. If you can afford an RV, you can afford to store it elsewhere, although I don't have a problem if people park them along the side of their house or in the back.
Parking on street is already hard, please don't make it harder.
Parking on the driveway gets these vehicles off the street making more room for other people to park and travel. Driveway parking opens the sight lines better than street parking because they are pulled off the street.
Hitches need to Be fully in the driveway and not protruding into the sidewalk.
Parking on the street doesn't allow for visibility when kids are crossing.
Parking on the street is already an issue in Midnapore. More or extended times for RVs would only make it more so. Part of the cost of RV ownership is and should be where it is stored. Most of the year an RV sits parked somewhere.
Parking on the streets. More enforcement needed.
Parking on your own driveway keeps it close to home less drive time to pick up
Parking people living in RVs on the street in the alley
Parking rv and trailers impacts a Alia Le street parking for those with no driveways
Parking RVs everywhere in residential neighbourhoods attracts multiple criminals to the neighbourhoods. The RVs are easily broken into and contain valuables, which is why thieves love breaking into them
Parking rvs in driveways degrades the neighborhood!
Parking RVs on a driveway (especially on a cul de sac) destroys the green aesthetic of the street & community. Bad enough our new street scape in YYC has become green, blue & black garbage bins! If people can afford to purchase/operate an RV, they can afford to park it in an appropriate facility!
Parking RVs out of site encourages petty crime. Many people cannot afford a property with room to park an RV in other places. This is discrimination.
Parking space is already limited by the increasing density of our neighbourhoods, increasing the time RV's can be parked at a residence will only make the problem worse.
Parking these vehicles in communities makes it difficult to see around them to ensure everyone is safe. I do not support.
Parking will get worse.
Parking your recreational vehicle in another location costs the owner money. Adds to the pollution as you have to drive to and fro.
Parking,washing,cleaning, selling etc.....
Part of owning an RV is knowing the cost of upkeep and storage.
Paying for storage is expensive. If people can early store their RVs at home it should be ok. RVs should be registered and insured.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Paying to keep such items at a storage yard is expensive and discourages people for buying such things to enjoy things they love like camping
People already abuse the 36 hour time allowance. If you expand it, they will just assume leaving it parked for a week would be okay.
People already break leases in rented apartments by renting on airbnb and such sites. They will do that with this too.
People already don't follow the bylaws as they are, so it seems to me if you could park your rv in your property for the season, people will be parking them on the street in no time.
People already park their recreational vehicles and toys in front of their home if it is allowed to be longer they will take advantage and park their vehicles longer than that.
People already take advantage of the bylaw. Relaxing it will cause further deviance and noncompliance.
people also have these parked on the roads in front of there houses and run electrical wires and hoses across sidewalks to the vehicles
People always extend the maximum allotted time anyway. it's already enough of a nuisance. Clutters neighbourhoods devalues devalues the property value. The city needs to decrease the amount of time not increase the time for trailers in driveways and sidewalks. Bilaw officers need to be more proactive in driving around communities and looking for abuse of the system. There are trailers and boats in driveways all summer long in SILVERADO.
People are already ignoring the bylaw in my neighborhood, I can only imagine it's going to get worse if you say they can park there semi permanently.
People are already struggling financially. Forcing them to pay for RV storage exasperates this issue.
People are doing it now anyways, so it would be nice to see it be legal on front drives where there is enough space for it.
People are going broke. They shouldn't need to pay storage when they're already paying a mortgage and ridiculous taxes.
People are parking RVs on their driveway that are too long and they extend out onto the sidewalk creating a hazard for pedestrians. People are already taking advantage of the 36 hour byelaw and leaving their vehicles in the driveway too long. People are using the RV as an extra living space as well. I am against having RVs parked on the driveway.
People are starting to live in vehicles, and are going to become a safety issue and eyesore issue as well if there aren't restrictions against long-term parking and RV parking locations.
People are starting to rent out RVs in certain cities in the states and I've heard in Vancouver. These RVs sometimes theme-based RVs, and they're parked either on the street or in driveways. I think before we know it, this will get out of control.
People are struggling to put food on their tables, having to pay additional storage fees for something you own when you can park it on your own property seems insane.
People are too nosy and think they are the mayor of a neighbourhood. They are purely using arbitrary rules to oppress people. They go around reporting everything to bylaw enforcement and they are a drain on the city's resources and budget. If it isn't hurting anyone else, the other neighbours and the city should stay out of it.
People being able to use their own property as they choose to, and keep closer eye on their personal property as governments continue to allow crime to go on relatively unstopped with little to no recourse for the victims when they become victimized is always a benefit.
People block sidewalks, roadways and public spaces with their RVs all the time as is. Do not allow seasonal parking of RVs.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People buy houses for sightlines and esthetics, not to look out their window every day to stare at an RV or to see Cousin Eddie unloading or loading his RV.
People can buy properties with appropriate storage (side or backyard) if they want to store there RVs at their homes.
People can keep a better eye on their rv
People can't always afford to pay for trailer or rv parking off site. They should be given the option to park their own vehicles on their own property.
People choose neighborhoods for various reasons. If one wanted to reside besides RVs and such, they should have purchased elsewhere.
People cleaning them in their driveways with debris from other parts of the country, the US which can create environmental issues.
People could actually be living in them if they are allowed to remain long term
People didn't buy their homes to see large vehicles obstructing their view.
People don't have time to be finishing work at 5pm on Friday then driving to the storage lot, then back home and loading up family food ect. It's cutting into special time with family and friends. Plus additional costs that not every family can afford especially in this economy. Just let people do what they want on their own property.
People don't obey the law and park wherever they want regardless, like street parking RVs blocking mailboxes and other peoples driveways.
People dtart "squeezing" vehiles onto a two car driveway- parking on the "side yard"....looks terrible...
People generally are not parking in their garages because they are full of junk. Street parking is at a premium because of this and seasonal RV parking will only make the problem worse. It will also affect many RV parking businesses and put them out of business.
I agree with extending the parking to 35 hours but not to seasonal parking
People generally have too much stuff and like to show off what they have it seems. Please let's keep the material clutter off our residential front driveways and not use our yards as storage land
People have had visitors stay in the trailer on the driveway or street like a rental unit.
People have recreational vehicles for stress relief and joy, they don't need the added expense and stress of moving and paying for parking, etc.
People have the right to park their RV in their driveway as long as the whole unit fits in the driveway and brings no obstructions to the side walk.
people living in them all seasons
People may live in the RVs full time
People may use RV for drug trades, roadside sex cell and kids playroom. I've seen it already in our community. Extended parking allowance will further these dangerous activities to grow in community. For those who don't have RV will sacrifice their road side parking to these illegal inconsiderate parkers without a complaint right!!! NO!!!!
People might sleep and even live in parked trailers. I believe the humanitarian impact of providing stopgap shelter is more important and likely than any negative effects.
People might start parking and living in these vehicles due to lack of housing currently in Calgary.
People need more time for preparation of trips with their RVs.
People need to be able to live their lives with out extra costs of finding storage for these vehicles. Go deal with the train stations so people can feel safer travelling to work.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People need to mind their own business when it comes to other peoples property. If someone wants to park their RV on their front driveway for 2 months, it shouldn't matter.
People need to park them somewhere for cleaning etc. Anything longer than the currently prescribed time on driveways is not a good idea. If they can't park their vehicles on the driveway, they go on the street and most streets already have a problem with spaces. We don't want those huge items in the small backyards either. If you can afford such items, pay for storing them away from residential areas.
People need to stop parking their dumb boats and trailers on the street especially in inner city neighbourhoods where parking is scarce
People no longer park in their garage or need 8 cars for some reason. Some Neighbour have paved some of their front lawns to be able to park more cars. I don't want to feel like I live at a mall parking lot!
People often don't follow bylaw as it is, this could make it worse with many taking more liberties.
People often need to park their trailer etc. For a few days to load it, make repairs, etc.. People should be able to park it on their driveways and leave to go to work etc. Without worrying. 36 hours isn't long enough for many that work full time, however I don't think the time should be unlimited. Extending the time allowed to 96 hours max from Spring to Fall. Seems more than fair.
People own their properties, it is not the cities place to put restriction on how people use their property. The exception being sight lines and access to sidewalks. This is way over due and should not be seasonal but year round.
People pay enough for property taxes, if it's on your property you should have every right to park your RV etc there.
People should also be allowed to park their RV on the street for up to 48-72 hours to allow for loading. Not all properties have enough room in front of their house to park an RV, or in our case we live across the street from condos and there is always a car parked in front of our house so we couldn't park an RV their even if we wanted to.
Also, 36 hours is not long enough as that doesn't even allow enough time for someone to go pick it up on a Wednesday load it up on Thursday and leave Friday.
People should be allowed to store these vehicles on their driveways.
People should be allowed to use their own property to store their possessions. A recreational vehicle is not an eye sore, there are many other things that are more important.
People should be allowed to use their own property. It creates a major inconvenience if someone has to drive their RV to storage every week, even though they are using it most weekends
People should not dump gray water onto streets. People can't stay in trailer while parked on driveway.
People spend a lot of money on their homes, and making streets look like trailer parks is not something I think that adds value to the homes around
People start using them as storage/ second living space.
People still like like to camp/boat but need to be responsible for the amount of gear they have relative to the space of their living situation. If you keep the bylaw to less than 36 hours, it makes it equitable so than dense housing individuals arent subjected to oversized equipment. Like noise, the size of these vehicles start to impose upon neighbours in

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

duplexes/fourplexes/laneways. It's reasonable to expect people to take care of their gear without imposing on others all spring/summer/fall.
People take advantage by using their rv's for accommodating guests visiting, using them as hotels and running electrical cords to them across sidewalks. Tripped a few times on cords walking my dog. Cords hanging in trees is also dangerous for kids who touch and pull on everything in their reach. They don't park on their driveways, they park on the road taking up a large area leaving no room for normal cars.
People take advantage of rules and a street full of trailers is not appealing. Bylaw seems helpless to stop this parking unless owners comply to their polite request, why would we now permit this parking and open ourselves to the next step of having people living in the trailers. Been there, not interested in going through it more frequently. Without back lane and with only a few homes on our street with the backyards accessible for trailer parking we see enough trailers on a regular basis now.
People tend to use them for short term over night accommodation
People that have RV'S need to be able to clean & load gear and make sure their unit is not being robbed & being on their own property would be easier for them. Not everyone has a rear or side driveway & it should be ALLOWED for front driveway only options to HAVE their RV/travel trailer/camper etc. Cement or not -ALLOW GRAVEL DRIVEWAYS TOO - Rv parks have gravel pads.
People that take pride in their home, yard shouldn't have the appeal hindered by a recreational vehicle blocking the curb appeal.
People that try to push the rule to the main road and leave their vehicle there for extended periods
People try to keep their yards tidy and attractive but it gets congested with rec vehicles parked all over. You want your street to look great and pleasing to live there. If selling your house new buyers might not like seeing this near the property
People understand that they purchase an RV that they have to store off their residential property unless they have proper storage in their yards not on their driveway. Too many cars and lack of parking in many residential areas already, people will not park their RV's if it goes through and will force those owners to now park on the street and in non designated areas, which is already a house problem in Panorama!
People use their driveways to park RVs and then crowd the streets with their cars as they can no longer be parked on the driveway.. the RVs can leak bathroom fluids if not emptied properly (my neighbors did and it was disgusting)
People want to live in a quiet neighborhood, where RV owners respect the noise bylaw and turn their idling RV off after idling it for 2 hours.
People we don't even know will park in our neighbourhood in an RV or what ever and watch our homes and see patterns to possibly rob us
People who choose not to have an RV should not be impacting the aesthetics of neighbourhood S or neighbouring properties or safety of their neighbours.
People who negligently or live in the units on the driveway. Or rent out the unit on the driveway for purpose of ease or income. Could be a problem with summer term. Can also become unsightly to neighbors.
People who own RVs alongside a residential property can afford appropriate storage. There is no benefit to allowing them to park them in a neighborhood.
People will absolutely take advantage of this if it's allowed.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People will abuse an extended parking allowance. They already abuse the 36 hour limit. 36 hour is more than enough time to clean the boat, camper, trailer etc. if the ban is extended more people will be complaining and bylaw will Be called out more often
People will abuse the timeline and the RV's will end up staying on the driveways all year long. This will increase crime and vandalism in the neighborhood
People will always push the limits, move the limits - and I bet trailers will get left on driveways all year round. And as in the street where I live there are currently work trailers parked on driveways permanently as it is as well as huge big box vans on the street This is going to add to congestion - cars currently parked on driveways may be moved to street parking to allow for a trailer to be parked on driveway.
People will for sure allow guest to live in the and maybe even air bnb ect them during those months
People will live in them if they are parked in the city
People will park them on their grass, sideways on their entry way etc. City bylaw will be called in to check whether the RV is parked safely on a slope, with proper brakes or blocks, if any attached equipment is safe or poses a safety risk of flying off in a storm, is a risk for children and neighbours, whether it is too high, or too wide, too close to neighbour lot etc. Because you can find cities where they are allowed, also look into cities where they are not allowed and speak to administrators there. You may find additional, and better, insight. Often these surveys by the city of Calgary are just for show, and the decisions have already been taken. Hopefully that's not the case here.
People will rent these recreational vehicles on sites such as Airbnb if they are allowed to leave them in their driver ways for prolonged periods of time. These vehicles make communities look unkept and they are highly u safe to neighbours. When parked in the strewn even for short periods of time they cause troubles to neighbours pulling out of their driveway. Please make the right secession and do not allow this. Please.
People will simply start to park and live in communities in their RVs...
People will start parking the RV in the available street parking which is already limited in the community for normal vehicles.
People will start renting them out when parked, some not all look very run down as well.
People will take advantage of the added time and will have people 'camped out' like they did last summer. It can obstruct visibility down the street when backing out of your own driveway causing safety concerns for children, other pedestrians and motorists.
People will take advantage of the leniency and may start renting out RV's as short term rentals which could increase unwanted guests in safe neighborhoods.
People will try get away with anything if they are allowed to so good simple basic rules are the best and simplest to enforce because they're based on simple respect between neighbors
People will use them as a guest house on the front driveway over the summer months when people visit.
People will want to park them permanently, and use ugly low quality temporary structures to protect them.
People with a RV are not supposed to use it as additional rooms for their home. RV is made to go out and 36 hours is enough to get it ready to go or clean it.
People with poor mobility are forced to navigate around larger RVs when parked in front of community mailboxes. They are also used as areas for thieves to hide around

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

People with RVs should know they need to consider parking when they buy them, they can't take up the whole neighbourhood
People with RVs should store them outside of residential locations. They can be abused too often with no recourse if the bylaws are revised.
People with smaller home often use RVs as guest bedrooms for family and friends that visit spring into late fall. It would make accommodation more affordable to tourists coming to calgary to spend money at events and local businesses during that time. It also gives owners a bit more money in their pockets to spend on local businesses.
People without a front drive park on the street and don't move it for a while or again move it one foot, which again makes it difficult to see and narrows the amount of roadway to get by especially if they extend the side out which happens quite often
People's quality of life!!
Perhaps a certain distance from the street could be part of the requirement.
Perhaps a small tent trailer should be an exception as no sight line issues would be present. A lot of people barely use their rvs, I see residential areas becoming storage areas or junkyards in some cases. If the owner is parking rv in driveway, where are their cars being parked? Congestion! This could affect people's decision of whether to buy a house in calgary or not.
Perhaps as a compromise extent the time limit from 36 hours to 72 hours ie: for long weekend visitors.
Perhaps consider allowing RVs for up to 48 hours. That would seem reasonable.
Perhaps having parking bylaw revisiting the addresses where there have been complaints. There are many instances where oversized vehicles are impeding driver and pedestrian safety.
Perhaps it would be good to accommodate visitors or for a sick family members who want to quarantine from family.
Perhaps more storage places are needed so recreational vehicles can be stored in a secure area. Lots of asoace outside the city limits. A good opportunity for new storage businesses. If this is allowed property values will drop. No one wants these eye sores in the neighborhood we live in. It would cheapen a nicely well maintained neighborhood like mine that is quite and not over loaded with these types of vehicles. I don't want this allowed in my neighborhood. I don't support this.
Permit income from those who want to park on their front driveway, provided they have sufficient space. It will be easier for RV owners to come and go on trips. Increased need to police against people using them for housing. If the home owners have many vehicles, then on street parking will become more difficult for neighbors if many owners in one area are using their front driveways for RV parking and not car parking. How are firefighters impacted if they need to access property in emergency?
Personally I don't want to look out my front door to a bunch of big white boxes, more people don't own RV's then do so why should they have the majority have to put up with it.
Pig people can afford a trailer, they can afford \$500/year to store the trailer for extended periods of time. The 36 hour limit makes sense for RV owners to pack prior to trips, but is not excessively long.
Plan neighborhood's better—not more dense. We need alleys in new neighbourhoods to park items like RV's, atv's, snowmobiles, seasonal toys. There is no space for these items. The public street is NOT the space for them. Please don't clutter the public streets with trailers. It does not benefit the community. It is a safety issue too—the huge vehicles block site lines.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Planning of the city should take this into consideration. Minimize negative outcomes other cities reported.
Please clarify in all communications with the public whether and how these changes would affect street parking of RV's and clearly communicate those rules once again.
Please consider additional regulation that requires: (1) RV's and Cargo/ Utility trailers to undergo an annual safety inspection; (2) In the case of Motorhomes, there should be an additional requirement for emissions testing
Please consider street traffic. I know it's hard to measure. But what is the Parking situation already at peak parking times ? 6-8pm
Do the Big RVs pose safety concerns for drivers and pedestrians crossing roads. If a rv is parked close to the corner and there is already a fence out makes it hard to see people walking or on a bike.
Can we not issue out more driveway permits to make the street more friendly.
Why can't they park in their yard?
Please continue to restrict how they park on roads. I've experienced people parking their trailers and motor homes before and it makes it very hard to get in and out of your driveway. Also they obstruct some of the narrower residential roads. And definitely do not let them be parked by schools because those areas get clogged enough dropping off and picking up kids.
Please do not allow our neighbourhood to become and RV storage area. Our houses are already so close together. By allowing RV type of vehicles to be parked for months at a time, would be a negative decision.
Please do not allow people to live in them when they are parked in residential areas. Last summer I saw people with one in my community regularly dunking their sewage from the RV on to the road and into the storm sewers. They also partied all night for weeks - very loud and refuse was left all over the place.
Please do not allow RV Parking on front
Please do not considering doing this.
Please do not increase the limited parking time as the streets are barely impassable with the need to wait for another vehicle to proceed when cars are parked on both sides of the street - RV are even larger - perhaps a parking only on one side for cars would benefit, not increasing the time allowed to park a RV or even allowing it at all.
Please don't allow all Spring to Fall. Just adjust the 36 hrs. if needed to 3-4 days. Thank you!
Please don't allow them to be parked on the streets. It's also unsafe for children playing or riding there bikes. They can't see oncoming cars
Please don't DUMB DOWN the city even more..already looks like trailer trash have taken over!
Please don't make our neighborhoods more vehicle sense in the summer.
Please don't allow this
Please don't favour individual people for convenience and something that is not a necessity to life. Support the quality of life and safety of all.
Please don't worry about aesthetics. They own the land. Focus on safety aspects!
Please keep it the way it is now.
please keep the bylaw as it is and please enforce it more



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Please leave bylaw as it is,,,, we recently were in a small town near Calgary and more than half of the homes had big RV trailers or Motor homes and it really looked congested and not visually appealing at all! Keep them in RV storage!
Please leave issues like this alone. You don't need to control EVERY aspect of our lives. GO AWAY
Please look up the history of 311 complaints for a trailer parked in the rear driveway of a duplex. Units 2006 & 2008 41St. SE. The building was vacant & largely boarded up & a travel trailer with a very weird person had permission to stay in the rear driveway. It never moved & so if it had a toilet it was never dumped & services to the house had been disconnected. The process of getting rid of this guy was lengthy & disappointing. It was extremely creepy. This is my 2nd entry in this survey but I felt you needed more info for that situation. I live at 2017-42 St SE. C. Mutschall
Please no, do not give in. If you own an RV store it properly. Please please not on driveway. Do not be bought by R V sellers I beg you. No!!!
Please no. Keep the bylaws the same.
Please please don't extend this bilaw!
Please remember that most people who bother to respond to this survey will either own an RV (whether they admit it or not) and will obviously have views at one extreme. Or they already have issues with a neighbours RV and will hold the opposite view. I fall into neither group but will likely be buying an RV soon. I have no problem with extending the driveway parking from 36 to maybe 72 hours, but given the vast size of many motor homes/trailers on the market I very strongly object to allowing seasonal/long term parking of RV's on residential properties. Most people will not object to a neighbour breaking the current rules if they are on good terms and don't feel like they are being abused.
Please respect peoples private property and let people have control over their property. There is no reason that someone should be regulated on what they leave in their private driveways
Please see 4 above. There is NO benefit, except for the owners of these gas guzzling Petri dishes getting out of paying to store them properly. Just because other cities have extended hours doesn't mean we should. It cheapens the city. If we can't park commercial vehicles on our streets, then we shouldn't have to put up with far larger vehicles parking longer than 36 hrs at a time. And it'd be nice if by-law officers actually came and ticketed people. The current rules are broken routinely.
Please see above in these new neighborhoods with zero lot lines.
Please take sidewalk obstructions by RVs seriously! Being able to report parking infractions via the 311 app or at least not have to wait on hold for an hour to report to the parking line
Please understand what works for other areas around Calgary may not work for us. We are elderly people living together with all ages and we need to keep our houses clear of obstructed sightlines and for safety of our grandchildren.
Please, please do not give in to the small minority again. If you own a RV you must take responsibility for storage. People will take advantage and it becomes impossible to have them moved. If you call 311 you are considered a troublemaker and must supply so much info about yourself and they do not care about the complaint. This will make the beautiful city of Calgary a more unsightly mess. If you can afford an RV you can afford to store it properly, not to abandon in your front lawn. Please!!!!
Plenty of available out of neighborhood parking, and these vehicles tend to be only used sporadically during the year.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Poor repair units - not enough bylaw people to follow up on complaints
Poor visibility in playground zones due to illegal parking and large trailers. Same place where a lot of kids get picked up / dropped off for buses (legacy by the large fountain)
possibility of raising crime rate in neighborhoods. RV Break ins, battery and propane tank thefts and boat thefts.
Possible increase in prowlers and potential thefts because of more "easy targets" for criminals. Also possibly people living full time in trailers on a driveway causing increased noise and disturbance.
Possibly being used as a rental opportunity which is negative impact.
Possibly electrical load, sewer load, garbage pick-up access, fire department access
Potential concern for view obstruction from houses, perhaps extending period from 36 to 48 hrs?
Potential dumping or rinsing of waste tanks in driveway
Potential escalation of conflict in neighborhood.
Potential for additional neighborhood crime as RV's can be broken into and used for squatting.
Potential for sidewalk blockage if the RV is larger than the parking space. As long as sidewalks and roadways aren't blocked then seasonal parking should be allowed.
Potential income stream for city
Potentially people raring to stay in these recreational vehicles on the street or in driveways and that can cause disruption to neighbors.
Power and water consumption. If RV's are allowed to park seasonally then they will likely be plugged in and possibly watered-up drawing further upon neighbourhood resources. In particular in summer, it could see AC running just to keep the trailer nice as extended living space and that's not a power draw we should enable.
Power and water should be allowed if parked on a private driveway hit I am not sure I would agree with power and water running to a leisure vehicle is parked on the stree
Ppl won't leave and you'll end up having to police it.
Prefer them parked in a driveway than parked on the street.
Prevents other vehicles to safely navigate around big camper in back alley of 423 Rundelawn Way NE. Others living in the area cannot safely drive in or back out of their own garage. City crews collecting blue, green and black bins.
Prices to store these things are insane. Would there be a discounted rate?
Pride in your neighborhood and your home. This is compromised by unsightly vehicles.
-priority for walking and biking spaces, not parking -RV parking puts pressure on parking scarcity which negatively impacts density
Private property is just that. People own it. It is not the governments place to dictate what people do with property they own.
Private property should be private property. RVs, trailers, excetera should be allowed in front driveways as long as they don't intrude onto public roadways.
Probably
Probably.
Properties are getting smaller and smaller so I understand parking assets in the city may make the communities look cluttered and junky, but if you have the means to park the asset in an area that doesn't obstruct safe driving and pedestrians walking it should be permitted.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Perhaps a secured storage facility within the city would bring more piece of mind for owners to leave them parked there at a minimum charge (or incentive parking) might help.
Properties with front parking only don't have anywhere to park on their property. Which forces them to incur the cost of storage lots and in today's economy this may not be affordable.
Property occupants should be free to use their driveway for any type of vehicles they might own or rent. Unnecessary hauling of RVs generates more pollution, as well as impedes someone to fully enjoy their property.
Property rights.
Property taxes are ludicrous I'm Calgary. Homeowners should be able to save some money by parking on their own property.
Property value-good luck selling your home spring-summer with a nasty junk rv in your neighbors driveway
Property values
Property values and general appearance of the neighborhood look better without these units on display
Property values are influenced heavily by the useability of a property. If you can't use a front driveway to park an RV it makes the properties with them less valuable. Also policing what can be on your driveway will lead to more large vehicles and trailers parked on the street. We already have limited street parking in a lot of neighborhoods, we don't need more vehicles on the street.
Property values negatively impacted when such vehicles visible in front / prominent locations.
Property values negatively impacted
People camping in their yards. I didn't buy a home for my neighbour to be a trailer park.
Pros: Emergency shelter. Enjoyment of property. Facilitates resale.
Provided there is action citizens can take if an RV is unsightly (poor condition) or is encroaching on city property, I think it is important to make this change and allow seasonal parking. The pros dramatically outweigh the cons.
Public street parking of RVs can also block the views of bus stops, making it hard to drivers to see passengers waiting for the bus.
Put back lanes into new communities again. Very valuable to home owners.
Quality of life
Quicker and/or simpler reporting would be a huge improvement. I.e. online reporting portal where images can be provided for straightforward and accurate reports and responses
quit social engineering
Read above. Saving time and money. Ita our property should be able to park a unit there as long as it's not on the sidewalk.
Real estate in Canada is very expensive. In some countries it's common to have a city dwelling and a country house (e.g., former Russian empire) In Canada we're too poor for that and people have to buy travel trailers to enjoy the outdoors. If the RV is parked on the driveway and doesn't encroach on the road or city right of way I don't see a problem. It would be nice to bring back a little bit of freedom in Canada.
Real eyesore if everyone has a trailer in front all the time.
Reason bylaw was in place in the first place- let's not make this a trailer park!!! We got rid of those in the city
Recognizing the dangers!
Recreational vehicle parking should be limited to 24 hours not extended.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Recreational vehicles are a nice to have, not something people need to live. The City should not be placating the very few people who use these to the detriment of our City's reputation as being environmentally proactive. This is not an issue worth the time it's going to take to review. We have more urgent issues to deal with than reviewing a bylaw that has worked fairly well. If it ain't broke, why try to fix it????
Recreational vehicles cause blind spots when pulling out of driveways next door to properties with parked recreational vehicles & if the unit is not secured properly, it can also be a hazard to passers by, in vehicles or on foot.
Recreational vehicles especially in summer months are being used often so possible and extension of the 36 hours is warranted. But on the street parking should be monitored and tickets issued.
Recreational vehicles parked on the city street are preventing drivers from having a clear view of the roads and children on the streets. The permitted parking time frame is a joke as it is.
Reduce cost of storage. More people being able to enjoy the outdoors.
Reduced emissions! If people don't have to travel to/from their RVs to pack or empty them, there would be reduced traffic, less gas consumption, and more flexibility for families. As long as the RV is not being lived in long-term, I see no issue. Having an RV to use for temporary Covid isolations close to home would have been a HUGE positive impact for many families in 2020!
Reduced fees for RV owners for storage (hopefully), reduced driving back and forth, reduced annoyance for the RV owners when planning trips, etc.
Reduced market values of nearby houses.
Reduced RV traffic (fewer trips being made). Probably increased maintenance due to convenience would mean safer roads.
Reduced RV traffic back and forth from storage. Promotes travel and the economy (if people are more easily able to travel). Stimulate the economy by freeing up time for local grocery shopping instead of en route.
Reduced traffic and emissions from having to transport and store rvs whenever not in use.
Reduced trips to off site/far away storage facilities reduces the emissions caused by vehicles driving to and from to retrieve/put away these vehicles. Time is also valuable and working people won't have to leave work even earlier to pick up their "temporary accommodation vehicles".
Reduces the cost for owning RV, and incentivize more people to buy and use RV, which is good for the economy.
Reduces traffic on road from people going to pickup and move their RV/trailers to and from the permanent storage location and their property to fill each weekend before heading out. Allowing it to be stored on their driveway not only reduces carbon emissions and potential accidents, it allows people that cannot afford a yard large enough for an RV parking pad to have the same convenience as those who can.
Reduces visibility which can assist with more vehicle and home break-ins. Reduces visibility for kids darting out on bikes, skateboards and scooters. Increasing danger for serious injury.
Reducing the amount of available parking
Reduction in overhead cost
Reduction of driveway space for RV storage forces owners to park other vehicles on the street which can impede visibility & safety.
regulations are important but it is critical to take a measured approach to not end up with a 30,000 page long book regulating everything from house colour to size of tree on front lawn.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Sensible crafting of rules should be able to cover safety and other interference such as parking a rusted falling apart vehicle on front lawn vs impinging on freedom to enjoy your own property
Residential area streets are getting more narrow and if RVs parking is implemented, sightline obstruction would be a huge safety concern.
Residential areas are already covered in garbage and too many cars. If people can afford an RV, they can afford to store it in the many RV storage places.
Residential areas are meant for esthetics and not unsightly parking lots.
Residential districts should not be RV parks.
Residential guests can occupy these units and may cause additional infractions when it comes to sanitation, garbage disposal as well as noise pollution. Does the City of Calgary not have more important issues to deal with? Leave the by law just the way it is.
Residential parking of RV's should be banned.
Residential streets become congested.
Residents of a neighbourhood can choose to remove a vehicle not from the neighbourhood.
Residents purchase properties and RVs and should have the right to park those RVs on their properties indefinitely.
Residents run hoses and electrical cords across the sidewalk when RV parked in front of house. Tripping hazard, mobility barrier.
RV parking near alleys makes visibility difficult. They are taller and wider than most cars and so would suggest they need to be parked a greater distance away to allow safe intersections.
Residents who own RV's are more likely to vacation in the vicinity of The City, and provision at businesses withing The City, which provides an economic benefit to the city. Storage facilities are usually located outside the City and do not provide the same economic benefits.
Respect neighbours right don't obstruct views create a parking lot in front of homes
Respect of property use and rights to own/enjoy recreation vehicles of individual and home owner/occupiers.
Respect the home owners the live in Calgary and that pay their taxes for their homes and property. Stop being overbearing.
Responsible owners will adhere to the rules, but those that don't won't get caught unless reported. Having the sidewalk impeded is a major frustration.
Reverse the decision coming into effect forcing home owners to pay for residential street parking. Then you'll get support for this.
Review the effectiveness of this modification to the bylaw in a certain period of time.
Risk of leaking fluids, people residing in RVs, etc, will be possibilities if not parked in appropriate storage.
Risk that trailers will be used for living quarters, especially with a tight rental market. If an RV can remain on the driveway for the entire summer without moving, it can easily become a dwelling unit. Too much temptation for unscrupulous absentee landlords to make \$ by renting out their driveway to someone with an old trailer. If the trailer doesn't move, waste water will likely just be discharged onto the street. Check out what has happened in Seattle with "homestead" RV sites. Don't dismiss the likelihood of trailers as homes, which is not what the bylaw is intended to address. Absentee landlords who allow parking on their lots are not worried about offending the neighbours.
Risk to children wit open visibility sure to increase volume of other vehicles being displaced from home driveways to street due to seasonal vehicle

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Risk to young children playing on the street when hidden by RV parked on one or both sides of my driveway
Road encroachment is an issue. I have a turn from my road, where parked cars (normal cars) are a hindrance to seeing oncoming traffic until it's too late. More mindful parking distances should also help implement this updated parking capability.
Road safety would diminish. Parking in my area is difficult and a few RVs would make much worse. RV owners should park on their lots or in storage.
Rules and bylaws need to be clear and enforceable.
Rules that are in place are not enforced, please do not relax the rules that exist. This will become an AirBNB business and it will be a mess, there will then be additional cars to park on the street because driveways are full. There will be decreased visibility at a time when kids are on bikes, they will be parked across sidewalks. Unfortunately, people are not responsible and rules need to exist.
Rules, rules, and more rules that inhibit living comfortably in our spaces as we often struggle to make ends meet. A city that is a great place to live, but getting more expensive and harder to find affordable housing. Let people live in their spaces.
RV are owned by those who have money. If they can afford an RV, they can afford to store it.
RV homeowners rights
Rv in front is an eye sore
RV infractions are already not enforced, this will multiply infractions.
RV lots are quite expensive. I don't own an RV myself, but it seems unfair that owning an RV comes with either paying for expensive RV lots or attempting to keep it in a backyard which is inconvenient and impossible for many. Also, RVs are homes to many and it's important that people can have their family hosted in RVs.
RV lots are the safest and most secure spot for an RV/camping trailer
RV owners are a very small minority. The needs of the common good and open streets are more important.
RV owners bring a considerable revenue stream to local businesses along with many small business outside of our City when travelling and using private/ provincial parks. We need to support this group and work to grow the benefits of keeping this group within our provincial boundaries as much as possible.
RV owners can't use their residential city property like an acreage with no rules and no neighbours.
RV owners don't or can not park these big trailers on their pad. If they can fit it on their pad then it blocks the side walk. Pedestrians and school kids have to walk around them on the streets and fear for their lives they will not get run down. City Hall just reduced residential speed limits for "safety" reasons and now you going to extend RV's parking!!!!??
RV owners will cheat about front driveway parking as witnessed in our neighborhood.
Rv owners will not have to pay for storage space. However that will also reduce the income of the storage lots.
Newer neighborhoods with front driveways have very limited parking. This will make it more challenging when rvs move vehicles off the driveways it will move them into the street.
RV owners would save money if they don't have to pay for long term parking for their vehicles
Rv parking close to home relieves pressure on packing and unpacking quickly and allows you to park during the week and go away on weekend rather than go to parking space far away.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RV parking in front of houses ruins the aesthetics of a neighbourhood and the 36 hour limit should be enforced
RV parking in personal driveways is fine. I do not support parking RV's on public streets.
RV parking is extremely expensive
RV parking must be more enforced ,as it is now nothing is done unless someone in the neighborhood complains about RV parking
Rv parking needs to be kept off the streets. The city is encouraging more high density housing and families have 2-3 cars. With more cars on our streets it is essential that rv's not be allowed on our already crowded housing streets.
Rv parking on driveways or front of the house makes the neighbourhood look trashy. They are a safety risk if they are detached and poorly stored.
RV parking should not be allowed in condo/coop private lots. It cheapens the appearance, and obstructs vision. RV's belong in R V lots. The 36 hours allowed are more than enough.
RV parks and storage facilities exist for the purpose of storage. It would important to ensure that the bylaw isn't prioritizing recreation vehicles. I don't have to own an RV, but I have to live in my house. RV owners should be responsible for expedient unloading and loading, and subsequent storage of their oversized vehicles in a way that doesn't impose (visually, safety-wise) on neighbours. Please consider that this is already a challenge in inner-city neighbourhoods.
Rv should not be permitted to park on public roads for more than 24 hours.
RV storage businesses rely on this bylaw for their revenue, this could drastically change their business. Also, thieves can see who owns an RV and know that when it is gone the house is empty.
RV storage costs are excessive, and are a deterrent to purchasing these vehicles. These costs could be reduced if one was allowed to park ones RV on your driveway.
RV storage facilities are prone to break-ins and vandalism, and it affects multiple owners when it happens. At least in a driveway, owners can keep a better eye on it for 4-6 months.
My only caution is to ensure they do not infringe on the public sidewalk AT ALL.
RV storage lots will feel a business impact
RV storage space is limited and takes up a lot of land. Utilizing existing property is a conscious effort to maximizing city space.
RV units today are increasingly getting larger and larger with many when hooked up to a tow vehicle exceeding 50 feet in length. Residential communities and streets were not designed for this and ongoing parking of RV's negatively impacts the esthetics, safety, and enjoyment of communities and homes of all non-RV owning residents.
RV vacays help families budget but cost to store is huge, homes with alleys should use back yard, many with out alleys have no space between homes to access back yards require seasonal front drive parking. Consequence of community development with no alleys and narrow spacing takes away option to demand back yard parking. The right to RV vacationing requires access to RV.
RV's and similar take up too much of the limited parking there is in the inner core of Calgary and are a safety issue because they block sight lines for drivers. Allowing people 36 hours before and after a trip, etc is a reasonable length of time and keeps everyone respectful of their neighbour and community.
RV's are fine to be parked on a front drive for temporary basis for 4-5 days. However, long term they detract from the neighborhood and reduce property values.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RV's are luxury items so if you own an RV you should be able to park at appropriate designated locations.
RV's attract theft. With more objects like that in yards, people may feel safer for their RV, but theft would likely go up due to the attraction. Thus in turn would draw more crime/theft/petty crime to areas.
RV's create global warming issues.
RV's parked in residential areas are unsightly
RV's should be parked in a separate space/lot away from the neighborhood, following the current rules of 36 hours or less.
RV's should only be parked in a RV parking and not at the house for more than a day.
RVing allows people to get out into wilderness, spend time with family, and increase mental health. The more barriers we can remove to this the better. Overall our society would benefit from more RV/outdoor adventure time.
RVs are a luxury item - people should pay to store them properly. I am also concerned about increased crime. People already don't lock their cars, if RVs become more of a target this will also increase concerns about safety and ultimately costs - policing, taxes, insurance etc.
RVs are a luxury item for a very small percentage of the population, but having the parking season long creates a nuance and safety issue for the whole population
RVs are a luxury product. Owners should not be subsidised by the general public
RVs are a sign of social coming together, a sign of good times for families, a sign of wealth
RVs are a tell-tale sign when the owners are home, or not home. We often see RVs parked on the street on a Thursday night and then gone by Friday after work - then arrive back on the street Sunday night. Talk about a target for criminals! It's obviously that these owners are away camping Friday - Sunday.
RVs are a terrible blight on society.
RVs are always "unsightly." They are difficult to keep beautiful because of the nature of rust, debris, propane tanks, and awnings that are broken or in disrepair. It's already so hard to enforce basic bylaw infractions. Adding this will only mean the quality of our neighbourhood will deteriorate.
RVs are an attractive (dry, warm) place for the unhoused to squat in, as well as an attractive place for neighbourhood kids to play in and around which could be dangerous if not secured and stabilized.
RV's are an eyesore in residential areas. There is no need to extend beyond the current limit. Why impact everyone in the neighborhood for the benefit of a few?
Rvs are large and belong in storage facilities.
RVs are large and provide a hiding place or space where people can not be seen easily from the sidewalk. This is a safety concern.
RV's are longer than a typical vehicle. Many driveways will be too short to accommodate them with the RV obstructing neighbouring sidewalks for recreational users. The tarps and cabling that owners leave on RV's will be creating noise all times of the day/night during windy weather. Allowing RV's and similar in our neighbourhoods longer term will attract criminals looking for opportunity.
RVs are luxury items. The cost to store RVs is something that should be considered when purchasing the vehicle, just as maintenance is a necessary cost of ownership. I've owned an RV in the past and 36 hours should be plenty of time to stock up and clean an RV.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVs are not a necessity, they are a luxury. As such, the rest of the community should not have to look at that monstrosity for 6 months of the year. Put it in a side yard or a back yard or designated storage lot. It's not a lawn ornament!
RVs are often not parked in front of owner's residence due to limited inner city parking and small 25' lots. No one wants to look in to someone else's RV for 6 months of the year.
RVs are parked along bus routes during the summer and impact main road traffic. They are also parked on driveways and impeded on sidewalks. How to wheelchairs get by?
RVs are parked on the street not on driveways and car pads in yards, permitting only one car to pass at a time. Having RVs seasonally parked would also add to poor aesthetics in the neighborhood.
RVs are unsightly and should not be stored on driveways in front of houses.
RVs are unsightly and there are too many owners who abuse the good nature of their neighbours to justify a change.
RV's are unsightly in an urban setting as noted. Unfortunately, Bylaw Enforcement doesn't seem to be interested in doing anything unless complaints are lodged.
RVs are unsightly, even the newer ones and should never be allowed to park more than a few days on residential streets. Drive by any of the many RV parking lots in and around the city and see that very few RVs move, even in the summer, so they are not being utilized.
RVs change the look of a neighborhood. They should not be permitted to be parked long term.
RVs create sight line issues, as they take up so much volume. They can block sunlight from photosynthesizing vegetation on neighbouring properties, they can attract pests and pose a significant safety issue while trying to back out of neighbouring driveways. In many circumstances, there black or gray water tank leak. Or they can attract children to play around or under them. Many times I have to question if they are jacked or choked properly. Often bylaw takes weeks to investigate complaints.
RV's etc ruin the ambience of a community and that is why RV storage compounds were developed.
RVs highlight income inequality and reduce social cohesion. Residential areas are an inappropriate place to store these types of toys.
RVs involve a family orientated past times and activities. These are usually the more productive people in society. There are good family values and lessons closely related to using using RVs. Families are being priced out / pushed out of everything lately with the state of our economy. Let's not add another road block for them
RVs leak and I do not want that crap on the road, sidewalk, where I walk my dog or flowing into the environment. Absolutely no benefit to allowing this. You give people an inch they will take a mile. I do not want people living in an RV on driveways or roadways.
RVs must be in good repair and not parked on driveways extended time for repairs. Please leave street parking at the present 72 h, it works well in my neighbourhood.
RVs on streets make visibility for pedestrians and vehicles very challenging. On driveways seems okay but the RV should not infringe onto sidewalks.
RVs parked for extended periods can attract vandalism, mice or other pests.
RVs parked for more than 36 hours cause accessibility and safety issues from taking up too much space. It forces others to park in places that block driveways, garages, or alleys. No extended parking should be given unless RV is registered with city and only ever parked directly in front of a residence, in the driveway, or in the garage. they should require re-registration after extended parking permit expires.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVs parked long term are begging to be broken into by transient folks looking for a place to stay, as well critters for the same reason. Often they are also being worked on through the off season and at the beginning of the RV season or between trips, which can create a terrible and ridiculously ugly aesthetic for the rest of the people in the community area. It's highly unattractive and causes embarrassment to those living nearby who care for their curb appeal and property value.
RV's parked on front driveways are a big blind spot hazards. Impeding the sight of children playing and home security.
RVs parked on streets can be difficult to maneuver around for both public & emergency vehicles
RVs parked on the road are not only a hazard to drivers but also a eyesore to look at, these huge white metal boxes are left parked on residential streets for months on end without anyone using them. It is the RVs owners responsibility to take on the cost of storage.
RVs parking in residential areas will cause street parking to get more crowded.
RVs provide a lot of cover for wildlife and stray animals
RVs should be park on a special parking and not on a residential property.
RV's should not be able to be parked on lawns. There is a house in my neighbourhood that parks a large boat on a trailer on their lawn within 10 feet of the sidewalk. Because it's a corner lot, bylaw says that it's OK to park it on the lawn, because technically it's at the side of the house, even though it's adjacent and visible to the sidewalk. This is not in the spirit of RV rules and this loophole should be closed. Parking an RV on a lawn where's it's by the sidewalk and clearly visible is not OK.
RVs should NOT be parked in front drives because it looks terrible. I just don't want to look out my front window and look at a huge RV. It is unsightly.
RVs should not be parked in rear lanes. They impede garbage pickup and make it difficult to even drive down our alley.
RVs take lots of space while parked either on a side of the road or on a driveway. If parked in a driveway, all other of the owner is parked along the street (as all space on a driveway is taking and no access to garage to park inside). The streets look too crowded and visitors will not have a place to leave their car.
RVs take up significantly more parking space than light duty vehicles. Permitting them to park out front of homes during the season will have negative impacts in most neighbourhoods.
RVs that are extremely large that extend beyond a homeowners driveway should not be allowed
RVs that are oversized or parked improperly may block sight lines in the community. This can make it difficult to see oncoming traffic. We have also experienced instances where large dogs came out from behind parked RVs and lunges at our smaller dog. Luckily we were able to scoop him up before he got hurt, but there have been some near misses.
RV's that are properly parked and looked after should be allowed on driveways. In our area vehicles that are unsightly are more of a problem.
RVs that are the size of trucks /SUVs should be allowed but giant RVs that take up the amount of space as a motor coach bus should not be allowed to be parked on the side or in front of homes
RVs that are too big and do not entirely fit on a driveway shall not be allowed to be parked on the side of the road adjacent to (or blocking) driveways for longer than absolutely necessary to load/unload/clean.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Regarding the seasonal front driveway storage: RV owners shall not use street parking for their passenger vehicles in lieu of storing their RV in the driveway & blocking the garage.
RVs will absorb more heat as they have more metal surface. They will exude more heat thus more damage to the environment.
People will start living in their RVs and will run their AC while running in idel. This will result in noise and air polution.
Safety
Safety
SAFETY MANY TIMES VEHICLE PARKED ON THE STREET HAVE POWER CORDS RUNNING THE THE UNIT. AND OR WATER HOSES CROSSING SIDES WALKS. THE CITY FOR YEARS HAVE NEVER ENFORCED THESE EXISTING BYLAWS! THERE ARE NOW MORE THAN EVER OF THIS VEHICLES And getting bigger and larger every day! THEY CITY DOES NOT HAVE ENOUGH BYLAW OFFICERS / "NOR BUDGET" TO ENFORCE THIS "HOLLOW PROPOSAL" .....ITS WASTE OF COUNCILS TIME! HAVE THE POLICE OR BYLAW OFFICERS CRUISE THE AREAS AND ISSUE 24 HOUR REMOVAL NOTICES. FAILING THAT TOW THE UNIT TO THE COMPOUND AT OWNERS EXPENSE PLUS STORAGE CHARGES! 2 WEEKS OF THIS CRACK DOWN WILL FIX THE PROBLEMS - THRU THE PRESS OFFENDERS WILL GET THE MESSAGE LOUD AND CLEAR!
Safety , obstructed sight lines Andy neighbourhood esthetics are huge concerns for me. To determine what is unsightly you would need to hire far too many people to monitor. If you have an RV you can likely pay storage for a good part of the year. Many residential streets in our Ward already have several cars parked on the street so it would become far more congested not to mention unsafe particularly for children and adults who spend more time on the street in the summer months.
Safety above everything. If you own an tv the cost of owning is paying to park it At alternate long term parking. Not on the street or driveway.
Safety access with having RV on the street.
Safety and attracting theft and criminals to communities. It's increasing every year and these can lead to crimes of opportunity for neighbours.
Safety and clarity on rules. There was a large rv parking in a traffic circle in our neighbourhood, blocking sidelines. They just kept moving it around the circle. I dont think ppl should be allowed to park their rv in front of other peoples properties.
Safety and community appearances are important to unity and pride and good behaviour
Safety and peaceful enjoyment of our properties in the city is a responsibility of city council and this change would not support the greatest portion of the population who do not own these vehicles! The rules have been in place and used while the purchases were made, this does not allow those in neighbourhoods who chose those locations when purchasing any option to remove a blockage inserted by recreational vehicles. 3 or 4 days is plenty
Safety and the look and feel of the neighbourhood will be negatively impacted. I see no benefits to changing this.
Safety and unsightliness should be the only concerns.
Safety and visibility.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Safety benefits alone should be enough of a reason to not allow RVs in front driveways. The extra noise and pollution takes away from the enjoyment of properties surrounding it and anyone that needs to pass by it.
Safety comes to mind and avoiding the junkyard look in communities is important. Drive around communities that allow RV parking and it's not an appealing situation.
Safety concern when you can't see around a corner when there is an RV
Safety concern, road visibility
Safety concerns, my inner city neighborhood has seen an increase in crime over the past few years. Someone broke in and was living in my complexes garbage room, shed and garages have seen the same. Year round RV's would attract even more crime. Please, leave we enough alone.
Safety drivers can barely see where they are driving as those huge RV take most of the street
Safety first and foremost but making neighborhoods that are inviting to walk through is important. Don't make streets more dense by adding storage to the property
SAFETY FOR HUMAN BEINGS
Safety for one. Prohibits view when on corners or curves in street.
Safety for our children. People already drive to fast in neighbourhoods. With blocked driveways and sidewalks it will only worsen
Safety for pedestrians. Drivers have a hard enough time seeing pedestrians At times, and don't need another blocking their site.
Safety for the Community please . They can bought RV's for sure they can rent parking for their rv's or City should have a parking lot for all rv's.
Safety impacts for kids and blocked views.
Safety in the community is paramount and this doesn't make the communities safer, it just adds another risk factor.
Safety is a huge factor here and with kids playing and riding bikes are more likely to get hit when a car is backing out as seeing past these RVs makes it harder to see pedestrians.
Safety is a huge issue. The RVs would cause blind spots and could potentially lead to accidents between pedestrians and vehicles. We don't have the room on our streets and density projects the city wants, to alleviate for more congestion with RV parking on our streets.
Safety is a major concern to me. Do not put our children at risk by allowing these vehicles on the roads or parking spaces for longer than 36 hours.
Safety is huge. These vehicles hang over sidewalks and obstruct sight lines.
Safety is key, which already seems to be part of your consideration.
Safety is my main concern. Also: -if I want to sell my house in the summer & the neighbours have an RV parked every week through the summer, it devalues my home. I wouldn't want to buy a house knowing there is an RV next door all summer -RV's are there 24/7. It limits kids playing in the yard for fear of the ball or frisbee hitting the RV. They are taught not to play around vehicles, but most vehicles are gone during the day...RV's are always there & are massive. Please don't let this happen!
Safety is the biggest issue, that and sound are a nuisance
Safety is the largest concern. If people can afford campers, trailers, boats they can afford to rent a space to store them. Allowing it would encourage the one time user to store RVs on the front of property.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Safety is the main priority.
Safety is the most important factor. Even when they keep their RVs on the road for 36 hours or less, there are near misses from kids trying to cross the street to trying to pull out of our driveway and we hardly have room and cannot see traffic coming from EITHER direction.
Safety is the number one concern. These RVs cannot impede the sight line for people.
Safety is the number one issue.
Safety issue.
Safety issues arise with blocked views also access to homes incase of fire or emergency
Safety issues re sight lines. Minimum lot size might be helpful to improve safety. IE: backing out of your driveway in newer neighborhoods with RVs on either side would be scary.
Safety issues, blocking views of surrounding areas, the attraction of rodents (mice, skunks, racoons, etc.) to live under or near such vehicles that are stationary for long periods of time. People camping in their units or renting them out for others to use in their driveways.
Safety of children playing in neighbourhoods. You have to consider that there are more parties involved than just the RV owners and homeowners. You have children who want to play, drivers who cannot park at their residences, etc. Most front driveway homes are not big enough to accomodate a long RV, and the parking spaces between houses on the city street isn't long enough either. These communities were not designed to work well for an RV owner.
Safety of intersections, backing out of driveways, and overcrowding a residential street.
Safety of kids and pedestrians in already congested streets. General esthetics are poor when driving through neighborhoods with these vehicles parked. Purchase decisions were made, and should continue to be made knowing they will need to be stored offsite.
Safety of naighbours being able to see pedestrian and in coming traffic even pulling out of their driveway
Safety of opened propane tanks
Safety of others walking, children playing, children riding tricycles on sidewalk with large vehicles on driveways makes it impossible to see. Snow shovelling driveways with large vehicles, RV's etc being parked would make it impossible to maintain
Safety of our children. Cars cannot see kids playing when rv's etc are blocking our residential streets
Safety of pedestrians and visibility for drivers
Safety of pedestrians when walking on the sidewalks behind the RV. Sight lines of vehicles backing out of driveway.
Safety of small children on roadways.
Safety of small kids and line of sight
Safety of walking in the neighbourhood is a huge factor. With increased large vehicles and RVs blocking sight lines of drivers and pedestrians, this creates a dangerous situation that can easily be prevented.
Safety of wheel blocking. Runaway trailers can cause pedestrian impacts.
Safety on narrow street's for large 5th wheels! What about fire trucks and emergency vehicle right of ways.
Safety over convenience!
Safety Unsightly Encroaching on city property Not meeting other city bylaw requirements

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Safety, congestion and street curb appeal are my main concerns. 3 days is plenty of time. More than that we get an RV PARKING LOT that blocks sight lines, and encourages street parking of more vehicles and creates road safety issues where children cannot be seen before they are on the road. We often have travel trailers with extensions sticking over sidewalks and into roads. Keep the time limit to 3 days or deal with unintended consequences. RV parking lots are the perfect place.
Safety, due to size. Limited city residential parking. Narrow residential streets. Community and property aesthetics.....no one wants to live beside the person who parks their trailer in their driveway or on the street.
Safety, eye sore
Safety, fire hazards, crime, increase in traffic accidents - none of these are worth the convenience for those fortunate enough to be able to afford an RV.
Safety, security and driving and walking hazards.
Safety, visibility, overall detriment to the community - it's not a trailer park.
Safety.
Safety. Can't help but be compromised.
Safety. Make sure that the RV/trailers and what not doesn't hinder the sidewalks. And no street parking!
Safety. RVs are not a small matter and would provide concealment for intruders. Please don't make our neighbourhoods more unappealing.
Safety. Safety, safety of our kids on the streets during that season, seniors. Neighbors etc
Safety. Streets are already congested and ability to see people and children is of concern
Safety. What about breakins. Street lighting is also very very poor in my neighborhood so more opportunity for people to lurk around and break into such.
Same as #4
Same as above in my explanation.
Save the person money on storage
Saves a lot of fuel by not having to go back and forth between the rv parking and home and tow it between these locations
Saves gas and thus better for the environment.
Saves money for homeowners
Saves owners money on storage
Saves the owner a fortune in gas if they can leave the RV in their driveway without obstructing the sidewalk. Plus, our activist mayor wants less fuel consumption. This is one way, while allowing people to recreate.
saving costs for Calgarians but maintaining public safety for this initiative is important.
Saving money for calgarians. With the price of food and living expenses increasing, calgarians saving approx \$1000 per year by not paying for RV storage
saving owners money if it helps them, then definitely yes. AGAIN, as people do walk a lot more in the summer the sidewalks should definitely not be impacted.
Savings, convenient and right to use your own property
'seasonal' should not be left up to interpretation and can cause a lot of issues; 'seasonal' for 1 person may mean 2 months.....whereas it may mean 6+ months to another and a longer timeframe means that those impacted by that parking have to wait/monitor much longer to get a resolution...
Security and safety...especially for children. Residents using the trailers as guest accommodation is rampant in the Sundance community during the summer months.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Security and sitelines are critical to maintain. Redefine definition of rv and remove small trailers 4' or less in height. They are like regular passenger vehicles. But if on driveway, being stored, must be kept empty. Once loaded, they are now considered an rv again. 3 days is enough time for a rv to be seasoned, packed up for a trip, or emptied after. If more time required, purchase permit, which must be displayed and clearly state period of extension and expiry date.
Security implications. RVs & trailers impact sight lines and potentially provide additional cover to criminals in an already relatively high-crime area which would be enhanced over a longer period of parking. We have neighbours who already cannot manage to not block sidewalks with their vehicles, that won't improve by loosening bylaws. Sightlines and safety for a community should far outweigh convenience and cost-savings for individuals.
Security issues
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above
See above with obstructions, possible legal ramifications. Not sure why they are allowed to be stored anywhere on a residential property .The City has restrictions on the height of a fence but we have seen RVs 10 ft or higher parked in back yard or side yard!
See above.
See above. We used to be proud of how how city looked.
See above. Parking is bad enough as it is. Keep motorhomes and RV's somewhere else. They are not necessities, they are indulgences, the rest of the general public need not worry about boats being parked on their streets.
See answer 4 above
See comment above regarding residential areas becoming rv rentals
See comment above.
See my comments above. Not safe for children playing. I also feel there is a safety concern with large propane tanks on RVs. We in fact experienced an RV going up in fire. People are not great at maintaining their stuff.
See No. 4 response.
See note above regarding decreased safety concerns if this is implemented. Negative impact on neighborhood appearance should also be considered.
see number 4 /also a further impact was fires in the backyard - always smoking up to inside our house, we couldn't even sit outside cause we couldn't breathe. We had a neighbor next door that parked a huge horse trailer anywhere he wanted all the time. We spoke to him about it but finally moved a few months later
See the impacts from my answer above.
Seems like an easy choice to limit red tape and reduce city resources spent on something that doesn't provide much material benefit.
Seems like the city does not monitor these infractions.
Seriously city council our streets will look dreadful, people push boundaries & break the city bylaws all the time, giving people an inch, they'll take a mile. The respectful RV owners will still pay for storage. RV owners make the choice to buy these items and should be fully aware

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

of additional costs, do NOT make none RV owners suffer by viewing ugly RV's in our front streets. Residents need to have clear sight lines down our streets to make sure our kids are safe & to be able to safely.
Sewage. People living/renting for the season. Reduced parking. Blind spots for drivers navigating in communities with kids. Trip hazard with water hose across city sidewalk.
Should be allowed to park on your lawn. Use all available space, making more room for the new condo residents to park
Should be in front of home you are visiting. Not in front of neighbours home
Should consider the possible light many of these oversized rv's block. The longer they are allowed to park, the higher the chance owners will start renting them out.
Should stay the same and I would include No storage on property as it block the view of neighborhood and make it unsightly. They should be parked in storage yard when not used.
Shouldn't people enjoy nature? We pay for property so be it
Sight lines and safety are a big concern for me as well, especially with the larger RVs.
Looking forward to a future poll / survey on residential street parking in general - and how public roads should not be an extension of people's driveways for parking Year-round.
Sight lines are already limited with parked cars on the pad or on street (garages full) or multiple residents or secondary suites, cars still do not drive the required 40 km/h on residential side streets (should be 30 km/h ... seriously), weekly green, blue and black bins will make it even more trashy. Responsible storage is part of the costs of ownership. Seasonal restrictions will just be pushed into year long storage just as many do now. Bylaw officers should be doing sweeps for this now.
Sight lines are difficult now without further obstructions.
On another point, when will the City crackdown on Contractor's parking their Construction Trailers on their driveways 365 days per year. This keeps up we will have no room for parking period in and around our property.
Sight lines for junctions. The vehicle might be parked the 5 meters away, but the increase in height and width will be more of a obstruction. Street cleaning that happens through the time that RV's etc would be left in place. Vehicle owners now do not move their vehicles for street cleaning, they certainly wouldn't be doing it for the sweepers.
Sight lines from my yard. Shade in my garden area that is not otherwise there. And who defines "poor condition"
Sight lines, children appearing suddenly from behind vehicles
Since 26% of Albertans own an RV, they would completely "overtake" the neighbourhoods if allowed to be parked in front driveways or on the street. People don't NEED RVs -- it is a luxury that THEY choose to have so should thus pay to have it kept at an offsite RV storage lot when not in use. I don't want to FEEL like I'm living in a campground all year around if ~26% of my neighbourhood has their RVs parked in front.
Since City Planning is continuing to build communities with housing very close together to establish higher density, most homes have multiple vehicles. Adding RVs for extended periods of time will cause congestion, limited parking and safety issues within. RVs parked for extended periods of time, don't fit on driveways, therefore are parked on the road. Many communities have parks, RVs parked by a park would cause a safety issue for young children as they are big and difficult to see around.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Sixty pounds of propane beside your house because your neighbor parks their trailer near is very dangerous
Size could be a consideration. A boat is small, as is a camper van, meaning there aren't as many safety issues and it's not as unsightly.
Size of RVs have increased not decreased. With lot sizes contracting over the last generation, it'd be disappointing to permit larger vehicle parking.
Size of unit vs driveway
Size restrictions may be necessary to limit the full seasonal parking of some of the most massive RVs (eg. 3-axle motorhomes, bus-type motorhomes, 3-axle 5th wheel trailers depending on height and length).
Skids will take advantage of this and park crap on the street and property owners will have no say
Slippery slope allowing them in driveways, will open more issues with people keeping broken/scrap trailers, allowing occupants, etc.
Small RVs are one thing, but some of them are massive and do not belong parked on city streets.
Small trailers should be allowed anytime, it's the big trailers that really spoil the fun!
Small, class "B" RVs under 20 feet in length may be used as a primary family vehicle and should not be subjected to the same restrictions as other RVs. And they are not much larger (and in some cases smaller) than some trucks parked on streets.
Smaller campervans could also be the main mode of motorized transportation for certain citizen. I would be also ok to allow temporary living in an RV for specific reasons (e.g. family & friends visiting, given they can prove a permanent residence elsewhere. Short term living in an RV due to house renovations - should be capped to 60 days.
So long as it's not obstructing public sidewalks.
So many already park/load where it blocks sight lines or pedestrian traffic.
So many people in our area park on the main street. Our streets are already too narrow making it hard for 2 vehicles to pass on side streets. When people have their rvs out, things are often even tighter. Sometimes slide-outs are a bit out. Extension cords cross sidewalks. Nightmare. Some of these people have alley parking but won't use it. So, using a driveway in one's own yard seems preferable.
Social justice
Some converted vehicles may be used as daily drivers making it difficult to work within the bylaw
Some districts have limited parking and a RV on the driveway would preclude the use of parking their car in the garage. It would then be on the street using valuable curb space. It is already a challenge to visit people in the newer neighborhoods because of the lack of space to park on the street.
Some huge campers completely block the view. I previously had 1 in another province If owners can afford them , they can afford to pay for storage
Some large motor homes or trailers may not fit on driveways, so ensuring that they don't overhang on the road or sidewalks.
Some neighborhoods have too many vehicles on the roads already. This will add an extra layer to contend with when out walking or biking in our community.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Some people are aware of 36 hours restriction, so I see them drive the RV away and park on street by the public property, such as green field, mailboxes, and drive back the next day to get away of the rules. So I think we need long term resolution.
Some people do not have a parking pad and it can be very hard to afford storage for an RV etc
Some people have both summer and winter toys. So if the timeframe is extended or is seasonal they will ALWAYS have something parked in their driveway. It is then a continuous eyesore to everyone else and continually causes parking issues for everyone else. Additionally, there has been an increase in vandalism to vehicles parked outside in the neighborhood so this will likely increase the more vehicles are outside and not secured.
Some people have family come visit them and would like their own space when doing so. And using a hotel isn't viable, either because of cost or distance.
Some people may also start renting them as "housing". We already have neighbors renting out every room in their house to tenants and the area is starting to look like a slum. You're just going to provide them with more rental opportunities. People also park these rec vehicles on their lawns - looks disgusting.
Some people use smaller vans as a daily mode of transport, so they should be allowed since they are a similar size to normal trucks and SUV's, but regular vans and trailers are only for recreational use, so should not be used or parked at the front of your house.
Some people will start using them as additional living space and also opens up to spills and dumping of chemical sewage into the street or storm drains. Also people are running power and water to them without check valves to protect the city water supply from contamination.
Some people will take advantage of this. Some families have massive RVs and too big to park in their front driveway. Therefore, blocking the sidewalks, or just parking in front of their driveway to take up more space on the public road. People with RVs should park it either in the back or side of the house neatly to help these issues.
Some run generators when preparing for a trip which are loud.
Some RVS are almost as big as a house with pullouts etc. would there be a size limit?
some RVs can be an eyesore especially an older vehicle and ones that are rust buckets and not maintained. Potentially a source of garbage
Some situations where people come to visit and park in front of your house for a few days or a week, social interaction should not be restricted in excess, allowing people with less financial ability to travel/see family & friends and family. visit. Perhaps allowing parking in back alleyways, w/ restrictions Re blocking access& property owner approval would be a solution for aesthetics as well as access. Poor live in vehicles too need to consider these days & privacy? I have many friends who travel in their vehicles for 3 to 6 month periods making their vehicle they're home in a way and that would be unfair to say they can't come and visit me because they can't park anywhere near my home or
Some trailers in driveways will create blind spots for other neighbors backing onto active streets. Is the city able to take on the liability when accidents happen due to the potential decision?
Someone lived at Banff Trail Ecole's parking lot in their RV last summer. It was an eyesore and made the children feel unsafe to go play at that playground. Calgary's compost program has resulted in a lot more vermin - skunks & porcupines - living in the city. RVs would exacerbate this problem by introducing even more food sources for intra-city animals.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Someone parking an RV on their driveway isn't hurting their neighbor in any way. Might be an eyesore, but so what - cost of living in a city. Too often, our city let's single family homeowners set rules for entire neighborhood - it's crazy. No more NIMBYs please
Something else the City should understand is while it is probably legal to store ones RV on their property, it can cause many of the issues mentioned above. The city's neighbourhoods would be more attractive if RVs were not permitted in neighbourhoods at all except for the 36 hour window needed to load up and head for the parks.
Something that the City of Calgary needs to take charge of is RVs that are parked on the street. In Ward 13 condo owners that have a driveway with a garage still park their RVs on the street. This is a serious safety concern as well as being a worse eyesore than the ones parked in someone's driveway.
Sometimes family members from out of town need a place to stay.
Sometimes it may be more convenient for those who store their RV to have a few more days of flexibility at their home as they may need a few days to load, unload, or complete routine/simple maintenance. I'm not sure a full seasonal allowance is the best solution, but perhaps a longer grace period of 5-7 days. There's may be a knock on effect of raised prices for winter off-site storage due to the loss of income during the season.
Sometimes its more convenient to have guests stay in or bring there own rv when travelling, with the price of rent and homes it would be highly beneficial to allow year round parking of rvs in driveways for the affordability of life for calgarians
Sometimes the vehicles are left parked for days on end instead of just 1 day before a long weekend. Parking issues on the street created.
Sometimes they rent them out to people and smells bad close by.
Spend time and resources on roads and services. Things that benefit the public at large. Stay out of senseless bylaws
Spring to Fall is the 'high season' for real estate, and esthetics can have an immediate effect on a buyer.
Sprinter vans and van conversions should be treated as regular passenger vehicles. The owners chose these vehicles because of their ease of driving and maneuverability. I support the restriction on living in these vehicles on city streets and driveways... defining "living" as making that one's permanent residence. I do not support a restriction on having a friend or relative come for a visit in their van and sleeping in my driveway. In that case it is still not their permanent residence or home.
Stalkers and pedophiles are less easily noticed when able to hide around parked RVs. Leaving them parked for longer periods could result in increased theft/breaking opportunities
Start making new areas in Calgary driver friendly.
Stay out of people's lives
Steps and bump outs are impacting traffic plus young kids enter/exit randomly If you can afford a \$50k trailer and \$70k truck to pull it you should be able to afford offsite storage
Stop approving neighborhoods with front driveways and no back lanes.
Stop enacting so many self serving bylaws that only exist so city hall can write unnecessary but expensive tickets so the people at city hall get rich, it's just corruption
Stop making decisions on how people use their own property
Stop making life difficult for people! And stop making unnecessary rules! We have enough stress! Stop adding to it! You Increase our taxes yet take away our freedoms. That doesnt make logical sense. We pay for the land, leave the property owner some pride and let them



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

feel they own what they pay for! You should not penalize someone for being able to afford something and make it more difficult and expensive to own something he wants to enjoy with his family!
Stop making people waste gas
Stop messing with land owners rights. It makes people want to move to friendlier cities, or away from a city entirely.
STOP micromanaging good taxpaying people and their property and spend more time on caring about crime and theft of us good taxpaying people. Also, stop spending so much of the tax payers money on "green" and global warming money-wasters. If that's what you believe, then spend your own money but not my money on the politically correct fashionable causes such as global warming and green. Overall, stop micromanaging good tax paying people and their property and spend more time to protect us by an aggressive low and zero tolerance to the people who are committing home and business thefts and crime. We are responsible for our own actions, so less \$\$ for the druggies. Thank You.
Stop over reaching. This is Orwellian and an abuse of power.
Stop restricting people parking on their own driveway
Stop telling people what to do on their on property.
Stop telling people what to do with their property
Stop trying to regulate private citizens freedoms.
Stop wasting tax payers money on this issue of RV parking . Deal with important ones such as th snow clearing of side streets that Edmonton manages to do. Also many pathways are not cleared making it extremely hazardous for pedestrians to walk to a store or a bus stop. Deal with relevant important issues stop Councillors wasting time and energy on this RV.issue.If it is has been working well for the 50 years we have lived in Calgary why are you interfering now. Fix the pot holes in the roads clear ice build up on the roads.
Storage can be quite expensive, and it takes up a lot of land that could be better suited to other things, for example: housing.
Storage can cost well over \$1000 a year outside the city. Let's help people in these trying times use their money and time in better places. Do a trial year of you are still unsure
Storage containers and rvs severely block your view in traffic when parked for extended periods of time in front of homes.
Storage costs are a bi-product of owning an RV and is the responsibility of the owner and not one that their neighbourhood should be impacted by in any manner.
Storage for an RV is very expensive, and it's handy to have the unit at your home on the driveway in the summer months, even just loading and unloading for a trip.
Storage is a known element to RV ownership. People should have plans on where to store and access their RVs prior to buying one.
Storage is expensive, as long as the trailer is not in disrepair (kept junk) and not illegally used as housing, let people have the option to keep their possessions on their property.
Storage is expensive. It is way more convenient to be able to load and unload your RV on your driveway. City likes to promote a healthy lifestyle. What better way than allowing the convenience of the RV on the driveway. No extra gas or pollution going to and from a storage facility. Our summers are short. Let people enjoy the outdoor experience as much as possible.
Storage lots are all over. My kids are older but we have many young kids on our street. It makes backing out even difficult if you have obstructions in driveways. I think maybe giving them up to 48hrs is sufficient but not permanent



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Storage of an RV should be included in the budget for RV users. They take up too much space on city streets and hinder neighbours. In many neighbourhoods there are not enough space for daily vehicles, let alone recreational ones.
Storage places going out of business Eyesores Blocked sidewalks Reduced sight lines Abuse of rules Neighbor disputes increasing tension
Storing a RV in a storage lot costs money. We already have a property and pay taxes and should be able to store it there. Really we should be able to park it on the street. In the summer people have to drive to the storage lot, hook up the trailer, drive it to there home to load, clean, ect, then use it bring it back home to unload and then back to a storage lot. Then repeat this. There is alot of excess driving, maneuvering and backing in then needed if you just parked it in the driveway.
Street cleaning could be an issue, but that can be dealt with by allowing people to park them nearby during cleaning week.
Street cleaning is hampered by these vehicles on the street. They are a visual distraction on motorists.
Street congestion
Street parking I feel differently on. Some areas have very limited parking available so RV parking should be restricted to 36 hours.
Street parking is already at a premium.
Street parking is already hard to find in many areas, if rvs were parked in the driveway of everyone who owned them, that would mean more cars on the street and less visitor and resident parking. Large rvs and trailers also make it difficult to see pedestrians, especially kids on bikes, when pulling out of driveways.
Street parking is already very limited.
Street parking is an issue in every community I have been in Calgary. Add in trailers and you will have an even bigger issue as those cars on the driveway will then be moved to the street. While nobody "owns" the street parking, it causes huge issues with neighbours.
Street parking should not be allowed beyond 36 hours as it is difficult for residents to find parking on our street even now. The majority of houses do not have driveways on our street so parking is limited even without RV's taking space.
Street parking versus front driveway parking are very different. I think driveway parking for RVs should be allowed seasonally as it's on the private property of the owner. Street parking is public, and should remain more restrictive.
street parking will be even more limited.
Street parking would become more limited; sight lines for neighborhoods with children using the sidewalks would be compromised.
Street sweeping is impacted when RVs are parked and there is no enforcement
Streets are overcrowded with parking vehicles already.
STRICT registration rules - with fees - to identify RV's & associated toys stored within the city & to provide owners with definitive rules/reg's regarding same. Encouragement to store these in a RV storage compound NOT in the city. Easier, faster way to have the city deal with RV & ATV owners & their abuse of our neighborhoods. Potential for city to install camera's in problem areas to catch offenders (in. Behind my home).

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

such items alter the neighbourhood concept.
Supports people being outside and supports mental health
Sure would save the bylaw officers and front line staff time not having to investigate complaints that are 99% of the time an aesthetic issue more than a safety issue.
Surprised I even have to type this, but how is it anyone else's business what's on your driveway!? Street parking is a different story. But for your own driveway??????? I am so sad to be alive when this is even a topic to discuss. Rash comment yes, but this is so absurd. Your driveway, your damn choice. For those who don't like looking at their neighbours rv's - look somewhere else.
Take up limited parking space in city already parking starved.
Takes away from curb appeal of all homes in the neighbourhood.
Taking more control from home owners is absolutely wrong
Taking up all the parking spaces on a street if there are quite a few motorhomes on one street. If they are on driveways they are easier for owners to take care of them and for unloading and loading.
Taking up street parking for days on end
Tent trailers that can be stored in the backyard parking pad fenced in on private property un pitched
Terrible idea, and who will "police" it other than Joe public constantly calling bylaw. There is off property RV storage for a reason.
Terrible idea, very inconsiderate of non RV property owners.
Thank you for opening the discussion about this topic.
That a driveway is not the city's property to mandate how it is used!
That the system will be abused, and used to temporary house visiting people. We are already having issues of people abusing the parking lots at Wal-Marts (need better enforcement to prevent people sleeping in their RV's at those locations). I find the current bylaws are good enough AS IS.
That will be an abuse of "parking" -- and potential use as "Secondary residence" -- people may "live" or house "visitors" by staying on the driveway. This is why I think permits over 36 hours should be required.
The "parking spot or hard surface" portion seems unnecessarily restrictive for people who may only have one true parking spot
The 'esthetics' shouldn't be part of the discussion if the RV is a typical vehicle and not a junk yard rescue. People live and play in the city and an RV parked on the driveway is just not that big a deal.
The 36 hour limit is much too short and impractical. Realistically, it should be extended to at least 48 hours , but preferably 72 hours.
The 36 hour limit is ridiculously short. By the time someone drives out and pick up the RV, goes on their trip, then comes back, they need to drive it back to the storage lot. If people are trying to go on a trip every weekend, this becomes time consuming and expensive just to abide by the bylaws. Might as well let them park it in the driveway in the summer, and then store it away in winter.
The ability to be able to see and not obstruct traffic is key, but besides that someone should be able to do what they like on their property
The ability to have a complaints-driven process, that is actually fair to RV-owners and aggrieved neighbours, evaluating exceptional circumstances should exist. I can see there

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

being [removed] on both sides of this argument. Fears of people living in them - investigate on complaint.
The additional cost of storing an RV off site throughout the summer makes it impractical for many families to afford RVs and trailers. While Alberta continues to push the message of "sustainability" and "connecting with nature" we create a further wealth gap in Calgary for those who can afford to go camping and own Recreational vehicles. This disparity could begin to be shifted and city of Calgary has an opportunity to support the middle class in our city, during a time in the world when middle class citizens are struggling the most.
The aesthetics of the community would change making it look very unwelcoming. Safety of the neighborhood would be jeopardized and unsafe providing an increased opportunity for crime and the places for criminal elements to hide or be unseen.
The already excessive selfishness of most drivers who do not follow simple Calgary parking by laws. You will add to the amount of resources required to ticket and fine RV's blocking sideways, people parking them between properties on grass areas, and other unsightly things. If they can afford an RV, they can afford to store it on a property designed for it.
We should be finding ways to remove vehicles from sight, instead of lining our streets with them and driveways with big ugly eye soars.
The amount of RV owners are in the minority compared to those that are not so any changes being considered should benefit the majority. In my opinion leave the by-laws and regulations as is.
The argument that there is a sense of security shows a lack of critical thinking. It is not unusual for home owners to have their vehicles broken into while parked in their driveways. How would that be any different with recreational vehicles. The current bylaw was brought into force for a reason. It provides residents in a neighbourhood some assurance that they will not have to look at their neighbours' recreational vehicles, which belong in a recreational area such as a campground.
The asthetic value of someone parking on their own property should have nothing to do with city regulations.
The benefit and impact is that we promote a culture of live and let live over a culture of being a whiny hall monitor, and city resources can be directed towards literally anything else which will by definition be more productive
The benefit is that it allows homeowners and renters to use their privately owned space in an appropriate way, consistent with neighbouring jurisdictions, without undue rules and regulations complicating logical issues. It frees up bylaw resources to address more serious infractions.
The benefit is that it would allow the city to maybe work on curbing actual crime instead of enforcing silly bylaws.
The benefit of 2 weeks allows owners some time to have the convenience of having their trailer close by if they are heading out again within that time line but storing to simply "save money" on a storage location or when they simply only take it out a couple times a year makes for an unsightly street. At one time we had 5 trailers in our cul de sac.
The benefit of having an RV in a driveway is saving money for the RV owner. If the city allows this they should ask for a fee from the RV owners.
The benefit would only be to a very small minority of any community and the detriment would be to the vast majority. The other consideration is that these huge vehicles would not actually fit on current front driveways which were constructed for cars/trucks. I have seen some that

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

actually overhang into the public road creating a hazard for other road users. Another safety concern in my opinion.
The benefits for families that camp or use these types of recreational trailers consistently during the summer would reduce unnecessary travel to and from storage facilities , and the stress of making time to do the pickup and drop off from the storage.
The benefits of this proposal are selfish, yet the detriments affect the whole neighbourhood. How does this benefit the city as a whole and not just the individual that owns an RV?
The benefits to the homeowner/trailer/RV is huge. Cost savings, tome savings, safety and security of personal property.
The benifit are the people who own an RV they will have an easier time owning it because they have invested their blood sweat tears and even their loved ones Blood Sweat and Tears into paying off an RV and an RV to buy nowadays it cost an arm and leg and even maybe a kidney so causing undue stress on such people I think will be unfair and so so much so that people who say it's an eyesore and this and people get professionally offended everything nowadays without thinking.
The biggest impact being safety to our children. Blocked sight lines especially in cul-de-sacs. It would make it difficult for neighbours to back out of their driveways safely.
The businesses that currently store RVs would loose out
The bylaw is already hard to enforce. Allowing RV's seasonal parking will create RV storage, possibly longer than the season. Why take it storage over the summer when you can save storage fees by parking on the street. As well as having them parked on the street more days than they are actually used. This is based on what we already see. We have neighbours who park RV's on the wildland lands (privately owned) all summer and they maybe moved one or two weekends over the season. An unpleasant sight to have to look at your neighbours RV in front of your home with wires running across the walk. Safety is a the bigger concern.
The bylaw is good the way it is. To change a bylaw that works effectively lacks common sense.
The bylaw is inconvenient for those with no side or laneway, but it is a sensible bylaw.
The bylaw is reasonable as it stands
The bylaw should have a section that states the NUMBER of "recreational" vehicles per lot. In my area, there is one house that has 4 recreational vehicles parked on side and back on a 50 foot lot. In the front, there are usually 2 pick up trucks and two or three cars. I would think having trailers and recreational vehicles on the side of a house when it is a 50 ft. wide lot and within 5 feet of a neighbouring building would be unsafe as they store propane, gas, etc. in these trailers.
The bylaws should be altered to permit RV's to be parked on the road in front of the residence for longer than 36 hours for the reasons stated above. RV owners who do not have front driveways should not be penalized. While they shouldn't be afforded seasonal on street parking, I don't believe there's enough of a negative impact to anyone to permit parking for longer than 36 hours at one time. I believe that something in the range of 48 to 72 hours (only 2 or 3 days) provides RV owners sufficient time to clean, fill up and pack the RV.
The city already does a poor job enforcing rules on trailers blocking sight lines for safety reasons. Expanding RV access to our streets would make that job more difficult.
The City could move their bylaw people to areas of greater importance.
The city could use it's property for affordable storage, and use the money to help the community it is stored in.
The city doesn't have to control everything. Cut services





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The city doesn't have the time or staff to drive the residential streets looking for illegal RV's. Relying on neighbors to turn in your neighbor is not the answer.
The city doesn't need to control absolutely every aspect of our lives. The city needs to be less involved.
The city has to understand that we have the right to a clear view when we are enjoying our neighborhoods. These recreational vehicles have no place in our neighborhoods.
The city has too many rules.
The city is already littered with signs. Please don't allow many vehicles to make it more unattractive and crowded. Most folks can't get their cars into their garages and if rv's are added to the mix then cars will be on the streets. My tiny cul-de-sac of 7 homes usually has 7 cars parked on the street.
The City is already pushing for more multiplexes so parking on streets is getting even more crowded, ye so to add recreational vehicles or will make it a true nightmare.
The city is completely in affective in managing and enforcing illegal street parking of trailers. They take up too much space are often eye soars and can be fire hazards with their fuel tanks. There are noise issues as people start using them not just storing them. I live in an area where three residents continually park trailers all summer taking up all the street parking making streets hard to navigate and a host of issues. The parking authority is completely inefficient
The city is operating on an ideology that is antichrist and bent on destruction. Swindling and mismanaging our tax dollars, enslaving us to your water, heating, and power supply, starving our businesses and homes through punitive property and business taxes, and worst of all, actively destroying both the family and the church, Calgary is showing itself as being under God's judgment. A swift and comprehensive course correction is necessary. You must accept you're a sinner; do not justify yourself. Trust in Jesus Christ alone who shed His blood, drinking the wrath of God, and forgave the sins of His people; He rose again from the grave and sits as ruler over the nations, including Calgary.
The city is to excited to write tickets all the time. Every situation is different. Educate the bylaw officers.
The city looks likes trailer trash/ use car lot, or pick your part. Run down trailers, tarps, tools.
The city must pay close attention to environmental and health impacts I have outlined above (gas leakage, sewage leakage on the street). Moreover, it is not sustainable to have multiple home owners to have extended RV parking in any neighbourhood.
The city needs to back off and stay in their own business of looking after infrastructure not passing rules on people about how they spend their money of spare time. This is becoming exhausting.
The City needs to consider "fairness" across the board when looking at making changes that benefits few and impacts many.
The city needs to consider that most of the new areas/districts with front attached garages and driveways are not adequately sized for the large RVs that are popular today and often encroach public property. Further it takes valuable City resources to enforce and manage and a change to allow seasonal parking will increase the need for additional resources.
The City needs to consider where the line is between municipal governing and acting like a Home Owners Association and taking away a homeowner's joy in owning property that is truly theirs to make as their own. Obstructed sightlines are an important issue (as I experience this with a utility trailer always parked on the street corner that is difficult to see around when coming out of the alley).



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The city needs to enforce or strengthen existing bylaw not be considered relaxing it.
The City needs to enforce the bylaws. Be reasonable but respectful to all.
The city needs to follow up and ticket for encroachment on sidewalks. I've ran into trailer hitches hanging onto the sidewalk far too many times with just the 36 hour limit!
The City needs to get there own act together and do things properly like fixing the roads and need to leave private property alone!
The city needs to leave people alone
The city needs to pass this
The City needs to realize that, although it is a nice option to own one of these RV's, the owners must park them where they are not an eyesore, obstruct vision of vehicles backing out of driveways and in most instances, the front driveway is not long enough for most RV's. If you can't park an RV on the street, make sure your property can accommodate it.
The city needs to relax and let people live their life
The city needs to the peoples rights of ownership, if the property of people can be on the owners property without intruding on right of way, it should not cause any problems
The city needs to understand and appreciate the financial impact it has on families ... it's your rv , why shouldn't you be allowed to park it on your own property , provided it doesn't obstruct any public sidewalks or occupy space on the public street , why should families be on the hook to spend these crazy storage fees and insurance premiums to keep their property at notoriously unsafe facilities ...it's ludicrous
The city needs to understand they have to have bylaws which protect the good valuable use of private property for the owners preferred use if there is no unsightly garbage or a wreck on the driveway it is a good ownership use of his or hers owns property. The city should not be regulating privately owned spaces
The city of Calgary can't understand, and they certainly won't bother to try which is my experience here
The City of Calgary needs to clean up it's image in every aspect. Let's start with our private property and keep it neat and clean.
The city should consider that increased many people have to park RV's about an hour away from their residence. A 36 hour window to park in a driveway or front of a house is very limiting, and not practical for weekend RVers. A bit of balance in favor of wholesome family outings would be good for the draconian parking authorities in this city.
The City should consider the optics of this survey. If the rules change, based on how this has been presented, it may appear that the city is supporting valuing convenience over safety.
The city should encourage RV owners to park their unit off site. Full stop.
The City should favor the individual citizen. Not the self storage capitalists.
The City should have far fewer ridiculous bylaws, and this is only one example
The City should not allow those RVs to block our streets, cul de sacs and driveways for that proposed extended time. Lots of boat trailers also are parking everywhere, which eats into available parking spots in my and the surrounding communities.
The city should not have a say in what I can and can not park on my property, I paid for it, I should be able to utilize it
The city should not regulate what people do with their private property as long as it is not interereng other's rights.
The city should restrict the number of cars in driveway beyond 48 hours, including cars without plates. There are houses with 6 cars in driveway meant for 2. This should be avoided given danger for pedestrians.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The city should stick to using their resources pragmatically and be less intrusive in peoples lives and property
The city should stop approving communities being built with little or no garage space. It makes roads unsafe especially in the winter with ice build up.
The city should stop getting involved in peoples private lives, and governing their private property
The city should stop harassing taxpayers and home owners with such BS.
The city should take advantage of possible revenue with a required low cost permit for the seasonal parking of RV, boat or dump/cargo trailer. Residents still save on the cost of needing to store 6 months. Some will call it a cash cow, many will see the benefit of the trailer being on their property. Security, cost, preparedness of loading/unloading, etc..
The city should target those who park on their lawns and 5 ton and larger vehicles in residential areas
The City should understand that homeowners pay taxes. Therefore they should be allowed to park their own cars & RV on their own driveway without any problems
the city should understand there are many sizes of trailers available out there right now which like pop-ups or those tiny ones that a regular SUV could pull. buying a trailer is not for everybody's pocket but if the bylaw can be changed to allow small trailers to be parked in front of homes, maybe we will buy a trailer for our family to enjoy
The city shouldn't care
The city wants to spend billions of taxpayer dollars to reduce carbon dioxide emissions and at the same time they are considering making it more convenient for people to use high carbon dioxide emitting vehicles. Seems contradictory to me.
The community is not a campground, boat launch, commercial parking spot, get this crap out of our community. If it doesn't fit in your garage, it shouldn't be in your driveway or on the street!
The complaints about the look of the neighborhood are unreasonable. Keep an exemption on place for one's that are falling apart and deal with them on a case by case basis.
The concept of back alleys was to take vehicles off the street and make the street more appealing
The concept of ownership is undermined by the extensive and growing list of regulations related to how a person can use property they own. Let people use what they own.
The contribution to increased street parking and congestion that allowing more access to front yard parking will cause.
The cost of everything is so high right now. People are paying for their house and should be able to have their trailers on their property and save some money not having to park it in storage
The cost of living for families is already very high here so creating more costs by forcing storage is unfair and probably makes many families unable to afford them.
The cost of living is insane. And having to endure another monthly bill for rv parking when they have room on their property is insane.
The cost of offsite storage and huge inconvenience to use and maintain.
The cost of storage is high, the drive time to pick up and drop off reduces the chances you will use it as often. Many busy families have limited time
The cost of storage should be something that RV buyers consider prior to purchase.
The cost of storage which is on the outside of the city is expensive. It also adds a lot of overhead to using an RV by needing to constantly go back and forth to get and drop off at

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

storage facilities.
Also, people already park on driveways and ignore bylaw, because it's a dumb bylaw.
The cost of storing an RV/Boat etc is very high. If we want people to get out and explore, cost of storage is a big barrier to entry.
The cost to owners would decrease therefore make camping more affordable
The cost. People are looking for ways to cut costs with rising inflation and not having to pay for storage for a couple months would be huge. I don't see any reason why someone should not allow there neighbours to park on their driveway if the unit fits.
The Councilor who proposed or those that vote for this Bylaw change should be removed from Council. Storing an RV at private off-street facilities is part of the cost of RV ownership. Do not let the minority of RV owners dictate the bylaws of the majority. Do you think the convenience and cost out-weighs safety, esthetics, and property values? This Bylaw change shouldn't even be considered.
The cumulative impacts of driveways, utilities and other clutter need to take a back seat or back lane to a people and pedestrian-first approach in all areas of the City. We need to protect our visual environments and reduce safety challenges with the additional storage of these items on front driveways. A tiny bit of forethought for loading and unloading is required, and the current provisions are more than adequate.
The current 36 hour limit is appropriate and should be maintained.
The current 36 hours is ample time to load/unload for a trip. More than this and you'll have people living in these units.
The current bylaw is adequate and shouldn't be changed.
The current bylaw isn't being adhered to by many. Our neighbour would park his suv and trailer in front of our house, block our driveway and would block our cars in. We called bylaw dozens of times and often no one would come out or if they did they would just issue more and more tickets. I would hate to see this happen more or happen to more people if the bylaw was extended or relaxed.
The current bylaw works. Do not change it.
The current bylaws are not even enforced. RVs are currently stored for weeks at a time on driveways. Boats are left on trailers on the street for days at a time.
The current city bylaws no longer mention "utility" vehicles. I would like to know why this change was made. Storing vehicles used to haul garbage generated by a business should be prohibited in the city of Calgary.
The current law is fine. It gives rv owners time to load up or unload them. 36 hours is a good amount of time. Leave it alone! Please spend time on issues that need fixing. This is not one of them. Thank you.
the current laws especially punish young people for no reason except to make the rich happy
The current rules are reasonable, but I know some of our neighbours want to extend them, so they can park their RVs between weekends in the summer, and reduce their costs for renting storage space. That would mean much of the street parking would be taken up by RVs, making the remaining street narrow and difficult to use. Please keep the current rules.
The current time limitation may not be sufficient for cleaning, unloading or doing minor repairs.
The definition of "poor condition" or "unsightly" as a condition to parking RVs might seem obvious, but in practice this is not the case, as subjectivity clouds the issue. The negative impact to a neighbourhood, from aesthetic considerations, to general clutter, protruding

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

equipment jutting from driveways into sidewalks, general inconsideration, etc. means that any extended RV parking concept should be quashed. We have also found that RVs parked in front of homes tend to draw criminal activity, with increased prowlings in the area.
The economic impact of storage business by people pulling their trailers out of storage for the summers months is another potential negative due to the lost revenue. We should still have a requirement that trailers be moved after a certain period of time. I would be open to extend the 36 hour requirement to 48 or 72 hours, however, allowing for seasonal storage in driveways and front parking stalls is too far.
The economic savings for storage during an economic downturn cannot be understated.
The environment, Rvs have propane gas systems, wich if not handle with care could be dangerous, and septic system, wich will be a cause of odor, and flies, also a esthetic for a new neighborhood.
The environmental impact of leaking oil, and other fluids should also be considered.
The esthetics of the area and safety factors regarding vehicle stability.
The existing bylaw is a good one and preserves neighbourhoods and neighbourly relationships.
The existing bylaw isn't enforced. Who will enforce if changes are made?
The existing bylaws are not very effective in regulating RVs, as allows persons to re-park RV repeatedly on streets in front of homes and causing significant interference , especially when street parking is used and in short supply. The comparisons of Edmonton, Leduc, Regina, Winnipeg, Grand Prairie, and Red Deer are not the most appropriate, and should instead look to large urban centres that have significant issues with illegal parking of RVs like Vancouver and issues of homelessness.
The existing rules are fine.
The extra cost that comes from having to store an RV off your own property is exponential, this is a penalty RV owners have to take on if they don't have adequate space in their back yard for their RV.
The fact that RV owners might like to look at their units, but the general public who do not own RV's do not want to see their RV's parked in the block.
The fact that someone has purchased a RV and do not want to incur costs to properly store it is not an a reason to affect others peaceful use of their property. I would think that 36 is more than enough time to deal with your RV. If there are repairs that require more than that it should be going to the correct facility to complete the repairs. I have good neighbors that follow the rules but when they are all preparing to go somewhere, you can barely get down the street.
The front of town homes now have to find space for three garbage containers neighborhood cars etc. There isn't room for r.v. storage as well.
The guidelines are already generous to RV owners. By increasing the days trailers can park on residential streets it will reduce the visibility of the road and the overall aesthetics of the community
The hauling of RV's into and out of the city and neighborhoods from out-of-city storage locations every summer weekend to load and again to unload supplies is expensive for the owner (gasoline and time) and causes more air pollution and traffic congestion than allowing the unit to stay on the driveway during the week.
The impact for the community would not be good it is better to have Rv's elsewhere.I do not want it to impact my property value.Most trailers are too long to be parked in the area.I do not want it blocking the sun I so enjoy in the summer!





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The impact is that old, in disrepair RVs could be parked there and that is an eyesore
The impact of making it easy for people to get outdoors and out into nature significantly improves people's lives. I believe that increasing the convenience of RV use would have a strong net positive in the well being and quality of life of Calgarians.
The impact of owners parking their RV in front of their homes on the street and running power cables/extension cords across the sidewalk.
The impact to non-RV owners and safety of children playing outside in those seasonal months should also be considered.
The impact to safety, unsightly vehicles and parking availability will be huge. It is hard enough to get bylaws to come out for problem properties so this just adds to their workload. Allowing illegal suites in areas zoned as single family (there are 4 on my street alone!) is already having an impact on my street and I feel this will just add to it.
The impact we have had is drug abuse being openly used, crime going up in the neighborhood due to said criminals.
The impact would be the snow removal in the winter.
The impacts listed cover the main issues and concerns. If people can afford the vehicles listed they can pay for proper storage when not in use.
The impaired sight lines would make leaving a driveway even more hazardous than it is now
The issue is that people will allow others to live inside the RV or they rent it out to some random people to live in for a bit.
The known impacts are the concerns I have, but honestly I'm more concerned about large vehicles parking too close to alleyway exits & corners. So if obstruction of sight lines is the primary issue than those are the bigger culprits. The other concern is people living in them, but people need places to live. I'm not here to judge. At the end of the day this is such a non-issue issue, but it will be fascinating to watch the NIMBYs vs the entitled battle it out.
The likelihood of abuse as transients and criminals use RVs for criminal purposes as seen in Vancouver.
The longer an RV is parked, the more frequently people are spending time going in and out of the RV, repairing it, washing it and sometimes even living in it! In addition, if you extend it to be seasonal, what will keep someone from parking a non-working RV there for the whole season? In addition, expecting that you can provide a definition of "not in poor condition" that everyone would agree on seems like an impossible task. -- it is very subjective!
The look of the neighborhoods. Increased crime.
The main impact will be that affects the neighbours by obstructing the visibility and might lead to more accidents
The major impact is that the majority of communities surrounding Calgary eventually enact Calgary bylaws, and their "surface" areas, both roads and driveways, are often even smaller! So be careful what you make law.
The major issue is crime. In the inner city it would increase vehicle density even more.
The more people push there luck and inconvenience everyone else with these recreational vehicles and the city allows it the more we all suffer with street parking. I call parking control on my block at least 6 times in the summer as people on my block are just fed up with parking issues and unable to put out garbage and recycle bins out front of there own home. I have lived in my home foe 20 years and a native Calgarian if they can park them in the summer some do it all year long.
The more things we have cluttering up the street, the more dangerous it is for drivers and pedestrians. As we live near the remand centre, it is also an attractant for petty (and not so



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

petty) thieves, which is already a concern for our neighbourhood. Keeping things clear and cleaned away is safer for everyone. Please DO NOT extend the parking time limit.
The more we get outside, the healthier we are - both physically and mentally. Easing restrictions in order to make it easier for families to enjoy the outdoors is the right thing to do.
the most important impact is sightline hazards to residents and children.
The need to store an RV at an RV storage company is an added and unnecessary cost to owning an RV.
There should be a limit to the number and size, based on your driveway size. RV should appear to be useable.
The neighbourhood looks poor with all of the RV's & off site is for all owners. RV's block a visual for safety for us. 2 RV's parked since Aug 2022 spot. City notified Oct 21, 2022. via 311. January 2023, they are still on properties or street. At 4 Woodfield Close SW, 43 Woodfield Dr. SW and new is 14 Woodfield Court SW. 5 months, the vehicles have been here yet another year with no consequence. I did return the phone call to the Bylaw officer once he followed up on December 21, 2022 and have not heard back from him after a month. Owners keep the RV's here most of the winter or all with no follow up by the City. This is not fair. Please do something to enforce the bylaw.
The neighbourhoods shouldn't become RV parks.
The number of owners of these is small enough that we don't need to make exceptions. The current bylaw is good. Keep the communities looking nice. Of course, most RV owners will think differently. So their opinion is important, but it will be highly biased.
The number of RVs in some neighborhoods impacts the amount of available street parking as well as visibility of the numbers on houses.
The number of RVs is growing dramatically so the problems will likely increase with time.
The only benefit is for the RV owner, who won't have to pay storage rental for their RV. Meanwhile, the taxpayers who suffer are non RV owners...lack of street parking, bad sight lines etc
The only benefit would be for rv'ers to save money for storage but if they can afford a \$50k rv then they can afford storage fees. Please do not change the bylaws around rv parking.
The only benefits are for the convenience of the owners. People not owning them would have to suffer. If I had one I'd park it in my rear yard, out of sight and still convenient to me.
The only benefits I see would be personal as there would be no benefit to the community or city for Rv parking.
The only concern I have is people blocking the public sidewalks with massive trailers. A bylaw should be put in place that allows xx amount of inches between the end of the vehicle and the edge of the sidewalk. And I hefty ticket for those who violate it.
The only issue that I see is that there may be people living in RVs full-time while they are parked in private driveways. This may be a nuisance to neighbours.
The only people that benefit from extending the time limit is RV owners. It puts others at risk, Which isn't worth it.
The only possible negative impact is more RVs on city roads which otherwise would be left in storages outside of the city limit.
The other consideration is corner lots where drivers need to be able to see down the road for oncoming traffic, but the RV blocks that view and forces drivers to encroach into the roadway before being able to see properly.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The pandemic required isolation from house and family. Hotels living was more dangerous because of contact. Use of recreational vehicle during this time was optimal method of working through it.
The people chose the area they live in. The bylaws have been in place for quite some time. Changing the allowed length of time is fair. But to eliminate it is absurd.
The people with trailers are getting outside, it's good to encourage and support that
The physical size of an RV creates an obstruction to site lines and creates an opportunity for those wishing to break in, or vandalize. We already have a car prowling issue and this would further exasperate the issue.
The problem with visibility is not necessarily whats in the parking pad- it's the fact that drivers pull in instead of reversing in. If they were to reverse in- they would be able to see more clearly as they were exiting from their driveways.
Cube vans are the same size or bigger as are some other vehicles. Bushes can also pose a visibility concern.
The problem in our area is extended street parking of RVs and lack of enforcement of current laws. The City is depending on neighbours reporting infractions. People fear repercussions from the RV owner who suspect which neighbour might have reported them. We had this happen across the street and the lady neighbour was verbally attacked and intimidated on her doorstep by her large male neighbour. This lady had not made the complaint. Independent enforcement is the key.
The problem is this: driveways are often not long enough and people let their rv hitches and trailers obstruct the sidewalk where people walk or the street even. There aren't enough bylaw officers to ticket people who over step the rules. In fact there's a house on my street that already keeps their trailer on their driveway all year round. No bylaw officers or parking people even look at this one. 175 Tuscany Vista Rd NW in case you want to check it out.
The property owner should be able to park what they want on their property. Property owners DO own the property and the City should respect their ownership rights to control their property boundaries for their unique situations. Stay in your lane City of Calgary!
The property owner should have full use of its property including the yard
The real benefit is the savings on storage cost and convenience to pack before a big trip.
The reason for our family to want to own a camper van is because we want the convenience of getting in and driving to the mountains without having to go to a different place first. If families are able to park their RVs in their driveway it would enable many more people to easily access outdoor activities they would like to attend, but might refrain from due to the hassle of external parking.
The relatively low skill of domestic drivers that park RVs is another consideration.
The requirements for allowing it are very vague, and a concrete 36h is much easier to define and enforce that what ones considered to be unsightly, or site lines, etc.
I would be more open to extending time from 36h to 72 than doing seasonal.
The roads are too crowded in these neighbourhoods and there are constant near misses with vehicles, kids, pets, etc....
The roadways in neighborhoods are full of parked cars already and this RV parking would certainly cause more congestion in neighborhoods.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

The rules are the rules and RV owners knew that when they bought them. Now there's and increase in ownership due to covid and they want new rules. Just because more people are whining doesn't mean they need accommodating.
The RV owners should store their RV's or large boats in storage lots usually not in residential communities. If people can afford their RV's, they surely can afford storage fees.
The RV parking limits have always seemed like an asinine way to waste everybody's time, and way too many city resources on enforcement.
The RV should be in good shape and not be encroaching sidewalks or in alleyways. Only stored on driveways or parking stalls.
The RV's are stored for years only moving once a year for maybe a week. And some not at all it is unsightly and I would think dangerous if access was required into these properties. I presently have a home down the street which has had a large trailer a boat and a sea container and two sheds for 6 years which have not moved.
The RVs that currently temporarily park near us often have cords that run to them while the owners are cleaning/loading which obstructs the sidewalks. The size of the vehicle is also concerning as they block sight lines and decrease safety for vehicles and children.
The RVs will take up valuable and limited parking for cars that belong in the neighbourhood. RVs could create a fire hazard. If the street had a high number of RVs parked it could cause delays for emergency service vehicles to attend to emergencies.
The safety and sight obstruction is the biggest potential problem
The safety and well being of children should trump inconvenience. Thank you for considering.
The safety issue as well as the look of the neighborhood.
The safety of vulnerable road users is the most important consideration for neighbourhoods and should be the most important consideration
The sheer number of trailers etc out there
The sheer numbers of people that own them could be surprising to the city once allowed seasonal parking.
The shortage of room for cars etc RVs should be in campgrounds or we need to have special parking areas for them
The street parking time limit should be extended. People often go camping consecutive weekends and shouldn't have to return their RVs to storage for a couple days. It's bad for the environment and traffic.
The streets are already congested with parked cars. I believe that buildings should be build that include garages or parkades so people can park their cars there and not in the street in front of the house.
The streets are already full of Parked cars and it's causing the chaos, allowing RVs will be a disaster. The thing that city is considering it is a joke in itself.
The streets are dense enough, don't add more to it.
The streets are packed with cars No room for recreational vehicles to be there longer. Do you check to see what vehicles ever more ? Some people never move their recreational vehicles all year except when away in summer. Rest of time in street
The theft rate in the community with more trailers in the community goes up. Trailers of any kind are easier for vandals to destroy or cause theft
the time which is allowed is more than enough time to load, unload and clean vehicle
The top impact should be safety, and limiting visual obstructions that could lead to more accidents, including vehicles, bikes, and pedestrians, especially smaller children. I don't think



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

this bylaw should change just for "convenience". If you want to own a recreational vehicle, then you have to take on the responsibility of ownership.
The uses of alleyway are already a problem Let's keep our city tidy
The view from neighbor's homes should be considered.
The view past our sidewalk is important to me.
The views of neighbors to those who have rv's need to be considered first. The current 36 hour rule is sufficient.
The ways should be designed for pedestrians and not keep prioritizing vehicles. Additionally there are Trailer parks and parking for a reason, if you. Ant afford that the people should not get an RV
The whole appeal of Calgary neighborhoods are the wide open front lawns and gardens of the neighbors. If huge RVs are blocking sight lines it makes reversing out into the street dangerous for the home owner and passing motorists, cyclists, pedestrians and children at play.
Theft and vandalism may go up if they are parked in an un secure place
Theft, petty crimes, that will come in by short-term rentals from these RVs being parked everywhere in our neighborhoods
Theif is high at storage lots. People should be able access their assets in a timely manner. You get alot of tax dollars from CALGARIAN. People move away for more freedom.
There are no benefits to this. People choose to buy these and they can find places to store them but driveways are for vehicles.
Then the owners park their regular vehicles on the street.
There are no benefits to allowing anyone to park their junk and toys in public view. Offsite storage and rental is available, use it.
There are a lot of car, brawlers and car break-ins in our neighbourhood currently. Adding recreational vehicles to driveways will likely result in a greater number of prowling's in my neighborhood. That would lead to neighbourhood safety issues. RVs are best kept in secure lots developed for that purpose.
There are a multitude of RV's. This should not be considered for full seasons due to the increase in temporary use while in the driveway, safety issues with security and impact on parking.
There are already lots of cars parked on our Cres - Our next door neighbors have 4 cars and the people across the street have 4 cars as well so it is somewhat crowded on the street already.
There are already safety issues with the current rules. For example, a trailer parked for the allowed amount of time, on the street with bump outs extended, at a t-intersection, forcing drivers to the wrong side of the road just to pass - a head on collision is bound to happen. What would stop someone from moving their RV to their driveway for the entire summer, even if they did not plan to use it, just to save on storage costs?
There are already so many cars parked in neighbourhoods, RVs being parked there would make things worse. People will push the boundaries & no one wants to have to police their neighbours when they break the rules. Larger RVs cause issues in my neighbourhood every single summer. It can be hard to see vehicles coming or it can be hard for cars to pass each other safely. Also, homes in Calgary are expensive, we need to protect our property values by preventing eyesores and safety issues.
There are already too many vehicles parked on driveways and roads.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are ample storage facilities for RV's close to city limits.
There are an abundance of storage lots available. When we were considering an RV purchase, we knew it would entail offsite storage. Please don't repeal this bylaw. Just enforce the existing one!
there are enough city imposed restrictions now to the property owners right to free enjoyment of their property
There are issues of safety as large vehicles impact sight lines for drivers.
There are likely environmental savings as well by reducing the extra emissions spent driving out to pick up your rv from a storage lot
There are little or no benefits except for the owner of the RVs!
There are lots of options to store these offsite or out of sight. RVs don't belong in residential neighborhoods.
There are lots of RV storage yards that are small businesses that provide employment. Changing the rules would be detrimental to these small businesses.
there are many benefits to people and families in our communities and city having joy in their lives, so let's be supportive of that
There are many benefits to storing a trailer in your own driveway. I don't even understand why this is up for debate. It's my driveway, If I want to store my trailer there, there should be no issue.
There are many close RV storage sites near the city, so they don't need to be stored near houses for more than 36 hours.
There are many communities in this city with limited street parking and narrow lanes that would be negatively impacted by allowing large RVs to be parked on the street. It also makes it uncomfortable to walk along sidewalks.
There are many impacts, like causing unnecessary conflicts between neighbors by allowing longer durations for RV's. People may have parties as well with people staying in the RVs that are not part of the neighborhood. For example the RV owner turning it into a temporary mobile apartment. This will allow RV owners to rent out their RVs as airbnbs in front of their property which will be super disruptive to their neighbour's. As most RV owners typically rent out their RVs at the RV lots already.
There are many lots around the city, where one can park RVs on a long term basis. If someone can afford a huge RV, that person can afford to pay for longer term storage. Loading and unloading for a 24 hiur maximum would be acceptable
There are many lots for RV storage around the city. Perhaps the city should look at expanding those options for storage.
There are many other types of large vehicles people own or need to park near their residence. Cube vans, school busses, etc. Calgarians have managed to cohabitate with these just fine. What's different about an RV?
There are many people that have RV's in my neighbourhood and to have it them parked on my street for additional extended period of time would cause traffic issues, accidents and not be esthetically pleasing.
There are many places that will store trailers and owners should consider this part of the costs of ownership. There are safety implications to having them on the street along with the esthetic and community feel as mentioned above.
There are many rv sites where people can park. It is an expense that owners need to be prepared to pay when they purchase these extras spring/summer/fall toys!
There are many secure storage sites around Calgary that are easily accessed by RV owners.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are many storage places for these vehicles. Streets already have enough cars than it needs. I see no benefits to have these vehicles in a community
There are many trailers. Can you imagine if they were all parked in the city
There are no additional benefits to allowing longer parking for persons without an RV type vehicle.
There are no benefits aside for RV owners to save money on storage over the allowed season. This will cost tax payers more money to enforce. It also makes our city look less attractive and expect more vehicle collisions and child injuries or fatalities.
There are no benefits associated with this idea. The impacts are substantial. Narrower streets with more vehicles parked on them as people may not have access to their garages or driveways due to their RV's being in the way. This is exacerbated by people not following the 40 km/hr speed limit. Limited sight lines depending on where vehicles are parked relative to intersections, etc.... Greater clutter of vehicles on city streets with more risk of vehicle break ins/ theft which was endemic in our neighborhood last year to the point where police had check stops to hand out pamphlets to residents telling them to protect their vehicles/park indoors. There are no benefits to this proposal!!
There are NO benefits for allowing RVs to park on residential driveways. There are several RV storage sites throughout the city. If these people have the money to buy an RV then they have the money to pay for storage. I do not want my property value to decrease because my street looks like an RV storage and used car parking lot. The negative impact is all to the non RV owners.
There are no benefits for the city.
There are no benefits to allowing seasonal storage of RV's on the fronts of properties.
There are NO benefits to anyone who doesn't own a RV. The disadvantages include lack of on street parking for visitors, increase trash being dumped on the ground by people who park on the street because their driveway is full.
There are no benefits to extending the time period. People to not purchase houses to have to look at eye sores cluttering up their streets and yards. They should be allowed to be out for 24 hours to pack or unpack and then parked back at the storage facilities. That's why they have them.
There are NO benefits to me as a non RV owner. This past year, the tenants of a rental unit moved in using a large camping trailer. The trailer sat on the small front driveway - sticking out into the street for over a month! The neighbours on both sides asked the tenant when they were going to move the trailer off the driveway and were told - we don't have to move it. When the city was contacted, the peace officer seemed to play both sides. He told the neighbours that he had ticketed the tenant and they had 10 days to remove the trailer. According to the tenants, they were told that the neighbours were just being ridiculous and move their trailer when they can. Trailer stayed for months.
There are NO benefits to residents and the community by allowing recreational vehicles to park in residential neighborhoods for longer than currently allowed.
There are no benefits to this
There are no benefits to this. If people choose to have the expense of an RV, they can afford the expense of storing it off their property. With the current rental market, people will start renting out this space, endangering the neighborhood along with the people foolish enough to rent the space.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are no benefits unless you own a rv unit. We owned rv units for 50 years and never parked on our front pad. We paid for storage and parked them where they should be, in a storage lot.
There are no benefits. People who own RV's are free to relocate to homes with yard set ups that accommodate their RV.
There are no benefits. There are multiple RV storage sites throughout the city. There is no reason to park an RV on your residential property. These people are trying to get out of paying for storage fees. Why do people without RVs have to look at them all day from the windows if their homes?
There are no benifits to storage on front drives
There are no community or City benefits to be gained from increasing the current timeliness for RV parking.
There are no positives besides "I don't have to drive somewhere to pick up my luxury recreational vehicle" , your time is not more important then my safety.
There are not enough RV/trailer/boats owners to make this a big issue. Plus there are a luxury items. If you have one, you can afford storage. Regardless, all RV owners will select to leave them out all summer. Plus they will answer more surveys. So the point of the survey could be anecdotal.
There are numerous locations designed for extended RV stays around the city, having an RV parked for extended durations in an urban environment does not make sense and will have more impacts to adjacent land owners than benefits to the RV users.
There are numerous storage facilities in and around the city and there is no excuse for owning such items and not storing them appropriately.
There are often many cars out front and then to allow rec vehicles all season in addition, that's a lot of vehicles taking away from looking at the trees, pretty yards etc.
There are only benefits to the entitled/privileged that can afford these toys.
There are paid private storage facilities that people can park their recreational vehicles in.
there are paid secure lots available, there's no need to have these on the streets or in front driveways
there are people who have these vechiels that cannot afford ,parking at the spots where they charge too much,
There are plenty of RV storage parks on the outskirts of the city where the owners can store their RV's. Tourists don't want to see these eyesores Keep the city looking clean and presentable don't bring the citys image down.
There are potential legitimate safety concerns for rv parking restrictions, but the benefit to owners allowing longer term parking should outweigh objections about asthmatics.
There are quite a few RVs in my neighbourhood parked year-round and it appears the current bylaw isn't enforced as these RVs have been parked for many years. I hope a new bylaw can be enforced.
There are regular abuses beyond the bylaw limit of 36 hours on driveways, street and lane parking related to RVs and unhooked and trailers where there needs to be more enforcement.
There are serious safety concerns with RV units parked on roadways particularly those that have slide out extension features. These slide outs create a safety concern for pedestrians on sidewalks and adjacent vehicle traffic. Cords and hoses extended out from properties, crossing sidewalks and street pavement also add to the risks. Add a Permit fee for seasonal preparation street parking ,with guidelines and restrictions. Parked in the dark overnight adds to the concerns.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There are so many different types of RVs and purchasing one should include the consideration of storage fees and security. When you live within the city limits, there is only so much space and with such densely populated communities it can become quite unsafe to have recreational vehicles in addition to automotive vehicles.
There are storage areas surrounding the city
There are storage lots available for rent
There are substantial RVs in today's society so I can foresee problems when many RVs line the residential streets. Change in bylaw may be asking for future troubles.
There are terrible impacts and it's unsafe. I have seen people bend the law to themselves. As it stands right now people are pretty respectful and already push the rules. But if this is allowed they will push them more and it's unsafe. It would have to be only permissible on certain streets because main roads are dangerous in neighbourhoods.
There are those who park their large food trucks on their driveway and it's incredibly obstructive but is not bound by the existing bylaw which I believe needs to be looked at.
there are too many bad drivers such as not stop at red light and not yeild. people are injuring when going to work and when coming home. did any one notice that?
There are too many rvs on my street already. It will become too congested if they're allowed to stay longer.
There are zero benefits of allowing recreational vehicles to be parked for extended periods in front driveways.
There are ZERO benefits to the City.....I would like to know what you think these benefits are?!?!?!?!?
There are ZERO benefits to the neighborhood.
There as been an increase in RV/camper break-ins at storage lots and I think, parking an RV on your own driveway would ease some stress over if your RV is safe or not.
There could be an easy increase in density if people could offer permanent residences on their driveways. I see the small living culture as booming as affordability has pushed home ownership opportunities to fewer people. By allowing permanent RV parking in driveways and having a program for it, we could collect a system fee on the services side and a small permit fee while allowing homeowners to rent their driveways and increase the number of dollars spent in that community.
There could be more tension between neighbours regarding parking, sightlines and visitors living in the RVs at the residential properties. There are always abuses of the system.
There is a benefit to only the owner. No benefit to anyone else in the community. There are thousands of RV's in the front all over the city.
There is a downside as thieves can use the presence or lack of presence to determine if the owners are home. The way we build our communities now there is little an owner can do to park their rv on their property as there is limited home lots that can allow side or rear parking access unless you are in an older neighbourhood. So that option is unavailable for homeowners that do not have back lanes or exceptionally wide lots
There is a huge RV parked in the driveway across the street from me, most of the year They rent it out and there are people and vehicles coming and going all the time. Sometimes it is parked in front of my house. Very annoying The laws need to be stricter.
There is a negative impact on parking, especially in residential areas that already have these issues.
There is absolutely no benefits to having any Rv's or any kind of trailer parked on driveways or streets. They just make it difficult to see what coming down road.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is already a bylaw that is reliant on community enforcement. If your neighbor doesn't complain you're OK.
These vehicles can be seen in alleyways and driveways throughout the city.
There is already a problem with parking in many areas of the city. Allowing more RV or parking of any recreational vehicles more leniency just adds to our problem's especially on the main streets.
There is already limited parking in my area on the street near my townhouse complex. I can't imagine having RVs parked there.
There is already limited space for homeowners to park and not all of us have driveways or garages. Those of us with street parking already fight for space and permitting these large vehicles in driveways increases the number of vehicles parked on the street. Unless we move to a permitting model where we each have the right to park in front on our homes that we own, this is not acceptable.
There is already low enforcement (we have neighbours who have parked one on their driveway for years), loosening the rules may lead to even more overwinter parking
There is also the potential for increased crime where additional vehicles are parked on the street versus garages, and the RVs may become a target as well.
There is an abandoned RV (30+ years old and very ugly) on 79th St. and 44th Ave in Bowness that has been there since August 2022. It is clearly abandoned and Bylaw has issued tickets that are still on the windshield (no one is taking responsibility for the RV). It now has a flat tire and is leaking oil/transmission fluid and now poses an environmental hazard. It is on the street behind Bowness High School and is a hazard for the kids that park there for school. Please remove it (tow it).
There is just enough room for people to be putting their RVs into the scenario. Not needed. Fill it and leave no need to park for an extended amount of time. RVs are a luxury not part of every day functionalities they need to be treated this way
There is limited parking on the streets and it becomes a eye sore also.
There is no benefit for non rv owners.
There is no benefit for the in allowing these RV's to park on residential front driveways. The benefit is to the owners. Again, if people buys or own an RV, they should be prepared to bear the cost of paying for an RV storage stall. They should not be allowed to obstruct sight lines as it creates a safety issue and this is non-negotiable. Then there's the aesthetics issue.
There is no benefit to all. It only benefits those who have a recreational vehicle and causes significant safety issues of visibility and are an eye sore.
There is no benefit to having RVs parked on residential driveways. There is plenty of RV storage throughout the city. These people are trying to get out of paying for storage. I do not want to look at a trailer out of my window all day everyday.
There is no benefit to limiting what someone can park on their driveway. And because it can't be policed all the city is doing is making enemies out of neighbours.
There is no benefit to the city or to the community with allowing RV's to be parked in driveways
There is no benefit to the City to allow recreational vehicles to be parked longer than the time allotted currently.
THERE IS NO BENEFIT TO THE CITY TO ALLOW RVS TO BE PARKED ON STREET FOR MORE THAN 24 HOURS



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

There is no benefit to the overwhelming majority of city residents whose front or back garden views are violated by their neighbours trailers. Park it out of my sight please regardless of it being in front or behind. Residential is for residents, not parking or storage
There is no benefit whatsoever to have this. There a RV storage lots available.
There is no enforcement, except by citizen complaints. Parking Control has to be involved in the enforcement and not leave everything up to the ordinary citizen
There is no need for the neighbours of the RV owner to be impacted. Leave the bylaw as-is. RV owners knew the bylaw when they purchased their RV. Let them park in a specified RV off site storage parking lot.
There is no reason to change a bylaw that functions well.
There is not enough parking as it is. No rv parking
There is nothing stopping houses on either side parking in the driveway and restricting sightlines in every direction. A major impact will be if these RV's are parked in the driveways, other vehicles will be forced onto the street from garages and driveways. A house with 4 cars would take additional spaces on the street and force other residents to be parked further away. As a home owner with a mobility issue - and there are many, there will be additional requests for handicap parking.
There is only a benefit to people that own them. They are an eyesore. Much like the garbage bins that people refuse to put away.
There is simply not enough additional space in our already vehicle-saturated communities to add in these vehicles as permanent driveway fixtures during the summer months without negatively impacting the safety people who use the sidewalks. Having driveway space used for RV parking would result in more non-RV vehicles parking along roadways and in lanes, resulting in even further reduced sightlines for pedestrians. Convenience for people who use RVs should not trump the safety of all pedestrians.
There may be a person or persons with disabilities, or seniors who use RVs. The 36-hour period is pretty tight for cleaning and other necessary maintenance on an RV.
there may be an increase of theft in the areas, people stealing stuff from the trailers.
There may be opportunities to balance needs by setting different guidelines based on single vs multiple axle, and motorized vs towed. As long as the variation does not overcomplicate understanding/compliance and make enforcement difficult.
There might need to be an additional definition for the tiny homes that share some of the characteristics of an RV and what that looks like mostly from the case that they are more of a year round setting and might fall under the secondary/grandma's suite definitions
There must be most important municipal issues than this one? It's fine for duration as it is now. Giving RV owners time to "load up and prepare" for a weekend get away.
There must remain a restriction preventing people from living in the RV for extended periods of time if parked in front of the house.
There needs to be actual enforcement of bylaws with regards to already existing parking issues. The only time enforcement happens is when there is a direct complaint. City needs to be proactive in addressing parking issues all over the city.
There needs to be consideration for other property, sight lines, not blocking views, roads, parked properly not on sidewalks or roads. Something to consider of the person is using their front driveway for the rv, and now having to take up street parking, that can cause disruption for homes without driveways. Some of us don't have a driveway.
There needs to be more leniency with Bylaw when RV owners unhitch trailers when on roadways in front of their property; when they are going for supplies such as gas or groceries



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

<p>or even to fix a flat tire. I have seen when an owner unhitches and a complaint occurs and Bylaw tickets. There needs to be a prescribed amount of time allowance - such as 4-5 hours.</p>
<p>There should and is no impact on the city. You're already wasting our money. Let people live there lives and enjoy their seasonal 'vehicles' in front of their houses without being attached to another vehicle until they need to take their trip. This is ridiculous that at you ticket them because they're not attached to a vehicle most people don't have the luxury of owning two vehicles</p>
<p>There should be a limit to the number of RVs to one per household otherwise people will likely use their driveway as a storage location for multiple. Then because their driveway is full of RVs, they will claim to have no place to park their personal vehicle and use the street longterm.</p> <p>If an RV is parked on a driveway it should have no part extend over a public sidewalk as trailer hitches can be small, difficult to see, and impede the ability to traverse on sidewalks, especially those with mobility problems (wheelchairs, low vision, health issues, etc).</p> <p>Gooseneck trailers can also hang low and people may bump their heads on them if unaware of them being present.</p>
<p>There should be a minimum distance between the RV and back of the sidewalk or curb, maybe 18" or 0.5m.</p>
<p>There should be a reasonable allowance for larger RVs to be left unattended on the street pre and post trip. ie. no tow vehicle attached. Having to juggle vehicles to get a non-tow vehicle out to run last minute errands, or, hauling the RV around to do last minute errands is preposterous compared to leaving the RV unattended for awhile.</p>
<p>There should be a separate place for RV to park but not on the residential places</p>
<p>There should be clean up for paved alley ways, every year the city dumps tons of gravel in the winter but won't come to clean it up. Either stop rocking they paved alley or clean it up so the city has even more stock pile because it is just sitting in the grass areas where I'm sure the cities lawn mowers has ton of damage from which is costing the city in mantance.</p>
<p>There should be no expansion in neighborhoods or areas - especially where parking is already limited or in cul de sacs, smaller streets and front driveways where visibility is impacted. We have kids riding bikes in our street and have had issues with large, unsightly motor homes blocking visibility and causing safety issues. Bylaw does not respond for weeks or months so you should not even think about extending the 36 hours unless you can enforce it.</p>
<p>There will always be someone that abuses it. We had one neighbor that had his RV taken apart for repairs and had it spread all across his driveway and then some for multiple days. Also, some older RVs are an eye sore, so it decreases property value having to see these all the time (similar to broken down cars or homes that are unkept). Not everyone owns an RV but we all own our homes, we don't want decreased property value for someone else's hobby</p>
<p>There will be an increase in complaints</p>
<p>There will be an inherent cost increase to manage this and enforce any restrictions/bylaws. Tax dollars should be put towards other projects that better affect the majority of Calgarians.</p>
<p>There will be cries of "unfair" from RV owners who do not have front drive ways and demand to park longer on city streets.</p>
<p>There will definitely be problems with street parking and safety. If people want to own RVs, they can store them properly in a storage lot - and retrieve them when they want to use them. This is a serious infringement of my rights as a Calgary tax payer / home owner. I have a</p>



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

right to enjoy the beauty of my neighborhood without being forced to look at ugly RVs filling every driveway, and cars lining the streets, obstructing my parking and causing safety issues.
There will still be a number of people who will inhabit their RV, owing to the shortage of reasonable rentals.
There would be a higher risk of B&E's as visibility is obstructed by some of these big units. Also - Calgarians who own larger motorhomes are not able to park on their garage driveway - so allowing smaller units to do so seems unfair. If you are unable to store on your yard - then you should pay to put it in storage.
There would be a reduction in emissions when rv units are not needed to be transported to storage facilities, some of which can be located out of town. In some cases a separate vehicle needs to follow the rv to the storage facility which would double the emissions for that trip.
There would be an increase of complaint calls to 311. People have their own ideas of what is considered acceptable RV condition and parking parameters.
There would inevitably be people who then wanted to keep their RV parked in their front driveway year round.
There's already far too many people parking on the streets when they have driveways.
There's already no parking. The city is actively trying to increase density in the under city. It makes no sense for us to now allow RVs for longer
There's a catch-22 here for corner lots. A house near me on a corner lot has a side garage and concrete driveway that has had 2 derelict vehicles parked there for ages. One is a pickup truck with a covered truck bed and the other is a caravan with completely flat tires. I called bylaw 6 months ago and they said they would investigate. The vehicles have not moved.
There's an obvious benefit to RV owners to park on their driveways. Unfortunately, it's the ones who try and fit RV's larger than their parking space that end up causing an impact to sidewalks.
There's way too much emphasis being put on stupid [removed] like this because people are butthurt for one reason or another. Stop catering to the whiners and let people get on with the business of living their lives.
These and all other vehicles should be parked on private property. Vehicles should not be parked on public roads and we should stop this ridiculous and over funded practice.
These are eyesores. Commercial lots exist usually outside city limits for the parking if these things. The city intrudes on the form of requiring building permits for people adding a small deck in their backyard yet would consider allowing these behemoths and eyesores to park for longer than 36 hours?? Strongly oppose.
These are not farm properties. There isn't realistic room for large RVs on residential front driveways.
These nuisance vehicles impede traffic, prevent kids playing on the street, are eyesores to the aesthetic of a street/community. Do not extend the permitted use beyond 36hours.
These other areas referenced with longer time limits do not have the SE prevalence of campers and nearby campgrounds as Calgary. We will see more of an impact here, and in specific communities in particular that gravitate towards camping as an economic method of vacationing. Allowing for RVs to be left longer will further divide the economic classes in Calgary; the wealthier communities won't do it. But other, less wealthy, communities will.
These over watered and over fertilized front yards are more objectionable.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

These persons have chosen to purchase these vehicles. it's their responsibility to store them off of residential streets and driveways. Given the current rental climate it's only a matter of time before they start renting them out as a detached apartment.
These RV's are a safety hazard and an eyesore to the community
These things are very large and take up parking and wont fit on the average drive way. I think they should stay out of the community's.
These trailers etc if left all season can become play houses for children and extra residences to rent out resulting in permanent residents for some Calgarians.
These vehicles are quite large, our communities were developed in a way that allowed developers to get as much profit as possible, hence crammed house, small streets, etc. There is no space for these. The oversized pickup trucks are bad enough, most cannot fit into a drive way and take up side walk space. Allowing this is a terrible idea, with little value, satisfying a small minority of the community.
These vehicles can be both an eyesore and an obstruction as many people take free rein to block driveways and sidewalks.
These vehicles could also become "attractive nuisances" for children. Also, it's difficult to control them not being used as additional living quarters when parked for such a long period of time
These vehicles take up more space than standard vehicles and therefore should be limited in their parking capabilities. If someone wishes to own one, they need to keep it on their own property, not the public property.
They are a huge eyesore, often parked where they obstruct views when turning the corner etc.
They are an eye sore and I didn't move to a residential community to see rvs and boats
They are an eye sore and some of them are so big they will become safety concern.
They are an eyesore and irresponsible RV owners will abuse any extension of the current time frame.
They are dangerous as children often play around them and can easily be hit by a moving car because again, they block a persons line of sight. 36 hours to park on of these things? Long enough for sure. If people have money to buy an RV and/or one of the items in the list above, they can pay for parking in an appropriate off site area. Neighbourhoods were not designed and built to house them on property and streets.
They are difficult to see around. People park them across sidewalks, property lines. Park them in the road with a hydro cord going across sidewalks. Take up ridiculous amounts of space.
They are everywhere from driveways to back yards and back lanes
They are large and block views. I don't want to see this daily.
They are large visual barriers for drivers and residents
They are luxury items not necessities. When people purchase or currently own RVs they understand there is an expectation to store them appropriately and the incurred expense as part of annual running costs.
They are not enough parking spaces already. No benefit that I can see to allowing this.
They are terrible eyesores, they allow criminals to access upper house windows, they are adding more obstructions to the front yards that makes it harder to see children on the street and it begins to look like a parking lot instead of a nice residential community. If people want these vehicles and don't have enough space for them, then maybe they should buy a larger



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

place like an acreage. I don't think that RV owner should be able to infringe upon non-owners. There are campgrounds available.
They are unsightly, can cause poor sight lines etc, longer than currently allowed is not a good idea. At present, unless there is a complaint, they are just sitting there. I think bylaw should be doing some driving around and ticketing even if no complaint is made.
They block neighbor's sight lines. They also are constantly used by the owners as a play area and work space . They are basically eyesores .
They block roads and sidewalks
They block sight lines and look like eye sores. Who needs to look at the RV parked all summer except the two weeks they are used, if they are even used.
They block the sideway and owners also use charging cords that are dangerous when walking.
They block the view of plants. They're oversized and ugly. And I don't want to live in an RV park.
They clutter the neighborhood and should not be stored in driveways for long periods of time. Keep the bylaw as is please.
They could be used like an air b and b! Maximum 5 days, but NO to extended periods in the front driveway or roadway.
They detract from neighborhood bisibility and safety, and push vehicles that would otherwise be parked on driveways onto the roads, creating congestion and more impaired sightlines when crossing streets.
They don't belong on streets or driveways. We have neighbors who park their camper trailer I. Their front driveway for weeks.
They have money for the RV they have money to pay to park it off season. Back yard pad spots should be banned as well. I don't want to look I to my backyard and see a trailer/RV
They have RV parking for a reason. do not allow this.
They look ugly. I don't want to look at them. I would much rather see flowers or greenery.
They obstruct vision when driving and can therefore incur accidents more readily than if the space was open and visible. They might also attract more thieves, and God knows we don't need more of that in the city.
They often are parked over a public sidewalk making it difficult for walking.
They often block sideways and cause visibility issues.
They often block views on cul-de-sac and make it difficult to see around corners when driving. Also block views and home security cameras leading to break-ins.
They should be parked behind or beside houses for safety. Not on streets or in front of houses
They should consider limiting the number of RVs allowed to be stored on one property at a time. Including both backyard and front.
They should have to pay to leave it there all summer ! Don't buy a trailer unless you can afford storage or have on property storage!
They should not be allowed to park on streets indefinitely either. They are a huge obstruction that makes streets less safe for pedestrians and drivers.
They should not be parked on city streets. If a person wants to park a RV then a person has to get a permit or pay extra property taxes.
They take up too much space and are a traffic safety hazard
They were banned for a good reason and those reasons have not changed.
They will be an eye sore. If people want tii ok park then consider a permit system if anything





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

They're ugly. Homes and driveways were never designed for these large motorhomes to be stored on them. And guaranteed if they will be permitted to park long term on driveways they will get rented out for accommodation while parked.
They're a menace in the community!!
Think about why the bylaw was created in the first place. If people chose to own they must accept the cost to store.
This also causes more congestion on streets that in a lot of areas are minimal to begin with. People will no longer will have parking in their driveway so they try to find space on the street. This also causes issues with street cleaning, etc. and the city does nothing to people who do not move their vehicles during these times. I have had an rv and if you can afford an rv you can afford the MUTIPLE storage areas in and around the city.
This becomes incredibly dangerous for pedestrians on sidewalks that now can't be seen due to trailers as well as making the roadways more congested and dangerous to bikes.
This benefits people who do keep good care of their trailers and do everything responsibly, by allowing them to reducers cost of storage for their trailer. It also can impact people when those trailers don't move and then get left to rot on driveways where it makes that street of homes look worse.
This bylaw has been working for a long time and has been designed for safety. If it's not broken, please don't try to fix it.
This comes down to convenience, cost savings and rights of property. If I am camping every weekend and have to bring a trailer back and forth to storage it's very inconvenient. Should be allowed for seasonal storage throughout the spring/summer
This could allow for people to have guests/visitors which gives them space and also provides income to the city. People will feel more comfortable owning an rv if it can be at their home.
This could open up the flood gates for people to store cars on the roadways too
This creates an on going safety hazard in the spring on more trafficked residential streets. The visual obstruction caused by RVs is dangerous. More children are out walk and cycling in the summer and may lead to accidents
This creates lots of problem for the neighbors.
This doesn't just benefit rich folks with recreational vehicles. Cost of living is sky rocketing, mortgage rates are forcing people to sell and enter a horrific rental market, and a recession is looming... So many people are already living in their cars. Where do you expect people to live if the current economic trends continue? An RV - camper van, could allow families to be homeless but still go to work and participate in the economy and have some dignity. Also camping in winter is popular.
This economy is brutal on almost everyone right now. Give us all a break already. A lot of people bought campers/trailers/etc during covid and with the cost of living these days, paying an additional fee to store it elsewhere is unfair, especially when a person has their own PRIVATE driveway. Having bylaws such as this is an absolute slap to the face to anyone who owns or rents a home in this city. Stop trying so hard to control your citizen's every move and start funding things that matter. Support the vulnerable population, increase security at places such as the C-train stations, fix the roads (fill potholes, paint new road lines, etc). Grow up and stop nitpicking your residents.
This idea is a move backwards. Driveways or yards in the city for that matter should not be turned into urban farm yards. (Using farm loosely, drive out to the rural areas and you will understand)

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This is a "legacy" community. It is older, but thankfully, not shabby. I'm concerned this would push us further into the shabby category.
This is a city not the country. Brings down the value of the street and area. You can't see around them.
This is a give-an-inch issue. "It sits on my driveway all summer long and that's not an issue. Why do I have to pay to store it somewhere else in the winter?" These days even some tent trailers are hard to see over. Encroachment onto sidewalks is almost a guarantee, again because these structures are increasingly large so increasingly unlikely to fit on a standard driveway.
This is a horrible idea.
This is a slippery slope, where does it end. Can I park my SUV in my own front drive way, on my property, or will that be questioned in future? What about a company vehicle? Or utility trailer? Home owners should be able to park whatever vehicle they so choose on their property and it's absolutely ridiculous that this is even up for debate. Worry about the lack of parking in the city, or the absurd cost for a city that almost exclusively requires travel by vehicle and leave home owners alone
This is about balancing needs ... I think it is appropriate for RVs to be parked outside of the city when not in use regardless of the time of year.
This is an unnecessary bylaw. People should not be restricted on where they can park smaller RVs or trailers.
This is crazy talk. No please.
This is egregious city overreach. The city should concentrate on things that matter like clearing snow off streets and sidewalks, reducing crime. The city already does a poor job at these. There is no need for the city to stick it's nose in things that don't concern it.
This is just an opportunity for the city of Calgary to create a problem that isn't there. It's a money grab. Perhaps the City should consider focusing their efforts on revitalizing the downtown core and attract more businesses instead or solve the rampant drug use and making downtown a safer place for residents and visitors to enjoy. Maybe that will generate the revenues you're looking for.
This is just going to cause neighbours calling by-law on each other and wasting their time. No one is going to adhere to having the RV's parked on their driveway. They likely won't fit on the driveways in the new communities, therefore they will park them on the street.
This is my home and I should have a right - the city has lots of other things to address this does not seem a good use of your time. Please reconsider how you are evaluating your time on resources.
this is NOT a housing option
This is something that's recreational, nothing else. Neighbours shouldn't have to deal with the sight of RVs just because of someone's hobby.
This is supposedly a free society, All of us need to engage in protecting the ability of each of us to enjoy our property. That only comes through the exercise of being able to freely (with caveats that the reasonable enjoyment of my property does not unreasonably infringe on my neighbors or community
This is the result of the city shrinking community footprints by eliminating alleyways and incorporating narrow lots at the behest of developers period!
This issue seems like a good opportunity to take a stand against busybodies inserting their opinions when none were asked for.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This matter seems to be something a Home Owners Association would rule on, I'm not sure why the city would be involved.
This might help some people living in temporary housing if some were willing to open the RV home to another.
This needs to be applied more strictly. Only cars should be allowed to park in a driveway.
This only addresses relaxation of storage on private property frontage. It does not address on-street parking of RV units, which will invariably get mistakenly included. One only need read the comments section to the City of Calgary's post on this matter in the Neighborhood app to confirm that the majority do not even understand what the current bylaws allow. Perhaps education and enforcement should be focused there first?
This policy must work This policy must apply to all areas.
This policy would only benefit the RV owner. It would be a 'pain' for the RV owner to have to get their RV from storage several times a year and bring it to their house to prepare for a trip. Allowing seasonal parking makes it easier for the RV owner and probably minimizes cost.
This should be subject to an additional tax or considered in property tax that there is an added residence on a property. This will cause lost revenues to RV lots. It will also reduce emissions from folks picking up and returning vehicles from storage.
This should lessen the traffic from parking their tent trailers from storage to their home everytime they want to use it.
This should not even be up for discussion.
This simply a benefit for the few that inconveniences the many.
This survey should include the name and address of the person completing this survey.
This to me is about size. Size really does matter.
So if someone has a camper an and puts a sticker on saying city plumber they could park it? This rule makes sense for larger vehicles. Not the smaller ones. Thanks for reconsideration
This will benefit very few and save them a little bit of money to the distaste and negative impact to many. Do not allow this !!!
This will cause social issues within communities
This will depreciate property values. Let's be honest, this will turn into a dump in no time. Ppl working on then, restoring garbage ones
This will encourage people to spend time outdoors.
This will impact greatly those businesses that provide storage of RVs. If they fold then the RVs will be everywhere, and on driveways year round. Part of owning one is storing it properly.
This will lead to year round people parking and living on city streets causing safety health and welfare concerns for citizens. Once you open the door to extended parking it will be abused by people and not stoppable.
This will place more cars on city streets to park as garages and driveways wont be accessible if a trailer is parked on them
This would allow individuals to keep their property in sight and not have to rely on the security of a storage sight.
This would be more in keeping with other cities across Canada and a large number of Calgarians own RVs.
This would cheapen the esthetic of our neighborhood. Thumbs down.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

This would make it easier for people to get outdoors and enjoy camping. It's burdensome and time consuming to haul these items to storage every week, notwithstanding a waste of vehicle fuel for no benefit.
This would not be good for the city. Plus the extra cost of enforcement. Bad idea and just catering to a vocal minority. If you own a trailer, pay for storage. The city and taxpayers don't owe you
Those who choose to own RVs were well aware of the bylaws when they purchased them. I can also see the potential that people will end up living in them or renting them out on their driveways. Let's try not to destroy the neighborhoods that people bought into by fundamentally changing the community for a select few.
Those who choose to purchase these types of vehicles need to factor in storage. The city is already having issues with parking of regular vehicles we don't need RVs taking up limited spaces on the street
Though maybe providing a sense of security for the RV owner, it makes it more insecure for neighbours by obstructing the view of their front/side entrance. RV storage places should be chosen based on their security.
Time - parking should be extended to a maximum of 3 days at one point in time. Extended time would allow for more flexibility around pick up and drop off after use.
Time and money saved. Makes life more liveable in Calgary
Time consuming to enforce when bylaw changes
Time should actually be reduced to 24hrs vs 36hrs. RV's are unsightly in the neighbourhood and interfere with traffic sight lines.
Time to clean, fill, visit with relatives or park it during the week saves travel, gas's and traffic instead of pick up and drop off
Times are tough. Im sure it would cut down on storage fees for families. Those savings will go back into the local economy.
Times are tough. Paying for storage elsewhere and the time consuming effort of going back and forth seems very unfair when I can keep it safely on my own property.
To allow people to park the RVs or any other seasonal type vehicle you are asking the rest of us to over look the damage it can do to resale and property values. Who wants to look at a RV for a spring/summer season, on their property or not. I would not buy a house next to or across the street from where a RV would be parked for more than the time allocated by the city right now. Why the need to compromise a whole city's home life to satisfy the few. Stop letting the few dictate policies for all
To elaborate on my last point in the previous question, I don't understand how someone's ownership of a recreational vehicle should supercede my right to quiet enjoyment and safety within my own neighbourhood. When purchasing these types of vehicles, especially in Canada, it should be understood that it simply won't be used for periods if time and it is the owners responsibility to store their vehicles at their own cost and risk.
To help with this survey it would help if the City provided clarity on what they are looking to extend it to. For example, I would be fine with extending from 36hrs to 7 days, but not with extending from 36hrs to 4-6 months
To me it would not help if I was to list my house for sale.
To me, the main benefit to the city would be shirking a responsibility to bylaw enforcement - something they are already not very good at. Convenience of RV owners is a paltry consideration
To not inconvenience people further in their lives, let people do what works for them.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Too many bylaws. Have you considered letting people live their lives and just being there as a guide rather than an annoyance?
Too many ppl park across the sidewalks, block corners. Can be very dangerous and I'm sick and tired with almost getting hit when trying to cross the street or have to put the nose of my car into traffic so I can attempt to pull out
Too many RVs make a community look "junky" and cluttered. We have seen this in smaller towns where everyone appears to be able to park anything anywhere.
Too many vehicles in the front of homes cause visual obstruction and can be dangerous for children and others when playing/walking.
Too much control by the city.
Tourism, economic growth and ability to have more ownership of recreational vehicles.
Traffic and parking where they are parked can cause narrowed roadways. It will take away parking for people that have to park on the street
Traffic and pedestrian safety concerns related to increased frequency of RV vehicles backing over public sidewalks and temporarily blocking access to residential streets.
Traffic congested is a key concern with these vehicles narrowing road width as well as diminishing the esthetic of a residential neighborhood
Traffic safety- they're huge and hard to see around when parked on the street. Electrical cords running across the side wall to provide power.
Traffic volume has increased everywhere. Such magnifies the safety concerns.
Trailers (in general) affect visibility and safety when parked on roads as well. I would like to see increased bylaw enforcement enforcement of the requirement that vehicles (especially trailers) park near the curb. Trailers parked on an angle sticking out into the driving lanes create unsafe conditions.
Trailers and RVs are often a way to provide short-term guest parking, but 36 hours is a short period of time for a guest to visit.
Trailers are left in front of our property and we don't know where they the people live. Streets are too narrow to have large trailers and vehicles left on them
Trailers could be used as short term air bnbs during stampede.
Trailers in my ward are often parked on driveways with their hitch sticking out onto the street. Not safe.
Trailers on front driveways would break up sightlines between houses, block street light illumination reducing security. It would also reduce neighborly interactions and reduce sense of community. Why should I feel less secure in my home so my neighbor can feel more secure having his RV parked on his driveway???
Trailers on the street can have a detrimental effect. People living in them year round. Garbage, possible sewage into the catch basins. Poor sight lines on corners. Some streets in certain communities have narrow streets so this would definitely cause a concern. Property values possibly decreasing.
trailers or motorhomes parked on the street near intersections in my neighbourhood make it difficult to see traffic. They should be in driveways until provisioned for a holiday.
Trailers should only be allowed on roadways unless connected to tow vehicle
Trailers take away visibility and Natural Looking neighborhoods. The children walking on sidewalk cannot be seen from drivers backing onto street
Trailers that are too long (ie. encroaching on sidewalks) should not be allowed, but otherwise, if it fits on a person's property, it should be allowed.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Trailers too large for driveways and having them protruding across the city sidewalk. Also not putting blocks under the wheels to keep them from rolling onto someone else's property.
Trailers, motorhomes and campers often attract thieves, and those thieves tend to roam throughout the neighborhood, trying car doors, outbuildings and sometimes homes. Letting recreational vehicles remain on the street for the whole summer also invites some people to turn vehicles into short term rentals which will mean even more cars parked on residential streets.
Trailers, RVs and recreational vehicles should not take up public street parking. Those who own recreational vehicles can afford storage costs as well, so neighbours should not be inconvenienced by these vehicles' long term community parking.
Travel restrictions caused everyone to think local and purchasing trailers tripled over the last several years, so don't change because more people are being vocal about storage. Make an extension to the time limit but don't make it permanent. Unfortunately some of the population will take advantage of this and create more work and problems in the long run and now your community residents are complaining to you to fix it
Try dropping crime and taxes
TV's should be parking in the lots close to town.
Ugly and messy
Ugly as [removed] and take up too much space
unable to comment
Undermines the integrity of residential landscape as well as reduced visibility. Speeding is an issue. I live on a street with a playground and several children are playing in the area. So much speeding already occurs, with reduced visibility it's a tragedy waiting to happen
Unfortunately, RV owners need to be aware of the costs and inconveniences that go along with owning an RV. If you simply wanted to extend the time they can be parked to allow for cleaning and etc, that would be reasonable but I would oppose them being parked from spring through fall.
Unless city is ready to lease more industrial terrain for private operators to run RV storage operations, so people have easier access, a 72 hour window would be better than a 36
Unless more parking officers will be out doing enforcement, there will be more issues.
Unless people are in an HOA or strata with specific rules if people own their land anything they do on their land as long as it is legal activity should be unrestricted. I do not own an RV and this rule does not impact me personally but I strongly believe anyone should be able to store their vehicles on their own driveways without restrictions. Finding storage for these vehicles can be costly and difficult and many newcomers from other provinces may find this a deterrent in moving here.
Unnecessary hauling of recreational vehicles to and from storage will help reduce traffic & associated pollution.
Keeping recreational vehicles at home (I.e. on front drives) helps busy families prepare for trips, address mechanical issues, and engage in resale, especially for those with disability or inflexible work schedules.
Requiring recreational vehicles be in storage during the recreational season is a barrier for many people.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Unrelated, plow the bus route streets to the sidewalks instead of baring every ones driveways. Stop spreading that salt stuff! I had to through away to bikes sitting in my garage as they rusted out.
Unsafe for children and vehicles if numerous RV's on streets and driveways
Unsightliness and when parked on side of homes or in yards attract rodents and destroy street sightlines.
Unsightly
Unsightly. Creates poor visibility for neighbours trying to back out of driveways. Causes increased street parking forcing neighbours guests to park far away. Blocks views. Ugh.
Unsightly. lowers my property value. I would never knowingly purchase a house where my neighbor has parked an RV.
Unsure
Us businesses affected by the motorhomes lining business areas, regularly and desperately beg the City of Calgary to help fix the street parking in these areas. It is immeasurably more important and a more critical matter than fixing parking in a driveway in a residential area.
Use common at sense. Let people live without being smothered by bylaws. This isn't about people living in them it is about parking.
Use of generators or power cords across public property should be prohibited.
Used as a secondary suite or living quarters should be discouraged
Using public streets to "park" (ie store) accessory vehicles is ignorant and happens now. Changing the rules will just make it worse.
Using RVs and or tent trailers as secondary suites would be very problematic for neighbours, neighbourhoods, bylaw officers, and police officers. This will lead to frequent calls, and end it additional cost for manpower and time.
Usually seen in the Inglewood community, some residence park their camper van on the roadway for 10 months of the year, especially on 15A. Making parking difficult for other residence, they take up valuable space and rarely move them at all in the winter.
Value of neighborhood houses
Vehicle generators can be turned on when it is cooler generating additional noise.
Vehicle size restrictions or fees should be imposed to capture the increased damage to infrastructure caused by RVs and trucks like F150s needed to pull these increasingly heavy vehicles.
Vehicles normally parked on driveways end up on the streets which are already congested. We have street parking spot between two residences, it is always occupied by residents living up to a block away.
Vehicles parked on owners property that are high or obstruct a drivers view on the road such as on a bend or curve and cause a safety concern.
Vehicles that encroach on public sidewalks are a concern, there needs to be an way for the public to report such incidences.
Very few front driveways are long enough to accommodate larger RVs without them hanging over the sidewalk, or out into the street. The issue of restricted visibility would be a greater problem if RVs are allowed seasonsl parking, rather than just 36 hours. However, I think the parking restriction could be extended to 48 hours, since it is not always possible to unload and clean an RV in 36 hours.
very few properties have adequate parking for the vehicles used on a daily basis, adding "RV's" to the mix is asking for parking congestion

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Visibility for drivers to see around RVs, no room to place green, black and blue bins by the curb if there are RVs forcing more cars onto the streets
Visibility on crowded streets is already limited. Cars pulling past/backing beside RVs in driveways poses a safety risk to those walking on sidewalks - particularly when the weather is nicer out and residential streets are much busier.
Visitors can stay
Visitors to a homeowner with an RV should be included in this bylaw too. 48 hours should be the max time allowed on the front driveway.
Visual aesthetics of the street and unobstructed sight lines from both inside and outside of any home is imperative.
Visual safety for kids
Visual, illegal renters, safety hazard.
Want people to be able to use their recreational units more efficiently and having them close to home makes it easier to load and clean, I just want those who are around these units remain safe so reducing blind spots is important to me Like it being aligned to a timeframe ie weekend prior to the my long weekend till weekend after Labour Day BUT not that it's there the whole summer ie it needs to be used regularly
Ward 12 - does not have enough on street parking as is. When you have a neighbour that doesn't use their garage as an intended purpose, their 2 vehicles plus work vehicle(s) end up on the street PLUS another RV - it gets to the point your not able to park in front of your own house.
Ward 9 is the hood, we have every kind of homeless person in need supports. Any recreation vehicle bylaw needs to have a caveat that makes it easy to evict them if they become a nuisance or eyesore. I am fine with people storing or using RVs in the neighbourhood as long as it doesn't attract or cause trouble.
ward 9 streets and frontages are already too small. RVs will not fit.
Washing the RVs on driveways.
We all know that in some cases, in a driveway these parked vehicles sit there for years without being used.
We all pay premium property taxes to ensure our residence all look good.
We already have a neighbour who parks their old and unsightly travel van year-round. My concern is that any change or relaxation of current bylaws will create more issues leading to security issues and devalue property values. Many of my neighbours have boats and RVs but are very responsible and ok adhering to the current restrictions.
We already have a number of residents that use the street as their parking spots leaving a dangerous and unsightly situation. With more RV storage on driveways even more traffic will be using streets as their parking lots making for a more congested neighborhood.
We already have an issue with street parking where I live, there are multiple illegal suits, duplexes have turned into 4-plex rentals and now there are up to 6 vehicles per side of these residences, for every driveway taken up by a trailer pushes even more cars onto the street, trailers should only be allowed in the back of the property in their yards not out in the alley or parked on the street. Bylaws are not enforced now, allowing more would only make it worse
We already have congested parking. Allow visitor day parking only in my opinion.
We already have extra vehicles lining streets and allowing these vehicles on driveways will increase the number on streets. Which not only makes our neighborhoods ugly but also more dangerous. Additionally, people who use these vehicles frequently for their use tired purpose don't have a problem with the 36-hour by law because they load and go. The expense of

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

storage for those who don't used their RV frequently should be considered when purchasing and not become other people's problem.
We already have houses operating as Airbnb in higher class neighborhoods. We don't want to encourage more opportunities for people to sleep in a paid situation.
We already have limited street parking causing the people who choose to park on their own drives headache for the other that don't know how to park on the street.
We already have many complaints with snow removal in winter, could you imagine if these RVs were on our streets in winter.
In some areas in the city street parking is already an issue.
We already have people with 2 vehicles parked on the street to also allow rv's would be crowded and junky looking.
We already have work trailers (landscaping, welding, etc) attached to pickup trucks that clutter the neighborhood. I do not want any additional density of vehicles where I have to look at them.
We already see these vehicles parked on the road for long periods, with electrical cords stretched across the sidewalks. People are living for months in these trailers on the streets now. Its a safety issue for pedestrians and drivers.
We always stored our RV in a storage lot, they are not expensive, most have their own security, and most are fairly close to, or are in fact, within the City of Calgary.
We are a car oriented city. Most people park their vehicles outside despite having a garage. Allowing to park RV increases this issue. RV parking minimizes road width and causes risks concerns from children crossing the streets as they create massive blindspots. Definitely not a nice sight when you spend time creating harmony and curb appeal.
We can't afford to pay storage when we have it at home.
We could have family visiting and they could be driving an RV but can't stay on your property more then 36H.
We currently do not have enough By-law services to enforce parking infractions in a timely manner now, and the City wants to look at loosing these rules. This makes no sense. In addition parking in most communities,new or old is at premium. Now you will be moving more viechles onto the street. In addition with the current housing market how is City going to stop people living in these trailers?. Also these units can severely obstruct views pulling out of your driveway or for pedestrians walking.
We don't need airbnb RVs
We don't want to look like Cochrane , people will abuse the laws even more . These recreation vehicles are obstructive and dangerous blocking sight lines for driveways . I have lived in Calgary for 30 years and I will not support any increase to the time frame . The current time frame laws are even too long for me .
We don't want to make community's look like parking lots. These RV's infringe on the right of their neighbours to enjoy pleasant neighbourhood surroundings
We had a neighbor park an old beat up RV for many years on the front drive way. The roof was covered with a flapping blue tarp that would blow away during bad weather. It was an unsightly eyesore which detracted from the aesthetics of our street. Only recently did bylaw force the owner to move the RV, which they now moved to the space between the houses. An RV should not be allowed to be stored on a driveway in a residential community.
We had a neighbor remove a beautiful mature tree from their front property in order to make room to park their trailer.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We had a neighbour who had the largest RV money could buy. He was always running it and moving it from his driveway, to the front of his house and leaving it in his driveway or the front of his house for weeks at a time regardless of the neighbours requesting he move it. The city bylaw officers had to talk to him on a frequent basis. Give an inch, they will take a mile.
We have a back alley neighbour who parks their motorhome directly behind their house but not in a parking stall. It's right up against the alley and since we have two cars in a new duplex, we must park one in the garage all the time and the motorhome makes it difficult to park our SUV in the garage. Is that considered their own land? Right up to the alley? Anyways I think people should be allowed to park it on their own land but not in the alley if that's not considered their property. Maybe more definition on that would be helpful for owners (and others like us) to know what's accepted and not
We have a neighbor with a rv. When it is parked to load/unload etc it makes the sight lines for turning our corner dangerous. Having it there for extended periods is just not a good idea.
We have lots of storage lots for these camping units. They should not be on city streets for extended periods.
We have many children playing in the fronts of our homes which are hard enough to see when it's only cars on the street. You would only make it worse if you add RVs etc into the mix. Safety first!
We have neighbours who moved in YEARS ago, and put 2 of these on their driveway. They have NEVER used them, or moved them. The city needs to follow up on the bylaw, and do something about these obstructions. We have notified the city twice, and they say they can't do anything about them.
We have RVs that park on the road and/or impede visibility and the city does nothing to deter it. By the time parking gets around to looking at calls, the vehicle could be gone so the person just parks there again the next time with no repercussions. It's can feel very unsafe trying to navigate roads at night or in in-climate weather when the streets are narrowed and vision is blocked by RVs.
We have school bus drivers parking busses over night on the street & in their driveway which creates dangerous blind spots. Children run out from behind them & you can't see what traffic is there either
We have seen residents that have a multiple of vehicle they take up all the parking on the street. If they are allowed to park their rv from spring to fall then this will make the street parking worst. They will park all there vehicles from the garage on the street so they don't have to move their rv each time when they want to drive the vehicle in the garage. An increase to 48 hours gives them time to pack the rv before they leave and time to unpack when they come back home.
We have several people on our street that work away from home for weeks at a time & they own several types of RV vehicles & trailers which are parked on their driveway all year resulting in them parking their vehicles on the road & overcrowding.
We have someone in the neighbourhood who had their massive RV parked on the road for months, even with a parking ticket on the windsheild and nothing was done. This will only make it worse.
We have that issue already in our area whereby people people are not adhering to the current law so if you open it up more would be doing it. There is no policing done and we have to report the issue. People just move the trailer for a couple of days then bring it back. If I did have one I would pay to have it stored in a lot when not in use.



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

We have too many by-laws and regulations that staff are challenged to enforce already - keep it simple - keep it concise- stop attempting to cater to the minority at the expense of others and our tax dollars
We live in a bare land condo community with strict rules. Parking - and RV pkg needs to be enforced. No RV in driveways or streets. Extremely poor esthetic.
We live in a beautiful city, during summer it is nice to see very minimal.RV's, allowing this we will be bringing in esthetically undesirable views and increases safety concerns. Parking issues will also increase as driveways will now be used for storage for Rvs and increase in parking issues/illegal parking. I expect the city will also increase the parking authority to ticket more?
We need less red tape.
We need to reduce the number of vehicles per household and increase the beauty of our neighbourhoods. People need to own less vehicles or be forced to store excess vehicles offsite. If there are not driven every 24 hours, I would consider that excess. Please do not add to the problem that we are ready have with overdeveloped homes without storage and to many vehicles.
We pay enough for housing no property taxes. People should be able to store their belongings on their property
We pay for our houses why can't we park on our driveways
We pay property taxes and for our homes. A benefit to that should be storage of our trailers on our own property. Just as long as it's not on the road or blocking sidewalks, I don't see an issue.
We should be doing everything we can to stop wastage of resources and removing unnecessary traffic on the roads
We should be encouraging people and families to get out of their homes, camp etc. No need for too many rules about parking when its on your own property.
We simply don't need such a relaxation. Keep our streets clean. Back alleys in many areas have already become dumping grounds, don't make it worse.
We sold our RV because of the restrictions. There are lots of situations in my community where the parking for RV meets the letter of the law but it is still just as visible as if it was sitting on a drive way.
We spend 6 mths with unplowed streets and a single lane down centre. Now we'll spend another 6 with more vehicles on streets. For those who spend much of our summer at home, RVs in driveways make if feel like we live in a campground, without the fun. I don't live in a campground. I live in a city claiming to be great. reality is, the existing bylaws aren't enforced. I could Park a trailer in my driveway and get away with it. Why spend the \$ changing a bylaw that isn't enforced?
We struggle in our area with people following the speed limit. We have a park in our area. It is dangerous enough for children and the elderly. When you add everything up along with large motorhomes obstructing the view it spells disaster. Our old neighbour parked his massive boat, truck and motorhome on our street.
We want to live in a clean and tidy city. It's like cleaning a room but fill it with a bulky dining table and ask people to enjoy the cleanness of the room.
Weeds neglected /unattended around the vehicles. Also, leaves blocked by vehicles
Weekend traffic is crazy with people going from work to storage to home and then camping, home , storage and home again. Less time in traffic should benefit city roads maintenance. Most RV's in my neighborhood currently park on the street hooked up to a vehicle which

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

loading/unloading & cleaning. If they were allowed to unhook on their driveways there would be better visibility on the roadway.
Welcomes crime/thefts, blocks views, fire and safety hazards, often occupied
Well, it could be unsightly for neighbours and block one's enjoyment of one's view. There could be more neighbour disputes if a unit is perceived as ugly. I would be concerned about what the fire department thinks
We've had issues with the units on neighboring driveways causing our visibility to be reduced to zero when pulling out of our driveway. For the sake of peace we say nothing but live with these eyesores offering no benefits to all but simply a matter of convenience for the few. 36 hours is enough time to set up for a road trip and move on. The rest of the community need not suffer indefinitely for these recreational vehicles. The city has enough issues, we don't need to create another one.
What if the property has a gravel driveway or parking stall? Parking should be allowed.
What will be the penalty for infractions
Whatever bylaws are created there will always be people who extend the rules or stretch them for their gain or purpose. Give them an inch - they will take a mile. While I can appreciate the convenience of having your trailer parked on your driveway and the cost savings from storage lots there will always be people who have to push the limits and then it becomes two trailers on the driveway and 4 cars/trucks parked all over the road. Make the bylaw straight forward and precise.
Whatever you decide to do have the people around to enforce the law, it should not be up to "Joe citizen" to police it.
When a larger RV is parked on the driveway, and the home dwellers have 2 cars or more (up to 3 and 4) and that goes on for more than 2 weeks, the neighbors to the immediate side, lose their back out space and parking space in front of their yard. This becomes even more disruptive when it is winter and snow piles up when the offending house has extra vehicles parked on the street and into the front of the neighbor's property. Backing out of our own driveway is a cautious task. It all boils down to each house and how many other vehicles do they have.
When cycling or driving in my ward, I often come across RVs - especially in summer - parked on city streets in front of their houses which create hazards, e.g. impossible to see oncoming traffic.
when families are happy they stay together, children stay out of trouble, they learn to get along because their family is on a camping vacation. In the end it would cost less to police disturbed youth.
When I did own a camper, having it parked in the alley made it far less visible and therefore easy to break into.
Legislating on "esthetics" is extremely dangerous: one person's glorious garden is another person's "unsightly not-lawn" -- and you leave far too much up to the whims of a bylaw officer or grumpy neighbours.
When I drive onto my street, I am so disturbed by the impact of multiple commercial vehicles parked not adjacent to owner's property, RV and utility trailers left for days on end. I feel like my street is more an industrial area than a residential area.
When I walk in my neighborhood, I don't want to see RV storage. Be thing to keep in mind is that there will be no going back. Let's not do this. We need a vote.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

When my house burned we were able to live in a trailer on my property. (Not Calgary) I can't even imagine the cost it would have been if we could noylt do that.
When my neighbour parks his TV in his driveway (where it sits for 5 months) it is impossible to see pedestrians when I pull out of the driveway. My other neighbour parks his RV in his driveway and uses it as a "guesthouse" (air bnb) for the summer! The city needs to realize that most people don't own little Shasta's or Bolers - they own gigantic RVs with a sq footage greater than my house!
When parked during storage season, it shouldn't be habitable. More people would want to purchase without thinking of paying additional storage fee when you have space in your property i.e driveway or back parking.
When parked in the driveway in the front of the house, it's severely impaired vision trying to back out of your driveway. I have seen several children near Mrs. being hit by vehicles backing out because they couldn't see around their trailer. I've also walked into the hitches from trailers before at night when they're parked on the driveway it's a safety concern and I don't think the byelaws should change they should stay the same.
When parked on street they are hard to see around especially when parked near were entering from side street. They also take up lane space
When people park RV on front driveway they block the sidewalks.
When purchasing an RV, buyers should consider the parking dilemma as part of the purchase
When purchasing these types of vehicles, storage should be a part of the budget. Why should neighbours have to deal with unsightly RV's if someone can't store it, or have the means to store it off site? It's not used as a daily commuter, and just sits there when not on use.
When RV's park on the street it's difficult to see pedestrians and other vehicles.
When RVs remain in front of a home for longer than a week we feel that it effects the look of the properties around it. We work hard on the esthetics of our front yard and nothing we do can balance the negative impact of the RV especially when it is not well kept.
when the rules are not always followed and the RV is parked on street parking making the roads more narrow, visibility lower, and taking up valuable parking spaces from others
When the temperature hits minus 20 and lower, cars need to be plugged in. Additionally, within the decade electric vehicles will begin to line the streets and they have to be charged.
When they take up the street parking they make it difficult for some of us to put our garbage/compost and recycling bins out. It also ends up being a traffic issue on the street as you cannot see vehicles coming around the corner.
When they're parked on driveways, they're also not always empty. People are staying in them as well.
When trailers, RV's and inoperable cars sit in our neighborhoods they make the area look like a used car lot. There are several old cars sitting around our area that have been like that for years.
When we see renderings of neighbourhoods never do you see virtually abandoned vehicles covered in snow that haven't moved in months or RV's in driveways. Let's keep the Truth in Advertising. It's bad enough we get to see them in side and backyards.
When we sit in our living room, we look out our front east-facing window across the street to a front driveway with a large travel trailer (that moved once last summer, hasn't moved since), truck that moves regularly, and now a portable garage (housing a sports car). All parked in front of a double garage! Major eyesore.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

When you go to outside communities eg, Chestermere, Langdon it is like a RV storage lot, with RV's every where. It's like a competition as to who has the largest RV and Rv toys eg boats, parked out front. It becomes unsightly and very unattractive to a city or community. NO WAY do not let this happen !!
When you live in the city, citizens should respect people for their differences in choices. If they want things their way, they should move out of the city. As long as the RV isn't blocking anything on the road, I wouldn't be concerned. People also have a responsibility to watch their children and also slow down if large hazards are parked on a side street. Aesthetics, I'd be more concerned when yards start to look like a junkyard and are unkept than an RV that is about to leave.
Where do people travel (regionally, US, etc)
Where I use to live, the corner house on the culdesac had a trailer parked in the summer months on the driveway. The kids playing on the street were always at risk when a car came around the corner, more so if they didn't live there as locals are aware of the many kids playing outside and more cautious - although still a risk.
Where is the line drawn for RV size? Some are small tent-trailers, while others could be converted Greyhound buses. I would not appreciate paying property taxes to live in Calgary and have to stare at a bus all summer!
Where will this BS end?
While it's convenient for a homeowner to park their RV in their driveway, the landscape of our streets do not have enough space to accommodate. I had a neighbour who parked a large cube van in their right side driveway that shared my lot line. It blocked the sun since our houses faced the west. The grass between the 8' of driveways started to die (thin out and weaken) because that cube van while it fit on the driveway never moved all year. The snow took well in to May to melt.
While parked on the front driveway, there could be a person staying in the RV. Which creates additional hazards.
Who benefits from this? Not the people who own homes and have the unsightly presence of these vehicles on the street. Does Calgary wants to be a FREE RV park? Who is going to clean up the garbage that is left, and we all know there will be. How is that going to go over with the clean image presented by tourism Calgary? Not the businesses who provide paid parking off city streets for these luxury items, and when I say luxury it is because they are not a necessity. What would be allowed next? Tents along the streets for the homeless? Abandoned trailers used for construction? Is the city going to allow people to live in these items all summer on the streets? Slippery slope indeed!
Who is going to enforce this when the seasonal time is over? The City is going to pay employees to go around and check!! Seems like a waste of money. When people ditch their cars on the streets for months at a time it is only by way of people filing a complaint that these cars finally get moved. The same thing would happen.
Who said anything about esthetics? Too bad if your neighbors don't agree with your own private property ? If it doesn't impact sidewalk
Who's to say that allowing rvs to park for the season on the driveway that it doesn't become a second residency? How would that be managed? You need a permit for a basement suit and pay further tax as it is now considered 2 homes, who's to stop that from happening in people's driveways adding more people known or unknown to your neighborhood while also taking away the look of your street with huge vehicles blocking the sights up and down the street. They are not nice to look at. And they also will smell once it becomes hot outside if not



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

dumped. Our neighbors had their camper on the street for 2 days and the entire street smelled worse than an outhouse. There are no benefits to none owners.
Why am I as a non-RV owner going to be sacrificed to allow the people who have a luxury item to destroy the appeal and look of a neighborhood.
Seriously what a first world problem, I have to drive 10 minutes to the storage lot to get my RV, oh I am so hard done by..... Give your head a shake and go work on real problems and quite catering to this fringe group of people.
NO NO NO !
Why are trailers allowed to be parked between houses? It's nit safe nor does it add to the neighborhoods appeal. Check the two in citadel drive nw
Why bothered by a recreational vehicle? If on someones property i truly dont care. Number of units is more of an issue. If someone wants to do repairs they need time to do some. In support of unlimited
Why cause a new problem with lengthening time periods. There are plenty of storage sites to house these monster units.
Why is the city even revisiting these guidelines? It's funny that you guys are pro for the climate and you want these gas guzzlers parked within the city limits for more time? Make it make sense.
why make things arbitrarily difficult for people who want to use their rvs?
why not encourage people to live their best lives and not have so many 'it's against the law' issues. Let people live their lives if they are not impeding another to live theirs. Happiness and peace should be the theme here. Negative comments I read are mostly of the envious nature. May 15 to September 15 is fair. another benefit is if a home has an rv in driveway/lot they could use it use for out of town guests who come to stay-as well this is additional tourist revenue for the city and surrounding areas. other cities do it. we should become more of a "can do" city than a "can't do city"
Why not have a way for people to save money and keep it on their own property? As long as nobody is sleeping in it (other than 1 night after a fight with the wife instead of sleeping in the dog house) what is the problem?
Why should a cube van be allowed to park on the road and not a camper that has a smaller footprint?
Why should people be forced to store their property at a remote site - ever. But should be on a driveway or other hard pad.
Why would owners need to incur MORE costs when they have a front private driveway?
Why you don't enforce the current bylaw.
Will bylaw actually monitor complaints? Such as encroaching on sidewalks and blocking sight lines?
Will cause parking issue
Will encourage (more) street parking for the owner's everyday vehicle(s). DON'T HAVE ENOUGH STREET PARKING AS IT IS BECAUSE OF THE CITY'S DEVELOPMENT/DENSITY MANDATE. The example cities don't have these same challenges. Unlikely the City is going to regulate RV unsightliness! Let's not invite more crime by offering up RVs to break into. The City needs to STOP this INSANITY, quit making





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

changes that negatively affect neighbor relations and focus on meaningful issues that affect ALL Calgarians!!!
Will it be clear in new bylaws if it is or isn't allowed for people to use their RV for extra house guests, short or long term?
Will look horrible, how is city going to enforce. Dangerous for pedestrians.
Will people block sidewalks with this plan to allow parking in driveways? If you own one of these vehicles you should plan to pay to park it somewhere
Will potentially limit the number of trailers/rvs/boats left hooked up to vehicles and parked on the streets.
Will reduce to crime to storage yards including theft from trailers as well as theft of the trailer. Will make vacationing in the summer easier as families can get their trailers ready throughout the week. Cost of everything has gone up and helping families save every dollar they can is important. Cost of vacations have made it in attainable for most families and they're only option is to stay local.
Will start to look like a junk yard. People already leave campers on their driveway longer than the by law allows. Nothing is done. This will be horrible if allowed!
Will you have the manpower to enforce this? You will get many calls.
With a challenging rental market for low-cost housing, some concern exists that some RVs may be used to temporarily house residents. Is there info on how the city protects residents from such housing options?
With an RV parked for longer periods, on street parking will be required for owners of the house and their visitors. Tenants renting the RV's will also need more on street parking.
With Calgary becoming so unaffordable, people are going to have to rent/live in those trailers and motorhomes in peoples driveways, it's not great but I know it's hard times out there
With cost of living on the rise, relaxing this issue can potentially help out where needed at this time.
with every additional bylaw, there is an increase burden of management
With inflation continuously rising, it would provide financial relief to those who have to pay for storage fees for those seasonal periods.
With inflation this is a small way that the city can help its citizens
With less frontage on properties already this reduces parking for residents and guests this increases dangers to people walking / running. Roads are cluttered due to "parking" everywhere this increases chances of accidents due to poor visibility - most do not use their garages anymore for parking their vehicles.
With limited sidewalk accessibility and parking accessibility in the newer communities, allowing long term RV parking will cause many negative consequences to those who live in the community. I am not in favour of this change
With longer time limits I worry my entire street with limited parking would have no parking for visitors.
With out access to backyards in a lot of the cities developments, there is no where to park trailers anymore. At least they are not on the street.
With parking RV's there is also the financial impact they have on neighbors properties. Why should your neighbors be subjected to the effect of RV parking for extended periods of time.
With reduced parking in front for visitors, RV's would take up these spaces.
With rent so high and camping spaces limited, I bet we'd see people camping out on streets all summer.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

With rising interest rates, those on variable mortgage rates might not be able to afford an additional cost to storage.
With so many communities having houses that already have an extensive number of vehicles, adding more will make parking a nightmare. Too many multi family homes already use up all of the parking. What will stop people from having someone living in an RV on a driveway?
With that inflation and taxes soo high, people are struggling to pay for a parking.
With the 36 hours allowed now, the unit can be on the street legally for 3 days of the week. Most are there even longer. City residential streets in newer subdivisions are already narrow as are the lots and street parking at a premium
With the cost of housing and rent I think we need to make sure that people aren't using them as a rental. Also consider that it's often retirees or seniors that own these and they can't afford an additional cost of parking it off their property
with the cost of living and housing crisis people should be incentivized to put RVs on all driveways and it should be allowed year round as long as its on their own property and not encroaching on sidewalks or being otherwise disruptive.
With the current cost of living and affordability issues in this city, you have to know that some of these RVs are being used for housing.
With the curvy and hilly roads in new neighborhoods, the sight line issue can be severe. We already have difficulty seeing past parked vehicles on the street to safely turn into our driveway, when RVs and trailers are parked on the street or in driveways this is impossible. Traffic calming measures only make our street and neighborhood more dangerous, particularly for pedestrians. Additionally there is already a huge shortage of parking space in the neighborhood. RVs and parking from illegal suites and short term rentals make it impossible for temporary parking needs, for guests at a dinner party or construction/ service work.
with the large homeless population, people are squatting in these rv's etc. it's just such a bad idea all around. Only convenient for the owners.
With the rising cost of living a lot more people would be able to save money and not lose their things if they were allowed to park their trailers in their property. It only becomes an issue if the trailer is obstructing the sidewalk or other public access
With the very large RV there is the issue of sight lines and security. It is good to be able to see what/who is in your Neighborhood.
With this decision you will also be impacting the revenue that storage facilities rely on, on an annual basis. If they need to increase their storage rates due to fewer 'storage days' being used by the consumer, you will see residents abusing the bylaw.
Without attempting to speak for every Calgarian, I would believe the majority of Calgarians do not own RVs and thus are unconcerned and unmoved by the "known benefits" as described by your scan of local municipalities. The only impacts to non-RV owners, by allowing them more time in residential areas, are negative. Does the "sense of security" for a minority of citizens outweigh the safety and sight concerns for the majority? RVs are a luxury item and they should not be prioritized over safety.
Without RV parking in the front of the house or in their front driveway it will give vehicles a broader view for children and seniors safely
Worse visibility for kids playing on street. So unsafe for them. Also drivers visibility to see children.
Worsens neighbour's relations. Worsening aesthetics. Why front driveways not back alleys? How will it be enforced?



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Would allow homeowners to save money on gas going back and forth to storage
Would having large trailers and RV's entice more crime as well? It seems it would be easy pickings for thieves?
Would the City assume liability for its poor judgment should an accident occur because of lack of visibility?
Would the city lose out on tax dollars if storage businesses close?
Would this possibly also increase/attract theft and vandalism in the neighbourhood?
Would vehicles be street parked in favor of using driveways for RVs
Wouldn't want them there all summer or months at a time...we were previous RV owners...
Ya clean your streets [removed]
Ya prices are high enough for storage. People are having enough problems with life. Let's not make it harder to love in Calgary.
Yeah, save people money so they can afford to use the RVs more often and build memories with their families and friends. Removal of this bylaw will allow people to live their lives better and happier. This is a joke bylaw that was brought up for the Karen's in the neighbourhood, time for those people to grow up. Start minding their own business.
Yeah, the city is costing Calgarians hundreds of thousands of dollars in storage fees! Why would you deliberately do that?
Year round parking on private property should be permitted.
Yep no blockage of sidewalks. The convenience argument is true but there are so many storage places near the city, convenience is not too impacted without changing bylaw. Nothing wrong with tenting (when you are young) and more eco friendly.
Yes
Yes , like killing grass in front yard as rv's will block the sunrays and unsightly to see big vehicles by driveways or street
Yes deal with angle parking City is wrong if in a culdesac.
Yes for sure, access for emergency vehicles and safety to pedestrians especially children.
Yes- I had bad neighbors. They disobeyed the bylaws about RVs, etc. and the CofC had/has no power to do anything. It was always just a 36 hr. Warning sticker. There is little to no follow up. The CofC roads will say it is a CofC parking authority issue or vice versa and both state they will not tow a RV!!!! Leaving the victim "good neighbor" to have no rights or protection from the law breaking /bylaw breaking bad neighbor!!!! There exists paid lots where good neighbors park their RVs
Yes larger driving risks with lower visibility
Yes let's keep our city streets and communities clear of recreational vehicles and remember that those are for out of the city use!
Yes negative impacts. How about the ones that do NOT want all this parking in residential areas on driveways. We live in a crowded city already with little space and all these additional parking add ons will make one feel claustrophobic. Where are the level thinking people on our city council? Who comes up with these terrible ideas anyway. It is certainly time to vote for others next time around!
Yes oil in drains and maybe even sewer leakage.
Yes people will start creating "front driveways or parking pads" to put RV units on without permits and it becomes a safety concern when people are parking the trailer or pulling out when it is parked out front. They do not watch at all for kids or care about blocking the road for 10 minutes at a time when they try and park the RV unit in the front and other cars need past and are blocked from where they want to go.

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes replace the wokes on council and get a job. You are the most useless pathetic people in the world. Do you really think this is doing any Benicia you crime and homeless people wondering through the neighbourhoods? Of course you do you ar one of the useless people who voted these idiots in. Did that help You survey?
Yes Sanitary and Hygiene. Not all campers are CAMPERS !!!
Yes- see above.
Yes the city needs to start ticketing these persistent bad citizens for complaining all the time about this. Plus get the city staff to do the proper due diligence when dealing with these tickets. By visiting the property owners first to give a warning then 36 hrs later providing a ticket. I have asked city staff this a couple of times if they follow this law and they do not, they just had out the ticket without the 36 hrs timeframe being followed.
Yes there are. I stated above if you own or rent the driveway space you should be able to park what you own, on it. Not to mention storage yards are very expensive and inconvenient especially for the ones that go camping every weekend to get out of the city.
Yes there is, if you allow my neighbor to park his fifth wheeler it will block my windows, it also creates a traffic hazard, could not see vehicles that are coming, the block my view.
Yes these become used as short term vacation rentals during things like stampede etc.
Yes! Increasing ease of access to affordable recreational vehicle parking has many benefits. In emergency situations it can reduce the strain on alternative housing. It could allow for better utilization of the vehicles as temporary dwellings or rentals. It also increases the likely hood that owners will take time to enjoy camping and RV life, which would reduces the stress of planning and improve mental health. We could serve our neighbours by providing places to park! Let's love our neighbour!
Yes, a free country is a happy country. A free city is a happy city. Government over reach is a major problem these days. Keep going and you will be dethroned.
Yes, absolutely. There is no point in allowing RV owners to park on the street, whether it be for 36 hours or more, or all winter.
IF YOU ARE WEALTHY ENOUGH TO AFFORD AN RV FIND SOMEWHERE TO STORE IT THAT ISN'T IN YOUR FRONT YARD.
It will degrade property values, make communities less visually appealing, and worst of all possibly cause safety concerns with crosswalks and road signs. The only people this will appeal to is the wealthy, that are too lazy to find somewhere to park their RV.
Yes, allowing these vehicles will allow people to rent these as mobile homes if they are allowed to park them legally for long duration.
Yes, as mentioned above, the safety of children playing outside.
Yes, city can collect more money from people who can afford having RV's and more than 2 vehicles per home and maybe reduce property taxes.
Yes, common sense is not so common anymore and I would be very concerned to see RV's in residential areas, many problems exist in other jurisdictions IE: gypsy/squatter campers leaving and or creating major issues like black & grey water dumping, propane fires & explosions, inviting criminal elements into neighborhoods like B&E's, unhooked units with no lighting makes dangerous roadways, but the most problematic issue becomes parking for other users and city duties, IE: waste removal. I'm a camp

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes, don't turn our devalue our properties by turning them into trailer parks. If people want to drag their aluminum boxes out to the fields to watch TV on the weekends they should have that right, but please respect our rights as well.
Yes, fences that obstruct view of traffic, both at a street intersection and alleys.
Yes, fewer restrictions and "hoops to jump through" will contribute to the mental and emotional health of residents .
Yes, if allowed, it will reduce the amount of street parking, which is limited in certain areas.
Yes, large cords across sidewalks, dumping grey water, people sleeping in, slides out on small streets.
Yes, safety and a rise in crime on properties!
Yes, the benefit of unlimited parking time is that the government does not have to waste time with this and the people have more property freedom. It is a no brainer. Smaller government=stronger people.
Yes, the city needs to consider why a taxpaying homeowner that does not own an RV would approve of having their property potentially be surrounded by a trailer park, with all the associated negative impacts that I've already outlined?
Yes, the city should not allow motor homes to be parked in residential areas or in driveways unless for loading or unloading. They take up too much space and negatively impact the neighborhood, visibility and access
Yes, they are ugly, block traffic especially in the winter and are a hazard in more ways than one. 100% they should not be allowed. It is already abused much longer than the 36 hours!
yes, we need more places for homeless people, especially seniors who are unable to afford rent anywhere these days, they could stay in RV in thei children's yards or in a citypark
Yes.
Yes. Some RVs are parked protruding on to the walkway with large hitch and are dangerous for children riding bikes on walkways or blocking pedestrians from walking
Yes. I live in a neighborhood with front drive garages and people still don't park their vehicles inside. Stead they use their garages for storage and park them on their driveway thus we now have people with a car in the driveway and their rv on the street. Again I pay my taxes and live in an upscale neighborhood who can afford these rv's and "some people" no matter how well off they are do not care. I care and my taxes are paid to keep my neighborhood asthetically please not a parking lot.
Yes. I live on doverville crescent in the SE and the few people who have RV's are up to no good. One neighbor is a thief and criminal and allows his thieving friends to park their RV in his backyard. All day and all night long they are out prowling the neighborhood and stealing from the homeowners. Another neighbor keeps her tent/RV on the front yard and it has had parts stolen all the time (i.e. gas tanks). It is an invitation to thieves to steal and prowl. Another neighbor has a trailer that he keeps behind his garage and some crackhead has made it his home. He sleeps in it and lives in it. This is overall a bad idea and it invites bad people with bad intentions into the neighborhood.
Yes. If this bylaw were to pass (which I support). There needs to be a STRONG message that if an RV/boat obstructs a driveway, limits the ability for turning at an intersection, blocks another neighbour's property/lawn, is too near a park (I.E. gives off impression of child predators), or the vehicle condition is ugly or looks suspicious/abandoned. There needs to be a strong message that neighbours can complain on good grounds if the vehicle is a nuisance. I support my neighbour's right to own an RV or boat. And in my neighbourhood. We have no problems yet. It if this law is to pass, it may embolden owners to forgo common courtesy.





# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

Yes. Instead of considering RV parking why don't we address more important issues like neighbors that hoard garbage and untidy properties. We've been dealing with one in Abbeydale that bylaw has done nothing about for 2 years now.
Yes. Leave people alone. Arbitrarily costing us more money is getting out of hand.
Yes. Our summers are already so short. To take advantage of camping and traveling in the summers it would be much better to be able to access your rv on your property as quickly and as conveniently as possible. I am in full support of being able to have an rv and park it on your property for the season. This is great.
Yes. People in this community barely have drive ways or parking. Everything is street parking. People over here as it is use their front lawns to park their vehicles or campers or boats.. ETC. it kills the grass, looks bad and sometimes even contributes to flooding in neighbourhood. They park in the alley and you can't drive back there..
Yes. Private property ownership usage should not be overly and unnecessarily restricted. Neighbors and the city are not entitled to dictate fair use of private property.
Yes. Regulating view based on neighbours perceptions of what eachother own is silly. Plenty of people invested in RVs and parking pads before this rule came into place, leaving many on the hook. The definition of an RV or what people park is irrelevant. The rules should be surrounded around the parking pad itself. So long as the vehicle is contained on the private parcel, on a designated parking pad and does not overhang the sidewalk or cause corner visibility issues.
Yes. The city needs to normalize minding their own buisness. It shouldn't be the cities choice what can be parked on home owners property.
Yes. The current bylaws restrict more people from being able to afford owning RV's by requiring owners to pay for additional parking away from their home. This 1) increases paved land use for rv storage which is bad for the environment as it decreased green space. 2) increases time and fuel consumption for owners which also makes weekend getaways harder and more expensive with the extra need to transport vehicles to and from storage for with each use. Cities should be encouraging citizens to take advantage of our surroundings not creating more haste and discouraging this just because neighborhours choose not to also have an RV.
Yes. This will impact those of us that don't own RVs. There's little parking as it is on our street. The last thing we need are RVs taking up what little space there is
YES... the impacts of wasting taxpayer time and money are just another example of useless, wasteful overspending. QUIT IT.
You are allowing citizens to save money and time by storing in their driveway. Something sorely in shortage these days
You are essentially allowing permission for people to purchase an RV and live in a residential street - which will happen unless you ensure all city builders and developers are creating affordable housing as part of their development project.
This will open the floodgates to people finding their own solution to affordable housing.
You are just asking people to abuse the RV rules if you allow them to be parked for long periods of time. People will be living in them for sure, not to mention making delivery driver's jobs harder trying to see around RVs all the time.
You are out of line with many of your policies! Have you heard of the magna carta? The constitution? The human rights & charter rights we have in this country? Stop with the

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

authoritarian crap for authoritarian sake. Dammit. How about doing what's right not what's easy
You are subjecting owners to a lot of hassles not allowing them to park in their own driveways and adding unneeded costs
You are suggesting changing the so that the 1% of people that own RVs can wreck the neighbourhood for the 99% for even longer. This is dumb.
You can probably mitigate it with education for people who live on corners that have a greater impact on site lines. There shouldn't be as much concern for The majority who are in the middle of blocks.
As someone who used to amend this bylaw, Good on you to continue reaching out and get public input 📢
You could charge a parking fee for extended parking. Say \$15/day up to say 5 days. With a significant fine and towing if not paid or beyond that time.
You could charge an RV property premium to help pay for the bylaw monitoring. Like a city issued sticker placed on the bumper allows you parking over the season; no paid premium, no parking your RV out front. Not a huge charge, but enough that it would discourage nuisance parking and offset program monitoring costs.
You encourage tourism yet block one of the ways people travel an visit our city.
You guys want to regulate everything within an inch of its life. You should allow the people of Calgary some freedom. Freedom to use our property. Freedom to enjoy the property but we are required by law to maintain.
You have people already that encroach on other peoples properties & are inconsiderate that then cause disputes & long term issues between neighbors. You will have RV's overhanging sidewalks & into the roadway. It is an easy target for crime When we already have issues with breakins & car prowling. Easy for people to hide around and cause mischief. I can't see any benefits to increasing the current bylaw standards. The time allotted is sufficient & regulates people from overextending themselves.
You have to appreciate the growing levels of discontent from many residents towards the city's bylaw office. As the city grows ever more restrictive and bureaucratic you are harming relationships with communities, homeowners and businesses alike. Relaxing bylaws and endeavouring to reconnect with the pulse of your residents would serve you well moving forward.
You list a few places that allow RV parking but fail to list places that have Bylaws that are similar to Calgary's present Bylaw. Any honest debate should present both sides of the issue and establish a need for change - I do not see that happening here.
You may have limited space at your house and front driveway parking is all you have. You shouldn't be restricted by the city to what you can own because of "esthetics". You pay for your home, you pay property tax, what you do on your property is your business.
You mention 'sense of security' as a benefit but I'm not sure who feels this sense of security? Is it RV owners? As a non-RV owner, I do not gain a sense of security by having RVs parking on residential front driveways and parking stalls. This is a bit confusing to me. The benefits only seem to be for RV owners themselves and not for the public at large.
You might consider how businesses that currently provide storage for rvs would be impacted
You need to consider the lack of sidewalks and how many people are already parking on the street. If you allow this there will be a number of individuals who take advantage and park it on the street in front of their homes as we do not have driveways in on our block



# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

You need to start making people stop parking numerous unregistered and uninsured vehicles in back alleys make people keep their property tidy
You need to stop with the nanny state of all these rules. Spend more time on street cleaning and maintenance then take the rest of the [removed] day off
You pay our taxes if you get to say what we do on our own property.
You want increased density. Fine. Good. The people in the 'burbs often have more than 2 cars and garages filled with so much stuff they can't park in them. The narrow streets are full of parked cars. Don't add campers/trailers/etc. all summer long making the 'burbs even less attractive to cyclists and pedestrians. Please stay true to your green claims.
Young children that look forward to each weekend to go camping .
Your making people spend a stupid amount of money for storage. As long as your area isn't contested I think street parking should be available as well.
Your property to do as you like.
Your question is framed to beg a positive response for those pushing this agenda. "Are there any other factors that you would like to comment on regarding this proposal?" is a neutral composition. Your question assumes and presumes there are benefits to everyone in the neighborhood to have full-size motorcoaches parked in front yards with people living in them on a "seasonal" basis. Are we to assume what season you are referring to? It strains credulity to hear people say that it takes longer than 36 hours to do whatever they need to do with their / a motorhome parked in front of their residence other than have people live in it.
You've listed them here, and it's pretty clear the concerns are more important than the benefits to the minority of homeowners who want to own RVs.
Zero benefits! If you can afford a recreational vehicle you can afford to store it
Zero benefits. Impacts sightlines, aesthetics. This is the city, cannot accommodate RV's. Our city looks like a junk yard with blue, black and green bin everywhere. Homelessness at every street corner. 4 cars per house hold. Shoes in front of city Hall. People not taking care of their yard. NO NO NO to changing the rules. If anything I want the rules changed from 36 hours 12 hours!
ZERO benefits. MAJOR impact to the esthetics to all communities. NO NO NO NO NO.
Zero tolerance lot parking a large trailer right beside your house means you can't move it in case of fire or emergency. Have trouble cutting your lawn. Pests haven to access and dig under deck. Would expect a large deduction in assessment/taxes if this is approved.

## Emailed Comments

I think RV's should only be allowed to park on the street in the summer, May 15 to Sept. 15.

They should not be allowed in the winter. If they are just sitting there and not driven and it snows, they may not even be able to get them started. Even if they do, there is snow all over so where can they find a place to put them?

We want people to enjoy the outdoors, therefore we should encourage camping, but only allow on street parking in the summer.

I want to know why the current RV parking bylaws are being revisited.

Why does the CoC think relaxing them will prove beneficial in the future?

We live in Evanston in the North end of the city and I know of 3 huge RV storage lots within a 30 minute drive of my house. It is not inconvenient for people who own rv vehicles and trailers to park them where street space isn't an issue.

I would be furious if these bylaws were relaxed to allow people to park in thier driveways. I believe that people would abuse the proposed bylaw and park larger that allowed rvs in thier driveways. I think people would park old, ugly rvs in thier driveways. It would simply allow abuse by people looking to save money.

My concern is that an RV parked on a driveway may impact safety. Vehicles backing out of driveways of homes situated next to a home with an RV parked in the driveway may have their views obstructed, resulting in collisions with bicycles, pedestrians or other vehicles. I experience a similar situation whenever I back out of my driveway because of a very large shrub on my neighbour's property that obstructs my view. I have had several near misses. An RV is even larger so is more likely to result in collisions.

1. Please tell us what Ward you currently live in?

A. Ward 2

2. Based on the definition, do you own an RV?

A. Yes

3. In your opinion, are there vehicles that should, or should not, be considered in this definition? Why?

A. No. The list appears inclusive enough for an RV definition.

4. Would you support allowing seasonal front driveway parking? Please tell us why or why not?

A. No, we would not support allowing seasonal front driveway parking. In the area where we live (an estate area with only 2 blocks), we have had nothing but problems for the past 26

# Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

years dealing with our neighbours who park their RVs, “junk” vehicles, and illegal businesses (landscaping, etc.) parking their work vehicles and equipment on the streets, and in some cases, literally on the city sidewalk and on their front lawns, and violating many RV parking bylaws which The City has been grossly inept at dealing with.

Allowing seasonal front driveway parking would only exacerbate these problems. Residents who are already not abiding by The City’s RV parking bylaws would abuse the new RV bylaws and create even more problems. By allowing seasonal front driveway parking, The City will probably increase its RV parking complaints because all it’s doing is switching one set of problems for another.

Seasonal front driveway parking would cause more vehicles to be parked on the street (vehicles which were previously being parked on driveways/parking stalls) creating a secondary parking problem; streets full of RVs would look cluttered, unsightly and lower property values; some residents would abuse the new RV bylaw and park “junk” on their properties; some residents would not remove their RVs after the fall deadline assuming the new RV parking bylaw is year-round, not seasonal; some residents would allow their guests to live in their RVs (we see this happening already as there are power and water lines connected to the RVs); some residents would dump their black water into The City’s storm sewer system (this is undoubtedly happening already, it’s just hard to catch residents doing it); some RV trailer hitches would hang over the sidewalks causing tripping hazards (we see this happening already); some RVs could be improperly blocked and fall off their blocks and drop forward onto city sidewalks blocking them or injuring passersby; most RVs would obstruct pedestrians’ views of vehicles backing off of driveways/parking stalls and would potentially result in children/people/dogs being hit or run over causing injury and in some cases death; some residents might do mechanical work on their RVs on their driveways/parking stalls; and some real estate agents would potentially include “comes with RV pad” in their listings (as we noticed one house listed on our block did, and the people who bought the house park their RV on their driveway, illegally, from May to October, every year, and every year they get called in sometime in early October and The City has to tell them, every year, to put their RV back in storage).

5. Are there additional benefits and/or impacts that are important for The City to understand?

A. There are no benefits to The City by allowing residents to park their RVs on their driveways/parking stalls. The ability to park RVs on driveways/parking stalls is only a benefit to the RV owner -- the convenience of having their RV parked at their residence and the savings of not having to pay storage fees for their RV for six months -- which causes problems for their neighbours which in turn causes problems for The City. It is not for The City to provide “benefits” to residents who want to park their RVs on their driveways/parking stalls. RVs do not belong on residential driveways/parking stalls, as that is not what they were designed for, only passenger vehicles.

There are only negative impacts for The City if it allows residents to park their RVs on their driveways/parking stalls. The biggest impact would be, if The City allows this, on the day after the deadline passes in the fall when residents must remove their RVs from their driveways/parking stalls, is that The City would then be tasked with having all of those





## Parking Recreational Vehicles on Residential Property

Stakeholder Report Back: Verbatim

March 15, 2023

RVs removed. Is The City equipped to respond to every call that is reporting that an RV has not been moved? Or will those RVs just sit there all winter, and then forever, as The City does not have the manpower to remove all of the illegally parked RVs? And then after a period of time, residents will just assume that it is legal to park their RVs on their driveways/parking stalls year-round as The City does nothing about illegally parked RVs from October to May. Then it begs the question, why change this RV bylaw at all if The City cannot or will not enforce it?

You didn't ask the most important question to me! I support the 36hour on street parking limit, but NOT the bylaw that requires the trailer to be connected to the vehicle during this time.

It makes it impossible with only one vehicle to get supplies for the trailer without towing it to the store and towing it back. This does not seem like a reasonable bylaw requirement or a good reason to ticket RV's. I have been ticketed in the past.